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1. Introduction

A curvilinear congruence in ordinary space is customarily defined to be a two-parameter family of curves. The differential geometry of curvilinear congruences has been studied notably by Darboux† and Eisenhart. ‡ If the curves of a curvilinear congruence are straight lines it is called a rectilinear congruence.

The projective differential geometry of a surface in ordinary space has been greatly enriched by the consideration of certain rectilinear congruences associated with the surface, the lines of each congruence and the points of the surface being in one-to-one correspondence. But little has been done in the way of extending this theory to include curvilinear congruences similarly associated with a surface. The purpose of this paper is to begin the study of the projective differential geometry of the configuration composed of a surface and a curvilinear congruence, the points of the surface and the curves of the congruence being in one-to-one correspondence.

In §2 a few preliminary ideas about curvilinear congruences are explained. In §3 the analytic foundations are laid for the study of the configuration before us. §4 is devoted to a special type of congruence, namely a congruence of plane curves one of which lies in each tangent plane of a surface. Still more specially, conics in the tangent planes of a surface are considered in §5, and plane cubic curves in §6. Finally §7 contains some general considerations concerning a curvilinear congruence of which a given surface is a transversal surface; the special case in which the curves are conics is discussed briefly.

2. Curvilinear Congruences

The purpose of this section is to explain a few preliminary ideas about curvilinear congruences in ordinary space.

A curvilinear congruence may be represented analytically in the following way. Let us suppose that the four homogeneous coördinates \(x^1, \ldots, x^4\) of a point \(P_x\) in ordinary projective space are given as analytic functions of three (and not fewer) independent variables \(t, u, v\) by equations of the form

---

* Presented to the Society, April 9, 1932; received by the editors February 3, 1932.
‡ Eisenhart, Congruences of curves, these Transactions, vol. 4 (1903), p. 470.

676
If we hold \( u = \text{const.} \), \( v = \text{const.} \) while \( t \) varies, the locus of the point \( P_z \) is a curve \( C_t \). The totality of all such curves, obtained by giving different pairs of fixed values to \( u, v \) while \( t \) varies, is a curvilinear congruence \( \Gamma_t \).

If we hold \( t = \text{const.} \) while \( u, v \) vary, the locus of the point \( P_z \) is a surface called a transversal surface \( S_{uv} \) of the congruence \( \Gamma_t \). The tangent plane of \( S_{uv} \) at a point \( P_z \) is determined by the three points \( x, x_u, x_v \), and ordinarily does not contain the tangent line of the curve \( C_t \) at \( P_z \), which is determined by the two points \( x, x_t \). Consequently the four points \( x, x_t, x_u, x_v \) are ordinarily not coplanar, and then we have the inequality

\[
(x, x_t, x_u, x_v) \neq 0,
\]
a determinant being indicated by writing only a typical row within parentheses.

In the presence of the inequality (2) it is easy to show that the coördinates \( x \) are solutions of a completely integrable system of six linear homogeneous partial differential equations of the second order expressing each of the second partial derivatives of \( x \) as a linear combination of \( x, x_t, x_u, x_v \). This system can be conveniently written in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{uu} &= px + ax_u + Cx_v + Lx_t,
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{uv} &= qx + Px_u + \beta x_v + N x_t, \\
x_{tt} &= rx + Rx_u + Ax_v + \gamma x_t, \\
x_{uu} &= cx + ax_u + bx_v + M x_t, \\
x_{vt} &= nx + Qx_u + lx_v + m x_t, \\
x_{tu} &= hx + gx_u + B x_v + fx_t.
\end{align*}
\]

In his Columbia doctoral dissertation G. M. Green used a system of the same form* in studying triple systems of surfaces. Since Green calculated the integrability conditions for his system we shall not rewrite them here, although Green's notation differs somewhat from ours.

Those exceptional points of a curve \( C_t \) at which the equation

\[
(x, x_t, x_u, x_v) = 0
\]
is valid are called focal points of \( C_t \). The locus of a focal point of \( C_t \), as \( C_t \) varies over the congruence \( \Gamma_t \), is spoken of as a focal surface of \( \Gamma_t \). Any equation of the form

\[
\]
defines a surface generated by a curve $C_t$ when $u$ varies; such a surface is called simply a surface of the congruence $\Gamma_t$. It is known* that at a point of a focal surface all surfaces of a congruence are tangent to each other.

