ERRATA TO VOLUME 120

T. Tamura, *The theory of operations on binary relations*, pp. 343–358.

p. 348, line 1 from the bottom:
For "$\mathscr{T}$ is a complete lattice in $\mathscr{B}_0 - \{\square\}$"
read "$\mathscr{T}$ is a complete lattice which is meet invariant in $\mathscr{B}_0$".

p. 349, line 3: Delete "$\square \neq$"
The operation "$\bigcap$" in (4.2′) is the intersection in $\mathscr{B}_0$.

p. 351, line 1: For "$\mathfrak{F}$" read "$\mathfrak{S}$".

p. 353, line 7 from the bottom: Insert "Π" immediately before "$\subseteq$".

p. 353, line 2 from the bottom: For "$\Pi\rho$" read "$\rho\Pi$".

p. 353, §6: All $\mathscr{B}$'s in §6 should be assumed to be $\mathscr{B}_0$.

p. 354, line 14: Ξ should be assumed to be finite.

p. 356, line 3: Insert "congruence" before "relation".

p. 356, line 12 from the bottom: For "$f_\xi = g_\xi$" read "$f_\eta = g_\eta$" and assume Δ is finite.

p. 357, line 12: Assume Ξ is finite.

p. 357, line 13 from the bottom: For "G" read "G/ρ".

Remark. Corollary 6.1 can be applied to an implication

$$f_\xi \rho g_\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \Xi \Rightarrow h\rho k$$

where Ξ is finite. Therefore the validity of the application of Corollary 6.1 to the case where Ξ is infinite is left unknown. However, Corollary 6.1 is still applicable for the example (6.14) without discussing "join-conservativeness."

The author thanks Professor G. B. Preston for his pointing out the errata.