

## ON LOCALLY $GQ(s, t)$ GRAPHS WITH STRONGLY REGULAR $\mu$ -SUBGRAPHS

V. I. KAZARINA AND A. A. MAKHNEV

ABSTRACT. The connected locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graphs are studied in which every  $\mu$ -subgraph is a known strongly regular graph (i.e.,  $K_{m,m}$  for a positive integer  $m$ , the Moore graph with parameters  $(k^2 + 1, k, 0, 1)$ ,  $k = 2, 3$ , or  $7$ , the Clebsch graph, the Gewirtz graph, the Higman–Sims graph, or the second neighborhood (with parameters  $(77, 16, 0, 4)$ ) of a vertex in the Higman–Sims graph). It is proved that if  $\Gamma$  is a strongly regular locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graph in which every  $\mu$ -subgraph is isomorphic to a known strongly regular graph  $\Delta$ , then one of the following statements is true: (1)  $\Delta = K_{t+1, t+1}$  and either  $s = 1$  and  $\Gamma = K_{3 \times (t+1)}$ , or  $s = 4, t = 1$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a quotient of the Johnson graph  $\overline{J}(10, 5)$ , or  $s = t = 1, 2, 3, 8, 13$ ; (2)  $\Delta$  is a Petersen graph and  $\Gamma$  is a unique locally  $GQ(2, 2)$  graph with parameters  $(28, 15, 6, 10)$ ; (3)  $\Delta$  is the Gewirtz graph and  $\Gamma$  is the McLaughlin graph.

### INTRODUCTION

We consider nonoriented graphs with no loops and no multiple edges. For a vertex  $a$  of a graph  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_i(a)$  the  $i$ -neighborhood of  $a$ , i.e., the subgraph induced by  $\Gamma$  on the set of all vertices lying at a distance of  $i$  from  $a$ . We put  $\Gamma(a) = \Gamma_1(a)$  and  $a^\perp = \{a\} \cup \Gamma(a)$ . If the graph  $\Gamma$  is fixed, then we write  $[a]$  instead of  $\Gamma(a)$ . Unless otherwise specified, by a subgraph we mean an induced subgraph. Largely, we use the same notation as in [1].

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of graphs. We say that a graph  $\Gamma$  is a *locally  $\mathcal{F}$  graph* if  $[a]$  lies in  $\mathcal{F}$  for each vertex  $a$  of  $\Gamma$ . If the class  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of graphs isomorphic to a graph  $\Delta$ , then we say that  $\Gamma$  is a *locally  $\Delta$  graph*.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph, and let  $a, b \in \Gamma$ . The number of vertices in  $[a] \cap [b]$  is denoted by  $\mu(a, b)$  if the distance between  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\Gamma$  is 2 and by  $\lambda(a, b)$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are adjacent in  $\Gamma$ . In the sequel, the induced subgraph  $[a] \cap [b]$  is called a  *$\mu$ -subgraph* (respectively, a  *$\lambda$ -subgraph*).

By the *degree of a vertex* we mean the number of vertices in the neighborhood of that vertex. A graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *regular* of degree  $k$  if the degree of every vertex  $a$  in  $\Gamma$  is equal to  $k$ . A graph  $\Gamma$  is *edge regular* with parameters  $(v, k, \lambda)$  if it has  $v$  vertices, is regular of degree  $k$ , and each edge of it lies in  $\lambda$  triangles. A graph  $\Gamma$  is *completely regular* with parameters  $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$  if it is edge regular with the corresponding parameters and  $[a] \cap [b]$  contains  $\mu$  vertices for any two vertices  $a$  and  $b$  that are 2 apart in  $\Gamma$ . A completely regular graph is said to be *strongly regular* if it has diameter 2. By  $K_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$  we denote a complete multipartite graph  $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  with parts  $M_i$  of order  $m_i$ . If  $m_1 = \dots = m_n = m$ , then this graph is denoted by  $K_{n \times m}$ . For a subgraph  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$ , we

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05C75.

*Key words and phrases*. Strongly regular graphs, locally  $\mathcal{F}$  graphs, geometry of rank 2.

This work was supported by RFBR (grant 02–01–00772).

denote by  $X_i(\Delta)$  the set of all vertices in  $\Gamma - \Delta$  that are adjacent to exactly  $i$  vertices of  $\Delta$ .

A *geometry*  $G$  of rank 2 is an incidence system with a set  $P$  of points and set  $\mathcal{B}$  of blocks, and without multiple blocks. Here, each block can be identified with the set of points incident to it, and incidence becomes the usual inclusion. Two points in  $P$  are *collinear* if they lie in the same block. The *point graph* of a geometry  $G$  is the graph on the set  $P$  in which two points are adjacent if they are distinct and collinear. The *block graph* is defined similarly. We say that a geometry is *connected* if its point graph is connected.

For  $a \in P$ , we define the *residue*  $G_a$  as the geometry with the set of points  $P_a$  collinear to  $a$  and the set of blocks  $\mathcal{B}_a = \{B - \{a\} \mid a \in B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Suppose  $a \in P$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $a \notin B$  (respectively,  $a \in B$ ), then the pair  $(a, B)$  is called an *antiflag* (respectively, a *flag*).

If any two blocks in  $\mathcal{B}$  intersect at one point at most, then the set of blocks is called the *set of lines* and is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}$ , and the geometry  $(P, \mathcal{L})$  is called a *partial space of lines*. A partial space of lines has order  $(s, t)$  if each line contains  $s + 1$  points and each point lies on  $t + 1$  lines.

