

## CLUSTER VALUES OF BOUNDED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS<sup>(1)</sup>

BY

T. W. GAMELIN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in the complex plane, and let  $\zeta$  belong to the topological boundary  $\partial D$  of  $D$ . We prove two theorems concerning the cluster set  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta)$  of a bounded analytic function  $f$  on  $D$ . The first theorem asserts that values in  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta) \setminus f(\mathbb{N}_\zeta)$  are assumed infinitely often in every neighborhood of  $\zeta$ , with the exception of those lying in a set of zero analytic capacity. The second asserts that all values in  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta) \setminus f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda)$  are assumed infinitely often in every neighborhood of  $\zeta$ , with the exception of those lying in a set of zero logarithmic capacity. Here  $\mathfrak{N}_\zeta$  is the fiber of the maximal ideal space  $\mathfrak{M}(D)$  of  $H^\infty(D)$  lying over  $\zeta$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_\zeta$  is the Shilov boundary of the fiber algebra, and  $\lambda$  is the harmonic measure on  $\mathfrak{M}(D)$ .

**1. Introduction and statement of results.** *The cluster set of  $f$  at  $\zeta$ , denoted by  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta)$ , consists of all complex numbers  $w$  for which there is a sequence  $z_n \in D$  satisfying  $z_n \rightarrow \zeta$  and  $f(z_n) \rightarrow w$ . The range of  $f$  at  $\zeta$ , denoted by  $R(f, \zeta)$ , consists of all complex numbers  $w$  for which there is a sequence  $z_n \in D$  satisfying  $z_n \rightarrow \zeta$  and  $f(z_n) = w$ . If  $S$  is a subset of  $\partial D$ , then  $\text{Cl}_S(f, \zeta)$  is defined to be the set of all complex numbers  $w$  for which there exist  $\zeta_n \in S$  and  $w_n \in \text{Cl}(f, \zeta_n)$  satisfying  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$  and  $w_n \rightarrow w$ . Evidently*

$$\text{Cl}_S(f, \zeta) \subset \text{Cl}(f, \zeta).$$

In the case that  $S$  coincides with  $(\partial D) \setminus \{\zeta\}$ , the set  $\text{Cl}_S(f, \zeta)$  coincides with the classical boundary cluster set of  $f$  at  $\zeta$ . The Iversen-Gross Theorem [11, p. 14] asserts that the boundary cluster set of  $f$  at  $\zeta$  includes the topological boundary of  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta)$ . Furthermore, points of

$$\text{Cl}(f, \zeta) \setminus \text{Cl}_{(\partial D) \setminus \{\zeta\}}(f, \zeta)$$

either belong to  $R(f, \zeta)$  or are asymptotic values of  $f$  at  $\zeta$  (or both).

A number of cluster value theorems have appeared since the work of Iversen (1915) and Gross (1918). The main theorem of interest to us was proved by M. Tsuji in 1943 [12, Theorem VIII. 41]. It asserts that if  $E$  is a subset of  $\partial D$  of zero (outer) logarithmic capacity, and  $\zeta \in E$ , then the set

$$(*) \quad \text{Cl}(f, \zeta) \setminus [\text{Cl}_{(\partial D) \setminus E}(f, \zeta) \cup R(f, \zeta)]$$

has zero logarithmic capacity. A related result, due to A. J. Lohwater [9],

Received by the editors September 22, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A72; Secondary 30A98, 46J15, 46J20.

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was supported in part by NSF Grant GP-33693X.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

asserts that if  $D$  is the open unit disc  $\Delta$  in the complex plane, if  $E$  is a subset of  $\partial D$  of zero (outer) length, and if  $\zeta \in E$ , then the set  $(*)$  again has zero logarithmic capacity. The crucial feature of these theorems is that the exceptional set  $E$  is required to have zero harmonic measure.

More recently, M. Weiss [13] has studied cluster value theory from the point of view of Banach algebras. He proves that if  $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_\zeta$  is the "fiber" over  $\zeta$  of the Shilov boundary of  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  and  $f \in H^\infty(\Delta)$ , then

$$\text{Cl}(f, \zeta) \setminus [f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta) \cup R(f, \zeta)]$$

has zero logarithmic capacity. From the discussion of  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  as a Banach algebra given in [8], it is clear that  $f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta)$  is included in  $\text{Cl}_{(\partial\Delta)\setminus E}(f, \zeta)$  whenever  $E$  has zero length, so that the Weiss Theorem includes the Lohwater Theorem.

