ABELIAN AND TAUBERIAN THEOREMS FOR RANDOM FIELDS ON TWO-POINT HOMOGENEOUS SPACES
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Abstract. We consider centered mean-square continuous random fields for which the variance of increments between two points depends only on the distance between these points. Relations between the asymptotic behavior of the variance of increments near zero and the asymptotic behavior of the spectral measure of the field near infinity are investigated. We prove several Abelian and Tauberian theorems in terms of slowly varying functions.

1. Introduction

Let \( \xi(x) \) be a centered mean-square continuous random field defined on the space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and whose covariance function is \( B(x, y) = \mathbb{E} \xi(x) \xi(y) \). The classical definition of a homogeneous isotropic random field can be formulated in two different but equivalent ways (see [11]). Here are these two definitions.

**Definition 1.** A random field \( \xi(x) \) is called *homogeneous and isotropic* if its correlation function \( B(x, y) \) is invariant with respect to the isometry group \( G \) of the space \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

**Definition 2.** A random field \( \xi(x) \) is called *homogeneous and isotropic* if its correlation function \( B(x, y) \) depends only on the distance \( \rho(x, y) \) between points \( x \) and \( y \).

An easy proof of the equivalence of Definitions 1 and 2 is based on the following important property of the space \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The isometry group of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is *transitive on equidistant pairs of points*. In other words, whenever \( x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) are such that \( \rho(x_1, y_1) = \rho(x_2, y_2) \), there is an isometry \( g \) such that \( g(x_1) = x_2 \) and \( g(y_1) = y_2 \).

Any metric space \((X, \rho)\) possessing this property is called a *two-point homogeneous space*. We are concerned with the case where \( X \) is both a two-point space and a connected Riemann manifold. The complete classification of such spaces is known (see [4]). We present this classification in Tables 1 and 2.

In Table 1, \( S^n, n \geq 1 \), denotes the \( n \)-dimensional sphere, \( \mathbb{R}P^n, \mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{H}P^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), stand for the \( n \)-dimensional projective spaces over fields of real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \), complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \), and quaternions \( \mathbb{H} \), respectively, while \( \mathbb{C}aP^2 \) means the projective plane over Cayley numbers. Similarly in Table 2, \( \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 1 \), denotes the \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space, \( \mathbb{R}A^n, \mathbb{C}A^n, \mathbb{H}A^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), stand for the \( n \)-dimensional hyperbolic spaces over the fields of real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \), complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \), and quaternions \( \mathbb{H} \), respectively, while \( \mathbb{C}aA^2 \) means the hyperbolic plane over Cayley numbers.
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Table 1. Compact two-point homogeneous spaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$X$</th>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S^n$</td>
<td>$SO(n+1)$</td>
<td>$SO(n)$</td>
<td>$(n-2)/2$</td>
<td>$(n-2)/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{R}P^n$</td>
<td>$SO(n+1)$</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
<td>$(n-2)/2$</td>
<td>$-1/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{C}P^n$</td>
<td>$SU(n+1)$</td>
<td>$S(U(n) \times U(1))$</td>
<td>$n-1$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{H}P^n$</td>
<td>$Sp(n+1)$</td>
<td>$Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$</td>
<td>$2n-1$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{C}aP^2$</td>
<td>$F_4(-52)$</td>
<td>$Spin(9)$</td>
<td>$7$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Noncompact two-point homogeneous spaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$X$</th>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{R}^n$</td>
<td>$ISO(n)$</td>
<td>$SO(n)$</td>
<td>$SO(n-1)$</td>
<td>$(n-2)/2$</td>
<td>$(n-2)/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{R}A^n$</td>
<td>$SO_0(n,1)$</td>
<td>$SO(n)$</td>
<td>$SO(n-1)$</td>
<td>$(n-2)/2$</td>
<td>$-1/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{C}A^n$</td>
<td>$SU(n,1)$</td>
<td>$S(U(n) \times U(1))$</td>
<td>$S(U(n) \times U(1)^*)$</td>
<td>$n-1$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{H}A^n$</td>
<td>$Sp(n,1)$</td>
<td>$Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$</td>
<td>$Sp(n) \times Sp(1)^*$</td>
<td>$2n-1$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{C}aA^2$</td>
<td>$F_4(-20)$</td>
<td>$Spin(9)$</td>
<td>$Spin(7)$</td>
<td>$7$</td>
<td>$3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One must distinguish between the dimension $n$ of the space $X$ considered over some number system, and the topological dimension $N$ of the manifold $X$. We have $N = n$ for the case of real numbers, $N = 2n$ for complex numbers, $N = 4n$ for quaternions, while $N = 8n = 16$ for Cayley numbers.

