REPRESENTATIONS OF THE $l^1$-ALGEBRA OF AN INVERSE SEMIGROUP$^{(1)}$

BY

BRUCE A. BARNES

ABSTRACT. In this paper the star representations on Hilbert space of the $l^1$-algebra of an inverse semigroup are studied. It is shown that the set of all irreducible star representations form a separating family for the $l^1$-algebra.

Then specific examples of star representations are constructed, and some theory of star representations is developed for the $l^1$-algebra of a number of the most important examples of inverse semigroups.

Introduction. Let $S$ be a semigroup (as defined in [2, p.1]). If $a, b \in S$, we write $ab$ for the semigroup product of $a$ with $b$. Let $l^1(S)$ be the set of all complex-valued functions $f$ on $S$ such that

$$
\|f\|_1 = \sum_{a \in S} |f(a)| < \infty.
$$

If $f, g \in l^1(S)$, then the convolution product $f * g$ is given by the definition

$$(f * g)(c) = \sum_{a, b \text{ with } ab = c} f(a)g(b), \quad c \in S.
$$

With convolution multiplication and norm $\| \cdot \|_1$, $l^1(S)$ is a Banach algebra. If $a \in S$, we identify $a$ with the function which takes the value 1 at $a$ and is 0 everywhere else. In this way $S$ is embedded in $l^1(S)$. Having made this identification, when $f \in l^1(S)$ we have

$$
f = \sum_{a \in S} f(a)a.
$$

A map $a \rightarrow a^*$ of $S$ into $S$ is called an involution on $S$ if

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^* \quad \text{all } a, b \in S, \text{ and}
$$

$$(a^*)^* = a \quad \text{all } a \in S.
$$

If $S$ has an involution $^*$, then $l^1(S)$ has an involution $^*$ defined by the rule

$$
f^* = \sum_{a \in S} f(a)^*a^*, \quad f \in l^1(S),
$$

---
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where here the complex conjugate of a complex number $\lambda$ is denoted $\lambda^*$. In the familiar case when $S$ is a group, the natural involution $*$ on $S$ is $g^* = g^{-1}$, $g \in S$. The algebra $l^1(S)$ is the usual convolution group algebra of $S$.

For a general semigroup $S$ the algebra $l^1(S)$ was first studied by E. Hewitt and H. Zuckerman [10]–[12] and by W. D. Munn [16]. In their fundamental paper [12], Hewitt and Zuckerman consider $l^1(S)$ for $S$ an abelian semigroup. In this case $l^1(S)$ is a commutative Banach algebra, and the main problem is to find conditions on $S$ that insure the existence of a separating family of multiplicative linear functionals on $l^1(S)$. Hewitt and Zuckerman completely solve this problem, proving in [12, Theorems 3.5 and 5.8] that there exists a separating family of multiplicative linear functionals on $l^1(S)$ if and only if the collection of semicharacters of $S$ separates the points of $S$ if and only if $S$ has the property that whenever $x^2 = y^2 = xy$, $x, y \in S$, then $x = y$. In terms of representation theory, the set of nonzero multiplicative linear functionals on $l^1(S)$ (or equivalently the set of semicharacters of $S$) is in a natural one-to-one correspondence with the set of one dimensional (irreducible) representations of $l^1(S)$. Thus, when $S$ is abelian, $S$ has the property that whenever $x^2 = y^2 = xy$, $x, y \in S$, then $x = y$ if and only if the set of irreducible representations of $l^1(S)$ form a separating family, i.e. $l^1(S)$ is Jacobson semisimple.

For a general semigroup $S$ there is an extensive theory concerning the representations of $S$ by finite matrices over a field due to A. H. Clifford, W. D. Munn, G. B. Preston, Hewitt and Zuckerman, and others; see [2, Chapter 5]. At least for certain types of finite (nonabelian) semigroups $S$, the irreducible representations of $l^1(S)$ can be determined, and it can be shown that there exists a separating family of irreducible representations of $l^1(S)$ [13], [2, Chapter 5]. Of course, when $S$ is a group it is a standard fact that $l^1(S)$ has a separating family of irreducible representations (in fact the set of irreducible star representations of $l^1(S)$ on Hilbert space form a separating family).

However, if the semigroup $S$ is neither abelian, nor finite, nor a group, little is known about the properties of the Banach algebra $l^1(S)$, about the infinite dimensional representations of $l^1(S)$ as bounded operators on a Banach space, about the set of irreducible representations of $l^1(S)$, or whether $l^1(S)$ is Jacobson semisimple. In a recent paper [1], B. Barnes and J. Duncan made some progress on these questions when $S$ is the free semigroup with a finite or countably infinite number of generators (and also, in some cases where the generators satisfied reasonable relations). The semigroups considered in [1] all have natural involutions. Barnes and Duncan determine irreducible star representations of the star algebra $l^1(S)$ on Hilbert space, and prove that these form a separating family for $l^1(S)$. In particular, $l^1(S)$ is Jacobson semisimple in this case.

In this paper we consider the representation theory of $S$, or what is equi-
valent, the representation theory of $l^1(S)$ where $S$ is an inverse semigroup. In this case, if $a \in S$, then by definition there exists a unique element $b \in S$ such that the following two equalities hold $aba = a$, $bab = b$; see [2, p. 27]. When $a$ and $b$ satisfy these equalities we write $a^* = b$ (in the usual notation $a^{-1} = b$). Then $a \rightarrow a^*$ is an involution on $S$, and lifting this involution on $S$ to an involution $*$ on $l^1(S)$, we have that $l^1(S)$ is a Banach star algebra. A star representation of $S$ on a Hilbert space $H$ is a semigroup homomorphism $\pi: S \rightarrow B(H)$ with the property that $\pi(a^*) = \pi(a)^*$ for $a \in S$. Here $B(H)$ denotes the set of all bounded operators on $H$. Since $aa^*a = a$, we have that $a^*a$ is an idempotent in $S$. Then $\pi(a^*a) = \pi(a)^*\pi(a)$ is a self-adjoint idempotent in $B(H)$. This means that $\pi(a)$ is a partial isometry on $H$ for all $a \in A$ [8, pp. 62–63]. Thus a star representation of $S$ is a representation of $S$ as a semigroup of partial isometries on some Hilbert space. Groups are special examples of inverse semigroups, and this notion of star representation is a natural extension of the idea of representing groups as groups of unitary operators on a Hilbert space. Every star representation $\pi$ of $S$ lifts to a star representation $\pi$ of $l^1(S)$ by letting

$$\pi(f) = \sum_{a \in S} f(a)\pi(a), \quad f \in l^1(S).$$

In the other direction, the restriction of a star representation of $l^1(S)$ to $S$ is a representation of $S$ by a semigroup of partial isometries on the representation space.

The first result we prove is that when $S$ is an inverse semigroup then the set of the irreducible star representations of $l^1(S)$ is a separating family (§2). Most of the rest of the paper is devoted to the determination of irreducible star representations of $l^1(S)$ for some of the most interesting examples of inverse semigroups: certain semigroups of partial transformations on a set, completely 0-simple semigroups, and the bicyclic semigroup; see §1, Examples (1.1)–(1.4).

In §3 a general theory is developed concerning star representations of $l^1(S)$ determined by idempotents $e$ in $S$ with $eSe$ a finite set. These results are applied in §4 to the symmetric inverse semigroup on a set $X$ (see Example 1.1). More examples of irreducible star representations of the $l^1$-algebra of this semigroup are given in §5. In §6 a detailed theory of representations of completely 0-simple inverse semigroups (Example 1.3) is presented. The last section, §7, is concerned with the representations of the $l^1$-algebra of the bicyclic semigroup (Example 1.4).

The class of inverse semigroups contains many different types of examples. We necessarily deal with only a few types here, but even for these few we have found the representation theory to be rich, varied, and very interesting.

Part of the research for this paper was done at Stirling University, Stirling, Scotland. The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Science Re-
search Council of Great Britain, and the hospitality of Stirling University during his stay in Great Britain. The author also thanks Professor John Duncan of Stirling University who suggested this area of study.

1. Inverse semigroups: basic facts, examples, notation. In this section we make a brief review of some of the basic facts and terminology concerning inverse semigroups. Usually we use the same terminology and notation as [2] and [3], but there are some differences. Also, we give a very brief discussion of the examples of inverse semigroups that will most concern us here. In the last part of this section we establish some general notation.

A semigroup $S$ is an inverse semigroup if for any $a \in S$, there exists a unique element $a^* \in S$ such that

$$aa^*a = a \quad \text{and} \quad a^*aa^* = a^*.$$ 

Then the map $a \mapsto a^*$ is an involution on $S$. Throughout the remainder of this paper $S$ will always denote an inverse semigroup. The idempotents of $S$ play a crucial role in the algebraic theory of $S$, and in some parts of the representation theory of $I^1(S)$. Let $E_S$ denote the set of all idempotents of $S$. It is immediate that $a^*a \in E_S$ for all $a \in S$. Thus, $E_S$ is never empty. An important fact that we use repeatedly is that $ef = fe$ whenever $e, f \in E_S$ [2, Theorem 1.17].

There are several useful relations on the set $E_S$. First we define an equivalence relation on $E_S$ as follows:

**Definition.** If $e, f \in E_S$, then $e \sim f$ if there exists an element $a \in S$ such that $e = a^*a$ and $f = aa^*$.

We check the transitivity of the relation $\sim$. Assume that $e, f, g \in E_S$, $e \sim f$, and $f \sim g$. Then by definition there exist $a, c \in S$ such that $e = a^*a, f = aa^*, f = c^*c, \text{and } g = cc^*$. Then $e = a^*aa^* = a^*fa = (ca)^*ca$, and $g = cc^*cc^* = cfc^* = (ca)(ca)^*$. Thus, $e \sim g$. It is not difficult to show that $e \sim f$ if and only if $eDf$ (see [2, pp. 47–48] and [3, p. 102]), but we make no use of this fact.

A second relation on $E_S$ is the usual partial ordering of idempotents. If $e, f \in E_S$, then $e \leq f$ if $ef = fe = e$ [2, pp. 23–24]. The relations $\geq, \leq, >, <$, are defined as usual in terms of $\leq$.

An element $\theta \in S$ is called the zero element of $S$ if $a\theta = \theta a = \theta$ for all $a \in S$. We reserve the notation $\theta$ for the zero of $S$ whenever $S$ has a zero.

Let $I$ be an ideal of $S$. We denote the Rees quotient semigroup of $S$ modulo $I$ as $S/I$ [2, p. 17]. The elements of $S/I$ are the elements $\{a\}, a \in S \setminus I$ (set difference), and the element $I$. The semigroup $S$ acts on $S/I$ in a natural way: if $a \in S$, then

$$a \{b\} = \{ab\} \quad \text{if } ab \notin I,$$
These equations define how $a$ acts on the left on $S/I$. The action of $a$ on the right on $S/I$ is defined in a similar manner.

The concept of primitive idempotent plays a role of central importance in the algebraic theory of inverse semigroups. An idempotent $e \in E_S$, $e \neq \theta$, is primitive if whenever $f \in E_S$ and $f \leq e$, then $f = e$, or in the case $S$ has a zero, either $f = e$ or $f = \theta$. We use a slightly more general concept in §3 to relate the representation theory of $I^1(S)$ to the representation theory of the $I^1$-algebra of certain groups. We say an idempotent $e$ is primitive modulo an ideal $I$ of $S$ if $\{e\}$ is a primitive idempotent of $S/I$. When $e$ is primitive modulo $I$, then it is not difficult to verify that the set $\{eSe\}$ in $S/I$ is a group with zero [2, p. 5]. We let

$$G_e = \{\{eae\} : \{eae\} \in S/I, eae \notin I\}.$$ 

When $S$ has a zero, then we naturally identify $S/\{\theta\}$ with $S$. In this case primitive idempotents modulo $\{\theta\}$ are identified with primitive idempotents of $S$.

In the case when $S$ has a zero we make certain technical changes in the terminology used in the introduction. First, $I^1(S)$ denotes the set of complex-valued functions $f$ on $S$ such that $\Sigma_{a \in S} |f(a)| < \infty$ and $f(\theta) = 0$. Second, if $\pi$ is a representation of $S$, we always assume that $\pi(\theta) = 0$.

Now we turn to a brief description of the examples with which we are chiefly concerned in this paper.

**Example 1.1.** $I_X$, the symmetric inverse semigroup on a set $X$. Let $X$ be a nonempty set. The elements of $I_X$ are the one-to-one maps $b$ defined on a domain $D_b$ in $X$ with values in $X$. The set of values of $b$ we denote by $R_b$. We also assume that the empty map $\theta$ is in $I_X$. By convention $\theta b = b \theta = \theta$ for all $b \in I_X$. If $b, c \in I_X$, $b \neq \theta$, $c \neq \theta$, define $D_{bc} = \{x \in X : x \in D_c$ and $c(x) \in D_b\}$. If $D_{bc}$ is empty, then let $bc = \theta$. Otherwise, $bc$ is the usual composition of the maps $b$ and $c$ defined on $D_{bc}$. With this multiplication $I_X$ is a semigroup. If $b \in I_X$, $b \neq \theta$, let $b^*$ be the map with domain $R_b$ defined by $b^*(x) = y$ if and only if $b(y) = x$. It is not difficult to verify that $b^*$ is the unique element in $I_X$ satisfying the equations $bb^*b = b$ and $b^*bb^* = b^*$ [2, p. 29]. Thus $I_X$ is an inverse semigroup. The idempotent maps in $I_X$ are the maps $e$ such that $e(x) = x$ for all $x \in D_e$.

The inverse semigroup $I_X$ is universal in the sense that if $S$ is any inverse semigroup, then $S$ is isomorphic with an inverse subsemigroup of $I_S$ [2, Theorem 1.20].

**Example 12.** $F_X$, the semigroup of finite one-to-one maps on $X$. Let $X$
be a nonempty set. We denote by $F_X$ the inverse subsemigroup of $I_X$ consisting of those maps $b \in I_X$ such that $R_b$ is finite and the empty map $\emptyset$. In §§4 and 5 we shall mainly deal with representations of $I(S)$ where $S$ is some inverse subsemigroup of $I_X$ with $F_X \subset S \subset I_X$ or where $S \subset F_X$.

$F_X$ is an ideal in $I_X$. For each $k > 0$, let $F_k$ be the set of all maps $b \in I_X$ such that $R_b$ contains at most $k$ elements, and the empty map $\emptyset$. Also let $F_0 = \{\emptyset\}$. Each of the sets $F_k, k > 0$, are inverse subsemigroups of $I_X$, and also ideals of $I_X$.