A partial space of lines of order  $(s, t)$  is called an  $\alpha$ -*partial geometry* if for each antiflag  $(a, L)$  there exist exactly  $\alpha$  lines passing through  $a$  and intersecting  $L$  (notation:  $pG_\alpha(s, t)$ ). For  $\alpha = 1$ , such a geometry is called a *generalized quadrangle* and is denoted by  $GQ(s, t)$ . In the point graph for  $GQ(s, t)$ , a co clique consisting of  $st + 1$  points is called an *ovoid*. By a *spread* in  $GQ(s, t)$  we mean a set of  $st + 1$  lines forming a partition of the set of points.

The point graph of the geometry  $pG_\alpha(s, t)$  is strongly regular, with  $v = (s + 1)(1 + st/\alpha)$ ,  $k = s(t + 1)$ ,  $\lambda = s - 1 + t(\alpha - 1)$ , and  $\mu = \alpha(t + 1)$ . Any strongly regular graph with these parameters is called a *pseudo-geometric graph* for  $pG_\alpha(s, t)$ .

A geometry  $G$  is called an *extension* of  $\alpha$ -partial geometries if it is connected and the residue at each point coincides with  $pG_\alpha(s, t)$  for appropriate  $(s, t)$  (notation:  $EpG_\alpha$ ). By connectedness, the order  $(s, t)$  of a geometry does not depend on the choice of a residue, and such an extension is denoted by  $EpG_\alpha(s, t)$ . The geometry  $EpG_\alpha$  is said to be *triangular* if arbitrary three pairwise collinear points lie in the same block (which is necessarily unique). The study of triangular extensions of geometries  $G$  of class  $pG_\alpha$  is based on the study of locally connected graphs  $\Gamma(G_a)$  (and is equivalent to such a study if  $\alpha = 1$ ).

A subset  $\Lambda$  of a generalized triangle is called a *hyperoval* if each line intersects  $\Lambda$  at 0 or 2 points. In other words, a hyperoval in  $GQ(s, t)$  is a regular subgraph of degree  $t + 1$ , without triangles, and having an even number of vertices. It is well known [2] that the  $\mu$ -subgraphs in locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graphs are hyperovals.

If a regular graph of degree  $k$  and diameter  $d$  has  $v$  vertices, then we have the following inequality proved by Moore:

$$v \leq 1 + k + k(k - 1) + \dots + k(k - 1)^{d-1}.$$

A graph for which this inequality becomes equality is called a *Moore graph*. A simple example of a Moore graph is a  $(2d + 1)$ -gon. Damerell [3] proved that a Moore graph of degree  $k \geq 3$  has diameter 2. In this case, we have  $v = k^2 + 1$ , the graph is strongly regular with  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$ , and the degree  $k$  can be equal to 3 (the Petersen graph), to 7 (the Hoffman–Singleton graph), or to 57. Actually, it is not known whether a Moore graph of degree  $k = 57$  really exists.

The Clebsch graph is defined on the set of vectors of the four-dimensional linear space  $V$  over the field of two elements; in this case, two vectors are adjacent if the Hamming distance between them is 1 or 4. This is the only strongly regular graph with parameters

(16, 5, 0, 2). The Gewirtz, Higman–Sims, and McLaughlin graphs are the graphs of rank 3 of the group  $L_3(4)$ , the Higman–Sims group, and the McLaughlin group on 56, 100, and 275 vertices, respectively.

The Johnson graph  $J(n, m)$  is defined on the set of  $m$ -element subsets of a given  $n$ -element set  $X$ , and two  $m$ -element subsets  $a$  and  $b$  are adjacent if  $|a \cap b| = m - 1$ . A quotient Johnson graph  $J^\sigma(2m, m)$  is the factor-graph the vertices of which are pairs  $(a^\sigma, X - a)$ , where  $\sigma$  is a permutation on  $X$  of order at most 2 that has at least 8 fixed points. If  $\sigma = 1$ , then the quotient is said to be *standard* and is denoted by  $\overline{J}(2m, m)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graph, and let  $u$  and  $w$  be its vertices with  $d(u, w) = 2$ . It is well known that  $\mu(u, w)$  is even and  $\max\{2(t + 1), (s + 1)(t + 2 - s)\} \leq \mu(u, w) \leq 2(st + 1)$ .

In the present paper, we study the connected locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graphs in which the  $\mu$ -subgraphs are known strongly regular graphs. Let  $\Delta$  be a known strongly regular graph without triangles. Then one of the following possibilities is realized:

- (1)  $\Delta = K_{m,m}$  for some positive integer  $m$ ;
- (2)  $\Delta$  is a Moore graph with parameters  $(k^2 + 1, k, 0, 1)$ ,  $k = 2, 3$ , or 7;
- (3)  $\Delta$  is the Clebsch graph with parameters (16, 5, 0, 2) or the Gewirtz graph with parameters (56, 10, 0, 2);
- (4)  $\Delta$  is the Higman graph with parameters (100, 22, 0, 6);
- (5)  $\Delta$  is the second neighborhood (with parameters (77, 16, 0, 4)) of a vertex in a Higman–Sims graph.

For each collection of parameters among those listed above, there exists a unique strongly regular graph with these parameters.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a completely regular locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graph in which every  $\mu$ -subgraph is isomorphic to a known strongly regular graph  $\Delta$ . Then one of the following statements is valid:*

- (1)  $\Delta = K_{t+1,t+1}$  and  $t + 1$  divides  $s^2(s^2 - 1)$ ;
- (2)  $\Delta$  is a Petersen graph, and  $\Gamma$  is a unique locally  $GQ(2, 2)$  graph with parameters (28, 15, 6, 10);
- (3)  $\Delta$  is a Hoffman–Singleton graph,  $t = 6$ , and  $s = 9, 14, 15, 24, 29$ , or 30;
- (4)  $\Delta$  is a Clebsch graph,  $t = 4$ , and  $s = 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12$ , or 16;
- (5)  $\Delta$  is a Gewirtz graph,  $t = 9$ , and  $s = 3, 7, 27, 31$ , or 63;
- (6)  $\Delta$  is a graph with parameters (77, 16, 0, 4),  $t = 15$ , and  $s = 21, 41, 55, 153$ , or 195;
- (7)  $\Delta$  is a Higman–Sims graph,  $t = 21$ , and  $s = 19, 24, 34, 35, 39, 45, 49, 69, 84, 89, 99, 105, 115, 119, 144, 159, 175, 189, 199, 210, 214, 259, 294, 309, 339, 364, 375, 399, 419$ , or 420.

