# IRREDUCIBILITY OF POLYNOMIALS WITH LOW ABSOLUTE VALUES

BY

R. J. LEVIT

1. **Introduction.** We shall be concerned with irreducibility criteria of the following form: *An integral polynomial* (i.e., polynomial with integral coefficients) *$P_n(x)$ of degree n is irreducible over the rational field if there are m distinct integers $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ for which $0<|P_n(x_i)|<\Gamma(m,n)$, where $\Gamma(m,n)$ is a specified function of m and n only*. The first such criterion was given by G. Pólya [4] for $m=n$. In a comprehensive paper [1], which includes an account of the earlier results, A. Brauer and G. Ehrlich established the highest bounds $\Gamma(m,n)$ to date—namely,

$$\Gamma(n,n) = G(n) = \frac{(n-1)!}{2^{n-1}[(n-2)/2]!}, \tag{1-1a}$$

$$\Gamma(m,n) = [(m+1)/2] \qquad (n/2 < m \leqq n-1, m \geqq 7). \tag{1-1b}$$

They showed that the bound (1-1b) is the best possible and went on to consider the effect of excluding polynomials with factors of certain degrees. In particular, their bound for polynomials without rational zeros is

$$\Gamma(m,n) = [(m-1)/2](m-1)/4 \qquad (n/2 < m \leqq n-1). \tag{1-2}$$

In the present paper we improve the values of $\Gamma(m,n)$ in (1-1a) and (1-2) by utilizing a lower bound derived in [2] for the maximum absolute value of a polynomial on a finite set. For $m=n$ and $m=n-1$ we obtain

$$\Gamma(n,n) = B_n = 2^{1-N}(\tfrac{1}{2}[n/2])_N; \quad \Gamma(n-1,n) = B'_n = 2^{1-N}(\{[n/2]-1\}/2)_N,$$

where $N=[(n+1)/2]$ and $(x)_i$ denotes the factorial,

$$(x)_i = x(x+1)\cdots(x+i-1) \qquad (i = 1,2,\ldots).$$

$B_n > G(n)$ when $n>5$. $B'_n$ exceeds the bound (1-2) (for $m=n-1$) when $n>7$. For $n/2<m\leqq n-2$, Theorem 4 below yields a bound which coincides with (1-2) for odd $m$ but is slightly higher for even $m>6$. This bound is the best possible for polynomials without rational zeros.

In the concluding section we determine the forms of the polynomials covered by the various criteria.

---

Presented to the Society, April 2, 1949 under the title *A criterion for the irreducibility of polynomials in the rational field* and November 30, 1967; received by the editors January 4, 1967.

(1) For any real number $x$, $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leqq x$.

2. **The values of a monic polynomial on a finite set.** In this section the coefficient domain for all polynomials is understood to be the real field. We need the following result from another paper [2, Corollary 4].

LEMMA 1-1. *Let $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_n$ be real numbers, $d = \max_i (c_{i+1} - c_i)$, $L = c_n - c_1$; and let $q_k(x)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $k > 0$. If $L > d(k-1)$,*

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(c_i)| \geqq 2^{1-2k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \{L + d(2i-k-1)\}. \tag{2-1}$$

This leads to a theorem which is the basis of the irreducibility criteria.

THEOREM 1. *Let $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ be integers, and let $q_k(x)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $k$. If $n > k > 0$, then*

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(x_i)| \geqq B(k,n) = 2^{1-k} \left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)_k. \tag{2-2}$$

For the proof we need, in addition to Lemma 1-1, some results of de la Vallée Poussin [5, Chapter VI] concerning Tchebichef approximation on finite sets. Given an arbitrary real function $f$ defined at the points $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_n$ $(n > 1)$ and a positive integer $m \leqq n-2$, there is a unique polynomial $p_m^*(x) = p_m^*(x; c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ such that, as $p_m(x)$ ranges over the set of all polynomials of degree $\leqq m$, the deviation, $\max_i |f(c_i) - p_m(c_i)|$, assumes its minimum value $\rho_{f,m}(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ when $p_m(x) = p_m^*(x)$. That is,

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |f(c_i) - p_m(c_i)| \geqq \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |f(c_i) - p_m^*(c_i)| = \rho_{f,m}(c_1, \ldots, c_n). \tag{2-3}$$