If $e$ is any idempotent map in $F_{k+1}$, it is not difficult to verify that $e$ is primitive modulo $F_k$, and that $G_e$ is the symmetric group on $k + 1$ elements.

**Example 13.** Completely 0-simple inverse semigroups. A semigroup $S$ with zero is completely 0-simple if the only ideals of $S$ are $\{\emptyset\}$ and $S$, and $S$ contains a primitive idempotent $e$ [2, §2.7]. It is easy to show that $F_{k+1}/F_k$ is completely 0-simple for $k > 0$ [3, p. 223].

We give an abstract example which is in fact typical of the genre. Let $S$ be an inverse semigroup with zero. Assume that $J$ is a subset of $E_S$ with the properties

(i) $\emptyset \in J$, $e \in J$ for some $e \neq \emptyset$,
(ii) if $e, f \in J$, $e \neq f$, then $ef = \emptyset$, and
(iii) if $e, f \in J$, $e \neq \emptyset$, $f \neq \emptyset$, then $e \sim f$.

Then let

$$S_J = \{a \in S: a^*a \in J \text{ and } aa^* \in J\}.$$ 

The nonzero idempotents in $S_J$ are obviously primitive. Let $I$ be an ideal of $S_J$ such that $a \in I$, $a \neq \emptyset$. Let $b$ be any element of $S_J$. There exists $c \in S_J$ such that $b^*b = c^*c$ and $cc^* = a^*a$. Then

$$b = bb^*bb^*b = bc^*cc^*c = bc^*a^*ac \in I.$$ 

This proves that $\{\emptyset\}$ and $S_J$ are the only ideals of $S_J$.

**Example 1.4.** $C$, the bicyclic semigroup [2, pp. 43–44]. Let $C$ be the semigroup consisting of an identity 1 and all the words in two letters $p$ and $q$ subject to the single relation $qp = 1$. Specifically, $C = \{p^mq^n, m \geq 0, n \geq 0\}$. The product of $p^mq^n$ and $p^qk$ is the word $p^mqnp^qk$ simplified by the relation $qp = 1$. It is easy to verify that $p^* = q$, and more generally, $(p^mq^n)^* = p^qk^m$.

$C$ is the most important specific example in the class of bisimple inverse semigroups. It is also the member of this class which has the simplest structure.

Some miscellaneous notation: The scalar field involved is always the field of complex numbers, $\mathbb{C}$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\lambda^*$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\lambda$.

Since we deal only with star representations of $I(S)$ on Hilbert space, we take “representation” to mean automatically “star representation”. Let $\pi$ be a
representation of a star algebra on a Hilbert space $K$. We often use the pair $(\pi, K)$ to designate the star homomorphism $\pi$ together with the representation space $K$. If $J$ is a $\pi$-invariant subspace of $K$, then $\pi|J$ denotes the restriction of the representation $\pi$ to the subspace $J$. A representation is a subrepresentation of $(\pi, K)$ if it is of the form $(\pi|J, J)$ where $J$ is some $\pi$-invariant subspace of $K$. If two representations $(\pi_1, K_1)$ and $(\pi_2, K_2)$ are unitarily equivalent, we use the notation $\pi_1 \approx \pi_2$.

If $H$ is a Hilbert space, we use the notation $(\varphi, \psi)$ for the inner product of $\varphi$ and $\psi \in H$ unless a different notation is explicitly introduced. A pre-inner product on a vector space is a form which satisfies all of the axioms of an inner-product except that it may be degenerate.

If $X$ is a set, then $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of $X$. If $T$ and $S$ are subsets of $X$, then $T \setminus S = \{ x \in T : x \notin S \}$. If $X$ has a topology and $T$ is a subset of $X$, then $\text{cl}(T)$ is the closure of $T$ in $X$.

2. The existence of a separating family of irreducible representations for $l^1(S)$. In order to prove that a Banach star algebra $A$ has a separating family of irreducible representations on Hilbert space, it suffices to prove that $A$ has a faithful representation on some Hilbert space [17, Theorem (4.6.7)]. We show that $l^1(S)$ has a faithful representation via the construction of the left regular representation of $l^1(S)$ on $l^2(S)$ which we now define.

The space $l^2(S)$ is the usual Hilbert space of all complex-valued functions $f$ defined on $S$ such that $\sum_{a \in S} |f(a)|^2 < \infty$, and with the additional convention that $f(\theta) = 0$ if $S$ has a zero. We let $\{ \varphi(a) : a \in S, a \neq \theta \}$ denote the standard orthonormal basis for $l^2(S)$.

If $a, b \in S, b \neq \theta$, define

$$\pi(a)\varphi(b) = \begin{cases} \varphi(ab) & \text{if } a^*ab = b, \\ 0 & \text{if } a^*ab \neq b. \end{cases}$$

If $f \in l^1(S), f = \sum \lambda_k a_k$, and $g \in l^2(S), g = \sum \mu_j \varphi(b_j)$, we define

$$\pi(f)g = \sum_{k,j} \lambda_k \mu_j \pi(a_k)\varphi(b_j).$$

**Proposition 2.1.** The map $f \mapsto \pi(f)$ for $f \in l^1(S)$ is a representation of $l^1(S)$ on $l^2(S)$.

**Proof.** Assume that $a, b, c \in S$. First we verify that

$$\{a^*abc = bc \text{ and } b^*bc = c \} \iff \{b^*a^*abc = c\}.$$

If the left-hand side of (1) holds, then $b^*(a^*abc) = b^*bc = c$. Conversely, if $b^*a^*abc = c$, then
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This establishes (1).

Now suppose that \( a, b, c \in S, c \neq \theta \). Then from the definition of \( \pi \) we have \( \pi(a)\pi(b)\varphi(c) = \varphi(abc) \iff \) the left-hand side of (1) holds \( \iff \) the right-hand side of (1) holds \( \iff \pi(ab)\varphi(c) = \varphi(abc) \). Thus, \( \pi(ab)\varphi(c) = \pi(a)\pi(b)\varphi(c) \), so that \( \pi \) defines a homomorphism of \( l^1(S) \) into the algebra of bounded linear operators on \( l^2(S) \).

Next we show that when \( a, b, c \in S \), then

\[
(2) \quad \{a^*ab = b, \text{ and } ab = c \iff \{aa^*c = c, \text{ and } a^*c = b.
\]

Suppose the left-hand side of (2) holds. Then \( a^*ab = a^*c \) and \( b = a^*ab = a^*c \). Also, \( aa^*c = ab = c \). Therefore the right-hand side of (2) holds. The reverse argument is the same.

Now to prove that \( \pi \) is a representation it suffices to check that if \( a, b, c \in S \setminus \{\theta\} \), then

\[
(\pi(a)\varphi(b), \varphi(c)) = (\varphi(b), \pi(a^*)\varphi(c))
\]

where \((\cdot, \cdot)\) is the inner product on \( l^2(S) \). Thus, it is enough to show that 

\[
(\pi(a)\varphi(b), \varphi(c)) = 1 \iff (\varphi(b), \pi(a^*)\varphi(c)) = 1.
\]

We have

\[
(\pi(a)\varphi(b), \varphi(c)) = 1 \iff \pi(a)\varphi(b) = \varphi(c) \iff a^*ab = b \text{ and } ab = c \\
\quad \iff (\text{by (2)}) aa^*c = c \text{ and } a^*c = b \iff \pi(a^*)\varphi(c) = \varphi(b) \\
\quad \iff (\varphi(b), \pi(a^*)\varphi(c)) = 1.
\]

This completes the proof.

Let \( X \) be a nonempty set. Until further notice we assume that \( S = I_X \) [Example 1.1]. Let \( \pi \) be the left regular representation of \( l^1(S) \) on \( l^2(S) \) as described above. Our immediate aim is to show that in this case \( \pi \) is faithful. Suppose on the contrary that there exists \( f \in l^1(S) \) such that \( f \neq 0 \) but \( \pi(f) = 0 \). We write \( f = \Sigma \lambda_k a_k \) where \( \lambda_k \neq 0 \) for all \( k \), and \( a_k \neq a_j \) if \( k \neq j \). If \( f(a) \neq 0 \), let

\[
W(a) = \{ b \in S; f(b) \neq 0, b^*b \geq a^*a, \text{ and } ba^*a = a \}.
\]

Note that \( a \in W(a) \). Also let

\[
V = \{ b \in S; f(b) \neq 0 \text{ and } b^*b \geq a^*a \}.
\]
Then we have

\[ 0 = \pi(f)\varphi(a^*a) = \sum_{b \in V} f(b)\varphi(ba^*a) \]

\[ = \left( \sum_{b \in W(a)} f(b) \right) \varphi(a) + \sum_{b \in V \setminus W(a)} f(b)\varphi(ba^*a). \]

It follows from this equality that

(3) \[ \sum_{b \in W(a)} f(b) = 0. \]

If \( e \) and \( f \) are two idempotent maps in \( S \), let \( e \lor f \) be the idempotent map with domain the union of the domains of \( e \) and \( f \). Set \( W_1 = W(a_1) \). Note that if \( b \in W(a) \) and \( b \neq a \), then \( W(b) \subset W(a) \) and \( a \notin W(b) \). Let \( b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \) be any collection of elements in \( W_1 \) with \( b_k \neq a_1, 1 \leq k \leq m \). Next we show that

(4) \[ \sum_{b \in W(a)} f(b) = 0. \]

To prove (4), let \( e_k = b_k^*b_k \) for all \( k \), and let \( h = e_1 \lor e_2 \lor \cdots \lor e_m \). Set \( Z = \bigcap_{k=1}^m W(b_k) \). Suppose that \( f(a) \neq 0, b \in Z, a^*a \succ h, \) and \( ah = bh \). Then \( a^*a \succ e_k \) and \( ae_k = be_k = b_k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq m \). Therefore \( a \in Z \).

Then

\[ 0 = \pi(f)\varphi(h) = \sum \{ f(a)\varphi(ah) : a^*a \succ h \} \]

\[ = \sum f(b)\varphi(bh) + \sum \{ f(a)\varphi(ah) : a^*a \succeq h, a \notin Z \}. \]

It follows that \( \sum_{b \in Z} f(b)\varphi(bh) = 0 \) which implies (4).

Again, let \( b_1, \ldots, b_m \) be a collection of elements in \( W_1 \) such that \( b_k \neq a_1, 1 \leq k \leq m \). Next we prove that

(5) \[ \sum_{b \in \bigcup_{k=1}^m W(b_k)} f(b) = 0. \]

The idea of the proof of (5) is to show that \( \bigcup_{k=1}^m W(b_k) \) can be written as a disjoint union of sets \( A \) each of which has the property that \( \sum_{b \in A} f(b) = 0 \). We proceed to define the sets \( A \) involved. Let \( M = \{ 1, 2, \ldots, m \} \). In the context of this proof \( K \) and \( J \) will always denote subsets of \( M \) (including possibly the empty set \( \varnothing \)). Also, \( |K| \) will denote the number of elements in \( K \). For each \( J \), let \( B_J = \bigcap_{k \in M \setminus J} W(b_k) \). By (4) we have \( \sum_{b \in B_J} W(b_k) = 0 \) for any \( J \). When \( J = \varnothing \), let \( A_J = B_J = \bigcap_{k=1}^m W(b_k) \). Then \( \sum_{b \in A_J} f(b) = 0 \). Now for each set \( J \) with \( |J| = 1 \), let \( A_J = B_J \setminus A_{\varnothing} \). Note that the collection \( \{ A_J : |J| = 1 \} \) is disjoint and that for each \( J, |J| \leq 1 \), we have \( \sum_{b \in A_J} f(b) = 0 \) (the elementary principle we use here, and continue to use in the course of the proof, is that if \( B \) and \( C \) are subsets of \( S \) such that \( \sum_{b \in B} f(b) = 0 \) and \( \sum_{b \in C \cap B} f(b) = 0 \), and
if \( A = B \setminus C \), then \( \Sigma_{b \in A} f(b) = 0 \). For each \( J \) with \( |J| = 2 \), let \( A_J = B_J \setminus \bigcup_{|K| < 2} A_K \). Again the collection \( \{ A_J : |J| \leq 2 \} \) is disjoint, and for \( |J| \leq 2 \), \( \Sigma_{b \in A_J} f(b) = 0 \). The proof continues in this fashion. We outline the \( n \)th step where \( n < m \). For each \( J \) with \( |J| = n \), let \( A_J = B_J \setminus \bigcup_{|K| < n} A_K \). Then \( \{ A_J : |J| < n \} \) is a disjoint collection and for each \( J, |J| < n \), \( \Sigma_{b \in A_J} f(b) = 0 \).

It remains to note that

\[
\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} W(b_k) = \bigcup_{|K| < m} A_K.
\]

This completes the proof of (5).

Now we are in a position to prove that \( \pi \) is faithful in the case \( S = I_X \).

**Proposition 2.2.** When \( S = I_X \), then the left regular representation \( \pi \) is faithful.

**Proof.** We assume the results and notation above. Now \( \lambda_1 \neq 0 \). Therefore by (3)

\[
\sum \{ f(b) : b \in W_1, b \neq a_1 \} = -\lambda_1 \neq 0.
\]

Choose a collection of distinct elements \( b_1, \ldots, b_m \) in \( W_1 \setminus \{ a_1 \} \) such that

\[
\sum \{ |f(b)| : b \in W_1 \setminus \{ b_1, \ldots, b_m, a_1 \} \} < |\lambda_1|.
\]

Let \( U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} W(b_k) \). Then

\[
|\lambda_1| = |\sum \{ f(b) : b \in W_1 \setminus \{ a_1 \} \}| \\
\leq |\sum \{ f(b) : b \in U \}| + \sum \{ |f(b)| : b \in W_1 \setminus (U \cup \{ a_1 \}) \}.
\]

By (5) we have \( \Sigma \{ f(b) : b \in U \} = 0 \), so that the inequality above contradicts (6).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( S \) be an inverse semigroup. Then there exists a faithful representation of \( I^1(S) \) on a Hilbert space. In particular, the set of irreducible representations of \( I^1(S) \) on Hilbert space is a separating family.

**Proof.** By [2, Theorem 1.20] \( S \) can be embedded as an inverse subsemigroup of \( S' = I_S \). It follows that there exists a star monomorphism \( \gamma \) of \( I^1(S) \) into \( I^2(S') \). Let \( \pi \) be the left regular representation of \( I^1(S') \) on \( I^2(S') \). By Proposition 2.2 \( \pi \) is faithful. Then \( \pi \circ \gamma \) is a faithful representation of \( I^1(S) \) on \( I^2(S') \). It follows immediately from [17, Theorem (4.6.7)] that the irreducible representations of \( I^1(S) \) form a separating family.

