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Abstract. Within the context of time-dependent interaction of a planar premixed
laminar flame with a cold parallel end wall, the jump conditions for the first (spatial)
derivatives of the dependent variables across the thin flame are obtained through the
solution of the nonlinear diffusive-reactive boundary-value problem that describes the
structure of the flame zone. Recently developed numerical techniques are employed to
yield solutions of this boundary-value problem.

1. Introduction. In the study of the time-dependent interaction of a planar premixed
laminar flame with a parallel end wall (Carrier et al. [1]), the model initial/boundary-
value problem for the unsteady, one-dimensional, low-Mach-number, isobaric, nonisen-
thalpic interaction of a reacting premixture with a cold, noncatalytic wall is presented.
Attention is directed to the determination of the spatial gradients of the dependent
variables at the flame front (on both the unburned and burned sides). In [1], a heuristic
argument is employed to obtain the jump conditions for these spatial gradients across
the thin flame (or reaction zone), although it is stated that these results may be obtained
more formally through the application of the techniques of modern asymptotic analysis.
The purpose of the present paper is to apply these techniques to review and extend the
work in [1] concerning these jump conditions.

The pioneering work on the asymptotic analysis of the jump conditions across a thin
flame without interaction with boundaries is that of Zeldovich and Frank-Kamenetskii
[2]. Based on the concepts of [2], multiple-scaling techniques of modern asymptotic
analysis for the activation-temperature/adiabatic-flame-temperature ratio much greater
than unity have now been developed (see Bush and Fendell [3], Carrier et al. [4], Bush [5]
for one-dimensional steady analyses; Matkowsky and Sivashinsky [6] for a three-
dimensional nonsteady analysis). Concurrent with the analysis of the interfacial results
for time-dependent one-dimensional flow with interaction with a boundary of [1], an

* Received November 27, 1979; revised version received April 7, 1980. This research was supported by the
National Science Foundation under grant ENG 77-18730. The authors gratefully acknowledge the encourage-
ment and kind advice of Dr. G. F. Carrier, Harvard University, and Dr. F. E. Fendell, TRW Systems. The
reviewers' constructive criticism and bringing the work of others, particularly [8], to the author's attention are
appreciated.
analysis of these results for steady two-dimensional flow with such interaction was presented by Buckmaster [7].

In Sec. 2, as the point of departure for this analysis, the model initial/boundary-value problem considered in [1] is presented. For the activation temperature ratio much greater than unity, following the work of Bush and Fendell [3] for such a limit, the nonlinear diffusive-reactive boundary-value problem that describes the flow field in the resulting thin flame-front region is formulated, when the generalization is made that the temperature at the flame front may not be equal to the adiabatic flame temperature for all time due to interaction with the wall.

Since there is just a parametric dependence on time in this formulation, the partial differential equation can be effectively reduced to an ordinary differential equation. Such a reduction is given in Sec. 3. Here, also, this boundary-value problem for the reaction zone is compared with that for the premixed flame region of a counterflow diffusion flame (Linan [8]). In this section, numerical solutions of the reaction-zone problem are obtained, employing techniques developed previously by the authors. Both the dependent variable profiles, for various values of the parameter, and the first derivative of the dependent variable at upstream infinity, as a function of the parameter, are determined. To complement these numerical results, in Sec. 4 analytical solutions for the upstream gradient for limiting values of the parameter are presented.

Since the behavior of the upstream gradient as a function of the parameter can be used most effectively in the specifications of the jump conditions at the flame front when the numerical results can be re-expressed analytically, in Sec. 5 an analytical approximation of the numerical results for this behavior is developed.

In Sec. 6, a brief summary of the results obtained in this paper is presented.

