

# THE COHOMOLOGY OF A SUBALGEBRA OF THE STEENROD ALGEBRA

BY

ARUNAS L. LIULEVICIUS<sup>(1)</sup>

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  be the Steenrod algebra over  $Z_p$ ,  $p$  a prime. It is well known [4] that it is an augmented Hopf algebra over  $Z_p$ . The groups  $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(Z_p, Z_p)$  occur as the  $E_2$  term of a spectral sequence which may be used to determine the  $p$ -primary components of stable homotopy groups of spheres [1]. Recent results of Adams [3] indicate that it is fruitful to study the groups  $\text{Ext}_B(Z_p, Z_p)$ , where  $B$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $A$ . In this paper we study a certain subalgebra of the Steenrod algebra. The results obtained here will be used in proving an Adams periodicity theorem for  $p$  an odd prime. The fundamental tool in this investigation is the twisted tensor product construction introduced by Wall [5].

**2. A Hopf algebra on two generators.** Let  $W$  be a graded, connected algebra with unit and augmentation, generated as an algebra over  $Z_p$  ( $p$  a prime) by two elements:  $x$  of grade  $q$ ,  $z$  of grade  $pq$ , satisfying the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x[z, x] &= [z, x]x, \\ z[z, x] &= [z, x]z, \\ x^p &= 0, \\ z^p &= ([z, x])^{p-1}x, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[z, x] = zx - xz$ .

For  $p=2$  we may interpret  $W$  as the subalgebra of the Steenrod algebra generated by  $Sq^1$  and  $Sq^2$ , by letting  $q=1$ ,  $x = Sq^1$ ,  $z = Sq^2$ . For  $p$  odd, we let  $x = P^1$ ,  $z = P^p$ ,  $q = 2p - 2$ : in this case  $W$  is again the subalgebra of the Steenrod algebra generated by  $P^1$  and  $P^p$  [4].

Let us write  $y$  for  $[z, x]$ . It is an easy consequence of the above relations that  $y^p = 0$ .

Let  $V$  be the subalgebra of  $W$  generated by  $1$ ,  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  commute, and  $x^p = y^p = 0$ ,  $V$  is, as a (Hopf) algebra, just a tensor product of two truncated polynomial algebras. Thus a minimal resolution for  $Z_p$  over  $V$  can be taken to be a tensor product of two minimal resolutions of  $Z_p$  over truncated polynomial algebras. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_V^{*,*}(Z_p, Z_p) &\cong \mathcal{L}\{h_0, \lambda_0, h_{2,0}, \lambda_{2,0}\} && \text{if } p \text{ odd,} \\ &\cong Z_2[h_0, h_{2,0}] && \text{if } p = 2, \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors October 24, 1961.

<sup>(1)</sup> The author holds a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship.

where  $\mathcal{L}\{ \}$  denotes the free associative, commutative bigraded algebra over  $Z_p$  on generators

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 \text{ of bidegree} & \quad (1, q), \\ h_{2,0} \text{ of bidegree} & \quad (1, q(p + 1)), \\ \lambda_0 \text{ of bidegree} & \quad (2, pq), \\ \lambda_{2,0} \text{ of bidegree} & \quad (2, pq(p + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

A minimal resolution for  $Z_p$  over  $V$  is given by the following complex  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$0 \leftarrow Z_p \xleftarrow{\epsilon_F} F_0 \leftarrow F_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow F_s \xleftarrow{d_F} F_{s+1} \leftarrow \dots$$

We take  $F_s = V \otimes_{Z_p} \bar{F}_s$ , where  $\bar{F}_s \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{V}}^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ . We thus conveniently confuse the generators of  $F_s$  with elements of a  $Z_p$  basis of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{V}}^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ .

We take as a basis for  $\bar{F}_s$  the set of formal monomials  $h_0^n \lambda_0^\eta h_{2,0}^\epsilon \lambda_{2,0}^r$ , where  $\epsilon, \eta = 1$  or  $0$ , and  $\epsilon + 2n + \eta + 2r = s$ . If  $p = 2$  we omit  $\lambda_{2,0}$  and substitute  $h_{2,0}^2$  for every occurrence of  $\lambda_{2,0}$ . We define the differential  $d_F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_F(\lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= x^{p-1} h_0 \lambda_0^{n-1} \lambda_{2,0}^r + y^{p-1} \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{r-1}, \\ d_F(h_0 \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= x \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r - y^{p-1} h_0 \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{r-1}, \\ d_F(\lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= x^{p-1} h_0 \lambda_0^{n-1} h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r + y \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r, \\ d_F(h_0 \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= x \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r - y h_0 \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r, \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $\alpha^k = 1$  if  $k = 0$ ,  $\alpha^k = 0$  if  $k < 0$ .