A surface of a congruence $\Gamma_t$ on which the curves $C_t$ have an envelope is called a principal surface of $\Gamma_t$. It is known that each envelope curve lies on a focal surface, and that each envelope curve is a singular curve of the principal surface on which it lies. There are ordinarily as many principal surfaces through a generator $C_t$ as there are foci on $C_t$. In the special case of a rectilinear congruence each generator has ordinarily two foci, so that the congruence has ordinarily two focal surfaces (or a focal surface of two sheets); the principal surfaces are developables, of which there are two through each generator.

3. Analytic basis

The analytic foundations for the general projective theory of a surface in ordinary space will first of all be surveyed. Then the analytic basis for the projective study of a surface and a curvilinear congruence with the points of the surface and the curves of the congruence in one-to-one correspondence will be established.

When the four homogeneous coordinates $x$ of a point $P_x$ in ordinary space are given as analytic functions of two (and not fewer) independent variables $u, v$, the locus of $P_x$, as $u, v$ vary, is a proper analytic surface $S$. When the surface $S$ is not ruled and is referred to its asymptotic curves, the coordinates $x$ are known to satisfy a system of two equations of the second order which can be written in Fubini’s canonical form

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{uu} &= px + \theta_u x_u + \beta x_v, \\
x_{vv} &= qx + \gamma x_u + \theta_v x_v
\end{align*}
$$

(6) $\theta = \log \beta \gamma$.

The coefficients of these equations are functions of $u, v$ and satisfy three integrability conditions which can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
l_v &= \beta \gamma \phi, \\
m_v &= \beta \gamma \psi, \\
\beta_{vv} - \beta m_v - 2 \beta v_v &= \gamma_{uu} - \gamma l_u - 2\gamma u_u
\end{align*}
$$

(7)

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi &= (\log \beta \gamma^2)_u, & l &= 2p + \beta \psi + \theta^2/2 - \theta_{uu}, \\
\psi &= (\log \beta^2 \gamma)_v, & m &= 2q + \gamma \phi + \theta^2/2 - \theta_{vv}.
\end{align*}
$$

* Darboux, loc. cit., p. 4.
The points \( x, x_u, x_v, x_{uv} \) are ordinarily not coplanar and may be used as the vertices of a local tetrahedron of reference with a unit point chosen so that a point \( X \) defined by an expression of the form

\[
X = fx + gx_u + hx_v + kx_{uv}
\]

shall have local coördinates proportional to the coefficients \( f, g, h, k \), which are supposed to be not all zero. Let the coefficients \( f, g, h, k \) be functions of \( u, v \) and a third variable \( t \). Now equation (9) is of the same form as equation (1), and defines a congruence \( \Gamma_t \) whose generators \( C_t \) are in one-to-one correspondence with the points \( P_z \) of the surface \( S \).

In order to determine analytically the foci of the curve \( C_t \) corresponding to a point \( P_z \) we proceed as follows. Differentiation gives immediately

\[
\begin{align*}
X_t &= f_t x + g_t x_u + h_t x_v + k_t x_{uv}, \\
X_u &= f_u x + (f + g_u) x_u + h_u x_v + (h + k_u) x_{uv} + g x_{uu} + k x_{uv}, \\
X_v &= f_v x + g_v x_u + (f + h_v) x_v + (g + k_v) x_{uv} + h x_{vv} + k x_{uvv}.
\end{align*}
\]

By means of system (6) and equations obtained therefrom by differentiation, each of \( X_u, X_v \) can be expressed as a linear combination of \( x, x_u, x_v, x_{uv} \). Then substituting \( X, X_t, X_u, X_v \) in equation (4) in place of \( x, x_t, x_u, x_v \) respectively and reducing by means of elementary properties of determinants we obtain the desired equation for determining the foci of the curve \( C_t \), namely,

