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I. Introduction. 1.1. Surface spherical harmonics. Let \( \Omega \) denote the surface of the unit sphere in Euclidean 3-space, whose center is the origin \( O \) of a system of Cartesian coordinates \( x, y, z \). Let \( Q \) denote a point on \( \Omega \). The function \( Y_n(Q) \) is said to be a surface spherical harmonic of degree \( n \) if \( H_n(x, y, z) \) is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree \( n \) and \( H_n(x, y, z) = r^n Y_n(Q) \) where \( (x, y, z) \) lies on the line through \( O \) and \( Q \) at a distance \( r \) from \( O \).

1.2. Laplace series. If \( f(Q) \) is a Lebesgue integrable function on \( \Omega \), the Laplace series of \( f(Q) \) is a series of surface spherical harmonics \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \) where \( Y_n(Q) \) is defined by \( Y_n(Q) = \frac{(2n+1)/4\pi}{\int_{\Omega} f(M) P_n([M, Q]) d\Omega M} \). Here \([M, Q]\) denotes the inner product of the unit vectors \( OM \) and \( OQ \) and \( P_n([M, Q]) \) denotes the Legendre polynomial of order \( n \).

In this paper necessary and sufficient conditions for the Cesaro summability of series of surface spherical harmonics are obtained. The analogous results for trigonometric series were given by Plessner [11, p. 256]. In the field of Laplace series sufficient conditions for Cesaro summability was obtained by Gronwall [4, p. 213] and by Fejer [3, p. 267] and a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of a particular class of Laplace series were obtained by V. L. Shapiro [9, p. 514]. The latter also obtained sufficient conditions for the Cesaro summability of series of surface spherical harmonics [8, p. 212].

II. Generalized Laplacians. 2.1. Definition. For a point \( P \) on \( \Omega \) let \( C(P, h') \) denote the circle of intersection of \( \Omega \) and the sphere of radius \( 2 \sin (h'/2) \), \( 0 < h' < \pi \), whose center is at \( P \). Let \( f(Q) \) be a function defined in the neighborhood \( D(P, h') = \{ Q \in \Omega \mid [Q, P] \geq \cos h' \} \) of \( P \), and integrable on the circumference of every circle \( C(P, h) \) contained in this neighborhood. If \( \frac{1}{2\pi \sin h} \int_{C(P, h)} f(Q) d\Omega Q \) has an expansion of the form:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi \sin h} \int_{C(P, h)} f(Q) d\Omega Q = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \frac{(1 - \cos h)}{2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{(2!)^2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^2}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{\alpha_r}{(r!)^2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^r}{2^r} + o(1 - \cos h)^r, \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]
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we say that \( f(Q) \) has an \( r \)-th generalized Laplacian at the point \( P \) and denote it by \( \Delta_r f(P) \). This generalized Laplacian is defined from the expansion (2.1.1) by setting

\[
\Delta_0 f(P) = a_0, \quad \Delta(\Delta + 1) \cdots \Delta \left( k \text{ times} \right) f(P) = a_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, r,
\]

where \( \Delta \cdot \Delta \cdots \cdot \Delta (k \text{ times}) = \Delta_k \) and \( \Delta_k \cdot \Delta_j = \Delta_{k+j} \) for \( j, k \geq 0 \) and \( j + k \leq r \). Thus if \( \int_{C(P, h)} f(Q) ds_Q \) has the expansion (2.1.1) then:

\[
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sin h \int_{C(P, h)} f(Q) ds_Q = \Delta_0 f(P) + \Delta_1 f(P) + \frac{\Delta(\Delta + 1 \cdot 2) f(P)}{2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^2}{2^2} + \cdots
\]

\[
+ \frac{\Delta(\Delta + 1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta + (r - 1) r] f(P)}{(r!)^2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^r}{2^r} + o(1 - \cos h)^r,
\]

\( r = 0, 1, \cdots \).

It is clear that if \( \Delta_s f(P) \) exists then \( \Delta_s f(P), 0 \leq s \leq r \), exists. \( \Delta^{(r)} f(P) \) shall denote the \( k \)-th Laplace-Beltrami operator on \( f(Q) \) at the point \( P, k = 0, 1, \cdots \) (see [8, p. 212]), and \( \Delta^{(r)} f \) its value when \( P \) is the north pole of a system of coordinates with origin at the center of \( \Omega \). It is well known that if \( Y_n(Q) \) is a surface spherical harmonic then \( \Delta^{(r)} Y_n(Q) = [-n(n+1)]^r Y_n(Q) \).

2.2. Theorem. If \( Y_n(Q), n = 0, 1, \cdots \), are arbitrary surface spherical harmonics and \( P \) is an arbitrary point on \( \Omega \) then, for any non-negative integer \( r \), \( \Delta_r Y_n(P) \) exists and \( \Delta_r Y_n(P) = \Delta^{(r)} Y_n(P) \).

Proof. Since \( \Delta_r \) and \( \Delta^{(r)} \) are linear operators, it is sufficient to show that \( \Delta_r Y_n(P) \) exists and \( \Delta_r Y_n(P) = \Delta^{(r)} Y_n(P) \) where \( Y_n(Q) \) has been normalized so that \( Y_n(P) = 1 \). Then \( \Delta^{(r)} Y_n(P) = \Delta^{(r)} P_n([P, Q]) \big|_{Q-P} \) (since both are equal to \( [-n(n+1)]^r \)), and by [7, p. 298]:

\[
2 \pi \sin h \int_{C(P, h)} Y_n(Q) ds_Q = P_n(\cos h) = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sin h \int_{C(P, h)} P_n([P, Q]) ds_Q,
\]

or \( \Delta_r Y_n(P) = \Delta_r P_n([P, Q]) \big|_{Q-P} \). We therefore need only show that \( \Delta_r P_n([P, Q]) \big|_{Q-P} = [-n(n+1)]^r \). But by [6, p. 21, (18)]:

\[
P_n(\cos h) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{[-n(n+1)][-n(n+1)+1 \cdot 2] \cdots [-n(n+1)+(k-1)k]}{(k!)^2} \frac{(1-\cos h)^k}{2^k}
\]

and the theorem follows easily from (2.1.1) by induction. If \( Y_n(P) = 0 \), obviously \( \Delta^{(r)} Y_n(P) = 0 = \Delta_r Y_n(P) \).

III. Statement of main results. 3.1. Definitions and notation. For an arbitrary series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(Q), U_n^{(\alpha)}(Q) \) for \( \alpha \neq -1, -2, \cdots \), shall denote
the sum \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n y_n(Q) \) where \( y_n = (\alpha+1) \cdots (\alpha+n)/n! \) are the ordinary Cesaro coefficients of order \( \alpha \). \( \Delta^{(k)} F_n \) shall denote the \( k \)th difference \( k = 0, 1, \cdots \), of the sequence \( \{ F_n \} \) where \( \Delta^{(1)} F_n = F_n - F_{n+1} \). \( S[f(Q)] \) shall denote the Laplace series of the function \( f(Q) \) defined on \( \Omega \) and \( \Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)] \) the value at the point \( P \) of the series obtained by applying the Laplace-Beltrami operator term by term \( r \) times, \( r = 0, 1, \cdots \), to \( S[f(Q)] \), i.e., if \( S[f(Q)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \) then \( \Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[-n(n+1)\right]^r Y_n(P) \).

**Definition.** If \( Y_n(Q), n = 0, 1, \cdots, \) are surface spherical harmonics the anti-Laplace-Beltrami operator of order \( r, r = 0, 1, \cdots, \) on \( Y_n(Q) \), denoted \( \Delta^{(-r)} Y_n(Q) \), is defined as:

\[
\Delta^{(-r)} Y_n(Q) = \left\{ \frac{P_r[(P, Q)]}{[-r(r+1)]^r} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots.
\]

Obviously \( \Delta^{(r)} \{ \Delta^{(-r)} Y_n(Q) \} = \Delta^{(-r)} \{ \Delta^{(r)} Y_n(Q) \} = Y_n(Q) \) for \( n \) and \( r \) non-negative integers. Given \( f(Q) \in L \) on \( \Omega \), \( \Delta^{(-r)} S[f(Q)] \) shall denote the series obtained by applying the anti-Laplace-Beltrami operator term-by-term to \( S[f(Q)] \), i.e., if \( S[f(Q)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \):

\[
\Delta^{(r)} S[f(Q)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q),
\]

\[
\Delta^{(-r)} S[f(Q)] = (-1)^r \left\{ \frac{P_r[(P, Q)]}{[r(r+1)]^r} \right\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{Y_n(Q)}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right], \quad r = 1, 2, \cdots.
\]

(3.1.1)

3.2. **Main theorems.** The major results of this paper are embodied in four theorems. The second gives the sufficient conditions for Cesaro summability and follows as a direct consequence of the first. The third yields the necessary conditions and the last combines these results to give the necessary and sufficient conditions.