We notice that  $V$  is a normal subalgebra of  $W$ :  $\bar{V}W = W\bar{V}$ , where  $\bar{V}$  is the augmentation ideal. This follows from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} zx &= xz + y, \\ zy &= yz. \end{aligned}$$

The normal quotient  $U = W//V = W/W\bar{V}$  is clearly a truncated polynomial algebra on the residue class of  $z$ , denoted  $\bar{z}$ . We know that

$$\text{Ext}_U^{*,*}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong \mathcal{L}\{h_1, \lambda_1\},$$

where  $h_1$  is of bidegree  $(1, pq)$  and  $\lambda_1$  is of bidegree  $(2, p^2q)$ , and where (once more) if  $p = 2$  we omit  $\lambda_1$  and substitute  $h_1^2$  for every occurrence of  $\lambda_1$ . We let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the following minimal resolution of  $Z_p$  over  $U$ :

$$0 \leftarrow Z_p \xleftarrow{\epsilon_B} B_0 \leftarrow B_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow B_r \xleftarrow{d_B} B_{r+1} \leftarrow \dots,$$

where  $B_r = U \otimes_{Z_p} \bar{B}_r$ , and  $\bar{B}_r$  is 1-dimensional over  $Z_p$ , with a basis  $h_1^\epsilon \lambda_1^k$ , where  $\epsilon = 0$  or  $1$ , and  $\epsilon + 2k = r$ .

We let

$$\begin{aligned} d_B(h_1\lambda_1^k) &= \bar{z}\lambda_1^k, \\ d_B(\lambda_1^{k+1}) &= \bar{z}^{p-1}h_1\lambda_1^k. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the following (three-graded) free  $W$ -module:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{r,s} &= W \otimes_{Z_p} \bar{B}_r \otimes_{Z_p} \bar{F}_s, \\ \mathcal{E} &= \sum_{r,s} \mathcal{E}_{r,s}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce an augmentation

$$\epsilon_s: \mathcal{E}_{s,*} \rightarrow B_s$$

by setting  $\epsilon_s = \pi \otimes \epsilon_F$ , where  $\pi$  is the projection

$$\pi: W \rightarrow U, \text{ and } \epsilon_F \text{ is the augmentation in } \mathcal{F}.$$

**3. Twisted tensor product of resolutions.** Consider the following situation (we have described a special case of it above). Let  $W, U, V$  be augmented algebras over a field  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F}$  be free resolutions of  $K$  over  $U, V$ , respectively. Let  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}$  be the  $K$ -complexes  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = K \otimes_U \mathcal{B}, K \otimes_V \mathcal{F}$ .

**THEOREM 1 (WALL).** *If (1)  $V$  is normal in  $W$ , (2)  $W$  is free as a right module over  $V$ , (3)  $U = W//V = W/W\bar{V}$ , then a free resolution  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $K$  over  $W$  can be constructed as a twisted tensor product of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ . That is, if*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \sum_{r,s} \mathcal{E}_{r,s}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{r,s} &= W \otimes_K \bar{B}_r \otimes_K \bar{F}_s, \end{aligned}$$

then there exists an augmentation

$$\epsilon: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

and  $W$ -maps

$$d_k: \mathcal{E}_{r,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{r-k,s+k-1}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes d_F, \\ \epsilon d_1 &= d_B \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^k d_{k-j} d_j = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots$$

**Proof.** We take the proof of Wall [5] for the special case  $W=Z(G)$ ,  $U=Z(H)$ ,  $V=Z(K)$ , where  $G, H, K$  are finite groups,  $K \triangleleft G$ ,  $H=G/K$ . If we substitute  $W, U, V$  for  $Z(G), Z(H), Z(K)$ , respectively, wherever they appear in Wall's proof, the result is a proof of Theorem 1.

We make  $\varepsilon$  into a complex by setting  $d_E = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j$ .

We remark that the hypothesis (2) of the theorem is always satisfied if  $V$  is a Hopf subalgebra of the Hopf algebra  $W$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $W, V, U, \varepsilon$  are as in §2, we let  $\gamma_q$  be the chosen basis element of  $\overline{B}_q$ . We can define the maps  $d_k$  as follows:*

- (1)  $d_0$  is induced by  $d_F$ ,
- (2) if  $q$  is an even integer,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(\gamma_{q+1} \otimes \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= z\gamma_q \otimes \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r - x^{p-2} \gamma_q \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^{n-1} h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r, \\ d_1(\gamma_{q+1} \otimes \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= z\gamma_q \otimes \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r, \\ d_1(\gamma_{q+1} \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= z\gamma_q \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r - \gamma_q \otimes \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r, \\ d_1(\gamma_{q+1} \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= z\gamma_q \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r, \end{aligned}$$