2. Formulation. For the direct, one-step, irreversible chemical reaction between oxidant $O$ and fuel $F$ that generates product $P$, namely:

$$v_O O + v_F F \rightarrow v_P P,$$

where $v_i$ is the stoichiometric coefficient of species $i$, $i = O, F, P$, the unsteady, one-dimensional, low-Mach-number isobaric, nonisenthalpic interaction of this reacting premixture with a cold, noncatalytic wall (at $\sigma = 0$) is modeled by the following (non-dimensional) initial/boundary-value problem (cf. Carrier et al. [1]) in the domain $(t > 0, 0 < \sigma < \infty)$:

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} - \left( \frac{1}{\Psi} \frac{d\Psi}{dt} \right) \sigma \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} - \frac{1}{\Psi^2} \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial \sigma^2} = -W, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \left( \frac{1}{\Psi} \frac{d\Psi}{dt} \right) \sigma \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} - \frac{Le}{\Psi^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \sigma^2} = W,$$

with

$$W = \Lambda Y^\gamma \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi}{(1 - \varphi)} Y \right)^{\gamma_0} \exp \left[ -\beta \frac{(1 - T)}{T} \right];$$

$$Y \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow \infty \quad (t > 0); \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0 \quad (t > 0); \quad (2.1b)$$

$$Y \rightarrow Y_f \equiv 0, \quad T \rightarrow T_f \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow 1 \quad (t > 0); \quad (2.1c)$$

$$Y \rightarrow Y_0, \quad T \rightarrow T_0 \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0 \quad (0 < \sigma < \infty). \quad (2.1d)$$
Here, (1) \( t \) is time, \( \sigma \) is the normalized stream function, i.e., \( \sigma = \psi / \Psi(t) \), with \( \Psi(t) \) the stream function at the flame front; (2) \( Y(\sigma, t) \) is the normalized mass fraction of fuel, and \( T(\sigma, t) \) is the normalized temperature; (3) \( Le \) is the Lewis-Semenov number, of order unity; (4) \( W(\sigma, t) \) is the normalized reaction rate, with \( \Phi \) the equivalence ratio \( (\Phi = \phi / (1 - \phi)) \) of order unity, \( \beta \) the activation temperature ratio, greater than order unity, and \( \Lambda \) the "normalized" Damkohler number, greater than order unity. In this model, it is taken that \( \Psi(t) \rightarrow \Psi_0 \), greater than order unity, as \( t \rightarrow 0 \), and that \( T_f(t) \rightarrow 1 \), as \( t \rightarrow 0 \). Further, for this model problem, the functions \( Y_0(\sigma) \) and \( T_0(\sigma) \) are to be specified consistent with the given boundary conditions.

The spatial gradients of \( Y \) and \( T \) at the flame front, on the unburned and burned sides, respectively, are denoted by

\[
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f_-}, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f_-} \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow 1_- \quad (t \geq 0); \quad (2.2a)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f_+}, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f_+} \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow 1_+ \quad (t \geq 0). \quad (2.2b)
\]

In order to solve (2.1) in the "thin-flame approximation," i.e. to solve the homogeneous forms of the equations of (2.1a) in the domains \( (t > 0, 0 < \sigma < 1) \) and \( (t > 0, 1 < \sigma < \infty) \) subject to the initial/boundary conditions of (2.1b)–(2.1d), additional boundary conditions, relating the spatial gradients at the flame front, are required. These flame-front jump conditions are derived below, employing the fact that the activation temperature ratio \( \beta \) is greater than order unity for the reaction under consideration.

To study the flame-front region, consider the following transformations of the independent and dependent variables (cf. Bush and Fendell [3] for the analysis of the steady-state counterpart of this problem):

\[
(\sigma, t) \rightarrow (\zeta, t), \quad \text{with} \quad \zeta = \beta(\sigma - 1) \quad (2.3a)
\]

\[
Y(\sigma, t; \beta) = \beta^{-1}Z(\zeta, t) + O(\beta^{-2}),
\]

\[
T(\sigma, t; \beta) = T_f(t) + \beta^{-1}G(\zeta, t) + O(\beta^{-2}). \quad (2.3b)
\]

Introduction of the variables of (2.3) into (2.1a) yields, to leading order of approximation, for \( (t \geq 0, -\infty < \zeta < \infty) \),

\[
\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial \zeta^2} = -Le \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \zeta^2} = J_0 Z^{\nu} \exp \left| \frac{G}{T_f^{\nu}} \right|, \quad (2.4a)
\]

with

\[
J_0 = \frac{\Psi^2}{2\Gamma(1 + \nu_f)Le^{1 + \nu_f}} \exp \left| -\beta \frac{(1 - T_f)}{T_f} \right|. \quad (2.4b)
\]

Here, the result of [1] that, to the order of approximation considered, \( \Lambda = [2\Gamma(1 + \nu_f)Le^{1 + \nu_f}]^{-1} \beta^{1 + \nu_f} \), where \( \Gamma(k) \) is the (complete) gamma function, has been introduced.

