

ZEROS OF PARTIAL SUMS AND REMAINDERS OF POWER SERIES

BY

J. D. BUCKHOLTZ AND J. K. SHAW

Abstract. For a power series $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ let $s_n(f)$ denote the maximum modulus of the zeros of the n th partial sum of f and let $r_n(f)$ denote the smallest modulus of a zero of the n th normalized remainder $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-n}$. The present paper investigates the relationships between the growth of the analytic function f and the behavior of the sequences $\{s_n(f)\}$ and $\{r_n(f)\}$. The principal growth measure used is that of R -type: if $R = \{R_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim (R_{n+1}/R_n) = 1$, then the R -type of f is $\tau_R(f) = \limsup |a_n R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n|^{1/n}$. We prove that there is a constant P such that

$$\tau_R(f) \liminf (s_n(f)/R_n) \leq P \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_R(f) \limsup (r_n(f)/R_n) \geq (1/P)$$

for functions f of positive finite R -type. The constant P cannot be replaced by a smaller number in either inequality; P is called the power series constant.

1. Introduction. The following theorem is a consequence of results of the first author [3] and J. L. Frank [4].

THEOREM A. *Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ have radius of convergence $c(f)$, $0 < c(f) < \infty$. There exists an absolute constant P such that, if $\varepsilon > 0$, then*

(i) *infinitely many of the partial sums*

$$S_n(f; z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

have all their zeros in the disc $|z| \leq c(f)(P + \varepsilon)$;

(ii) *infinitely many of the normalized remainders*

$$\mathcal{S}^n f(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-n} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

have no zero in the disc $|z| \leq c(f)(P + \varepsilon)^{-1}$;

(iii) *the constant P cannot be replaced by a smaller number in either (i) or (ii).*

In view of (iii), the constant P is uniquely determined by Theorem A. We call P the *power series constant*; its numerical value is known to lie between 1.7818 and

Presented to the Society, January 23, 1970 under the title *Partial sums and remainders of power series*; received by the editors May 4, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 30A08, 30A10; Secondary 30A06.

Key words and phrases. The power series constant, zeros of partial sums, zeros of remainders, R -type, entire functions, extremal functions.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

1.82. Our object in the present paper is to give a simpler proof of Theorem A, to investigate the extremal functions associated with it, and to obtain corresponding results for various classes of entire functions.

For $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, let $s_n = s_n(f)$ denote the largest of the moduli of the zeros of $S_n(f; z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) with the convention that $s_n = \infty$ if $a_n = 0$. Let $r_n = r_n(f)$ denote the supremum of numbers r such that $\mathcal{S}^n f(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-n}$ is analytic and has no zero in the disc $|z| < r$. Theorem A is equivalent to the estimates

$$(1.1) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f) \leq c(f)P,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(f) \geq \frac{c(f)}{P},$$

for $0 < c(f) < \infty$, together with the assertion that the constant P is best possible in both cases.

Okada [6] has shown that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f) = \infty$ if and only if f is entire. For entire f , M. Tsuji [6] proved the surprising result that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log s_n(f)}$$

is always equal to the order of f . For functions of positive finite order and type, we are able to sharpen Tsuji's theorem considerably.

THEOREM B. *Suppose the entire function f is of order ρ and type τ , $0 < \rho, \tau < \infty$. Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\rho\tau}{n}\right)^{1/\rho} r_n(f) \geq \frac{1}{P}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad e^{-1/\rho} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\rho\tau}{n}\right)^{1/\rho} s_n(f) \leq P.$$

Furthermore, for each of the three inequalities, there exists an f of order ρ and type τ for which equality is assumed.

Both Theorem A and Theorem B are special cases of a result involving a more general measure of growth for analytic functions. Let $R = \{R_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n+1}/R_n = 1$. The R -type, $\tau_R(f)$, of an analytic function $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is defined to be

$$\tau_R(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n|^{1/n}.$$

If $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, R -type can be related to the growth of the maximum modulus of f [1, p. 6]. It follows easily from the expression for the type of an entire function in terms of its coefficients that f is of order ρ and type τ , $0 < \rho, \tau < \infty$, if and only if

$\tau_R(f) = 1$ for the sequence $R_n = (n/\rho\tau)^{1/\rho}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = l < \infty$, then one sees that $\tau_R(f) = l/c(f)$.

Our principal result is the following.

THEOREM C. *If $0 < \tau_R(f) < \infty$, then*

$$(1.5) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n} \leq \tau_R(f) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n} \leq P$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \tau_R(f) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n(f)}{R_n} \geq \frac{1}{P}.$$

Furthermore, for each of the three inequalities, there exists a function of R -type 1 for which equality is assumed.

If one takes $R_n \equiv 1$, Theorem C reduces to Theorem A. If one takes $R_n \equiv (n/\rho\tau)^{1/\rho}$, then Theorem C reduces to Theorem B.

Suppose $0 < c(f) < \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$. In 1906, M. B. Porter [5] proved that an infinite sequence of the partial sums of f tends uniformly to ∞ outside the disc $|z| \leq c(f)(2 + \epsilon)$. In view of Theorem A, the constant 2 in Porter's theorem cannot be replaced by a number less than P . We prove in §2 that the best possible constant for Porter's theorem is P . This follows fairly easily from a theorem on the partial sums of polynomials which is of some interest in itself.

THEOREM D. *Let $Q(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_nz^n$ be a polynomial of degree n . Then for at least one integer k , $0 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$(1.7) \quad |a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_kz^k| \geq |a_n| |z|^k (n+1)$$

for all $|z| \geq P$.