(3)  $d_2(\gamma_{q+2} \otimes \alpha) = (-1)^{q+1} y^{p-2} x \gamma_q \otimes h_{2,0} \alpha$ , where  $q$  is a non-negative integer, and  $\alpha$  is a basic monomial,

(4)  $d_{2m} = 0$  identically for  $m \geq 2$ ,

(5)  $d_3(\gamma_{q+3} \otimes \alpha) = (r+1) \gamma_q \otimes \alpha \lambda_{2,0}$ , where  $q$  is an even integer and  $\alpha$  is a basic monomial of the form  $h_0^s \lambda_0^n h_{2,0}^r \lambda_{2,0}^r$ ,

(6)  $d_{2m+1}(\gamma_{q+2m+1} \otimes \alpha)$  for  $q$  odd is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m+1}(\lambda_1^{k+m+1} \otimes \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= (-1)^m m! \binom{r+m}{r} z^{p-m-1} h_1 \lambda_1^k \otimes \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^{r+m} \\ &+ (-1)^{m+1} \binom{r+m}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{p-m-1} (-1)^j (j+m)! z^{p-m-1-j} y^{j-1} x^{p-1-j} h_1 \lambda_1^k \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^{n-1} h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{r+m}, \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{2m+1}(\lambda_1^{k+m+1} \otimes \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r) = (-1)^m m! \binom{r+m}{r} z^{p-m-1} h_1 \lambda_1^k \otimes \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{r+m},$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m+1}(\lambda_1^{k+m+1} \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^r) &= (-1)^m m! \binom{r+m}{r} z^{p-m-1} h_1 \lambda_1^k \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n \lambda_{2,0}^{r+m} \\ &+ (-1)^m (m+1)! \binom{r+m}{r} z^{p-m-2} h_1 \lambda_1^k \otimes \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{r+m}, \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{2m+1}(\lambda_1^{k+m+1} \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r) = (-1)^m m! \binom{r+m}{r} z^{p-m-1} h_1 \lambda_1^k \otimes h_0 \lambda_0^n h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{r+m},$$

(7)  $d_{2m+1}(\gamma_{q+2m+1} \otimes \alpha) = 0$  if  $q$  is even and  $m \geq 2$ .

**Proof.** Easy, but tedious induction on the total degree. Special care must be given for  $d_j, j \leq 6$ .

4. **Structure of  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$ .** In order to determine the additive structure of  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$ , it is sufficient to compute  $\text{Tor}^W(Z_p, Z_p)$ , since the first is the graded dual of the second [2]. We exhibit certain elements of  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$  in the following table (here  $p \neq 2$ ; the structure of  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_2, Z_2)$  is given in Theorem 3). The first column gives the element in  $\text{Ext}$  which is the dual of the corresponding element in the third column (this makes sense, for in each relevant grading  $\text{Tor}^W(Z_p, Z_p)$  turns out to be 1-dimensional).

TABLE 1 ( $p \neq 2$ )

Class in Ext	Bidegree	Representative in Tor
$h_0$	$(1, q)$	$1 \otimes h_0$
$h_1$	$(1, pq)$	$h_1 \otimes 1$
$\lambda_0$	$(2, pq)$	$1 \otimes \lambda_0$
$\lambda_1$	$(2, p^2q)$	$\lambda_1 \otimes 1$
$\mu_0$	$(2, (p+2)q)$	$\frac{1}{2}1 \otimes h_0 h_{2,0}$
$\nu_0$	$(2, (2p+1)q)$	$\frac{1}{2}h_1 \otimes h_{2,0}$
$\chi$	$(3, (p^2+p+1)q)$	$1 \otimes h_0 \lambda_{2,0}$
$\sigma_{2r+1} \quad 1 \leq r < p-1$	$(2r+1, (rp^2+rp+p)q)$	$h_1 \otimes \lambda_{2,0}^r$
$\kappa_{2s} \quad 2 \leq s < p-1$	$(2s, (sp^2-p^2+sp+p+1)q)$	$h_1 \otimes h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{s-1}$
$\omega$	$(2p, p^2(p+1)q)$	$1 \otimes \lambda_{2,0}^p$

**THEOREM 2** ( $p \neq 2$ ). *The classes  $\lambda_0$  and  $\omega$  generate a free associative, commutative algebra  $L$  in  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$ . The classes  $\lambda_1^k \quad 0 \leq k < p, h_0 \lambda_1^q \quad 0 \leq q < p-1, \mu_0 \lambda_1^k \quad 0 \leq k < p, \chi \lambda_1^k \quad 0 \leq k < p, \nu_0, h_0 \nu_0, \sigma_{2r+1} \quad 1 \leq r < p-1, h_0 \sigma_{2r+1} \quad 1 \leq r < p-1, \kappa_{2s} \quad 2 \leq s < p-1, h_0 \kappa_{2s} \quad 2 \leq s < p-1, \mu_0 \sigma_{2r+1} \quad 1 \leq r < p-1$  is a system of generators for  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$  as a free left  $L$ -module.*