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\begin{array}{cccc}
    f_t & g_t & h_t & k_t \\
    f & g & h & k \\
    f_u + g p + h q + k r + p & g_u + h r + k p + q & h_u + g p + h q + r & k_u + g q + h r \\
    f_v + g q + h r + k p + q & g_v + h r + k p + q & h_v + g r + h q + p & k_v + g r + h q
\end{array}
\end{vmatrix} = 0.
\]

It will be observed that the left member is merely the determinant of the local coördinates of the four points \( X, X_t, X_u, X_v \). When this equation is solved for \( t \) as a function of \( u, v \) the resulting equation

\[
t = t(u, v)
\]

may be regarded as giving the parameter \( t \) of a focal point of a curve \( C_t \) when \( u, v \) are fixed. When \( u, v \) are variable, equation (12) may be thought of as the curvilinear equation of a focal surface of the congruence \( \Gamma_t \).

It is frequently of interest to know the direction \( dv/du \) through a point \( P_z \) in which \( P_z \) varies when the corresponding curve \( C_t \) varies tangent to its envelope at a focal point \( X \). Such a direction is such that the points \( X, X_t, X' \) are collinear, where we have placed

\[
X' = X_u + X_v \lambda \quad (\lambda = dv/du),
\]

and it is understood that \( t \) is given by (12) as a solution of equation (11).
4. CURVES IN THE TANGENT PLANES

The foregoing considerations will now be somewhat specialized, by sup-
posing that the curves $C_t$ of the congruence $\Gamma_t$ are distributed in the various
tangent planes of the surface $S$. Congruences of curves, one of which lies in
each tangent plane of a surface, are found to have interesting special prop-
erties. For example, there is a definite relation between the direction to a focal
point of a curve and the corresponding direction through the contact point
of the plane of the curve, which will be explained later on in this section.

Analytically, a congruence $\Gamma_t$ of curves $C_t$, one of which lies in each tan-
gent plane of a surface $S$, is defined by equation (9) with $k = 0$. In this case
equation (11) is materially simplified, as is apparent on inspection. Moreover
when $t$ is a solution of this simplified equation it is immediately evident that
the points $X, X_t, X'$ are collinear if, and only if,

\[(14) \quad h + \lambda g = 0.\]

Such a point $X$ is, as we have already seen, a focal point of the curve $C_t$. If
the focal point $X$ does not coincide with the point $P_z$, i.e., if not both of $h, g$
vanish, then equation (14) asserts that the direction $h/g$ from $P_z$ to the focal
point $X$ is the negative of the corresponding direction $\lambda$. Geometrically this
means that the two directions are conjugate-directions. Thus we have proved
the following theorem.

At a point $P_z$ of a surface $S$ the tangent line from $P_z$ to a focal point of a curve
$C_t$ in the tangent plane of $S$ at $P_z$, and the tangent line in the corresponding
direction at $P_z$ are conjugate tangents.

This theorem is a generalization of one of Green's well known theorems. To obtain Green's theorem* we suppose that the generator $C_t$ is a straight line
$l$ crossing the asymptotic tangents through $P_z$ in the points $\rho, \sigma$ defined by the
formulas

\[(15) \quad \rho = x_u - bx, \quad \sigma = x_v - ax,\]

wherein $a, b$ are functions of $u, v$. Any point $X$ on the line $l$ is defined by plac-
ing

\[(16) \quad X = \rho + t\sigma.\]

Comparison of the formulas (9), (16) gives

\[(17) \quad f = -b - at, \quad g = 1, \quad h = t, \quad k = 0.\]

* Green, Memoir on the theory of surfaces and rectilinear congruences, these Transactions, vol. 20
(1919), p. 94.
With these values equation (11) reduces to

\[ F + (b_v - a_u)t - G t^2 = 0, \]

where \( F, G \) are defined by the formulas

\[ F = p - b_u + b\theta_u - b^2 + a\beta, \quad G = q - a_u + a\theta_v - a^2 + b\gamma. \]

Equation (14) becomes simply

\[ t + \lambda = 0. \]

The tangent through \( P_z \) in the direction \( \lambda \) was called by Green a \( \Gamma \)-tangent. So we have by this specialization arrived at Green's theorem that the conjugate of a \( \Gamma \)-tangent passes through the corresponding focal point of the line \( l \).