Theorem D guarantees that the partial sum $a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_kz^k$ has all its zeros in the disc $|z| \leq P$. Since (1.7) holds for large $|z|$, we must have

$$(1.8) \quad |a_k| \geq |a_n|/(n+1).$$

In applications, this yields information about the value of k for which (1.7) holds.

2. The remainder polynomials. The treatment of the power series constant in [3] and [4] involves the *remainder polynomials* $B_n(z; z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$, defined recursively by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} B_0(z) &= 1, \\ B_n(z; z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) &= z^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z_k^{n-k} B_k(z; z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$H_n = \max |B_n(0; z_0, \dots, z_{n-1})|,$$

where the maximum is taken over all sequences $\{z_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ whose terms lie on $|z|=1$. Buckholtz [3] proved that

$$P = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n^{1/n} = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} H_n^{1/n}.$$

For a power series $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, we write (2.1) in the form

$$z^n = \sum_{k=0}^n z_k^{n-k} B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1})$$

and substitute this expression into the power series for f . We obtain the formal expansion

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n z_k^{n-k} B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) \right\} \\ (2.2) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n z_k^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}^k f(z_k) B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which holds whenever the interchange in the order of summation can be justified. In particular, (2.2) holds if f is a polynomial and yields considerable information when f is taken to be a remainder polynomial. In the latter case, an easy induction argument establishes the identity

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{S}^k B_n(z; z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}) = B_{n-k}(z; z_k, \dots, z_{n-1}),$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

The remainder polynomials also satisfy the following properties:

$$(2.4) \quad B_n(\lambda z; \lambda z_0, \dots, \lambda z_{n-1}) = \lambda^n B_n(z; z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}),$$

$$(2.5) \quad B_n(z_0; z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}) = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad z^n B_n(1/z; z_n, \dots, z_1) = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k(0; z_k, \dots, z_1) z^k,$$

$$(2.7) \quad B_n(z; z_n, \dots, z_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} B_k(0; z_k, \dots, z_1) B_{n-k}(z; z_n, \dots, z_{n_1+1}, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ for } 0 \leq n_1 \leq n,$$

$$(2.8) \quad H_{m+n} \geq H_m H_n \text{ for nonnegative integers } m \text{ and } n.$$

The proofs of these identities may be found in [3].

We are now ready to prove Theorem D. Thus let $Q(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n$ be a polynomial of degree n . Define $f(z) = z^n Q(1/z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_n z^n$; note that $b_{n-k} = a_k$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Let $\{z_j\}_{j=0}^n$ be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying

$$|\mathcal{S}^j f(z_j)| = \min_{|z| \leq 1/P} |\mathcal{S}^j f(z)|, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

From (2.2),

$$|f(0)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{S}^k f(z_k)| |B_k(0; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1})|.$$

Setting $w_k = P z_k$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, we have $|w_k| \leq 1$ and, by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} |B_k(0; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1})| &= |B_k(0; w_0/P, \dots, w_{k-1}/P)| \\ &= (1/P^k) |B_k(0; w_0, \dots, w_{k-1})| \leq (1/P^k) H_k \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $|f(0)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{S}^k f(z_k)|$ and so $|f(0)| \leq (n+1)|\mathcal{S}^m f(z_m)|$ for some m , $0 \leq m \leq n$. Since $f(0) = b_0$, we have $|\mathcal{S}^m f(z)| \geq |b_0|/(n+1)$ for all $|z| \leq 1/P$. Now

$$\mathcal{S}^m f(z) = b_m + b_{m+1}z + \dots + b_n z^{n-m}$$

and therefore, replacing z by $1/z$, we obtain

$$|b_m z^{n-m} + b_{m+1} z^{n-m-1} + \dots + b_n| \geq |z|^{n-m} |b_0|/(n+1)$$

for all $|z| \geq P$. Letting $p = n - m$, this inequality is equivalent to

$$|a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_p z^p| \geq |z|^p |a_n|/(n+1)$$

for all $|z| \geq P$, and this completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that the power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ has radius of convergence less than 1. Then there are infinitely many integers k such that*

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \sum_{j=0}^k a_j z^j \right| \geq |z|^k$$

for all $|z| \geq P$.

Proof. For each positive integer n such that $a_n \neq 0$, let $k(n)$ denote the least positive integer k for which (1.7) holds. The condition $\limsup |a_n|^{1/n} > 1$ implies that there is an infinite set I of positive integers such that $|a_n|/(n+1) > n$ for all $n \in I$. For each $n \in I$ we therefore have $|\sum_{j=0}^{k(n)} a_j z^j| \geq |z|^{k(n)}$ and, by (1.8), $|a_{k(n)}| \geq |a_n|/(n+1) > n$. The latter condition guarantees that $k(n)$ assumes infinitely many values as n ranges over I , and this completes the proof.

Suppose f has radius of convergence t , $0 < t < \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and define $g(z) = f(tz/(1-\epsilon))$. Then $c(g) < 1$ and (2.9) implies that $s_n(g) \leq P$ for infinitely many integers n . Thus $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(g) \leq P$. But $s_n(g) = ((1-\epsilon)/t)s_n(f)$ and therefore $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f) \leq tP/(1-\epsilon)$. It follows that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f) \leq c(f)P$ and this proves (1.1).

LEMMA 1. *If n is a nonnegative integer, then*

$$(2.10) \quad 1 \leq P^n / H_n \leq 17.$$

This will be proved in §3.