**Proof.** We first remark that the elements  $1 \otimes \lambda_0$  and  $1 \otimes \lambda_{2,0}^p$  indeed give rise to nonzero elements in  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$ . Secondly, we notice that the resolution  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $Z_p$  is honestly periodic with respect to the formal multiplication of the generators by  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_{2,0}^p$ . It is now clear that the formal multiplication by  $\lambda_0$  or  $\lambda_{2,0}^p$  corresponds to honest multiplication by  $\lambda_0$  or  $\omega$  in  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$ : this is an immediate consequence of the preceding sentence and the Yoneda construction for the product (see, for example, p. 30 [2]). We now only have to verify that the listed classes indeed give an  $L$ -basis for  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$ . This is indeed the case, for a basis for

$$\text{Ker } \bar{d} / \text{Im } \bar{d} = \text{Tor}^W(Z_p, Z_p)$$

( $\bar{d}$  denotes  $1 \otimes d$  in  $Z_p \otimes_W \mathcal{E}$ ) is given by the cosets of the following elements:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 h_1 \otimes \lambda_{2,0}^r & 0 \leq r < p - 1, \\
 h_1 \otimes h_0 \lambda_{2,0}^r + (1/r) h_1 \lambda_1 \otimes h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^{r-1} & 1 \leq r < p - 1, \\
 h_1 \otimes h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r & 0 \leq r < p - 2, \\
 h_1 \otimes h_0 h_{2,0} \lambda_{2,0}^r & 0 \leq r \leq p - 2, \\
 \lambda_1^k \otimes 1 & 0 \leq k < p, \\
 \lambda_1^k \otimes h_0 & 0 \leq k < p - 1, \\
 \lambda_1^k \otimes h_0 \lambda_{2,0} & 0 \leq k < p - 1, \\
 \lambda_1^k \otimes h_0 h_{2,0} & 0 \leq k < p.
 \end{array}$$

For completeness, we give the result for  $p=2$  also. This is actually very easy: a direct proof via a minimal resolution is here painless.

**THEOREM 3** ( $p=2$ ). *The algebra  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_2, Z_2)$  is isomorphic to the quotient of a polynomial algebra on classes*

- $h_0$  of bigrading  $(1, q)$
- $h_1$  of bigrading  $(1, 2q)$ ,
- $u$  of bigrading  $(3, 7q)$ ,
- $\omega$  of bigrading  $(4, 12q)$ ,

modulo the ideal generated by the classes  $h_0 h_1, h_1^3, h_1 u, u^2 + h_0^2 \omega$ .

**Proof.** The reader is invited to construct a minimal resolution.

To give an idea of the algebra structure of  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_p, Z_p)$ ,  $p$  odd, we give the result for  $p=3$  (in a way this is unfair, because here we have many more relations than for the general  $p \geq 5$ ).

**THEOREM 4** ( $p=3$ ). *The indecomposable elements in  $\text{Ext}_W(Z_3, Z_3)$  have a basis consisting of the elements  $h_0, \lambda_0, h_1, \lambda_1, \mu_0, \nu_0, \chi, \omega, \sigma_3$ . The relations satisfied by these elements are (where  $\{ , , \}$  is the Massey triple product):*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 h_1 h_0 = 0, & h_1 \chi = - h_0 \sigma_3 = \lambda_1 \nu_0, \\
 \lambda_1 h_1 = 0, & \lambda_1 \sigma_3 = 0, \\
 \lambda_1^2 h_0 = 0, & h_1 \lambda_0 = - h_0 \mu_0, \\
 \nu_0 = \{ h_1, h_0, h_1 \}, & \lambda_1 h_0 = - h_1 \nu_0, \\
 \mu_0 = \{ h_0, h_1, h_0 \}, & h_1 \sigma_3 = - \lambda_1^2, \\
 \sigma_3 = \{ h_1, \lambda_1, h_1 \}, & h_0 \chi = \lambda_1 \mu_0, \\
 \chi = \{ h_0, h_1, \lambda_1 \}, & \lambda_0 \nu_0 = - \mu_0^2, \\
 h_1 \mu_0 = h_0 \nu_0, & \lambda_1 \chi = \sigma_3 \nu_0.
 \end{array}$$

**Proof.** We can exhibit a minimal resolution for  $Z_3$  over  $W$  and read off the above relations by means of the Yoneda constructions.

## REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1958), 180–214.
2. ———, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. **72** (1960), 20–103.
3. ———, *Stable homotopy theory* (Mimeo. notes), University of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1961.
4. J. Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. **67** (1958), 150–171.
5. C. T. C. Wall, *Resolutions for extensions of groups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 251–255.

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY,  
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY  
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS