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1. Introduction. In 1770 Waring conjectured that every positive integer is a sum of nine integral cubes \( \geq 0 \). The first proof was given by Wieferich;† but owing to a numerical error he failed to treat a wide range of numbers corresponding to \( \nu = 4 \). Bachmann‡ indicated a long method to fill the gap, but himself made certain errors. The latter were incorporated in the unsuccessful attempt by Lejneek.§ The gap was first filled by Kempner.||

All of these writers make use of three tables. The computation of each of the last two tables is considerably longer than the first. The third table as given by Wieferich and reproduced by Bachmann contains six errors, corrected by Kamke (cf. Kempner, Mathematische Annalen, loc. cit., p. 399). It is shown here that the last two tables may be completely avoided. The resulting simple proof of Waring’s theorem in §§2, 3 is based on the customary prime 5. The second simple proof in §4 is based on the prime 11. By §5, we may also use the prime 17.

However, the main object of the paper is to prove generalizations of two types. Let \( C_n \) denote the sum of the cubes of \( n \) undetermined integers \( \geq 0 \). Waring’s theorem states that \( C_9 \) represents all positive integers. It is proved in §§4, 5 that \( tx^3 + C_8 \) represents all positive integers if \( 1 \leq t \leq 23, t \neq 20 \), but not if \( t \geq 23 \). To complete the discussion for \( t = 20 \) would require the extension of von Sterneck’s table from 40,000 to 61,500.

It is proved in §6 that \( tx^3 + 2y^3 + C_7 \) represents all positive integers if \( 1 \leq t \leq 34, t \neq 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 \). Also that \( tx^3 + 3y^3 + C_7 \) represents all if \( 1 \leq t \leq 9, t \neq 5 \). Various similar theorems are highly probable in view of Lemma 8. More interesting empirical theorems on cubes were announced by the writer in the American Mathematical Monthly for April, 1927, and on biquadrates in the Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, May-June, 1927.

* Presented to the Society, April 15, 1927; received by the editors February 16, 1927.
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If \( N \) is prime to 6, it is shown in §7 that every integer \( k \) is represented by 
\[ 6x^2 + 6y^2 + 6z^2 + Nw^3, \]
and that we may take \( w \geq 0 \) if \( k \geq 23^3N \). In §8 is discussed the representation of all large integers by \( ly^3 + C \) when \( l \leq 5 \).

The tables and computations in §§ 2–4, 6 and the first part of §5 were kindly checked with great care by Lincoln La Paz.

2. Three lemmas needed for Waring's theorem. We prove the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** If \( p \) is a prime \( \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \) and if \( l \) is an integer not divisible by \( p \), every integer not divisible by \( p \) is congruent modulo \( p^n \) to a product of a cube by \( l \).

From the positive integers \( \leq p^n \) we omit the \( p^{n-1} \) multiples of \( p \) and obtain \( \varphi = (p-1)p^{n-1} \) numbers \( a_1, \ldots, a_\varphi \). Each \( l a_i^3 \) is not divisible by \( p \) and hence is congruent to one of the \( a_i \)'s modulo \( p^n \). We shall prove that no two of the \( la_i^3 \) are congruent. It will then follow that \( la_i^3, \ldots, la_\varphi^3 \) are congruent to \( a_1, \ldots, a_\varphi \) in some order. Since every integer not divisible by \( p \) is congruent to a certain \( a_i \), it will therefore be congruent to a certain \( la_i^3 \).

If possible, let \( la_i^3 = la_j^3 \pmod{p^n} \). Since \( a_i = a_k x \pmod{p^n} \) determines an integer \( x \), we have \( x^3 \equiv 1 \). By Euler's theorem, \( x^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n} \). Since \( \varphi \) is not divisible by 3, \( \varphi = 3q + r \), \( r = 1 \) or 2. Hence \( x^3 \equiv 1 \), \( x \equiv 1 \), \( a_i \equiv a_k \pmod{p^n} \), contrary to hypothesis.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( P \) and \( e \) be given integers \( \geq 0 \), such that \( P \) is of the form \( 5 + 48l \). Then every integer \( \geq P^e \cdot 22^3 \) can be represented by \( P^e \gamma^3 + 6(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \), where \( \gamma, x, y, z \) are integers and \( \gamma \geq 0 \).

It is known that every positive integer not of the form \( 4^e(8s+7) \) is a sum of three integral squares. Hence this is true of positive integers congruent modulo 16 to one of the following:

\[
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14.
\]

If \( n \) is any integer, we shall prove that

\[
n \equiv P^e \gamma^3 + 6\mu \quad \pmod{96}
\]

has integral solutions \( \gamma, \mu \) such that \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq 22 \), and such that \( \mu \) is one of the numbers (1). Then there is an integer \( q \) for which

\[
n = P^e \gamma^3 + 6\mu + 96q = P^e \gamma^3 + 6m, \quad m = \mu + 16q.
\]

When \( n \geq P^e \cdot 22^3 \), then \( n \geq P^e \gamma^3, m \geq 0 \), whence \( m \) is a sum of three integral
squares. Thus Lemma 2 will follow if we show that (2) has solutions of the
specified type.

We shall first treat the case $e=0$:

\[(3) \quad n \equiv 3\gamma + 6\mu \pmod{96}.\]

The method for (3) is such that, by multiplying it by $P, P^2, \cdots$, we can
deduce at once the solvability of (2). With this end in view, we omit 3 and
11 from (1) and obtain the numbers

\[(4) \quad 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14,\]

whose products by 5 (and hence by $P$) are congruent modulo 16 to the same
numbers (4) rearranged.

At the top of the following table we list certain values of $\gamma$ and below them
the least residues modulo 96 of their cubes. The body of the table shows the
residue modulo 96 of $\gamma^3 + 6\mu$ for certain values (4) of $\mu$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the body of the table occur 0, 1, \ldots, 95 with the exception\footnote{However large a $\gamma$ we take, we cannot reach an exceptional number (5). For $\gamma^3 + 6\mu = 2 + 8r$ \pmod{96} implies that $\gamma$ is even and hence $6\mu = 2 \pmod{8}$, $\mu = 3 \pmod{4}$, $\mu = 3, 7, 11, 15 \pmod{16}$. But none of these four occur in (4).} of

\[(5) \quad 2, 10, 18, 26, 34, 42, 50, 58, 66, 74, 82, 90.\]

The latter give all the positive integers $< 96$ of the form $2 + 8r$.

