TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 182, August 1973

EXISTENCE OF SUM AND PRODUCT INTEGRALS

BY

JON C. HELTON

This paper is dedicated to Professor H. S. Wall.

ABSTRACT. Functions are from $R \times R$ to R, where R represents the set of real numbers. If c is a number and either (1) $\int_a^b G^2$ exists and $\int_a^b G$ exists, (2) $\int_a^b G$ exists and ${}_a\Pi^b(1+G)$ exists and is not zero or (3) each of ${}_a\Pi^b(1+G)$ and ${}_a\Pi^b(1-G)$ exists and is not zero, then $\int_a^b cG$ exists, $\int_a^b |cG - \int cG| = 0$, ${}_x\Pi^y(1+cG)$ exists for $a \le x < y \le b$ and $\int_a^b |1 + cG - \Pi(1+cG)| = 0$. Furthermore, if H is a function such that $\lim_{x\to p^-} H(x, p)$, $\lim_{x\to p^+} H(p, x)$, $\lim_{x,y\to p^-} H(x, y)$ and $\lim_{x,y\to p^+} H(x, y)$ exist for each $p \in [a, b]$, $n \ge 2$ is an integer, and G satisfies either (1), (2) or (3) of the above, then $\int_a^b HG^n$ exists, $\int_a^b |HG^n - \int HG^n| = 0$, ${}_x\Pi^y(1+HG^n)$ exists for $a \le x < y \le b$ and $\int_a^b |1 + HG^n - \Pi(1+HG^n)| = 0$.

All integrals and definitions are of the subdivision-refinement type, and functions are from $R \times R$ to R, where R represents the set of real numbers. Furthermore, functions are assumed to be defined only for elements $\{x, y\}$ of $R \times R$ such that $x \le y$, and $G(x, x) = 0$. The statements that G is bounded, $G \in OP^\circ$, $G \in OQ^\circ$ and $G \in OB^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ mean that there exist a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ and positive numbers B and c such that if $J = \{x_q\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D, then

(1) $|G(u)| < B$ for $u \in J(I)$,

(2) $|\Pi_i^j(1 + G_q)| < B$ for $1 \le i \le j \le n$,

(3) $|\Pi_i^j(1 + G_q)| > c$ for $1 \le i \le j \le n$, and

(4) $\Sigma_{J(I)} |G| < B$,

respectively, where $G_q = G(x_{q-1}, x_q)$ and $J(I) = \{[x_{q-1}, x_q]\}_1^n$. Similarly, statements of the form $G > b$ should be interpreted in terms of subdivisions and refinements. The symbols $G(p, p^+)$, $G(p^-, p)$, $G(p^+, p^+)$ and $G(p^-, p^-)$ are used to denote $\lim_{x\to p^+} G(p, x)$, $\lim_{x\to p^-} G(x, p)$, $\lim_{x,y\to p^+} G(x, y)$, and $\lim_{x,y\to p^-} G(x, y)$, respectively. Further, $G \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ only if $G(p, p^+)$, $G(p^-, p)$, $G(p^+, p^+)$ and $G(p^-, p^-)$ exist for each $p \in [a, b]$, and $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$ only if $G \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ and $G(p^+, p^+) = G(p^-, p^-) = 0$ for each $p \in [a, b]$. For convenience in notation, when we consider a function G defined only

Presented to the Society, January 28, 1973; received by the editors July 19, 1972.
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 26A39, 26A42, 28A25.
Key words and phrases. Sum integral, product integral, subdivision-refinement integral, existence, interdependency, interval function.

on intervals $[x, y]$ such that $a \leq x < y \leq b$, we adopt the convention that

$$G(a-, a-) = G(a-, a) = G(b^+, b^+) = G(b, b^+) = 0.$$

Also, $G \in OA^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ only if $\int_a^b G$ exists and $\int_a^b |G - \int G| = 0$, and $G \in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ only if ${}_x\Pi^y (1 + G)$ exists for $a \leq x < y \leq b$ and $\int_a^b |1 + G - \Pi(1 + G)| = 0$. The sources of these definitions are [3, p. 299], [4, p. 493], [5] and [7].

Lemma 1.1. *If $\int_a^b G^2$ exists and $\int_a^b G$ exists, then $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$.*

The proof of Lemma 1.1 is straightforward, and therefore we omit it.

Lemma 1.2. *If $\beta > 0$, $|G| < 1 - \beta$ on $[a, b]$, $\int_a^b G^2$ exists and $\int_a^b G$ exists, then ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ exists and is not zero* [6, *Theorem* 5].