With the aid of the definition,

$$\omega_i(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = |c_i - c_1| \cdots |c_i - c_{i-1}|\, |c_i - c_{i+1}| \cdots |c_i - c_n|,$$

$\rho_{f,n-2}(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ can be expressed explicitly by the formula,

$$\rho_{f,n-2}(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = \frac{\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i f(c_i)/\omega_i(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/\omega_i(c_1, \ldots, c_n)} \tag{2-4}$$

while for $m < n-2$

$$\rho_{f,m}(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = \rho_{f,m}(c_{I_1}, c_{I_2}, \ldots, c_{I_{m+2}}), \tag{2-5}$$

where $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_{m+2}$ are distinct integers from among $1, 2, \ldots, n$ chosen so that the right member is a maximum.

Proofs of the foregoing are given in [5, Chapter 6]. We apply them now to the function $f(x) = x^k$, $k < n$. The numerator in (2-4) is $|[c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n]_f|$, where $[c_1, \ldots, c_n]_f$ is the divided difference of order $n-1$ for the function $f$. (The required properties of divided differences may be found in [3].) For $f(x) = x^{n-1}$,

$[c_1, \ldots, c_n]_f = 1$. Consequently, if we write $\rho_k$ for $\rho_{f,k-1}$ when $f(x) = x^k$, (2-4) reduces to

$$\rho_{n-1}(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/\omega_i(c_1, \ldots, c_n)}. \tag{2-6}$$

LEMMA 1-2. *Let $q_k(x)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $k > 0$, and let $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_n$ be real numbers, $n > k$. Then,*

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(c_i)| \geqq \rho_k(c_{I_1}, c_{I_2}, \ldots, c_{I_{k+1}}), \tag{2-6.5}$$

*where $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_{k+1}$ are distinct integers from among $1, 2, \ldots, n$ chosen so that the right member is a maximum. There is a unique polynomial $q_k^*(x) = q_k^*(x; c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ such that equality holds in* (2-6.5).

**Proof.** Set $p_{k-1}(x) = x^k - q_k(x)$. Then by (2-3), (2-5),

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(c_i)| &= \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |c_i^k - p_{k-1}(c_i)| \geqq \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |c_i^k - p_{k-1}^*(c_i)| \\ &= \rho_k(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = \rho_k(c_{I_1}, c_{I_2}, \ldots, c_{I_{k+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds only for the polynomial $q_k^*(x) = x^k - p_{k-1}^*(x)$.

The case $n = k+1$ leads to the following result of Pólya [4, p. 32].

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,k+1} |p_k(x_i)| \geqq \frac{k!}{2^k}, \tag{2-7}$$

where $p_k(x)$ is an integral polynomial of exact degree $k$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k+1}$ are any $k+1$ distinct integers.

LEMMA 1-3. *Let $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_n$ and $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_n$ be real numbers such that*

$$e_{i+1} - e_i \geqq c_{i+1} - c_i \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1). \tag{2-8}$$

*If $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{k+1}$ are any $k+1$ distinct integers from among $1, 2, \ldots, n$, then*

$$\rho_k(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_{k+1}}) \geqq \rho_k(c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \ldots, c_{i_{k+1}}). \tag{2-9}$$

*Moreover, for any monic polynomial $q_k(x)$ of degree $k$,*

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(e_i)| \geqq \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k^*(c_i; c_1, \ldots, c_n)|. \tag{2-10}$$

**Proof.** (2-8) implies that $e_j - e_i \geqq c_j - c_i$ for $1 \leqq i < j \leqq n$. Hence, $\omega_i(e_1, \ldots, e_n) \geqq \omega_i(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ and (2-9) follows by (2-6). Next, from among $1, 2, \ldots, n$ choose two sets of $k+1$ distinct integers, $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_{k+1}$ and $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{k+1}$, which respectively maximize

$$\rho_k(c_{I_1}, c_{I_2}, \ldots, c_{I_{k+1}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_k(e_{J_1}, e_{J_2}, \ldots, e_{J_{k+1}}).$$