But 3 and 11 are also available values of $\mu$. For

\[(6) \quad \gamma = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10,\]

the residues modulo 96 of $\gamma^3 + 6 \cdot 3$ and $\gamma^3 + 6 \cdot 11$ are together found to be
the numbers (5). This can be proved without computation as follows. In (6), $g = 2g, g = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Thus $\gamma^3 + 18 = 2 + 8(g^3 + 2)$, $\gamma^3 + 66 = 2 + 8(g^3 + 8)$. Hence it remains only to show that the values of $g^3 + 2$ and
g^3+8 are together congruent to 0, 1, · · · , 11 modulo 12. But g^3≡g (mod 6).
Hence g^3+2 takes six values incongruent modulo 6 and therefore also modulo 12.
Likewise for g^3+8. But g^3+2≡G^3+8 (mod 12) would imply g≡G (mod 6), g=G, a contradiction.
Hence for every integer n, (3) has integral solutions γ, μ, 0 ≤ γ ≤ 22, μ in (1).
In 5(2+8r) = 2+8p, p = 1+5r ranges with r over a complete set of residues modulo 12.
In other words, the products of the numbers (5) by 5 are congruent modulo 96 to the same numbers (5) rearranged. The same is true of their products by P = 5+48l, since 2k · P = 2k · 5 (mod 96).
Evidently the products of 0, 1, · · · , 95 by P are congruent modulo 96 to 0, 1, · · · , 95 rearranged. Hence the products of the numbers in the above table by P are congruent to the same numbers modulo 96. Those numbers are therefore the residues modulo 96 of P(γ^3+6μ) for 0 ≤ γ ≤ 22 and for μ in (4). We saw that the products Pμ are congruent modulo 16 to the same numbers (4) rearranged. Hence the residues modulo 96 of Pγ^3+6ν for 0 ≤ γ ≤ 22 and for ν in (4) are the numbers in the table and hence are the numbers 0, 1, · · · , 95 other than (5).
To complete the proof of the statement concerning (2) when e=1, it remains to show that, by choice of γ in (6) and for t=18 or 66, Pγ^3+t is congruent modulo 96 to any assigned number in (5). Since the last was proved for γ^3+t, we need only show that γ^3 and Pγ^3 take the same values modulo 96 when γ takes the values (6). Then γ = 2g, g = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Thus g^3=0, 1, 8, 3, 4, 5; 5g^3=0, 5, 4, 3, 8, 1 (mod 12), respectively. Hence γ^3 and 5γ^3 take the same values modulo 8 · 12. But the products of 5 and P = 5+48l by the same even number γ^3 are congruent modulo 96.
The insertion of the factor P may be repeated e times. This proves the statement concerning (2).

**Lemma 3.** Given the positive numbers s and t and a number B for which 0 ≤ B ≤ s, t ≤ 9s, we can find an integer i ≥ 0 such that
\[ B ≤ s - ti^3 < B + 3(ts^2)^{1/3}. \]
Denote the last member of (7) by L. If s < L, take i = 0. Next, let s ≥ L and determine a real number r so that s − tr^3 = B. Then
\[ tr^3 = s − B ≥ L − B = 3(ts^2)^{1/3} ≥ t/27, \quad 3r ≥ 1. \]
We may write r = i + f, where 0 ≤ f < 1, and i is an integer ≥ 0. Since i ≤ r, B = s − tr^3 ≤ s − ti^3, as desired in (7). Next,
\[ s − ti^3 − B = s − t(r − f)^3 − s + tr^3 = tw, \]
where
\[ w = r^3 - (r - f)^3 = 3r^2f - f^3(3r - f) < 3r^2f < 3r^3, \]
since \(3r \geq 1, f < 1\). Since \(B \geq 0\),
\[ tr^3 \leq s, \quad r^3 \leq (s^2/t^3)^{1/3}, \quad s - ti^3 - B < 3tr^3 \leq 3(ts)^{1/3}. \]

3. Proof of Waring’s theorem. We first prove that every integer \(s\) exceeding \(9 \cdot 5^{11}\) is a sum of nine integral cubes \(\geq 0\). For this proof we take \(C=9, p=5, i=1\) in our formulas. Since \(s > 5^{3i} + 4\) there exists an integer \(n \geq 4\) such that

\[ C P^{3n} \leq s \leq C^{3(n+1)}. \]

Write
\[ k = 3(C P^{3n})^{1/3} P^{3n+2}. \]

Hence
\[ 3(ts)^{1/3} \leq k. \]

We separate two cases. First, let \(C P^{3n} + 2k > s\). Then \(C P^{3n}\) and \(C P^{3n} + k\) are both \(\leq s\). Taking them in turn for \(B\) in Lemma 3, and using (10), we conclude that there exist integers \(I\) and \(J\), each \(\geq 0\), such that

\[ C P^{3n} \leq s - ti^3 < C P^{3n} + k, \]
\[ C P^{3n} + k \leq s - tj^3 < C P^{3n} + 2k. \]

Hence there are two distinct integral values \(I\) and \(J\) of \(i\) which satisfy

\[ C P^{3n} \leq s - ti^3 < C P^{3n} + 2k, \quad i \geq 0. \]

Second, let \(C P^{3n} + 2k > s\). Then (11) holds for \(i = 0\) and (when \(t = 1\)) for \(i = 1\), since the integer \(C P^{3n}\) is less than \(s\) and hence is \(\leq s - 1\).

Hence in both cases there exist two distinct integers and hence two consecutive integers \(j-1\) and \(j\), which are both values of \(i\) satisfying (11).

At least one of the integers \(s - t(j-1)^3\) and \(s - tj^3\) is not divisible by 5. For, their difference is the product of \(t\) by \(3j^2 - 3j + 1\). The double of the latter is congruent to \((j+2)^2 - 2\), modulo 5. But 2 is not congruent to a square.

