SOME MAPPING THEOREMS

BY

R. C. LACHER (1)

ABSTRACT. Various mapping theorems are proved, culminating in the following result for mappings $f$ from a closed $(2k + 1)$-manifold $M$ to another, $N$: If "almost all" point-inverses of $f$ are strongly acyclic in dimensions less than $k$ and if "almost all" point-inverses of $f$ have Euler characteristic equal to one, then all but finitely many point-inverses are totally acyclic. (Here "almost all" means "except on a zero-dimensional set in $N".) More can be said when $k = 1$: If $f$ is a monotone map between closed $3$-manifolds and if the Euler characteristic of almost-all point-inverses is one, then all but finitely many point-inverses of $f$ are cellular in $M$; consequently $M$ is the connected sum of $N$ and some other closed $3$-manifold and $f$ is homotopic to a spine map. Other results include an acyclicity criterion using the idea of "nonalternating" mapping and the following result for PL maps $\phi$ between finite polyhedra $X$ and $Y$: If the Euler characteristic of each point-inverse of $\phi$ is the integer $c$ then $x(X) = cx(Y)$.

We begin with a clarification of the term "mapping theorem": this is to mean a theorem in which "local" assumptions are made on a map $f$ (i.e., assumptions are made on point-inverses of $f$) and "global" conclusions are drawn. The global conclusions may be topological (e.g., deducing that the domain and range of $f$ are homeomorphic) or algebraic (e.g., concluding $f$ has degree $\pm 1$). The classical Vietoris mapping theorem [3] is the best known example of the sort of result we have in mind.

Global conclusions can sometimes be obtained using "finiteness" theorems. As an illustration, consider a map $f: M^n \rightarrow N^n$ between closed topological manifolds. If the set $C_f$ of points $y \in N$ for which $f^{-1}(y)$ is not cellular in $M$ can be shown to be finite, $n \neq 4$, then results of S. Armentrout [2] and L. C. Siebenmann [10] imply that $M$ is homeomorphic to the connected sum of $N$ and another manifold. (See [8] for a more complete discussion of finiteness theorems.)

For mappings between closed even-dimensional manifolds there is a finite-
ness theorem as follows (cf. [7]): If each $f^{-1}(y)$ has $(k - 1)$-connected shape, $n = 2k$, then $C_f$ is finite ($k \neq 2$). In §2, after reviewing the even-dimensional case in more detail in §1, we give an analogous result for odd dimensions: If each $f^{-1}(y)$ has $(k - 1)$-connected shape and Euler characteristic one, $n = 2k + 1$, then $C_f$ is finite (no restriction on $k$). For $n = 3$, this generalizes (and uses) a result of A. Wright [17] and thus seems to explain Wright's theorem as a phenomenon about odd-dimensional manifolds.

For functions of a real variable, the concepts of monotone map and nonalternating map coincide. In §3, we show how this equivalence is a special case of a general fact for mappings between odd-dimensional manifolds.

A result of E. G. Skljarenko [11] states that a map between compact ANR's which is locally acyclic almost everywhere (i.e., except on a set of dimension $\leq 0$) is in fact locally acyclic except on a finite set. After slightly modifying Skljarenko's result in §4, we prove in §5 a finiteness theorem for maps $/ \colon$ between closed $K$-orientable manifolds ($K$ a field): If $/ \colon$ is locally $(k - 1)$-acyclic almost everywhere, and $2k \geq n$, then $/ \colon$ is locally acyclic except on a finite set. A similar result holds with $2k + 1 = n$ and a local Euler characteristic hypothesis. As applications some of the finiteness theorems of §§1, 2 are improved and a further generalization of Wright's theorem is given.

The paper concludes with §6, where we consider PL maps $/ \colon X \to Y$ between finite polyhedra. A proof of the following elementary formula is sketched: Suppose $Y_0$ is a subpolyhedron of $Y$ such that $\chi(f^{-1}(y)) = c_0$ for all $y \in Y_0$ and $\chi(f^{-1}(y)) = c$ for all $y \in Y \setminus Y_0$; then $\chi(X) = c\chi(Y) + (c_0 - c)\chi(Y_0)$.

1. Definitions and a review of the even-dimensional case. For the following definitions suppose that $/ \colon M \to N$ is a map with compact point-inverses. Recall three "singular sets"

$A_i(f; R) = \{y \in N | f^{-1}(y) \text{ does not have property } i - \nu(R)\}$,

$A^i(f; R) = \{y \in N | \tilde{H}_i(f^{-1}(y); R) \neq 0\}$,

$C_f = \{y \in N | f^{-1}(y) \text{ is not cellular in } M\}$.