For  $t = 1$ , the Johnson graphs  $J(2m, m)$  and their quotients (for  $m > 4$ ) are locally  $GQ(m - 1, 1)$  graphs in which every  $\mu$ -subgraph is isomorphic to a quadrangle. For  $t > 1$ , it is not known whether there exists a graph as in the conclusion of the theorem and having diameter greater than 2.

**Corollary.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly regular locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graph in which every  $\mu$ -subgraph is isomorphic to a known strongly regular graph  $\Delta$ . Then one of the following statements is valid:*

- (1)  $\Delta = K_{t+1,t+1}$  and either  $s = 1$  and  $\Gamma = K_{3 \times (t+1)}$ , or  $s = 4, t = 1$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a quotient of the Johnson graph  $\overline{J}(10, 5)$ , or else  $s = t = 1, 2, 3, 8$ , or 13;
- (2)  $\Delta$  is a Petersen graph and  $\Gamma$  is a unique locally  $GQ(2, 2)$  graph with parameters (28, 15, 6, 10);
- (3)  $\Delta$  is a Gewirtz graph and  $\Gamma$  is a McLaughlin graph.

The existence and uniqueness are known for strongly regular locally  $GQ(t, t)$  graphs with  $\mu$ -subgraphs isomorphic to  $K_{t+1,t+1}$  for  $t = 1$  ( $\Gamma$  is a quotient of the Johnson graph

$\overline{J}(10, 5)$ ),  $t = 2$  ( $\Gamma$  is a unique locally  $GQ(2, 2)$  graph with parameters  $(28, 15, 6, 10)$ ), and  $t = 3$  ( $\Gamma$  is a graph of rank 3 with parameters  $(176, 40, 12, 8)$  for the group  $U_4(2)$ ).

We note that the completely regular locally  $GQ(4, 2)$  graphs were classified in [6]. In the paper [7], the connected locally  $GQ(3, t)$  graphs are described.

In §1 we present some auxiliary results. In §2, we consider the cases where  $\Delta$  is one of the Moore graphs. In §3 we assume that  $\Delta$  is a Clebsch graph or a Gewirtz graph. In §4, we consider the case where  $\Delta$  is a graph with parameters  $(77, 16, 0, 4)$  or a Higman–Sims graph.

§1. AUXILIARY RESULTS

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graph. Then the maximal cliques in  $\Gamma$  consist of  $s + 2$  points (such cliques will be called blocks), each point lies in  $(t + 1)(st + 1)$  blocks, any two points lie in  $t + 1$  common blocks, and any two blocks intersect at two points at most.*

*Proof.* All the statements follow from the definition of the extension and the properties of  $GQ$  [2]. □

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a hyperoval of a generalized quadrangle  $GQ(s, t)$ , and let  $\mu = |\Lambda|$ . Then  $\mu$  is even and  $\mu_* \leq \mu \leq \mu^*$ , where  $\mu_* = \max\{2(t + 1), (s + 1)(t + 2 - s)\}$  and  $\mu^* = 2(st + 1)$ . If  $\mu = (s + 1)(t + 2 - s)$  (respectively,  $\mu = \mu^*$ ), then, for each point  $a \notin \Lambda$ , exactly  $(t + 2 - s)/2$  lines (respectively, exactly  $t + 1$  lines) containing the point  $a$  intersect  $\Lambda$  at two points.*

*Proof.* The estimates for  $\mu$  and the fact that  $\mu$  is even follow from Lemmas 3.9 and 3.11 in [2]. If  $\mu = (s + 1)(t + 2 - s)$ , then from the proof of Lemma 3.11 in [2] it follows that, for  $a \notin \Lambda$ , the number of lines in  $a^\perp$  that do not intersect  $\Lambda$  is equal to  $(s + t)/2$ .

If  $\mu = \mu^*$ , then, by Lemma 3.9 (b) in [2], each line containing  $a$  intersects  $\Lambda$  (obviously, at two points). □

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly regular graph with parameters  $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ . Then:*

- (1)  $k(k - \lambda - 1) = \mu(v - k - 1)$  (rectangular relation);
- (2) either  $\Gamma$  has parameters  $(4\mu + 1, 2\mu, \mu - 1, \mu)$  (the half case), or the secondary eigenvalues  $n - m$  and  $-m$  of the graph  $\Gamma$  are integers, where  $n^2 = (\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu)$ ,  $n - \lambda + \mu = 2m$ , and the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $n - m$  is equal to  $\frac{k(m-1)(k+m)}{\mu n}$ ;
- (3) if  $m$  is an integer greater than 1, then  $m - 1$  divides  $k - \lambda - 1$  and

$$\mu = \lambda + 2 + (m - 1) - \frac{k - \lambda - 1}{m - 1}, \quad n = m - 1 + \frac{k - \lambda - 1}{m - 1}.$$

*Proof.* Statements (1) and (2) are well known (see, e.g., Chapter 1 in [1]). Statement (3) is Lemma 3.1 in [4]. □