Directly, (2.4) yields

\[
\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial \zeta^2} + Le \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \zeta^2} = 0. \quad (2.5)
\]
In turn, the first and second integrals of (2.5) are
\[
\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \zeta} + \text{Le} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta} = \Omega, \quad Z + \text{Le} G = \Omega' + \Pi, \tag{2.6a, b}
\]
with \(\Omega, \Pi\) functions of integration. From (2.2), (2.3), and (2.6a), it is seen that the spatial gradients at the flame front are related by
\[
\left[ \left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f-} + \text{Le} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f-} \right] = \text{Le} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f+} \approx \Omega. \tag{2.7}
\]
Further, from (2.4) and (2.6b), it is seen that the problem for the flame-front "structure" reduces to the solution of the following equation:
\[
\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial \zeta^2} = J_0 Z^{\cdot r} \exp \left[ - \frac{Z}{\text{Le} T_f^2} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{\Omega' + \Pi}{\text{Le} T_f^2} \right]; \tag{2.8}
\]
To reduce (2.8) to "standard form," the following transformations are introduced:
\[
(\zeta, t) \rightarrow (\xi, t), \quad \text{with} \quad \xi = \frac{\Psi T_f^{\cdot y-1}}{(\Gamma(1 + v_f))^{1/2} \text{Le}} \exp \left[ - \frac{1}{2} \beta \left( \frac{1 - T_f}{T_f} \right) - \frac{\Pi}{\text{Le} T_f^2} \right] \xi; \tag{2.9a}
\]
\[
Z = \text{Le} T_f^2 h. \tag{2.9b}
\]
Under these transformations, (2.8) takes the form
\[
2 \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial \xi^2} = h^{\cdot r} \exp (-h) \exp (M \xi), \tag{2.10a}
\]
with
\[
M = \left( \frac{(\Gamma(1 + v_f))^{1/2} \Omega}{\Psi T_f^{\cdot y+ v_f} \text{Le}} \right) \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \beta \left( \frac{1 - T_f}{T_f} \right) - \frac{\Pi}{\text{Le} T_f^2} \right]; \tag{2.10b}
\]
The boundary conditions for this equation, reflecting the requirements that the fuel mass fraction function goes to zero as the burned side of the flame is approached and goes to infinity as the unburned side is approached, are
\[
h \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \rightarrow \infty, \quad h \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \rightarrow -\infty. \tag{2.11}
\]
The spatial gradients of \(h\), as the burned and unburned sides of the flame are approached, are
\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi} \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi} \right)_+ = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi} \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi} \right)_- < 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \rightarrow -\infty, \tag{2.12}
\]
where \((\partial h/\partial \xi)_-\) is a function to be determined from the solution of (2.10) and (2.11). In turn, the gradient functions of (2.2) are determined to be
\[
\left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f-} \approx \Omega \left( \frac{1}{M} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi} \right)_- \right) = -\Omega B, \quad \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f-} \approx \frac{1}{\text{Le}} \Omega (1 + B); \tag{2.13a}
\]
\[
\left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f+} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f+} \approx \frac{1}{\text{Le}} \Omega. \tag{2.13b}
\]
3. Solutions. From an examination of (2.10), it is seen that $M = M(t; \beta, v_F)$, and that $h = h(\xi, t; \beta, v_F) = h(\xi; M(t; \beta, v_F), v_F)$. Thus, for $v_F = 1$ (a reasonable value for this parameter), the problem of (2.10) and (2.11) can be recast as the following boundary-value problem for the determination of $h(\xi; M)$:

$$2 \frac{d^2 h}{d\xi^2} = h \exp(-h) \exp(M\xi) \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty);$$  

$$h \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \infty, \quad h \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to -\infty;$$  

$$h \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to 0. \quad (3.1a, b, c)$$