Then,

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(e_i)| \geqq \rho_k(e_{J_1}, e_{J_2}, \ldots, e_{J_{k+1}}) \geqq \rho_k(e_{I_1}, e_{I_2}, \ldots, e_{I_{k+1}})$$
$$\geqq \rho_k(c_{I_1}, c_{I_2}, \ldots, c_{I_{k+1}}) = \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k^*(c_i; c_1, \ldots, c_n)|$$

by Lemma 1-2, (2-9), and Lemma 1-2 again.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. Since the $x_i$ are integers, (2-8) is satisfied if we take $e_i = x_i$, $c_i = i$. By Lemmas 1-3 and 1-1 we have

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(x_i)| \geqq \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k^*(i)| \geqq 2^{1-2k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (n-k+2i-2) = 2^{1-k} \left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)_k.$$

### 3. Irreducibility criteria.

THEOREM 2. *Let $P_n(x)$ be an integral polynomial of exact degree $n$, and let $N = [(n+1)/2]$. If there are $n$ integers, $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ such that*

$$\text{(3-1)} \qquad 0 < |P_n(x_i)| < B_n = 2^{1-N}(\tfrac{1}{2}[n/2])_N \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n),$$

*then $P_n(x)$ is irreducible over the field of rational numbers.*

**Proof.** Since, for $n \leqq 4$, $B_n \leqq 1$ and (3-1) is vacuous, we assume $n \geqq 5$. It will be convenient to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ be integers, $n \geqq 5$, and let $p_k(x)$ be an integral polynomial of exact degree $k$, $n/2 \leqq k \leqq n-1$. Then*

$$\text{(3-2)} \qquad \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |p_k(x_i)| \geqq B_n.$$

The proof of the theorem will then follow immediately; for, if $P_n(x)$ were reducible, there would be a factorization, $P_n(x) = p_k(x)\pi(x)$, in which $p_k(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ are integral polynomials and $p_k(x)$ has leading coefficient $a \neq 0$ and degree $k$ in the range, $n/2 \leqq k \leqq n-1$. $\pi(x_i)$ is an integer and is not zero, since $P_n(x_i) \neq 0$. Hence, $|\pi(x_i)| \geqq 1$, and so by the lemma

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |P_n(x_i)| \geqq \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |p_k(x_i)| \geqq B_n,$$

contrary to (3-1). Therefore, $P_n(x)$ cannot be reducible.

We turn now to the proof of the lemma. For $B(k, n)$ as defined in (2-2), $B_n = B(N, n)$. We wish to show that for $n \geqq 8$

$$\text{(3-3)} \qquad B(k, n) \geqq B(N, n) \qquad (k = N, N+1, \ldots, n-1).$$

We find directly that

$$\frac{B(k+2, n)}{B(k, n)} = \frac{(n-1)^2 - (k+1)^2}{16}$$

and hence that

$$\text{(3-4)} \qquad B(k+2, n) > B(k, n) \qquad (k \leqq n-3, n \geqq 10).$$

It is also readily shown that

$$B(k+1, n)/B(k, n) > (n-k-1)/4$$

and hence that $B(k+1, n) > B(k, n)$ when $k \leqq n-5$. But $N \leqq n-5$ for $n \geqq 10$; so

$$B(N+1, n) > B(N, n) \qquad (n \geqq 10). \tag{3-5}$$

Combining (3-4) and (3-5), we see that (3-3) holds for $n \geqq 10$. It continues to hold for $n=9, 8$, as can be verified by direct evaluation of $B(k, n)$ for each $k$ concerned. Now let $q_k(x) = p_k(x)/a$. Then, since $|a| \geqq 1$, we have

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |p_k(x_i)| = |a| \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |q_k(x_i)| \geqq B(k, n) \geqq B(N, n) = B_n \tag{3-6}$$

for $n/2 \leqq k \leqq n-1$, $n \geqq 8$, by Theorem 1 and (3-3). This establishes (3-2) for $n \geqq 8$ and also, when $k=N$, for $n=7, 6, 5$. We verify it for each value of $k$ individually in the remaining cases as follows.

$$n = 7, k = 5: \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,7} |p_5(x_i)| \geqq B(5, 7) = \frac{15}{2} > B_7 \quad \text{by Theorem 1.}$$

$$n = 7, k = 6: \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,7} |p_6(x_i)| \geqq \frac{6!}{2^6} > B_7 \quad \text{by (2-7).}$$

$$n = 6, k = 4: \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,6} |p_4(x_i)| \geqq \rho_4(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6) \geqq \rho_4(1, 2, 4, 5, 6) = 4 > B_6$$

by Lemmas 1-2, 1-3, and (2-6).

$$n = 6, k = 5: \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,6} |p_5(x_i)| \geqq \frac{5!}{2^5} > B_6 \quad \text{by (2-7).}$$

$$n = 5, k = 4: \max_{i=1,2,3,4,5} |p_4(x_i)| \geqq \frac{4!}{2^4} = B_5 \quad \text{by (2-7).}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2 and hence of Theorem 2.