Hence there exists an integer \(a \geq 0\) such that (11) holds when \(i = a\), and such that \(s - ta^3\) is not divisible by \(p = 5\). By Lemma 1, there exist integers \(b\) and \(M\) such that

\[ s - ta^3 = b^3 + p^n M, \quad 0 < b < p^n. \]
When \( n \geq 4 \), we have
\[
Cp^{3n} + 2k \leq 12p^{3n}.
\]
For, if we insert the value (9) of \( k \), divide all terms by \( p^{3n} \), and note that
\[
1/p^{n-2} \leq 1/p^2,
\]
we see that (13) holds if
\[
(14) \quad C + \frac{6}{p^2} \left( \frac{p^2}{C} \right)^{1/3} \leq 12.
\]
When \( C = 9 \), \( p = 5 \), this holds if
\[
25s \leq 24.1.
\]

By (11) with \( i = a \), (12) and (13), we get
\[
Cp^{3n} \leq b^3 + p^n M < 12p^{3n}, \quad (C - 1)p^{3n} < Cp^{3n} - b^3.
\]
Hence
\[
(15) \quad (C - 7)p^{2n} < M < 6p^{2n}.
\]
Write \( M = N + 6p^{2n} \). Thus
\[
(16) \quad s = ta^3 + b^3 + p^n(N + 6p^{2n}).
\]

We seek integers \( c \) and \( m \), each \( \geq 0 \), such that
\[
(17) \quad p^nN = c^3 + p^n \cdot 6m, \quad m = d_1^3 + d_2^3 + d_3^3,
\]
for integers \( d_i \). Then will
\[
(18) \quad s = ta^3 + b^3 + c^3 + p^n(6p^{2n} + 6m).
\]
Writing \( A \) for \( p^n \), we then have
\[
(19) \quad s = ta^3 + b^3 + c^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} [(A + d_i)^3 + (A - d_i)^3].
\]
These cubes are all \( \geq 0 \). For, if \( d^2 > A^2 \), then \( m > A^2 = p^{2n} \), and, by (17),
\[
A^n N > 6p^n p^{2n},
\]
contrary to (15). Hence \( s \) is a sum of nine integral cubes \( \geq 0 \).

It remains to select \( c \) and \( m \). Choose an integer \( e \) so that
\[
(20) \quad e = 0, 1, 2, \quad e + n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.
\]
The condition in Lemma 2 is \( N \geq 5^e \cdot 22^3 \). By (15), this will be satisfied if
\[
(C - 7)p^{2n} \geq 5^e \cdot 22^3.
\]
When \( n \geq 4 \), the minimum value of \( 2n - e \) is 6. Hence
it suffices to take
Thus if $C \geq 7.682$, Lemma 2 shows the existence of integers $\gamma$ and $m$, each $\geq 0$, such that $N = 5^2 \gamma^3 + 6m$, where $m$ is a sum of three integral squares. By (20), $5^2 \gamma^3$ is the cube of an integer $c \geq 0$. Thus (17) holds when $p = 5$.

This completes the proof that every integer $s$ exceeding $9 \cdot 5^{14}$ is a sum of nine integral cubes $\geq 0$. The same is true when $s \leq 40,000$ by the table of von Sternecker, which shows also that if $8042 < s < 40,000$, $s$ is a sum of six integral cubes $\geq 0$. To utilize the latter result, let $10^4 \leq s \leq 9 \cdot 5^{14}$. By Lemma 3 with $B = 10^4$, there exists an integer $u \geq 0$ satisfying

\[
10^4 \leq \sigma < 10^4 + 3(t^2)^{1/3}, \quad \sigma = s - tu^3.
\]

We have $s < 5^{14}$. For $t < 5^2$, the radical is $< 5^{10}$. Also, $10^4 = 5 \cdot 2^3 < 5^9$. Hence $\sigma < 16 \cdot 5^8 < 43 \cdot 5^6$.

Apply Lemma 3 with $t = 1$, $B = 10^4$, and $s$ replaced by $\sigma$. Thus there exists an integer $v \geq 0$ satisfying

\[
10^4 \leq \tau < 10^4 + 3\sigma^{2/3}, \quad \tau = \sigma - v^3.
\]

The radical is $< 4^2 \cdot 5^6$. Also, $10^4 < 4^2 \cdot 5^6$. Hence $\tau < 4^2 \cdot 5^6$. As before, there exists an integer $w \geq 0$ satisfying

\[
10^4 \leq \tau - w^3 < 10^4 + 3\tau^{2/3} = 4 \cdot 10^4 = 40,000.
\]

Since $\tau - w^3$ is therefore a sum of six cubes, while $s = tu^3 + v^3 + \tau$, $s$ is a sum of nine integral cubes $\geq 0$. This completes the proof of Waring's theorem.

4. The first generalizations. Let $C_n$ denote the sum of the cubes of $n$ undetermined integers $\geq 0$. Let $t$ be an integer $\geq 0$.

**Lemma 4.** The form $f_t = tx^3 + C_8$ represents all positive integers $\leq 40,000$ if and only if $0 < t \leq 23$.

If $t > 23$ or if $t = 0$, $C_8$ and hence $f_t$ fail to represent 23. Next, let $0 < t \leq 23$. By von Sternecker's table, every positive integer $\leq 40,000$, except 23 and 239, is a sum of eight integral cubes $\geq 0$. It remains only to show that $f_t$ represents 23 and 239. Take $x = 1$. Since

\[
0 \leq 23 - t < 23, \quad 23 < 239 - t < 239,
\]

both $23 - t$ and $239 - t$ are represented by $C_8$.

Theorem I. If $1 \leq t \leq 23$, $t \neq 20$, every positive integer is represented by $f_t = tx^3 + C_8$.