The boundary condition of (3.1c) is arbitrary, and has been introduced for purposes of mathematical convenience. This boundary condition does not affect the principal result of this analysis, namely: the determination of $(dh/d\xi)$ as $\xi \to -\infty$. It is seen that (3.1a) is equivalent to (52) of Liñán [8]. However, in [8] (see (53) and (54)), the following boundary conditions (in the notation of the present paper) are applied:

$$\frac{dh}{d\xi} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \infty, \quad \frac{dh}{d\xi} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to -\infty. \quad (3.1b)'$$

While the boundary conditions of (3.1b)' may be the appropriate ones for the premixed flame region of a counterflow diffusion flame (Liñán [8]), the boundary conditions of (3.1b) are the appropriate ones for the flame-front-region flow problem studied in the present paper.

Under the transformations

$$\xi \to \eta, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta = M\xi, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$h(\xi; M) = f(\eta; J), \quad \text{with} \quad J = 1/2M^2, \quad (3.2b)$$

the boundary-value problem of (3.1) can be re-expressed as

$$\frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^2} = Jf \exp(-f) \exp(\eta) \quad (-\infty < \eta < \infty);$$  

$$f \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty, \quad f \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to -\infty;$$  

$$f \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0. \quad (3.3a, b, c)$$

Numerical solutions are presented here for the boundary-value problem of (3.3); asymptotic solutions are presented for the boundary-value problem of (3.1).

Preliminary to the obtaining of numerical solutions to the boundary-value problem of (3.3), the behaviors of $f$ as $\eta \to \pm \infty$ are obtained. The downstream behavior is determined to be

$$f \sim bK_0(z) + \cdots$$

$$= b \left[ \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} \exp(-z) \frac{1}{z^{1/2}} (1 + \cdots) \right] + \cdots \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad z = 2J^{1/2} \exp(\frac{1}{2} \eta) \to \infty, \quad (3.4)$$
where $K_0(z)$ is the zeroth-order modified Bessel function of the second kind and $b$ is a constant (to be determined). The upstream behavior is determined to be

$$f \sim (-B\eta + a) + \frac{J \exp(-a)}{(1 + B)^2} \times \left((-B\eta + a) + \frac{2B}{(1 + B)}\right) \exp((1 + B)\eta) + \cdots \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to -\infty,$$

(3.5)

where $a$ is a constant (to be determined). Further, in (3.5), the notation

$$\frac{df}{d\eta} \to \left(\frac{df}{d\eta}\right)_- = -B = -B(J) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to -\infty$$

(3.6)

is (re)introduced.

Employing previously-developed numerical techniques, for the values of $J$ listed in Table 1, the boundary-value problem of (3.3) was solved in two steps. The first step involved the solution, by the method of Lentini-Peyrera, of the two-point boundary-value problem

$$\frac{d^2f}{d\eta^2} = Jf \exp(-f)\exp(\eta) \quad (0 < \eta < \infty);$$

(3.7a)

$$f \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty, \quad f \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.$$  

(3.7b)

From this first step, the first derivative of $f$ at the origin, $-S = -S(J)$, was obtained. Then, the second step involved the solution, by the method of Runge-Kutta, of the initial-value problem

$$\frac{d^2f}{d\eta^2} = Jf \exp(-f)\exp(\eta) \quad (-\infty < \eta < 0);$$

(3.8a)

$$f \to 1, \quad df/d\eta \to -S \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0.$$  

(3.8b)

From this second step, the first derivative of $f$ at upstream infinity, $-B = -B(J)$, was obtained. The combination of the first and second steps yielded $f$ as a function of $\eta$, for the domain $(-\infty < \eta < \infty)$, for the given values of the parameter $J$. These results are presented in Fig. 1.

