ERRATA TO VOLUME 120


p. 348, line 1 from the bottom:
For "\( \mathcal{F} \) is a complete lattice in \( \mathcal{B}_0 - \{\Box\} \)"
read "\( \mathcal{F} \) is a complete lattice which is meet invariant in \( \mathcal{B}_0 \).

p. 349, line 3: Delete "\( \Box \neq \)"
The operation "\( \cap \)" in (4.2') is the intersection in \( \mathcal{B}_0 \).

p. 351, line 1: For "\( \exists \)" read "\( \Xi \)".

p. 353, line 7 from the bottom: Insert "\( \Pi \)" immediately before "\( \leq \)".

p. 353, line 2 from the bottom: For "\( \Pi \rho \)" read "\( \rho \Pi \)".

p. 353, §6: All \( \mathcal{B} \)'s in §6 should be assumed to be \( \mathcal{B}_0 \).

p. 354, line 14: \( \Xi \) should be assumed to be finite.

p. 356, line 3: Insert "congruence" before "relation".

p. 356, line 12 from the bottom: For "\( f_\xi = g_\xi \)" read "\( f_n = g_n \)" and assume \( \Delta \) is finite.

p. 357, line 12: Assume \( \Xi \) is finite.

p. 357, line 13 from the bottom: For "\( G \)" read "\( G/\rho \)".

Remark. Corollary 6.1 can be applied to an implication

\[
f_\xi \rho g_\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \Xi \Rightarrow h_{pk}
\]

where \( \Xi \) is finite. Therefore the validity of the application of Corollary 6.1 to the case where \( \Xi \) is infinite is left unknown. However, Corollary 6.1 is still applicable for the example (6.14) without discussing "join-conservativeness."

The author thanks Professor G. B. Preston for his pointing out the errata.