In what follows the symbol $X$ stands for an arbitrary space in the first columns of Tables 1 and 2. The second column in these tables presents the connected component $G$ of the identity element of the corresponding isometry group. We use the standard notation for Lie groups; see [18].

Let $K$ denote the stationary group of a fixed point $o \in X$, namely

$$K = \{g \in G : go = o\}.$$ 

This group is described in the third column in Tables 1 and 2. Then the space $X$ can be represented as the homogeneous space $G/K$.

**Definition 3** ([21]). A random field $\xi(x)$ on a space $X = G/K$ is called homogeneous if its correlation function is invariant with respect to the group $G$.

Unfortunately, the commonly accepted terminology differs from that introduced in Definition 3 for two cases. First, if $X = \mathbb{R}^n = ISO(n)/SO(n)$, then according to Definition 1 the fields possessing the property of Definition 3 are called homogeneous and isotropic. Second, if

$$X = S^n = SO(n+1)/SO(n),$$

then those fields are called isotropic [11]. In both cases we use the commonly accepted terminology.

In what follows $\xi(x)$ denotes a homogeneous random field on $X$. The correlation function $B(x, y)$ of a random field $\xi(x)$ can be represented in the form $B(x, y) = B(r)$ where $r$ denotes the distance between the points $x$ and $y$. Homogeneous random fields on compact two-point homogeneous spaces are studied in [13]. The case of noncompact spaces is presented in [7].

Consider the variance of an increment of a random field $\xi(x)$:

$$\sigma^2(x, y) = \text{E}(\xi(x) - \xi(y))^2.$$
It is easy to see that $\sigma^2(x, y) = \sigma^2(r)$ where $r = \rho(x, y)$. Indeed,

$$\sigma^2(x, y) = 2(B(0) - B(r)).$$

Note that the converse is not true. A random field $\eta(x)$ on a space $X$ is not necessarily homogeneous even though the variance of the increments depends only on the distance between points.

Consider an example. Let $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, be two independent Wiener processes. Let the stochastic process $\eta(t)$ be defined by

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} W_1(t), & t > 0, \\ W_2(-t), & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

The variance of increments of the process $\eta(t)$ is $\sigma^2(s, t) = |s - t|$; however the process is not homogeneous.

Random fields $\eta(x)$ whose variance of increments between two points depends only on the distance between these points were studied in [17] for various spaces $X$ under an additional assumption that $\eta(o) = 0$. We are interested in the cases where $X$ is one of the spaces listed in Tables 1 and 2.

It is easy to check that the variance of increments of the random field $\eta(x) = \xi(x) - \xi(o)$ depends only on the distance between points. According to [17], this is the only possibility in the case of compact spaces. Nevertheless there are other possibilities in the case of noncompact spaces. For simplicity we consider only the case of $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, which corresponds to the first row of Table 2.

Throughout the paper $\eta(x)$ denotes a centered mean square continuous random field on $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ whose variance of increments between two points depends only on the distance between these points.

Many problems on local properties of Gaussian and sub-Gaussian random fields require the asymptotic behavior of the function $\sigma^2(r)$ near zero (see [2, 12]). In this paper, we prove several theorems concerning relations between the asymptotic behavior of the spectral measure of random fields $\xi(x)$ and $\eta(x)$ at infinity and the asymptotic behavior of the variance of increments near zero. Other kinds of Abelian and Tauberian theorems for homogeneous and isotropic random fields are studied in [5, 6, 8, 11, 19].

In Section 2, we give statements of results. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs. Concluding remarks are given in Section 4.

The author is indebted to Professor N. H. Bingham for helpful discussions of Abelian and Tauberian theorems.

2. Results

To formulate our results, we need some preparation.

Consider a homogeneous random field $\xi(x)$. By $\pi$ we denote the mapping from $G$ onto $X$ that sends $g \in G$ to the corresponding coset $gK \in X$. Recall that a subgroup $K$ of a group $G$ is called massive (see [3]) if any irreducible unitary representation $U$ of the group $G$ contains at most one copy of the trivial representation of the group $K$. A representation $U$ is called a representation of class 1 with respect to a group $K$ if it contains exactly one copy of the trivial representation of the group $K$.