(A compact set $X \subset M$ has property $i - \nu(R)$ if, for any open set $U$ containing $X$, there is an open set $V$ with $X \subset V \subset U$ such that $\tilde{H}_i(V; R) \to \tilde{H}_i(U; R)$ is zero. An equivalent condition is that the reduced Skljarenko homology of $X$ vanish in dimension $i$; see [12].) The map $f$ is called strongly acyclic in dimension $i$ (over $R$) if $A_i(f; R) = \emptyset$ and strongly acyclic (over $R$) if $A(f; R) = \emptyset$, where

$A(f; R) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} A_i(f; R) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} A^i(f; R)$.
The first equality is a definition. The second follows from (3.3) of [7]. The above terminology is slightly different from that used in [9], where the following is proved:

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose \( f: M^n \to N^n \) is a proper map between \( R \)-orientable \( n \)-manifolds and that \( f \) is strongly acyclic in dimensions less than \( k \).

1. If \( 2k > n \) then \( f \) is strongly acyclic.
2. If \( 2k = n \) then \( A(f; R) \) is a locally finite subset of \( N^n \).

Below we give analogues of (1) for \( 2k = n \) and \( 2k + 1 = n \) (§3) and (2) for \( 2k + 1 = n \) (§2). Another even-dimensional result we shall analogize is the following, proved in [7].

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose \( f: M^n \to N^n \) is a proper map between \( n \)-manifolds and that \( f^{-1}(y) \) has property \( UV^{k-1} \) for each \( y \in N^n \).

1. If \( 2k > n \neq 4 \) then \( f \) is cellular (when \( n = 3 \), we need to assume each \( f^{-1}(y) \) has a neighborhood containing no fake cubes).
2. If \( 2k + 1 = n \neq 4 \) then \( C_f \) is a locally finite set in \( N^n \).

See [1] or [7] for explanations of the terminology used in (1.2).

**Conventions.** A manifold is understood to be a connected, locally Euclidean metric space (without boundary points). \( R \) always means a principal ideal domain. A double arrow \( M \to N \) indicates a surjective map. Otherwise, our notation is that of [14].

2. Finiteness theorems in odd dimensions. We will use local Euler characteristic assumptions in this section. When \( G \) is a module over our PID \( R \), we define rank \( G \) to be the minimum number of generators of \( \text{Hom}_R(G, R) \), i.e., the rank of the free part of \( G \). If and only if \( X \) is a compactum with rank \( \tilde{H}^i(X; R) \) finite for all \( i \) and zero for all but finitely many \( i \), we write

\[
\chi(X; R) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank} \tilde{H}^i(X; R).
\]

The question of dependence on \( R \) will be ignored.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that \( f: M^{2k+1} \to N^{2k+1} \) is a proper map between \( R \)-orientable manifolds. If \( f \) is strongly acyclic in dimensions less than \( k \) and if \( \chi(f^{-1}(y); R) = 1 \) for each \( y \in N^{2k+1} \), then \( A(f; R) \) is a locally finite set in \( N^{2k+1} \).

**Proof.** The set \( A^{k+1}(f; R) \) is locally finite by Theorem 2.3 of [9] and
$A^q(f; R) = \emptyset$ for $q \neq k$, $k + 1$ by (1.3) of [9]. We claim that $A(f; R) = A^{k+1}(f; R)$. To see this, let $y \in N \setminus A^{k+1}(f; R)$. Then

$$\chi(f^{-1}(y); R) = 1 + (-1)^k \text{rank } \tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y); R)$$

and hence $\text{rank } \tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y); R) = 0$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ has property $(k - 1) - \nu f(R)$, it follows from the homology/cohomology universal coefficient theorem that $\tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y); R) = 0$. Therefore $y \in N \setminus A(f; R)$.

Remarks. 1. The assumption $\chi(f^{-1}(y); R) = 1$ in (2.1) can be weakened to the inequality $\chi(f^{-1}(y); R) \geq 1$ ($k$ odd) or $\chi(f^{-1}(y); R) \leq 1$ ($k$ even) without altering the conclusion. In the context of (2.1), where $\chi(f^{-1}(y); R) = 1 + (-1)^k \beta_k + (-1)^{k+1} \beta_{k+1}$, this means assuming $\beta_k \leq \beta_{k+1}$ instead of $\beta_k = \beta_{k+1}$. (Note that $\beta_{k+1}$ is finite; see the remark following the proof of (2.2) in [9].) Under this weaker assumption, one can use the conclusion of the theorem to show that $\chi(f^{-1}(y); R) = 1$ for all $y$ so that the weaker hypothesis never arises in practice.