Let m be a positive integer and suppose z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1} lie on $|z| = 1$. If $k \geq m$, then (2.1) implies

$$B_k(0; z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0) = - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} z_j^{k-j} B_j(0; z_0, \dots, z_{j-1}).$$

It follows that

$$(2.11) \quad |B_k(0; z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} H_j \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} P^j < \frac{P^m}{P-1}.$$

The assertion that the constant P is best possible in (1.1) depends on the existence of a function f such that $\liminf s_n(f) = c(f)P$. It suffices to construct such an f satisfying $c(f) = 1$.

LEMMA 2. *There exists a power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k$, with radius of convergence 1, such that each partial sum $\sum_{k=0}^n A_k z^k$ has a zero of modulus P .*

Proof. For each nonnegative integer n , let $\{z_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n$ be a sequence of complex numbers of modulus $1/P$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad |B_n(0; z_n^{(n)}, z_{n-1}^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| = H_n/P^n.$$

Here, we have used (2.4). If n, n_1 and j are positive integers such that $j \leq n_1 \leq n$, then (2.7) implies

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &|B_n(0; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-j} |B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| |B_{n-k}(0; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_{n_1+1}^{(n)}, 0, \dots, 0)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=n_1-j+1}^{n_1} |B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| |B_{n-k}(0; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_{n_1+1}^{(n)}, 0, \dots, 0)|. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq k \leq n_1 - j$, we have

$$|B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| \leq H_k/P^k \leq 1$$

and (2.11) implies

$$|B_{n-k}(0; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_{n_1+1}^{(n)}, 0, \dots, 0)| \leq P^{n-n_1}/(P^{n-k}(P-1)).$$

The first sum on the right of (2.13) therefore does not exceed

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_1-j} \frac{P^{k-n_1}}{(P-1)} = \frac{P^{-j+1} - P^{-n_1}}{(P-1)^2} < \frac{1}{P^{j-1}(P-1)^2}.$$

If $n_1 - j + 1 \leq k \leq n_1$, then

$$|B_{n-k}(0; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_{n_1+1}^{(n)}, 0, \dots, 0)| \leq H_{n-k}/P^{n-k} \leq 1.$$

In view of (2.10), (2.13) now yields

$$\sum_{k=n_1-j+1}^{n_1} |B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| \geq \frac{1}{17} - \frac{1}{P^{j-1}(P-1)^2}.$$

Taking $j=7$ and using the bound $P > 1.78$, we have $\sum_{k=n_1-6}^{n_1} |B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| > 1/1000$. Therefore $|B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| > 1/7000$ for at least one integer $k, n_1 - 6 \leq k \leq n_1$. Moreover, $|B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| \leq 1$ for all n and k . Now define

$$P_n(z) = z^n B_n(1/z; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since (2.6) implies $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})z^k$, it follows that the coefficients of P_n are bounded by 1 and that in a set of 7 consecutive coefficients, at least one

coefficient has modulus greater than $1/7000$. The sequence $\{P_n\}$ is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of the unit disc. Extract a uniformly convergent subsequence of $\{P_n\}$ and let F denote the limit function. Writing $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k$, it follows that $|A_k| \leq 1$, $0 \leq k < \infty$, and that in a set of 7 consecutive coefficients A_k , at least one coefficient has modulus greater than $1/7000$. Hence $c(F) = 1$. If $m < n$, then (2.6) implies that the m th partial sum of P_n is given by

$$S_m(P_n; z) = z^m B_m(1/z; z_m^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)}).$$

By (2.5), $S_m(P_n; 1/z_m^{(n)}) = 0$. Since $S_m(F; z)$ is the uniform limit of a subsequence of $\{S_m(P_n; z)\}$, it follows that $S_m(F; z)$ has a zero of modulus P . This completes the proof of the lemma.

The function F of the preceding lemma satisfies $c(F) = 1$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(F) \geq P$. It follows that the constant P is best possible in (1.1).

We now show that P is the sharp constant in Porter's theorem. If $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ has radius of convergence t , then Corollary 1 implies that there are infinitely many integers k such that $|\sum_{j=0}^k a_j z^j| \geq (|z|/t(1+\epsilon))^k$ for all $|z| \geq tP(1+\epsilon)$. The corresponding subsequence of partial sums $\{S_k(f; z)\}$ therefore tends uniformly to ∞ outside the disc $|z| \leq c(f)P(1+\epsilon)$. On the other hand, we can, by Lemma 3, construct a function F such that $c(F) = t$ and such that each partial sum of F has a zero in $|z| \leq c(F)P$.

The inequality (1.2) is a special case of (1.6); the latter will be proved in §4. To show that P is the sharp constant in (1.2), it suffices to construct a function G satisfying $c(G) = 1$ and $\limsup r_n(G) \leq 1/P$.