Our aim is to cast the Tsuji Theorem in a Banach algebra setting, by finding an appropriate extension to arbitrary domains of the Weiss Theorem. One of the extensions (Theorem 1.3), when reinterpreted in the classical setting, will yield a slightly sharpened form (Corollary 1.4) of the Tsuji Theorem, which will be valid for bounded analytic functions.

In order to state the results, we introduce some notation. For a more detailed exposition of this circle of ideas, and for precise references, see [2] and [5].

The domain  $D$  can be regarded as an open subset of the maximal ideal space  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  of  $H^\infty(D)$ . We will regard the functions in  $H^\infty(D)$  as being continuous functions on  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$ . [For our purposes, we could take  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  to be any compactification of  $D$  of which  $H^\infty(D)$  separates points]. The coordinate function  $z$  extends to a map  $Z: \mathfrak{N}(D) \rightarrow \bar{D}$ , and  $Z$  serves to identify  $D$  with an open subset of  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$ . The fiber  $Z^{-1}(\{\zeta\})$  over  $\zeta \in \partial D$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{N}_\zeta(D) = \mathfrak{N}_\zeta$ . The Cluster Value Theorem of [3] asserts that  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta) = f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$  for all  $f \in H^\infty(D)$  and  $\zeta \in \partial D$ . The fiber algebra  $H^\infty(D)|_{\mathfrak{N}_\zeta}$  is a closed subalgebra of  $C(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$  whose maximal ideal space is  $\mathfrak{N}_\zeta$ , and whose Shilov boundary will be denoted by  $\mathbb{I}_\zeta$ . If  $\zeta$  is an essential boundary point of  $D$ , then  $\mathbb{I}_\zeta$  coincides with the intersection of  $\mathfrak{N}_\zeta$  and the Shilov Boundary  $\mathbb{I}(D)$  of  $H^\infty(D)$ . A well-known principle of Banach algebra theory asserts that  $f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta)$  includes the topological boundary of  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$ , so that  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta)$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Our first result is the following.

**1.1 THEOREM.** *If  $f \in H^\infty(D)$  and  $\zeta \in \partial D$ , then  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus [f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta) \cup R(f, \zeta)]$  has zero analytic capacity.*

Theorem 1.1 is a straightforward consequence of the fact that the local behavior of  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  depends only on the local configuration of  $D$ . The proof is given in §2.

Recall that the Ahlfors function  $G$  of  $D$ , depending on the point  $z_0 \in D$ , is

the extremal function for the problem of maximizing  $|f'(z_0)|$  among all  $f \in H^\infty(D)$  satisfying  $|f| \leq 1$ ;  $G$  is normalized so that  $G'(z_0) > 0$ , and then  $G$  is unique. If  $\zeta$  is an essential boundary point of  $D$ , then  $|G| = 1$  on  $\mathbb{I}\zeta$ . Furthermore, either

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{D \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} |G(z)| = 1$$

or

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Cl}(G, \zeta) = \bar{\Delta} \quad (= \text{closed unit disc}).$$

S. Ya. Havinson [7, Theorem 28] has proved that  $G$  assumes all values in  $\Delta$ , with the possible exception of a subset of  $\Delta$  of zero analytic capacity. From Theorem 1.1 we conclude the following sharper version of Havinson's Theorem.

**1.2 COROLLARY.** *Let  $G$  be the Ahlfors function of  $D$ , and let  $\zeta$  be an essential boundary point of  $D$  for which (1.2) is valid. Then values in  $\Delta$  are assumed infinitely often by  $G$  in every neighborhood of  $\zeta$ , with the exception of those lying in a set of zero analytic capacity.*

Corollary 1.2, and also Theorem 1.1, are sharp. To see this, let  $W$  be a domain of "type  $L$ ," obtained from the open unit disc by excising the origin together with a sequence of disjoint closed subdiscs with centers on the positive real axis converging to 0. Let  $F$  be the Ahlfors function of  $W$  corresponding to a point on the negative real axis, so that  $F$  has the symmetry property

$$(1.3) \quad F(\bar{z}) = \overline{F(z)}, \quad z \in W.$$

A straightforward application of Lindelöf's Theorem shows that  $F$  can have at most one asymptotic value at 0, and (1.3) shows that this value must be real: it is  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} F(x)$ . By the Iverson-Gross Theorem cited earlier the range of  $F$  at 0 includes all  $w \in \Delta$  with a nonzero imaginary part. On the other hand, it is known that  $F$  increases from  $-1$  to  $+1$  along the real interval connecting any two adjacent holes of  $W$ . We conclude that