**Theorem.** Let \( f(Q) \) be a bounded Borel measurable function on \( \Omega \). If \( \Delta f[P] \) exists for some non-negative integer \( r \) then \( \Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)] \) is \((C-\alpha)\) summable, \( \alpha > 2r+1 \), to \( \Delta f(P) \).

**Sufficiency theorem.** Let \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \) be a series of surface spherical harmonics on \( \Omega \). Let \( r \) be a non-negative integer great enough so that \( \Delta^{(-r)} \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \} \) converges uniformly to \( F_r(Q) \) on \( \Omega \). If \( \Delta F_r(P) \) exists then \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \) is \((C-\alpha)\) summable at the point \( P, \alpha > 2r+1 \), to \( \Delta F_r(P) \).
Necessity theorem. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q)$ be a series of surface spherical harmonics on $\Omega$. Let $r$ be a non-negative integer great enough so that $\Delta^{(-r)} \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \}$ converges uniformly to $F_r(Q)$ on $\Omega$. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q)$ is $(C-\alpha)$ summable, $\alpha$ a non-negative integer, to $s$ at the point $P$ on $\Omega$ then for $r$ an integer greater than $(\alpha+2)/2$, $\Delta_r F_r(P)$ exists and equals $s$.

Necessity and sufficiency theorem. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q)$ be a series of surface spherical harmonics with $Y_n(Q) = O(n^k)$ uniformly on $\Omega$ for some $k$. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q)$ be summable to $s$ at an arbitrary point $P$ on $\Omega$ is that there exist a non-negative integer $r > (k+1)/2$ such that $\Delta_r F_r(P)$ exists and equals $s$ where $F_r(Q) = \Delta^{(-r)} \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \}$.

IV. The sufficiency theorem. In order to facilitate the proof of this theorem we prove the following sublemmas and lemmas:

4.1. Lemma 1. Let $f(Q)$ be a bounded Borel measurable function and $r$ a non-negative integer. If $\Delta_r f(P) = 0, k = 0, \cdots, r$, implies $\Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)]$ is $(C-\alpha)$ summable to zero then $\Delta_r f(P) = s$ implies $\Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)]$ is $(C-\alpha)$ summable to $s$.

Proof. Suppose $\Delta_r f(P) = s$. We observe first that there exists a finite sum of surface spherical harmonics $T(Q) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} a_j P_j([P, Q])$ such that $\Delta_k T(P) = \Delta_k f(P), k = 0, \cdots, r$. For, by the theorem of §2.2 and the linearity of the operators $\Delta_k$ and $\Delta^{(k)}$, $\Delta_k T(Q) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} a_j \Delta^{(k)} P_j([P, Q]) = \Delta^{(k)} T(Q)$, and it is possible to choose the $a_j$ so that:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} a_j = \Delta_0 f(P),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} (-j(j+1)) a_j = \Delta_k f(P), \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, r.$$ 

This can clearly be done since the determinant of the above system of $(r+1)$ linear equations is a nonvanishing Vandermonde determinant. Let $F(Q) = f(Q) - T(Q)$. Then $\Delta_k F(P) = 0, k = 0, 1, \cdots, r$. Therefore, by hypothesis, $\Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)]$ is $(C-\alpha)$ summable to zero. But since the Laplace series of a surface spherical harmonic is the surface spherical harmonic itself, $\Delta^{(r)} S[F(P)] = \Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)] - \Delta^{(r)} T(P) = \Delta^{(r)} S[f(P)] - s$. The lemma follows immediately.

For the following lemmas and sublemmas we shall assume, unless otherwise stated, that $\alpha$ is non-negative.

4.2. Sublemma 1.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}^{(a)}}{\gamma_n^{(a)}} \left[ -j(j+1) \right] (2j+1) \sin (j + 1/2)t 
(4.2.1)$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{r} d_k \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}^{(a)}}{\gamma_n^{(a)}} \frac{d}{d}\left[(2k+1)\right] \cos (j + 1/2)t.$$
Proof. The above identity is a simple application of the following two facts:

\[(4.2.2) \quad (-1)^{k+1} \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \cos(j + 1/2)t = (j + 1/2)^{2k+1} \sin(j + 1/2)t, \quad k = 0, \ldots, r.\]

\[(4.2.3) \quad [-j(j+1)]^r(2j+1) \text{ is an odd polynomial of degree } (2r + 1) \text{ in } (j + 1/2).\]

Statement (4.2.2) is obvious. Statement (4.2.3) follows easily since

\[-j(j+1)]^r = [(-1)^r/4^r][(2j + 1)^2 - 1]^r = (-1)^r[(j + 1/2)^2 - 1/4]^r.\]

4.3. Sublemma 2. For \(0 < t < 2\pi, k = 0, \ldots, r; r \geq 0, \text{ and } s > \alpha + 2r + 1:\]

\[\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}(a)}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}} \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \cos(j + 1/2)t\]

\[= \frac{1}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}} \cdot \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\gamma_n^{(\alpha-j)} d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \left[ \frac{1}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^\alpha} \right] \right\} \]

\[+ \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \left[ \frac{e^{(n+1)t}}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^\alpha} \right] \]

\[- \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \left[ \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_j^{(\alpha-j-1)} - e^{-(j-n-1)t}}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^\alpha} \right].\]

Proof. Since \(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \cos(j + 1/2)t = [\sin(j + 1)t]/2 \sin(t/2)\) for \(0 < t < 2\pi,\)

\[\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \left[ \gamma_n^{(\alpha-j)}/\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} \right] \left[ \cos(j + 1/2)t \right]
\]

\[= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \left\{ [\gamma_n^{(\alpha-j)}/\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}] \left[ \sin(j + 1)t \right]/2 \sin(t/2) \right\} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < 2\pi.\]

Also,

\[\sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \gamma_n^{(\alpha-j)}/\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} \right] \left[ \sin(j + 1)t \right]/2 \sin(t/2) \]

\[= \left[1/2 \gamma_n^{(\alpha)} \sin(t/2)\right] \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_n^{(\alpha-j)} \exp[i(j + 1)t] \right\} \]

\[= \left[1/2 \gamma_n^{(\alpha)} \sin(t/2)\right] \cdot \left\{ \exp[i(n + 1)t] \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_j^{(\alpha-j)} \exp(-ijt) \right\},\]
and applying Abel's partial summation formula $s$ times to the expression on the right we obtain (see [11, p. 258]):

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}^{(a-1)}}{\gamma_{n}^{(a)}} \frac{\sin(j+1)t}{2 \sin(t/2)} = \frac{1}{2 \gamma_{n}^{(a)} \sin(t/2)} \cdot g \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}^{(a-j)}}{(1 - e^{-it})^j} + e^{i(n+1)t} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{(a-s-1)} \frac{e^{-ijt}}{(1 - e^{-it})^s} \right\}.
\]

But since \(\alpha - s < -1\) implies \(\gamma_{j}^{(a-1-1)} \leq \gamma_{j}^{(a-s-1)} \exp(-ijt)\). Thus:

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}}{\gamma_{n}^{(a)}} \cos(j + 1/2)t
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2 \gamma_{n}^{(a)} \sin(t/2)} \cdot g \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}^{(a-j)}}{(1 - e^{-it})^j} + e^{i(n+1)t} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{(a-s-1)} \frac{e^{-ijt}}{(1 - e^{-it})^s} \right\}.
\]

Consequently, for \(0 < t < 2\pi, k = 0, \cdots, r, r \geq 0, \) and \(s > \alpha + 2r + 1\):

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}}{\gamma_{n}^{(a)}} \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \cos(j + 1/2)t
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2 \gamma_{n}^{(a)} \sin(t/2)} \cdot g \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\gamma_{n-j}^{(a-j)}}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^j} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \left[ \frac{e^{i(n+1)t}}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^n} \right] - \frac{d^{(2k+1)}}{dt^{(2k+1)}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{(a-s-1)} \frac{e^{-ijt}}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^s} \right].
\]

where the last expression on the right exists since for \(s > \alpha + 2r + 1\) the absolute value of \(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{j}^{(a-s-1)}}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^n} \exp(-ijt)\} is bounded by a constant multiple of the convergent series \(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_{j}^{(a-s-1)} \exp(-ijt)\), and consequently converges uniformly for \(0 < t < 2\pi\).