LEMMA 3. *There exists a power series $G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k$, with $c(G) = 1$, such that each normalized remainder of G has a zero of modulus $1/P$. In particular, $\limsup r_n(G) \leq 1/P$.*

Proof. Consider the sequence of complex numbers $\{B_n(0; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ constructed in Lemma 2. For each n we have $|z_j^{(n)}| = 1/P$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $|B_j(0; z_j^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| \leq 1$, for $0 \leq j \leq n$, and $|B_n(0; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| = H_n/P^n$. Furthermore, if $n_1 \leq n$, then $|B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})| \geq 1/7000$ for at least one integer k such that $n_1 - 6 \leq k \leq n_1$. By (2.6),

$$B_n(z; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)}) = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k(0; z_k^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)}) z^{n-k}.$$

The sequence $\{B_n(z; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is therefore uniformly bounded on compact subsets of the unit disc. Extract a uniformly convergent subsequence from $\{B_n\}$ and let G denote the limit function. If $G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k$, then $|A_k| \leq 1$ for all k and $|A_k| \geq 1/7000$ for infinitely many k ; thus $c(G) = 1$. The identities

$$\mathcal{S}^k B_n(z; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)}) = B_{n-k}(z; z_{n-k}^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)}),$$

$$B_{n-k}(z_{n-k}^{(n)}; z_{n-k}^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)}) = 0,$$

for $0 \leq k < n$, show that B_n and each of its first $(n - 1)$ normalized remainders have zeros of modulus $1/P$. Furthermore, if m is a nonnegative integer, then $\mathcal{S}^m G(z)$ is the uniform limit of a subsequence of $\{\mathcal{S}^m B_n(z; z_n^{(n)}, \dots, z_1^{(n)})\}$ on the compact set $|z| \leq (1/P) + \epsilon < 1$. It follows that $\mathcal{S}^m G(z)$ has a zero of modulus $1/P$.

3. **The functions $T_m(\mathcal{U})$.** For $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $0 \leq \mathcal{U} < 1$, define

$$T_m(\mathcal{U}) = \max_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}^k |B_k(0; w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0)|$$

where the maximum is taken over all sequences $\{w_k\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$ whose terms lie on $|z| = 1$. The functions $T_n(\mathcal{U})$ were characterized by Buckholtz [3]. For each m , T_m is increasing; the unique solution to the equation $T_m(\mathcal{U}) = 1$ is denoted by \mathcal{U}_m . The most important property of the sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_m\}$ is the determination

$$(3.1) \quad P = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{U}_m^{-1} = \inf_{1 \leq m \leq \infty} \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}.$$

Since T_m is increasing, (3.1) implies

$$(3.2) \quad T_m(1/P) > 1, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof of Lemma 1. By (2.11) and (3.2), we have

$$1 \leq T_m(1/P) \leq \frac{H_m}{P^m} + \frac{H_{m+1}}{P^{m+1}} + \frac{H_{m+2}}{P^{m+2}} + \sum_{k=m+3}^{\infty} (1/P)^k \frac{P^m}{P-1},$$

for each positive integer m .

In view of (2.8), the previous inequality implies

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{H_{m+2}}{P^{m+2}}\right) \left[1 + \frac{P}{H_1} + \frac{P^2}{H_2}\right] + \frac{P^m}{P-1} \frac{P^{-m-3}}{(1-(1/P))};$$

therefore,

$$1 \leq (H_{m+2}/P^{m+2})[1 + P + P^2/2] + P^{-2}(P-1)^{-2}.$$

Using the bounds $1.78 < P < 1.82$, we obtain $H_{m+2}/P^{m+2} \geq 1/17$. It is easily verified that $H_j/P^j > 1/17$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since $P = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} H_n^{1/n}$, we have $1/17 \leq H_n/P^n \leq 1$ for all n .

4. **Main results.** In this section, we prove (1.5) and (1.6).

LEMMA 4. *Let m be a positive integer and $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of complex numbers ($A_0 = 1$) such that $|A_k| \leq 1$ for $k \geq m$. Then for at least one integer p , $0 \leq p \leq m - 1$, the function $A_p + A_{p+1}z + A_{p+2}z^2 + \dots$ has no zero in the disc $|z| < \mathcal{U}_m$.*

Proof. Let $f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z^k$. We have to show that for some p , $0 \leq p \leq m - 1$, $\mathcal{S}^p f(z)$ has no zero in $|z| < \mathcal{U}_m$. Let $\{z_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of points in $|z| < 1$ such that $z_k = 0$ for $k \geq m$. Then, by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{S}^k f(z_k) B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A_j \sum_{k=0}^j z_k^{j-k} B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} A_j \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} z_k^{j-k} B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A_j z^j + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} A_j [z^j - B_j(z; z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0)] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j z^j - \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} A_j B_j(z; z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

By transposing, we obtain the important identity

$$(4.1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{S}^k f(z_k) B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} A_k B_k(z; z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that each of $\mathcal{S}^k f(z)$, $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, has a zero in $|z| < 1$. For $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, let w_k denote the smallest modulus of a zero of $\mathcal{S}^k f(z)$. It follows from (4.1) that

$$1 = f(0) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |B_k(0; w_0, \dots, w_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0)|.$$

If $\mathcal{U} = \max_{0 \leq k \leq m} |w_k|$, then

$$1 \leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}^k |B_k(0; w_0/\mathcal{U}, \dots, w_{m-1}/\mathcal{U}, 0, \dots, 0)| \leq T_m(\mathcal{U})$$

and therefore $\mathcal{U} \geq \mathcal{U}_m$. Thus there is an integer p , $0 \leq p \leq m-1$, such that $|w_p| \geq \mathcal{U}_m$ and it follows that $\mathcal{S}^p f(z)$ has no zero in $|z| < \mathcal{U}_m$.