Lemma 1.3. *If G is bounded on $[a, b]$ and ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ exists and is not zero, then $G \in OP^\circ$ and OQ° on $[a, b]$* [7, *Theorem* 2].

Lemma 1.4. *If G is bounded on $[a, b]$ and ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ exists and is not zero, then $G \in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$.*

Indication of Proof. This lemma follows from Lemma 1.3 and a result of B. W. Helton [3, Theorem 4.2, p. 305].

Lemma 1.5. *If $\int_a^b G$ exists, then $G \in OA^\circ$ on $[a, b]$.*

This result is due to A. Kolmogoroff [8, p. 669]. The reader is also referred to results by W. D. L. Appling [1, Theorems 1, 2, p. 155] and B. W. Helton [3, Theorem 4.1, p. 304].

Lemma 1.6. *If $E = \{p_i\}_1^r$ is a set of distinct points from $[a, b]$ and F, G and H are functions defined on $[a, b]$ such that*

(1) *$G \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b G$ exists,*

(2) *if $p \in E$, then $H(p, p^+)$ and $H(p^-, p)$ exist, and*

(3) *if $a \leq x < y \leq b$, then $F(x, y) = G(x, y)$ if $x \notin E$ and $y \notin E$ and $F(x, y) = H(x, y)$ if $x \in E$ or $y \in E$,*

then $\int_a^b F$ exists and is

$$\int_a^b G + \sum_{p \in E} [H(p, p^+) + H(p^-, p) - G(p, p^+) - G(p^-, p)].$$

The proof of Lemma 1.6 is straightforward, and therefore we omit it.

Lemma 1.7. *If $E = \{p_i\}_1^r$ is a set of distinct points from $[a, b]$ and F, G and H are functions on $[a, b]$ such that*

(1) *$G \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ and ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1 + G)$ exists and is not zero,*

(2) *if $p \in E$, then $H(p, p^+)$ and $H(p^-, p)$ exist, and*

(3) *if $a \leq x < y \leq b$, then $F(x, y) = G(x, y)$ if $x \notin E$ and $y \notin E$ and $F(x, y) = H(x, y)$ if $x \in E$ or $y \in E$,*

then ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1 + F)$ exists and is

$$\left\{{}_a\prod^b (1 + G)\right\} \cdot \left\{\prod_{p \in E} [1 + H(p, p^+)][1 + H(p^-, p)]\right\} \cdot \left\{\prod_{p \in E} [1 + G(p, p^+)][1 + G(p^-, p)]\right\}^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, $F \in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$.

Proof. We first show that ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1 + F)$ exists and is P, where

$$P = \left[{}_a\prod^b (1 + G)\right][P_1][P_2]^{-1},$$
$$P_1 = \prod_{p \in E} [1 + H(p, p^+)][1 + H(p^-, p)]$$

and

$$P_2 = \prod_{p \in E} [1 + G(p, p^+)][1 + G(p^-, p)].$$

Since $G \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$, it follows from the covering theorem that G is bounded on $[a, b]$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 1.3 that $G \in OP^\circ$ and OQ° on $[a, b]$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exist a subdivision D_1 of $[a, b]$ and a number $B > 1$ such that if $J = \{x_q\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D_1, then

(1) $|\Pi_i^j\,(1 + G_q)| < B$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$,

(2) $|P_1| < B$ and $|[P_2]^{-1}| < B$, and

(3) $|{}_a\Pi^b\,(1 + G) - \Pi_{J(I)}\,(1 + G)| < \epsilon(3B^2)^{-1}$.

Let δ be a positive number such that if

$$p_i \overset{a}{-} \delta\} < x_i < p_i < y_i < \{p_i \overset{b}{+} \delta$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$, then

$$\left|1 - \{P_2\}^{-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{r}[1 + G(x_i, p_i)][1 + G(p_i, y_i)]\right\}\right| < \epsilon(3B^{2r+1})^{-1}$$

and

$$\left|P_1 - \prod_{i=1}^{r}[1 + H(x_i, p_i)][1 + H(p_i, y_i)]\right| < \epsilon(3B^{2r})^{-1}.$$