4.4. Sublemma 3. For \(0 < t < 2\pi, h \geq 0, \) and \(n \geq 1\) there exist positive constants \(K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}\) such that:

\[
(4.4.1) \left| - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \gamma_{n-j}^{(a-j)} \frac{d^{h}}{dt^{h}} \left[ \frac{1}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^{j}} \right] \right| < K_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} n_{j}^{(a-j)} ,
\]

\[
(4.4.2) \left| \frac{d^{(h)}}{dt^{(h)}} \left[ \frac{e^{i(n+1)t}}{(2 \sin(t/2))(1 - e^{-it})^{n}} \right] \right| < K_{2} \sum_{n=0}^{h} n_{n}^{(\alpha+1+h-n)} ,
\]
for $s > \alpha + h$.

**Proof.** We observe that the $h$th derivative of a product of two functions $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ is given by:

\[
\frac{d^h}{dt^h} A(t) \cdot B(t) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{h} \binom{h}{\mu} A^{(\mu)}(t) B^{(h-\mu)}(t).
\]

Since \( \left| \frac{d^{(\alpha)}}{dt^{(\alpha)}} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin(t/2)} \right] \right| < C_1 t^{(\alpha+1)} \) and \( \left| \frac{d^{(\alpha)}}{dt^{(\alpha)}} \left[ \frac{1}{1-e^{-it}} \right] \right| < C_2 t^{(\alpha+\lambda)} \) where $C_1, C_2 > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that:

\[
\left| \frac{d^{(h)}}{dt^{(h)}} \left( \frac{1}{2 \sin(t/2)}(1-e^{-it})^s \right) \right| < \frac{C}{t^{h+\lambda+1}}.
\]

Thus from (4.4.4) and (4.4.5) we obtain (4.4.1) and (4.4.2) noticing that for the former inequality $\gamma_n^{(h)} = O(n^h)$. With

\[
A(t) = - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{(\alpha-s-1)} \exp[-i(j-n-1)t]
\]

and $B(t) = 1/[2 \sin(t/2)] \left[ 1 - \exp(-it) \right]^s$ we again employ (4.4.4) and (4.4.5) to obtain (4.4.3), noticing that:

\[
\left| - \frac{d^{(\alpha)}}{dt^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{(\alpha-s-1)} e^{-i(j-n-1)t} \right| < K \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} j^{(\alpha-s-1)} (j-n-1)^\mu < K n^{(\alpha-s-1+1)}
\]

for $\mu = 0, \ldots, h$ and $s > \alpha + h$ where $K > 0$.

4.5. **Sublemma 4.** For $\beta > 1$ and $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ there exists a positive constant $K$ such that:

\[
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{\theta^3 (\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}} < \frac{K}{\theta^\beta}.
\]

**Proof.** Using the identity $\cos \theta - \cos t = 2 \sin \left[ (t+\theta)/2 \right] \sin \left[ (t-\theta)/2 \right]$ we see that:

\[
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{\theta^3 (\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{2^{3/2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{\theta^3 \left[ \sin((t+\theta)/2) \sin((t-\theta)/2) \right]^{1/2}}.
\]
But for \( 0 < \theta \leq t \leq \pi \), \( \sin [(t + \theta)/2] = \sin (t/2) \cos (\theta/2) + \cos (t/2) \sin (\theta/2) \) 
\[ \geq \sin (\theta/2) \cos (\theta/2) + \cos (t/2) \sin (\theta/2) \geq \sin (\theta/2) \cos (\theta/2) = \sin (\theta/2) \] 
and hence:

\[
\frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{\theta^{(\sin ((t + \theta)/2) \sin ((t - \theta)/2))^{1/2}}} \leq \frac{1}{(\sin \theta)^{1/2}} \int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{\theta^{(\sin ((t - \theta)/2))^{1/2}}}
\]

for \( 0 < \theta < \pi \).

Also, for \( 0 < \theta \leq t \leq \pi \), \( \sin [(t - \theta)/2] \geq [2/\pi] [(t - \theta)/2] \) since \( (t - \theta)/2 < \pi/2 \). Thus:

\[
\frac{1}{(\sin \theta)^{1/2}} \int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{\theta^{(\sin ((t - \theta)/2))^{1/2}}} \leq \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{(\sin \theta)^{1/2}} \int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{\theta^{(t - \theta)^{1/2}}} \text{ for } 0 < \theta < \pi.
\]

Obviously \( \int_0^\pi 1/\theta^{(t - \theta)^{1/2}} dt < \int_0^\pi 1/\theta^{(t - \theta)} dt \) and dividing the integral on the right into two parts,

\[
\int_0^\pi 1/\theta^{(t - \theta)} dt = \int_0^{(t - \theta)^{1/2}} 1/\theta^{(t - \theta)} dt + \int_0^\infty 1/\theta^{(t - \theta)^{1/2}} dt.
\]

Noticing that

\[
\int_0^{(t - \theta)^{1/2}} 1/\theta^{(t - \theta)^{1/2}} dt \leq \left[1/\theta^{(t/2)}\right] \int_0^{2\theta} 1/(t - \theta)^{1/2} dt = \frac{2}{\theta (\theta - 1/2)}
\]

and

\[
\int_0^\infty 1/\theta^{(t - \theta)^{1/2}} dt \leq \left[1/\theta^{1/2}\right] \int_0^\infty 1/\theta^{1/2} dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - 1\right) \frac{1}{\theta (\theta - 1/2)}
\]

for \( \beta > 1 \),

we see that there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that:

\[
\int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{\theta^{(\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}}} < \frac{C}{\theta (\theta - 1/2) (\sin \theta)^{1/2}} \text{ for } \beta > 1, 0 < \theta < \pi.
\]

But for \( 0 < \theta < \pi/2 \), \( \sin \theta \geq (2/\pi)^2 \theta \) and consequently (4.5.1) follows.

**4.6. Sublemma 5.** For \( 0 < \theta < \pi/2 \) there exist positive constants \( K_1, K_2, K_3 \) such that:

\[
(4.6.1) \quad \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{G(n, l, \alpha)}{(\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}} dt < K_1 \sum_{i=1}^\pi \frac{n^{-i}}{\theta^{(i+k+1)}}
\]

where
where

\begin{align}
G(n, t, a) &= \frac{1}{\gamma_n^{(a)}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{n^{(a-j)}}{t^{(j+h+1)}}, \\
&\quad h = 1, 3, \ldots, 2r + 1, \\
(4.6.2) \quad \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi} \int_0^\pi H(n, t, a) d\theta < K, \\
\text{where} \\
H(n, t, a) &= \frac{1}{\gamma_n^{(a)}} \cdot \frac{n^h}{t^{(a+1)}}, \\
&\quad h = 1, 3, \ldots, 2r + 1, \\
(4.6.3) \quad \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi} \int_0^\pi L(n, t, a) d\theta < K, \\
\text{where} \\
L(n, t, a) &= \frac{1}{\gamma_n^{(a)}} \cdot \frac{n^{(a-s-h)}}{t^{(a+1)}}, \\
&\quad h = 1, 3, \ldots, 2r + 1.
\end{align}

\textbf{Proof.} These results follow immediately from 4.5 Sublemma 4 and the fact that \( \gamma_n^{(a)} \sim n^a / \Gamma(a+1) \) for \( a \neq -1, -2, \ldots \).