LEMMA 5. Let m be a positive integer and $a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n$ a polynomial of degree n , $n \geq m-1$, such that $|a_k| \leq |a_n|$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then for at least one integer p , $n-m+1 \leq p \leq n$, the polynomial $a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_p z^p$ has all its zeros in the disc $|z| \leq \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $A_k = a_{n-k}/a_n$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Lemma 4 implies that there exists an integer q , $0 \leq q \leq m-1$, such that $A_q + A_{q+1} z + \dots + A_n z^{n-q}$ does not vanish in $|z| < \mathcal{U}_m$. Therefore, the function $(a_{n-q}/a_n) + (a_{n-q-1}/a_n) z + \dots + (a_0/a_n) z^{n-q}$ has no zero in $|z| < \mathcal{U}_m$, so the same is true of $(z^{n-q}/a_n)(a_0 + a_1/z + \dots + a_{n-q} z^{n-q})$. It follows that $(1/a_n z^{n-q})(a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{n-q} z^{n-q})$ has no zero in the region $|z| > \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}$, hence $a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{n-q} z^{n-q}$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}$. Taking $p = n - q$, we obtain the desired result.

LEMMA 6. Suppose $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k$ has R -type greater than 1. Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n} \leq P.$$

Proof. If $f(z)$ is written

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k/R_1R_2 \cdots R_k)z^k,$$

then $\tau_R(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$. The condition $\tau_R(f) > 1$ implies that there exists an infinite set N of positive integers such that $n \in N$ implies $|a_n| > |a_k|$, $0 \leq k < n$. Let m be a positive integer and suppose $n \in N$ is such that $n \geq m - 1$. The n th partial sum of $f(R_n z)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f; R_n z) &= a_0 + \frac{a_1 R_n}{R_1} z + \frac{a_2 R_n^2}{R_1 R_2} z^2 + \cdots + \frac{a_n R_n^n}{R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n} z^n \\ &= \frac{a_n R_n^n}{R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n} \left(z^n + \frac{a_{n-1} R_n}{a_n R_n} z^{n-1} + \frac{a_{n-2} R_{n-1} R_n}{a_n R_n^2} z^{n-2} + \cdots + \frac{a_0 R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n}{a_n R_n^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \in N$ and $n \geq m - 1$, Lemma 5, applied to the polynomial

$$z^n + \frac{a_{n-1} R_n}{a_n R_n} z^{n-1} + \frac{a_{n-2} R_{n-1} R_n}{a_n R_n^2} z^{n-2} + \cdots + \frac{a_0 R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n}{a_n R_n^n},$$

implies that at least one of the partial sums $S_n(f; R_n z)$, $S_{n-1}(f; R_n z)$, \dots , $S_{n-m+1}(f; R_n z)$ has all its zeros in the disc $|z| \leq \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}$. In view of $s_k(f(R_n z)) = R_n^{-1} s_k(f)$, for $n - m + 1 \leq k \leq n$, it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad \min \{s_n(f)/R_n, s_{n-1}(f)/R_n, \dots, s_{n-m+1}(f)/R_n\} \leq \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}$$

for all $n \in N$, $n \geq m - 1$. If $n - k(n)$ denotes the subscript for which the minimum in (4.2) is assumed, then

$$(4.3) \quad (s_{n-k(n)}(f)/R_{n-k(n)})(R_{n-m+1}/R_n) \leq \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}$$

for $n \in N$, $n \geq m - 1$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_{n-m+1}/R_n) = 1$, then (4.3) implies

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_j(f)}{R_j} \leq \mathcal{U}_m^{-1}.$$

Since m is arbitrary, (3.1) implies $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j(f)/R_j \leq P$, which is the desired result.

For a power series $f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, the estimate

$$(4.4) \quad s_n(f) \geq |a_n|^{-1/n} \quad (a_n \neq 0)$$

follows from the fact that the geometric mean of the moduli of the zeros of $S_n(f; z)$ does not exceed the maximum modulus of its zeros. The following lemma, whose proof we omit, is an extension of (4.4).

LEMMA 7. *Suppose the power series $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ has positive radius of convergence and is not a polynomial. If $N = \{n : a_n \neq 0\}$, then*

$$(4.5) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in N} |a_n|^{1/n} s_n(f) \geq 1.$$

We are now ready to prove (1.5) of Theorem C.

THEOREM 1. *If $0 < \tau_R(f) < \infty$, then*

$$(4.6) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n} \leq \tau_R(f) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n} \leq P.$$

Proof. If $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k / R_1 R_2 \cdots R_k) z^k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_R(f) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_n|^{1/n} (R_1 \cdots R_n)^{1/n} \\ &\geq R_1 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_n|^{1/n} = R_1 c(f) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $c(f) > 0$. Since $\tau_R(f) > 0$, f is not a polynomial. By Lemma 7,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in N} |A_n|^{1/n} s_n(f) \geq 1,$$

where $N = \{n : A_n \neq 0\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n} &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in N} \frac{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in N} |A_n|^{1/n} s_n(f) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in N} \frac{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n} |A_n|^{1/n} s_n(f) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n} |A_n|^{1/n} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in N} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n} \\ &= \tau_R(f) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the left side of (4.6). For the right side of (4.6), suppose $\tau_R(f) = 1$, let $\alpha > 1$ and define $f_1(z) = f(\alpha z)$. Then $\tau_R(f_1) = \alpha$ and Lemma 6 implies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f_1) / R_n \leq P$. Since $s_n(f_1) = \alpha^{-1} s_n(f)$, we have $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f) / R_n \leq P\alpha$. Letting $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we obtain $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f) / R_n \leq P$. Now suppose $\tau_R(f) = t$ and define $g(z) = f(z/t)$. Since $\tau_R(g) = 1$, the previous inequality implies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(g) / R_n \leq P$. But $s_n(g) = t s_n(f)$ and therefore

$$\tau_R(f) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n} \leq P.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem and establishes (1.5).