We proceed as in §3 with $p = 5$ or $p = 11$ according as $t$ is not divisible by 5 or 11, and with $n \geq 4$ or $n \geq 3$, respectively. We shall find limits within which $C$ may be chosen. But we refrain from making a definite choice for $C$ initially, since we may need to decrease $C$ slightly to meet the difficulty arising below (11) when $t > 1$. Then (11) does not hold for $i = 1$ if

$$CP^{3n} > s - t.$$  

In the latter case, we employ a new constant $C'$. Then

$$C'p^{3n+3} = Cp^{3n} \cdot p^3C'/C > (s - t)p^6C'/C$$

will be $\geq s$ if

$$C' \geq C \cdot \frac{s}{p^3} \cdot \frac{s - t}{s - t},$$

and hence if $C' > \frac{3}{4}C$. Thus if $C'$ lies between $\frac{1}{4}C$ and $C$, (8) will remain true after $C$ is replaced by $C'$. By (25), $CP^{3n} = s - t + P$, $P > 0$. By (8), $P < t \leq 23$. Write $q = P/p^{3n}$. Since $n \geq 4$ or $n \geq 3$, according as $p = 5$ or 11, $q$ is very small. We take $C' = C - q$. Then $C'$ lies between $\frac{1}{4}C$ and $C$, and $C'p^{3n} = s - t$. Hence after taking $C'$ as a new $C$, we have (8) and the desired two integral solutions $i$ of (11) in all cases.

For $p = 5$, $t \leq 23$, (14) holds when $C \leq 9.03$. Reduction to $C = 9$ permits us to avoid the difficulty mentioned before. The entire proof in §3 now holds if $p = 5$ and if $t$ is not divisible by 5.

Lemma 5. Let $P = 11 + 48l$ and $e$ be given integers $\geq 0$. Every integer $\geq P' \cdot 23^e$ is represented by $P'Q^4 + 6(Q^2 + Q^2 + Q^2)$, $Q \geq 0$.

We now omit 4, 5, and 13 from the available numbers (1) and have

$$1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14,$$

whose products by $P$ are congruent modulo 16 to the same numbers (26) rearranged.

The following table shows the residues modulo 96 of $Q^4 + 6\mu$ for $Q = 0, 1, \ldots, 23$ and for $\mu$ in (26). It was computed as in §2, with also $19\equiv 43$, $21\equiv 45$, $23\equiv 71$ (mod 96).
In the body of the table occur 0, 1, ..., 95 with the exception of 0, 32, 64.

Since \(32P \equiv 64\), \(64P \equiv 32\) (mod 96), and since the products of 0, 1, ..., 95 by \(P\) are evidently congruent modulo 96 to the same numbers rearranged, the same is true of the numbers in the table. Using the omitted value 4 of \(\mu\), we get

\[
18s + 24 \equiv 0, \quad 28 + 24 \equiv 32, \quad 10s + 24 \equiv 64 \pmod{96}.
\]

Since \(4(P^2 - 1)s \equiv 4(11^2 - 1) \equiv 0\) (mod 96), \(4P^{2k} \equiv 4\), and multiplication of (28) by \(P^{2k}\) yields

\[
18sP^{2k} + 24 \equiv 0, \quad 28P^{2k} + 24 \equiv 32, \quad 10sP^{2k} + 24 \equiv 64 \pmod{96}.
\]

This completes the proof of Lemma 5 where \(e\) is even.

Since \(P + 1\) is divisible by 12, the product of an even cube by \(P\) is congruent to its negative, modulo 96. Hence

\[
6^sP \equiv -24, \quad 22^sP \equiv 8, \quad 14^sP \equiv 40 \pmod{96}.
\]

As before, multiplication of (30) by \(P^{2k}\) yields

\[
6^sP^e + 24 \equiv 0, \quad 22^sP^e + 24 \equiv 32, \quad 14^sP^e + 24 \equiv 64 \pmod{96},
\]

where \(e = 2k + 1\). Thus Lemma 5 follows when \(e\) is odd.

Let \(p = 11, n \geq 3, t \leq 15, t \neq 11\). Then (13) holds if

\[
C + \frac{6}{11} (tC^2)^{1/3} \leq 12 \quad \text{for } t = 15.
\]
When \( C = 7.05 \), the left member is 11.9960. By (15), the condition in Lemma 5 is satisfied if \((C - 7)11^2 \geq 11^8 \cdot 23^8\). By (20), the minimum of \(2n - e\) for \(n \geq 3\) is 6. Hence it suffices to take

\[(33) \quad (C - 7)11^8 \geq 23^8, \quad C - 7 \geq 0.006868.\]

Hence all the conditions on \( C \) are satisfied if \( C = 7.01 \), and the reduction from 7.05 avoids the difficulty arising when (25) holds. Next,

\[4(3j^2 - 3j + 1) \equiv (j + 5)^2 + 1 \pmod{11},\]

while \(-1\) is not congruent to a square. For \( C = 7.01 \), the proof in §3 now shows that every integer \( s \) exceeding \( C \cdot 11^8 \) is represented by \( f_s \). It remains to prove this also when \( 10^4 \leq s \leq C \cdot 11^8 \). Consider (22) and (23). Now

\[(34) \quad (IC)^{1/12} = 9.033, \quad 10^4 < (0.01)11^8, \quad \sigma < (27.11)11^8, \]

\[\sigma^{2/3} < (9.0245)11^4, \quad 10^4 < (0.69)11^4, \quad \tau < 28 \cdot 11^4 < 73 \cdot 11^3.\]

In place of (24), we now have \( 10^4 \leq \tau - w^3 < 28,000 \). This proves Theorem I when \( t \leq 15, t \neq 11. \)

5. The case \( t = 20 \). First, take \( p = 17 \). The proof fails if \( n = 3 \), since (32) requires \( C < 7 \). Hence \( n \geq 4 \), and (14) holds if \( C \leq 11.3 \). Thus every \( s \) exceeding \( C \cdot 11^{11} \) is represented by \( f_{20} \), where \( C^* = 50 \). But if \( s < C \cdot 11^{11} \), we obtain by (22)-(24) the condition \( \tau - w^3 < 152,794 \), which is far beyond the limit of von Sterneck’s table.