The group $K$ is massive for all spaces listed in Tables 1 and 2. Thus according to [21], the correlation function $B(x, y)$ of the random field $\xi(x)$ is of the form

$$B(x, y) = \int_{G \setminus K} T_{00}(\lambda; g_2^{-1}g_1) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

$$\sigma^2(x, y) = 2(B(0) - B(r)).$$
where $\hat{G}_K$ denotes the set of equivalence classes of the irreducible unitary representations of class 1 of the group $G$ with respect to the group $K$, $T_{n0}(\lambda; g_2^{-1} g_1)$ denotes the zonal spherical function (see \cite{21}) that corresponds to an element $\lambda \in \hat{G}_K$, $g_1$ is an arbitrary element of the set $\pi^{-1} x$, $g_2$ is an arbitrary element of the set $\pi^{-1} y$, and $\mu$ is a finite measure on $\hat{G}_K$. The measure $\mu$ is called the spectral measure of the random field $\xi(x)$.

The set $\hat{G}_K$ can be identified with the set $\mathbb{Z}_+$ of nonnegative integers in the case of compact spaces listed in Table 1 (see \cite{13}). We have $\hat{G}_K = [0, \infty)$ for the space $\mathbb{R}^n$. For all other noncompact spaces in Table 2, the set $\hat{G}_K$ can be identified with the set $\{i \rho \} \cup [0, i s_0] \cup (0, \infty)$ where the parameters $\rho$ and $s_0$ are given by

$$\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1, \quad s_0 = \min\{\rho, \alpha - \beta + 1\}$$

(see \cite{16}).

Consider a random field $\eta(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for which the variance of increments depends only on the distance between points. Assume that $\eta(0) = 0$. According to \cite{17}, the correlation function of the field $\eta(x)$ is of the form

$$\sigma^2(r) = cr^2 + \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - 2^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(n/2) \frac{J_{(n-2)/2}(\lambda r)}{(\lambda r)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) d\mu(\lambda)$$

where $c \geq 0$ is a constant, $J_{\nu}(z)$ is the Bessel function of order $\nu$, and $\mu$ denotes the so-called Lévy–Khinchin measure on the interval $(0, \infty)$, that is, a measure such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^2 d\mu(\lambda)}{1 + \lambda^2} < \infty.$$

Therefore all the cases above deal with the asymptotic behavior of the spectral measure $\mu$ at infinity.

The class of random fields considered above has an alternative description. Recall that a random field $\eta(x)$ has homogeneous increments if the variance $\sigma^2(x, y)$ of its increments is such that

$$\sigma^2(x + z, y + z) = \sigma^2(x, y), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Definition 4** (\cite{11}). A random field $\eta(x)$ is called isotropic if the expectation $\mathbb{E} \eta(x)$ depends only on the norm $\|x\|$ and

$$B(gx, gy) = B(x, y)$$

for all $g \in \text{SO}(n)$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $\eta(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a centered mean square continuous random field. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

1. (1) the variance of increments of the random field $\eta(x)$ depends only on the distance between points;

2. (2) $\eta(x)$ is an isotropic field with homogeneous increments.

Let $\alpha \geq \beta > -1$ be arbitrary real numbers and let $P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r)$ be the Jacobi polynomials, that is, polynomials orthogonal on the interval $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure

$$(1 - r)^\alpha (1 + r)^\beta dr.$$

Further let

$$R_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r) = \frac{P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r)}{P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}.$$

We write $f(r) \sim g(r)$ as $r \downarrow 0$ if

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)} = 1.$$
Similarly we write $a_m \sim b_m$ as $m \to \infty$ if
\[ \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{a_m}{b_m} = 1. \]

**Lemma 2.** Let $\sigma^2(r)$ denote the variance of increments of either a centered mean square continuous homogeneous random field on a space $X$ or a centered mean square continuous isotropic random field with homogeneous increments on the space $\mathbb{R}^n$. In both cases, there are a sequence of real numbers $a_m$, $m \geq 1$, depending only on the spectral measure $\mu$, and real numbers $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$ depending only on the space $X$ such that

\[ \sigma^2(r) \sim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \left[ 1 - R_m^{(\alpha', \beta')}(\cos r) \right], \quad r \downarrow 0. \]

Moreover
\[ a_m \geq 0, \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m < \infty. \]

In this case the values of $a_m$, $\alpha'$, and $\beta'$ for various spaces are given by formulas (16), (20), and (22)–(27) below.