By Theorem 2.3 and [17, Theorem (4.1.19)] we have the next result.

**Corollary 2.4.** If \( S \) is an inverse semigroup, then \( I^1(S) \) is Jacobson semisimple.
Corollary 2.5. Let $S$ be an inverse semigroup, and let $I$ be an ideal of $S$. Then $l^1(S/I)$ is star isomorphic to $l^1(S)/l^1(I)$, and thus $l^1(S)/l^1(I)$ is Jacobson semisimple.

Proof. By definition $S/I = \{\{a\}, I: a \in S\}$. Since $S$ is an inverse semigroup, then $S/I$ is an inverse semigroup. Define $\varphi: l^1(S) \rightarrow l^1(S/I)$ by

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{k \in I} \lambda_k a_k\right) = \sum_{a_k \in I} \lambda_k \{a_k\}.$$

Then $\varphi$ is a star homomorphism of $l^1(S)$ onto $l^1(S/I)$, and the kernel of $\varphi$ is exactly $l^1(I)$. This proves that $l^1(S/I)$ is star isomorphic to $l^1(S)/l^1(I)$. It follows from Corollary 2.4 that $l^1(S)/l^1(I)$ is Jacobson semisimple.

Remark. Let $\pi$ be the left regular representation of $l^1(S)$ on $l^2(S)$. For the special case where $S = I_X$, we proved that $\pi$ was faithful [Proposition 2.2]. It would be interesting to know whether $\pi$ is always faithful. The proof of Proposition 2.2 establishes that $\pi$ is faithful when $S$ is an inverse semigroup with the following property:

If $e, f \in E_S$, then there is an element $g (= e \vee f)$ in $E_S$ such that $g \geq e$, $g \geq f$, and whenever $h \in E_S$ with $h \geq e$, $h \geq f$, then $h \geq g$.

3. Representations of $l^1(S)$ determined by finite idempotents of $S$. In this section we consider representations of $l^1(S)$ which are determined by the idempotents in $S$ which have the property that $eSe$ is a finite set. We call idempotents of $S$ with this property finite idempotents. The results of this section apply to inverse subsemigroups $S$ of $I_X$, since in this case, every idempotent in $S$ which is also in $F_X$ is finite.

Assume that $e \in E_S$ is finite. An important fact concerning $e$ is that $e$ is primitive modulo some ideal of $S$. We prove this below. Let

$$K_e = \{f \in E_S: \exists g \in E_S \text{ with } f \sim g < e\}.$$

Then define

$$I_e = \{a \in S: a^*a \in K_e\}.$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $e \in E_S$ be finite, and let $I_e$ be as above. Then $I_e$ is an ideal of $S$, and $e$ is primitive modulo $I_e$.

Proof. Assume that $a \in I_e$ and $b \in S$. There exists $g \in E_S$ such that $a^*a \sim g < e$. Then there exists $c \in S$ such that $a^*a = c^*c$ and $g = cc^*$. We have

$$b^*a^*ab = b^*c^*cb \sim cbb^*c^* \leq g < e.$$

Thus $b^*a^*ab \in K_e$, so that $ab \in I_e$. Therefore $I_e$ is a right ideal of $S$. But also if $a \in I_e$, then $aa^* \sim a^*a \in K_e$, so that $a^* \in I_e$. It follows that $I_e$ is an ideal of $S$. 
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Now suppose that \( f \in E_S \) and \( f < e \). Then \( f \in I_e \). This proves that \( e \) is primitive modulo \( I_e \).

Let \( e \in E_S \) be finite. As we proved in the previous proposition, \( e \) is primitive modulo \( I_e \). In this case the group \( G_e \) (= \( \{ ee \in S : eae \in S \setminus L \} \)) is a finite group. Later in this section we use the representation theory of the finite dimensional group algebra \( l^1(G_e) \) to give information concerning the representations of \( l^1(S) \). A crucial role in this presentation is played by the representation theory of Banach star algebras with minimal left ideals. We now very briefly review parts of this theory, and then proceed to apply it to the case at hand.

Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra with proper involution \( * \) (i.e. if \( f \in A \) and \( f^* f = 0 \), then \( f = 0 \)). If \( L \) is a minimal left ideal of \( A \), then there exists a unique selfadjoint (abbreviated in the future as s.a.) idempotent \( h \in A \) such that \( L = Ah \) [17, Lemma (4.10.1)]. Furthermore, \( h \) is a minimal idempotent of \( A \) [17, p. 45] which means in this case that \( hAh = \{ \lambda h : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \} \). Following [17, p. 261], we define a conjugate linear form \( \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle \) on \( Ah \times Ah \) by the rule

\[
\langle fh, gh \rangle_h = hg^* fh, \quad f, g \in A.
\]

If \( \langle fh, fh \rangle = 0 \) for some \( f \in A \), then \( h^* fh = 0 \), so that \( fh = 0 \). Thus \( \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle \) is nondegenerate. Also, this form is positive definite. Then as in [17, p. 261] we define for \( f \in A \) an operator \( \pi_h(f) \) acting on \( Ah \) by

\[
\pi_h(f)gh = fgh, \quad g \in A.
\]

The map \( f \mapsto \pi_h(f) \) is a star representation on the inner product space \( (Ah, \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle) \). This representation extends to a representation of \( A \) on the completion \( H_h \) of the inner product space [17, Theorem (4.10.3)]. We denote this extended representation again by \( \pi_h \). The representation \( (\pi_h, H_h) \) is irreducible. This can be verified as follows. Let \( K \) be a closed \( \pi_h \)-invariant subspace of \( H_h \). As usual, we consider \( Ah \) to be a dense subspace of \( H_h \). If \( bh \in Ah \), then \( \pi_h(h)bh = \lambda h \) for some scalar \( \lambda \). Since \( Ah \) is dense in \( H_h \), for every \( x \in H_h \) there exists a scalar \( \lambda \) such that \( \pi_h(h)x = \lambda h \). It follows that either \( \pi_h(h)K = \{ 0 \} \) or \( h \in K \), and either \( \pi_h(h)K^\perp = \{ 0 \} \) or \( h \in K^\perp \). But \( \pi_h(h) \) is not the zero operator, so either \( h \in K \) or \( h \in K^\perp \). In the former case \( Ah \subset K \), so that \( K = H_h \), while in the latter case, \( Ah \subset K^\perp \), so that \( K = \{ 0 \} \).

Now let \( A \) be, as before, a Banach algebra with proper involution \( * \). Let \( J \) be a closed star ideal of \( A \) such that the natural involution on \( A/J \) is also proper. Denote by \( Q_J \) the natural quotient map \( Q_J : A \rightarrow A/J \). If \( f \) is a s.a. minimal idempotent of \( A/J \), then \( f \) determines the representation \( \pi_f \) of \( A/J \) on \( H_f \). Then the map \( a \rightarrow \pi_f(Q_J(a)), a \in A \), extends \( \pi_f \) to an irreducible representation of \( A \) on \( H_f \). We denote this extension by \( \pi_f \circ Q_J \).
Theorem 3.2. Let $A$ and $J$ be as in the discussion above. Let $(\pi, H)$ be a representation of $A$ with $J \subset \ker(\pi)$. Let $h$ be a s.a. minimal idempotent of $A/J$ and choose $h'$ s.a. such that $Q_J(h') = h$.

If $x_0 \in H$ and $K = \langle \pi(Ah')x_0 \rangle \neq \{0\}$, then $(\pi \upharpoonright K) \approx \pi_h \circ Q_J$.

Proof. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the inner product on $H$. Let $y_0 = \pi(h')x_0$. We may assume that $(y_0, y_0) = 1$. If $a, b \in A$ and $Q_J(a \cdot b)h = 0$, then $(a \cdot b)h' \in J$, so that $\pi(ah') = \pi(bh')$. Define $U : (A/J)h \to K$ by $U(Q_J(a)h) = \pi(ah')y_0$. By the previous argument we have that $U$ is well defined. Also $U$ maps onto a dense subspace of $K$.

Let $a \in A$,

$$Q_J(h'a*ah') - (Q_J(a)h, Q_J(a)h)h'$$

$$= h(Q_J(a)*Q_J(a))h - (Q_J(a)h, Q_J(a)h)h = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\pi(h'a*ah') = (Q_J(a)h, Q_J(a)h)\pi(h').$$

Note also that $\pi(h')y_0 = y_0$. If $a \in A$, then

$$(U(Q_J(a)h), U(Q_J(a)h)) = (\pi(ah')y_0, \pi(ah')y_0) = (\pi(h'a*ah')y_0, y_0)$$

$$= (Q_J(a)h, Q_J(a)h)(\pi(h')y_0, y_0) = (Q_J(a)h, Q_J(a)h).$$

Thus $U$ extends to a unitary transformation of $H_h$ onto $K$. If $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\pi(b)U(Q_J(a)h) = \pi(bah')y_0 = UQ_J(b)Q_J(a)h$$

$$= U(\pi_h \circ Q_J)(b)(Q_J(a)h).$$

Therefore, $(\pi \upharpoonright K) \approx \pi_h \circ Q_J$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $A, J,$ and $h$ be as above. Let $(\pi, H)$ be an irreducible representation of $A$. Then $\pi \approx \pi_h \circ Q_J$ if and only if $\ker(\pi) = \ker(\pi_h \circ Q_J)$.

Proof. Assume that $\ker(\pi) = \ker(\pi_h \circ Q_J)$. Choose $h'$ s.a. in $A$ such that $Q_J(h') = h$. Since $(\pi_h \circ Q_J)(h') = \pi_h(h) \neq 0$, we have $\pi(h') \neq 0$. The result now follows from Theorem 3.2.

For the remainder of this section we assume that $e$ is a finite idempotent of $S$ and that $I$ is an ideal of $S$ such that $e$ is primitive modulo $I$. As mentioned in §1, $S$ acts on $S/I$ in a natural way. Thus, if $a, b \in S$ and $c \in S/I$, then the product $acb$ makes sense as an element of $S/I$.

Let $A = l^1(S)$ and $J = l^1(I)$. Then $J$ is a closed star ideal of $A$ and $A/J$ is isomorphic to $l^1(S/I)$ [Corollary 2.5]. By Theorem 2.3 both $A$ and $A/J$ have a faithful representation on Hilbert space. In particular both $A$ and $A/J$ have prop-
er involution. As before, we use the notation $Q_J$ for the natural quotient map of $A$ onto $A/J$.

We use the notation $B$ for the algebra $l^1(G_e) = l^1(e(S/I)e) = e(A/J)e$. $B$ is finite dimensional and Jacobson semisimple. This means that all of the Wedderburn theory for such algebras is available to us; see [5, pp. 163–190]. In particular, $B$ contains minimal left ideals each of which is of the form $Bh$, $h$ a s.a. minimal idempotent of $B$; $B$ is the direct sum of its minimal ideals; and every irreducible representation of $B$ is equivalent to the left regular representation of $B$ on some minimal left ideal. We use these facts and other results from the Wedderburn theory freely in what follows.

Let $h$ be a s.a. minimal idempotent of $B$. Since $he = eh = h$, we have

$$h(A/J)h = h(e(A/J)e)h = \{\lambda h : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Thus, $h$ is a s.a. minimal idempotent of $A/J$. Then we can construct the irreducible star representation $(\pi_h \circ Q_J, H_h)$ as indicated previously. The algebra $B$ is the direct sum of minimal ideals $M_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, where $M_k M_j = \{0\}$ if $k \neq j$. Then there are exactly $n$ inequivalent irreducible representations of $B$. These representations can be determined by minimal idempotents of $B$ (which are then also minimal idempotents of $A/J$). Two minimal idempotents determine equivalent representations if and only if they belong to the same minimal ideal of $B$. If $h$ and $f$ are s.a. minimal idempotents of $B$ contained in different minimal ideals of $B$, then $hBf = \{0\}$. By definition $B = e(A/J)e$. Thus, $h(A/J)f = h(e(A/J)e)f = hBf = \{0\}$. It follows that $h \in \ker(\pi_f)$, and similarly, $f \in \ker(\pi_h)$. Therefore $\pi_f \circ Q_J$ and $\pi_h \circ Q_J$ must be inequivalent representations of $A$. We summarize the previous discussion in the next result.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $e$ and $J$ be as above. If $\{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$ is a collection of s.a. minimal idempotents of $l^1(G_e)$ which determines a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of $l^1(G_e)$, then $\{\pi_{h_k} \circ Q_J, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ is a collection of inequivalent irreducible representations of $l^1(S)$.

A s.a. minimal idempotent $h$ of $l^1(G_e)$ determines the irreducible representation $\pi_h \circ Q_J$ of $l^1(S)$. The problem of finding the minimal idempotents (or equivalently, the irreducible representations) of a group algebra such as $l^1(G_e)$ can be a very difficult problem. However, the irreducible representations of $l^1(S)$ determined by s.a. minimal idempotents of $l^1(G_e)$ are each contained in a representation of $l^1(S)$ which is very simply described, the left regular representation of $l^1(S)$ on $l^2((S/I)e)$. We define this representation next.

The space $l^2((S/I)e)$ is the usual Hilbert space of complex-valued functions $f$ on the set $(S/I)e$ such that $\Sigma \{|f(a)|^2 : a \in (S/I)e\} < \infty$ with the additional convention that $f(1) = 0$. We denote the standard orthonormal basis of this
Hilbert space by \{\varphi(a): a \in (S/I)e, a \neq I\}. If \( b \in S \) and \( a \in (S/I)e, a \neq I \), then define

\[
\pi(b)\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(ba) & \text{if } b^*ba = a, \\
0 & \text{if } b^*ba \neq a.
\end{cases}
\]

Then if \( f \in l^1(S), f = \sum \lambda_kb_k, \) and \( \psi = \sum \phi(a_j) \in l^2((S/I)e) \), let

\[
\pi(f)\psi = \sum_{k,j} \lambda_k\mu_j \pi(b_k) \phi(a_j).
\]

Just as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have that \( f \rightarrow \pi(f) \) is a representation of \( l^1(S) \) on \( l^2((S/I)e) \). For convenience of notation we let \( H = l^2((S/I)e) \).

**Proposition 3.5.** If \( h \) is a s.a. minimal idempotent of \( l^1(G_e) \), then \( \pi_h \circ Q_f \) is equivalent to some subrepresentation of \( \pi \).