Comparing $B_n$ with the bound $G(n)$ in (1-1a), we find that

$$\frac{B_n}{G(n)} = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{N+2i}{N+i} \quad (n \text{ even}); \qquad \frac{B_n}{G(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{N+2i-1}{N+i-1}$$

$$= \frac{3(3N-5)}{4(2N-3)} \prod_{i=0}^{N-3} \frac{N+2i-1}{N+i-1} \quad (n \text{ odd}).$$

Thus, $B_n > G(n)$ for even $n$ and for odd $n \geqq 7$. $B_5 = G(5) = \frac{3}{2}$, while, for $n < 5$, $B_n \leqq 1$, $G(n) < 1$, so that the theorem is vacuous with either bound.

If $P_n(x)$ has no rational zeros, we can restrict the degree of its factor $p_k(x)$ to the range, $N \leqq k \leqq n-2$; and, by slightly modifying the proof of Theorem 2, obtain the following criterion requiring only $n-1$ points.

THEOREM 3. *Let $P_n(x)$ be an integral polynomial of exact degree n having no rational zeros. If there are $n-1$ integers, $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1}$, such that*

$$(3\text{-}7)\quad 0 < |P_n(x_i)| < B(N, n-1) = 2^{1-N}(\{[n/2]-1\}/2)_N \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1),$$

*where* $N=[(n+1)/2]$, *then* $P_n(x)$ *is irreducible over the field of rationals.*

For fewer than $n-1$ points (but more than $n/2$) we have

THEOREM 4. *Let* $P_n(x)$ *be an integral polynomial of exact degree n having no rational zeros, and let m be an integer in the range,* $n/2<m\leqq n-2$. *If there are m integers,* $x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_m$, *such that*

$$(3\text{-}8)\qquad 0 < |P_n(x_i)| < A_m = [\{(m-1)^2+4\}/8] \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m),$$

*then* $P_n(x)$ *is irreducible over the field of rationals. Moreover, if*

$$(3\text{-}9)\qquad 0 < |P_n(x_i)| < A_m+1 \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m),$$

$P_n(x)$ *is irreducible when m satisfies the following condition:*

CONDITION U. $u=m-1$ *is a solution of the Pell-type equation,* $u^2-2v^2=-1$, *for some integer v.*

**Proof.** We assume $m\geqq 5$, since for lower values the theorem is vacuous. If $P_n(x)$ were reducible, it would have a factor $\pi_k(x)$ with integral coefficients and degree $k$ in the range, $2\leqq k\leqq n/2$. We shall show that

$$(3\text{-}10)\qquad \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,m} |\pi_k(x_i)| \geqq A_m \qquad (k = 2, 3, \ldots, [n/2])$$

and that, when $m$ satisfies Condition U, (3-10) is a strict inequality. The theorem then follows as in the proof of Theorem 2 from Lemma 2. Let $\pi_k(x)$ have leading coefficient $a$, and let $q_k(x)=\pi_k(x)/a$. Consider first the case $k=2$ of (3-10). Defining $M=[(m+1)/2]$, we have by Lemmas 1-2, 1-3

$$(3\text{-}11)\qquad \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,m} |\pi_2(x_i)| \geqq \max_{i=1,M,m} |q_2(x_i)| \geqq \rho_2(x_1, x_M, x_m) \geqq \rho_2(0, M-1, m-1).$$

In fact, since $\pi_2(x_i)$ is an integer, $\max_i |\pi_2(x_i)|\geqq[\rho_2(0, M-1, m-1)]^*$, where $[r]^*$ denotes the least integer $\geqq r$. By means of (2-6) we find that

$$(3\text{-}12)\qquad \begin{aligned} \rho_2(0, M-1, m-1) &= (m-1)^2/8 \quad (m \text{ odd}),\\ \rho_2(0, M-1, m-1) &= m(m-2)/8 \quad (m \text{ even}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence that $[\rho_2(0, M-1, m-1)]^*\geqq A_m$. Thus, (3-10) is established for $k=2$.