2. Under the hypothesis of (2.1), take $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $\deg f = \pm 1$, so $f^* i$ is an isomorphism for $i \neq k$, $k + 1$ and an epimorphism for all $i$. Moreover,

$$\ker f^* k \cong \bigoplus_{y \in N} \tilde{H}^{k+1}(f^{-1}(y); R), \quad \text{and} \quad \ker f^* {k+1} \cong \bigoplus_{y \in N} \tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y); R).$$

See the analysis in §7 of [9].

3. When $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the orientability hypotheses in (2.1) may be dropped. See §4 of [9].

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $f: M^{2k+1} \to N^{2k+1}$ is a proper map between manifolds and that $f^{-1}(y)$ has property $UV^k$ for each $y \in N^{2k+1}$. If $\chi(f^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 1$ for all $y \in N^{2k+1}$, then $C_f$ is a locally finite set in $N^{2k+1}$.

Proof. Suppose first that $k \geq 2$. We have $A(f; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ locally finite by (2.1) and $A^{k+1}(f; \mathbb{Z})$ locally finite by Theorem 2.3 of [9]. Let $F = A(f; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup A^{k+1}(f; \mathbb{Z})$. We claim that $F = A(f; \mathbb{Z})$. To prove this, let $y \in N \setminus F$. Then

$$\tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i.$$

It follows from the universal coefficient theorem for Čech cohomology that $\tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. This proves the claim and the local finiteness of $A(f; \mathbb{Z})$. Now we are finished since $f^{-1}(y)$ has $UV^\infty$ for each $y \in N \setminus A(f; \mathbb{Z})$. (See §4 of [7].)

Now assume $k = 1$. Then $A(f; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is locally finite, hence zero-dimensional, so a result of [17] applies.

Remarks. 1. If $f$ is as in (2.2) with $M$ and $N$ closed manifolds, then we can find a closed, $(k - 1)$-connected manifold $K$ such that $M$ is homeomorphic to the connected sum $N \# K$. Conversely, if $M = N \# K$, where $K$ is $(k - 1)$-con-
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connected, we can construct a map \( f: M \to N \) which satisfies (2.2). See the discussion in §7 of [7].

2. An interesting aspect of (2.2) is that its statement makes no dimensional restrictions on the manifolds and thus seems to explain the "Wright phenomenon" (cf. [17]) as a statement about manifolds in general. The dichotomy between \( k = 1 \) and \( k > 1 \) occurs in the proof for two reasons: first, of course, the Poincaré conjecture, and second, the fact that \( UV^{-1} \) implies \( 1 - UV \) in the latter situations while \( UV^0 \) is merely the statement that \( f \) is monotone.

3. Both (1.1) and (2.1) can be generalized in the case where \( R \) is a field by requiring only that \( \dim \operatorname{A} \leq 0 \) for \( i < k \) (and that \( \dim j \in N | x(\tilde{f}^{-1}(y)) \neq 1 \) \( \leq 0 \) in (2.1)). See §5 below.

4. Some kind of hypothesis on \( f^{-1}(y) \) in dimension \( k \) is necessary in both (2.1) and (2.2). See §6 of [7].

3. Nonalternating mappings. Suppose that \( f: M \to N \) is a mapping. We shall say that \( f \) is nonalternating in dimension \( k \) provided that, for each pair, \( y, z \in N \) with \( y \neq z \), there exists a neighborhood \( V \) of \( f^{-1}(y) \) in \( M \) such that \( H_k(V) \to H_k(M - f^{-1}(z)) \) is the zero homomorphism. (Throughout §3, \( R \) is assumed to be a fixed principal ideal domain, and all homology/cohomology is taken with coefficients in \( R \). Otherwise, our notation follows that of previous sections.) Notice that if \( M \) and \( N \) are locally compact ANR's and each \( f^{-1}(y) \) is compact, then "nonalternating in dimension zero" agrees with the classical notion of non-alternating. See [16].

Similarly, we shall say \( f \) is weakly acyclic in dimension \( k \) if each \( f^{-1}(y) \) has a neighborhood \( V \) in \( M \) such that \( H_k(V) \to H_k(M) \) is zero.

The following result is a corollary to R. Soloway's version of the Vietoris mapping theorem for singular homology. (See [13, Theorem 5].)

