

HOMOLOGY IN VARIETIES OF GROUPS. III

BY

C. R. LEEDHAM-GREEN

Abstract. A spectral sequence is used to calculate approximately the homology groups $\mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, Z)$ as defined in the first paper in this series, for Π a finitely generated abelian group and \mathfrak{B} the variety of all nilpotent groups of class at most c .

Introduction. In previous papers [21] and [25], henceforth referred to as [HI] and [HII] respectively, (co-) homology groups $\mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A)$, $\mathfrak{B}^n(\Pi, A)$ were discussed, where \mathfrak{B} is a variety containing Π , and A is a suitable Π -module. If \mathfrak{B} is a variety containing \mathfrak{B} , there are homomorphisms

$$\phi_n: \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^n: \mathfrak{B}^n(\Pi, A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^n(\Pi, A).$$

Their basic properties are discussed in §1, and a spectral sequence with ϕ_n as an edge homomorphism is constructed in §2. Similar spectral sequences have been constructed by various authors; the point of this treatment is to calculate the edge homomorphisms. Using the exact sequence of terms of low degree, the wild behaviour of $\mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, Z)$ is demonstrated. In so far as one's intuition is based on the homology of groups, this comes near to being a universal counterexample.

The conventions and definitions used in [HI] and [HII] will remain in force. In particular the reader is referred to [HI, §1] for the definition of the (co-) homology groups $\mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A)$ and $\mathfrak{B}^n(\Pi, A)$.

1. **Change of variety morphisms.** If $P_*^{\mathfrak{B}} \rightarrow \Pi$ and $P_*^{\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}} \rightarrow \Pi$ are simplicial resolutions of Π by \mathfrak{B} -splitting groups and $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}$ -splitting groups respectively, then since \mathfrak{B} contains \mathfrak{B} there is a simplicial map of $P_*^{\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}}$ into $P_*^{\mathfrak{B}}$ over 1_{Π} which is unique up to homotopy, (cf. Tierney and Vogel [18]). For example if $\Pi B_*^{\mathfrak{B}} \rightarrow \Pi$ and $\Pi B_*^{\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}} \rightarrow \Pi$ are the Barr-Beck resolutions of Π in \mathfrak{B} and $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}$ respectively (see [HI, §2]), so that $\Pi B_n^{\mathfrak{B}}$ is \mathfrak{B} -free on $\Pi B_{n-1}^{\mathfrak{B}}$, $n \geq 0$, $\Pi B_{-1}^{\mathfrak{B}} = \Pi$, and $\Pi B_n^{\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}}$ is similarly defined, then a simplicial map $\eta_*: \Pi B_*^{\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}} \rightarrow \Pi B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}$ may be defined inductively by $[w]\eta_n = w\eta_{n-1}$, where $w \in \Pi B_{n-1}^{\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}}$, $[w]$ is the corresponding $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}$ -free generator of $\Pi B_n^{\mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{B}}}$, and $[w\eta_{n-1}]$ is the \mathfrak{B} -free generator of $\Pi B_n^{\mathfrak{B}}$ corresponding to $w\eta_{n-1}$; $\eta_{-1} = 1_{\Pi}$. If A is a left $\mathfrak{B}\Pi$ -module, using these simplicial resolutions to calculate $\mathfrak{B}_*(\Pi, A)$ and $\mathfrak{B}^*(\Pi, A)$, one obtains well-defined homomorphisms

$$\phi_n(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \Pi, A): \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Received by the editors September 28, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 18H40, 20E10; Secondary 18C15, 18G10, 18H10, 20J05.

Key words and phrases. Homology in varieties of groups.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

$\phi_n(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \Pi, A)$ is the “change of variety morphism”; some or all of $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \Pi$ and A will generally be omitted from the notation.

The following results are routine; we omit the proofs.

LEMMA 1.1. $\phi_0: \text{Diff}(\Pi, A) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\Pi, A)$ is the identity map.

We shall see later that ϕ_1 is a surjection.

LEMMA 1.2. If \mathfrak{X} is a variety containing \mathfrak{B} ,

$$\phi_*(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{B}) = \phi_*(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{B})\phi_*(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}).$$

LEMMA 1.3. $\phi_*(\Gamma, A)$ is natural in $\Gamma \rightarrow \Pi \in |(\mathfrak{B}, \Pi)|$ and in left $\mathfrak{B}\Pi$ -modules A .

LEMMA 1.4. Given a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{B}\Pi$ -modules, ϕ_* commutes with the appropriate connecting homomorphisms.