**Proof.** There exist distinct elements \( a_k \in S, 1 \leq k \leq n \), and nonzero scalars \( \lambda_k \) such that \( Q_f(h') = h \) where \( h' = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k a_k \) and \( a_k^*a_k = e \) for all \( k \). Then \( \pi(a_k)\varphi(e) = \varphi(a_k) \), and \( \varphi(a_k) \neq \varphi(a_j) \) if \( k \neq j \). Thus,

\[
\pi(h')\varphi(e) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \pi(a_k)\varphi(e) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(a_k) \neq 0.
\]

Then the result follows from Theorem 3.2.

**Proposition 3.6.** The representation \((\pi, H)\) is a finite orthogonal direct sum of representations of the form \((\pi_f \circ Q_f, H_f)\) where \( f \) is chosen from the set of s.a. minimal idempotents of \( l^1(G_e) \).

**Proof.** Let \( M_k, 1 \leq k \leq n \), be the set of all the distinct minimal ideals of \( B = l^1(G_e) \). Each of the algebras \( M_k \) has an identity \( u_k \), and we have \( e = u_1 + \cdots + u_n \) and \( u_ku_j = 0 \) if \( k \neq j \). For each \( k \) choose \( u_k' \in A, u_k' \) s.a., such that \( Q_f(u_k') = u_k \). For each \( i \), let

\[
K_i = \text{cl}\{\pi(u_k')\varphi(e): g \in A\}.
\]

Suppose \( i \neq j \). Then \( u_j(A/I)u_j = \{0\} \), so if \( f, g \in A, (\pi(fu_j)\varphi(e), \pi(gu_j)\varphi(e)) = 0 \). Thus, \( K_i \perp K_j \). Furthermore, since \( \varphi(e) \) is a cyclic vector for \( \pi \), \( H \) is the orthogonal sum of the \( \pi \)-invariant subspaces \( K_i \).

Now fix \( i \). Choose \( h \) a s.a. minimal idempotent of \( B \) with \( h \in M_i \). Choose \( h' \) s.a. in \( A \) such that \( Q_f(h') = h \). \( \pi(h') \) is a s.a. projection, and as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, \( \pi(h') \neq 0 \). Note that \( \pi(h')K_j = \{0\} \) if \( i \neq j \). Thus the range of \( \pi(h') \) is contained in \( K_i \). Let \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \) be an orthonormal basis for the range of \( \pi(h') \). For each \( j, 1 \leq j \leq m \), let

\[
L_j = \text{cl}\{\pi(A)x_j\}.
\]

If \( j \neq k \), then \( x_j \perp x_k \), and
\[(\pi(f)x_j, \pi(g)x_j) = (\pi(fh')x_j, \pi(gh')x_j) = (\pi(h'g*fh')x_j, x_k)\]

\[= \lambda(x_j, x_k) \text{ for some scalar } \lambda,\]

\[= 0.\]

Set \(L = L_1 + \cdots + L_m\). We show that \(L\) is dense in \(K_t\), and it will follow that \(K_t = L\). Since \(u_t \in M_t = BbB\), we can choose \(f, g \in A\) such that \(u_t = fh'g\) modulo \(J\). Then if \(k \in A\), we have

\[\pi(ktu_t)\varphi(e) = \pi(ktf)\pi(h'g)\varphi(e).\]

Now \(\pi(h'g)\varphi(e) \in \text{span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}\), so that \(\pi(ktu_t)\varphi(e) \in L_1 + \cdots + L_m = L\). Therefore \(K_t\) is the orthogonal sum of \(L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_m\). By Theorem 3.2, each of the representations \((\pi|L_i)\) is equivalent to \(\pi_n \circ Q_f\). This proves the result.

**Proposition 3.7.** If \(K\) is a closed \(\pi\)-invariant subspace of \(H\) and \((\pi|K)\) is irreducible, then there exists a s.a. minimal idempotent \(h\) of \(l^1(G_a)\) such that \((\pi|K) \approx \pi_n \circ Q_f\).

**Proof.** Assume that \(x \in K, x \neq 0\). As shown in Proposition 3.6, \(H\) is the orthogonal direct sum of \(\pi\)-invariant subspaces \(J_i, 1 \leq i \leq p\), with the property that every representation \((\pi|J_i)\) is equivalent to some representation of the form \(\pi_h \circ Q_f\) a s.a. minimal idempotent of \(B\). Let \(x = x_1 + \cdots + x_p\) where \(x_k \in J_k, 1 \leq k \leq p\). Suppose \(x_i \neq 0\), and let \(h\) be a s.a. minimal idempotent of \(B\) such that \((\pi|J_i) \approx \pi_n \circ Q_f\). Choose \(h'\) s.a. in \(A\) such that \(Q_f(h') = h\). Then \(\pi(h'x_i) \neq \{0\}\), so that \(\pi(h'x) \neq \{0\}\). Since \(\pi(A)x \subset K\), we have \(\pi(h')K \neq \{0\}\). Then the result follows from Theorem 3.2.

Now assume that \(f\) is also in \(E_S\) and that \(f\) is primitive modulo \(I\). Let \(\gamma\) be the left regular representation of \(l^1(S)\) on \(K = l^2(S/\mathbb{Z})\).

**Proposition 3.8.** If \(e \sim f\), then \((\pi, H)\) is equivalent to \((\gamma, K)\). In particular every irreducible subrepresentation of \((\gamma, K)\) is equivalent to some irreducible subrepresentation of \((\pi, H)\).

**Proof.** We denote the elements of \(S/\mathbb{I}\) as \(\{a\}\), \(a \in S \setminus I\), and \(I\). There exists \(b \in S\) such that \(e = b^*b\) and \(f = bb^*\). If \(ae \in S \setminus I\), then \(ab^*f \in S \setminus I\), and we have \(\{a\}eb^* = \{ab^*bb^*\} = \{ab^*\}f\). Therefore \((S/\mathbb{I})eb^* \subset (S/\mathbb{I})f\).

Define \(U: H \rightarrow K\) as follows. If \(\psi = \sum_k \psi(a_k e),\) let \(U\psi = \sum_k \psi(a_k e)\). Note that if \(a_k e b^*, a_k e b^* \in S \setminus I\) and \(a_k e b^* = a_k e b^*\), then \(a_k e, a_k e \in S \setminus I\) and \(a_k e = a_k e\). This implies that \(U\) is an isometry. Since \(U\) maps \(H\) onto \(K\), \(U\) is unitary. Let \(\psi\) be as above and assume \(a \in S\). Let \(M = \{k: a^*aa_k e = a_k e\}\). Note that \(a^*aa_k e = a_k e\) if and only if \(a^*aa_k e b^* = a_k e b^*\). Then
\[\gamma(a)U\psi = \sum_{k \in M} \lambda_k \varphi(aa_k e^* e) = U \left( \sum_{k \in M} \lambda_k \varphi(aa_k e) \right) = U\pi(a)\psi.\]

Therefore \(\gamma \approx \pi\).

Define \(F = \{a \in S: a^*a \text{ is finite}\}\). It is easy to verify that \(F\) is an ideal of \(S\). In fact \(F\) is the smallest ideal of \(S\) that contains every finite idempotent in \(E_S\).

**Proposition 3.9.** Assume that \((\gamma, K)\) is a representation of \(l^1(S)\) such that \(l^1(F) \not\subset \ker(\gamma)\). Then there exists \(e \in E_S\), \(e\) finite, and a s.a. minimal idempotent of \(l^1(S)\), where \(J = l^1(I_e)\), such that \(\pi_h \circ \gamma_j\) is equivalent to some subrepresentation of \(\gamma\).

**Proof.** Since \(l^1(F) \not\subset \ker(\gamma)\), there exists \(e \in E_S\), \(e\) finite, such that \(\gamma(e) \neq 0\). Furthermore, we may assume that \(e\) is minimal with respect to the partial order \(\leq\) in the set \(\{f \in E_S: f\) is finite and \(\gamma(f) \neq 0\}\). With this assumption we now verify that \(\gamma(a) = 0\) for all \(a \in I_e\). For suppose \(a \in I_e\). Then by definition \(a^*a \sim g \leq e\) for some \(g \in E_S\). There exists \(c \in S\) such that \(a^*a = c^*c\) and \(g = ce^*\). By the choice of \(e\) we have \(\gamma(g) = 0\), so that \(\gamma(c)\gamma(c^*) = 0\). It follows that \(\gamma(c) = 0\), and thus, \(\gamma(a) = 0\). Therefore \(\gamma = 0\) on \(I_e\).

Let \(J = l^1(I_e)\). The algebra \(l^1(G_e)\) is a finite sum of minimal left ideals. Since \(\gamma(e) \neq 0\), there must exist a minimal left ideal \(L\) of \(l^1(G_e)\) such that \(Q_L^{-1}(L) \not\subset \ker(\gamma)\). The left ideal \(L\) is generated by a s.a. minimal idempotent \(h\) of \(l^1(G_e)\). Then \(h\) is also a s.a. minimal idempotent of \(l^1(S)\). Choose a s.a. element \(h' \in l^1(S)\) such that \(Q_J^{-1}(h') = h\). Then \(Q_J^{-1}(L) \subset Ah' + J\). If \(\gamma(h') = 0\), then since \(\gamma = 0\) on \(J\), we have \(Ah' + J \subset \ker(\gamma)\). This is impossible by the choice of \(L\). Thus \(\gamma(h') \neq 0\), and the result follows from Theorem 3.2.

Now we prove a structure theorem for representations.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \((\pi, H)\) be a representation of \(l^1(S)\). Then there exist \(\pi\)-invariant subspaces of \(H\), \(H_1\) and \(H_2\), with \(H_2 = H_1\), such that

1. \(l^1(F) \subset \ker(\pi|H_2)\),
2. if \(K\) is a nonzero \(\pi\)-invariant subspace of \(H_1\), then \((\pi|K)\) contains an irreducible subrepresentation equivalent to a representation of the form \((\pi_h \circ \gamma_J, H_h)\), and
3. if \(H_1 \neq \{0\}\), then \((\pi|H_1)\) is the orthogonal direct sum of irreducible representations each of which is equivalent to some representation of the form \((\pi_h \circ \gamma_J, H_h)\).

**Proof.** First let

\[H_1 = \text{span}\{\pi(e)\varphi: e \in E_S, e \text{ finite}, \varphi \in H\},\]

and
\[ H_2 = \{ \psi \in H : \pi(e)\psi = 0 \text{ for all } e \in E_S, e \text{ finite} \}. \]

Then
\[
\psi \in H_1^1 \iff (\pi(e)\varphi, \psi) = 0, e \in E_S, e \text{ finite, } \varphi \in H
\]
\[
\iff (\varphi, \pi(e)\psi) = 0, e \in E_S, e \text{ finite, } \varphi \in H
\]
\[
\iff \psi \in H_2.
\]

Thus, \( H_2 = H_1^1 \). Suppose \( \pi(e)\varphi \in H_1 \) where \( e \in E_S, e \text{ finite, } \varphi \in H \). If \( a \in F \), then there exists a finite idempotent \( f \in S \) such that \( fae = ae \). Therefore
\[
\pi(a)(\pi(e)\varphi) = \pi(f)(\pi(ae)\varphi) \in H_1.
\]

It follows that \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 = H_1^1 \) are \( \pi \)-invariant.

This establishes the basic decomposition of \( \pi \) into an orthogonal direct sum of \( (\pi \mid H_1) \) and \( (\pi \mid H_2) \). Now we verify properties (1)–(3) of these two subrepresentations. By the definition of \( H_2 \) we have that \( (\pi \mid H_2)(e) = 0 \) for every finite idempotent \( e \). It follows that \( F \subseteq \ker(\pi \mid H_2) \), so that \( l^1(F) \subseteq \ker(\pi \mid H_2) \). This proves (1).

Let \( K \) be as in the statement of (2). If \( l^1(F) \subseteq \ker(\pi \mid K) \), then \( \pi(e)\psi = 0 \) whenever \( e \in E_S, e \text{ finite, and } \psi \in K \). Then if \( e \in E_S \) is finite, \( \psi \in K \), and \( \varphi \in H \), we have \( (\psi, \pi(e)\varphi) = (\pi(e)\psi, \varphi) = 0 \). This implies that \( K \subseteq H_1^1 \), so that \( K = \{0\} \), a contradiction. Thus, \( l^1(F) \not\subseteq \ker(\pi \mid K) \). Then (2) follows from Proposition 3.9.

To prove (3), assume that \( H_1 \neq \{0\} \). By (2) it follows that \( (\pi \mid H_1) \) has an irreducible subrepresentation equivalent to a representation of the form \( (\pi_h \circ Q_J, H_h) \). Then a Zorn’s Lemma argument shows that there exists a maximal (with respect to inclusion) \( \pi \)-invariant subspace \( M \subset H_1 \) such that \( (\pi \mid M) \) is an orthogonal direct sum of the sort described in (3). Let \( K = M \cap H_1 \). By the maximal property of \( J \), \( (\pi \mid K) \) contains no irreducible subrepresentation equivalent to a representation of the form \( (\pi_h \circ Q_J, H_h) \). Then by (2), \( K = \{0\} \).

Thus \( H_1 = M \) which proves (3).

4. Applications to the representation theory of \( I_X \). Let \( X \) be an infinite set. We adopt the notation of Examples 1.1 and 1.2. The aim of this section is to apply the results of §3 to the case where the semigroup \( S \) is \( I_X \).

First we consider some basic facts concerning \( I_X \), all of which are easily verified. The finite idempotents of \( I_X \) are exactly the idempotent maps in \( F_X \), and the ideal \( F = \{ a \in I_X : a^2a \text{ is finite} \} \) is the ideal \( F_X \). Let \( E \) be the set of idempotent maps in \( I_X \). If \( e, f \in E \), then \( e \sim f \) if and only if \( |D_e| = |D_f| \). If \( e \in E \) and \( e \) is finite, then the ideal \( I_e \) defined just prior to Proposition 3.1 is \( F_{n-1} \) where \( n = |D_e| \). Then \( e \) is primitive modulo \( F_{n-1} \) [Proposition 3.1], and
the group \( G_e \) is the symmetric group on \( n \) elements. Thus, for each positive integer \( n \), the group algebra of the symmetric group on \( n \) elements can be used to determine irreducible representations of \( l^1(I_X) \) as in §3. A technique is available for explicitly constructing minimal left ideals of the group algebra of the symmetric group; see [5, pp. 190–198]. Therefore specific examples of irreducible representations of the form \( (\pi_n \circ Q_j, H_n) \) can be constructed. What we do next is consider a collection of representations of \( l^1(I_X) \) of this form.

Fix a positive integer \( n \), and let \( e \) be any idempotent map in \( I_X \) with \( |D_e| = n \). Let

\[
h = \frac{1}{n!} \left( \sum_{g \in G_e} g \right).
\]

It is easy to check that \( h \) is a s.a. minimal idempotent of \( l^1(G_e) \), and hence of \( l^1(I_X) l^1(F_{n-1}) \). For convenience let \( A = l^1(I_X) \) and \( J = l^1(F_{n-1}) \). We have that \( (\pi_n \circ Q_j, H_n) \) is an irreducible representation of \( A \). In this case it is very easy to write down an elementary equivalent form of this representation. Let \( P_n \) be the collection of all subsets \( T \subseteq X \) with \( |T| = n \). Let \( \{ \varphi(T) : T \in P_n \} \) be the standard orthonormal basis of \( l^2(P_n) \). If \( b \in I_X \) and \( T \in P_n \), define

\[
\gamma_n(b) \varphi(T) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(b(T)) & \text{if } T \subseteq D_b, \\
0 & \text{if } T \not\subseteq D_b.
\end{cases}
\]

(here \( b(T) \) denotes the set of values the map \( b \) takes on \( T \)). If \( f = \sum \lambda_k b_k \in A \) and \( \psi = \sum \mu_j \varphi(T_j) \in l^2(P_n) \), then we extend \( \gamma_n \) by the usual rule

\[
\gamma_n(f) \psi = \sum_{k,j} \lambda_k \mu_j \gamma_n(b_k) \varphi(T_j).
\]

It is easy to verify that \( f \mapsto \gamma_n(f) \) is a representation of \( A \) on the Hilbert space \( l^2(P_n) \). Also, it is interesting to note that \( \gamma_n \not\approx \gamma_m \) if \( m \neq n \).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( h \) be as above. Then \( \gamma_n \approx \pi_n \circ Q_j \).

**Proof.** Let \( G \) denote the symmetric group of permutations on \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Write \( D_e = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \). For each \( \sigma \in G \), let \( a_{\sigma} \) be the map in \( I_X \) with domain \( D_e \) defined by

\[
a_{\sigma}(x_k) = x_{\sigma(k)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.
\]

Then \( h' = (1/n!) \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \in l^1(I_X) \) and \( Q_j(h') = h \). Note that \( \gamma_n(a_{\sigma}) \varphi(D_e) = \varphi(a_{\sigma}(D_e)) = \varphi(D_e) \) for all \( \sigma \in G \). Thus \( \gamma_n(h') \varphi(D_e) = \varphi(D_e) \). Let \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \) be scalars, and \( T_1, \ldots, T_m \) be in \( P_n \). Choose \( b_1, \ldots, b_m \in I_X \) such that \( b_k \) has domain \( D_e \) and range \( T_k, 1 \leq k \leq m \). Then

\[
\gamma_n(\lambda_1 b_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m b_m) h' \varphi(D_e) = \lambda_1 \varphi(T_1) + \cdots + \lambda_m \varphi(T_m).
\]
This proves that

\[ \ell^2(P_n) = \text{cl}\{\gamma_n(Ah',\phi(D_e))\}. \]

Then a direct application of Theorem 3.2 completes the proof that \( \gamma_n \approx \pi_n \circ Q_j \).

As we have just shown, the representation \((\pi_n \circ Q_j, H_n)\) for certain \( h \) has an elementary equivalent form in terms of a natural representation of \( l^1(I_X) \) on \( l^2 \) of a certain collection of subsets of \( X \). It may be true that all the irreducible representations \( \gamma \) of \( l^1(I_X) \) with \( l^1(F_X) \subset \ker(\gamma) \) have some equivalent form of this type where the subsets of \( X \) involved are allowed certain orderings. However, we have not been able to prove such a result in general.

Let \( e \) be a finite idempotent map in \( I_X \), and assume that \( n = |D_e| \). It was shown in §3 that the left regular representation of \( l^1(I_X) \) on \( l^2((I_X/F_{n-1})e) \) contains all the irreducible representations of \( l^1(I_X) \) determined by s.a. minimal idempotents of \( l^1(G_e) \). Now we construct an elementary equivalent form of this representation. This construction can be done for each positive integer \( n \). Let \( X_n \) be the set of all ordered \( n \)-tuples of distinct elements in \( X \), i.e.

\[ X_n = \{(y_1, \ldots, y_n) : y_k \in X, y_k \neq y_j \text{ if } k \neq j\}. \]

Form \( l^2(X_n) \) with the standard orthonormal basis of this space denoted by

\[ \{\varphi(y_1, \ldots, y_n) : (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in X_n\}. \]

If \( b \in I_X \) and \((y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in X_n\), let

\[ \pi_n(b)\varphi(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \begin{cases} \varphi(b(y_1), \ldots, b(y_n)) & \text{if } y_k \in D_b, 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if some } y_k \notin D_b. \end{cases} \]

Then \( \pi_n \) extends in the usual way to a representation of \( l^1(I_X) \) on \( l^2(X_n) \).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( e \) be any idempotent map in \( I_X \) with \( n = |D_e| \). Then

1. \((\pi_n, l^2(X_n))\) is equivalent to the left regular representation of \( l^1(I_X) \) on \( l^2((I_X/F_{n-1})e) \).
2. \((\pi_n, l^2(X_n))\) is a finite direct sum of the irreducible representations determined by s.a. minimal idempotents of \( l^1(G_e) \).
3. If \((\pi, K)\) is an irreducible representation of \( l^1(F_X) \subset \ker(\pi) \), then \((\pi, K)\) is equivalent to some subrepresentation of \((\pi_n, l^2(X_n))\) for some \( n \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \) be the elements of \( D_e \). The elements of \((I_X/F_{n-1})e \) are \( \{ae\} \) where \( ae \in I_X \setminus F_{n-1} \) and \( F_{n-1} \). For convenience let \( Q_n = (I_X/F_{n-1})e \). Denote by \( \{\varphi(ae) : ae \in I_X \setminus F_{n-1}\} \) the standard orthonormal basis for \( l^2(Q_n) \). If \( ae \in I_X \setminus F_{n-1} \), define

\[ U\varphi(ae) = \varphi(a(x_1), \ldots, a(x_n)). \]

Then \( U \) maps the basis of \( l^2(Q_n) \) onto the basis of \( l^2(X_n) \). Note that when \( ae \),
be \in I_X \setminus F_{n-1}, \text{ then } ae = be \text{ if and only if } (a(x_1), \ldots, a(x_n)) = (b(x_1), \ldots, b(x_n)). \text{ Thus the mapping } U \text{ is one-to-one on the basis of } l^2(Q_n). \text{ It follows that the extension of } U \text{ to all of } l^2(Q_n) \text{ onto } l^2(X_n) \text{ given by }
\begin{align*}
U(\sum \lambda_k \varphi(a_k e)) = \sum \lambda_k \varphi(a_k(x_1), \ldots, a_k(x_n))
\end{align*}
is a unitary operator. The fact that } U \text{ intertwines the left regular representation of } l^1(I_X) \text{ on } l^2(Q_n) \text{ with the representation } (\pi_n, l^2(X_n)) \text{ is easily verified. Therefore these two representations are equivalent. This proves (1). Then (2) follows immediately from Proposition 3.6, and (3) follows from Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 3.5.}

Now we turn to some results that concern the dimension of the representations of } l^1(I_X). \text{ If } (\pi, H) \text{ is a representation of a star algebra, then the dimension of } (\pi, H) \text{ is dim}(H) (= \text{ the cardinality of any orthonormal basis of } H). \text{ Let } (\pi_n, l^2(X_n)) \text{ be the representations constructed in the previous paragraph } n \geq 1.\n
**Proposition 4.3.** Let } K \text{ be a nonzero } \pi_n\text{-invariant subspace of } l^2(X_n). \text{ Then } \dim(K) = |X|.

**Proof.** Choose } \varphi \in K, \varphi \neq 0. \text{ Then } \varphi \text{ has the form } \varphi = \Sigma \lambda_k \varphi(T_k) \text{ where each scalar } \lambda_k \neq 0 \text{ and each } T_k \in X_n. \text{ Assume } T_1 = (y_1, \ldots, y_n), y_j \in X. \text{ Let } \Lambda \text{ be an index set with } |\Lambda| = |X|. \text{ Choose a collection of mutually disjoint subsets of } X, \{ W_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda \}, \text{ with the properties that each } W_\lambda \text{ contains exactly } n \text{ elements. For each } \lambda \in \Lambda, \text{ choose } a_\lambda \in I_X \text{ with domain } \{ y_1, \ldots, y_n \} \text{ and range } W_\lambda. \text{ Then } \pi_n(a_\lambda) \varphi \neq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda, \text{ and the collection } \{ \pi_n(a_\lambda) \varphi : \lambda \in \Lambda \} \text{ is a mutually orthogonal subset of } K. \text{ Thus, } \dim(K) \geq |\Lambda| = |X|. \text{ The reverse inequality is obvious since } \dim(l^2(X_n)) = |X|.

**Corollary 4.4.** If } (\pi, H) \text{ is irreducible representation of } l^1(I_X) \text{ and } l^1(F_X) \not\subset \ker(\pi), \text{ then } \dim(H) = |X|.

**Proof.** By Theorem 4.2(3) such a representation } (\pi, H) \text{ is equivalent to a subrepresentation of } (\pi_n, l^2(X_n)) \text{ for some } n. \text{ Then Proposition 4.3 implies the result.}

In two of the next results we assume that } X \text{ is countably infinite. It is very likely that these results generalize with no restriction on the cardinality of } X \text{ (except that } X \text{ be infinite), but the tools to prove the general case do not seem to be readily available in the literature.}

**Theorem 4.5.** Assume that } X \text{ is countably infinite. If } (\pi, H) \text{ is a nonzero representation of } l^1(I_X) \text{ with } l^1(F_X) \subset \ker(\pi), \text{ then } \dim(H) > |X|.

**Proof.** Let } E \text{ be the collection of idempotent maps in } I_X. \text{ Let } d = |X|. \text{ By hypothesis we have } e \in \ker(\pi) \text{ whenever } e \in E \text{ and } R_e \text{ is finite. Also, if } f \text{ and
$g$ are in $E$ and $|R_f| = |R_g| = d$, then $f \sim g$. Suppose that $f = c^*c$ and $g = cc^*$, $c \in I_X$. If $\pi(f) = 0$, then $\pi(c)^*\pi(c) = 0$, so that $\pi(c) = 0$. Therefore $\pi(g) = 0$. This proves that if any $f \in E$ with $|R_f| = d$ is in $\ker(\pi)$, then every $g \in E$ is in $\ker(\pi)$. Thus $\pi$ would be the zero representation, a contradiction.

We have that $e \in E$ is in $\ker(\pi)$ if and only if $R_e$ is finite. Now by [15, Lemma 2] there exists a subset $\Gamma$ of $E$ with the properties

(i) $e \in \Gamma \Rightarrow |R_e| = d$,
(ii) $e, f \in \Gamma, e \neq f \Rightarrow R_{ef}$ is finite,
(iii) $|\Gamma| > d$.

Thus by (i) $\pi(e) \neq 0$ for all $e \in \Gamma$, while by (ii), $\pi(ef) = 0$ whenever $e, f \in \Gamma, e \neq f$. Therefore $\{ \pi(e): e \in \Gamma \}$ is a mutually orthogonal set of nonzero projections on $H$. Then $\dim(H) > |\Gamma| > d$.

**Theorem 4.6.** The basic structure theorem for representations [Theorem 3.10] holds with $S = I_X$ and $F = F_X$.

**Corollary 4.7.** If $X$ is countably infinite and $(\pi, H)$ is a separable representation of $I^1(I_X)$ (i.e. $\dim(H) = |X|$), then $(\pi, H)$ is the orthogonal direct sum of irreducible representations each of which is equivalent to some representation of the form $(\pi_\mu \circ Q_f, H_n)$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.10, $\pi$ is the direct sum of two subrepresentations $(\pi_1 H_1)$ and $(\pi_2 H_2)$. Furthermore, $I^1(F_X) \subset \ker(\pi_2 H_2)$. Therefore by Theorem 4.5, $H_2 = \{0\}$. Then the result follows from Theorem 3.10(3).

5. Some Calkin-type irreducible representations. Let $X$ be an infinite set. We assume throughout this section that $S$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $I_X$ such that $S$ contains every idempotent map in $I_X$. The aim of this section is to construct a collection of irreducible representations of $I^1(S)$ each of which annihilates every finite idempotent of $S$.

First we represent $I^1(S)$ on $l^2(X)$. Let $B = \{ \varphi(x): x \in X \}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $l^2(X)$. If $a \in S$, define

$$\pi(a)\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(a(x)) & \text{if } x \in D_a, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin D_a. \end{cases}$$

Then $\pi$ extends in the usual fashion to a representation of $I^1(S)$ on $l^2(X)$. For convenience we use the notation $H = l^2(X)$. Let $A$ be the uniformly closed algebra of operators on $H$ generated by $\pi(I^1(S))$. Let $D$ be the algebra of all operators $T$ on $H$ such that every element of the basis $B$ is an eigenvector of $T$. Let $Y$ be a nonempty subset of $X$. If $e$ is the idempotent map in $S$ with $D_e = Y$, then $\pi(e)$ is the projection on the subspace of $H$ spanned by $\{ \varphi(y): y \in Y \}$. Then it is easy to verify that $D$ is the uniformly closed algebra of operators on $H$. ...
$H$ generated by \{\pi(e): e \in E_{\bar{S}}\}. Thus, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{A}$. If $T \in \mathcal{D}$, let $f_T(x) = (T \varphi(x), \varphi(x)), x \in X$. The map $T \mapsto f_T$ is an isometric isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ onto $l^\infty(X)$, the algebra of all bounded functions on $X$ with the sup norm. We identify $\mathcal{D}$ with $l^\infty(X)$ in what follows.

Now we proceed to construct a collection \{\pi_{\alpha}\} of irreducible representations of $\mathbb{A}$. Then \{\pi_{\alpha} \circ \pi\} is a collection of irreducible representations of $l^1(\mathcal{S})$. Denote by \{\varphi_x\} a set of vectors in $H$ indexed by the set of all $x \in X$. Let $W$ be the set of all such sets \{\varphi_x\} which have the property that given any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $g \in H$, then

\begin{equation}
\{x \in X: |(h_x, g)| \geq \epsilon\} \text{ is finite.}
\end{equation}

$W$ is a vector space with the obvious definitions of scalar multiplication and vector addition, e.g. \{\varphi_x\} + \{\varphi_x\} = \{\varphi_x + \varphi_x\}. In the particular case when $X$ is countably infinite, $W$ can be identified with the set of all sequences in $H$ which converge weakly to zero. If $T \in \mathbb{A}$, let $T$ act on $W$ by the definition $T\{\varphi_x\} = \{T\varphi_x\}$.