Let D denote the subdivision of $[a, b]$ consisting of

$$D_1 \cup \{p_i - \delta\}_1^r \cup \{p_i + \delta\}_1^r \cup \{\tfrac{1}{2}(p_i + p_{i+1})\}_1^{r-1} \cup E$$

less any elements which are not in $[a, b]$. Suppose J is a refinement of D. Let $K(I)$ be the subset of $J(I)$ such that $u \in K(I)$ only if neither end point of u belongs to E. Note that no interval in $J(I)$ can have elements of E at both end points. Let $L(I) = J(I) - K(I)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\prod_{J(I)}(1 + F) - P\right| &\le \left|\prod_{J(I)}(1 + F) - \left[\prod_{J(I)}(1 + G)\right][P_1][P_2]^{-1}\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\left[\prod_{J(I)}(1 + G)\right][P_1][P_2]^{-1} - P\right| \\
&< \left|\prod_{K(I)}(1 + G)\right|\left|\prod_{L(I)}(1 + F) - \left[\prod_{L(I)}(1 + G)\right][P_1][P_2]^{-1}\right| + \epsilon(3B^2)^{-1}B^2 \\
&\le B^{2r}\left|\prod_{L(I)}(1 + F) - \left[\prod_{L(I)}(1 + G)\right][P_1][P_2]^{-1}\right| + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\
&\le B^{2r}\left|\prod_{L(I)}(1 + F) - P_1\right| + B^{2r}|P_1|\left|1 - \left[\prod_{L(I)}(1 + G)\right][P_2]^{-1}\right| + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\
&< \epsilon(3B^{2r})^{-1}B^{2r} + \epsilon(3B^{2r+1})^{-1}B^{2r+1} + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ${}_a\Pi^b(1 + F)$ exists and is P.

We now show that $F \in OM^{\circ}$ on $[a, b]$. Since it can be shown that ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + F)$ exists for $a \le x < y \le b$ by an argument similar to the one used in the preceding paragraph, it is only necessary to show that

$$\int_a^b \left|1 + F - \prod(1 + F)\right| = 0.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. As noted in the previous paragraph, $G \in OP^\circ$ and OQ° on $[a, b]$. Hence, Lemma 1.4 implies that $G \in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. There exist a subdivision D_1 of $[a, b]$ and a number $B > 1$ such that if $\{x_q\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D_1, then

(1) $|\Pi_i^j (1 + G_q)| < B$ for $1 \le i \le j \le n$,

(2) $|1 + F_q| < B$ and $|1 + G_q| > 1/B$ for $1 \le q \le n$, and

(3) $\Sigma_{q=1}^n |1 + G_q - \Pi_{J_q(I)} (1 + G)| < \epsilon(5B^2)^{-1}$, where J_q is a subdivision of $[x_{q-1}, x_q]$ for $1 \le q \le n$.

Let δ be a positive number such that if $1 \le i \le r$ and

$$p_i \overset{a}{-} \delta\} < x_i' < x_i < p_i < y_i' < y_i < \{p_i \overset{b}{+} \delta,$$

then

(1) $|F(x_i, p_i) - F(x_i', p_i)| < \epsilon(10r)^{-1}$,

(2) $|F(p_i, y_i) - F(p_i, y_i')| < \epsilon(10r)^{-1}$,

(3) $|G(x_i, p_i) - G(x_i', p_i)| < \epsilon(10rB^3)^{-1}$, and

(4) $|G(p_i, y_i) - G(p_i, y_i')| < \epsilon(10rB^3)^{-1}$.

Let D denote the subdivision of $[a, b]$ consisting of

$$D_1 \cup \{p_i - \delta\}_1^r \cup \{p_i + \delta\}_1^r \cup \{\tfrac{1}{2}(p_i + p_{i+1})\}_1^{r-1} \cup E$$

less any elements which are not in $[a, b]$.

Suppose $J = \{x_q\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D. For $1 \le q \le n$, let $J_q = \{x_{q,i}\}_0^{n(q)}$ be a subdivision of $[x_{q-1}, x_q]$ such that

$$\left| \prod_{x_{q-1}}^{x_q} (1 + F) - \prod_{J_q(I)} (1 + F) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{5n}.$$

Also, for $1 \le q \le n$, suppose

(1) $q \in U$ only if $[x_{q-1}, x_q]$ does not have a point of E as an end point,

(2) $q \in V(1)$ only if $x_q \in E$, and

(3) $q \in V(2)$ only if $x_{q-1} \in E$.