LEMMA 1.5. If $\alpha: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ is a surjection in (\mathfrak{B}, Π) , there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}_n(\Gamma_1, A) & \longrightarrow & M_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(\alpha, A) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(\Gamma_0, A) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \phi_n & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{n-1} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}_n(\Gamma_1, A) & \longrightarrow & M_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(\alpha, A) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(\Gamma_0, A) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

whose rows are Rinehart’s exact sequence as in [HI, (2.1)], which was equated with the Barr-Beck exact sequence in [HII, §1].

LEMMA 1.6. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A) & \xrightarrow{\theta^{\mathfrak{B}}} & \text{Tor}_n^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi}(D_{\mathfrak{B}}\Pi, A) \\ \downarrow \phi_n & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A) & \xrightarrow{\theta^{\mathfrak{B}}} & \text{Tor}_n^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi}(D_{\mathfrak{B}}\Pi, A). \end{array}$$

Here $\theta^{\mathfrak{B}} = \theta_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A)$ and $\theta^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi} = \theta_n^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi}(\Pi, A)$ as in [HII, §1], and $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi}(D_{\mathfrak{B}}\Pi, A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi}(D_{\mathfrak{B}}\Pi, A)$ is the “change of rings” homomorphism given by the unique δ -morphism of $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi}(D_{\mathfrak{B}}\Pi, -)$ to $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathfrak{B}\Pi}(D_{\mathfrak{B}}\Pi, -)$, regarded as δ -functors from the category of left $\mathfrak{B}\Pi$ -modules to \mathbf{Ab} , which is the identity in dimension 0.

Dually there are homomorphisms $\phi^n(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}, \Pi, A): \mathfrak{B}^n(\Pi, A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^n(\Pi, A)$ (note the change of direction), and Lemmas 1.1 to 1.6 all dualize.

2. A spectral sequence. The spectral sequence which appears below has the same E^2 terms and limit as can be obtained as a special case of spectral sequences

due to André [1], Bachmann [2], Rinehart [13], and Ulmer [20]. These are obtained by varying the first or nonabelian category (here the variety). The spectral sequence obtained by varying the second or abelian category was discussed in [HII, §3]. The point of our treatment (which will generalize) is to calculate the edge effects. The object is to connect the homology in \mathfrak{B} with the homology in \mathfrak{A} ; one edge homomorphism will be the “change of variety” morphism of §1; we now introduce the other. Recall that if $T: (\mathfrak{B}, \Pi) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is any functor, the derived functors $\mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, T)$ have been defined as in [HI, §2], $\mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A)$ being an abbreviation for $\mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, \text{Diff}(-, A))$. T need only be defined on the full subcategory of \mathfrak{B} -free groups (over Π), in which case $\mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, T)$ is the Kan extension of T evaluated at Π ; however we shall assume that T is defined on (\mathfrak{B}, Π) . In this case there is a homomorphism $\lambda: \mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, T) \rightarrow \Pi T$ defined by various authors. For example the right exact functors from (\mathfrak{B}, Π) to \mathbf{Ab} in the sense of Rinehart [13] form a reflective subcategory of $\mathbf{Ab}^{(\mathfrak{B}, \Pi)}$ (pace set theorists) in the sense of Mitchell [26], and λ is the reflection (evaluated at T and Π), cf. [13, p. 299]. Alternatively, if $P_* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Pi$ is a simplicial resolution of Π by \mathfrak{B} -splitting groups, then λ is the unique homomorphism to make

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 P_1 T & \xrightarrow{d_1} & P_0 T & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, T) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \lambda & & \\
 P_1 T & \xrightarrow{d_1} & P_0 T & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon T} & \Pi T & &
 \end{array}$$

commute. Here $d_1 = \delta_1^0 T - \delta_1^1 T$ as in [HI, §2]; the top row is exact by definition.

THEOREM 2.1. *There is a spectral sequence*

$$\mathfrak{B}_p(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_q(-, A)) \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A)$$

where $\Lambda: (\mathfrak{B}, \Pi) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A}, \Pi)$ is the inclusion functor, whose edge effects are given by:

$$\mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A) \rightarrow E_{n0}^2 = \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_0(-, A)) = \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A)$$

is the “change of variety” morphism ϕ_n , and

$$E_{0n}^2 = \mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_n(-, A)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A)$$

is the homomorphism λ above applied to the functor $\Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_n(-, A)$.