Fix a a pure state (equivalently, a multiplicative linear functional) on $l^\infty(X)$. Using $\alpha$ we define a pre-inner product on $W$ as follows: If \{\varphi_x\}, \{\varphi_x\} \in W, then the function $f(x) = (\varphi_x, \varphi_x), x \in X$, is in $l^\infty(X)$. Then define

$$\langle\{\varphi_x\}, \{\varphi_x\}\rangle = \alpha(f).$$

It is not difficult to verify that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a pre-inner product on $W$. Let $K'$ be the inner product space obtained by factoring $W$ by the linear subspace of all vectors \{\varphi_x\} \in W such that $\langle\{\varphi_x\}, \{\varphi_x\}\rangle = 0$. We denote the natural quotient projection of $W$ onto $K'$ by $Q$. Let $K$ be the Hilbert space completion of $K'$. We denote the inner product on $K$ by $\langle h, g \rangle, h, g \in K$. Let $T \in \mathbb{A}$. If \{\varphi_x\} \in W and $\langle\{\varphi_x\}, \{\varphi_x\}\rangle = 0$, then

$$0 \leq \langle\{T\varphi_x\}, \{T\varphi_x\}\rangle = \alpha(\langle T\varphi_x, T\varphi_x \rangle) \leq \alpha(T\|\varphi_x\|^2) = \|T\|^2\alpha(\|\varphi_x\|^2) = 0.$$

Thus if $k \in W$ and $Q(k) = 0$, then $Q(Tk) = 0$. This implies that the following definition makes sense. If \{\varphi_x\} \in W, let $\gamma(T)(Q(\varphi_x)) = Q(\{T\varphi_x\})$. Then $T \mapsto \gamma(T)$ is a representation of $\mathbb{A}$ on $K'$. This representation extends uniquely to a representation $\gamma$ of $\mathbb{A}$ on $K$. Note that the element $\{\varphi(x)\} \in W$. Denote this element by $\varphi$. Then $Q(\varphi)$ is a nonzero vector in $K$. Let $H_\alpha$ be the closed subspace of $K$ generated by $\{\gamma(T)Q(\varphi): T \in \mathbb{A}\}$. Finally, let $\pi_{\alpha}$ be the restriction of the representation $\gamma$ to $H_\alpha$. In what follows we derive some of the properties of the representations $(\pi_{\alpha} \circ \pi, H_\alpha)$. We start by establishing the irreducibility of these representations.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The representation $(\pi_{\alpha}, H_\alpha)$ is an irreducible representation*
of $\mathcal{A}$, and therefore $(\pi_\alpha \circ \pi, H_\alpha)$ is an irreducible representation of $l^1(S)$.

**Proof.** Define a positive functional $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(T) = \langle T\varphi(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = \alpha((T\varphi(x), \varphi(x))), \quad T \in \mathcal{A}.$$ 

If $T \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $\tilde{\alpha}(T) = \alpha(f_T)$ where as before $f_T(x) = (T\varphi(x), \varphi(x))$. We identify $T$ and $f_T$, so that $\tilde{\alpha}$ coincides with $\alpha$ on $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an extension of $\alpha$ to $\mathcal{A}$. Now we show using a result of R. Kadison and I. Singer that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the unique positive extension of $\alpha$ to $\mathcal{A}$. If $a \in S, \varphi(x) \in B$, then by definition $\pi(a)\varphi(x)$ is either 0 or the element $\varphi(a(x)) \in B$. Therefore by [14, Theorem 3] and the remarks following the proof of Theorem 3, all the states on $\mathcal{A}$ that coincide with $\alpha$ on $\mathcal{D}$ must coincide with $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $\{\pi(a): a \in S\}$. (Note. The result quoted [14, Theorem 3] is proved only in the case where $H$ is separable. However, the proof can be extended to work in Hilbert spaces of arbitrary dimension.) Then since $\{\pi(a): a \in S\}$ generates the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ must be the unique state on $\mathcal{A}$ that coincides with $\alpha$ on $\mathcal{D}$ [14, Remark 6, p. 396]. Then since $\mathcal{D}$ generates the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ must be the unique state on $\mathcal{A}$ determined by $\tilde{\alpha}$ is irreducible. Finally, by [17, Lemma (4.5.8)] this representation is equivalent to $(\pi_\alpha, H_\alpha)$, and this completes the proof.

As before, let $F = \{a \in S: a^*a$ is finite$\}$. There is a simple condition on $\alpha$ which insures that $l^1(F) \subset \ker(\pi_\alpha \circ \pi)$. We verify this condition next. We need one bit of notation. Let $c_0(X)$ be the set of all complex-valued functions on $X$ such that given any $e > 0$, the set $\{x \in X: |f(x)| \geq e\}$ is finite. Then $c_0(X)$ is an ideal in $l^\infty(X)$.

**Proposition 5.2.** If $\alpha(f) = 0$ for all $f \in c_0(X)$, then $l^1(F) \subset \ker(\pi_\alpha \circ \pi)$.

**Proof.** It is enough to show that if $e$ is any finite idempotent map in $I_X$, then $\pi_\alpha(\pi(e)) = 0$. To prove this it suffices to show that whenever $\{h_x\} \in W$, then $\langle \pi(e)h_x, \pi(e)h_x \rangle = 0$. Fix $\{h_x\} \in W$, and let $g(x) = (\pi(e)h_x, \pi(e)h_x), x \in X$. We verify that $g \in c_0(X)$, so that $\langle \pi(e)h_x, \pi(e)h_x \rangle = \alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Note that the range of $\pi(e)$ is span$\{\varphi(x): x \in D_e\}$. For each $x \in X, h_x$ has the Hilbert space expansion in terms of the basis $B$,

$$h_x = \sum_{y \in X} (h_x, \varphi(y)) \varphi(y).$$

Then

$$\pi(e)h_x = \sum_{y \in D_e} (h_x, \varphi(y)) \varphi(y).$$

Now $x \rightarrow (h_x, \varphi(y))$ is in $c_0(X)$ by (1). Therefore

$$g(x) = \|\pi(e)h_x\|^2 = \sum_{y \in D_e} |(h_x, \varphi(y))|^2 \in c_0(X).$$

This completes the proof.
We note the next result without proof. It can be established by arguments similar to those in [18, pp. 524–526].

**Proposition 5.3.** There are at least \( \exp(\exp(|X'|)) \) inequivalent irreducible representations of \( l^1(S) \) in the collection \( \{(\pi_\alpha \circ \pi, H_\alpha): \alpha \text{ a pure state of } l^\infty(X)\} \) with the property that \( l^1(P) \subset \ker(\pi_\alpha \circ \pi) \).

6. Representation of completely 0-simple semigroups. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, \( S \) will denote a completely 0-simple semigroup throughout this section (see Example 1.3). Every idempotent in \( S \) is primitive [2, Exercise 5, p. 83]. A simple fact we need in what follows is

\[
\text{if } e, f \in E_S, e \neq f, \text{ then } ef = \theta
\]

**Proof.** If \( ef \leq f \) and \( ef \leq e \); since \( e \) and \( f \) are primitive and \( e \neq f \), then \( ef = \theta \).

Assume that \( e \in E_S \). Then \( e \) is primitive, so that \( G_e = eSe \setminus \{\theta\} \) is a group. The aim of this section is to show how the cyclic representations of \( l^1(S) \) can be induced from the cyclic representations of \( l^1(G_e) \). (Note. If \( (\pi, K) \) is a representation of \( l^1(G_e) \), then we automatically assume that \( \pi(e) \) is the identity operator on \( K \).) The technique involved is similar to (and is motivated by) the one used to induce representations of a group from those of a subgroup. In our case, the fact that \( S \) is completely 0-simple is crucial. This is clear from the proof of the following important lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** Assume that \( e \in E_S, e \neq \theta \). Let \( A = l^1(S) \), and assume that \( (\pi, K) \) is a representation of \( eAe \). If \( f_k \in A, \varphi_k \in K, 1 \leq k \leq n, \) then

\[
\sum_{p=1, k=1}^{n} (\pi(ef_k f_k e) \varphi_k, \varphi_p) > 0.
\]

**Proof.** First we prove that when \( a, b \in S \), then

\[
eb^*ae \neq \theta \iff a^*a = b*b = e, \quad \text{and} \quad aa^* = bb^*.
\]

Assume that the right-hand side of (2) holds. Then \( ae = a, eb^* = b^* \), and so \( b^*a = eb^*ae \). If \( b^*a = \theta \), then \( a = bb^*a = \theta \), a contradiction. Therefore \( eb^*ae \neq \theta \). Conversely, assume that \( eb^*ae \neq \theta \). Then \( ae \neq \theta \), so that \( ea^*ae = e(a^*a) \neq \theta \). It follows from (1) that \( a^*a = e \). Similarly, \( b^b = e \). Therefore \( b^*a = eb^*ae \neq \theta \). Then \( bb^*aa^* \neq \theta \), so that \( bb^* = aa^* \). This proves (2).

Now assume that \( f_k e = \Sigma a_i a_j k, 1 \leq k \leq n \), where each \( a_i a_j k \in S \setminus \{\theta\}, a_i a_j \neq a_j a_i \) if \( i \neq j \), and each \( a_{i k} \neq 0 \). Let \( \{e_m\} \) be the collection of distinct idempotents in the set \( \{a_{i k} a_{j k}^*\} \), subscripted so that \( e_m = e_p \) if \( m \neq p \). Let

\[
K_m = \{(i, k): a_{i k} a_{j k}^* = e_m\}.
\]
Then $f_k e = \sum_m (\sum_{(i,k) \in K_m} \lambda_{jk} a_{jk})$ and by (2) we have

$$e \cdot f_k e = \sum_m \left( \sum_{(j,p),(i,k) \in K_m} \lambda_{jp} \lambda_{ik} a_{jp} a_{ik} \right).$$

(3)

For each $m$ choose some $b_m \in \{a_{ik} : (i,k) \in K_m\}$. Define

$$h_{mk} = \sum_{(j,k) \in K_m} \lambda_{jk} b_m a_{jk}.$$ 

Note that $h_{mk} \in e A e$. Also, if $(j,p), (i,k) \in K_m$, then $a_{jp} b_m b_m a_{ik} = a_{jp} e_m a_{ik} = a_{jp} a_{ik}$. Thus,

$$h_{mp} h_{mk} = \sum_{(j,p),(i,k) \in K_m} \lambda_{jp} \lambda_{ik} a_{jp} a_{ik}.$$ 

From this equality and (3) we have

$$e \cdot f_k e = \sum_m h_{mp} h_{mk}.$$ 

Therefore,

$$\sum_{p=1,k=1}^n (\pi(e \cdot f_k e) \varphi_k, \varphi_p) = \sum_m \left( \sum_{p=1,k=1}^n (\pi(h_{mp} h_{mk}) \varphi_k, \varphi_p) \right)$$

$$= \sum_m \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(h_{mk}) \varphi_k, \sum_{p=1}^n \pi(h_{mp}) \varphi_p \right) \geq 0.$$ 

Now fix $e \in E_S$, and assume that $(\pi, K)$ is a cyclic representation of $l^1(G_\sigma) = e A e$. We use the representation $(\pi, K)$ to induce a representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $A$ on some Hilbert space that contains $K$ in such a way that $(\tilde{\pi} | K, K) \approx (\pi, K)$. The construction of the induced representation involves the formation of the tensor product of modules over an algebra. We use the notation and terminology of [5, §12]. We shall assume that the reader is familiar with this portion of [5] rather than reproducing a summary of it here. Although [5, §12] deals with the tensor product of modules over a ring, the process generalizes to the case where the modules are also vector spaces and the rings involved are algebras. In this case, the resulting tensor product is a vector space and the action of the algebra on this vector space is a linear operation.

The space $K$ is a left $e A e$-module where $f \in e A e$ acting on $\varphi \in K$ is given by $\pi(f) \varphi$. Also $A$ is in the obvious way a left and right $e A e$-module. Thus we can form the $A$-module $A \otimes e A e K$; see [5, p. 66]. We simplify this notation to $A \otimes e K$. If $f \in A$ and $\gamma \in A \otimes e K$, we denote by $\tilde{\pi}(f) \gamma$ the module product of $f$ with $\gamma$. Our first tasks are to introduce a pre-inner product on $A \otimes e K$ and to verify that $f \mapsto \tilde{\pi}(f)$ is a representation of $A$ on this pre-inner product space.
(6.2) The construction of the pre-inner product on $A \otimes eK$. For the present fix $f \in A$ and $\psi \in K$. Define a map $W': A \times K \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$W'(g, \varphi) = (\pi(ef^*ge)\varphi, \psi), \quad g \in A, \varphi \in K.$$ 

If $h, g \in A$, $\varphi \in K$, we have

$$W'(gehe, \varphi) = (\pi(ef^*gehe)\varphi, \psi) = (\pi(ef^*gehe)\varphi, \psi) = W'(g, \pi(ehe)\varphi).$$

Thus, $W'$ is a balanced map. It follows from [5, Theorem (12.3)] that there is a homomorphism (depending on $f$ and $\psi$) $W(f, \psi): A \otimes eK \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(4) \quad W(f, \psi)g \otimes \varphi = W'(g, \varphi) = (\pi(ef^*ge)\varphi, \psi).$$

If $f \in A$, $\psi \in K$, and $\gamma \in A \otimes eK$, define $I'_\gamma: A \times K \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$I'_\gamma(f, \psi) = W(f, \psi)\gamma.$$ 

If $h \in A$ and $f, \psi, \gamma$ are as above, then

$$I'_\gamma(gehe, \psi) = W(gehe, \psi)\gamma = W(f, \pi(ehe)\psi)\gamma = I'_\gamma(f, \pi(ehe)\psi).$$

Thus, $I'_\gamma$ is a balanced map, so that by [5, Theorem (12.3)] there exists a homomorphism $I_{eK}: A \otimes eK \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(5) \quad I_{eK}(f \otimes \psi) = I'_\gamma(f, \psi) = W(f, \psi)\gamma.$$ 