$$R(F, 0) = \Delta.$$

Now let  $S$  be any relatively closed subset of  $\Delta$  of zero analytic capacity, and set  $D = W \setminus F^{-1}(S)$ . Since  $F^{-1}(S)$  has zero analytic capacity, it is totally disconnected, and  $D$  is a domain. The natural restriction  $H^\infty(W) \rightarrow H^\infty(D)$  is an isometric isomorphism which induces a natural homeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{N}(W)$  and  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$ . The Ahlfors function  $G$  of  $D$  is the restriction of  $F$  to  $D$ . It satisfies

$$G(\mathfrak{N}_0) \setminus [G(\mathbb{I}_0) \cup R(G, 0)] = S.$$

In other words, any relatively closed subset of  $\Delta$  of zero analytic capacity can occur as the exceptional set of Corollary 1.2.

The author does not know whether Theorem 1 or its corollary can be improved upon in the case that every boundary point of  $D$  is essential.

The statement of the second main result requires more definitions and notation.

The measure  $d\theta$  on  $\partial\Delta$  has a natural lift to a measure on  $\mathfrak{N}(\Delta)$ , which will be denoted by  $d\Theta$ . The Shilov boundary of  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  coincides with the closed support of  $d\Theta$ .

Let  $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow D$  denote the universal covering map. Then  $\pi$  extends to a continuous map from  $\mathfrak{N}(\Delta)$  to  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$ , and this extension is also denoted by  $\pi$ . The measure  $\lambda = \pi^*(d\Theta/2\pi)$  is called the *harmonic measure* on  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  for the point  $z_0 = \pi(0)$ . The class of mutual absolute continuity of  $\lambda$  does not depend on the specific choice of  $\pi$  or  $z_0$ , nor does the closed support  $\text{supp } \lambda$  of  $\lambda$ . Furthermore,  $\text{supp } \lambda$  includes  $\mathfrak{M}(D)$ , so that

$$f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus [f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda) \cup R(f, \zeta)] \subset f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus [f(\mathfrak{M}_\zeta) \cup R(f, \zeta)].$$

Our second theorem is the following.

1.3 THEOREM. *If  $f \in H^\infty(D)$  and  $\zeta \in \partial D$ , then the set*

$$f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus [f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda) \cup R(f, \zeta)]$$

*has zero logarithmic capacity.*

Theorem 1.3 will be proved in §4.

In the case of the unit disc,  $\lambda$  coincides with  $d\Theta/2\pi$ , so that  $\mathfrak{N}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta$ . Theorem 1.3 is then a direct generalization of the Weiss Theorem.

Theorem 1.3 can be reinterpreted in terms of various concrete cluster sets. As noted earlier,  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$  coincides with  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta)$ . To reinterpret  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda)$ , we give some definitions which are based on [4, p. 394].

For  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , the image under the universal covering map  $\pi$  of the interval  $\{re^{i\theta}: 0 \leq r < 1\}$  is called a *conformal ray* and denoted by  $\gamma_\theta$ . Let  $f \in H^\infty(D)$ . If  $Q$  is a subset of  $\partial D$ , then the *essential cluster set of  $f$  along conformal rays terminating in  $Q$* , denoted by  $\text{Cl}_r(f, Q)$ , consists of those complex numbers  $w$  with the following property: For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a set of conformal rays of positive measure (with respect to the parameter  $\theta$ ), each of which terminates at a point of  $Q$ , and along each of which  $f$  has a limit within  $\epsilon$  of  $w$ . Let  $\Delta_\delta$  denote the open disc of radius  $\delta$  centered at  $\zeta$ . The set

$$(1.4) \quad \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \text{Cl}_r(f, \Delta_\delta \cap \partial D)$$

is then a closed subset of the boundary cluster set of  $f$  at  $\zeta$ . Theorem 2.3 of [4]

shows that the set (1.4) coincides with  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda)$ , that is, (1.4) is the desired "classical" reinterpretation of  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda)$ .

Now the projection  $Z^*(\lambda)$  of the measure  $\lambda$  onto  $\bar{D}$  coincides with the harmonic measure  $\mu$  on  $\partial D$  for  $z_0 \in D$  (cf. [4, Lemma 2.1]). Consequently a Borel subset  $E$  of  $\partial D$  which has zero harmonic measure corresponds to a subset  $Z^{-1}(E) \cap \text{supp } \lambda$  which has no relative interior in  $\text{supp } \lambda$ . This observation leads immediately to the following version of Tsuji's Theorem, which includes also the Lohwater Theorem.