**Theorem S.** Suppose that \( M \) and \( N \) are locally compact ANR's and that \( f: M \to N \) is a proper map which is strongly acyclic in dimensions less than \( k \). Then \( f_*: H_i(M) \to H_i(N) \) is an isomorphism for \( i \leq k - 1 \) and an epimorphism for \( i = k \). If, in addition, \( f \) is weakly acyclic in dimension \( k \), then \( f_* \) is an isomorphism.

We will be applying Theorem S to certain types of maps between manifolds.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose \( f: M^n \to N^n \) is a proper map between \( R \)-orientable \( n \)-manifolds, \( k < n \). If \( f \) is strongly acyclic in dimensions less than \( k \) and weakly acyclic in dimension \( k \) then \( H_i(f^{-1}(y)) = 0 \) for \( i \geq n - k \) and all \( y \in N^n \).

**Proof.** Let \( y \in N \), and consider
a commutative diagram. By Theorem 5, is an isomorphism when \( i \leq k \) and 
\( f|_\ast \) is an isomorphism when \( i < k \) and an epimorphism when \( i = k \). Since the 
lower horizontal map is an isomorphism for \( i < n \), we can conclude that the upper 
horizontal map is an isomorphism when \( i < k \) and an epimorphism when \( i = k \).

It follows from the homology sequence of \((M, M - f^{-1}(y))\) that \( H_i(M, M - f^{-1}(y)) = 0 \) for \( i \leq k \); the result follows from duality.

**Corollary 3.2.** Suppose \( f: M^k \to N^k \) is a proper map between R-orient-
able manifolds which is strongly acyclic in dimensions less than \( k \). If \( f \) is 
weakly acyclic in dimension \( k \), then \( f \) is strongly acyclic.

Corollary 3.2 is not surprising in view of the local finiteness of \( A(f) \) noted 
above. We should point out, however, that one cannot conclude, in Theorem 3.1, 
that \( f \) is strongly acyclic in dimension \( k \): there is a map \( f: S^{2k+1} \to S^{2k+1} \) 
which is strongly acyclic in dimensions less than \( k \) (and, obviously, weakly 
acyclic in dimension \( k \)) which is not strongly acyclic in dimension \( k \). (See §6 
of [7].)

Changing from weakly acyclic to nonalternating, we can obtain an acyclicity 
criterion in odd dimensions as follows.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose \( f: M^{2k+1} \to N^{2k+1} \) is a proper map between R-
orientable manifolds. If \( f \) is strongly acyclic in dimensions less than \( k \) and non-
alternating in dimension \( k \), then \( f \) is strongly acyclic.

**Proof.** Let \( y \in N \). By (3.1), we have \( \tilde{H}_i(f^{-1}(y)) = 0 \) for \( i > k \); and by §3 of 
[7] \( \tilde{H}_i(f^{-1}(y)) = 0 \) for \( i < k \). It suffices, therefore, to show that \( \tilde{H}_k(f^{-1}(y)) = 0 \).

We claim first that

\[
H_c^k(M) \to \tilde{H}_k(f^{-1}(y))
\]

is zero. For the proof, let \( U \) be a neighborhood of \( f^{-1}(y) \) such that

\[
a: H_k(U) \to H_k(M)
\]

is zero, and let \( V \) be a neighborhood of \( f^{-1}(y) \) in \( U \) such that

\[
\beta: H_{k-1}(V) \to H_{k-1}(U)
\]

is zero. Consider the commutative diagram below (from the universal coefficient 
theorem):
SOME MAPPING THEOREMS

0 \to \Ext H_{k-1}(V) \to H^k(V) \to \Hom H_k(V) \to 0

0 \to \Ext H_{k-1}(U) \to H^k(U) \to \Hom H_k(U) \to 0

0 \to \Ext H_{k-1}(M) \to H^k(M) \to \Hom H_k(M) \to 0.

The notation is as in §2 of [7]. We have $\alpha^* = 0$ and $\beta^* = 0$. Since the rows of the diagram are exact, it follows that $H^k(M) \to H^k(V)$ is zero, and hence that $H^k(M) \to \tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y))$ is zero. The claim now follows easily from the fact that $H^k(M) \to H^k_c(M)$ is a functorial isomorphism ($k \neq 0$), where $\tilde{M}$ is the one-point compactification of $M$. (See [14, pp. 331, 332].) Now consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^k_c(M) & \to & \tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{k+1}(M) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H_{k+1}(M, M - f^{-1}(y)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{k}(M) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_{k}(N) \\
\end{array}
\]

in which $D =$ duality isomorphism and both $f|_*$ and $f_*$ are isomorphisms by Theorem S. The diagram commutes up to sign, and the long row is exact. It follows that $i_*$ is an isomorphism and hence that $\beta = 0$. The above paragraph implies that $f_* = 0$, so we have

$0 = H_{k+1}(M, M - f^{-1}(y)) \cong \tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y)).$

Therefore, $\tilde{H}^k(f^{-1}(y)) = 0$.