The requirement that  $\frac{k(m-1)(k+m)}{\mu n}$  be an integer is called the *integer condition*.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a point graph of a generalized quadrangle  $GQ(s, t)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a strongly regular graph with parameters  $v = (s + 1)(st + 1)$ ,  $k = s(t + 1)$ ,  $\lambda = s - 1$ ,  $\mu = t + 1$ , and  $s + t$  divides  $st(s + 1)(t + 1)$ .*

*Proof.* The relations for the parameters follow from the definition of  $GQ$ . The integer condition for  $\Gamma$  takes the following form:  $s + t$  divides  $st(s + 1)(t + 1)$ . □

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly regular locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graph with parameters  $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ . Then:*

- (1)  $k = (s + 1)(st + 1)$ ,  $\lambda = s(t + 1)$ , and  $s + t$  divides  $st(s + 1)(t + 1)$ ;

- (2)  $t \leq m - 1 \leq st$ ,  $m - 1$  divides  $s^2t$ ,  $\mu = s(t + 1) + m + 1 - s^2t/(m - 1)$ , and  $n = m - 1 + s^2t/(m - 1)$ ;  
 (3)  $\mu \cdot n$  divides  $(m - 1)(s + 1)(st + 1)((s + 1)(st + 1) + m)$ ;  
 (4)  $s + 2$  divides  $2t(t + 1)(2t - 1)(4t + \mu - 2)$ .

*Proof.* Statement (1) follows from Lemma 1.4. Statements (2) and (3), except for the inequality  $t \leq m - 1 \leq st$ , follow from the definition of  $GQ$  and Lemma 1.3. The inequality itself follows from the fact that  $(s + 1)(t + 2 - s) \leq \mu \leq 2(st + 1)$ .

The number of blocks in  $\Gamma$  is equal to  $v(t + 1)(st + 1)/(s + 2)$ . This implies statement (4). The lemma is proved.  $\square$

## §2. THE CASE OF MOORE GRAPHS

In what follows,  $\Gamma$  is a completely regular locally  $GQ(s, t)$  graph in which every  $\mu$ -subgraph is isomorphic to a known strongly regular graph  $\Delta$ . Then  $k = (s + 1)(st + 1)$  and  $\lambda = s(t + 1)$ . Let  $\Delta = [a] \cap [b]$ . We note that each line  $L$  of the generalized quadrangle  $[a]$  intersects  $\Delta$  at 0 or 2 points. Indeed, if  $c \in L \cap \Delta$ , then  $M = (L \cup \{a\}) - \{c\}$  is a line in  $[c]$ , and, by the definition of a generalized quadrangle,  $[b]$  contains a unique point  $d$  in  $M$ . Thus,  $\{c, d\} = L \cap \Delta$ .

In this section, we consider the case where  $\Delta$  is a complete bipartite graph or a Moore graph.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\Delta = K_{t+1, t+1}$ , then  $t + 1$  divides  $s^2(s^2 - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* From the rectangular relation  $k(k - \lambda - 1) = k_2\mu$ , where  $k_2 = |\Gamma_2(a)|$  for every vertex  $a$ , we conclude that  $2(t + 1)$  divides  $(s + 1)(st + 1)s^2t$ .

Since  $t + 1$  is prime to  $t$ , we have  $(t + 1, st + 1) = (t + 1, s - 1)$ , and  $t + 1$  divides  $s^2(s^2 - 1)$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\Delta = K_{t+1, t+1}$  and  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular, then either  $s = 1$ , or  $s = 4$  and  $t = 1$ , or else  $s = t = 2, 3, 8$ , or  $13$ .*

*Proof.* By [8, Theorem 3.1], either the conclusion of the lemma is valid, or  $s = t = 4$ . However, by [9], in the latter case there are no such graphs.  $\square$

We note that a locally  $GQ(1, t)$  graph coincides with  $K_{3 \times (t+1)}$ . Let  $s > 1$ . For small values of  $t$ , some additional information is known. If  $t = 1$ , then the neighborhoods of the vertices in  $\Gamma$  are  $(s + 1) \times (s + 1)$  lattices, and by [5],  $\Gamma$  is a Johnson graph or its quotient. If  $t = 2$ , then  $s = 2$  or  $4$ . In the case where  $s = 2$ , the graph  $\Gamma$  is a unique locally  $GQ(2, 2)$  graph with parameters  $(36, 15, 6, 6)$ . If  $s = 4$ , then, by [6],  $\Gamma$  is a unique distance-regular locally  $GQ(4, 2)$  graph on 378 vertices with the intersection array  $(45, 32, 12, 1; 1, 6, 32, 45)$ .

If  $t = 3$ , then  $s = 3, 5$ , or  $9$ . If  $s = 3$ , then, by [7],  $\Gamma$  is a unique locally  $GQ(3, 3)$  graph with parameters  $(176, 40, 12, 8)$ . If  $t = 4$ , then  $5$  divides  $s^2(s^2 - 1)$ , so that  $s = 4, 6, 11$ , or  $16$ . For  $s = 4$ , the graph  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular and, by a result in [9], does not exist.

Let  $\Delta$  be a Moore graph. If  $\Delta$  is a pentagon, then  $t = 1$  and  $\Gamma$  is locally an  $(s + 1) \times (s + 1)$  lattice. However, in this case the connected components of the  $\mu$ -subgraphs are cycles of even length, a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\Delta$  is a Petersen graph, then  $\Gamma$  is a unique strongly regular locally  $GQ(2, 2)$  graph with parameters  $(28, 15, 6, 8)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Delta$  is a Petersen graph, then  $t = 2$  and  $s = 1, 2$ , or  $4$ . For  $s = 1$ , we obtain  $\mu = 6$ , a contradiction. If  $s = 2$ , then the conclusion of the lemma is valid (see, e.g., [2]).