5. Conics in the Tangent Planes

The theory of the preceding section will now be further specialized by supposing that the curves considered in the tangent planes of a surface are all conics. Moreover, we shall be interested in the conics only when the position of each in its plane is restricted in a way which we proceed immediately to explain.

Let us consider a congruence of non-singular conics with the following properties. At each point \( P_z \) of an integral surface \( S \) of system (6) the tangent plane of \( S \) contains just one conic \( C \). The conic \( C \) does not pass through \( P_z \), and is tangent to the asymptotic tangents through \( P_z \) at the points \( \rho, \sigma \) defined by the formulas (15). The local equations of such a conic \( C \) referred to the tetrahedron \( x, \rho, \sigma, x_{uv} \) with suitably chosen unit point are

\[ y_4 = c^2 y_2 y_3 - y_1^2 = 0, \]

where \( c \) is a non-vanishing function of \( u, v \). A parametric representation of this conic is

\[ y_1 = ct, \quad y_2 = 1, \quad y_3 = t^2, \quad y_4 = 0. \]

Here \( t \) is the direction from the point \( P_z \) to the point on the conic \( C \) whose parameter value is \( t \). In fact, the general coördinates \( X \) of the latter point are given by the formula

\[ X = c t x + \rho + t^2 \sigma. \]

Consequently the local equations of the line \( xX \) referred to the tetrahedron we are now using are

\[ y_4 = y_3 - t^2 y_2 = 0. \]

Hence \( y_2/y_3 = t^2 \), and it is in this sense that we speak of \( t^2 \) as a direction.
It is known* that each conic $C$ has six foci. These foci may be found in the following way. In the formula (23) let us replace $p, \sigma$ by the expressions defining them in (15). Then comparison of the result with the formula (9) gives

$$(25) \quad f = ct - b - at^2, \quad g = 1, \quad h = t^2, \quad k = 0.$$ 

With these values of $f, g, h, k$, equation (11) reduces to

$$(26) \quad r^2 - 2Gt/c - [2a - \theta_v + 2(\log c)_v]t^4 + 2(b_v - a_u)t^3/c + [2b - \theta_u + 2(\log c)_u]t^2 + 2Ft/c - \beta = 0.$$ 

Solution of this equation for $t$ and substitution of the roots into the formula (23) give the six foci of the conic $C$.

An interesting special case is that in which the foci of the line $l$ are indeterminate. On inspection of equation (18) it becomes evident that the foci of the line $l$ are indeterminate if, and only if,

$$(27) \quad F = 0, \quad b_v - a_u = 0, \quad G = 0.$$ 

But these are evidently necessary and sufficient conditions that equation (26) contain only even powers of $t$. In this case equation (14) becomes

$$(28) \quad t^2 + \lambda = 0.$$ 

It follows that both values of $t$ corresponding to any one of the three possible values of $\lambda$ satisfy equation (26). Thus we have proved the following theorem.

The six foci of a conic $C$ lie by pairs on three lines through the corresponding point $P_z$ if, and only if, the foci of the line $l$, which is the polar line of $P_z$ with respect to $C$, are indeterminate.

In particular, the three lines mentioned in the foregoing theorem may possibly be the tangents of Segre at the point $P_z$, whose equations are

$$(29) \quad y_\lambda = \beta y_3 - \gamma y_3^3 = 0.$$ 

In this case the corresponding directions are the directions of Darboux for which $\lambda = -(\beta/\gamma)_{1/3}$. From equation (28) we have $t^2 = -\lambda$. Substituting in equation (26) and taking account of (27) we find that a necessary and sufficient condition that the three lines mentioned in the above theorem be the tangents of Segre is that the function $c$ be a solution of the two differential equations

$$(30) \quad 2(\log c)_v = \theta_v - 2a, \quad 2(\log c)_u = \theta_u - 2b.$$ 

The integrability condition of these two equations is the second of equations (27) and is therefore satisfied by hypothesis.