We need an auxiliary result. Put
\[ A_m = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} a_j. \]

For all real numbers $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > -1$ let
\[ w_m^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \frac{(2m + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(m + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)m!\Gamma(m + \beta + 1)} \]
where $(2m + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(m + \alpha + \beta + 1)$ equals 1 for $m = 0$ and $\alpha = \beta = -1/2$. Note that the norm of the polynomial $R_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \theta)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2([0, \pi], dG)$ is equal to $(w_m^{(\alpha, \beta)})^{-1/2}$ (see [14]). Let the probability measure $G$ be defined by
\[ dG(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \sin^{2\alpha+1}(\theta/2) \cos^{2\beta+1}(\theta/2) d\theta. \]

**Lemma 3.** We have
\[ \sigma^2(r) \sim r^2 \left( \frac{A_0(\alpha' + \beta' + 2)}{4(\alpha' + 1)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_m w_m^{(\alpha'+1, \beta')}}{m^{2\alpha'+4}} R_m^{(\alpha'+1, \beta')} (\cos r) \right) \]
as $r \downarrow 0$, where
\[ c_m = \frac{(2m + \alpha' + \beta' + 2)A_m \cdot m^{2\alpha'+4}}{4(\alpha' + 1)w_m^{(\alpha'+1, \beta')}}. \]

We also recall some definitions of the theory of regularly varying functions (see [15]). We say that a function $L(t)$ is *slowly varying* at infinity if
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{L(at)}{L(t)} = 1 \]
for all $a > 0$. In what follows we assume that
\[ A_m \sim m^{-2\gamma} L(m), \quad m \to \infty, \quad \gamma \in [0, 1], \]
for some slowly varying function $L$. We additionally assume that $L(m) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ in the case of $\gamma = 0$, since $A_m$ is the tail of a convergent series.
Table 3. Intervals related to types of convergence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Absolute convergence</th>
<th>Conditional convergence</th>
<th>Abel summability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S^1, \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R} \Lambda^2$</td>
<td>$(1/2, 1)$</td>
<td>$(0, 1/2)$</td>
<td>${0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S^2, \mathbb{R} P^2, \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R} \Lambda^3, \mathbb{C} \Lambda^n$</td>
<td>$(1/4, 1)$</td>
<td>$[0, 1/4]$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S^3, \mathbb{R} P^3, \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R} \Lambda^4$</td>
<td>$(0, 1)$</td>
<td>${0}$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other spaces</td>
<td>$(0, 1)$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The convergence of the series on the right-hand side of (7) can be understood in various senses depending on the parameter $\gamma$ and space $X$. According to [14], we distinguish between the three types of convergence, namely absolute convergence, conditional convergence, and Abel summability. The types of convergence are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 contains the values of the parameter $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ for all two-point homogeneous spaces for which the series on the right-hand side of (7) converges for a given type of convergence. For every type of convergence we provide the Abelian theorem and its Tauberian counterpart. We also provide a result for the limit value $\gamma = 1$.

Recall that $\xi(x)$ means a centered square mean continuous homogeneous random field on a space $X$, while $\eta(x)$ means a centered square mean continuous isotropic random field on a space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with homogeneous increments. These types of random fields are determined by their spectral measure $\mu$. Applying Lemma 1 and equality (5) one can evaluate the coefficients $A_m$.

**Theorem 1.** Let the parameter $\gamma$ belong to the set of absolute convergence (column 2 of Table 3). Let equality (9) hold. Then the asymptotic behavior of the variance of increments of random fields $\xi(x)$ and $\eta(x)$ is given by

$$
\sigma^2(r) \sim \frac{\Gamma(1 - \gamma) \Gamma(\alpha' + 1)}{2^{2\gamma} \Gamma(\alpha' + \gamma + 1)} r^{2\gamma} L(r^{-1}), \quad r \downarrow 0.
$$

Theorem 1 claims that the asymptotic relation (10) follows from the asymptotic relation (9) without any additional assumption. Results of this type are called *Abelian theorems*. The Tauberian counterpart of Theorem 1 should claim that, conversely, (9) follows from (10). In most cases (in our case as well) Tauberian theorems hold under extra conditions called *Tauberian conditions*.

We now introduce Tauberian conditions for our case.