**1.4 COROLLARY.** *Let  $f \in H^\infty(D)$ , let  $\zeta \in \partial D$ , and let  $E$  be a Borel subset of  $\partial D$  of zero harmonic measure. Then*

$$\text{Cl}(f, \zeta) \setminus [\text{Cl}_{(\partial D) \setminus E}(f, \zeta) \cup R(f, \zeta)]$$

*has zero logarithmic capacity.*

The example constructed earlier can be used to show that Theorem 1.3 is also sharp. Indeed, if the set  $S$  of the example is taken to have zero logarithmic capacity, then the harmonic measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  coincides with the harmonic measure on  $\mathfrak{N}(W)$  via the natural identification  $\mathfrak{N}(D) \cong \mathfrak{N}(W)$ . Furthermore, the Ahlfors function  $G$  of  $D$  is unimodular on  $\text{supp } \lambda$ , so that

$$G(\mathfrak{N}_0) \setminus [G(\mathfrak{N}_0 \cap \text{supp } \lambda) \cup R(G, 0)] = S.$$

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Since Theorem 1.1 is trivially valid when  $\zeta$  is an inessential boundary point of  $D$ , we assume that  $\zeta$  is an essential boundary point of  $D$ .

The inessential boundary points of  $D$  form a set of zero analytic capacity, across which all functions in  $H^\infty(D)$  extend analytically. By adjoining this set to  $D$ , we increase  $R(f, \zeta)$  by at most a set of zero analytic capacity. Consequently we can assume that every boundary point of  $D$  is essential.

For  $\delta > 0$ , let  $\Delta_\delta$  denote the open disc centered at  $\zeta$  with radius  $\delta$ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad R(f, \zeta) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} f(D \cap \Delta_\delta).$$

Now suppose that  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus [f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta) \cup R(f, \zeta)]$  has positive analytic capacity. From (2.1) it follows that  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus [f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta) \cup f(D \cap \Delta_\delta)]$  has positive analytic capacity for some  $\delta > 0$ . There is then a compact subset  $E$  of  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad E \text{ has positive analytic capacity,}$$

$$(2.3) \quad E \text{ is at a positive distance from } f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta), \text{ and}$$

$$(2.4) \quad E \text{ does not meet } f(D \cap \Delta_\delta).$$

The closure of  $f(D \cap \Delta_\delta)$  includes  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta) = f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$ . Hence (2.4) shows that  $E$  is nowhere dense in  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$ . Since  $f(\mathbb{I}_\zeta)$  includes the topological boundary

of  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta)$ , the set  $f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) \setminus f(\mathbb{M}_\zeta)$  is an open subset of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , and hence

$$(2.5) \quad E \text{ is nowhere dense in } \mathbb{C}.$$

On account of (2.2) and (2.3) there is a bounded analytic function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$  which satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad |g(z)| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow E} |g(z)| = 1,$$

$$(2.8) \quad |g(z)| < 1/4, \quad z \in f(\mathbb{M}_\zeta).$$

On account of (2.4), the function  $g \circ f$  is defined and analytic on  $D \cap \Delta_\delta$ , and satisfies  $|g \circ f| < 1$  there.

Now choose a sequence  $z_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E$  such that  $|g(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$ . Then  $\{z_n\}$  accumulates on  $E$ , so that eventually  $z_n \in f(\mathfrak{N}_\zeta) = \text{Cl}(f, \zeta)$ . Consequently there are  $\zeta_{nm} \in D \cap \Delta_\delta$  such that  $\zeta_{nm} \rightarrow \zeta$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , while  $f(\zeta_{nm}) \rightarrow z_n$ . Hence  $(g \circ f)(\zeta_{nm}) \rightarrow f(z_n)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that

$$(2.9) \quad \limsup_{D \cap \Delta_\delta \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} |(g \circ f)(z)| = 1.$$

By [3, Lemma 1.1], there exist  $F \in H^\infty(D)$  and  $h \in H^\infty(D \cap \Delta_\delta)$  such that  $h$  is analytic at  $\zeta$ ,  $h(\zeta) = 0$ , and  $g \circ h = F + h$ . From (2.9) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \limsup_{D \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} |F(z)| = 1.$$

Let  $\varphi \in \mathbb{M}_\zeta$ . Then there is a net  $\{z_\alpha\}$  in  $D$  which converges to  $\varphi$ . In the topology of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $z_\alpha$  converges to  $\zeta$ , so that  $F(z_\alpha) - g(f(z_\alpha)) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $F(\varphi) = g(f(\varphi))$ . From (2.8) we obtain  $|F(\varphi)| < \frac{1}{4}$ , this for all  $\varphi \in \mathbb{M}_\zeta$ . Since  $\mathbb{M}_\zeta$  is the Shilov boundary of the fiber algebra,  $|F| < \frac{1}{4}$  on  $\mathfrak{N}_\zeta$ . This contradicts (2.10). The theorem is established.