* Darboux, loc. cit., p. 5.
Equations (30) can be reduced to a different form by introducing a function \( \mu \) defined by the equations

\[
(\log \mu)_u = b, \quad (\log \mu)_v = a.
\]

Thus equations (30) are seen to be equivalent to the single equation

\[
\mu^2c^2 = n\beta\gamma \quad (n = \text{const.}).
\]

Conics of the type being considered in this section occur in the theory of conjugate nets. A conjugate net on an integral surface of system (6) may be defined analytically by a curvilinear differential equation of the form

\[
dv^2 - \lambda^2du^2 = 0
\]

in which \( \lambda \) is a non-vanishing function of \( u, v \). This conjugate net determines a pencil of conjugate nets

\[
dv^2 - \lambda^2e^2du^2 = 0 \quad (e = \text{const.}).
\]

One of the two ray-points, or Laplace transformed points, corresponding to a point \( P_x \), is given by the formula

\[
(r" + rrv - \beta - 6ur + 6vr^2 + \gamma r^3)x + 2r(xu - rxv)
\]

in which \( r = \lambda e; \) the other ray-point is given by the same formula with the sign of \( r \) changed. The line joining these two points is known to envelop a conic when \( e \) varies, called* the ray-conic of the pencil. The equations of this conic are

\[
y = \beta\gamma y_2y_3 - y_1^2 = 0
\]

when referred to the tetrahedron \( x, \rho, \sigma, x_{uv} \) with suitably chosen unit point, the points \( \rho, \sigma \) being defined by formulas (15) in which \( a, b \) are now given by

\[
2a = \theta_v + (\log \lambda)_v, \quad 2b = \theta_u - (\log \lambda)_u.
\]

The line \( \rho\sigma \) is called in this case the flex-ray of the pencil.

Comparison of equations (21), (36) shows that the conic (21) is the ray-conic of the pencil (34) in case

\[
c^2 = \beta\gamma
\]

and \( a, b \) are given by (37). Let us suppose that the foci of the flex-ray are indeterminate, and consider the consequences. The second of equations (27) now reduces to \((\log \lambda)_uv = 0\). Therefore the fundamental conjugate net (33) is isothermally conjugate. It is known that by a transformation of parameters

* Lane, *A general theory of conjugate nets*, these Transactions, vol. 23 (1922), p. 293.
we can make \( \lambda = 1 \). Then the first and last of equations (27) reduce by means of (19) and (8) to

\[
\beta_v = l, \quad \gamma_u = m.
\]

Moreover the first two of the integrability conditions (7) become

\[
\beta_{uv} = \beta \gamma \phi, \quad \gamma_{uu} = \beta \gamma \psi,
\]

while the third integrability condition is satisfied identically. Thus we reach the following conclusion.

*Equations* (39), (38) *define a class of surfaces on each of which there exists an isothermally conjugate net determining a pencil (of such nets) whose flex-ray at each point \( P_x \) has indeterminate foci and whose ray-conic has its six foci lying by pairs on three straight lines through the point \( P_x \).*

If we go on and demand that the three straight lines of the foregoing theorem be the tangents of Segre at each point \( P_x \), we find by use of (32), (31), (37) that \( du = dv = 0 \), so that \( \beta \gamma = \text{const} \). This rather restricted class of surfaces would seem to be of considerable interest. For instance, Fubini’s canonical form of the system (6) is identical with Wilczynski’s canonical form. The flex-ray is the reciprocal of the projective normal. Hence the projective normal is the line called* the *cusp-axis* of the pencil (34).

6. *Cubics in the tangent planes*

Returning now to the more general considerations of §4, we again specialize the curves in the tangent planes of a surface. This time we suppose that they are cubic curves of a certain type which has occurred frequently in the study of the projective differential geometry of the surface.

Let us consider an integral surface \( S \) of system (6) and associated with \( S \) a curvilinear congruence of plane cubic curves, such that there is one \( C \) of these cubics in the tangent plane at each point \( P_x \) of \( S \). Let us suppose that the cubic \( C \) is non-degenerate and has the following properties. The cubic \( C \) has a node at \( P_x \), and has the asymptotic tangents at \( P_x \) for nodal tangents. The three inflexions of the cubic \( C \) lie on the straight line \( l \) that crosses the asymptotic tangents at the points \( \rho, \sigma \) defined by the formulas (15); finally, there is one of these inflexions on each of the tangents of Darboux, whose equations referred to the tetrahedron \( x, \rho, \sigma, x_{uv} \) with suitably chosen unit

\[
y_4 = \beta y_2^3 + \gamma y_3^3 = 0.
\]