Let  $s = 4$ . Then, by [6], for a completely regular locally  $GQ(4, 2)$  graph we have  $\mu = 6$  or  $\mu = 18$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

For the remainder of this section, we assume that  $\Delta$  is a Hoffman–Singleton graph. Then  $t = 6$ . By the integer condition,  $s + 6$  divides  $42s(s + 1)$ , and  $s = 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 22, 24, 29, 30$ , or  $36$ . Since  $\mu = 50$  divides  $6s^2(s + 1)(6s + 1)$ , we have  $s = 4, 9, 14, 15, 24, 29$ , or  $30$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be vertices in  $\Gamma$  with  $d(a, b) = 2$ , and let  $\Delta = [a] \cap [b]$  be a Hoffman–Singleton graph. Then for  $x_i = |X_i(\Delta) \cap [b]|$  we have:*

- (1)  $x_i = 0$  for odd  $i$  and for  $i > 8$ ;
- (2)  $\sum x_i = (s + 1)(6s + 1) - 50$  and  $\sum ix_i = 50(7s - 7)$ .

*Proof.* If a vertex  $c$  in  $[b] - [a]$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $\Delta$ , then  $[c] \cap \Delta$  consists of isolated edges. Observe that, for an edge  $\{u, w\}$  of  $\Delta$ , the subgraph  $\Delta - ([u] \cup [w])$  consists of three isolated edges. Therefore,  $x_i = 0$  for odd  $i$  and for  $i > 8$ . Statement (1) is proved.

Next,  $[b] - \Delta$  contains  $(s + 1)(6s + 1) - 50$  vertices, and each vertex of  $\Delta$  is adjacent to  $7s - 7$  vertices of  $\Gamma - \Delta$ . This implies (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *The parameter  $s$  is not equal to 4.*

*Proof.* If  $s = 4$ , then  $\mu = 2(st + 1) = 50$ , and by Lemma 1.2, the graph  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular with parameters  $(366, 125, 28, 50)$ . Now,  $n^2 = (\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu) = 28^2$ , and the secondary eigenvalues of the graph are  $n - m = 3$  and  $-m = -25$ . By the integer condition,  $n\mu = 28 \cdot 50$  divides  $(m - 1)k(k + m) = 24 \cdot 125 \cdot 150$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *The graph  $\Gamma$  is not strongly regular.*

*Proof.* If  $\Gamma$  is a strongly regular graph with the smallest eigenvalue  $-m$ , then  $m - 1$  divides  $6s^2$  and  $\mu = 50 = \lambda + 2 + (m - 1) - 6s^2/(m - 1)$ .

If  $s = 9$ , then  $\lambda = 63$  and  $15 + (m - 1) = 6 \cdot 9^2/(m - 1)$ , a contradiction. If  $s = 14$ , then  $\lambda = 98$  and  $50 + (m - 1) = 6 \cdot 14^2/(m - 1)$ . If  $m - 1$  is not divisible by 7, then  $50 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by 49, a contradiction. If  $m - 1$  is divisible by 7, then  $(m - 1)$  is divisible by 49, a contradiction.

If  $s = 15$ , then  $\lambda = 105$  and  $57 + (m - 1) = 6 \cdot 15^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1 = 3r$  and  $19 + r = 450/r$ . If  $r$  is divisible by 5, then  $r$  is divisible by 25 and  $19 + r > 450/r$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $r$  is not divisible by 5 and  $19 + r$  is divisible by 25. Therefore,  $r = 6$  and  $25 \neq 450/6$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 24$ , then  $\lambda = 168$  and  $120 + (m - 1) = 6 \cdot 24^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1 = 3r$  and  $40 + r = 2 \cdot 24^2/r$ . If  $r$  is divisible by 3, then  $r$  is divisible by 9 and  $40 + r$  is a power of 2, a contradiction. Thus,  $r$  is a power of 2 and  $40 + r$  is divisible by 9, so that  $r = 32$  and  $72 \neq 36$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 29$ , then  $\lambda = 203$  and  $155 + (m - 1) = 6 \cdot 29^2/(m - 1)$ . If  $m - 1$  is divisible by 29, then  $m - 1$  is divisible by  $29^2$ , a contradiction. If  $m - 1$  is not divisible by 29, then  $155 + (m - 1) < 6 \cdot 29^2/(m - 1)$ .

Finally, if  $s = 30$ , then  $\lambda = 210$  and  $162 + (m - 1) = 6 \cdot 30^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1 = 3^r l$ . If  $r \leq 1$ , then  $162 + (m - 1)$  is not divisible by 9 and  $6 \cdot 30^2/(m - 1)$  is divisible by 9. If  $r \geq 2$ , then  $162 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by 9 and  $6 \cdot 30^2/(m - 1)$  is not divisible by 9. Thus, we obtain a contradiction in all cases. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

### §3. THE CASE OF CLEBSCH GRAPHS AND GEWIRTZ GRAPHS

In this section, we consider the case where  $\Delta$  is either a Clebsch graph or a Gewirtz graph.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\Delta$  is a Clebsch graph, then  $t = 4$  and  $s = 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12$ , or  $16$ . If  $s = 2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a locally  $GQ(2, 4)$  Taylor graph on 56 vertices.*

*Proof.* If  $\Delta$  is a Clebsch graph, then  $t = 4$  and  $s = 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12$ , or  $16$ . In all cases,  $16$  divides  $4(s + 1)(4s + 1)s^2$ , and the rectangular relation imposes no new restrictions.