**3. The space of bounded harmonic functions on  $D$ .** For the purposes of proving Theorem 1.3, it will be convenient to replace  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  by an appropriate compactification  $\mathfrak{Q}(D)$  of  $D$ , and to redefine  $\lambda$  as a measure on  $\mathfrak{Q}(D)$ .

The space of complex-valued bounded harmonic functions on  $D$  will be denoted by  $\text{BH}(D)$ . The smallest compactification of  $D$  to which all the functions in  $\text{BH}(D)$  extend continuously will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{Q}(D)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}(D)$  can be obtained from the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $D$  by identifying pairs of points identified by  $\text{BH}(D)$ .

In this section we will establish a ‘‘localization’’ result, Theorem 3.6, for  $\mathfrak{Q}(D)$ . Most of the material preliminary to this result is well known. For a detailed treatment of various compactifications of Riemann surfaces, see [1].

The closure of  $D$  in  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  is obtained from  $\mathfrak{Q}(D)$  by identifying pairs of points which are identified by  $H^\infty(D)$ . In the case of the open unit disc  $\Delta$ ,

$\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ . Indeed Carleson's Corona Theorem asserts that  $\Delta$  is dense in  $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ . Since every real-valued function  $u \in \text{BH}(\Delta)$  is of the form  $u = \log|f|$  for some  $f \in H^\infty(D)$ , the functions in  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  already separate the points of  $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{Q}(\Delta) = \mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ .

If  $h$  is an analytic map from a domain  $D'$  to  $D$ , then  $h$  extends to a continuous map from  $\mathcal{Q}(D')$  to  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ . In particular, the universal covering map  $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow D$  extends to a continuous map,

$$\pi: \mathcal{N}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(D).$$

For  $w \in \Delta$ , let  $m_w$  be the lift to  $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$  of the usual Poisson representing measure for  $w$ . If  $z \in D$  satisfies  $\pi(z) = w$ , then  $\lambda_z = \pi^*(m_w)$  is the *harmonic measure on  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$  for  $z$* . It is easy to check that the measure  $\lambda_z$  does not depend on the choice of  $z \in \pi^{-1}(w)$ . Furthermore,

$$u(z) = \int u d\lambda_z, \quad z \in D, u \in \text{BH}(D).$$

Since the  $m_w$  are all mutually absolutely continuous with respect to  $d\Theta$ , the  $\lambda_z$  are all mutually absolutely continuous. When we are concerned only with the class of mutual absolute continuity of  $\lambda_z$ , we will abbreviate  $\lambda_z$  to  $\lambda$ .

3.1 LEMMA. *The correspondence*

$$u \rightarrow \tilde{u}, \quad \tilde{u}(z) = \int u d\lambda_z,$$

*determines an isometric isomorphism of  $L^\infty(\lambda)$  and  $\text{BH}(D)$ . Consequently*

$$L^\infty(\lambda) \cong C(\text{supp } \lambda) \cong \text{BH}(D).$$

*Furthermore, the closed support  $\text{supp } \lambda$  of  $\lambda$  is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space  $\Sigma(\lambda)$  of  $L^\infty(\lambda)$ .*

PROOF. Every function in  $\text{BH}(D)$  is the Poisson integral of a continuous function on  $\text{supp } \lambda$  with the same norm. On the other hand, if  $u \in L^\infty(\lambda)$  is arbitrary, then  $\tilde{u} \in \text{BH}(D)$ , so that  $u$  and (the extension of)  $\tilde{u}$  have the same Poisson integrals. It suffices then to show that if  $u \in L^\infty(\lambda)$  satisfies  $\tilde{u} = 0$ , then  $u = 0$  a.e. ( $d\lambda$ ).

Suppose  $u \in L^\infty(\lambda)$  satisfies  $\tilde{u} = 0$ . Then  $u \circ \pi \in L^\infty(d\Theta)$  satisfies

$$\int (u \circ \pi) dm_w = \int u d\lambda_{\pi(w)} = 0, \quad w \in \Delta.$$

By a classical result [8],  $u \circ \pi = 0$  a.e. ( $d\Theta$ ). Hence  $u = 0$  a.e. ( $d\lambda$ ). Q.E.D.

