

## LINEAR SPACES WITH AN $H^*$ -ALGEBRA-VALUED INNER PRODUCT

BY

PARFENY P. SAWOROTNOW

**ABSTRACT.** The paper deals with a particular class of  $VH$ -spaces of Loynes [5] whose inner product assumes its values in a trace-algebra associated with an  $H^*$ -algebra. It is shown that these spaces admit a structure of a "nonassociative module", and this structure could be used to characterize such spaces. Also we characterize other related spaces.

**1. Introduction.** The class of Hilbert modules constitutes an important collection of examples of  $LVH$ -spaces of Loynes [5]. It has been studied by Goldstine and Horwitz, the author, Giellis and Smith.

The present paper deals with a more general class of  $LVH$ -spaces. The inner product of these spaces assumes its value in an  $H^*$ -algebra but we do not postulate existence of a module structure. There is a rather interesting theory associated with these spaces that allows for a new way of looking at the results of Loynes [5], [6]. We would like to bring this theory to the attention of the mathematical community.

We shall use the definitions and the notation of [5] and the previous papers of the author.

**2. General remarks and examples.** Let  $A$  be a proper  $H^*$ -algebra with the norm denoted by  $|\cdot|$ . Then its trace-class  $\tau A$  [16] is strongly admissible in the sense of Loynes [5, p. 167] (hence every  $VH$ -space over  $\tau A$  is an  $LVH$ -space [5, p. 168]). This fact can be easily verified: the property (3) in [5] on page 167 follows from the fact that the norm  $\tau(\cdot)$  is additive on positive members of  $\tau A$  and the property (6) (the same page) follows from the fact that  $\tau A$  is monotone complete in the sense of Wright [18].

Note also that the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  of  $A$  can be expressed in terms of the trace  $\text{tr}$  of  $\tau A$ ,  $(x, y) = \text{tr}(y^*x) = \text{tr}(xy^*)$ .

Let  $S$  be a space and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $S$ . In particular  $\mathfrak{A}$  could be the class of Borel subsets of a locally compact Hausdorff space  $S$ . Let  $\eta$  be a positive  $\tau A$ -valued measure on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Consider the space  $K = L^2(S, \eta)$  of all  $\mathfrak{A}$ -measurable complex-valued functions  $x(s)$  on  $S$  such that  $|x(s)|^2$  is  $\eta$ -summable. Then  $K$  is an  $LVH$ -space over  $\tau A$  with the inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  defined by  $[x, y] = \int x(s)\bar{y}(s) d\eta(s)$ . It is not a Hilbert module.

Another example of an  $LVH$ -space could be constructed by taking an arbitrary closed linear subspace  $K$  of a Hilbert module  $H$ . Note that for this example it is

---

Received by the editors November 20, 1979; presented to the Society, October 20, 1979.  
*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 46H25; Secondary 46K15, 46G10.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/80/0000-0564/\$02.75

possible to introduce a certain structure on  $K$  which resembles the structure of a module. Let  $\text{pr}$  be the projection of  $H$  on to  $K$ . Define the map  $\cdot$  of  $K \times A$  into  $K$  by setting  $f \cdot a = \text{pr}(fa)$  ( $f \in K, a \in A$ ). Then this operation  $\cdot$  satisfies all the axioms of a module except for the fact that the equality  $(f \cdot a) \cdot b = f \cdot (a \cdot b)$  does not hold.

**3. Semimodule structure on a  $VH$ -space over  $\tau A$ .** It turns out that every  $VH$ -space over  $\tau A$  has a similar operation, and this fact can be used to characterize these spaces. Also it gives a new insight into the theory.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H$  be a  $VH$ -space over  $\tau A$  with the  $\tau A$ -valued inner product denoted by  $[ \cdot , \cdot ]$  and let  $f \in H, a \in A$ . Then there exists a unique member  $fa$  of  $H$  such that  $\text{tr}[fa, g] = \text{tr}(a[f, g]) = \text{tr}([f, g]a)$  for all  $g \in H$ . The map  $f, a \rightarrow fa$  has the following properties ( $f, g \in H, \lambda$  is a complex number and  $a, b \in A$ ):*

- (1)  $(f + g)a = fa + ga$ ;
- (2)  $f(a + b) = fa + fb$ ;
- (3)  $(\lambda f)a = \lambda(fa) = f(\lambda a)$ ;
- (4)  $\text{tr}[f, ga^*] = \text{tr}[fa, g] = \text{tr}([f, g]a)$ ;
- (5) the operators  $Ta: f \rightarrow fa$  are continuous for all  $a \in A$ .