For the proof of (1.6), we require the following lemma.

LEMMA 8. *If $0 < \tau_R(f) < 1$, then*

$$(4.7) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n(f)}{R_n} \geq 1/P.$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k / R_1 R_2 \cdots R_k) z^k$. Since $\tau_R(f) = \limsup |a_n|^{1/n}$ and $0 < \tau_R(f) < 1$, then there is an infinite set N of positive integers such that $n \in N$ implies $|a_n| > |a_k|$ for $k > n$. Let m be a positive integer, let $n \in N$ and suppose k is an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$. The expression

$$\frac{R_n^k (R_1 \cdots R_n)}{a_n} \mathcal{S}^{n+k} f(R_n z) = \frac{a_{n+k} R_n^k}{a_n R_{n+1} \cdots R_{n+k}} + \frac{a_{n+k+1} R_n^{k+1}}{a_n R_{n+1} \cdots R_{n+k+1}} z + \cdots$$

is the k th normalized remainder of

$$1 + \frac{a_{n+1}R_n}{a_n R_{n+1}} z + \frac{a_{n+2}R_n^2}{a_n R_{n+1} R_{n+2}} z^2 + \dots$$

By Lemma 4, there is an integer $k(n)$, $0 \leq k(n) \leq m-1$, such that

$$\frac{a_{n+k(n)}R_n^{k(n)}}{a_n R_{n+1} \dots R_{n+k(n)}} + \frac{a_{n+k(n)+1}R_n^{k(n)+1}}{a_n R_{n+1} \dots R_{n+k(n)+1}} z + \dots$$

does not vanish in $|z| \leq \mathcal{U}_m$. Therefore $\mathcal{S}^{n+k(n)}f(R_n z)$ has no zero in $|z| \leq \mathcal{U}_m$, so that $r_{n+k(n)}(f)/R_n \geq \mathcal{U}_m$ for all $n \in N$. It follows that $(r_{n+k(n)}(f)/R_{n+k(n)})(R_{n+m-1}/R_n) \geq \mathcal{U}_m$ and, therefore, $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_j(f)/R_j \geq \mathcal{U}_m$. By (3.1), $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_j(f)/R_j \geq 1/P$, and this completes the proof.

The proof of (1.6) of Theorem C is contained in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If $\tau_R(f) > 0$, then*

$$(4.8) \quad \tau_R(f) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n(f)}{R_n} \geq 1/P.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\tau_R(f) = 1$, let $0 < \alpha < 1$, and define $f_1(z) = f(\alpha z)$. Then $r_n(f_1) = \alpha^{-1}r_n(f)$ and $\tau_R(f_1) = \alpha$. By Lemma 8, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(f_1)/R_n \geq 1/P$. Thus $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(f)/R_n \geq \alpha/P$ and, letting $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(f)/R_n \geq 1/P$.

Now suppose $\tau_R(f) = t$. If $t = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. For finite t , define $g(z) = f(z/t)$. Then $\tau_R(g) = 1$ and $r_n(g) = tr_n(f)$. By the previous inequality, $\tau_R(f) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(f)/R_n \geq 1/P$, which is the desired result.

5. Extremal functions. In this section, we construct extremal functions which show that P is the sharp constant in each of the three inequalities of Theorem C.

THEOREM 3. *There is a function f of R -type 1 such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(f)/R_n = P$.*

Proof. Let $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k$ be the function constructed in Lemma 2. Recall that $c(F) = 1$, $s_n(F) \geq P$, $|A_n| \leq 1$ and $\max\{|A_n|, |A_{n+1}|, \dots, |A_{n+6}|\} \geq 1/7000$ for all n . Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_k/R_1 R_2 \dots R_k) z^k \quad (R_0 = 1)$$

and

$$x = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n}.$$

Let A denote an infinite set of positive integers such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in A} s_n(f)/R_n$. For $n \in A$, define

$$P_n(z) = z^n S_n(f; R_n/z) (R_1 R_2 \dots R_n) / R_n^n$$

and

$$Q_n(z) = z^n S_n(F; 1/z) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k} z^k.$$

The bound

$$|P_n(z) - Q_n(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |z|^k (1 - (R_n R_{n-1} \cdots R_{n-k+1})/R_n^k) \leq (1 - |z|)^{-1}$$

holds for all $n \in A$ and $|z| < 1$. Thus there is an infinite set of integers $B \subset A$ such that the sequence $\{P_n - Q_n\}_{n \in B}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $|z| < 1$ to a function $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \alpha_k z^k$ analytic in the unit disc. Since

$$\alpha_m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in B} A_{n-m} (1 - (R_n R_{n-1} \cdots R_{n-m+1})/R_n^m) = 0,$$

for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $\alpha_0 = 0$, then $g \equiv 0$. For $n \in B$, we also have the bound $|Q_n(z)| < (1 - |z|)^{-1}$, $|z| < 1$. Thus there is an infinite subset $C \subset B$ such that $\{Q_n\}_{n \in C}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $|z| < 1$ to a function $Q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \beta_k z^k$ analytic in the unit disc. The bound $\max\{|\beta_k|, |\beta_{k+1}|, \dots, |\beta_{k+6}|\} > 1/7000$ holds for the coefficients of Q ; in particular, Q is not identically zero. The sequence $\{P_n(1/z)\}_{n \in C}$ converges uniformly to $Q(1/z)$ in $|z| \geq 1/\rho$ for all $\rho < 1$. Moreover, $Q_n(1/z) = (1/z^n)S_n(F; z)$ has a zero of modulus P for all $n \in C$. Thus $Q(1/z)$ has a zero of modulus P . If $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows from Hurwitz's Theorem that $P_n(1/z)$ has a zero of modulus at least $P - \varepsilon$ for $n \in C$ sufficiently large, i.e., if Γ_n denotes the maximum modulus of the zeros of $P_n(1/z)$, then $\Gamma_n \geq P - \varepsilon$ for $n \in C$ sufficiently large. Since $\Gamma_n = R_n^{-1} s_n(f)$, then $s_n(f)/R_n \geq P - \varepsilon$ for large $n \in C$. Therefore