**Condition 1.** There exists a function $\omega(\zeta)$ such that

$$
\limsup_{m \to \infty} \max_{m \leq j \leq \zeta m} \frac{m^{2\gamma}}{L(m)} \left( \frac{m}{j} \right)^{2-2\gamma} A_j - A_m \leq \omega(\zeta) < \infty.
$$

Moreover

$$
\lim_{\zeta \downarrow 1} \omega(\zeta) = 0.
$$

We say that a sequence $a_m$ is slowly varying in the Schmidt sense [14] if

$$
\liminf_{m \to \infty} \min_{m \leq j \leq \zeta m} (a_j - a_m) \geq -\omega(\zeta)
$$

where

$$
0 \leq \omega(\zeta) < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta > 1, \quad \lim_{\zeta \downarrow 1} \omega(\zeta) = 0.
$$

**Condition 2.** A sequence $m^{2\gamma} A_m / L(m)$, $m \geq 1$, is slowly varying in the Schmidt sense.
Condition 3. Sequence (8) is slowly varying in the Schmidt sense.

Theorem 2. Assume that the parameter $\gamma$ belongs to the set of absolute convergence (column 2 of Table 3). Let (11) hold as well as any of Conditions 1, 2, or 3. Then equality (9) is valid.

Now we turn to the case of parameters of the set of conditional convergence. A positive function $f(t)$ is called quasimonotone if it is of a bounded variation on compact subsets of the interval $[0, \infty)$ and
\[ \int_0^\infty t^\delta |df(t)| = O\left(x^\delta f(x)\right), \quad x \to \infty, \]
for some $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 3. Let the parameter $\gamma$ belong to the set of conditional convergence (column 3 of Table 3). Assume that equality (11) holds and the function $L(t)$ is slowly varying and quasimonotone. Then equality (10) is satisfied.

Theorem 4. Let the parameter $\gamma$ belong to the set of conditional convergence (column 3 of Table 3). Assume that equality (11) and Condition 3 hold. Then equality (9) is satisfied.

The situation becomes simpler if the parameter $\gamma$ belongs to the set of Abel summability. The set of Tauberian conditions is empty in this case.

Theorem 5. Let the parameter $\gamma$ belong to the set of Abel summability (column 4 of Table 3). Assume that condition (9) holds and both sequences $L(m)$ and $m(L(m) - L(m - 1))$ are slowly varying and quasimonotone. Then equality (10) is satisfied.

Theorem 6. Let the parameter $\gamma$ belong to the set of Abel summability (column 4 of Table 3). Assume that condition (10) holds. Then equality (9) is satisfied.

Now let $\gamma = 1$. If the series $\sum_{m=1}^\infty L(m)/m$ converges, then the series on the right-hand side of (7) converges absolutely. In this case
\[ \sigma^2(r) \sim \frac{A_0(\alpha' + \beta' + 2)}{4(\alpha' + 1)} r^2. \]
Otherwise the following result holds.

Theorem 7. Let $A_m \sim m^{-2} L(m)$ ($m \to \infty$) and
\[ \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{L(m)}{m} = \infty. \]
Then
\[ \sigma^2(r) \sim \frac{r^2}{2(\alpha' + 1)} \int_0^{r^{-1}} \frac{L(u)}{u} du. \]

3. Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. It is sufficient to prove that all possible correlation functions of centered mean square continuous isotropic random fields with homogeneous increments can be represented in the form (3). Let a field $\eta(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, have homogeneous increments. According to (11), its correlation function is of the form
\[ B(x, y) = (Ax, y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{i(p,x)} - 1\right) \left(e^{-i(p,y)} - 1\right) d\nu(p), \]
where $A$ is a positive semidefinite linear operator in the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\nu$ is Lévy–Khinchin measure. Assume that the random field $\eta(x)$ is isotropic. Then the operator $A$ commutes with all operators $g \in \text{SO}(n)$. By Schur’s lemma (3) the operator $A$ is of the form

$$A = cI.$$  

Moreover the measure $\nu$ is such that

$$\nu(gC) = \nu(C), \quad g \in \text{SO}(n), \quad C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel sets in the space $\mathbb{R}^n$. The measure in this case is of the form

$$d\nu(p) = \omega_{n-1}^{-1} ||p|| \, dp \, d\mu(||p||)$$

where $\omega_{n-1}^{-1} ||p||$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a sphere $S^{n-1} ||p||$ of radius $||p||$ in the space $\mathbb{R}^n$, while $\mu$ denotes the measure on the interval $[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\mu(C) = \nu(\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||p|| \in C \}), \quad C \in \mathcal{B}(0, \infty).$$

Substitute (12) and (13) into (11) and use the following result:

$$B(x, y) = c(x, y) + \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - 2^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(n/2) \frac{J_{(n-2)/2}(\lambda ||x||)}{\lambda ||x||^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