We conclude by remarking that there exist maps $f: S^{2k} \to S^{2k}$ which are strongly acyclic in dimensions $\leq k - 2$, nonalternating in dimension $k - 1$, but not strongly acyclic in dimension $k - 1$: suspend the "join" example of §6 of [7].

Remark. A technique of Soloway [13] can be used to conclude the properness of the map $f$, by merely assuming each point-inverse of $f$ is compact, in each of the situations (1.1), (1.2), (2.1), (2.2) and (3.2) (but definitely not in (3.1)).

Question. Suppose $f: M^{2k+1} \to N^{2k+1}$ is a map with compact point-inverses, strongly acyclic in dimensions less than $k$, and nonalternating in dimension $k$. Is $f$ proper?

4. Almost acyclic mappings. The following theorem differs from a result of Skljarenko's [11] only in its dependence on the integer $k$. For the statement, we take $G$ to be a finitely generated $R$-module and $A^q(f; G)$ to be the set of values $y$ for which $\tilde{H}^q(f^{-1}(y); G) \neq 0$. Following Skljarenko, if $A$ is a subset of the space
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Y, we define \( \text{rd} A \) to be
\[
\text{rd} A = \max \{ \dim C \mid C \text{ is closed in } Y \text{ and } C \subset A \}
\]
where \( \dim C \) means the covering dimension of \( C \).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a closed map between paracompact Hausdorff spaces. Suppose further that, for some integer \( k \geq 0 \), the following hold:

1. \( H^q(X; G) \) is finitely generated for \( q < k \);
2. \( H^q(Y; G) \) is finitely generated for \( q < k + 1 \); and
3. \( \text{rd} A^q(f; G) \leq 0 \) for \( q \leq k \).

Then \( A^q(f; G) \) is finite for \( q \leq k \) and \( \tilde{H}^q(f^{-1}(y); G) \) is finitely generated for \( q \leq k \) and \( y \in Y \).

The proof is based on that of Skljarenko and uses the Leray spectral sequence of \( f \). We outline the major steps.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( \{ E^{**}_{r} \} \) be a convergent first quadrant spectral sequence. If \( E^{p,q}_2 = 0 \) whenever \( p > 0 \) and \( 0 < q \leq k \), then there exists an exact sequence
\[
E^1_{2,0} \to \cdots \to E^p_{2,0} \to H^p \to E^0_{2,1} \to E^{p+1,0}_2 \to \cdots \to E^{k+2,0}_{2,0},
\]
the maps \( E^p_{2,0} \to H^p \to E^0_{2,1} \) being edge homomorphisms and \( E^0_{2,1} \to E^{p+1,0}_2 \) being the map \( d^{0,p}_{2,p+1} \) of [6].

**Proof.** Simply apply three propositions from Chapter XV of [6]: (5.7), (5.9), and (5.10).

Now suppose that \( f : X \to Y \) is a closed, surjective map between paracompact Hausdorff spaces and assume that \( \text{rd} A^q(f; G) \leq 0 \), \( q \leq k \). Following Skljarenko [11], we define
\[
\mathcal{O}_q^q = R^q/G, \quad 1 \leq q \leq k, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}^0 = R^0/G/G.
\]
(Here, \( R^q f \) is the \( q \)th right derived functor of the direct image functor. See [5].)

Finally, let \( \Gamma^q = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_q^q), \) \( 0 \leq q \leq k \), i.e., \( \Gamma^q \) is the module of sections of the sheaf \( \mathcal{O}_q^q \) over \( Y \).