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in C} \frac{s_n(f)}{R_n} \geq P - \varepsilon;$$

letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the desired result.

THEOREM 4. *There is a function g of R -type 1 such that $\limsup r_n(g)/R_n = 1/P$.*

Proof. Let $G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty A_k z^k$ denote the function constructed in Lemma 3. We have $c(G) = 1$, $|A_n| \leq 1$ and $\max\{|A_n|, |A_{n+1}|, \dots, |A_{n+6}|\} \geq 1/7000$ for all n . Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (A_k/R_1 R_2 \cdots R_k) z^k \quad (R_0 = 1),$$

and

$$x = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n(g)}{R_n}.$$

Let A denote an infinite set of positive integers for which $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty; n \in A} r_n(g)/R_n$. For $m \in A$, define

$$E_m(z) = \mathcal{S}^m G(z) - (R_1 R_2 \cdots R_m / R_m^m) \mathcal{S}^m g(R_m z)$$

and let $0 < \alpha < 1$. If $m \in A$, $|z| \leq \alpha$ and N is a positive integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} |E_m(z)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty |A_{m+k}| (1 - R_m^k / (R_{m+1} \cdots R_{m+k})) |z|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N |z|^k (1 - R_m^k / (R_{m+1} \cdots R_{m+k})) + \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \alpha^k \\ &\leq (1 - R_m^N / (R_{m+1} \cdots R_{m+N})) (1 - \alpha)^{-1} + \alpha^{N+1} (1 - \alpha)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose N so that $\alpha^{N+1} (1 - \alpha)^{-1} < \varepsilon/2$. Let $m_0 \in A$ be a positive integer

such that $m \geq m_0$ implies $(1 - R_m^N / (R_{m+1} \cdots R_{m+N}))(1 - \alpha)^{-1} < \epsilon/2$. Then the conditions $m \geq m_0$ and $|z| \leq \alpha$ imply $|E_m(z)| < \epsilon$. Thus $\{E_m\}_{m \in A}$ converges uniformly to zero on compact subsets of $|z| < 1$. For $m \in A$, we also have $|\mathcal{S}^m G(Z)| \leq (1 - |z|)^{-1}$. Thus there is an infinite subset $B \subset A$ of integers such that $\{\mathcal{S}^m G(Z)\}_{m \in B}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $|z| < 1$ to a function $S(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$. The relation

$$|b_k| + |b_{k+1}| + \cdots + |b_{k+\theta}| \geq 1/1000$$

holds for all k ; in particular $S \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{S}^m G(z)$ has a zero of modulus $1/P$ for all $m \in B$, then $S(z)$ has a zero of modulus $1/P$. Moreover, $S(z)$ is the uniform limit of the sequence $\{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_m / R_m^m) \mathcal{S}^m g(R_m z)\}_{m \in B}$ and it follows from Hurwitz's Theorem that, if $\epsilon > 0$, then $\mathcal{S}^m g(R_m z)$ has a zero of modulus at most $(1/P) + \epsilon$ for $m \in B$ sufficiently large. Therefore $r_m(g)/R_m \leq (1/P) + \epsilon$ for large $m \in B$, and it follows that

$$x = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty; m \in B} \frac{r_m(g)}{R_m} \leq (1/P) + \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(g)/R_n \leq 1/P$ and this completes the proof.

For the left-hand side of (1.5), we begin by considering the infinite matrix (a_{mn}) , where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{mn} &= 2(m-n+1)/m^2, & 1 \leq n \leq m, \\ &= 0, & m < n. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that

- (1) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} = 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$
- (2) $\sup_m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| = 2,$
- (3) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} = 1.$

Thus (a_{mn}) provides a regular method of summability. If $\{R_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers ($R_0 = 1$) such that $R_{n+1}/R_n \rightarrow 1$, then (a_{mn}) transforms the sequence $\{\log(R_n/R_{n-1})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ into $\{2 \log(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n^2}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [2 \log(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n^2}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\log(R_n/R_{n-1})] = 0,$$

or

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n^2} = 1.$$

We use this result to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 9. Let $\{R_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($R_0 = 1$) be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $R_{n+1}/R_n \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each pair of positive integers m and p , let x_{mp} be the largest root of the equation

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{x^{m+p}}{R_1 \cdots R_{m+p}} = \frac{x^m}{R_1 \cdots R_m} + \frac{x^{m-1}}{R_1 \cdots R_{m-1}} + \cdots + \frac{x}{R_1} + 1.$$