If $\gamma, \beta \in A \otimes eK$, define

$$\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle = I_{eK}(\beta).$$

By the construction it follows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second. Also, using (4) and (5) we have for $f, g \in A$, $\varphi, \psi \in K$,

$$(6) \quad \langle g \otimes \varphi, f \otimes \psi \rangle = I_{eK}(f \otimes \psi) = W(f, \psi)g \otimes \varphi = (\pi(ef^*ge)\varphi, \psi).$$

Then

$$\langle g \otimes \varphi, f \otimes \psi \rangle = (\pi(ef^*ge)\varphi, \psi) = (\pi(ef^*ge)\varphi, \psi)^* = \langle f \otimes \psi, g \otimes \varphi \rangle^*.$$ 

Therefore $\langle \gamma, \tau \rangle = \langle \tau, \gamma \rangle^*$ whenever $\gamma, \tau \in A \otimes eK$. Suppose that $\gamma = \Sigma_{k=1}^n f_k \otimes \varphi_k \in A \otimes eK$. Then

$$\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = \sum_{p=1,k=1}^{n} \langle f_k \otimes \varphi_k, f_p \otimes \varphi_p \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{p=1,k=1}^{n} (\pi(ef^*ge_{kp})\varphi_k, \varphi_p) \quad \text{by (6)}$$

$$\geq 0 \quad \text{by Lemma 6.1.}$$
To summarize, we have shown

(6.3) The form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a pre-inner product on $A \otimes \varepsilon K$ with the property that when $g, f \in A$ and $\varphi, \psi \in K$, then

$$\langle g \otimes \varphi, f \otimes \psi \rangle = (\pi(efge)\varphi, \psi).$$

(6.4) The construction of the induced representation. Recall that we denote the result of the induced module operation of $f \in A$ on $\gamma \in A \otimes \varepsilon K$ by $\pi(f)\gamma$. In particular, it follows from [5, p. 66] that if $f, g \in A$ and $\varphi, \psi \in K$, then $\pi(fg \otimes \varphi) = fg \otimes \varphi$. First we verify that if $\gamma, \tau \in A \otimes \varepsilon K$ and $f \in A$, then

$$\langle \pi(f)\gamma, \tau \rangle = \langle \gamma, \pi(f^*\tau) \rangle.$$  It is enough to check this equality in the case where $\gamma = g \otimes \varphi$ and $\tau = h \otimes \psi$. Then

$$\langle \pi(f)g \otimes \varphi, h \otimes \psi \rangle = \langle fg \otimes \varphi, h \otimes \psi \rangle = (\pi(eg^*fg)\varphi, \psi) \quad \text{by (6.3)}$$

$$= (\varphi, \pi(eg^*f^*he)\psi) = (f^*h \otimes \psi, g \otimes \varphi)^* \quad \text{by (6.3)}$$

$$= (g \otimes \varphi, \pi(f^*)h \otimes \psi).$$

Thus $f \mapsto \pi(f)$ is a star representation of $A$ on the pre-inner product space $A \otimes \varepsilon K$.

Before proving that $\pi$ is a bounded operator, we prove a necessary lemma.

**Lemma 6.5.** If $\varphi \in K$ is a cyclic vector for $\pi$, then $e \otimes \varphi$ is a cyclic vector for $\pi$ on $A \otimes \varepsilon K$.

**Proof.** If $f \otimes \psi$ is considered a function of $f \in A$ and $\psi \in K$ with values in the pre-inner product space $A \otimes \varepsilon K$, then using (6) it is not difficult to verify that this function is continuous in both variables separately. Let $\gamma = h_1 \otimes \psi_1 + \cdots + h_n \otimes \psi_n$ be given. Since $\varphi$ is a cyclic vector for $\pi$, we can choose $g_k \in eAe$ such that $\|\psi_k - \pi(g_k)\varphi\|$ is as small as we wish for each $k$. Thus, since $f \mapsto f \otimes \psi$ is continuous, we can arrange by an appropriate choice of $g_k \in eAe$ that $\tau = h_1 \otimes \pi(g_1)\varphi + \cdots + h_n \otimes \pi(g_n)\varphi$ is as close to $\gamma$ as we wish in the topology on $A \otimes \varepsilon K$ determined by the pre-inner product. But

$$\tau = (h_1g_1 + \cdots + h_ng_n) \otimes \varphi = \pi(h_1g_1 + \cdots + h_ng_n)e \otimes \varphi.$$  This proves the lemma.

Let $\varphi \in K$ be a cyclic vector for $\pi$. Define $F$ on $A$ by

$$F(f) = \langle \pi(f)e \otimes \varphi, e \otimes \varphi \rangle, \quad f \in A.$$  $F$ is a positive functional on $A$. Let $\|\gamma\| = \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle^{1/2}$ for $\gamma \in A \otimes \varepsilon K$. Note that if $g, f \in A$, we have

$$F(g^*f^*fg) = \|\pi(f)g \otimes \varphi\|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad F(g^*g) = \|g \otimes \varphi\|^2.$$
Then by [17, Theorem (4.5.2)] $F$ is admissible on $A$ so that there exists $M > 0$ with

$$F(g*f*fg) \leq MF(g*g) \quad \text{for all } g \in A.$$  

This inequality and Lemma 6.5 imply that $\overline{\pi}(f), f \in A,$ is a bounded operator on $A \otimes eK.$

Now let $Z$ be the set of all $\gamma$ in $A \otimes eK$ such that $\|\gamma\| = 0.$ Then $(A \otimes eK)/Z$ is an inner product space. Let $p_Z$ be the natural quotient map of $A \otimes eK$ onto this inner product space. For the present fix $\gamma \in Z.$ We deal with the case that $A$ has no identity (otherwise, $A = eAe$). Let $A_1$ be the algebra $A$ with an identity $1$ adjoined. Define $\alpha$ on $A_1$ by

$$\alpha(\lambda 1 + \gamma) = \langle \lambda \gamma + \overline{\pi}(f)\gamma, \gamma \rangle$$

where $f \in A,$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$ Then $\alpha$ is a positive functional on $A_1.$ By the usual general Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for $\alpha$ we have for $f \in A$

$$\|\overline{\pi}(f)\gamma\|^2 = \alpha(f*f) \leq \alpha(1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha((f*f)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

Since $\alpha(1) = \|\gamma\|^2 = 0,$ we have $\|\overline{\pi}(f)\gamma\| = 0.$ Therefore $Z$ is invariant under $\overline{\pi}.$ Thus, if $f \in A,$ $\overline{\pi}(f)$ determines a bounded linear operator on $(A \otimes eK)/Z$ by the rule $\overline{\pi}(f)p_Z(\gamma) = p_Z(\overline{\pi}(f)\gamma), \gamma \in A \otimes eK.$ Let $\widetilde{K}$ be the Hilbert space completion of $(A \otimes eK)/Z.$ Then if $f \in A,$ $\overline{\pi}(f)$ extends to a bounded operator on $\widetilde{K}$ which we also denote by $\overline{\pi}.$ Furthermore, it follows from the construction that $f \mapsto \overline{\pi}(f)$ is a representation of $A$ on $\widetilde{K}.$

In what follows we follow the usual practice of considering $p_Z(A \otimes eK)$ as a subspace of its completion $\widetilde{K}.$

(6.6) Verification that $(\pi, K)$ is equivalent to $\overline{\pi}|_{eAe}$ restricted to some closed subspace of $\widetilde{K}.$ Let $K_0$ be the subspace $\{p_Z(e \otimes \varphi) : \varphi \in K\}$ of $\widetilde{K}.$ Define $U_0 : K_0 \rightarrow K$ and $W_0 : K \rightarrow K_0$ by

$$U_0(p_Z(e \otimes \varphi)) = \varphi, \quad W_0(\varphi) = p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), \quad \varphi \in K.$$  

(Note. It is easy to verify that $U_0$ is well defined.) Then for all $\varphi \in K,$

$U_0W_0(\varphi) = \varphi,$ and $W_0U_0(p_Z(e \otimes \varphi)) = p_Z(e \otimes \varphi).$ Also, if $\varphi, \psi \in K,$ then

$$\langle U_0p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), p_Z(e \otimes \psi) \rangle \quad \text{by (6)}$$

$$= \langle p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), W_0(\psi) \rangle.$$  

Thus, $W_0 = U_0^*.$ It follows $W_0$ maps $K$ isometrically onto $K_0.$ Therefore $K_0$ is a closed subspace of $\widetilde{K}.$ The argument above implies that $U_0$ is a unitary operator from $K_0$ onto $K.$
If \( f \in \mathcal{E} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{E} \), then
\[
U_0(f)p_Z(e \otimes \varphi) = U_0p_Z(e \otimes \pi(f)\varphi) = \pi(f)\varphi = \pi(f)U_0p_Z(e \otimes \varphi).
\]
Let \( \pi_0 \) denote the representation \( \pi_1|_{\mathcal{E} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{E}} \) restricted to \( K_0 \). We have shown that \((\pi_0, K_0) \approx (\pi, K)\).

We call the representation \((\pi, K)\) constructed in (6.2) and (6.4) the representation of \( \mathcal{A} \) induced by \((\pi, K)\).

The next several results develop some of the properties of induced representations.

**Proposition 6.7.** Let \((\pi_1, K_1)\) and \((\pi_2, K_2)\) be two cyclic representations of \( \mathcal{E} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{E} \). If the corresponding induced representations \((\pi_1, K_1)\) and \((\pi_2, K_2)\) are equivalent, then \(\pi_1 \approx \pi_2\).

**Proof.** Let \( U: K_1 \rightarrow K_2 \) be a unitary operator that intertwines \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \). For \( j = 1, 2 \), let \( Z_j = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{A} \otimes eK_j : \| \gamma \| = 0 \} \), and let \( p_j \) be the natural projection of \( \mathcal{A} \otimes eK_j \) onto \( (\mathcal{A} \otimes eK_j)/Z_j \). Again for \( j = 1, 2 \), let \( H_j = \{ p_j(e \otimes \varphi) : \varphi \in K_j \} \), and let \( \gamma_j \) be \( \pi_j|_{\mathcal{E} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{E}} \) restricted to \( H_j \). By (6.6) we have that \( \gamma_1 \approx \pi_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \approx \pi_2 \).

Now we prove that \( \gamma_1 \approx \gamma_2 \). First we show that \( U \) maps \( H_1 \) into \( H_2 \). If \( f \in \mathcal{A}, \psi \in K_2 \), we have
\[
\pi_2(e)p_2(f \otimes \psi) = p_2(efe \otimes \psi) = p_2(e \otimes \pi_2(efe)\psi).
\]
Then for \( \varphi \in K_1 \)
\[
U\pi_1(e \otimes \varphi) = U\pi_1(e)p_1(e \otimes \varphi) = \pi_2(e)Up_1(e \otimes \varphi) \in H_2 \quad \text{(by (7)).}
\]
A similar argument shows that \( U^* \) maps \( H_2 \) into \( H_1 \). It follows that \( U \) maps \( H_1 \) isometrically onto \( H_2 \). Also, since \( U \) intertwines \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \), \( U\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 U \) on \( H_1 \). This completes the proof that \( \pi_1 \approx \pi_2 \).

**Theorem 6.8.** Assume that \( \pi \) is an irreducible representation of \( \mathcal{E} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{E} \) on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{K} \). Then \((\pi, \mathcal{K})\) is irreducible.

**Proof.** Fix \( \varphi \in K, \| \varphi \| = 1 \). By Lemma 6.5, \( p_2(e \otimes \varphi) \) is a cyclic vector for \( \pi \) on \( \mathcal{K} \) (here \( Z \) is as in (6.4)). Let
\[
\alpha(f) = (\pi(f)\varphi, \varphi), \quad f \in \mathcal{E} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{E},
\]
and
\[
\gamma(f) = (\pi(f)p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), p_Z(e \otimes \varphi)), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}.
\]
Then if \( f \in A \)

\[
\widetilde{\alpha}(efe) = \langle \widetilde{\pi}(efe)p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), p_Z(e \otimes \varphi) \rangle \\
= (\pi(efe)\varphi, \varphi) \quad \text{by (6.3)} \\
= \alpha(efe).
\]

Suppose \( \widetilde{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \gamma) \) where \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are states on \( A \) (here \( \delta \) is a state means that \( \delta \) is a positive functional on \( A \) with \( \delta(g^*) = \delta(g)^* \), and \( |\delta(g)|^2 \leq \delta(g^*g) \), \( g \in A \) ). Since \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are states of \( A \), we have \( \beta(e)^2 \leq \beta(e) \) and \( \gamma(e)^2 \leq \gamma(e) \). Thus, \( \beta(e) \leq 1 \) and \( \gamma(e) \leq 1 \). Now

\[
1 = \widetilde{\alpha}(e) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta(e) + \gamma(e)) \leq 1.
\]

Therefore \( \beta(e) = \gamma(e) = 1 \). Since \( \alpha \) determines the irreducible representation \((\pi, K)\), we have by [9, Theorem 21.34)] that

\[
\alpha(efe) = \beta(efe) = \gamma(efe), \quad f \in A.
\]

If \( \delta \) is a state and \( \delta(e) = 1 \), then it follows from the general Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for \( \delta \) that \( \delta(g(1-e)) = \delta((1-e)g) = 0 \) for any \( g \in A \). Thus, in this case \( \delta(g) = \delta(eye) \) for all \( g \in A \). Applying this fact to \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \), we have that

\[
\beta(f) = \gamma(f) = \gamma(efe), \quad f \in A.
\]

Then (8) and (9) imply that \( \widetilde{\alpha} = \beta = \gamma \). If follows from [9, Theorem (21.34)] that \((\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{K})\) is irreducible.

**Proposition 6.9.** Let \((\pi', H)\) be an irreducible representation of \( A \). Assume that \( \pi'(e) \neq 0 \) where \( e \in E_S \). Define a representation \((\pi, K)\) of \( e\mathcal{A}e \) in the natural way where \( K = \pi'(e)H \). Then \( \widetilde{\pi} \cong \pi' \).