\textbf{4.7. Sublemma 6.} For \( s > a + h, h = 1, 3, \ldots, 2r + 1, \) and \( n \) a positive integer, there exists a positive constant \( K \) such that:

\begin{align}
(4.7.1) \quad &\int_0^\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n^{-j}}{\theta^{(j+h+1)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta < K, \\
(4.7.2) \quad &\int_0^\pi \frac{n^{(h-a)}}{\theta^{(a+1)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta < K, \\
(4.7.3) \quad &\int_0^\pi \frac{n^{(-s+h)}}{\theta^{(a+1)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta < K.
\end{align}

\textbf{Proof.} For \( h = 1, 3, \ldots, 2r + 1: \)

\begin{align}
\int_0^\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n^{-j}}{\theta^{(j+h+1)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta &\leq \int_0^\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n^{-j}}{\theta^{(j+2r+2)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta,
\end{align}

and since \( \sin \theta / \theta \leq 1 \) for \( 0 < \theta \leq \pi: \)

\begin{align}
\int_0^\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n^{-j}}{\theta^{(j+2r+2)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta &\leq \int_0^\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n^{-j}}{\theta^{(j+1)}} d\theta.
\end{align}

Integrating the expression on the right we obtain:

\begin{align}
\int_0^\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n^{-j}}{\theta^{(j+1)}} d\theta &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{(\pi n)^j} \right] < K.
\end{align}
where $K$ is a positive constant, and (4.7.1) follows. Similarly we find that for $h = 1, 3, \cdots, 2r+1$ and $\alpha > 2r+1$:

$$\int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{\nu^{(h-a)}}{\theta^{(a+1)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta \leq \frac{\nu^{(2r+1-a)}}{\theta^{(a+1)}} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^{(2r+1)}}{\theta^{(a+1)}} d\theta \leq \frac{\nu^{(2r+1-a)}}{\theta^{(a-2r)}} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\theta^{(a-2r)}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha - (2r + 1)} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{(\pi n)^{s-(2r+1)}} \right].$$

Thus for $\alpha > 2r+1$ we see that with $K = 1/\left[ \alpha - (2r + 1) \right] > 0$ (4.7.2) holds. Finally, for $h = 1, 3, \cdots, 2r+1$:

$$\int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{\nu^{(-s+h)}}{\theta^{(s+1)}} \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta \leq \frac{\nu^{(-s+2r+1)}}{\theta^{(s-2r)}} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\theta^{(s-2r)}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s - (2r + 1)} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{(\pi n)^{s-(2r+1)}} \right],$$

and therefore, for $s > \alpha + h$, we have (4.7.3) with $K = 1/\left[ s - (2r+1) \right] > 0$.

The following notation will be used in the remaining lemmas and theorems of this section: We let \( K_n^{(\alpha r)}(\cos \theta) \) denote the \( n \)th \( (C-a) \) partial sum of \( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ -j(j+1) \right](2j+1)P_j(\cos \theta) \), i.e.,

\[ K_n^{(\alpha r)}(\cos \theta) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{\nu^{(\alpha)}}{\nu^{(\alpha)}} \right] \left[ -j(j+1) \right](2j+1)P_j(\cos \theta). \]

4.8. Lemma 2. Let \( 1/n \leq \eta \leq \pi/2 \) where \( n \) is a positive integer. For \( \alpha > 2r+1 \) there exists a positive constant \( K \) independent of \( \eta \) such that:

\[ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \left| K_n^{(\alpha r)}(\cos \theta) \right| \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta < K. \]

Proof. From Mehler's integral representation of the Legendre polynomials [6, p. 27, (27)]:

\[ P_j(\cos \theta) = \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin(j+1/2)t}{(\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}} dt \] for \( 0 < \theta < \pi; \ j = 0, 1, \cdots, \)

we see that for \( 0 < \theta < \pi \):

\[ K_n^{(\alpha r)}(\cos \theta) = \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{\nu^{(\alpha)}}{\nu^{(\alpha)}} \right] \left[ -j(j+1) \right](2j+1) \sin(j+1/2)t \]

\[ \frac{(\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}}{(\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}} dt \]
and thus by 4.2 Sublemma 1, for $0<\theta<\pi$:

\[(4.8.3)\quad K_n^{(\alpha,r)}(\cos \theta) = \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi} \int_{\theta}^{\pi} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{r} a_k \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} d}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} d t^{(2k+1)}} \cos(j + 1/2)t}{(\cos \theta - \cos t)^{1/2}} \, dt.\]

Utilizing the fact that $|f(z)| \leq |f(z)|$ we have, by 4.3 Sublemma 2 and 4.4 Sublemma 3, for $0<t<\pi$, $k=0, \cdots, r$, and $r \geq 0$, the existence of a constant $K>0$ such that:

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} d}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} d t^{(2k+1)}} \cos(j + 1/2)t \right| < \frac{K}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\eta^{(\alpha-j)}}{t^{(j+2k+2)}} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{2k+1} \frac{\eta^{\mu}}{t^{(\alpha+2k+2-\mu)}} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{2k+1} \frac{\eta^{(\alpha+2k+2-\mu)}}{t^{(\alpha+2k+1-\mu)}} \right\}$$

where $s$ is fixed so that $s>\alpha+2r+1$. For $1/n \leq t$, noticing that there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{2k+1} \frac{\eta^{\mu}}{t^{(\alpha+2k+2-\mu)}} < K n^{2k+1}/t^{\alpha+1}$$

and

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{2k+1} \frac{\eta^{(\alpha+2k+2-\mu)}}{t^{(\alpha+2k+1-\mu)}} < n^{(\alpha+2k+1+1)}/t^{\alpha+1}$$

for $k=0, \cdots, r$, we see that with $1/n \leq t<\pi$ there exists a $K>0$ such that:

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} d}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} d t^{(2k+1)}} \cos(j + 1/2)t \right| < \frac{K}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\eta^{(\alpha-j)}}{t^{(j+2k+2)}} + \frac{n^{2k+1}}{t^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\eta^{\mu}}{t^{\alpha+1}} \right\}, \quad k = 0, \cdots, r.$$
Therefore from (4.8.3) and (4.8.4) we see that, for $0 < 1/n \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that:

$$| K_n^{(\alpha, r)}(\cos \theta) | < K \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{2k+1} \frac{n^{(-j)}}{\theta(j+2k+2)} + \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{n^{(2k+1-\alpha)}}{\theta(\alpha+1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{n^{(-\alpha+2k+1)}}{\theta(s+1)} \right\}. $$

Employing 4.7 Sublemma 6 and noticing that the integrands in (4.7.1), (4.7.2), and (4.7.3) are positive for the domain of integration $[1/n, \eta]$, $\eta \leq 2$, the result follows.

**4.9. Lemma 3.** Let $f(\theta, \phi)$ be a bounded measurable function on $\Omega$, $r$ a non-negative integer, and $\eta$ any positive number less than $\pi$. If $\alpha > 2r + 1$ then:

$$\left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \right) \int \int_{\Omega - D(P, \eta)} f(M)K_n^{(\alpha, r)}([P, M])d\Omega_M \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$  

**Proof.** From Lemma 5 of [8, p. 217] we have: If $0 < h_1 < h_2 < \pi$ and $F_1(x)$ is a bounded measurable function on $\Omega$ which is equal to zero in $D(x_0, h_2)$ then for $x$ in $D(x_0, h_1) \triangle\omega S[F_1(x)]$ is uniformly summable $(C - \alpha)$ to zero, $\alpha > 2r + 1$.