Then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{mp}}{(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/(m+p)}} = 1$$

for $m=1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. For all m and p we have $x_{mp} \geq (R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/(m+p)}$, and therefore $x_{mp} \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, $m=1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let m be a positive integer and choose p so large that $x_{mp}^m / (R_1 \cdots R_m) \geq x_{mp}^{m-k} / (R_1 \cdots R_{m-k})$, for $0 \leq k \leq m$. For such integers p we have $x_{mp}^{m+p} / (R_1 \cdots R_{m+p}) \leq (m+1)x_{mp}^m / (R_1 \cdots R_m)$ and hence

$$x_{mp} \leq (m+1)^{1/p} (R_{m+1} \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/p}.$$

Thus

$$1 \leq \frac{x_{mp}}{(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/(m+p)}} \leq \frac{(m+1)^{1/p}}{(R_1 \cdots R_m)^{1/(m+p)}} (R_{m+1} \cdots R_{m+p})^{(1/p) - (1/(m+p))}.$$

Since each of $(m+1)^{1/p}$ and $(R_1 \cdots R_m)^{1/(m+p)}$ tends to 1 as $p \rightarrow \infty$, it is sufficient to show that

$$(R_{m+1} \cdots R_{m+p})^{(1/p) - (1/(m+p))} = \frac{(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{m/p(m+p)}}{(R_1 \cdots R_m)^{m/p(m+p)}} \rightarrow 1, \quad p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $(R_1 \cdots R_m)^{m/p(m+p)} \rightarrow 1$, it is sufficient to show that $(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/p(m+p)} \rightarrow 1$. Now

$$(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/p(m+p)} = (R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/(m+p)^2} [(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/(m+p)^2}]^{m/p},$$

and we know that $(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/(m+p)^2} \rightarrow 1, p \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $(R_1 \cdots R_{m+p})^{1/p(m+p)} \rightarrow 1$, and this completes the proof.

THEOREM 5. *There is a function φ of R -type 1 such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(\varphi)}{R_n}.$$

Proof. Let $\{R_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ($R_0=1$) and $\{x_{mp}\}_{m,p=1}^\infty$ be defined as in Lemma 9. Let $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ denote a sequence of positive integers such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 R_2 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 \cdots R_{n_k})^{1/n_k}}{R_{n_k}}.$$

Let $m_1 = n_1$, choose an integer p_1 such that $m_1 + p_1 \in \{n_j\}$ and

$$\frac{x_{m_1 p_1}}{(R_1 \cdots R_{m_1 + p_1})^{1/(m_1 + p_1)}} < 1 + \frac{1}{2},$$

and let $m_2 = m_1 + p_1$. If $m_k = m_{k-1} + p_{k-1} \in \{n_j\}$ has been chosen, choose the integer p_k such that $m_k + p_k \in \{n_j\}$ and

$$(5.3) \quad x_{m_k p_k} / (R_1 \cdots R_{m_k + p_k})^{1/(m_k + p_k)} < 1 + 1/(k+1)$$

and let $m_{k+1} = m_k + p_k$. Thus we inductively obtain the sequence $\{m_j\} \subset \{n_j\}$ such that (5.3) holds for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Now let

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + z^{m_1}/(R_1 \cdots R_{m_1}) + z^{m_2}/(R_1 \cdots R_{m_2}) + \cdots$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{m_j}(\varphi; z)| &\geq \frac{|z|^{m_j}}{R_1 \cdots R_{m_j}} - \frac{|z|^{m_{j-1}}}{R_1 \cdots R_{m_{j-1}}} - \cdots - \frac{|z|^{m_1}}{R_1 \cdots R_{m_1}} - 1 \\ &> \frac{|z|^{m_1}}{R_1 \cdots R_{m_1}} - \sum_{k=0}^{m_j-1} \frac{|z|^k}{R_1 \cdots R_k} \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Moreover, if $x > x_{mp}$, then

$$\frac{x^{m+p}}{R_1 \cdots R_{m+p}} > \frac{x^m}{R_1 \cdots R_m} + \cdots + \frac{x}{R_1} + 1,$$

since x_{mp} is the largest positive root of (5.2). Thus if $|z| = x > x_{m_{j-1}, p_{j-1}}$, then $|S_{m_j}(\varphi; z)| > 0$. Therefore $s_{m_j}(\varphi) \leq x_{m_{j-1}, p_{j-1}}$. From (5.3) we have

$$s_{m_j}(\varphi)/(R_1 \cdots R_{m_j})^{1/m_j} \leq 1 + 1/j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Since $s_n(\varphi) = \infty$ for integers $n \notin \{m_j\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n(\varphi)}{R_n} &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{m_j}(\varphi)}{R_{m_j}} \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{(R_1 \cdots R_{m_j})^{1/m_j}}{R_{m_j}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{j} \right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 \cdots R_{n_k})^{1/n_k}}{R_{n_k}} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(R_1 \cdots R_n)^{1/n}}{R_n}. \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, Jr. and R. C. Buck, *Polynomial expansions of analytic functions*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Heft 19, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1958. MR 20 #984.
2. J. D. Buckholtz, *Zeros of partial sums of power series*, Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968), 481-484. MR 38 #3409.
3. ———, *Zeros of partial sums of power series*. II, Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970), 5-14. MR 41 #3718.
4. J. D. Buckholtz and J. L. Frank, *Whittaker constants*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 348-370.
5. M. B. Porter, *On the polynomial convergents of a power series*, Ann. of Math. (2) 8 (1906-1907), 189-192.
6. M. Tsuji, *On the distribution of the zero points of sections of a power series*. III, Japan. J. Math. 3 (1926), 49-51.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY,
 BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061