If  $s = 2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a locally  $GQ(2, 4)$  Taylor graph on  $56$  vertices (see [2]). □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be vertices in  $\Gamma$  with  $d(a, b) = 2$ , and let  $\Delta = [a] \cap [b]$  be a Clebsch graph. We denote by  $X_i$  the set of vertices in  $[b] - [a]$  adjacent to exactly  $i$  vertices in  $\Delta$ ,  $x_i = |X_i|$ . Then:*

- (1)  $x_i = 0$  for odd  $i$  and for  $i > 8$ ;
- (2)  $\sum x_i = (s + 1)(4s + 1) - 16$  and  $\sum ix_i = 16(5s - 5)$ ;
- (3) if  $s = 4$ , then the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is equal to  $3$ .

*Proof.* If a vertex  $c$  of  $[b] - [a]$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $\Delta$ , then  $[c] \cap \Delta$  consists of isolated edges. Observe that, for an edge  $\{u, w\}$  of  $\Delta$ , the subgraph  $\Delta - ([u] \cup [w])$  consists of three isolated edges. Therefore,  $x_i = 0$  for odd  $i$  and for  $i > 8$ . Statement (1) is proved.

Next,  $\Gamma - \Delta$  has  $(s + 1)(4s + 1) - 16$  vertices, and each vertex of  $\Delta$  is adjacent to  $5s - 5$  vertices of  $\Gamma - \Delta$ . This implies (2).

Let  $s = 4$ . Then  $k = 85$ ,  $\lambda = 20$ , and for each vertex  $a$  we have  $|\Gamma_2(a)| = 340$ . Also,  $x_0 + x_2 + x_4 + x_6 + x_8 = 69$  and  $x_2 + x_4 + 3x_6 + 4x_8 = 120$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is a strongly regular graph, then its parameters are  $(426, 85, 20, 16)$ . However, in this case we have  $n^2 = (\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu) = 292$ , a contradiction.

If the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is at least  $4$  and  $acbd$  is a geodesic path in  $\Gamma$ , then  $[b]$  contains some Clebsch subgraphs  $\Delta_1 = [a] \cap [b]$  and  $\Delta_2 = [b] \cap [c]$  such that each vertex of  $\Delta_1$  is at a distance of  $2$  from every vertex of  $\Delta_2$ . We note that the number of lines in  $[b]$  is  $85$ , and  $40$  of them are secants for  $\Delta_1$  and do not intersect  $\Delta_2$ . By symmetry,  $40$  lines in  $[b]$  are secants for  $\Delta_2$  and do not intersect  $\Delta_1$ . Thus, exactly  $5$  lines  $L_1, \dots, L_5$  in  $[b]$  do not intersect either  $\Delta_1$  or  $\Delta_2$ .

For a vertex  $f$  of  $[b] - (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$ , we put  $\delta_f = |[f] \cap (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)|$ . Then  $\delta_f \leq 10$ , and for each secant  $M$  of  $\Delta_2$  we obtain  $\sum_{f \in M} |[f] \cap \Delta_2| = 12$  and  $\sum_{f \in M} |[f] \cap \Delta_1| = 16$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{f \in M} \delta_f = 28$ . Thus, for two points  $g \in M$  we have  $\delta_g = 10$  (and these points do not lie on the lines  $L_i$ ), and for one point  $f \in M$  we have  $\delta_f = 8$  (and  $f$  belongs to a unique line  $L_i$ ).

Thus, either there is a vertex  $z$  in  $[b]$  lying on each of the five lines  $L_1, \dots, L_5$ , or the lines  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  are pairwise disjoint. However, in the latter case, the line passing through points lying on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  is a secant for one of the subgraphs  $\Delta_1$  or  $\Delta_2$  and contains two points  $f$  with  $\delta_f = 8$ , a contradiction.

We put  $\Sigma = [b] - (z^\perp \cup \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$ ,  $Y_i = X_i(\Delta_1) \cap \Sigma$ , and  $y_i = |Y_i|$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is a regular graph on  $32$  vertices,  $\Sigma$  is of degree  $5$  and without triangles,  $\sum y_i = 32$ , and  $\sum iy_i = 160$ .

Now, we describe the types relative to  $\Delta_1$  of the lines that do not pass through  $z$ . If a line  $M$  does not intersect  $\Delta_1$ , then this line is a secant for  $\Delta_2$  and contains one point from each of  $X_i(\Delta_1)$ ,  $X_j(\Delta_1)$ , and  $X_l(\Delta_1)$ , so that  $i + j + l = 16$ . We assume that the point in  $M \cap X_i(\Delta_1)$  belongs to  $[z]$  and  $j \leq l$ . In this case, we say that the line  $M$  is of type  $(i, j, l)$ . The structure of a Clebsch graph shows that  $\{i, j, l\} \neq \{4, 6, 6\}$ . Therefore, the lines that do not intersect  $\Delta_1$  are of types  $(0, 8, 8)$ ,  $(2, 6, 8)$ ,  $(4, 4, 8)$ , or  $(6, 2, 8)$ . Similarly, a secant  $L$  for  $\Delta_1$  contains one point from each of  $[z] \cap X_i(\Delta_1)$ ,  $X_j(\Delta_1)$ , and  $X_l(\Delta_1)$ , and  $j \geq l$  and  $i + j + l = 12$ . We say that the line  $L$  is of type  $(i, j, l)$ .

If a line is of type  $(i, j, l)$  relative to  $\Delta_1$ , then it is of type  $(8 - i, 10 - j, 10 - l)$  relative to  $\Delta_2$ ; therefore, no secant for  $\Delta_1$  can be of type  $(4, 4, 4)$  or  $(2, 6, 4)$ . Thus, the secants for  $\Delta_1$  are of types  $(8, 2, 2)$ ,  $(6, 4, 2)$ ,  $(4, 6, 2)$ , or  $(2, 8, 2)$ .