For $k>2$, since $m>n/2\geqq k$, we have by Theorem 1

$$(3\text{-}13)\qquad \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,m} |\pi_k(x_i)| = |a|\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,m} |q_k(x_i)| \geqq B(k, m).$$

This implies (3-10) as a strict inequality for $3\leqq k<m$, $m\geqq 7$, because

$$\frac{B(k, m)}{A_m} \geqq 4^{2-k}\prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (2i+m-k),$$

and the right member exceeds one for $3 \leqq k < m-4$ as well as for $k = m-4$, $m-3$, $m-2$ when $m \geqq 8$ and for $k = m-1$ when $m \geqq 9$. Direct computation shows that $B(k, m) > A_m$ in all other cases in which $m \geqq 7$. For $m = 6, 5$ (which do not satisfy Condition U) (3-10) continues to hold (though not necessarily strictly). This is proved by treating each value of $k$ individually as in Lemma 2.

Suppose now that $m$ does satisfy Condition U but that equality holds in (3-10). This is possible only for $k = 2$, as we have just seen. $m$ is even, since $(m-1)^2 = 2v^2 - 1$ for some integer $v$. By (3-11) and (3-12)

$$\max_{i=1,M,m} |q_2(x_i)| = \rho_2(0, M-1, m-1) = m(m-2)/8 \tag{3-14}$$

and

$$\rho_2(x_1, x_M, x_m) = \rho_2(0, M-1, m-1). \tag{3-15}$$

By (2-6) we see that, since the $x_i$ are integers, (3-15) can hold only if $x_i = x_1 + i - 1$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$. Then we note that (3-14) is satisfied by

$$q_2(x) = (x - x_1)^2 - (m-1)(x - x_1) + m(m-2)/8, \tag{3-16}$$

and by Lemma 1-2 this is the only monic quadratic polynomial satisfying (3-14). Its discriminant is $D_m = \{(m-1)^2 + 1\}/2 = v^2$. Therefore, $q_2(x)$ and hence $P_n(x)$ have rational zeros, contrary to hypothesis. Thus, when $m$ satisfies Condition U, equality cannot hold in (3-10). We then have $\max_i |P_n(x_i)| \geqq \max_i |\pi_k(x_i)| \geqq A_m + 1$. Since this contradicts (3-9), $P_n(x)$ cannot be reducible. This completes the proof.

The bounds in Theorem 4 cannot be improved. If in place of (3-8)

$$0 < |P_n(x_i)| \leqq A_m \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \tag{3-17}$$

when $m$ does not satisfy Condition U; or in place of (3-9)

$$0 < |P_n(x_i)| \leqq A_m + 1 \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m), \tag{3-18}$$

when $m$ does satisfy Condition U, then $P_n(x)$ may be reducible. To show this, let $Q(x) = x^2 - (m-1)x + A_m$ and $R(x) = 1 + x^{(m)}\phi_{n-m-2}(x)$, where $x^{(m)}$ is the descending factorial, $x^{(m)} = x(x-1)\ldots(x-m+1)$, and $\phi_{n-m-2}(x)$ is an arbitrary monic integral polynomial of the degree indicated by the subscript. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $|Q(i-1)| \leqq A_m$ while $R(i-1) = 1$. Consequently, (3-17) is satisfied for $x_i = i - 1$ by the reducible polynomial,

$$P_n(x) = Q(x)R(x). \tag{3-19}$$

$R(x)$ has no rational zeros, since its leading and constant coefficients are both one, and $R(\pm 1) \neq 0$. Hence, the polynomial (3-19) has a rational zero if and only if the discriminant $D_m$ of $Q(x)$ is a square. Now, $D_m = \{(m-1)^2 - s\}/2$, where $s = 0$ when $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $s = 4$ when $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, and $s = -1$ when $m$ is even. Consequently, when $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $D_m$ is never a square. In the other two cases, if $D_m$ is a square, we use instead of (3-19)

$$P_n(x) = (Q(x) - 1)R(x), \tag{3-20}$$

which has no rational zeros, since $D_m$ and the discriminant of the polynomial $Q(x)-1$ cannot both be squares. When $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, $|Q(i-1)-1| \leqq A_m$ $(i=1, 2, \ldots, m)$; so (3-20) satisfies (3-17) with $x_i = i-1$. When $m$ is even, $D_m$ is a square if and only if $m$ satisfies Condition U. In that case, (3-20) satisfies (3-18) with $x_i = i-1$, since, for $m$ even, $|Q(i-1)-1| \leqq A_m + 1$ $(i=1, 2, \ldots, m)$.