Note that D is defined so that q cannot belong to both $V(1)$ and $V(2)$. For $q \in V(1)$, let

(1) $K_q = \{x_{q,i}\}_0^{n(q)-1}$,

(2) $F_q' = F(x_{q,n(q)-1}, x_{q,n(q)})$, and

(3) $G_q' = G(x_{q,n(q)-1}, x_{q,n(q)})$,

and for $q \in V(2)$, let

(1) $K_q = \{x_{q,i}\}_1^{n(q)}$,

(2) $F_q' = F(x_{q,0}, x_{q,1})$, and

(3) $G_q' = G(x_{q,0}, x_{q,1})$.

If $q \in V(1)$ or $V(2)$, then $\Pi_{J_q(I)} (1 + F) = (1 + F_q')\Pi_{K_q(I)} (1 + G)$ and $\Pi_{J_q(I)} (1 + G) = (1 + G_q')\Pi_{K_q(I)} (1 + G)$. Thus,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left|1+F_q-{}_{x_{q-1}}\prod^{x_q}(1+F)\right|<\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left|1+F_q-\prod_{J_q(I)}(1+F)\right|+\frac{\epsilon}{5}$$

$$=\sum_{q\in U}\left|1+G_q-\prod_{J_q(I)}(1+G)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}\left|1+F_q-\prod_{J_q(I)}(1+F)\right|+\frac{\epsilon}{5}$$

$$<\frac{\epsilon}{5}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}\left|1+F_q-(1+F_q')\prod_{K_q(I)}(1+G)\right|+\frac{\epsilon}{5}$$

$$\leq\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}|1+F_q'|\left|1-\prod_{K_q(I)}(1+G)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}|F_q-F_q'|+\frac{2\epsilon}{5}$$

$$<B\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}\left|1-\prod_{K_q(I)}(1+G)\right|+[2r][\epsilon(10r)^{-1}]+\frac{2\epsilon}{5}$$

$$=B\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}|(1+G_q)^{-1}|\left|1+G_q-(1+G_q)\prod_{K_q(I)}(1+G)\right|+\frac{3\epsilon}{5}$$

$$\leq B^2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}\left|1+G_q-(1+G_q)\prod_{K_q(I)}(1+G)\right|+\frac{3\epsilon}{5}$$

$$\leq B^2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}\left|1+G_q-\prod_{J_q(I)}(1+G)\right|$$

$$+B^2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{q\in V(i)}|G_q-G_q'|\left|\prod_{K_q(I)}(1+G)\right|+\frac{3\epsilon}{5}$$

$$<B^2[\epsilon(5B^2)^{-1}]+[2rB^3][\epsilon(10rB^3)^{-1}]+\frac{3\epsilon}{5}=\epsilon.$$

Therefore, $F\in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 1. *If $\int_a^b G^2$ exists, $\int_a^b G$ exists and c is a number, then $cG\in OM^\circ$ and OA° on $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Since it follows from Lemma 1.5 that $cG\in OA^\circ$ on $[a, b]$, we need only show that $cG\in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. Since $G\in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 1.1], there exists a subdivision $D=\{x_q\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $1\leq q\leq n$ and $x_{q-1}<x<y<x_q$, then $|cG(x, y)|<\frac{1}{2}$. Let F be the function such that

(1) $F(x, y)=cG(x, y)$ if $x\notin D$ and $y\notin D$, and

(2) $F(x, y)=0$ if $x\in D$ or $y\in D$.

Thus, $|F|<\frac{1}{2}$, and Lemma 1.6 implies that $\int_a^b F^2$ exists and $\int_a^b F$ exists. Therefore, ${}_a\Pi^b(1+F)$ exists and is not zero by Lemma 1.2, and hence, Lemma 1.7 implies that $cG\in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$.

Lemma 2.1. *If $G \in OM^\circ$ or OA° on $[a, b]$, $G \in OB^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ and $H \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$, then $HG \in OM^\circ$ and OA° on $[a, b]$* [4, *Theorem* 2, *p.* 494], [3, *Theorem* 3.4, *p.* 301].

Theorem 2. *If $\int_a^b G^2$ exists, $\int_a^b G$ exists, $H \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ and $n \geq 2$ is an integer, then $HG^n \in OM^\circ$ and OA° on $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Since $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 1.1], it follows that $HG^{n-2} \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. Therefore, since $G^2 \in OA^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 1.5] and $G^2 \in OB^\circ$ on $[a, b]$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $HG^n \in OM^\circ$ and OA° on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 2 is not true for $n = 1$. The author [5, Theorem 10] has shown that for $\int_a^b HG$ to exist for every $H \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ it is necessary that $G \in OB^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. However, Davis and Chatfield [2, p. 747] define a function G such that $\int_a^b G^2$ exists, $\int_a^b G$ exists and $G \notin OB^\circ$ on $[a, b]$.