As mentioned previously, the principal result to be obtained from these solutions of the boundary-value problem is the determination of $B$ as a function of $J$. This result is presented both in Table 1 and in Fig. 2.

4. Asymptotic solutions. In Appendix C of [8], the asymptotic forms of the solutions of (3.1a), subject to the boundary conditions of (3.1b), are presented for (a) $M \to 0$, (b) $M \to -\frac{1}{2}$, and (c) $M \to \infty$. An analysis parallel to that of Appendix C(a) of [8] is presented in Sec. 4.1 below. Since for the present case $M$ is positive, the analysis of Appendix C(b) is not pertinent here. With appropriate modification (see Sec. 4.2), the analysis of Appendix C(c) provides further insight for the present analysis concerning the behavior of $B$ as $M \to \infty$.

4.1. Solutions for $M \to 0$. To study the limiting case of $M \to 0$, consider that

$$h(\xi; M) = F(\xi) + O(M).$$

(4.1)
Then the boundary-value problem of (3.1) can be re-expressed as

$$2 \frac{d^2 F}{d \xi^2} = F \exp(-F) \quad (-\infty < \xi < \infty); \quad (4.2a)$$

$$F \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to \infty, \quad F \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to -\infty. \quad (4.2b)$$

It is seen that (4.2) is the "standard form" of the zeroth-order boundary-value problem for the downstream region of steady-state large-activation-temperature laminar
deflagration theory (cf. [3–5]). Multiplication of both sides of (4.2a) by $dF/d\xi$ and integration of the resulting equation, subject to the boundary condition that $dF/d\xi \to 0$ as $F \to 0$, yield

$$
\frac{dF}{d\xi} = -\left[1 - (1 + F)\exp(-F)\right]^{1/2}.
$$

(4.3)

Integration of (4.3), subject to the boundary condition that $\xi \to 0$ as $F \to F_0$, yields

$$
\xi = \zeta(F) = -\int_{F_0}^F \left[1 - (1 + s)\exp(-s)\right]^{-1/2} ds.
$$

(4.4)

From the above results, it is determined that

$$
\frac{dF}{d\xi} \to -1 \quad \text{as} \quad \zeta \to -\infty.
$$

(4.5)

Thus, based on the previously-introduced definitions,

$$
\left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma}\right)_J \approx \Omega \left[\frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi}\right)_J\right] \approx \Omega \left[\frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi}\right)_J\right] = -\frac{\Omega}{M},
$$

(4.6a)

that is,

$$
B = B(M) \approx 1/M \quad \text{and/or} \quad B = B(J) \approx (2J)^{1/2}.
$$

(4.6b)

The function $B^0(J) \equiv (2J)^{1/2}$ is presented also in Table 1 and Fig. 2. From these tabular and graphical presentations, it is possible to compare $B(J)$ and $B^0(J)$. 