Proof. We first adjust the notation. If $T: (\mathfrak{B}, \Pi) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is a functor, the complex of abelian groups from which the derived functors $\mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, T)$ are calculated from the Barr-Beck resolution will be written as $B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, T)$, unless $T = \text{Diff}(-, A)$ which will be abbreviated to A . The augmentation $B_0^{\mathfrak{B}} \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$ will be $\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{B}}$.

Form the first quadrant double complex $T_{pq} = B_p^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot B_q^{\mathfrak{B}}(-, A))$, where $\Lambda: (\mathfrak{B}, \Pi) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A}, \Pi)$ is the inclusion functor. Fixing p and taking homology gives $B_p^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_q(-, A))$, and taking homology again gives $\mathfrak{B}_p(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_q(-, A))$. Fixing q and taking homology in T gives $\mathfrak{B}_p(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot B_q^{\mathfrak{B}}(-, A))$. Now $\Lambda \cdot B_q^{\mathfrak{B}}(-, A)$

factors through the comma category of sets over the underlying set of Π and hence is flask in the sense of Rinehart [13] (cf. Rinehart [14] and the proof of [HII, Proposition 1.1]). Thus $\mathfrak{B}_p(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot B_q^{\mathfrak{B}}(-, A)) = 0$ for $p > 0$ and

$$\mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot B_q^{\mathfrak{B}}(-, A)) = B_q^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A).$$

Taking homology again gives $\mathfrak{B}_q(\Pi, A)$, and so the first part of the theorem is proved. We calculate the edge effects using the same techniques as in the proof of [HII, Theorem 3.1]. Recall [22, Theorem XI, 4.4] which states that if I_{pq}^r is the first spectral sequence of the first quadrant double complex S_{pq} then the edge effects are given by $I_{0n}^1 = H_n S_{0*} \rightarrow H_n \mathcal{S}$ induced by the inclusion of S_{0*} in A , and $H_n \mathcal{S} \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{S}/M) = I_{n0}^2$ induced by the projection of \mathcal{S} on \mathcal{S}/M , where M is the subcomplex of \mathcal{S} given by

$$M_n = \sum_{p+q=n; q>0} S_{pq} \cup \partial \sum_{p+q=n+1; q>0} S_{pq}.$$

We apply this first to the second spectral sequence of T (which collapses), that is with $\mathcal{S} = T$ transposed. In this case \mathcal{S}/M is chain isomorphic to $B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A)$ via $B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\epsilon^{\mathfrak{B}}, A)$. Hence we have an isomorphism ω of $H_* T$ onto $\mathfrak{B}_*(\Pi, A)$. Now looking at the first spectral sequence and applying the first part of the above theorem gives us the homomorphism ζ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_n(-, A)) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & H_n(T) \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \omega \\ \mathfrak{B}_n(\epsilon^{\mathfrak{B}}, A) & & \mathfrak{B}_n(\Pi, A) \end{array}$$

which is clearly commutative. This identifies the edge homomorphism $E_{0n}^1 \rightarrow H_n(T)$ as $\mathfrak{B}_n(\epsilon^{\mathfrak{B}}, A)$ “up to ω ”. It follows from the definition of λ above that λ is the edge homomorphism $E_{0n}^2 \rightarrow H_n(T)$, again “up to ω ”. We now turn to the base. Define the first quadrant double complex \bar{T} by $\bar{T}_{pq} = B_p^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, B_q^{\mathfrak{B}}(-, A))$. Dividing by the verbal subgroup defined by \mathfrak{B} induces a functor of (\mathfrak{B}, Π) into (\mathfrak{B}, Π) and hence a chain map $F: T \rightarrow \bar{T}$. Now let M_1, M_2, \bar{M}_1 and \bar{M}_2 correspond to M in the theorem quoted above, where \mathcal{S} is taken as T, T transposed, \bar{T} and \bar{T} transposed respectively. Then $T/M_1, \bar{T}/\bar{M}_1$, and \bar{T}/\bar{M}_2 are naturally isomorphic to $B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A)$, and T/M_2 is isomorphic to $B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A)$. Using these identifications, F induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T/M_1 = \bar{T}/\bar{M}_1 = B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A) & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{F} & \bar{T} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A) = T/M_2 & \longrightarrow & \bar{T}/\bar{M}_2 = B_*^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, A). \end{array}$$