Define $F_1(Q)$ by:

$$F_1(Q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } D(P, \eta) \\ = f(Q) & \text{in } \Omega - D(P, \eta). \end{cases}$$

Then by the above mentioned lemma we have $\triangle\omega S[F_1(P)]$ summable $(C - \alpha)$ to zero $\alpha > 2r + 1$. But $\triangle\omega S[F_1(P)] = \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \right) \int \int f(M)K_n^{(\alpha, r)}([P, M])d\Omega_M$ and by (4.9.2),

$$\triangle\omega S[F_1(P)] = 1/4\pi \int \int_{\Omega - D(P, \eta)} f(M)K_n^{(\alpha, r)}([P, M])d\Omega_M.$$  

**4.10. Lemma 4.** For $r$ a non-negative integer and $n$ a positive integer, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that:

$$\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \int_0^{\pi/n} | K_n^{(\alpha, r)}(\cos \theta) | \sin^{(2r+1)} \theta d\theta < K.$$  

**Proof.** Since $P_0(\cos \theta) \leq 1$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$$| K_n^{(\alpha, r)}(\cos \theta) | \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\alpha-j}^{(\alpha)}[j(j+1)]} [2j + 1].$$

Consequently there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that
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But since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \gamma_n^{(a)}/n^{a} \right] = 1/\Gamma(\alpha+1) \) for \( \alpha \neq -1, -2, \cdots \), \( |K_n^{(a,r)}(\cos \theta)| < Kn^{2r+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_n^{(a)}/\gamma_n^{(a)} \) and (4.10.1) follows.

4.11. Theorem. Let \( f(Q) \) be a bounded Borel measurable function on \( \Omega \). If \( \Delta r f(P) \) exists for some non-negative integer \( r \) then \( \Delta \gamma^{(r)}S[f(P)] \) is \( (C-\alpha) \) summable, \( \alpha > 2r+1 \), to \( \Delta r f(P) \).

Proof. Without loss of generality it may be assumed that \( P \) is the north pole of a system of spherical coordinates whose origin is at the center of \( \Omega \). Furthermore by 4.1 Lemma 1 it may be assumed that \( \Delta k f(P) = 0, k = 0, \cdots, r \), and it remains to show that \( \Delta \gamma^{(r)}S[f(P)] \) is \( (C-\alpha) \) summable, \( \alpha > 2r+1 \), to zero. We have \( S[f(P)] = [1/4\pi] \sum_{j=0}^{r} \int_{\Omega} f(M) P_j(\Omega, M, P) d\Omega_M \) and \( \Delta \gamma^{(r)}S[f(P)] = [1/4\pi] \sum_{j=0}^{r} \int_{\Omega} f(M) (1-j+1) j (2j+1) f(M) P_j(\Omega, M, P) d\Omega_M \). Denoting the \( (C-\alpha) \) partial sums of this latter series by \( C_n^{(a,r)}(P) \), clearly

\[
C_n^{(a,r)}(P) = [1/4\pi] \int_{\Omega} f(M) K_n^{(a,r)}([M, P]) d\Omega_M.
\]

Since \( \Delta_k f(P) = 0, k = 0, \cdots, r \), given an arbitrary \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists a \( \delta(\epsilon/2K) > 0 \) such that:

\[
\left| \frac{(1/2\pi \sin h) \int_{C(P, \theta)} f(Q) d\Omega_Q}{\sin^{2r} \theta} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2K}
\]

whenever \( \theta < \delta(\epsilon/2K) \) where \( K \) is chosen such that \( K = \max(K_1, K_2) \) and \( K_1, K_2 \) are the constants of 4.8 Lemma 2 and 4.10 Lemma 4 respectively. Let \( D(P, \eta) \) be the spherical cap of radius \( \eta \) about \( P \) with \( \eta \) chosen such that \( \eta = \min(\delta(\epsilon/2K), \pi/2) \) and let \( n \) be a positive integer chosen so that \( 1/n \leq \eta \). Then since:

\[
|C_n^{(a,r)}(P)| = [1/4\pi] \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(\theta, \phi) K_n^{(a,r)}(\cos \theta) \sin \theta d\phi d\theta
\]

\[
+ \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{D(P, \eta)} f(M) K_n^{(a,r)}([M, P]) d\Omega_M,
\]

\[
|C_n^{(a,r)}(P)| < \epsilon/2K \int_{0}^{\eta} |K_n^{(a,r)}(\cos \theta)| \sin(2r+1) \theta d\theta
\]

\[
+ [1/4\pi] \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{D(P, \eta)} f(M) K_n^{(a,r)}([M, P]) d\Omega_M
\]

by (4.11.1). Dividing the first integral on the right into two parts it follows that:
Thus by 4.8 Lemma 2 and 4.10 Lemma 4, for \( \alpha > 2r + 1 \):

\[
| C_n^{(\alpha, r)}(P) | < \epsilon + \left[ \frac{1}{4\pi} \right] \int_{\Omega \setminus D(P, \rho)} f(M)K_n^{(\alpha, r)}([P, M])d\Omega_M.
\]

and therefore by 4.9 Lemma 3, \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} C_n^{(\alpha, r)}(P) \leq \epsilon \). Since \( \epsilon > 0 \) was chosen arbitrarily, the theorem follows.

4.12. Sufficiency theorem. Let \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \) be a series of surface spherical harmonics on \( \Omega \). Let \( r \) be a non-negative integer great enough so that \( \Delta^{(r)} \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \} \) converges uniformly to \( F_r(Q) \) on \( \Omega \). If \( \Delta r F_r(P) \) exists then \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \) is \( (C-a) \) summable at the point \( P \), \( \alpha > 2r + 1 \).

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from the preceding one after noticing that \( \Delta^{(r)} \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \} \) converges uniformly on \( \Omega \) to a continuous function \( F_r(Q) \), and that \( \Delta^{(r)} S[F_r(P)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(P) \).

V. The necessary conditions for \( C \) summability. The following three lemmas facilitate the proof of the main theorem of this section:

5.1. Lemma. Let \( Y_n(Q) \), \( n = 1, 2, \cdots \), be surface spherical harmonics such that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n(P) \) is \( (C-a) \) summable, \( \alpha \) a non-negative integer, for \( P \) an arbitrary point on \( \Omega \). Then for \( r > (\alpha+1)/2 \):

\[
(5.1.1) \quad (-1)^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(o)}(P) \Delta^{(o+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos k)}{[(n(n+1)]^{r}} \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_k \frac{(1 - \cos k)^k}{k^2} + L^r(\cos k)
\]

where

\[
(5.1.2) \quad a_0 = \text{the } (C-a) \text{ sum of the series } (-1)^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(P) \cdot \frac{1}{[n(n+1)]^r},
\]

\[
(5.1.2) \quad a_k = \text{the } (C-a) \text{ sum of the series }
\]

\[
(5.1.2) \quad (-1)^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(P) \frac{\Delta_{o}(\Delta_{o+1}+1+2) \cdots [\Delta_{o}+(k-1)k]}{[n(n+1)]^r}
\]

\( k = 1, 2, \cdots, r \), and \( L^r(\cos k) \) is a convergent series.

Proof. From [1, p. 21,(8)] we know that if \( \{f_n\} \) and \( \{g_n\} \) are two sequences then:
\[ \Delta^{(j)}[f_n \cdot g_n] = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \binom{j}{k} \Delta^{(k)}f_n \cdot \Delta^{(j-k)}g_{n+k}. \]

Letting \( f_n = P_n(\cos h) \), \( g_n = 1/[n(n+1)]^r \), and noting that
\[ \Delta^{(k)}[1/n^r] = O(1/n^{r+k}) \quad \text{for } k \geq 0, \quad |P_n(\cos h)| \leq 1, \]
and \( Y_n^{(a)}(P) = O(n^a) \), we see that for \( r > (\alpha+1)/2 \):
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Y_n^{(a)}(P)| \left| \Delta^{(a+1)} \left( \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{n(n+1)} \right)^r \right| < K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{(2r-\alpha)}} < \infty. \]

Now from [1, p. 19], if \( \{f_n\} \) is an arbitrary sequence then:
\[ \Delta^{(a)}f_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} f_{n+j}. \]

Consequently:
\[ (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(a)}(P) \Delta^{(a+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{n(n+1)} \right\}^r \]
\[ = (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(a)}(P) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{a+1} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha+1}{j} \frac{P_{n+j}(\cos h)}{(n+j)(n+j+1)} \right\}^r, \]
and therefore by (2.2.1):
\[ (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(a)}(P) \Delta^{(a+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{n(n+1)} \right\}^r \]
\[ = (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_n^{(a)}(P) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{a+1} \frac{(-1)^j \binom{\alpha+1}{j} C_{n+j,k}}{(n+j)(n+j+1)} \right\} \frac{1-\cos h)^k}{2^k}, \]
where \( C_{n+j,k} \) is defined by:
\[ C_{n+j,0} = 1, \]
\[ C_{n+j,k} = \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1/2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (k-1)k]}{(k!)^2}, \quad \text{for } k \leq n+j, \]
\[ C_{n+j,k} = 0, \quad \text{for } k \geq n+j+1. \]

Thus with \( a_{nk} \) defined by:
\[ a_{nk} = Y_n^{(a)}(P) \sum_{j=0}^{a+1} \frac{(-1)^j \binom{\alpha+1}{j} C_{n+j,k}}{(n+j)(n+j+1)} \frac{1-\cos h)^k}{2^k}, \]
we have:
(5.1.10) \((-1)^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) \Delta (\alpha+1) \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right\} = (-1)^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}.