(Note that  $(fa)b \neq f(ab)$ , in general.)

**PROOF.** According to the definition [5, pp. 167–168], the inner product  $[ \cdot , \cdot ]$  is the map  $H \times H \rightarrow \tau A$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $[x, x] \geq 0$ , and  $[x, x] = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $[x, y]^* = [y, x]$ ;
- (iii)  $[\lambda x + \eta y, z] = \lambda[x, z] + \eta[y, z]$  (here  $x, y, z \in H$  and  $\lambda, \eta$  are complex numbers).

Note that  $H$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product  $(x, y) = \text{tr}[x, y]$ .

Now we prove the existence of  $fa$ . Let us consider first the case when  $a$  is positive ( $\text{tr}(x^*ax) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in A$ ). In this case the map  $e: g \rightarrow \text{tr}(a[f, g]) = e(g)$  is linear and bounded (because of the Schwarz inequality):

$$|\text{tr} a[f, g]|^2 \leq \text{tr}(a[f, f]) \cdot \text{tr}(a[g, g]) \leq \|f\|^2 \|g\|^2 |a|^2.$$

Existence of  $fa$  and property (5) now follows from Riesz's theorem [4, 10G].

If  $a$  is arbitrary, then the first part of the theorem follows from the fact that  $a$  is a linear combination of positive members of  $A$  (if  $a^* = a$  then  $a = a^+ - a^-$ ; if  $a$  is arbitrary then  $a = a_1 + ia_2$ , where  $a_1^* = a_1, a_2^* = a_2$ ).

The rest of the theorem is easy to verify (e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[f, ga^*] &= \overline{\text{tr}[ga^*, f]} = \overline{\text{tr}(a^*[g, f])} = \text{tr}([g, f]^*a) \\ &= \text{tr}(a[f, g]) = \text{tr}[fa, g]. \end{aligned}$$

**4. Relation to the theory of Loynes.** Let  $H$  be a fixed  $VH$ -space over  $\tau A$ . Then  $H$  is an  $LVH$ -space (since  $\tau A$  is strongly admissible) as well as a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product  $(f, g) = \text{tr}[f, g]$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *A closed linear subspace  $M$  of  $H$  is accessible in the sense of Loynes [5, p. 173] if and only if it is closed under the map  $f \rightarrow fa$  for each  $a \in A$  ( $M$  is a "submodule" of  $H$ ).*

PROOF. If  $M$  is accessible then  $H = M \oplus M^P$ , where

$$M^P = \{ f \in H \mid [f, g] = 0 \text{ for all } g \in M \}$$

coincides with the orthogonal complement

$$M^\perp = \{ f \in H \mid (f, g) = \text{tr}[f, g] = 0 \text{ for all } g \in M \}.$$

Then it is easy to see that both  $M$  and  $M^P$  are “submodules” of  $H$  (if  $f \in M^P$  then  $\text{tr}[fa, g] = \text{tr}[a[f, g]] = 0$  for all  $g \in M$  and each  $a \in A$ , i.e.  $M^P$  is closed under the maps  $f \rightarrow fa$ ).

If  $M$  is closed under the map  $f \rightarrow fa$  and  $g \in M^\perp$ , then  $\text{tr}[a[g, f]] = \text{tr}[ga, f] = 0$  for each  $a \in A$  which simply means that  $[g, f]$  is orthogonal to each member  $a^*$  of  $A$ , i.e.  $[g, f] = 0$ . Thus  $M^P = M^\perp$  and  $M$  is accessible.

As in a Hilbert module [10] we can define an  $A$ -linearity of an operator  $T$  on  $H$  by requiring  $T(fa) = (Tf)a$  and  $T(f + g) = Tf + Tg$  for all  $f, g \in H$  and  $a \in A$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $T$  be a linear separator on  $H$  and let  $T^*$  be its adjoint (in the sense of a Hilbert space theory). Then  $[Tf, g] = [f, T^*g]$  for all  $f, g \in H$  ( $T^*$  is the adjoint of  $T$  also in the sense of Loynes) if and only if  $T(fa) = (Tf)a$  for all  $f \in H$ ,  $a \in A$  ( $T$  is  $A$ -linear). A similar theorem is also valid if  $T$  and  $T^*$  are defined only on a dense subset of  $H$ .