(14)

$$+ \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - 2^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(n/2) \frac{J_{(n-2)/2}(\lambda ||y||)}{\lambda ||y||^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - 2^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(n/2) \frac{J_{(n-2)/2}(\lambda ||x-y||)}{\lambda ||x-y||^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

where $\lambda = ||p||$. Substituting (14) into (1) we obtain (3). \hfill \Box

**Proof of Lemma 2.** First we consider the case of a compact two-point homogeneous space $X$ (Table 1). According to [13], in this case equality (2) takes the following form:

$$B(r) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty b_m R_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos r)$$

(15)

where the numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are shown in the corresponding columns in Table 1, and

$$b_m \geq 0, \quad \sum_{m=1}^\infty b_m < \infty.$$

Substituting (15) in (1) we obtain (4) with

$$a_m = 2b_m, \quad \alpha' = \alpha, \quad \beta' = \beta.$$  

Now we consider the case of a noncompact two-point homogeneous space $X$ (Table 2). In the case of $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ let $M = \text{SO}(n-1)$. In all other cases, the group $G$ is a semisimple Lie group of rank 1. Let $G = KAN$ be its Iwasawa decomposition (see [13]). The group $A$ can be identified with the group $\mathbb{R}$. Let $M$ be the centralizer of the group $A$ in the group $K$, that is, the set of elements $k \in K$ commuting with all elements $a \in A$. The group $M$ is shown in column 4 of Table 2. We use the following notation in [10]:

$$U(1)^* = \{(k, k) : k \in U(1)\}, \quad \text{Sp}(1)^* = \{(k, k) : k \in \text{Sp}(1)\}.$$
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Denote by \(R_\delta(k)\) the zonal spherical function that corresponds to the element \(\delta \in \hat{K}_M\). Then

\[
T_{00}(\lambda; g_2^{-1}g_1) = \sum_{\delta \in \hat{K}_M} T_{00}(\lambda; -a_1) R_\delta(k_2^{-1}k_1) T_{00}(\lambda; a_2).
\]

Note that the elements \(a_j \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(k_j \in K/M\) are uniquely determined by the elements \(g_j\), \(j = 1, 2\). The symbol \(T_{00}(\lambda; a)\), \(\delta \in \hat{K}_M\), denotes the associated spherical function \([3]\).

The homogeneous space \(K/M\) is the sphere \(S^{N-1}\) = \(\{x \in X : \rho(x, a) = 1\}\). Relation (17) is proved in \([16]\). In the special cases \(X = \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(X = \mathbb{R}\Lambda^n\) it is proved in \([3]\).

Substitute (17) into (2). Thus

\[
B(x, y) = \sum_{\delta \in \hat{K}_M} \int_{\hat{G}_K} T_{00}(\lambda; a_1) T_{00}(\lambda; a_2) \, d\mu(\lambda) R_\delta(k_2^{-1}k_1).
\]

Substituting the latter result in (1) we obtain

\[
\sigma^2(x, y) = 2 \sum_{\delta \in \hat{K}_M} \int_{\hat{G}_K} T_{00}(\lambda; a_1) T_{00}(\lambda; a_2) \, d\mu(\lambda) \cdot \left( R_\delta(c) - R_\delta(k_2^{-1}k_1) \right).
\]

If \(r = \rho(x, y)\) is sufficiently small, then one can find an element \(g \in G\) such that the points \(gx\) and \(gy\) lie on the sphere \(S^{N-1}\). Since the random field \(\xi(x)\) is homogeneous, we have

\[
\sigma^2(gx, gy) = \sigma^2(x, y) = \sigma^2(r).
\]

This means that one can assume from the beginning that \(x, y \in S^{N-1}\). For these points \(x\) and \(y\) it follows that \(a_1 = a_2 = 1\).

Consider the restriction of the random field \(\xi(x)\) to the sphere \(S^{N-1}\). This random field is homogeneous with respect to the group \(K\). In the cases of \(X = \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(X = \mathbb{R}\Lambda^n\) (Table 2, \(N = n\)) we have \(K = \text{SO}(n)\), whence \(\xi(x)\), \(x \in S^{n-1}\), is an isotropic random field on a sphere. Thus the variance of its increments must be of the following form:

\[
\sigma^2(r') = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \left[ 1 - R_m^{(n-3)/2, (n-3)/2} (\cos r') \right]
\]

where \(r'\) is the distance between points \(x\) and \(y\) measured along the geodesic line on the sphere \(S^{n-1}\).