From Lemma 3.1 it follows that  $\text{supp } \lambda$  is the Choquet boundary of  $\text{BH}(D)$ . Furthermore  $\lambda$  is a normal measure on  $\text{supp } \lambda$ . In fact,  $\lambda$  is characterized, up to mutual absolute continuity, as the normal measure on the Choquet boundary of  $\text{BH}(D)$  whose closed support coincides with the Choquet boundary.

Roughly the same state of affairs holds if  $D$  is any bounded open set. If  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  are the constituent components of  $D$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$  can be regarded

as a clopen subset of  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ . If  $\lambda_j$  is the harmonic measure on  $\mathcal{Q}(D_j)$ , then the measure  $\lambda = \sum \lambda_j / 2^j$  can be referred to as the harmonic measure on  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ . Again there are isometric isomorphisms

$$C(\text{supp } \lambda) \cong L^\infty(\lambda) \cong \text{BH}(D),$$

and a homeomorphism  $\text{supp } \lambda \cong \Sigma(d\lambda)$ .

Redefine  $Z$  to be the extension of the coordinate function  $z$  to  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ , so that  $Z$  maps  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$  onto  $\bar{D}$ . As noted earlier,  $Z^*(\lambda_z)$  coincides with the harmonic measure  $\mu_z$  on  $\partial D$  for  $z \in D$ . Since the set  $R$  of regular boundary points of  $D$  has full harmonic measure, the set  $Z^{-1}(R) \subset \mathcal{Q}(D)$  has full  $\lambda$ -measure. In particular, we obtain the following.

**3.2 LEMMA.** *Let  $R$  be the set of regular boundary points of  $D$ . Then  $Z^{-1}(R) \cap \text{supp } \lambda$  is dense in  $\text{supp } \lambda$ .*

Let  $\zeta \in \partial D$ . The fiber  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D)$ , or  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$ , is defined to be the set of all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}(D)$  such that  $Z(\varphi) = \zeta$ :

$$\mathcal{Q}_\zeta = Z^{-1}(\{\zeta\}) \subset \mathcal{Q}(D).$$

**3.3 LEMMA.** *Let  $\zeta$  be a regular boundary point of  $D$ . Let  $u \in \text{BH}(D)$ , and let  $p$  be a strictly positive continuous function on  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$  such that  $|u| \leq p$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$ . Then there is  $v \in \text{BH}(D)$  such that  $|v| \leq p$  on  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ , while  $v = u$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 6.1 of [6] (which stems from a classical construction of Keldysh and Bishop), it suffices to show that there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $\text{BH}(D)$  and  $M > 0$  such that  $|u_n| \leq M$  for all  $n$ ,  $u_n = u$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$ , and  $\{u_n\}$  converges uniformly to zero on each subset of  $D$  at a positive distance from  $\zeta$ .

Define  $u_n \in \text{BH}(D)$  by

$$u_n(z) = \int_{Z^{-1}(\Delta_\delta)} u d\lambda_z, \quad z \in D,$$

where  $\delta = 1/n$ , and  $\Delta_\delta$  is the open disc of radius  $\delta$  centered at  $\zeta$ . The estimate  $|u_n| \leq \|u\|$  is immediate.

Since  $\zeta$  is regular, the harmonic measures  $\mu_z$  on  $\partial D$  for  $z$  cluster at the point mass at  $\zeta$  as  $z \in D$  tends to  $\zeta$ . Since  $Z^*(\lambda_z) = \mu_z$ , the measures  $\lambda_z$  cluster towards measures on the fiber  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$  as  $z \in D$  tends to  $\zeta$ . Consequently

$$u_n(z) - u(z) = \int_{Z^{-1}(D \setminus \Delta_\delta)} u d\lambda_z$$

tends to zero as  $z \in D$  approaches  $\zeta$ . Hence  $u_n = u$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$ .

An elementary estimate on harmonic measure shows that  $\mu_z(\Delta_\delta)$  tends to zero uniformly on each subset of  $D$  at a positive distance from  $\zeta$ . Consequently  $\{u_n\}$  tends to zero uniformly on each such set. Q.E.D.

**3.4 COROLLARY.** *If  $\zeta$  is a regular boundary point of  $D$ , then the restriction*

space  $BH(D)|_{\mathcal{Q}_\zeta}$  is a closed subspace of  $C(\mathcal{Q}_\zeta)$  whose Choquet boundary is  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda$ .