\* Lane, loc. cit., p. 292.
The equations of such a cubic have the form

\begin{equation}
\gamma_4 = 2sy_1y_3y_3 - \beta y_2^2 - \gamma y_3^2 = 0,
\end{equation}

where \( s \) is a non-vanishing function of \( u, v \). A parametric representation of this cubic is

\begin{equation}
y_1 = (\beta + \gamma t^3)/s, \quad y_2 = 2t, \quad y_3 = 2t^2, \quad y_4 = 0,
\end{equation}

where \( t \) is the direction from the point \( P_x \) to the point \( X \) with parameter value \( t \) on the cubic. In fact, the general coordinates \( X \) of the latter point are given by the formula

\begin{equation}
X = (\beta + \gamma t^3)x/s + 2tp + 2t^2 \sigma.
\end{equation}

To obtain the foci of the cubic (41) we may substitute into the formula (43) the expressions for \( \rho, \sigma \) given by (15). Then comparison of the resulting formula with the formula (9) gives

\begin{equation}
f = (\beta + \gamma t^3)/s - 2t(b + at), \quad g = 2t, \quad h = 2t^2, \quad k = 0.
\end{equation}

With these values of \( f, g, h, k \) equation (11) determines the values of \( t \) which give the foci. We shall write the result only in the special case \( s = 1 \). In this case equation (11) reduces to

\begin{equation}
\gamma^2t^6 + 2\gamma(\psi - 4a)t^5 + 2[\gamma(\phi - 2b) - 2G]t^4 - 4(\phi - a)t^3
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
- 2[\beta(\psi - 2a) - 2F]t^2 + 2\beta(\phi - 4b)t - \beta^2 = 0,
\end{equation}

the solution \( t = 0 \) having been excluded.

As in the case of congruences of conics discussed in the preceding section, there are also interesting connections here with the theory of pencils of conjugate nets. The locus of the ray-point (35) when \( e \) varies is a cubic curve of just the type we are considering here and called* the ray-point cubic of the pencil (34). Its equations are of the form (41) with \( s = 1 \) and with \( a, b \) given by (37). In this case equation (45) reduces to

\begin{equation}
\gamma^2t^6 - 2\gamma(\log \lambda^2 \gamma)t^5 + 2[\gamma(\log \lambda \gamma)u - 2G]t^4 - 4(\log \lambda)u t^3
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
- 2[\beta(\log \beta/\lambda)u - 2F]t^2 + 2\beta(\log \beta/\lambda^2)u - \beta^2 = 0.
\end{equation}

We may remark that if the fundamental conjugate net (33) is isothermally conjugate, we can again make \( \lambda = 1 \). Then if \( \gamma_s = \beta_u = 0 \) equation (46) contains only even powers of \( t \). Consequently in this case the six foci of the ray-point cubic lie on three pairs of conjugate tangents through the point \( P_x \).

* Lane, loc. cit., p. 290.
Green in the memoir of 1919 previously cited calls a rectilinear congruence \( \Gamma' \) with respect to a surface \( S \) in case there is just one line \( l' \) of the congruence through each point \( P \) of \( S \) and not in the tangent plane of \( S \) at \( P \). It is now proposed to replace the rectilinear congruence \( \Gamma' \) by a curvilinear congruence \( \Gamma'' \) with the property that there is just one curve \( C' \) of this congruence through each point \( P \) of the surface \( S \), and with the further property that the tangent line of this curve is a line \( l' \) in the sense of Green, so that it does not lie in the tangent plane of \( S \) at \( P \). The surface \( S \) is then a transversal surface, not a focal surface, of the congruence \( \Gamma' \).

In order to represent a curvilinear congruence \( \Gamma' \) analytically let us inspect the formulas (9), (10). Let us suppose that the transversal surface \( S \) is given by \( t = 0 \) in the formula (9). Then we have

\[
(47) \quad f_0 \neq 0, \quad g_0 = h_0 = k_0 = 0,
\]

the subscript zero indicating that we have placed \( t = 0 \) in the functions to which it is attached. If now the tangent of the curve \( C' \) through the point \( P_x \) does not lie in the tangent plane of the surface \( S \), the first of equations (10) shows that we must have

\[
k_{t0} \neq 0.
\]

Under these conditions the curvilinear congruence is a curvilinear congruence \( \Gamma' \).