Thus, a neighborhood of a point in  $Y_2$  contains  $4$  points of  $Y_8$  and  $1$  point of  $Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup Y_6 \cup Y_8$ , a neighborhood of a point in  $Y_4$  contains  $3$  points of  $Y_8$  and  $2$  points of  $Y_2$ , a neighborhood of a point in  $Y_6$  contains  $2$  points of  $Y_8$  and  $3$  points of  $Y_2$ , and a

neighborhood of a point in  $Y_8$  contains 4 points of  $Y_2$  and 1 point of  $Y_0 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_4 \cup Y_6$ . Now, the number of edges between  $Y_2$  and  $Y_8$  that lie on lines that do not intersect  $\Delta_1$  is equal to  $4y_2$  and does not exceed  $y_8$ . Similarly, the number of edges between  $Y_2$  and  $Y_8$  that lie on lines intersecting  $\Delta_1$  is equal to  $4y_8$  and does not exceed  $y_2$ . Hence,  $y_2 = y_8 = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that, in this case, we also have  $y_4 = y_6 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $\Delta$  is a Clebsch graph, then  $\Gamma$  is not strongly regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a Clebsch graph, and let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly regular graph with the smallest eigenvalue  $-m$ . By Lemma 1.3, the number  $m - 1$  divides  $4s^2$  and  $\mu = 16 = \lambda + 2 + (m - 1) - 4s^2/(m - 1)$ .

If  $s = 6$ , then  $\lambda = 30$  and  $16 + (m - 1) = 4 \cdot 6^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1$  is a power of 2 and  $16 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by 9. But  $18 \neq 72$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 8$ , then  $\lambda = 40$  and  $26 + (m - 1) = 4 \cdot 8^2/(m - 1)$ , a contradiction. If  $s = 11$ , then  $\lambda = 55$  and  $41 + (m - 1) = 4 \cdot 11^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1$  is not divisible by 11 and  $41 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by  $11^2$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 12$ , then  $\lambda = 60$  and  $46 + (m - 1) = 4 \cdot 12^2/(m - 1)$ . If  $m - 1$  is divisible by 3, then either  $m - 1 = 9$  and  $55 \neq 64$ , or  $m - 1 = 18$  and  $64 \neq 32$ . Thus,  $m - 1$  is a power of 2 and  $46 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by 9. Therefore,  $m - 1 = 8$  and  $54 \neq 72$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 16$ , then  $\lambda = 80$  and  $66 + (m - 1) = 4 \cdot 16^2/(m - 1)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\Delta$  is a Gewirtz graph, then  $t = 9$  and  $s = 3, 7, 27, 31$ , or  $63$ . If  $s = 3$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a McLaughlin graph.*

*Proof.* If  $\Delta$  is a Gewirtz graph, then  $t = 9$  and  $s = 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 18, 21, 27, 31, 36, 39, 45, 51, 63, 71, 72$ , or  $81$ . Since 56 divides  $9s^2(s + 1)(9s + 1)$ , we have  $s = 3, 7, 27, 31$ , or  $63$ .

If  $s = 3$ , then, by [7],  $\Gamma$  is a McLaughlin graph.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $\Delta$  is a Gewirtz graph and  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular, then  $\Gamma$  is a McLaughlin graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a Gewirtz graph, and let  $\Gamma$  be strongly regular with the smallest eigenvalue  $-m$ . Then, by Lemma 1.3, the number  $m - 1$  divides  $9s^2$  and  $\mu = 56 = \lambda + 2 + (m - 1) - 9s^2/(m - 1)$ . By Lemma 3.4, we have  $s = 3, 7, 27, 31$ , or  $63$ .

If  $s = 7$ , then  $\lambda = 70$  and  $16 + (m - 1) = 9 \cdot 7^2/(m - 1)$ . If  $m - 1$  is divisible by 7, then  $m - 1$  is divisible by 49 and  $65 \neq 9$ . Thus,  $m - 1$  is a power of 3 and  $16 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by 49, a contradiction.

If  $s = 27$ , then  $\lambda = 270$  and  $216 + (m - 1) = 9 \cdot 27^2/(m - 1)$ , a contradiction. If  $s = 31$ , then  $\lambda = 310$  and  $256 + (m - 1) = 9 \cdot 31^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1$  is not divisible by 31 and  $256 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by  $31^2$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 63$ , then  $\lambda = 630$  and  $576 + (m - 1) = 9 \cdot 63^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1 = 9r$  and  $64 + r = 63^2/r$ . If  $r$  is divisible by 7, then  $r$  is divisible by 49 and  $64 + 49 \neq 81$ . If  $r$  is not divisible by 7, then  $r$  is a power of 3 and  $64 + r$  is divisible by 49, a contradiction. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

#### §4. THE CASE WHERE $\Delta$ IS A GRAPH WITH $\mu > 2$

In this section, we consider the cases in which  $\Delta$  is a graph with  $\mu > 2$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a strongly regular graph with parameters  $(77, 16, 0, 4)$ . Then  $t = 15$  and  $s = 21, 41, 55, 154$ , or  $195$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma$  is not strongly regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a strongly regular graph with parameters  $(77, 16, 0, 4)$ . Then  $t = 15$ ,  $s + 15$  divides  $15 \cdot 16s(s + 1)$ , and 77 divides  $15s^2(s + 1)(15s + 1)$ . Hence,  $s = 21, 41, 55, 154$ , or  $195$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular with the smallest eigenvalue  $-m$ , then, by Lemma 1.3,  $m - 1$  divides  $15s^2$  and  $\mu = 77 = \lambda + 2 + (m - 1) - 15s^2/(m - 1)$ .