Taking homology now gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{B}_*(\Pi, A) & = & \mathfrak{B}_*(\Pi, A) \\
 \uparrow \zeta & & \uparrow \cong \\
 H_*T & \xrightarrow{H_*F} & H_*\bar{T} \\
 \omega \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathfrak{B}_*(\Pi, A) & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & \mathfrak{B}_*(\Pi, A)
 \end{array}$$

where ω is the isomorphism of the first part and ζ is the base homomorphism. It only remains to prove that the composite of either homomorphism on the East side with the inverse of the other is the identity. Now $H_*\bar{T}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_*(\Pi, -)$ may clearly be regarded as δ -functors from the category of functors from \mathfrak{B} -free groups over Π to \mathbf{Ab} , and as such they are effaced on the left by the projective functors. Moreover, since we are dealing with δ -functors, it is enough to look at dimension zero. But in this case the result may be read off at once by looking at \bar{T} with its canonical augmentation.

COROLLARY 2.2. *There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad \mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, A) & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, A) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{B}_1(-, A)) \\
 & \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathfrak{B}_1(\Pi, A) \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathfrak{B}_1(\Pi, A) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, ϕ_1 is a surjection. Of course the results of this paragraph all dualize. By a well-known folk theorem (but see Beck [5]), $\mathfrak{B}^1(\Pi, A)$ classifies the extensions of A by Π that lie in \mathfrak{B} , and it can be shown that with this identification the injection $\phi^1: \mathfrak{B}^1(\Pi, A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^3(\Pi, A)$ is the inclusion of the set of extensions of A by Π that lie in \mathfrak{B} in the set of those that lie in \mathfrak{B} .

3. **The second homology groups.** The striking behaviour of $\mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, A)$ is illustrated, using (2.1), when Π is a finitely generated abelian group and $A = \mathbb{Z}$.

The abelian group Π is of type $(s; n_1, \dots, n_t)$ if the torsion subgroup T of Π is of direct product of cyclic subgroups of order $n_1, \dots, n_t; n_1 > 1, n_i | n_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$; and Π/T is of rank s . $s + t$ is the rank of Π . In quoting (2.1) the symbol Λ will be omitted. Define a function γ of two positive integers by

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma(r, c) = \frac{1}{c+1} \sum_{d|(c+1)} \mu(d)r^{(c+1)/d}.$$

Here μ is the Möbius function; if n is the product of u distinct primes ($u \geq 0$), then $\mu(n) = (-1)^u$; else $\mu(n) = 0$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If Π is a finitely generated abelian group of rank $r > 0$ and type $(s; n_1, \dots, n_t)$, and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{R}_c$, then $\mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, H_2(-, \mathbb{Z}))$ is of rank ρ and type*

$(\sigma; v_1, \dots, v_r)$ where $\rho = \gamma(r, c)$, $\sigma = \gamma(s, c)$, and $v_i = n_i$ if $s > 0$, $v_i = n_{i-1}$ if $s = 0$. (In particular, if $r = s$, $\rho = \sigma$ and if $r = 1$, $\rho = 0$.)

Proof. Let

$$\Pi = C(a_1) \times \dots \times C(a_s) \times C_{n_1}(a_{s+1}) \times \dots \times C_{n_t}(a_r)$$

with the obvious notation, let F be \mathfrak{N}_c -freely generated by x_1, \dots, x_r , and define $f: F \rightarrow \Pi$ by $x_i f = a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. The fibre product $F \times_{\Pi} F$ is the subgroup of $F \times F$ consisting of elements (p, q) such that $pf = qf$, and $(p, q) \mapsto (pq^{-1}, q)$ is an isomorphism of $F \times_{\Pi} F$ onto the split extension RF of R by F , where R is the kernel of f . R is generated *qua subgroup* by x^{n_i-s} , $i = s+1, \dots, r$, and w_1, \dots, w_k , say, where w_i is a commutator for all i . Defining a homomorphism of a group G into $F \times_{\Pi} F$ is equivalent to defining a homomorphism (g_1, g_2) of G into $F \times F$ ($g_i: G \rightarrow F$) such that $g_1 f = g_2 f$. Let \bar{F} be \mathfrak{N}_c -freely generated by $y_1, \dots, y_r, z_{s+1}, \dots, z_r, v_1, \dots, v_k$, and define $(g_1, g_2): \bar{F} \rightarrow F \times_{\Pi} F$ by $y_i g_1 = x_i$, $z_i g_1 = x^{n_i-s}$, $v_i g_1 = w_i$, $y_i g_2 = x_i$, $z_i g_2 = 1$, $v_i g_2 = 1$. Then (g_1, g_2) is a surjection, and by [13, p. 299], $\mathfrak{B}_0(\Pi, H_2(-, Z))$ is the cokernel of $H_2(g_1, Z) - H_2(g_2, Z): H_2(\bar{F}, Z) \rightarrow H_2(F, Z)$. It is easy to see that the Schur multiplier of the \mathfrak{N}_c -free group on a set \mathfrak{X} is the $(c+1)$ th lower central factor of the absolutely free group on \mathfrak{X} ; that is, the free abelian group on the basic commutators of weight $c+1$ in \mathfrak{X} ; these multipliers will be written additively. One sees easily that $H_2(g_1, Z) - H_2(g_2, Z)$ has the following properties:

(i) the image of a basic commutator (of weight $c+1$) in \bar{F} is a multiple of a basic commutator in F or 0;

(ii) if $[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{c+1}}]$ is a basic commutator in F (not necessarily left normed), and if $\max(i_1, \dots, i_{c+1}) \leq s$, then no nonzero multiple of $[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{c+1}}]$ is the image of a basic commutator, whereas

(iii) if $i_\alpha > s$ for some α and i_α is the least such integer, then $n_{i_\alpha-s}[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{c+1}}]$ is the image of a basic commutator, and if $m[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{c+1}}]$ is such an image, then $n_{i_\alpha-s} | m$. Note that if $s > 0$, $i_\alpha = r$ for any basic commutator involving x_1 and x_r only; whereas if $s = 0$, $i_\alpha \leq r-1$ and $i_\alpha = r-1$ for any basic commutator involving x_{r-1} and x_r only.

Of course neither (ii) nor (iii) occurs if $r = 1$ in which case $H_2(F, Z)$ is trivial, and (iii) only occurs if $r > s$, so degenerate cases give no trouble. Finally the number of basic commutators of weight $c+1$ on r letters is $\gamma(r, c)$ (see [9]). Putting all this together gives the lemma.

It is now easy to prove the following

THEOREM 3.2. *If Π is a finitely generated abelian group of rank $r > 0$ and type $(s; n_1, \dots, n_t)$, and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{N}_c$, then $\mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, Z)$ is of rank ρ and type $(\sigma; v_1, \dots, v_t)$ where $0 \leq \rho - \gamma(r, c) \leq t + r(r-1)(r-2)/6$ (cf. (3.1)), $0 \leq \sigma - \gamma(s, c) \leq s(s-1)(s-2)/6$, v_t divides n_t^2 , and if $s = 0$, v_t divides $n_{t-1}n_t$. In particular, as $c \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho \sim r^{c+1}/(c+1)$ and $\sigma \sim s^{c+1}/(c+1)$.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 2.2 with \mathfrak{B} the universal variety and $A=Z$. By [HII, Proposition 2.3], $\mathfrak{B}_1(\Pi, Z)$ and $\mathfrak{B}_1(\Pi, Z)$ are isomorphic; and being Hopf groups, ϕ_1 is an isomorphism. (In fact ϕ_1 and $\theta_1^{\mathfrak{B}}(\Pi, Z)$ are inverses, see Lemma 1.6.) Since $\mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, Z) = H_3(\Pi, Z)$ a routine calculation gives

$$\mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, Z) = Z^{s(s-1)(s-2)/6} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^t Z_{n_t}^{1+(r-t)(r-t-1)/2}$$

where Z_m denotes Z/mZ , and A^k denotes the direct sum of k copies of A . So $\mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, Z)$ is of rank $r(r-1)(r-2)/6+t$ and type $(s(s-1)(s-2)/6; n_1, n_1, \dots, n_t, n_t)$. Now applying Lemma 3.1 gives the result.

In particular, the homology in dimension 2 of a product is not related in a simple way to the homology of the factors, whereas in dimension 1 there is a Künneth formula of sorts (cf. [HI, Theorem 5.2]).

To obtain results in cohomology, apply universal coefficients [HI, Lemma 4.1]. If Π is finite, $\mathfrak{B}^2(\Pi, Q/Z) \cong \mathfrak{B}_2(\Pi, Z)$. The wild behaviour of this group if $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{R}_c$ can hardly be reflected in an obstruction theory.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

25. C. R. Leedham-Green, *Homology in varieties of groups. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **162** (1971), 15–25.
26. B. Mitchell, *Theory of categories*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 17, Academic Press, New York, 1965. MR **34** #2647.

QUEEN MARY COLLEGE, LONDON, ENGLAND