**Lemma 4.3.** Under the above assumptions, there exists an exact sequence
\[
0 \to \tilde{H}^q(Y; G) \to \cdots \to \tilde{H}^q(Y; G) \to \tilde{H}^q(X; G) \to \Gamma^q \to \tilde{H}^{q+1}(Y; G) \to \cdots \to \tilde{H}^{k+2}(X; G).
\]

**Proof.** The proof is the same as Skljarenko's. His arguments show that \( \{ E_r^{**} \} \) satisfies the hypothesis of (4.2), where \( \{ E_r^{**} \} \) is the Leray spectral sequence of \( f \). This fact yields most of the required sequence, since \( E^1_{2,0} = \tilde{H}^P(Y; G), H^P = \tilde{H}^P(X; G) \), and \( E^0_{2,1} = \tilde{H}^0(Y; R^P/G) = \Gamma^P \). The first few terms
are constructed in the present situation just as they are in [11].

**Remark.** For \( q \leq k \) the module \( \Gamma^q \) is finitely generated if and only if \( A^q(f; G) \) is finite and each \( H(f^{-1}(y); G) \) is finitely generated, \( y \in Y \). (See [11].) Hence (4.1) follows from (4.3).

The introduction of "relative dimension" is an empty generalization in the case of mappings between manifolds, as we now show that \( rd \) and \( dim \) agree on \( A^i(f; G) \). (This was suggested by D. R. McMillan, Jr., who pointed out that the same is true for \( A^i(f; G) \).)

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose \( f: X \rightarrow Y \) is a proper map between metric spaces, with \( X \) a locally compact ANR. Then \( A^i(f; G) \) is a countable union of closed subsets of \( Y \), and hence \( rd A^i(f; G) = dim A^i(f; G) \).

**Proof.** If \( \mathcal{U} \) is an open cover of \( Y \), define \( B(\mathcal{U}) \) to be the set \( \{ x \in X \mid f(x) \in U \in \mathcal{U} \} \) if \( f(x) \in U \in \mathcal{U} \) then \( H^i(f^{-1}(U); G) \rightarrow H^i(f^{-1}(x); G) \) is not zero. We claim that \( B(\mathcal{U}) \) is a closed subset of \( X \). To see this, suppose \( x \) is a limit point \( B(\mathcal{U}) \), and suppose \( f(x) \in U \in \mathcal{U} \). Let \( V_1, V_2, \ldots \) be open sets in \( Y \) such that \( V_{n+1} \subset V_n \subset U \) for all \( n \) and \( f(x) = \bigcap_n V_n \). Choose points \( y_n \in V_n \cap f(B(\mathcal{U})) \) for each \( n \). Considering the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^i(f^{-1}(V_n)) & \rightarrow & H^i(f^{-1}(U)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(y_n)) & \rightarrow & \tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(x))
\end{array}
\]

one sees easily that \( \tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(V_n)) \) is not zero for each \( n \), since \( f^{-1}(y_n) \subset B(\mathcal{U}) \). If we choose \( \{ V_n \} \) with the additional property that image \( \{ H^i(f^{-1}(V_n)) \rightarrow H^i(f^{-1}(V_{n+1})) \} \) is finitely generated for each \( n \), then it follows that the map

\[
\tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \lim_n \tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(V_n)) \cong \tilde{H}^i(f^{-1}(x))
\]

is nonzero. That \( \{ V_n \} \) may be so chosen follows from an argument similar to the one for (2.1) of [9]. Therefore \( x \in B(\mathcal{U}) \).

Taking an appropriate sequence of open covers of \( Y \) shows that \( f^{-1}(A^i(f; G)) \) (and, hence, \( A^i(f; G) \)) is a countable union of closed sets.

The second part of the conclusion follows from the "Sum Theorem" for dimension.

5. Almost acyclic mappings between manifolds. In this section we let \( K \) be a field. We conjecture that \( K \) could be replaced by \( R \) in (5.1).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( f: M^m \rightarrow N^n \) be a map between closed, \( K \)-orientable
manifolds, \( k < n \). Suppose \( \dim A^q(f; K) \leq 0 \) for \( q < k \). Then \( A^q(f; K) \) is finite whenever \( q < k \) or \( q \geq m - k \). Therefore, if \( 2k > m \), \( A(f; X) \) is finite.

Proof. By \((4.1)\), \( A^q(f) \) is finite for \( q < k \). (We suppress \( K \) from notation in the proof.) Let

\[
V = N - \bigcup_{q<k} A^q(f), \quad U = f^{-1}(V).
\]

Applying Theorem 1.3 of [9], we see that \( A^q(f|U) = \emptyset \) for \( q < k \) and \( q > m - k \) (and, hence, \( A^q(f) \) is finite in these ranges). Also, Theorem 2.3 of [9] implies that \( A^{m-k}(f|U) \) is a locally finite subset of \( U \).