It will now be shown that:

(5.1.11) \[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_{nk} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \]

where \( L^r(\cos h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \) is a convergent series. To do this it need only be shown that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_{nk} \) is absolutely convergent for, from the convergence of \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_{nk} \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \) (see (5.1.4)), the result is immediate. From (5.1.5) and (5.1.9),

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n0}| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P)| \left| \Delta (\alpha+1) \left\{ C_{nk}/[n(n+1)]^r \right\} \right| \left[(1-\cos h)^k/2^k\right] , \]

and thus from (5.1.8) we have:

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n0}| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P)| \left| \Delta (\alpha+1) \left\{ \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0+1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0+(k-1)k]P_n}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right\} \right| , \]

(5.1.12)

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \]

\[ = \frac{1}{(k)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P)| \left| \Delta (\alpha+1) \left\{ \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0+1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0+(k-1)k]P_n}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right\} \right| , \]

\[ \frac{(1-\cos h)^k}{2^k}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r. \]

The highest degree of the polynomial \( \Delta_0(\Delta_0+1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0+(k-1)k]P_n \)

\[ = [-n(n+1)][-n(n+1)+1 \cdot 2] \cdots [-n(n+1)+(k-1)k] \]

for \( k = 1, \ldots, r, \)

is obviously \( 2r. \) Thus since \( \Delta (\alpha+1)(-1)^r = 0 \) for \( \alpha \geq 0, \)

\[ \left| \Delta (\alpha+1) \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0+1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0+(k-1)k]F_n}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right| \leq K \Delta (\alpha+1) \left( \frac{1}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right) < \frac{K}{n^{(\alpha+3)}} \]

for \( k = 1, \ldots, r. \)

Also, \( \Delta (\alpha+1) \left\{ 1/[n(n+1)]^r \right\} = O(1/n^{2(\alpha+1)}) \) for \( r > (\alpha+1)/2. \) Thus since \( Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) = O(n^\alpha), \) from (5.1.12) we see that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}, k = 0, \ldots, r, \) converges absolutely. Therefore from (5.1.7), (5.1.8), (5.1.9), and (5.1.10) we see that:
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n^{(a)}(P) & \left( \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_k (1 - \cos h)^k + L^r(\cos h) \\
\intertext{where}
 a_0 &= (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n^{(a)}(P) \left( \frac{1}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right), \\
a_k &= (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n^{(a)}(P) \left( \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (k-1)k] P_n}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right), \quad k = 1, \ldots, r.
\end{align*}

But from [5, p. 128, Theorem 1] we know that if (i) \( \sum b_n \) is summable or bounded \((C-k)\), \( k \) an integer, (ii) \( F_n \to 0 \), and (iii) \( \sum (n+1)^k |\Delta^{(k+1)} F_n| < \infty \), then \( \sum b_n F_n \) is summable \((C-k)\) to \( \sum B_n^{(a)} \Delta^{(k+1)} F_n \) the last series being absolutely convergent. It is to be noted that the theorem is valid if (ii) is replaced by (ii') \( P_n = \sum (a+1)^k a_n \) where \( K \) is a positive constant. With \( F_n = 1/[n(n+1)]^r \) for \( k = 0 \), \( F_n = \sum (a_0 + 1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (k-1)k] P_n/[n(n+1)]^r \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, r \), and \( b_n = y_n(P) \) it is obvious that the hypotheses (i), (ii'), and (iii) of the above theorem are satisfied. Consequently we have:

\begin{align*}
 a_0 &= \text{the }(C-a)\text{ sum of the series }(-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n(P) \cdot \frac{1}{[n(n+1)]^r}, \\
 a_k &= \text{the }(C-a)\text{ sum of the series }\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n(P) \left( \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (k-1)k] P_n}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right), \quad k = 1, \ldots, r.
\end{align*}

and the proof of the lemma is complete.

5.2. Lemma 2. Let \( R_n^{(a)}(\cos h) \) be defined by:

\[
R_n^{(a)}(\cos h) = P_n(\cos h) - \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Delta_0 P_n}{2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^2}{(2!)^2} + \cdots \right\} + \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (r-1)r] P_n}{(r!)^2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^r}{2^r},
\]

where \( 0 < h < \pi \) and \( r \) is a positive integer. If \( j \) is an integer such that \( (j+1)/2 < r \) and \( n \leq [(1/(1 - \cos h))^{1/2}] \), then there exists a positive constant \( K \) (independent of \( r \), \( n \), and \( h \)) such that:

\[
\left| \Delta^{(j)} \frac{R_n^{(a)}(\cos h)}{(1 - \cos h)^r} \right| < Kn^2(r+1-j)(1 - \cos h).
\]
Proof. We may assume that \( n \geq r + 1 \) for if \( n \leq r \), \( \Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1) \cdots \Delta_0 + (k - 1)k \) \( P_n = 0 \) for \( n \leq k \leq r \). Thus \( R_n^r(\cos h) = 0 \) and \( \Delta^{(i)} \left\{ R_n^r(\cos h)/(1 - \cos h)^r \right\} = 0 \). Employing the expansion for \( P_n(\cos h) \) we see that:

\[
R_n^r(\cos h) = (-1)^{r+1} \frac{(n - r) \cdots n(n + 1) \cdots (n + r + 1)}{[(r + 1)!]^2} \frac{1}{2^{r+1}} + \cdots \\
+ (-1)^{k+r+1} \frac{(n - (k + r)) \cdots n(n + 1) \cdots [n + (k + r) + 1]}{[(k + r + 1)!]^2} \\
\frac{(1 - \cos h)^k}{2^{k+r+1}} + \cdots \\
\frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdots n(n + 1) \cdots 2n}{(n!)^2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^{n-(r+1)}}{2^n}
\]

But since (see [1, p. 6,(3)]) \( \Delta^{(i)} x^{(m)} = m(m-1) \cdots (m-j+1)x^{(m-j)} \) where \( x^{(m)} \equiv x(x-1) \cdots (x-m+1) \) we see, letting \( x = n + k + r + 1 \) and \( m = 2(k+r+1) \), that:

\[
\Delta^{(i)} \left\{ \frac{(n - (k + r)) \cdots n(n + 1) \cdots [n + (k + r) + 1]}{[(k + r + 1)!]^2} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^k}{2^{k+r+1}} \right\} = \\
2^i [k + r + 1] \frac{[k + r + \frac{1}{2}] \cdots [k + r + 1 - \left(\frac{j - 1}{2}\right)]}{[(k + r + 1)!]^2} \\
(n + k + r + 1) \cdots (n + 1)n \cdots [n - (k + r) + j] \frac{(1 - \cos h)^k}{2^{k+r+1}}
\]

for \( k = 0, 1, \cdots, n - (r + 1) \).