It is clear that \(r' \sim r\) as \(r \downarrow 0\). Comparing (19) and (18) we see that the set \(\hat{K}_M\) can be identified with the set \(Z_+\). Moreover, in this case the zonal spherical function \(R_m\) depends only on one variable \(r'\) and

\[
a_m = 2 \int_{\hat{G}_K} |T_{m0}(\lambda; 1)|^2 \, d\mu(\lambda), \quad \alpha' = \beta' = (n-3)/2.
\]

Other cases listed in Table 2 are more complicated (see \([16]\)). The group \(K\) is a subgroup of \(\text{SO}(N - 1)\). The set \(\hat{K}_M\) can be identified with the set

\[
\{ (k, l) \in Z_+^2 : 0 \leq l \leq k \}.
\]

The zonal spherical function \(R_\delta\) is described in \([16]\) and depends on two real variables. The function \(R_\delta\) is of the following form:

\[
R_\delta(u, \varphi) = R_\delta^{(\alpha-1, \beta-1)}(2u^2 - 1) r^{k-l} R_\delta^{(\beta-1/2, \beta-1/2)}(\cos \varphi)
\]

where \(0 \leq u \leq 1\) and \(-\pi < \varphi \leq \pi\) for \(X = \mathbb{C}\Lambda^n\), while \(0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi\) for \(X = \mathbb{H}\Lambda^n\) or \(X = \mathbb{C}\Lambda^2\).
Relation (18) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sigma^2(r) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \int_{G \mathbb{K}} T_{kl}(\lambda; -a_1)T_{kl}(\lambda; a_2) \, d\mu(\lambda) \cdot (1 - R_{kl}(u, \varphi))$$

where $r = \rho(x, y)$. It is shown in [16] that

$$\cosh r = \left| \cosh a_1 \cosh a_2 - ue^{i\varphi} \sinh a_1 \sinh a_2 \right|.$$ 

If $r$ is sufficiently small, then there is an element $g \in G$ such that the points $gx$ and $gy$ lie on the surface of the sphere $S^{n-1}$, whence $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ and $u = 1$. Thus

$$\cosh r = \left| \cosh 1 - e^{i\varphi} \sinh 1 \right|$$

and $r' \sim r$ as $r \downarrow 0$. Hence equality (21) implies an asymptotic relation of the form (4) with

$$a_m = 2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{G \mathbb{K}} |T_{l+m, l}(\lambda; 1)|^2 \, d\mu(\lambda), \quad \alpha' = \beta' = \beta - 1/2.$$ 

It remains to consider the case of an isotropic random field

$$\eta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with homogeneous increments. Let $T_{m0}(\lambda; ||x||)$ be the associated spherical function of the space $\mathbb{R}^n = \text{ISO}(n)/\text{SO}(n)$ [3]. Then

$$2^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(n/2) J_{(n-2)/2}(\lambda ||x - y||)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda ||x - y||)^{(n-2)/2}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_{m0}(\lambda; ||x||)T_{m0}(\lambda; ||y||)R_m^{(n-3)/2, (n-3)/2}(\cos \varphi)$$

and

$$1 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_{m0}(\lambda; ||x||)T_{m0}(\lambda; ||y||)$$

where $\varphi$ denotes the angle between the vectors $x$ and $y$. Substituting the latter results into (3) and considering the points $x$ and $y$ on the surface of the sphere $S^{n-1}$ we obtain (1). In this case the numbers $b_m$, $\alpha'$, and $\beta'$ are defined by (20).

The associated spherical function is given by

$$T_m(\lambda; a) = i^m \sqrt{\frac{w_m^{((n-3)/2, (n-3)/2)}}{2^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(n/2)}} \frac{J_{m+(n-2)/2}(\lambda a)}{(\lambda a)^{(n-2)/2}}$$

if $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [3]), or by

$$T_m(\lambda; a) = \sqrt{w_m^{((n-3)/2, (n-3)/2)} \psi^{((n-3)/2, (n-3)/2)}_{\lambda, m, 0}(a)}$$

if $X = \mathbb{R} \Lambda^n$ (see [16]), or by

$$T_{kl}(\lambda; a) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{k,l}^{(\alpha - \beta - 1, \beta - 1/2)}}{\psi^{(\alpha, \beta)}_{\lambda, k, l}(a)}}$$
The symbol $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k$ stands for the hypergeometric function. The coefficient $\pi_{k,l}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ equals the dimension in the representation $\delta = (k, l) \in \mathcal{K}_M$. 