A better result is obtained by taking \( p = 17, n \geq 3 \). Lemma 2 holds also when \( P = 17 + 48l \). For, by multiplying (3) by this \( P \), we see that every integer is congruent modulo 96 to \( P7^3 + 6P \mu \). But \( 6P = 6 \) (mod 96). If \( 3j^2 - 3j + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{17} \), multiplication by 6 gives \((j+8)^2 \equiv 7 \), whereas 7 is a quadratic non-residue of 17. The two conditions on \( C \) are both satisfied if \( C^* = 50 \). Then (22)-(24) yield \( \tau - w^3 < 65,500 \). A still lower limit will be found by employing

**Lemma 6.** Given the positive numbers \( s \) and \( t \), and a number \( B \) for which \( 0 \leq B \leq s, t \leq 9s \), we can find an integer \( i \geq 0 \) such that

\[B \leq s - ti^3 < B + t(3r^2 - 3r + 1), \quad r^3 = (i - B)/t.\]

The proof consists in the following modification of the last part of the proof of Lemma 3. The condition for \( w < 3r^2 - 3r + 1 \) is

\[(1 - f)[3r^2 - 3r(1 + f) + 1 + f + f^2] > 0.\]

Since \( 0 \leq f < 1 \), this is evidently satisfied when \( r \geq 1 + f \). In the contrary case, \( i = 0, r = f \), and the quantity in brackets is \((1 - f)^2 > 0\).
By (21) with 5 replaced by 17, \( C - 7 \geq 0.0004411 \). This and condition (13) are both satisfied if \( C = 7.00045 \). It remains to treat integers \( s < C \cdot 17^2 \). By Lemma 4 we may take \( s > 40,000 \). By Lemma 6 with \( t = 20, B = 8043 \), there exists an integer \( u \geq 0 \) such that

\[
8043 \leq \sigma < 8043 + 20(3r^2 - 3r + 1), \quad \sigma = s - 20u^3,
\]

where \( r^2 = s/20 \) slightly exceeds the initial \( r^3 \). Then

\[
\log r = 3.5393787, \quad r^2 = 11,988,290, \quad r = 3462, \quad \sigma - 8043 < 719,089,700.
\]

Apply Lemma 6 with \( t = 1, B = 8043, s \) replaced by \( \sigma \). Hence there exists an integer \( v \geq 0 \) such that

\[
8043 \leq \tau < 8043 + 3R^2 - 3R + 1, \quad \tau = \sigma - v^3, \quad R^3 = \sigma - 8043,
\]

\[
\log R = 2.9522610, \quad R^2 = 802,642.2, \quad R = 895.9, \quad \tau - 8043 < 2,405,240.
\]

By Lemma 6 with \( t = 1 \), there exists an integer \( w \geq 0 \) such that

\[
8043 \leq \tau - w^3 < 8043 + 3\rho^3 - 3\rho + 1, \quad \rho^3 = \tau - 8043,
\]

\[
\log \rho = 2.1270528, \quad \rho^2 = 17,951.7, \quad \rho = 134, \quad \tau - w^3 < 61,497.
\]

Hence Theorem I would hold also for \( \tau = 20 \) provided an extension of von Sterneck's table would show that every integer between 8043 and 61,497 is a sum of six cubes.

To prove Waring's theorem by means of \( p = 17, n \geq 3 \), and the same \( C \), we find by three applications of Lemma 3 with \( t = 1, B = 8043 \), that \( \tau - w^3 < 42,846.7 \). This limit is reduced by using Lemma 6.

6. The second generalizations. We employ two lemmas.

**Lemma 7.** \( F_t = ly^3 + C_t \) represents all positive integers \( \leq 40,000 \) if and only if \( l = 2 - 6, 9 - 15 \). \( F_t \) represents all \( \leq 40,000 \) except 22. \( F_8 \) represents all except 23, 239, and 428.

By the tables of Dahse and von Sterneck, \( C_7 \) represents every positive integer \( \leq 40,000 \) except

\[
(35) \quad 15, 22, 23, 50, 114, 167, 175, 186, 212, 231, 238, 239, 303, 364, 420, 428, 454.
\]

Thus \( F_6 \neq 15 \). Also \( F_1 = C_8 \neq 23 \). If \( l > 15 \), evidently \( F_1 \neq 15 \). Hence let \( 2 \leq l \leq 15 \). The successive differences of the numbers (35) are

\[
(36) \quad 7, 1, 27, 64, 53, 8, 11, 26, 19, 7, 1, 64, 61, 56, 8, 26.
\]

Hence every positive difference of two numbers (35), not necessarily consecutive, is 1, 7, 8, 11, or is \( > 15 \).
First, let \( l \neq 7, 8, 11 \). If \( n \) and \( m \) \((n > m)\) are any two numbers \((35)\), then \( n - m \neq l \). Since \( n - l \) is therefore not one of the numbers \((35)\), it is represented by \( C_7 \). Hence \( n \) is represented by \( F_l \) with \( y = 1 \).

A like result holds also if \( l = 11 \). By (36) the only pair of numbers \((35)\) with the difference 11 is the pair 186, 175. But 186 - 11 \cdot 2^8 = 98 is not in \((35)\) and hence is represented by \( C_7 \). Hence \( F_{11} = 186 \) for \( y = 2 \).

For \( l = 7 \), it remains to consider \( n = 22 \) and 238, which alone exceed predecessors by 7, as seen from (36). But 238 - 7 \cdot 2^8 = 182 is not in \((35)\) and hence is represented by \( C_7 \).

Finally, for \( l = 8 \), (36) shows that only \( n = 23, 175, 239, \) and 428 exceed smaller numbers in \((35)\) by 8. Since \( F_8 \) is a sum of eight cubes, it does not represent 23 or 239. Next, 175 - 8 \cdot 2^8 = 111 is not in \((35)\). But 428 - 8 = 420, 428 - 8 \cdot 2^8 = 364, and 428 - 8 \cdot 3^8 = 212 are all in \((35)\), while 428 < 8 \cdot 4^8. Hence \( F_8 \neq 428 \).

**Lemma 8.** \( F_{k,l} = kx^3 + ly^3 + C_7 \) represents all positive integers \( \leq 40,000 \) when \( l = 2-6, 9-15, \) and \( k \) is arbitrary; when \( l = 7 \) if and only if \( 1 \leq k \leq 22 \); when \( l = 8 \) if and only if \( 1 \leq k \leq 23 \); but not if both \( k \) and \( l \) exceed 15.