PROOF. If “[ $Tf, g$ ] = [ $f, T^*g$ ]” then  $T$  is  $A$ -homogeneous since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[T(fa), g] &= \text{tr}[fa, T^*g] = \text{tr}[a[f, T^*g]] \\ &= \text{tr}[a[Tf, g]] = \text{tr}[(Tf)a, g] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f, g \in H$  and each  $a \in A$ . If  $T$  is  $A$ -linear then the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{“tr}[a[f, T^*g]] = \text{tr}[(Tf)a, g] = \text{tr}[T(fa), g] \\ = \text{tr}[fa, T^*g] = \text{tr}[a[f, T^*g]]\text{”} \end{aligned}$$

implies that  $[Tf, g] - [f, T^*g]$  is orthogonal to each  $a^* \in A$  (which means that  $[Tf, g] = [f, T^*g]$ ) for all  $f, g \in H$ .

COROLLARY. A projection onto any accessible subspace of  $H$  is  $A$ -linear.

PROPOSITION 3. Assume that  $H$  is a Hilbert module [10] i.e.  $f(ab) = (fa)b$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and each  $f \in H$ . Then an  $A$ -linear operator  $T: H \rightarrow H$  is bounded if and only if it is bounded in the sense of Loynes [5, p. 169] and  $\|T\| = \text{glb}\{k > 0: [Tf, Tf] \leq k^2[f, f] \text{ for all } f \in H\} = \|T\|_L$ . If  $T$  is selfadjoint then

$$\text{glb}\{(Tf, f): \|f\| \leq 1\} = \text{lub}\{k: k[f, f] \leq [Tf, f]\}$$

and

$$\text{lub}\{(Tf, f): \|f\| \leq 1\} = \text{glb}\{K: [Tf, f] \leq K[f, f]\}.$$

( $\|T\|_L$  denotes the norm of  $T$  in the sense of Loynes [5, p. 169].)

PROOF. Assume that  $T$  is bounded. Then

$$\text{tr}[a[Tf, Tf]a^*] = \text{tr}[T(fa), T(fa)] \leq \|T\|^2 \|fa\|^2 = \|T\| \text{tr}[a[f, f]a^*]$$

for all  $f \in H, a \in A$ , which simply means that  $[Tf, Tf] \leq \|T\|^2[f, f]$  for all  $f \in H$ ,

i.e.  $T$  is bounded in the sense of Loynes and  $\|T\|_L \leq \|T\|$ . The fact that  $\|T\| \leq \|T\|_L$  is even easier to verify (we use the fact that the trace  $\text{tr}$  preserves the order and we do not need the property “ $f(ab) = (fa)b$ ”). The rest of the theorem is established in a similar fashion.

**5. Some applications.** An important consequence of the prior theory is the fact that the Spectral Theorem (Theorem 7 on page 174 of [5]) can be easily derived from the classical case, the way it was done for a Hilbert module (note that the proof of Theorem 7 in [10] does not depend on the property “ $(fa)b = f(ab)$ ”). Also we have a rather simple proof of Stone’s theorem for arbitrary locally compact groups (we can use the proof of Theorem 3 of [11] with almost no change). Note that Loynes in [5], [6] succeeded in proving Stone’s theorem only for the case when the group is either the group  $Z$  of integers (Theorem D in [6]) or the group  $R$  of real numbers (Theorem E in [6]). We can use Stone’s theorem to derive spectral representation theorems for stationary processes. One can define an  $H$ -valued stationary process (the way it was done in [13]) as a map  $\xi: G \rightarrow H$  of a locally compact commutative group  $G$  (into  $H$ ) which has the property that  $[\xi(t), \xi(s)] = [\xi(t+r), \xi(s+r)]$  for all  $r, s, t \in G$ . Then we have the following representation theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *For each  $H$ -valued stationary process  $\xi$  there exists an  $H$ -valued countably additive orthogonally scattered measure  $\nu$  defined on Borel subsets of  $\hat{G}$  such that*

$$\xi(t) = \int_G \overline{(t, \alpha)} d\nu(\alpha) \quad \text{for all } t.$$

The measure  $\nu$  has the property that  $[\nu(\Delta_1), \nu(\Delta_2)] = 0$  for any two disjoint Borel sets  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  (we may refer to the measure  $\nu$  as a “generalized orthogonally scattered measure” since this property is a generalization of property (iii) on page 64 of [8] (Definition 1.2)).