The next lemma shows that the fiber  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$  depends only on the local configuration of  $D$  near  $\zeta$ .

**3.5 LEMMA.** *Let  $\zeta \in \partial D$ , let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $\zeta$ , and let  $u \in BH(D \cap U)$ . Then there exists  $v \in BH(D)$  such that  $v - u$  extends harmonically to a neighborhood of  $\zeta$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $g$  be a smooth function supported on a compact subset of  $U$ , such that  $g = 1$  near  $\zeta$ . Declare  $u$  to be zero off  $D \cap U$ , and define

$$v(z) = u(z)g(z) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint u(w)(\Delta g)(w) \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} ds dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi} \iint u(w) \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(w) \frac{s-x}{|w-z|^2} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(w) \frac{t-y}{|w-z|^2} \right] ds dt,$$

where  $w = s + it$ . Then  $v$  satisfies the differential equation  $\Delta v = g\Delta u$  in the sense of distributions. It is easy to check (cf. [10]) that  $v$  has the desired properties. Q.E.D.

**3.6 THEOREM.** *Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $C$ . Then the inclusion  $D \cap U \rightarrow D$  induces a homeomorphism*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D \cap U) \cong \mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D), \quad \text{all } \zeta \in \partial D \cap U.$$

Furthermore, the natural map

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}(D \cap U) \cap Z^{-1}(U \cap \partial D) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(D) \cap Z^{-1}(U \cap \partial D)$$

is a homeomorphism. The restriction to  $Z^{-1}(U \cap \partial D)$  of the harmonic measure on  $\mathcal{Q}(D \cap U)$  corresponds to a measure which is mutually absolutely continuous with the restriction to  $Z^{-1}(U \cap \partial D)$  of the harmonic measure on  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ .

**PROOF.** The inclusion  $D \cap U \rightarrow D$  induces a continuous map  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D \cap U) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D)$ , which identifies points of  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D \cap U)$  which are identified by  $BH(D)$ . By Lemma 3.5, no such identification occurs, so the fibers are homeomorphic. The map given by (3.2) is then a homeomorphism.

The homeomorphism of fibers induces an isomorphism

$$BH(D \cap U)|_{\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D \cap U)} \cong BH(D)|_{\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D)}.$$

In particular, the Choquet boundaries of these restriction spaces correspond to each other under the fiber homeomorphism.

It will be convenient henceforth to identify  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D \cap U)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D)$  via (3.1), for  $\zeta \in U \cap \partial D$ .

Since the Wiener criterion is local, the point  $\zeta \in U \cap \partial D$  is a regular boundary point of  $D$  if and only if it is a regular boundary point of  $D \cap U$ .

In this case, Lemma 3.4 (which applies, even if  $D \cap U$  is not connected) shows that the supports for the harmonic measures on  $\mathcal{Q}(D \cap U)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$  meet the fiber over  $\zeta$  in the same set. Lemma 3.2 then shows that the supports of the harmonic measures meet  $Z^{-1}(D \cap U)$  in the same set. Since both measures are normal measures on extremely disconnected spaces, their restrictions to  $Z^{-1}(D \cap U)$  must be mutually absolutely continuous. Q.E.D.

Note again that the hypothesis that  $D$  be connected is irrelevant, providing harmonic measure is defined as indicated earlier.

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Since  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$  is obtained from the subset of  $\mathfrak{N}_\zeta$  adherent to  $D$  by identifying those pairs of points which are identified by  $H^\infty(D)$ , and since the harmonic measure on  $\mathfrak{N}(D)$  is collapsed to the harmonic measure on  $\mathcal{Q}(D)$  under this identification, it suffices to prove that

$$f(\mathcal{Q}_\zeta) \setminus [f(\mathcal{Q}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda) \cup R(f, \zeta)]$$

has zero logarithmic capacity whenever  $f \in H^\infty(D)$ .

Suppose, on the contrary, that this statement fails for certain  $f$  and  $\zeta$ . Then there exist a disc  $\Delta_\delta$  centered at  $\zeta$  with radius  $\delta$  and a compact set

$$E \subset f(\mathcal{Q}_\zeta) \setminus f(\mathcal{Q}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda),$$

such that  $E$  has positive logarithmic capacity, while

$$(4.1) \quad E \cap f(\Delta_\delta \cap D) = \emptyset.$$

Let  $u$  be a real-valued harmonic function on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$  such that

$$u < 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C} \setminus E, \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow E} u(z) = 0.$$

On account of (4.1) the function  $v = u \circ f$  is well defined and harmonic on  $D \cap \Delta_\delta$ .