Lemma 3.1. *If ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ exists and is not zero and $\int_a^b G$ exists, then $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$* [6, *Theorem* 9].

The conclusion of Theorem 9 [6] states that $G \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. However, the argument used to establish this also establishes that $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\int_a^b HG$ exists, $G \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$, $H \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ and H is bounded away from zero on $[a, b]$, then $\int_a^b G$ exists.*

Proof. There exists a subdivision $\{x_q\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $1 \leq q \leq n$, then H does not change sign on (x_{q-1}, x_q). Hence, $HG \in OB^\circ$ on $[x_{q-1}, x_q]$, and thus, $HG \in OB^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. Therefore, since $H^{-1} \in OL^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ and $HG \in OA^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 1.5], it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\int_a^b G$ exists.

Lemma 3.3. *If ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ exists and is not zero and $G > -1$ on $[a, b]$, then $\int_a^b \ln(1 + G)$ exists* [6, *Theorem* 4].

Lemma 3.4. *If ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ exists and is not zero and $\int_a^b G$ exists, then $\int_a^b G^2$ exists.*

Proof. Since $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 3.1], there exists a subdivision $D = \{x_q\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $x_{q-1} < x < y < x_q$, then $|G(x, y)| < \frac{1}{2}$. Let F be the function such that

(1) $F(x, y) = G(x, y)$ if $x \notin D$ and $y \notin D$, and

(2) $F(x, y) = 0$ if $x \in D$ or $y \in D$.

Therefore, ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + F)$ exists and is not zero by Lemma 1.7, and $\int_a^b F$ exists by Lemma 1.6. Thus, from Lemma 3.3, $\int_a^b \ln(1 + F) = \int_a^b \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^{n-1} F^n/n$ exists,

and hence,

$$\int_a^b \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{F^n}{n} = \int_a^b F^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{F^{n-2}}{n} \right]$$

exists. Thus, since $-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} F^{n-2}/n$ is in OL° on $[a, b]$ and is bounded away from zero on $[a, b]$, Lemma 3.2 implies that $\int_a^b F^2$ exists. Therefore, $\int_a^b G^2$ exists by Lemma 1.6.

Theorem 3. *If* ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ *exists and is not zero,* $\int_a^b G$ *exists and* c *is a number, then* $cG \in OM^\circ$ *and* OA° *on* $[a, b]$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\int_a^b G^2$ exists. Therefore, Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *If* ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ *exists and is not zero,* $\int_a^b G$ *exists,* $H \in OL^\circ$ *on* $[a, b]$ *and* $n \geq 2$ *is an integer, then* $HG^n \in OM^\circ$ *and* OA° *on* $[a, b]$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\int_a^b G^2$ exists. Therefore, Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 2.

Lemma 5.1. *If each of* ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ *and* ${}_a\Pi^b (1 - G)$ *exists and is not zero, then* G *is bounded on* $[a, b]$ [7, *Lemma* 6.1].

Lemma 5.2. *If each of* ${}_a\Pi^b (1 + G)$ *and* ${}_a\Pi^b (1 - G)$ *exists and is not zero, then* $G \in OL^{14}$ *on* $[a, b]$.

Proof. Let $p \in (a, b]$. It follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 1.3 that $G \in OQ^\circ$ and $-G \in OQ^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. By applying this result and the Cauchy criterion for product integrals, we have that

$$(1)\quad 0 = \lim_{x,y\to p-} \{[1 + G(x, p)] - [1 + G(x, y)][1 + G(y, p)]\}$$
$$= \lim_{x,y\to p-} \{G(x, p) - G(x, y) - G(y, p) - G(x, y)G(y, p)\}, \text{ and}$$
$$(2)\quad 0 = \lim_{x,y\to p-} \{[1 - G(x, p)] - [1 - G(x, y)][1 - G(y, p)]\}$$
$$= \lim_{x,y\to p-} \{- G(x, p) + G(x, y) + G(y, p) - G(x, y)G(y, p)\}.$$

Thus,

$$(3)\quad 0 = \lim_{x,y\to p-} [G(x, p) - G(x, y) - G(y, p)], \text{ and}$$
$$(4)\quad 0 = \lim_{x,y\to p-} G(x, y)G(y, p).$$

Note that limits (1), (3) and (4) are the same as limits (2), (1) and (3), respectively, in Theorem 9 of a previous paper of the author [6]. It follows that $G(p^-, p)$ exists by the argument used in Theorem 9 [6]. Thus, it follows from (3) and the existence of $G(p^-, p)$ that $G(p^-, p^-)$ exists and is zero. Therefore, since right limits can be treated similarly, $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$.