In the final case, \( F \neq 15 \). The first case follows from Lemma 7. Next, let \( l = 7 \). If \( k = 1 \), \( F \) is \( 7y^3 + C_6 \), which represents all integers \( \leq 40,000 \) by Lemma 4. If \( k > 22 \), \( F = 22 \) requires \( x = 0 \), whereas \( 7y^3 + C_7 \neq 22 \) by Lemma 7. It remains to consider the case \( l = 7, 1 < k \leq 22 \). By Lemma 7, we have only to verify that \( F = 22 \) has integral solutions. When \( k = 7 \), take \( x = y = 1 \), since \( C_7 \) represents 8. When \( k \neq 7 \), take \( x = 1, y = 0 \), since \( C_7 \) represents 22 - \( k \), which is \( \geq 0, < 22, \) and \( \neq 15 \).

Finally, let \( l = 8 \). If \( k = 1 \), apply Lemma 4. If \( k > 23 \), \( F = 23 \) implies \( x = 0 \), whereas \( 8y^3 + C_7 \neq 23 \) by Lemma 7. Hence let \( 1 < k \leq 23 \). By Lemma 7, we have only to verify that \( F \) represents 23, 239, 428. If \( k \neq 8 \), take \( x = 1, y = 0 \); then \( F = k + C_7 \) represents 23, since \( C_7 \) represents \( 23 - k \) \( \neq 15, 22, 23; \) \( F = 239 \), since 239 - \( k \) is not 231 and is in the interval from 216 to 237 and hence is represented by \( C_7 \); \( F = 428 \), since 428 - \( k \) is not 420 and is in the interval from 405 to 426 and hence is represented by \( C_7 \). If \( k = 8 \), take \( x = y = 1 \) and note that \( C_7 \) represents 7, 223, and 413.

**Theorem II.** \( tx^3 + ly^3 + C_7 \) represents all positive integers if \( l = 2, 1 \leq t \leq 34, t \neq 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, \) and if \( l = 3, 1 \leq t \leq 9, t \neq 5 \).

Let neither \( t \) nor \( l \) be divisible by the prime \( p = 2 \text{mod 3} \). By §§ 3, 4, there exists an integer \( a \geq 0 \) such that (11) holds when \( i = a \) and such that \( s - ta^4 \) is not divisible by \( p \). By Lemma 1, there exist integers \( b \) and \( M \) such that
We shall presently choose \( C \) and \( t \) so that \((13)\) is satisfied. Using also \((11)\) with \( i = a \), we have
\[
Cp^3n \leq lb^3 + p^nM < 12p^3n, \quad (C - l)p^{2n} < Cp^n - lb^3.
\]
Hence
\[
(C - l)p^{2n} < M < 12p^3n.
\]
Write \( M = N + 6p^{2n} \). Then
\[
(C - l - 6)p^{2n} < N < 6p^{2n}, \quad s = ta^3 + lb^3 + p^n(N + 6p^{2n}).
\]
(I) Let \( p = 5 \). As in \((21)\), the condition \( N \geq 5^4 \cdot 22^2 \) in Lemma 2 is satisfied if \( C - l - 6 \geq 0.68148 \). Since \( t \geq 1 \), \((13)\) fails if \( n = 3 \). Hence \( n \geq 4 \).

First, let \( l = 2 \) and take \( C = 8.68148 \). Condition \((14)\) gives \( t \leq 35.076 \).
Hence \( 34 \) is the maximum \( t \). It remains to consider integers \( s \) satisfying \( 10^4 \leq s \leq C \cdot 5^{18} \). Since \( t < 5^2 \), \( C^2 < 5^8 \), the radical in \((22)\) is \(< 5^{10} \), and \( \sigma < 16 \cdot 5^5 \).

By Lemma 3 with \( B = 10^4 \), \( t = 2 \), and \( s \) replaced by \( \sigma \), there exists an integer \( v \geq 0 \) such that
\[
(38) \quad C - 1 - 6v)^2 < N < 6p^{2n}, \quad s = ta^3 + lb^3 + p^n(N + 6p^{2n}).
\]

Since \( 2\sigma^2 < 4^8 \cdot 5^{18} \), we have \((24)\). This proves Theorem II for \( l = 2, t \leq 34, t \) prime to 5.

Second, let \( l = 3 \) and take \( C = 9.68148 \). By \((14)\), \( t \leq 9.6186 \). Hence \( t \leq 9 \).

Since \( tC^3 < 10^4 \), \((22)\) gives \( \sigma < 31 \cdot 5^8 < 7 \cdot 5^9 \). By Lemma 3 with \( B = 10^4 \), \( t = 3 \), and \( s \) replaced by \( \sigma \),
\[
10^4 \leq t < 10^4 + 3(3\sigma^2)^{1/3}, \quad \tau = \sigma - 3\sigma^2.
\]

Since \( 3\sigma^2 < 4^8 \cdot 5^{18} \), \((24)\) holds. This proves Theorem II for \( l = 3, t \leq 9, t \neq 5 \).

Finally, if \( l \geq 4 \), then \( C \geq 10.682 \), and \((14)\) fails if \( t \geq 2 \). But if \( t = 1 \), we have the form treated in §4.

(II) Let \( p = 11 \). Whether \( n \geq 3 \) or \( n \geq 4 \), the condition in Lemma 5 is satisfied if \( C - l - 6 \geq 0.006868 \), as in \((33)\).

First, let \( n \geq 3 \). If \( l \geq 3 \) and \( t \geq 5 \), \((32)\) fails. Hence let \( l = 2 \), \( C = 8.006868 \). Then \( C^2 = 64.11 \) and \((32)\) requires that \( t \leq 6 \). But \((32)\) holds if \( t = 5 \) since \((5C^3)^{1/8} < 6.844 \). The only new case is \( t = 5 \). It remains to consider integers \( s \) satisfying \( 10^4 \leq s \leq C \cdot 11^4 \). We employ \((22)\), \((39)\), and \((24)\):

\[
10^4 < (0.006)11^8, \quad \sigma < (20.538)11^8, \quad (2\sigma^2)^{1/3} < (9.45)11^4,
\]
\[
\tau < 30 \cdot 11^4 = 330 \cdot 11^8 < 7^8 \cdot 11^8, \quad \tau^{1/3} < 6000, \quad \tau - w^3 < 28,000.
\]

This proves Theorem II for \( t = 5, l = 2 \).
Second, let \( n \geq 4, l = 2 \). Using the same \( C \), we find that (14) holds when \( t \leq 8145 \). But the proof of Theorem II fails for the first new case \( l = 10 \) when \( s < C \cdot 11^2 \). We employ (22), (39), and (24) with the refinement of replacing \( 10^4 \) by 8042. We obtain

\[
\sigma < (25.8682)11^8, \quad \tau < (73.576)11^5, \quad \tau - w^3 < 163,969,
\]

where the final number is beyond the limit 40,000 of von Sterneck’s table.