## 4. Characterization of polynomials meeting the criteria.

THEOREM 5. *Let $a$ and $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ be integers, and let $g_k(x)$ be an integral polynomial of degree $k < n/2$ such that*

$$(4\text{-}1) \qquad 0 < |g_k(x_i)| < B_n = 2^{1-N}(\tfrac{1}{2}[n/2])_N \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n),$$

*where $N = [(n+1)/2]$. Then the polynomial,*

$$(4\text{-}2) \qquad P_n^*(x) = a(x-x_1)(x-x_2)\cdots(x-x_n) + g_k(x),$$

*is irreducible over the rational field; and every polynomial $P_n(x)$ meeting the criterion* (3-1) *has this form.*

**Proof.** Since $P_n^*(x_i) = g_k(x_i)$ for $i=1, 2, \ldots, n$, (4-1) implies that $P_n^*(x)$ satisfies (3-1) and hence is irreducible by Theorem 2. Conversely, let $P_n(x)$ be an integral polynomial of degree $n$ having leading coefficient $a$ and satisfying (3-1). Dividing $P_n(x)$ by $\pi_n(x) = (x-x_1)(x-x_2)\cdots(x-x_n)$, we obtain $P_n(x) = a\pi_n(x) + g_k(x)$, where $g_k(x)$ is an integral polynomial of degree $k < n$. Then $g_k(x_i) = P_n(x_i)$ for $i=1, 2, \ldots, n$; so (4-1) follows from (3-1). Moreover, $k < n/2$; for, if $k \geqq n/2$, we would have by Lemma 2 $\max_{i=1,\ldots,n} |g_k(x_i)| \geqq B_n$, contrary to (4-1).

In particular, the polynomial $a(x-x_1)(x-x_2)\cdots(x-x_n)+t$ is irreducible if $t$ is an integer such that $1 \leqq |t| < B_n$. Various special cases of this result are well-known. (References are given in [1].)

Similar considerations in connection with Theorems 4 and 5 respectively yield

COROLLARY 5-1. *Let $a, b$ and $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1}$ be integers, $a \neq 0$, and let $g_k(x)$ be an integral polynomial of degree $k < n/2$ such that*

$$0 < g_k(x_i) < B(N, n-1) = 2^{1-N}(\{[n/2]-1\}/2)_N \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1).$$

*If the polynomial,*

$$(ax+b)(x-x_1)(x-x_2)\cdots(x-x_{n-1}) + g_k(x),$$

*has no rational zero, it is irreducible over the rational field; and every polynomial $P_n(x)$ meeting the criterion* (3-7) *has this form.*

COROLLARY 5-2. *Let $a, b$ and $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m$ be integers such that*

$$0 < |ax_i + b| < A_m = [\{(m-1)^2+4\}/8] \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$$

*when $m$ does not satisfy Condition* U, *and*

$$0 < |ax_i + b| < A_m + 1 \qquad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$$

*when m does satisfy Condition* U. *Let* $h_j(x)$ *be an integral polynomial of degree* $j$, $2 \leqq j < m$. *If the polynomial,*

$$(x-x_1)(x-x_2)\cdots(x-x_m)h_j(x)+ax+b,$$

*has no rational zero, it is irreducible over the rational field*; *and every polynomial* $P_n(x)$ *meeting the criterion* (3-8) *or* (3-9) *has this form with* $j=n-m$.

## References

1. A. Brauer and G. Ehrlich, *On the irreducibility of certain polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 844–856.

2. R. J. Levit, *A variant of Tchebichef's minimax problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 925–932.

3. L. M. Milne-Thomson, *The calculus of finite differences*, Macmillan, London, 1933, Chapter I.

4. G. Pólya, *Verschiedene Bemerkungen zur Zahlentheorie*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **28** (1919), 31–40.

5. C. de la Vallée Poussin, *Leçons sur l'approximation des fonctions d'une variable réelle*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1919.

San Francisco State College,
San Francisco, California