Since \( n + k + r + 1 \cdots (n + 1) \) is, for \( k = 0, 1, \cdots, n - (r + 1) \), clearly majorized by \( (2n)^{k+r+1} \) and \( n \cdots [n - (k + r) + j] \) by \( n^{k+r+1-i} \), for \( n^i(1 - \cos h) \leq 1 \) we have the expression on the right of (5.2.3) majorized by \( 2^i/[(k!)^2] \), \( n^{2(r+1)-i} \) for \( r > (j+1)/2 \), this expression is in turn majorized by \( 2^i/(k!)^2 \), \( n^{2(r+1)-i} \). Hence from (5.2.2) and (5.2.3) there exists a positive constant \( K \) such that:

\[
\left| \Delta^{(i)} \left\{ \frac{R_n^r(\cos h)}{(1 - \cos h)^{r+1}} \right\} \right| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-(r+1)} \Delta^{(i)} \left\{ (-1)^k \frac{(n - (k + r)) \cdots n(n + 1) \cdots [n + (k + r) + 1]}{[(k + r + 1)!]^2} \right. \\
\frac{(1 - \cos h)^k}{2^{k+r+1}} \left. \right\} < Kn^{2(r+1)-i}
\]
The lemma then follows immediately.

5.3. Lemma 3. Let $P_n(\cos h)$ be the Legendre polynomials of order $n$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. If $n > \left[ (1/(1-\cos h)) \right]^{1/2}$ where $0 < h < \pi$ then for any non-negative integer $r$:

(5.3.1) \[ \Delta^{(r)} P_n(\cos h) = O[n(1 - \cos h)^{(r+1)/2}] \]

Proof. The proof is by induction. The case $r = 0$ is trivial since $|P_n(\cos h)| \leq 1$ and $n(1-\cos h)^{1/2} > 1$. Assuming that

(5.3.2) \[ \Delta^{(m)} P_n(\cos h) = O[n(1 - \cos h)^{(m+1)/2}] \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, \cdots, k, \]

it will be shown that $\Delta^{(k+1)} P_n(\cos h) = O[n(1 - \cos h)^{(k+2)/2}]$. From the Christoffel-Darboux formula [2, p. 159] we know that:

(5.3.3) \[ \frac{\Delta P_n(\cos h)}{(1 - \cos h)} = \frac{K}{n + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (j + 1/2) P_j(\cos h) \]

where $K$ is a positive constant. Thus it is easily seen from (5.3.2) that:

(5.3.4) \[ \Delta^{(m-1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{n + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (j + 1/2) P_j(\cos h) \right\} = O[n(1 - \cos h)^{(m-1)/2}] \quad \text{for } m = 1, \cdots, k. \]

Also, utilizing (5.3.3),

\[
\Delta^{(k+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{(1 - \cos h)} \right\} = K \Delta^{(k-1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(n + 1)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (j + 1/2) P_j(\cos h) \right\} \\
= K \left[ \Delta^{(k-1)} \left\{ \frac{n + 1}{n + 1} P_{n+1}(\cos h) \right\} + \frac{n + 2}{n + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (j + 1/2) P_j(\cos h) \right].
\]

Consequently, from (5.1.3), the induction hypothesis (5.3.2), and (5.3.4), we have:

\[
\Delta^{(k+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{(1 - \cos h)} \right\} = O \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{1}{n + 1} \frac{(1 - \cos h)^{(j+1)/2}}{n^{k-1-j}} + \frac{(1 - \cos h)^{j/2}}{n^{k-j}} \right) \right].
\]

Therefore, since $1/n < (1-\cos h)^{1/2}$,

(5.3.4) \[ \Delta^{(k+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{(1 - \cos h)} \right\} = O[n(1 - \cos h)^{(k+2)/2}], \]

and the proof is complete.
We now proceed to state and prove the necessary conditions for $C$ summability.

5.4. Theorem. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q)$ be a series of surface spherical harmonics on $\Omega$. Let $r$ be a non-negative integer great enough so that $\Delta^{(r)} \{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \}$ converges uniformly to $F_r(Q)$ on $\Omega$. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n(Q)$ is $(C-\alpha)$ summable, $\alpha$ a non-negative integer, to $s$ at the point $P$ on $\Omega$ then for $r$ an integer greater than $(\alpha+2)/2$, $\Delta_r F_r(P)$ exists and equals $s$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $Y_0 = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(Q)$ is $(C-\alpha)$ summable to 0 at $P$. Since $\Delta^{(r)} \{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(Q) \}$ converges uniformly to $F_r(Q)$, by [7, p. 298] it is to be seen that:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \sin h} \int_{C(P,h)} F_r(Q) ds_Q = (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n(P) P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r}.$$

Applying Abel's partial summation formula $(\alpha+1)$ times to the right side of this equation we obtain:

$$(5.4.1) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi \sin h} \int_{C(P,h)} F_r(Q) ds_Q = (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right\}. $$

Note that the hypothesis for the application of this formula is satisfied since $Y_n^{(k)}(P) = o(n^k)$ for $k = 0, \cdots, \alpha$, and as shown in §(5.1.4), for $r>(\alpha+1)/2$, $\Delta^{(k+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right\} = O(1/n^{2r})$ for $k = 0, \cdots, \alpha$. Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(k)}(P) \Delta^{(k+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right\}$ converges absolutely and $Y_n^{(k)}(P) \Delta^{(k+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r} \right\} = o(1)$ for $k = 0, \cdots, \alpha$. Applying (5.1.1) to the right member of (5.4.1) and comparing the result with (2.12) and the definition (2.1.1), it is seen that if $L_r(\cos h) = o(1-\cos h)^r$ then:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \sin h} \int_{C(P,h)} F_r(Q) ds_Q = \Delta_0 F_r(P) + \Delta_1 F_r(P) \frac{(1-\cos h)^2}{2} + \cdots$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta(\Delta + 1\cdot 2) F_r(P)}{(2!)^2} \frac{(1-\cos h)^2}{2^2} + \cdots$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta(\Delta + 1\cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta + (r-1) r] F_r(P)}{(r!)^2} \frac{(1-\cos h)^r}{2^r} + o(1-\cos h)^r$$

where $\Delta_k F_r(P)$, $k = 0, \cdots, r$ are defined uniquely by the following set of $(r+1)$ equations:

$$\Delta_0 F_r(P) = \text{The } (C-\alpha) \text{ sum of the series } (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(P) \frac{1}{[n(n+1)]^r},$$

$$(5.4.3) \quad \Delta(\Delta + 1\cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta + (k-1) k] F_r(P) = \text{The } (C-\alpha) \text{ sum of the series }$$

$$(-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(P) \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1\cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (k-1) k] P_n}{[n(n+1)]^r}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, r.$$
Thus $\Delta_r F_r(P)$ will be equal to the $(C-\alpha)$ sum of

$$(-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(P) \Delta_0^{(r)} P_n(\cos h)/[n(n+1)]^r = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(P).$$

We therefore need only show that

$$L^r(\cos h) = [1/2 \pi \sin h] \int_{C(P,h)} F_r(Q) dS_0 - \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^r \left[ \Delta_0 F_r(P) + \sum_{k=0}^r \left[ \Delta_0^{(k+1)} F_r(P)/(k!)^2 \right] (1 - \cos h)\right] \right\}$$

$$= o(1 - \cos h)^r$$

where $\Delta_k F_r(P), k = 0, \ldots, r$, are given by (5.4.3). From (5.4.1), (5.1.14), and (5.1.15) we have:

$$L^r(\cos h)$$

$$= (-1)^r (1 - \cos h)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(a)}(P) \Delta_0^{(a+1)} \left\{ R_n^{(a)}(\cos h) \right\}$$

where

$$R_n^{(a)}(\cos h) = P_n(\cos h)$$

$$= 1 + \Delta_0 P_n \left( \frac{1 - \cos h}{2} \right) + \Delta_0^{(1)} P_n \left( \frac{1 - \cos h}{2} \right)^2 + \ldots$$

$$+ \Delta_0^{(r)} P_n \left( \frac{1 - \cos h}{2} \right)^r.$$
Applying (5.1.3) to $R_n'(\cos h)/[n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r$ with 
\[ f_n = R_n'(\cos h)/(1 - \cos h)^r \quad \text{and} \quad g_n = 1/[n(n+1)]^r \]
we see from (5.2.1) Lemma 2 that for $n \leq N$ there exists a $K > 0$ such that 
\[ |\Delta^{(\alpha)}\{R_n'(\cos h)/[n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r\}| < Kn^{(1-\alpha)}(1-\cos h). \]
Consequently,
\begin{equation}
\sum_{n=1}^{N} Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \\left\{ \frac{R_n'(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r} \right\} = o[N^2(1 - \cos h)] = o(1).
\end{equation}