The first problem that suggests itself is to determine the developables and focal surfaces of the rectilinear congruence of tangents to the curves of the congruence \( \Gamma' \) at the points of the surface \( S \). The tangent line of the curve \( C' \) at the point \( P_x \) is determined by \( P_x \) and by the point \( y \) defined by placing

\[
y = -ax_u - bx_v + x_{uv},
\]

where \( a, b \) are given by

\[
(49) \quad a = -g_{t0}/k_{t0}, \quad b = -h_{t0}/k_{t0}.
\]

The developables and focal surfaces may then be found by familiar methods used by Green in the memoir cited or by the author in his recent book,* and need not be discussed further here.

Another problem is to study the linear complexes with contact of as high order as possible with the curvilinear congruence \( \Gamma' \) at the point \( P_x \) of the surface \( S \) and along the curve \( C' \) of \( \Gamma' \) through \( P_x \). This problem has been

considered* by Green. He found a pencil of linear complexes with contact of
the first order and discussed their rectilinear congruence of intersection.

Let us now consider a congruence \( T' \) of conics. To write the equations of
the conic \( C' \) through a point \( P_x \) and tangent to the line \( l' \) joining \( P_x \) to a point
\( y \) defined by an equation of the form (48) we proceed as follows. We first write
the equation of any plane through the line \( l' \) and meeting the tangent plane
of the surface \( S \) in a straight line through \( P_x \) with the direction \( \lambda \). Then we
write the equation of a cone with its vertex at the point \( x_{uv} \) and passing
through the point \( P_x \), being tangent to the line \( l' \) at \( P_x \). These two equations
regarded as simultaneous are the required equations of the conic \( C' \). Referred
to the tetrahedron \( x, x_u, x_v, x_{uv} \) with suitably chosen unit point they can be
written in the respective forms

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda x_2 - x_3 + (a\lambda - b)x_4 &= 0, \\
Bx_2^2 + Hx_2x_3 + Cx_3^2 + x_1(bx_2 - ax_3) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( B, H, C \) are arbitrary functions of \( u, v, \) except that we must have

\[
(a\lambda - b)(a^2B^2 + abH + b^2C) \neq 0
\]

if the conic \( C' \) is to be a proper conic.

A parametric representation of the conic \( C' \) is found to be

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= (a\lambda - b)(B + Ht + Ct^2), \\
x_2 &= (a\lambda - b)(at - b), \\
x_3 &= (a\lambda - b)(at - b)t, \\
x_4 &= (t - \lambda)(at - b).
\end{align*}
\]

The parameter \( t \) is the direction of the line in which the tangent plane at the
point \( P_x \) is met by the plane through the projective normal \( xx_{uv} \) and
the point on the conic \( C' \) with parameter-value \( t \). Evidently a new parameter \( \tilde{t} \),
defined for example by placing \( \tilde{t} = at - b \), could be introduced so that \( \tilde{t} = 0 \)
would give the point \( P_x \) as supposed earlier in this section. But we may in-
stead continue to use the directional parameter \( t \) in the present situation.

Equations (51) show that the conic \( C' \) meets the tangent plane \( x_4 = 0 \) in
the two points for which

\[
t = \frac{b}{a}, \quad t = \lambda.
\]

The first of these points is the point \( x \) itself. The second is the point whose
cordinates are

It is suggested that one of the three arbitrary coefficients \( B, H, C \) could be disposed of by demanding that the point (53) lie on the line \( l \) which is reciprocal to \( l' \). A second could be used up by making the tangent to the conic at this point meet the line \( l' \) in a prescribed point; and the last one could be chosen so as to make the conic pass through a given point in its plane. But we shall not consider these matters further here.

The foci of the conic \( C' \) can be found by using the coordinates \( x_1, \ldots, x_4 \) as given in (51) in place of \( f, g, h, k \) in equation (11), but we shall not perform the calculations on this occasion.
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