If  $s = 21$ , then  $\lambda = 336$  and  $261 + (m - 1) = 15 \cdot 21^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $15 \cdot 21^2/(m - 1) - (m - 1)$  is divisible by 9, a contradiction.

If  $s = 41$ , then  $\lambda = 656$  and  $581 + (m - 1) = 15 \cdot 41^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1$  is not divisible by 41 and  $581 + (m - 1)$  is divisible by  $41^2$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 55$ , then  $\lambda = 880$  and  $803 + (m - 1) = 15 \cdot 55^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1 = 11r$  and  $71 + r = 3 \cdot 5^3/r$ . We see that  $r$  is not divisible by 5 and  $71 + r$  is divisible by 125, a contradiction.

If  $s = 154$ , then  $\lambda = 2464$  and  $2387 + (m - 1) = 15 \cdot 154^2/(m - 1)$ . Therefore,  $m - 1 = 11r$  and  $217 + r = 15 \cdot 14^2/r$ . We have  $r = 7l$  and  $31 + l = 60/l$ , a contradiction.

If  $s = 195$ , then  $\lambda = 3120$  and  $3043 + (m - 1) = 15 \cdot 195^2/(m - 1) = 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13/(m - 1)$ . If  $m - 1$  is divisible by 5, then  $m - 1$  is divisible by  $5^3$  and  $3043 + (m - 1) > 15 \cdot 195^2/(m - 1)$ . If  $m - 1$  is divisible by 3, then  $m - 1$  is divisible by  $3^3$  and again we have  $3043 + (m - 1) > 15 \cdot 195^2/(m - 1)$ . Thus,  $m - 1$  is equal to 1 or 13, and  $3043 + (m - 1)$  is not divisible by 5, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a Higman–Sims graph. Then  $t = 21$ ,  $s = 19, 24, 34, 35, 39, 45, 49, 69, 84, 89, 99, 105, 115, 119, 144, 159, 175, 189, 199, 210, 214, 259, 294, 309, 339, 364, 375, 399, 419$ , or 420. Moreover,  $\Gamma$  cannot be strongly regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a Higman–Sims graph with parameters  $(100, 22, 0, 6)$ . Then  $t = 21$ ,  $s + 21$  divides  $21 \cdot 22s(s + 1)$  and 100 divides  $21s^2(s + 1)(21s + 1)$ . Thus,  $s$  or  $s + 1$  is divisible by 5 and  $s + 21$  divides  $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11$ . Hence,  $s = 9, 14, 15, 19, 24, 34, 35, 39, 45, 49, 69, 84, 89, 99, 105, 115, 119, 144, 159, 175, 189, 199, 210, 214, 259, 294, 309, 339, 364, 375, 399, 419$ , or 420.

We recall that if  $t > s$ , then  $\mu \geq (s + 1)(t + 2 - s)$ , so that  $s \geq 19$ . If  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular and  $-m$  is its smallest eigenvalue, then, by Lemma 1.3,  $m - 1$  divides  $21s^2$  and  $\mu = 100 = \lambda + 2 + (m - 1) - 21s^2/(m - 1)$ .

Next,  $n^2 = (\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu) = (22s - 100)^2 + 4((s + 1)(21s + 1) - 100)$ . Therefore,  $x = (11s - 50)^2 + (s + 1)(21s + 1) - 100$  is a square. If  $s$  is odd, then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $s + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . If  $s$  is even, then again  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Consequently,  $s = 24, 45, 49, 69, 84, 89, 105, 144, 189, 309, 364$ , or 420.

Substituting these values of  $s$ , we see that in all cases  $(\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu)$  is not a square.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-regular graphs*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), vol. 18, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, 495 pp. MR1002568 (90e:05001)
- [2] P. Cameron, D. R. Hughes, and A. Pasini, *Extended generalized quadrangles*, Geom. Dedicata **35** (1990), 193–228. MR1066566 (91i:51012)
- [3] R. M. Damerell, *On Moore graphs*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **74** (1973), 227–236. MR0318004 (47:6553)
- [4] A. A. Makhnev, *On extensions of partial geometries containing small  $\mu$ -subgraphs*, Diskret. Anal. Issled. Oper. **3** (1996), no. 3, 71–83. (Russian) MR1490430 (99f:05126)
- [5] A. Blokhuis and A. E. Brouwer, *Locally 4-by-4 grid graphs*, J. Graph Theory **13** (1989), no. 2, 229–244. MR0994744 (90h:05096)
- [6] A. A. Makhnev and D. V. Paduchikh, *Extensions of  $GQ(4, 2)$ , the completely regular case*, Diskret. Mat. **13** (2001), no. 3, 91–109; English transl., Discrete Math. Appl. **11** (2001), no. 4, 401–419. MR1874908 (2002g:51009)
- [7] A. A. Makhnev, *Locally  $GQ(3, 5)$ -graphs and geometries with short lines*, Diskret. Mat. **10** (1998), no. 2, 72–86; English transl., Discrete Math. Appl. **8** (1998), no. 3, 275–290. MR1673091 (2000e:51024)

- [8] P. H. Fisher and S. A. Hobart, *Triangular extended generalized quadrangles*, *Geom. Dedicata* **37** (1991), 339–344. MR1094696 (92c:51009)
- [9] A. A. Makhnev, *On strongly regular locally  $GQ(4, t)$  graphs*, *Internat. Conf. Combinatorica 2002*, Maratea (Potenza), Abstracts, pp. 69–70.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, URAL BRANCH OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,  
EKATERINBURG, RUSSIA

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, URAL BRANCH OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,  
EKATERINBURG, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `makhnev@imm.uran.ru`

Received 10/JAN/2004

Translated by B. M. BEKKER