Choose  $w_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E$  such that  $u(w_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Now  $E$  is a compact subset of the interior  $\text{Cl}(f, \zeta)$ . Consequently for  $n$  large, there is  $z_n$  near  $\zeta$  such that  $f(z_n)$  is near  $w_n$ . In this manner we obtain a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $D$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow \zeta$  and  $u(f(z_n)) \rightarrow 0$ . In other words,

$$(4.2) \quad \limsup_{D \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} v(z) = 0.$$

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda$ . Suppose  $\{z_\alpha\}$  is a net in  $D \cap \Delta_\delta$  which converges in the topology of  $\mathcal{Q}(D \cap \Delta_\delta)$  to  $\varphi$ . Setting

$$a = \sup\{u(z) : z \in f(\mathcal{Q}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda)\} < 0,$$

we obtain

$$v(\varphi) = \lim u(f(z_\alpha)) = u(f(\varphi)) \leq a.$$

Consequently

$$(4.3) \quad v \leq a < 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{Q}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta \cap \text{supp } \lambda$  refers here both to a subset of  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D)$  and a subset of  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta(D \cap \Delta_\delta)$ . This is permitted, on account of the identification furnished by Theorem 3.6.

Suppose  $\zeta$  is a regular boundary point of  $D$ . From (4.3) and Lemma 3.4 we conclude that  $v \leq a < 0$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_\zeta$ . This contradicts (4.2), and the theorem is established for regular boundary points.

Suppose that  $\zeta$  is an irregular boundary point of  $D$ . In this case,  $\{\zeta\}$  is a connected component of  $\partial D$ ; in fact, Beurling's condition for regular boundary points [12] shows that for arbitrarily small values of  $\delta$ , the boundary  $\partial\Delta_\delta$  of  $\Delta_\delta$  is contained in  $D$ . By shrinking  $E$  and choosing a small, appropriate  $\delta > 0$ , we can make the following further assumptions:

$$(4.4) \quad E \cap f(\partial\Delta_\delta) = \emptyset,$$

$$(4.5) \quad E \cap f(\mathcal{Q}_\xi \cap \text{supp } \lambda) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \Delta_\delta \cap \partial D.$$

Now  $v = u \circ f$  is harmonic on  $\bar{\Delta}_\delta \cap D$ . As before, (4.5) shows that

$$\sup\{v(\varphi) : \varphi \in Z^{-1}(\Delta_\delta) \cap \text{supp } \lambda\} < 0,$$

while from (4.4) we obtain

$$\sup\{v(z) : z \in \partial\Delta_\delta\} < 0.$$

Consequently there is a constant  $b < 0$  such that  $v \leq b$  on the closed support of the harmonic measure for  $\mathcal{Q}(D \cap \Delta_\delta)$ . It follows that  $v \leq b < 0$  on  $D \cap \Delta_\delta$ . This contradicts (4.2), so that the theorem is also established for irregular boundary points. Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen*, Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete, Bd. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 28 #3151.
2. T. W. Gamelin, *Lectures on  $H^\infty(D)$* , Notas de Matemática, La Plata, Argentina, 1972.
3. ———, *Localization of the corona problem*, Pacific J. Math. 34 (1970), 73–81. MR 43 #2482.
4. ———, *Iversen's theorem and fiber algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 389–414. MR 49 #7783.
5. ———, *The algebra of bounded analytic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 1095–1108. MR 48 #4742.
6. T. W. Gamelin and J. Garnett, *Distinguished homomorphisms and fiber algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970), 455–474. MR 46 #2434.
7. S. Ya. Havinson, *Analytic capacity of sets, joint nontriviality of various classes of analytic functions and the Schwarz lemma in arbitrary domains*, Mat. Sb. 54 (96) (1961), 3–50; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 43 (1964), 215–266. MR 25 #182.
8. Kenneth Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall Ser. in Modern Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962. MR 24 #A2844.

9. A. J. Lohwater, *On the theorems of Gross and Iversen*, J. Analyse Math. 7 (1959/60), 209–221. MR 23 #A1043.
10. R. Ludwig, *Approximation of harmonic functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, UCLA, 1969.
11. K. Noshiro, *Cluster sets*, Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete, N. F., Heft 28, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960. MR 24 #A3295.
12. M. Tsuji, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959. MR 22 #5712.
13. M. L. Weiss, *Cluster sets of bounded analytic functions from a Banach algebraic viewpoint*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I No. 367 (1965), 14 pp. MR 35 #379.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024