7. Generalization of Lemmas 2 and 5. These lemmas can be generalized as follows.

Theorem III. If \( N \) is a positive integer divisible by neither 2 nor 3, every integer \( * \geq 23 + 3N \) is represented by \( N\gamma^2 + 6(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \), where \( \gamma, x, y, z \) are integers and \( \gamma \geq 0 \).

As in the proof of Lemma 2 this will follow from

Lemma 9. Every integer \( n \) is congruent modulo 96 to \( N\gamma^2 + 6\mu \) for \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq 23 \), with \( \mu \) in the set \( \{1\} \).

Proof was given in \( \S 5 \) when \( N \equiv 17 \) (mod 48). It is true by the proof in Lemma 2 when \( N \equiv 5, 5^2, 5^3 \equiv 29, 5^4 \equiv 1 \) (mod 48).

If \( N \equiv 41 + 48l, N \equiv 5^2 \) (mod 16). We saw that the products of the numbers \( (4) \) by 5 are congruent modulo 16 to the same numbers \( (4) \) rearranged. Hence the same is true of their products by \( N \). Also \( 3N \equiv 3 \cdot 9 \equiv 11, 11N \equiv 3 \) (mod 16). Hence the products of all the numbers \( (1) \) by \( N \) are congruent modulo 16 to the same numbers \( (1) \) rearranged. Multiplication of \( (3) \) by \( N \) proves Lemma 9.

For \( N = 37 + 48l \), we proceed as in the last part of the proof of Lemma 2. In \( 37(2 + 8p) = 2 + 8\rho, \rho = 9 + 37r \) ranges with \( r \) over a complete set of residues modulo 12. Finally, \( 37g^3 \equiv g^3 \) (mod 12). The lemma follows also for \( N \equiv 37^3 \equiv 13 \) (mod 48).

By Lemma 5, the lemma holds when \( N \equiv 11 \) or \( 11^2 \equiv 35 \) (mod 48).

Let \( N = 19 + 48l \). The products of the numbers \( (26) \) by 3 and hence by \( N \) are congruent modulo 16 to the same numbers rearranged. Since \( N \equiv 1 \) (mod 3), \( 32N \equiv 32, 64N \equiv 64 \) (mod 96). Since \( N + 5 \) is divisible by 12, the product of an even cube by \( N \) is congruent to its product by \( -5 \) modulo 96. Hence

\[
N \cdot 6^3 \equiv -5 \cdot 24 \equiv -24, \quad N \cdot 22^3 \equiv (-5)(-8) = 40, \\
N \cdot 14^3 \equiv (-5)(-40) \equiv 8 \quad (\text{mod 96}).
\]

* Except for \( N = 11, 19, 35, 43 \) (mod 48), we may replace 23 by 22. But when \( N = 1, S = 9832 \) is between \( 21^3 \) and \( 22^3 \) and is not represented by \( \gamma^2 + 6(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \). For, that requires \( \gamma^2 = 4, \gamma = 4 \) (mod 6). But no one of \( (1/6)(S-4^3) = 4 \cdot 407, (1/6)(S-10^3) = 16 \cdot 92, (1/6)(S-16^3) = 4 \cdot 239 \) is a sum of three squares.
Adding 24 to each, we get 0, 64, 32, respectively. The lemma follows also for \(N = 19^2 = 43\) (mod 48).

Let \(N = 23 + 48l\). Then \(N \equiv 7\) (mod 16). Omitting 1, 4, 9 from (1), we get

\[
2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14,
\]

whose products by 7 are congruent modulo 16 to the same numbers permuted. For \(\mu\) in (40), the residues modulo 96 of \(\gamma^3 + 6\mu\) are shown in the following table having the values of \(\gamma\) at the top:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the body of the table occur 0, 1, \(\cdots\), 95 with the exception of 0, 32, 64. But \(32N = 64, 64N = 32\) (mod 96). We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 5. Since \(N + 1\) is divisible by 12, the product of an even cube by \(N\) is congruent to its negative modulo 96. Hence

\[
6^2N \equiv -24, \quad 22^2N \equiv 8, \quad 14^2N \equiv 40 \quad \text{(mod 96)}.
\]

Adding 24, we get 0, 32, 64, respectively. The same proof holds for \(N = 47 + 48l\).

For \(N = 7\) or 31 (mod 48), the preceding proof is to be modified as for \(N = 19 + 48l\).

This completes the proof of Theorem III.

If \(0 < n < 23^3N\) in Lemma 9, write \(\Gamma = \gamma - 96\). Then

\[
n = N\Gamma^3 + 6\mu \quad \text{(mod 96)}, \quad n \geq N\Gamma^3.
\]

If \(n\) is negative, write \(\Gamma = \gamma - 96w\), and choose a positive integer \(w\) so that \(n \geq N\Gamma^3\). If \(n > 23^3N\), take \(\Gamma = \gamma\). In every case, (41) holds. As in the proof of Lemma 2, this implies

**Theorem IV.** If \(N\) is any integer prime to 6, every integer is represented by \(N\Gamma^3 + 6(\zeta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2)\), where the integer \(\Gamma\) may be negative.