**Proof of Lemma 3.** We rewrite relation (11) as follows:

$$\sigma^2(r) \sim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m - A_{m+1}) \left[ 1 - R_m^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right], \quad r \downarrow 0.$$ 

The partial sum of the series on the right-hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} (A_m - A_{m+1}) \left[ 1 - R_m^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right]$$

$$= A_1 \left[ 1 - R_1^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right] + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} A_{m+1} \left[ R_m^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) - R_{m+1}^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right]$$

$$- A_{k+1} \left[ 1 - R_k^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right].$$

The factor $A_{k+1}$ is the tail of a convergent series, thus it approaches zero as $k \to \infty$. Applying relation (7.32.2) of [9] with $\alpha' \geq \beta' \geq -1/2$ we have

$$\left| R_m^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right| \leq B_m^{(\alpha',\beta')}(1) = 1.$$ 

This implies that the last term on the right-hand side of equality (28) tends to zero as $k \to \infty$. Approaching the limit we get

$$\sigma^2(r) \sim A_1 \left[ 1 - R_1^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right] + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m+1} \left[ R_m^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) - R_{m+1}^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) \right].$$

According to relation (32) in Section 10.8 in [11] we have

$$R_m^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) - R_{m+1}^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\cos r) = \frac{2m + \alpha' + \beta' + 2}{\alpha' + 1} R_m^{(\alpha'+1,\beta')}(\cos r).$$

Substituting (30) into (29) and taking into account that $\sin^2(r/2) \sim r^2/4$ as $r \downarrow 0$ we prove (7) and (8). 

\[\square\]
Now we explain how to compute the values shown in Table 3. Assume that relation (9) holds. Applying (6) and the Stirling formula we get

\[
(m, m) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\alpha' + 1)\Gamma(\alpha' + \beta' + 3)}{4\Gamma(\beta' + 1)} m^{2-2\gamma} L(m), \quad m \to \infty.
\]

According to [14], the series on the right-hand side of (31) converges absolutely if

\[
0 < 2 - 2\gamma < \alpha'/2 + 3.
\]

Then \( \gamma \in (-\alpha'/2 - 1/4, 1) \). Using Tables 1 and 2 and relations (16), (20), and (22) we obtain the numbers in column 2 of Table 3. The rest of the numbers can be found similarly.

The proofs of all theorems are based on the results in [14]. Theorem 1 follows from (31) and Theorem 1a in [14]. Relation (9) for Theorem 2 in the case of Condition 1 follows from relation (10) and Theorem 2 in [14]. Similarly, relation (9) in the case of Condition 2 follows from (10) by Corollary 1 in [14], while in the case of Condition 3 it follows from (10) by Corollary 2 in [14].

To prove Theorem 3 we use Theorem 3a in [14], whereas to prove Theorem 4 we use Theorem 3b in [14]. Similarly we apply Theorem 4a in [14] to prove Theorem 5, Theorem 4c in [14] to prove Theorem 6, and Theorem 6 in [14] to prove Theorem 7.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Remark 1. The approach considered in this paper is not applicable for \( X = \mathbb{R}^1 \). In this case the Abelian and Tauberian theorems can be found in [20].

Remark 2. Let \( X \) be one of the spaces listed in Table 2. Let \( \eta(x), x \in X \), denote a centered mean square continuous random field such that \( \eta(0) = 0 \) and whose variance of increments between two points depends only on the distance between these points. Lemma 1 describes all the fields with these properties in the case of \( X = \mathbb{R}^n \). Random fields for other cases in Table 2 are partially described in [17]. The Abelian and Tauberian theorems for such fields can be obtained using the methods of this paper.

Remark 3. Condition (9) can be written explicitly in terms of the spectral measure \( \mu \) in the case of noncompact spaces. For example, if a random field is isotropic and has homogeneous increments on the space \( \mathbb{R}^n \), then

\[
\frac{2^{n-2}[\Gamma(n/2)]^2}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(2j + n - 2)(j + n - 3)!}{j!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{[J_{j+n/2}(\lambda)]^2}{\lambda^{n-2}} d\mu(\lambda) \sim m^{-2\gamma} L(m)
\]

by (5), (9), (20), and (23).

It would be interesting to obtain equivalent conditions in terms of the asymptotic behavior of the measure \( \mu \) at infinity.
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