**PROOF.** Let  $H\xi$  be the closed subspace of  $H$  generated by the vectors of the form  $\xi(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , i.e.  $H\xi$  is the closure of the set  $\{\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \xi(t_k): t_1, \dots, t_n \in G \text{ and } \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \text{ are complex numbers}\}$ . Then introduce the operation  $f \rightarrow fa$  on  $H\xi$  ( $a \in A$ ), and for each  $t \in G$  define the operator  $U_t(\sum \lambda_k \xi(t_k)) = \sum \lambda_k \xi(t_k + t)$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $[U_t f, U_t g] = [f, g]$  and  $(U_t f, U_t g) = (f, g)$ , i.e.  $U_t$  is unitary in both the standard and the generalized senses. Hence we can apply Stone’s theorem, established in the same fashion as Theorem 3 in [11], to conclude that there exists a generalized spectral measure  $P: \Delta \rightarrow P_\Delta$  on  $\hat{G}$  such that each  $P_\Delta$  is an  $A$ -linear projection and  $U_t = \int_{\hat{G}} \overline{(t, \alpha)} dP_\alpha$ . The spectral measure  $P$  has the property that  $[P_\Delta(H\xi), P_{\Delta'}(H\xi)] = 0$  if  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are disjoint Borel sets. Then we define the “generalized orthogonally scattered measure”  $\nu$  on the Borel subsets of  $\hat{G}$  by setting  $\nu(\Delta) = P_\Delta \xi(1)$ , where  $1$  is the identity of  $G$ . Then it is easy to verify that

$$\xi(t) = \int_{\hat{G}} (t, \alpha) d\nu(\alpha) \quad \text{for each } t \in G.$$

**6. Characterization of  $VH$ -spaces over  $\tau A$ .** Let  $H$  again be a  $VH$ -space with a  $\tau A$ -valued inner product.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $\{e_\alpha\}$  be an approximate identity for  $A$ . Then*

$$\lim_\alpha (fe_\alpha, g) = (f, g) \text{ for all } f, g \in H.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $a, b \in A$  be such that  $[f, g] = ab$ . Then Proposition 4 follows from the following facts:

$$\begin{aligned} |(fe_\alpha, g) - (f, g)| &= |\text{tr}(e_\alpha[f, g]) - \text{tr}[f, g]| \\ &= |\text{tr}(e_\alpha ab - ab)| \leq |e_\alpha a - a| \cdot |b|, \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression tends to zero.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *If  $f \in H$  and  $a \in A$  then  $|(fa, f)| \leq \|La\| \|f\|^2$ , where  $La$  is the operator  $x \rightarrow ax$  acting on  $A$ .*

**PROOF.** The proposition follows from Lemma 5 of [16]:

$$|(fa, f)| = |\text{tr}(a[f, f])| \leq \tau(a[f, f]) \leq \|La\| \tau[f, f] = \|La\| \cdot \|f\|^2.$$

Using the last two propositions it is now easy to state an equivalent set of axioms for our class of  $VH$ -spaces.

**THEOREM 3 (A CHARACTERIZATION OF  $VH$ -SPACES OVER  $\tau A$ ).** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space which has a structure of nonassociative module. In other words, we are assuming that there is a map  $f, a \rightarrow fa$  of  $H \times A$  into  $H$  with following properties ( $f, g \in H, \lambda$  is a complex number and  $a, b \in A$ ):*

- (1)  $(f + g)a = fa + ga$ ;
- (2)  $f(a + b) = fa + fb$ ;
- (3)  $\lambda(fa) = (\lambda f)a = f(\lambda a)$ .

(We do not assume " $f(ab) = (fa)b$ ".) Assume further that

- (4)  $(fa, g) = (f, ga^*)$ ,
- (5)  $(fa^*, f) \geq 0$ ,
- (6)  $|(fa, f)| \leq \|La\| \cdot \|f\|^2$  (here  $La: x \rightarrow ax, a, x \in A$ ),
- (7)  $\lim_\alpha (fe_\alpha, g) = (f, g)$  for each approximate identity  $\{e_\alpha\}$  of  $A$ .