8. Representation of all large numbers. We prove the following theorem.
Theorem V. For \( l = 1, 2, 3, 4, \) or \( 5, \) \( F_i = l y^3 + C; \) represents all sufficiently large integers.*

Let \( r \) be the real ninth root of \( 12/(6.9 + l)) \). Then \( r > 1 \). The number of primes \( \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \) which exceed \( x \) and are \( \leq rx \) is known to increase indefinitely with \( x \). Choose as \( x \) the first radical in (42). Hence for all sufficiently large integers \( n \), there exist at least ten primes \( p \) such that

\[
\left( \frac{n}{12} \right)^{1/9} < p \leq \left( \frac{n}{6.9 + l} \right)^{1/9}, \quad p = 2 \pmod{3}.
\]

The product of the ten primes exceeds \( (n/12)^{10/9} \) and hence exceeds \( n \) if \( n > 12^{10} \). Hence not all ten are divisors of \( n \). Henceforth, let \( p \) be a prime \( > 1 \) not dividing \( n \) and satisfying (42). By Lemma 1 there exist integers \( \delta \) and \( M \) satisfying

\[
n = l \delta^3 \pmod{p^3}, \quad n - l \delta^3 = p^3M, \quad 0 < \delta < p^3.
\]

By (42), \( (6.9 + l)p^9 \leq n < 12p^9 \). Hence

\[
(6.9 + l)p^9 - l p^9 \leq n - l \delta^3 = p^3M, \quad p^3M < n < 12p^9.
\]

Cancellation of factors \( p^3 \) gives

\[
6.9p^8 < M < 12p^8.
\]

Write \( M = N + 6p^6 \). Then \( 0.9p^8 < N < 6p^6 \). Let \( p \geq 11 \). Then \( N > 22p^8 \). By Lemma 2 with \( e = 0, \) \( N \) can be represented by \( \gamma^3 + 6(d_1^2 + d_2^3 + d_3^3) \) with \( \gamma \geq 0 \). If any \( |d_i| \geq p^3, \) then \( N \geq 6p^6 \), contrary to the above. Hence in

\[
n = l \delta^3 + p^3 M = l \delta^3 + 6p^8 + p^3 \gamma^3 + 6p^3 (d_1^3 + d_2^3 + d_3^3)
\]

\[
= l \delta^3 + (p \gamma)^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} [(p^3 + d_i^3)^3 + (p^3 - d_i^3)^3],
\]

each cube is \( \geq 0 \). This proves Theorem V.

The following second proof applies to numbers exceeding a much smaller limit. For \( n \) sufficiently large, there exist seven primes \( P \) satisfying

\[
(n/12)^{1/6} < P \leq (n/C)^{1/6}, \quad P = 2 \pmod{3}, \quad C < 12.
\]

The earlier discussion applies when \( p^3 \) is replaced by \( P^2 \) and gives

\[
n = l \delta^3 + P^2 M, \quad M = N + 6P^4, \quad (C - l - 6)P^4 < N < 6P^4.
\]

Thus $N \geq 23^n P$ if

$$l + 6 + \left(\frac{23}{P}\right)^3 \leq C < 12,$$

which holds if $C = l + 6.9$. Then by Theorem III, $N$ is represented by $P\gamma^8 + 6(d_1^3 + d_2^3 + d_3^3)$ with $\gamma \geq 0$. Hence

$$n = l8^3 + (P\gamma)^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} [(P^2 + d_i)^3 + (P^2 - d_i)^3],$$

where each cube is $\geq 0$, since each $|d_i| < P^2$.

We may now readily verify that all integers of a wide range are sums of eight cubes. For $P > 1150$, (44) is satisfied if $C = 7.00001$. Take $n = Cm^4$. Then (43) gives

$$rm < P \leq m, \quad r = (C/12)^{1/6}, \quad \log r = 1.9609862.$$ 

Start with $m = 1500$. Then $rm = 1371.1$. The ten primes $=2$ (mod 3) between 1371 and 1500 are

$$1373, 1409, 1427, 1433, 1439, 1451, 1481, 1487, 1493, 1499.$$ 

Equating the fourth to $rm'$, we get $m' = 1567.7$. Hence the last seven primes serve for every $m$ from 1500 to $m'$. Repeating with $m'$ in place of $m$, we get as further $P$'s 1511, 1523, 1553, 1559. Hence 1487, 1493, 1499, and these four serve for every $m$ from $m'$ to 1626.7. We advance similarly to 1705.5, 1751.4, and $M = 1771.2$. But the four primes between $M$ and the seventh prime 1733 serving for the third interval are all $=1$ (mod 3). We may employ 41 and the last six of the seven primes, since their product by 41 exceeds the $n$ corresponding to $M$, since (43) holds when $P = 41$, and since Lemma 1 holds when $p$ is replaced by any product $P$ of primes each $=2$ (mod 3). Hence we advance from $M$ to 1637/$r = 1790.9$, and thence to 1823.7 (again using 41), 1856.5, $\mu = 1869.6$. Lacking new primes $=2$ (mod 3), we use $P = 11 \cdot 167$ and note that the product of 11 and the last six of the seven primes exceeds the $n$ corresponding to $\mu$. We therefore advance to 1882.7. The next 13 steps proceed to 3307.1 by means of primes only, the number of available new primes being 2, 1, 5, 7, 7, 6, 4, 9, 8, 7, 11, 12, 10 respectively.

We may also proceed from 1500 to smaller values of $m$. Without new device, we reach 1163. For the next step we have available only five primes 1091, 1097, 1103, 1109, 1151, and $P = 5 \cdot 227, 11 \cdot 101, 23 \cdot 47$. The advance to 1061/$r = 1160.7$ requires the verification that the integers $n$ in the interval
which are divisible by the five primes and one of the factors of each of the three $P$'s are actually sums of 8 cubes. With occasionally a like verification, we may advance in 26 steps to 821. The next step would involve serious additional verifications, since there are available only 761, 773, 797, 809, 11 · 71, 17 · 47 as values of $P$.

The $n$ corresponding to the final $m = 821$ is $10^{17}(21.436)$. Employing technical theory of primes, Baer* proved that every integer $> 23 \cdot 10^{14}$ is a sum of eight cubes. The interest of our work lies in its very elementary character.

By two applications of Lemma 6 with $t = 1$, $B = 8043$, we find that every integer between 8043 and 227, 297, 300 is a sum of eight cubes. This limit is nearly 4% larger than that obtained by Lemma 3.

* Beiträge zum Waringschen Problem, Dissertation, Göttingen, 1913.
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