Again applying (5.1.3) to $P_n'(\cos h)/[n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r$ with 
\[ f_n = P_n'(\cos h)/(1 - \cos h)^r \quad \text{and} \quad g_n = 1/[n(n+1)]^r \] we see from (5.3.1) Lemma 3 that for $n > N$,
\[ \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \{ P_n(\cos h)/[n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r \} = O\left[ 1/n^{(2r-1)}(1 - \cos h)^{r-(\alpha+2)/2} \right]. \]
Consequently,
\begin{equation}
\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \frac{P_n(\cos h)}{[n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r} \right\} = o\left[ \frac{1}{N^2(1 - \cos h)^{r-(\alpha+2)/2}} \right] = o(1) \quad \text{for} \quad r > (\alpha + 2)/2.
\end{equation}

Now for $k = 1, \ldots, r$,
\[ \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (k-1)k] P_n(1 - \cos h)^k}{(k!)^2 [n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r} \right\} = O\left[ \frac{1}{n^{2(r-k)+\alpha+1}(1 - \cos h)^{r-k}} \right] \]
since for $j = 1, \ldots, k; k+1, \ldots, r$, and $j \neq r$,
\[ \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \frac{\Delta_0(\Delta_0 + 1 \cdot 2) \cdots [\Delta_0 + (k-1)k] P_n(1 - \cos h)^k}{(k!)^2 [n(n+1)]^r(1-\cos h)^r} \right\} = O\left[ \frac{1}{n^{2(r-k)+\alpha+1}(1 - \cos h)^{r-k}} \right] \]
and for $j = k = r$, 

Thus,

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left[ \frac{\Delta^r P_n (1 - \cos h)^r}{(r!)^2 2^r} \right] = \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^r}{2^r (r!)^2} \right\} = 0.
\]

and from (5.4.6), (5.4.7), (5.4.8), and (5.4.9) it follows that for \( r > (\alpha + 2)/2 \),

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left[ \frac{\rho_n (\cos h)/[n(n+1)]^r (1 - \cos h)^r}{(r!)^2} \right] = o(1),
\]

and from (5.4.6), (5.4.7), (5.4.8), and (5.4.9) it follows that for \( r > (\alpha + 2)/2 \),

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^{(\alpha)}(P) \Delta^{(\alpha+1)} \left[ \frac{\rho_n (\cos h)/[n(n+1)]^r (1 - \cos h)^r}{(r!)^2} \right] = o(1).
\]

As a consequence of this theorem we can prove the following result:

**5.5. Theorem.** If \( f(Q) \in C^{2r}, r = 1, 2, \ldots, \) in a neighborhood of any point \( P \) on \( \Omega \) then \( \Delta f(P) \) exists and \( \Delta^k f(P) = \Delta (k)f(P), k = 1, \ldots, r. \)

**Proof.** By hypothesis there exists a spherical cap \( I(P, h) = \{ Q | [Q, P] > \cos h, 0 < h < \pi \} \) on which \( f(Q) \in C^{2r} \). Let \( \psi \) be a localizing function of class \( C^\infty \) on \( \Omega \) for the spherical caps \( I(P, h_1), I(P, h_2) \) where \( 0 < h_1 < h < h_2 \). Then \( \psi f(Q) \in C^{2r} \) on \( \Omega \). Let \( S[\psi f(Q)] \) be the Laplace series of \( \psi f(Q) \) where without loss of generality we may assume that the constant term is zero. \( S[\Delta (k) \psi f(Q)] = \Delta (k) S[\psi f(Q)], k = 1, \ldots, r, \) for by \([8, p. 213]\) if \( F_1(Q) \) and \( F_2(Q) \in C^r \) on \( \Omega \) then Green's second identity holds, i.e.,

\[
\int \int_\Omega F_1(Q) \Delta F_2(Q) d\Omega_Q = \int \int_\Omega \Delta F_1(Q) F_2(Q) d\Omega_Q.
\]

Thus since \( \psi f(Q) \) and \( P_n([M, Q]) \in C^{2r} \) on \( \Omega \), this identity may be applied \( r \) times to \( \int \int_\Omega \psi f(M) P_n([M, Q]) d\Omega_M, n = 1, 2, \ldots, \) to yield the above result. Since \( \Delta (k) \psi f(Q) \) is continuous on \( \Omega \), \( S[\Delta (k) \psi f(Q)] = \Delta (k) S[\psi f(Q)] \) is \( (C-1) \) summable to \( \Delta (k) \psi f(Q) \) on \( \Omega \) (see \([4]\)). Each term of \( S[\Delta (k) \psi f(Q)] \) is \( o(n) \) by the \( (C-1) \) summability. Thus applying the theorem of the preceding section to \( \Delta (k) S[\psi f(Q)] \) for \( k = 2, \ldots, r; r \geq 2, \) we form \( \Delta (k-2) \{ \Delta (k) S[\psi f(Q)] \} = S[\psi f(Q)] \) and conclude that \( \Delta (k) S[\psi f(Q)] \) exists and equals \( \Delta (k-2) \psi f(Q) \). Since \( \psi f(Q) \in C^{2r} \), \( S[\psi f(Q)] \) converges to \( \psi f(Q) \). Thus \( \Delta (k) \psi f(Q) = \Delta (k-2) \psi f(Q) \) and since \( \psi = 1 \) in \( I(P, h_1) \), \( \Delta (k) f(P) = \Delta (k) f(P) \) for \( k = 2, \ldots, r; r \geq 2. \) If \( \Delta f(P) \) exists then by the theorem of \( \S 4.11, \Delta (n) S[\psi f(Q)] \) is \( (C-\alpha) \) summable at \( P, \alpha > 3, \) to \( \Delta f(P). \) Thus \( \Delta f(P) \Delta (n) f(P). \) If \( r \geq 2, \) the existence of \( \Delta f(P) \)
follows immediately from that of $\Delta f(P)$ for $k = 2, \ldots, r$. If $r = 1$, that $f \in C^2$ in $I(P, h)$ implies the existence of $\Delta f(P)$ is shown as follows: By definition, $f(Q) \in C^2$ in $I(P, h)$ if $g(x, y) \in C^2$ on $\{(x, y) | x^2 + y^2 < \sin^2 h\}$ where $g(x, y) = f(x, y, (1 - x^2 - y^2)^{1/2})$ and $f(x, y, z) = f(Q)$ for all points $Q$ in $I(P, h)$. Then by Taylor's Theorem, for $0 < t < h$, $(1/2\pi \sin t) \int_{C(P, t)} f(Q) d\sigma - (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} g(\sin t \cos \theta, \sin t \sin \theta) \, d\theta = g(0, 0) + (1/4) [g_{xx}(0, 0) + g_{yy}(0, 0)] \sin^2 t + o(\sin^2 t)$. Thus:

$$(1/2\pi \sin t) \int_{C(P, t)} f(Q) d\sigma = f(P) + \frac{1}{(1 - \cos t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} [g_{xx}(0, 0) + g_{yy}(0, 0)] - g(0, 0)\right),$$

and consequently $\Delta f(P)$ exists and in fact equals $[g_{xx}(0, 0) + g_{yy}(0, 0)]$.

VI. The necessary and sufficient conditions for $C$ summability.

Theorem. Let $\sum_{n=0}^\infty Y_n(Q)$ be a series of surface spherical harmonics with $Y_n(Q) = O(n^k)$ uniformly on $\Omega$ for some $k$. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty Y_n(Q)$ be summable $C$ to $s$ at an arbitrary point $P$ on $\Omega$ is that there exist a non-negative integer $r > (k+1)/2$ such that $\Delta_s F_r(P)$ exists and equals $s$ where $F_r(Q) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty Y_n(Q)$.

Proof. The sufficiency follows immediately from the theorem of §4.12 with the order of summability $\alpha > 2r + 1$. Choosing $r$ an integer greater than $\max\{k+1/2, ([\alpha]+3)/2\}$ where $\alpha$ is the order (not necessarily integral) of summability of $\sum_{n=0}^\infty Y_n(Q)$ at $P$, the necessity follows immediately from the theorem of §5.4.
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