Fig. 2. The determination of $B$ and $B^0$ as functions of $J$. 
4.2. Solutions for $M \to \infty$. Since
\[ h \to 0 \quad \text{(exponentially) as} \quad \zeta \to \infty, \]
\[ h \to -B(M\zeta) + a \to \infty \quad \text{(algebraically) as} \quad \zeta \to -\infty, \]
it is anticipated that, for $B \to 0$ as $M \to \infty$,
\[ \exp(-h)\exp(M\zeta) \approx \exp(M\zeta), \]
and (3.1a) may be replaced in first approximation by
\[ 2 \frac{d^2h}{d\zeta^2} = h \exp(M\zeta), \tag{4.7} \]
which, in turn, can be written as the Bessel equation
\[ \frac{d^2h}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{z} \frac{dh}{dz} - h = 0, \tag{4.8a} \]
in terms of the variable
\[ z = \frac{2^{1/2}}{M} \exp(\frac{1}{2}M\zeta). \tag{4.8b} \]
Essentially this transformation is introduced in Sec. 3 to determine the downstream behavior of the dependent variable, where the approximation
\[ \exp(-h)\exp(M\zeta) \approx \exp(M\zeta) \]
is appropriate, regardless of the magnitude of $M$. Here, this approximation is taken to hold over the entire range of $h$ for $M \to \infty$ (and $B(M) \to 0$). The solution of (4.8a), satisfying the downstream boundary condition $h \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$, is
\[ h = h_0 K_0(z), \quad \text{with} \quad h_0 = \text{const}, \quad \text{(to be determined).} \tag{4.9} \]
The upstream behavior of the solution of (4.9) is given by
\[ h \sim h_0[-\{\log(\frac{1}{2}z) + \gamma_E\} + \frac{1}{4}z^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{4}z^2 + \cdots] \]
\[ = -B(M\zeta) + 2B(\log M - \gamma_E') + \cdots \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad z \to 0, \quad \zeta \to -\infty, \tag{4.10} \]
where $\gamma_E \approx 0.577$ is the Euler constant, $\gamma_E' = (\gamma_E - \frac{1}{2} \log 2) \approx 0.230$, and where it is taken that $h_0 = 2B$. For $\zeta \to 0$, $z \to 2^{1/2}/M \to 0$, the boundary condition of (3.1c) is satisfied if
\[ h \sim 2B(\log M - \gamma_E') + \cdots \to 1, \tag{4.11a} \]
such that
\[ B = B(M) \approx \frac{1}{2}(\log M - \gamma_E')^{-1} \quad \text{and/or} \quad B = B(J) \approx \frac{1}{2}(\log((2J)^{-1/2}) - \gamma_E')^{-1}. \tag{4.11b} \]
For purposes of comparison, the function $B_0(J) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\log((2J)^{-1/2}) - \gamma_E')^{-1}$ is presented also in Table 1.

5. Analytical results. To complete the study of the boundary-value problem of (3.3), an analytical approximation, i.e. a curve-fit, of the numerical results for $B(J)$ is con-
The following analytical approximation of the numerical data was developed for the indicated range of $J$:

$$B \approx \frac{B^0}{1 + \mu_1 (B^0)^{-1/2}} + \frac{\mu_2}{1 + \mu_3 \log((B^0)^{-1})}$$
for $10^{-3} \leq J \leq 10^2$, \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

with $B^0 = (2J)^{1/2}$; $\mu_1 = 0.506$, $\mu_2 = 0.263$, $\mu_3 = 0.293$. The pertinent data and the function of (5.1) are presented in Fig. 3.

6. Summary. In this paper it is determined that the spatial gradients of the fuel mass fraction $Y$ and the temperature $T$ at the flame front on the unburned and burned sides, respectively, are given by

$$\left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f-} \approx -\Omega B,$$
$$\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f-} \approx \frac{1}{Le} \Omega (1 + B),$$
$$\left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f+} = 0,$$
$$\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f+} \approx \frac{1}{Le} \Omega$$

(6.1a) \hspace{1cm} (6.1b)
where, for $v_F = 1$, the function $B$ is analytically approximated over an extended range of $J$ by

$$B \approx \left[ \frac{B^0}{1 + \mu_1 (B^0)^{-1/2}} + \frac{\mu_2}{1 + \mu_3 \log((B^0)^{-1})} \right], \quad (6.2a)$$

with $\mu_1 = 0.506$, $\mu_2 = 0.263$, $\mu_3 = 0.293$, and

$$B^0 = (2J)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{M} = \frac{\Psi}{\Omega} T_f^2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \beta \frac{(1 - T_f)}{T_f} - \frac{\Pi}{Le T_f^2} \right] \right\}. \quad (6.2b)$$

When it is recalled that, in the original model problem of (2.1), it is taken that $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi_0 \gg 1$, and that $T \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, it is seen that the limit $M \rightarrow 0$ and/or $J \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to the limit $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus, for small times, the approximation (cf. [1])

$$\left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{f-} \approx -\Psi T_f^2 \exp \left\{ -\beta \frac{(1 - T_f)}{2T_f} \right\}, \quad \text{for} \quad v_F = 1, \quad (6.3)$$

is justified. The results of (6.1)–(6.2) suggest how the jump conditions can be determined at later times, i.e. $M$ and/or $J \sim O(1)$.
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