Lemma 5.3. *If each of* ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1+G)$ *and* ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1-G)$ *exists and is not zero, then* $\int_a^b G^2$ *exists.*

Proof. Since each of ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1+G)$ and ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1-G)$ exists and is not zero, ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1-G^2)$ exists and is not zero. Thus, since G is bounded on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 5.1], $-G^2 \in OQ^\circ$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 1.3]. Since $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 5.2], there exists a subdivision $\{x_q\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $1 \le q \le n$ and $x_{q-1} < x < y < x_q$, then $|G(x, y)| < 1$. Thus, since $-G^2 \in OQ^\circ$ on $[a, b]$, it follows that $G^2 \in OB^\circ$ on $[x_{q-1}, x_q]$ for $1 \le q \le n$. This is true because if F is a function such that $0 \le F \le 1$ on an interval $[r, s]$ and $F \notin OB^\circ$ on $[r, s]$, then $-F \notin OQ^\circ$ on $[r, s]$. Therefore, $G^2 \in OB^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. Lemma 1.4 implies that $-G^2 \in OM^\circ$ on $[a, b]$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\int_a^b G^2$ exists.

Lemma 5.4. *If* $\beta > 0$, $|G| < 1-\beta$ *on* $[a, b]$, $\int_a^b G^2$ *exists and* ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1+G)$ *exists and is not zero, then* $\int_a^b G$ *exists* [6, *Theorem* 5].

Theorem 5. *If each of* ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1+G)$ *and* ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1-G)$ *exists and is not zero and* c *is a number, then* $cG \in OM^\circ$ *and* OA° *on* $[a, b]$.

Proof. Since $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$ [Lemma 5.2], there exists a subdivision $D = \{x_q\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $1 \le q \le n$ and $x_{q-1} < x < y < x_q$, then $|G(x, y)| < \frac{1}{2}$. Let F be the function such that

(1) $F(x, y) = G(x, y)$ if $x \notin D$ and $y \notin D$, and

(2) $F(x, y) = 0$ if $x \in D$ or $y \in D$.

Since $\int_a^b G^2$ exists [Lemma 5.3], $\int_a^b F^2$ also exists [Lemma 1.6]. Further, since $G \in OL^{14}$ on $[a, b]$ and ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1+G)$ exists and is not zero, ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1+F)$ exists and is not zero [Lemma 1.7]. Therefore, $\int_a^b F$ exists [Lemma 5.4], and hence, $\int_a^b G$ exists [Lemma 1.6]. Thus, since $\int_a^b G^2$ exists and $\int_a^b G$ exists, it follows from Theorem 1 that $cG \in OM^\circ$ and OA° on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 6. *If each of* ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1+G)$ *and* ${}_a\Pi^b\,(1-G)$ *exists and is not zero,* $H \in OL^\circ$ *on* $[a, b]$ *and* $n \ge 2$ *is an integer, then* $HG^n \in OM^\circ$ *and* OA° *on* $[a, b]$.

Proof. It follows as in Theorem 5 that $\int_a^b G^2$ exists and $\int_a^b G$ exists. Hence, Theorem 2 implies that $HG^n \in OM^\circ$ and OA° on $[a, b]$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. D. L. Appling, *Interval functions and real Hilbert spaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **11** (1962), 154–156. MR 27 #4040.

2. W. P. Davis and J. A. Chatfield, *Concerning product integrals and exponentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 743–747. MR 42 #1970.

3. B. W. Helton, *Integral equations and product integrals*, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966), 297–322. MR 32 #6167.

4. ———, *A product integral representation for a Gronwall inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), 493–500. MR 40 #1562.

5. J. C. Helton, *Existence theorems for sum and product integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 407–413.

6. ———, *Some interdependencies of sum and product integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 201–206.

7. ———, *Product integrals, bounds and inverses*, Texas J. Sci. 25 (1973) (to appear).

8. A. Kolmogoroff, *Untersuchungen über den Integralbegriff*, Math. Ann. 103 (1930), 654–696.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, ARIZONA 85281