We wish to show that \( A^{m-k}(f|U) \) is actually finite. Suppose \( Y \) is any finite subset of \( A^{m-k}(f|U) \), and let \( X = f^{-1}(Y) \). By Theorem 1.1 of [9], the inclusion-induced map \( H^{m-k}(f|U) \to H^{m-k}(X) \) is epic. Let \( \hat{U} \) be the one-point compactification of \( U \). We have the following diagram, each map being induced by inclusion.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{m-k}(f|U) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \tilde{H}^{m-k}(X) \\
\beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\
H^{m-k}(\hat{U}) & \longrightarrow & H^{m-k}(U)
\end{array}
\]

The map \( \alpha \) is epic, as noted above, and \( \beta \) is an isomorphism (assuming \( m - k \neq 0 \)). Therefore, \( \alpha\beta \) is epic, and hence \( \gamma \) is epic. Let \( d \) be the dimension of \( H^{m-k}(U) \). (We show in the next paragraph that \( d \) is finite.) Since

\[
\tilde{H}^{m-k}(X) = \bigoplus_{y \in Y} \tilde{H}^{m-k}(f^{-1}(y)),
\]

the cardinality of \( Y \) is no greater than \( d \); therefore, \( A^{m-k}(f|U) \) is finite. It follows that

\[
A^{m-k}(f) \subset \left[ A^{m-k}(f|U) \cup \bigcup_{q<k} A^q(f) \right],
\]

a finite set.

It remains to show that \( H^{m-k}(U) \) is finitely generated. For this, it suffices to show that \( H^{m-k}_c(U) \) is finitely generated. A portion of the homology sequence of \((M, U)\) looks like

\[
H_{m-k+1}(M, U) \rightarrow H_{m-k}(U) \rightarrow H_{m-k}(M)
\]

where

\[
H_{m-k+1}(M, U) \cong \tilde{H}^{k-1} \left( f^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{q<k} A^q(f) \right) \right) = \bigoplus_{y \in A^{k-1}(f)} \tilde{H}^{k-1}(f^{-1}(y)).
\]
Since $A^{k-1}(f)$ is finite and $\tilde{A}^{k-1}(f^{-1}(y))$ is finitely generated (by (4.1)) for each $y \in N$, the result follows.

The following is an odd-dimensional analogue of the last statement in (5.1).

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose that $f: M^{2k+1} \to N^{2k+1}$ is a map between closed, $K$-orientable manifolds. Suppose further that $\dim A^q(f; K) < 0$ for $q < k$ and that $\dim \{y \mid \chi(f^{-1}(y), K) \neq 1\} \leq 0$. Then $A(f; K)$ is finite.

**Proof.** By (5.1) the sets $A^q(f)$ are finite whenever $q \neq k$. We have the inclusion

$$A^k(f) \subset \bigcup \{y \mid \chi(f^{-1}(y)) \neq 1\} \cup \bigcup_{q \neq k} A^q(f),$$

so $\dim A^k(f) \leq 0$. The result follows from (4.1).

Applying Wright's results (Theorem 1 of [17]) again we obtain what may be the ultimate generalization of his theorem, at least for maps between closed 3-manifolds.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let $f: M \to N$ be a map between closed 3-manifolds. If there exists a zero-dimensional set $Z \subset N$ such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is connected and $\chi(f^{-1}(y), Z) > 1$ for each $y \in N \setminus Z$, then $f^{-1}(y)$ is a finite set. Consequently $M$ is the connected sum of $N$ and some closed 3-manifold.

The proof of (5.3) uses Remark 1 of §2 as applied to the proof of (5.2). Note, incidentally, that there are monotone maps $b: S^3 \to S^3$ with $\chi(b^{-1}(y)) \geq 1$ for each $y$ and $C_y$ an arc in $S^3$.

**Question.** If $f: M^3 \to N^3$ is a monotone map with $\chi(f^{-1}(y)) \geq 0$ for each $y$, must $C_y$ be finite?

6. PL mappings and the Euler characteristic. In the preceding sections local Euler characteristic assumptions were used several times, and a natural question seems to appear: If $f: X \to Y$ is a map between compact ANR's and $\chi(f^{-1}(y)) = c$ for all $y \in Y$, where $c$ is constant, what can be said about $\chi(X)$ as related to $\chi(Y)$? There is an easy answer when everything is PL, and we sketch this answer here.