**Proof.** Choose \( \varphi \in K \) with \( \|\varphi\| = 1 \). By Lemma 6.5 \( p_Z(e \otimes \varphi) \) is cyclic for \( \widetilde{\pi} \) on \( \widetilde{K} \). For \( f \in A \) let

\[
\alpha'(f) = (\pi'(f)\varphi, \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\alpha}(f) = \langle \widetilde{\pi}(f)p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), p_Z(e \otimes \varphi) \rangle.
\]

By [17, Lemma (4.5.8)] it is enough to show that \( \alpha' = \widetilde{\alpha} \). If \( f \in A \), then

\[
\widetilde{\alpha}(f) = \langle \widetilde{\pi}(f)p_Z(e \otimes \varphi), p_Z(e \otimes \varphi) \rangle \\
= (\pi(efe)\varphi, \varphi) \quad \text{by (6.3)} \\
= (\pi'(efe)\varphi, \varphi) = (\pi'(f)\varphi, \varphi) = \alpha'(f).
\]

For some inverse semigroups \( S \), the irreducible representations of \( L^1(S) \) can be determined from the irreducible representations of the \( L^1 \)-algebras of a collection of completely 0-simple factors of \( S \). This is true when \( S \) has a composition series.
**Definition.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with zero. A composition series for $S$ is an increasing family of ideals of $S$, $\{I_\alpha\}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma$, where $\gamma$ is a fixed ordinal and the indices are all ordinals $\alpha$ such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma$, having the properties that

(i) $I_0 = \{0\}$ and $I_\gamma = S$, and

(ii) if $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal, $\alpha \leq \gamma$, then $I_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} I_\beta$.

If whenever $\alpha$ is a nonlimit ordinal, $\alpha \leq \gamma$, we have $I_{\alpha+1}/I_\alpha$ is completely $0$-simple, then we say the composition series has all completely $0$-simple factors.

The semigroup $\mathbb{F}_X$ has composition series $\{F_n\}$, $0 \leq n \leq \omega$, $\omega$ the first limit ordinal, where $F_\omega = F_X$, and $F_n$ for $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ has the usual definition. The factors of this composition series are completely $0$-simple. More generally, we have the following result.

**Proposition 6.10.** Assume that $S$ has a zero. Assume that every nonempty subset of $E_S$ has a minimal element with respect to the partial order $\leq$. Then $S$ has a composition series with completely $0$-simple factors.

**Proof.** Let $I_0 = \{\theta\}$. Assume that an increasing family of ideals, $\{I_\alpha\}$, $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$, has been chosen with $I_\alpha/I_{\alpha-1}$ completely $0$-simple for all nonlimit ordinals $1 < \alpha < \beta$. If $\beta$ is a limit ordinal, let $I_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} I_\alpha$. Now assume that $\beta$ is a nonlimit ordinal. Choose $e$ a minimal element of $\{f \in E_S : f \notin I_{\beta-1}\}$. Let

$$I_\beta = \{a \in S : a^*a \sim e\} \cup I_{\beta-1}.$$ 

If $a \in I_\beta$, then $a^* \in I_\beta$. Next we prove that $I_\beta$ is a right ideal, hence an ideal. Suppose $b \in S$ and $a \in I_\beta \setminus I_{\beta-1}$. Then there exists $c \in S$ such that $a^*a = c^*c$ and $e = cc^*$. Then $b^*a^*ab = b^*c^*cb \sim cbb^*c^*$, and also, $(cbb^*c^*)e = (cbb^*c^*)cc^* = cbb^*c^*$. Thus, $cbb^*c^* \leq e$, so that by the choice of $e$ either $cbb^*c^* = e$ or $cbb^*c^* \in I_{\beta-1}$. In the first case $b^*a^*ab \sim e$, so that $ab \in I_\beta$. In the second case,

$$ac^*(cbb^*c^*)cb \in I_{\beta-1} \implies (ac^*)(bb^*b) \in I_{\beta-1}$$

$$ab = (aa^*)(bb^*b) \in I_{\beta-1} \subseteq I_\beta.$$

Note that by the choice of $e$, we have $e \in I_\beta$ and $e$ is primitive modulo $I_{\beta-1}$. Now we verify that $I_\beta/I_{\beta-1}$ is $0$-simple. Let $I$ be an ideal of $I_\beta$ with $I_{\beta-1} \subseteq I$. Suppose that $b \in I \setminus I_{\beta-1}$. We prove that $I = I_\beta$. We have $b^*b \sim e$. Assume $a \in I \setminus I_{\beta-1}$. Then $a^*a \sim e \sim b^*b$, so there exists $c \in S$ with $b^*b = c^*c$ and $cc^* = a^*a$. Therefore

$$c^*c \in I \implies c = cc^*c \in I \implies a^*a = cc^* \in I \implies a \in I.$$

This proves that $I = I_\beta$. We have shown that $I_\beta/I_{\beta-1}$ is completely $0$-simple. Therefore by transfinite induction we can construct a composition series for $S$ with completely $0$-simple factors.
Let $A$ be a star algebra and let $J_2$ and $J_1$ be star ideals of $A$ with $J_1 \subset J_2$. Now we describe a procedure for lifting a cyclic representation of the quotient algebra $J_2/J_1$ to a representation of $A$. Denote the residue class of $J_2/J_1$ that contains $g \in J_2$ by $g + J_1$. The space $J_2/J_1$ is a module over $A$ where $f \in A$ acts on $g + J_1$, $g \in J_2$, by the rule $f(g + J_1) = fg + J_1$. Let $(\pi, H)$ be a cyclic representation of $J_2/J_1$ with $\varphi$ a cyclic vector for $\pi$. Let

$$K = \{\pi(g + J_1)\varphi: g \in J_2\}.$$ 

If $f \in A$, define $\overline{\pi}(f)$ on $\varphi = \pi(g + J_1)\varphi \in K$ by

$$\overline{\pi}(f)\varphi = \pi(fg + J_1)\varphi.$$ 

Since $K$ is dense in $H$, $\overline{\pi}$ extends uniquely to a cyclic representation $\overline{\pi}$ of $A$ on $H$. Clearly, if $\pi$ is irreducible, then the extension $\overline{\pi}$ is irreducible. If $(\pi, H)$ is a cyclic representation of $J_2/J_1$, we use the notation $(\overline{\pi}, H)$ for the representation of $A$ constructed above for some choice of cyclic vector $\varphi$.

**Theorem 6.11.** Let $\{I_\alpha\}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma$, be a composition series for $S$ with completely $0$-simply factors. Let $J_\alpha = l^1(I_\alpha)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma$.

1. If $\alpha > 1$ is a nonlimit ordinal and $(\pi, H)$ is a cyclic representation of $J_\alpha/J_{\alpha-1}$, then $(\pi, H)$ extends to a cyclic representation $(\overline{\pi}, H)$ of $l^1(S)$.

2. If $(\tau, K)$ is an irreducible representation of $l^1(S)$, then there exists a nonlimit ordinal $\alpha \geq 1$ and an irreducible representation $(\pi, K)$ of $J_\alpha/J_{\alpha-1}$ such that the extension $(\overline{\pi}, K) = (\tau, K)$.

**Proof.** (1) follows immediately by the preceding construction. We prove (2). Let $(\tau, K)$ be an irreducible representation of $l^1(S)$. Let $\alpha$ be the smallest ordinal with $\tau(J_\alpha) \neq \{0\}$. Then $\tau(J_\beta) = \{0\}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. If $\alpha$ were a limit ordinal, then

$$J_\alpha = \operatorname{cl}(\bigcup J_\beta: \beta < \alpha).$$ 

Thus in this case, $\tau(J_\alpha) = \{0\}$, a contradiction. Therefore $\alpha$ is a nonlimit ordinal and $\tau(J_{\alpha-1}) = \{0\}$. Define a representation $\pi$ of $J_\alpha/J_{\alpha-1}$ on $K$ by

$$\pi(f + J_{\alpha-1}) = \tau(f), \quad f \in J_\alpha.$$ 

Then $(\pi, K)$ is an irreducible representation of $J_\alpha/J_{\alpha-1}$. Let $\varphi$ be a cyclic vector for $\pi$. Form the extension $(\overline{\pi}, K)$ as indicated previously. Then if $f \in l^1(S)$ and $g \in J_\alpha$,

$$\overline{\pi}(f)(g + J_{\alpha-1})\varphi = \pi(fg + J_{\alpha-1})\varphi = \tau(fg)\varphi = \tau(f)\pi(g)\varphi = \tau(f)\pi(g + J_{\alpha-1})\varphi.$$ 

Thus $\overline{\pi} = \pi$ on $K$. 
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7. Representations of the bicyclic semigroup. The simplest example of a simple inverse semigroup that contains no primitive idempotent is the bicyclic semigroup. As in Example 1.4, we use the notation \( C \) for this semigroup, and let \( p, q \) denote the generators of \( C \) with relation \( qp = 1 \) (recall that \( p^* = q \)). As we shall see, by applying well-known results from operator theory concerning the structure of an isometry on a Hilbert space, it is possible to describe explicitly the irreducible representations of \( l^1(C) \).

Let \( \pi \) be a representation of \( l^1(C) \) on a Hilbert space \( H \). We assume here and throughout this section that \( \pi(1) = I \), the identity operator on \( H \). Let \( V = \pi(p) \). Then \( \pi(q) = \pi(p^*) = V^* \), and \( V^*V = \pi(qp) = \pi(1) = I \). Therefore \( V \) is an isometry on \( H \). Conversely, given a Hilbert space \( H \) and an isometry \( V \) on \( H \), let \( \pi(p) = V, \pi(q) = V^*, \) and \( \pi(1) = I \). Since \( C \) is generated by \( p, q \) and \( 1 \), there is a unique representation \( \pi \) of \( l^1(C) \) on \( H \) satisfying these equations. Thus:

(7.1) Every representation of \( l^1(C) \) is completely determined as above by a Hilbert space \( H \) and an isometry \( V \) on \( H \).

Important particular examples of isometries are the unilateral shifts. Let \( \alpha \) be a cardinal, and let \( K \) be a Hilbert space of dimension \( \alpha \). Let \( H_\alpha \) be the Hilbert space direct sum of a countably infinite number of copies of \( K \), and let \( S_\alpha \) be the unilateral shift on \( H_\alpha \); see [7, p. 15] where the notation \( l^2_+(K) \) and \( U_+ \) is used in place of \( H_\alpha \) and \( S_\alpha \). We denote by \( \pi_\alpha \) the representation of \( l^1(C) \) on \( H_\alpha \) determined by the choice \( \pi_\alpha(p) = S_\alpha \). If \( \psi \) is a vector in \( H_\alpha \), then \( \psi \) is determined by its coordinates \( \{ \psi_n \} \) where each \( \psi_n \in K \) and \( \sum \| \psi_n \|^2 < \infty \). Fix \( \varphi \in K, \varphi \neq 0 \). For each \( j \geq 1 \), let \( \varphi^j \) be the vector in \( H_\alpha \) with coordinates

\[
(\varphi^j)_n = \begin{cases} 
\varphi & \text{if } j = n, \\
0 & \text{if } j \neq n. 
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( J \) be the closed linear span of \( \{ \varphi^j : j \geq 1 \} \) in \( H_\alpha \). Since

\[
S_\alpha(\varphi^j) = \varphi^{j+1}, \quad j \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
S^*_\alpha(\varphi^j) = \varphi^{j-1}, \quad j \geq 1, \quad S^*_\alpha(\varphi^1) = 0,
\]

we have \( J \) is invariant under \( S_\alpha \) and \( S^*_\alpha \). If \( \alpha > 1 \), we can choose \( \psi \in K \) such that \( \psi \neq 0 \) and \( \psi \perp \varphi \). Then the vector in \( H_\alpha \) with first coordinate \( \psi \) and all other coordinates 0 is orthogonal to \( J \). Therefore when \( \alpha > 1 \), \( J \) is a proper closed \( \pi_\alpha \)-invariant subspace of \( H_\alpha \). On the other hand, for the case \( \alpha = 1 \), we have that \( (\pi_1, H_1) \) is irreducible by [4, Corollary 1.2]. Thus, the following result holds.

(7.2) The representation \( (\pi_\alpha, H_\alpha) \) of \( l^1(C) \) is irreducible if and only if \( \alpha = 1 \).
Now let \( \pi \) be a representation of \( \mathcal{L}(C) \) on a Hilbert space \( H \), and let \( V = \pi(p) \). Since \( V \) is an isometry, it follows from [7, pp. 15–16] that there exists a subspace \( M \) of \( H \) that reduces \( V \) and has the property that \( V|M \) is unitary and \( V|M^\perp \) is equivalent to a unilateral shift. Therefore \( \pi = (\pi|M) \oplus \pi_\alpha \) where \( (\pi|M)(p) \) is unitary on \( M \) and \( \alpha \) is the multiplicity of \( V|M^\perp \). Thus:

(7.3) A representation of \( \mathcal{L}(C) \) is a direct sum of a representation of \( \mathcal{L}(C) \) determined by a unitary operator and \( \pi_\alpha \) for some \( \alpha \).

Now we can easily identify all the irreducible representations of \( \mathcal{L}(C) \). First note that a representation of \( \mathcal{L}(C) \) determined by a unitary operator on a Hilbert space \( H \) is irreducible if and only if \( H \) is one dimensional. Also, to identify the one-dimensional representations of \( \mathcal{L}(C) \) it is sufficient to identify the semicharacters of \( C \). For each \( \lambda \in C \), \( |\lambda| = 1 \), let \( \varphi_\lambda(p) = \lambda \), \( \varphi_\lambda(q) = \lambda^* \), and \( \varphi_\lambda(1) = 1 \). Then \( \varphi_\lambda \) extends to a semicharacter on \( C \) (which we again denote by \( \varphi_\lambda \)). In fact it is easy to see that \( \{ \varphi_\lambda : |\lambda| = 1 \} \) is the set of all semicharacters of \( C \).

**Proposition 7.4.** The set of all irreducible representations of \( \mathcal{L}(C) \) consists of the one-dimensional representations determined by the semicharacters \( \{ \varphi_\lambda : |\lambda| = 1 \} \) and the representation \( (\pi_1, H_1) \).

**Proof.** The result follows immediately from (7.2), (7.3), and the remarks above concerning semicharacters of \( C \).

**Added in proof.** (1) Part of the proof of Proposition 3.1 has been omitted. It should be verified that \( e \not\in I_e \). This can be proved as follows. Suppose on the contrary that \( e \in I_e \). Then there exists \( g \in E_S \) such that \( e \sim g < e \). Choose \( a \in S \) such that \( e = a^*a \) and \( g = aa^* \). For \( h \in E_S \), define the set \( M_h = \{ k \in E_S : k \leq h \} \). Observe that since \( g < e \), the finite sets \( M_g \) and \( M_e \) have different cardinalities. But \( k \rightarrow aka^* \) is a one-to-one map of \( M_e \) onto \( M_g \) (the inverse of this map is \( k \rightarrow a^*ka \)). This contradiction proves that \( e \not\in I_e \).

(2) We have recently submitted a paper concerned with some of the topics treated here. It contains an easier proof of Theorem 2.3, and a complete solution to the problem mentioned in the remarks immediately following the proof of Proposition 4.1.
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