**Theorem 6.1.** Suppose that $f: X \to Y$ is a PL map between (finite) polyhedra and that $Y_0$ is a subpolyhedron of $Y$. If there are integers $c, c_0$ such that $\chi(f^{-1}(y)) = c$ for $y \in Y \setminus Y_0$ and $\chi(f^{-1}(y)) = c_0$ for $y \in Y_0$, then

$$\chi(X) = c\chi(Y) + (c_0 - c)\chi(Y_0).$$

Here, $\chi(X)$ denotes the usual Euler characteristic $\chi(X; \text{rational numbers})$. 
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The proof of (6.1) requires the following calculation, in which \# S denotes the cardinality of the set S and \( \hat{\Delta} \) denotes the barycenter of \( \Delta \).

**Lemma 6.2.** If \( f: K \rightarrow \Delta^n \) is a simplicial map of the finite complex \( K \) onto an \( n \)-simplex then

\[
\sum \sum (-1)^i i! \# \sigma^i \in K \mid f(\sigma^i) = \Delta^n \} = (-1)^n \chi(f^{-1}(\Delta^n)).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( H^j = \{ \sigma^{n+j} \in K \mid f(\sigma^{n+j}) = \Delta^n \} \) and \( H = \bigcup_j H^j \). Now \( f^{-1}(\Delta^n) \) has a natural triangulation as a subcomplex of a first derived subdivision of \( K \), but we want instead a cell structure determined by \( X \) as follows. Associate with each \( \sigma \in H^j \) the set \( \Gamma(\sigma) = f^{-1}(\Delta^n) \cap \sigma \). Notice that \( \Gamma(\sigma^{n+j}) \) is a \( j \)-dimensional cell and in fact \( \Gamma = \{ \Gamma(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in H \} \) is a cell complex whose underlying space is \( f^{-1}(\Delta^n) \). The Euler characteristic of \( f^{-1}(\Delta^n) \) can be computed using this cell structure, and we obtain

\[
\chi(f^{-1}(\Delta^n)) = \sum \sum (-1)^j j! \# y_j \in \Gamma \} = \sum \sum (-1)^j j! \# H^j = (-1)^n \sum (-1)^{n+j} \# H^j,
\]

which completes the proof.

**Proof of (6.1).** First assume that \( (K, K_0) \) and \( (L, L_0) \) are triangulations of \( (X, f^{-1}(Y_0)) \) and \( (Y, Y_0) \), respectively, such that \( f \) and \( f| f^{-1}(Y_0) \) are simplicial.

Assume as a special case that \( Y_0 = \emptyset \). Let \( \sigma^n \) be a top-dimensional simplex of \( L, L_1 = L \setminus \{ \sigma^n \} \), and \( K_1 = f^{-1}(L_1) \). We have \( \chi(L) = \chi(L_1) + (-1)^n \) and, by (6.2) \( \chi(K) = \chi(K_1) + (-1)^n c \). By induction, we may assume that \( \chi(K_1) = c \chi(L_1) \), so \( \chi(K) = c \chi(L_1) + (-1)^n c = c \chi(L) \).

Now we prove the theorem assuming \( Y_0 \neq \emptyset \) by induction on the number of simplices of \( L \setminus L_0 \). The case \( L \setminus L_0 = \emptyset \) follows from the special case above, so we proceed to the inductive step. Let \( r^n \) be a top-dimensional simplex of \( L \setminus L_0, L_1 = L \setminus \{ r^n \} \), and \( K_1 = f^{-1}(L_1) \). Using (6.2) and the inductive hypothesis we have

\[
\chi(K) = \chi(K_1) + (-1)^n c = c \chi(L_1) + (c_0 - c) \chi(L_0) + (-1)^n c
\]

\[
= c \chi(L) - (-1)^n + (c_0 - c) \chi(L_0) + (-1)^n c
\]

\[
= c \chi(L) + (c_0 - c) \chi(L_0).
\]

**Remarks.** Other similar results follow from the same kind of argument. For example, one can replace \( \chi(\cdot; \text{rationals}) \) by \( \left[ \chi(\cdot; \text{rationals}) \right]_q \) throughout, where \( \left[ \cdot \right]_q \) denotes equivalence class modulo \( q \) and the formula is interpreted in \( \mathbb{Z}_q \). As another example, one can show the following: If \( f: M^n \rightarrow N^n \) is a PL map between closed, orientable PL manifolds such that \( \chi(f^{-1}(y)) = c \) for all \( y \in N^n \),
then \( \deg f = \pm c \). For a more sophisticated \( \text{mod } 2 \) version of this last statement, see [15].

Added in proof (March 10, 1974). The question at the end of §5 has been answered affirmatively by T. Knoblauch.
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