Then there exists a  $\tau A$ -valued inner product  $[f, g]$  on  $H$  such that  $H$  is a  $VH$ -space (and also an  $LVH$ -space) with respect to  $[ \ , \ ]$ , and  $(f, g) = \text{tr}[f, g]$ ,  $\text{tr}(fa, g) = \text{tr}(a[f, g])$  for all  $f, g \in H$  and each  $a \in A$ .

**PROOF.** For any fixed  $f \in H$  consider the linear map

$$1_f: La \rightarrow 1_f(La) = (fa, f).$$

It is bounded, since  $|(fa, f)| \leq \|La\| \|f\|^2$ , and is defined on a dense subset of  $C(A)$  [12, p. 101]. Hence it could be extended to entire  $C(A)$ . It follows from Theorem 1 of [12] that there exists a member  $[f, f]$  of  $\tau A$  such that  $(fa, f) = \text{tr}(a[f, f])$  for all  $a \in A$ . Next we define

$$\begin{aligned} [f, g] &= \frac{1}{4} \{ [f + g, f + g] - [f - g, f - g] \\ &\quad + i[f + ig, f + ig] - i[f - ig, f - ig] \} \end{aligned}$$

and verify that  $\text{tr}(a[f, g]) = (fa, g)$ . Then one can easily see that  $[ , ]$  is a generalized inner product in the sense of Loynes [5, p. 168] and the property (7) can be used to show that  $\text{tr}[f, g] = (f, g)$  for all  $f, g \in H$ . More specifically, take any approximate identity  $\{e_\alpha\}$  of  $A$  and verify

$$\text{tr}[f, g] = \lim_\alpha \text{tr}(e_\alpha[f, g]) = \lim_\alpha (fe_\alpha, g) = (f, g).$$

To prove that  $[ , ]$  is positive definite we use property 3 (5):  $\text{tr}(a^*[f, f]a) = \text{tr}(aa^*[f, f]) = (faa^*, f) \geq 0$ . To show that  $[f, g]^* = [g, f]$  we consider the following equality,  $a \in A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(a[f, g]) &= (fa, g) = (f, ga^*) = \overline{(ga^*, f)} = \overline{\text{tr}(a^*[g, f])} \\ &= \text{tr}([g, f]^*a) = \text{tr}(a[g, f]^*). \end{aligned}$$

**7. Characterization of other spaces.** As other applications of the previous theory we shall present a simple characterization of a Hilbert module (Theorem 4) as well as a characterization of the space  $L^2(S, \eta)$  where  $\eta$  is some Borel  $\tau A$ -valued measure defined on a compact subset  $S$  of the real line (Theorem 5).

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $H$  be a  $VH$ -space over  $\tau A$ . If the operation  $f, a \rightarrow fa$  has the property that  $[fa, g] = [f, g]a$  for all  $f, g$  in  $H$  and  $a \in A$ , then  $H$  is a Hilbert module [10] with respect to the  $\tau A$ -valued inner product  $[f, g]' = [g, f]$ .*

**PROOF.** It is sufficient to prove that  $(fa)b = f(ab)$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and each  $f \in H$ . But this is a consequence of the following identity ( $g \in H$ ):

$$\text{tr}[f(ab), g] = \text{tr}([f, g]ab) = \text{tr}([fa, g]b) = \text{tr}[(fa)b, g].$$

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $H$  be a  $VH$ -space over  $\tau A$  which has a bounded selfadjoint operator  $T$  with a simple spectrum [7, p. 149] (there exists  $q \in H$  such that the set  $\{p(T)q : p(T) = \lambda_0 I + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k T^k \text{ is a polynomial in } T\}$  is dense in  $H$ ). Then there exists a compact subset  $S$  of the real line and a  $\tau A$ -valued regular Borel measure  $\eta$  on  $S$  such that  $H$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L^2(S, \eta)$  and  $T$  corresponds to the multiplication of members of  $L^2(S, \eta)$  with some continuous real-valued function  $h(s)$  on  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be the Banach algebra generated by the operator  $T$  and the identity  $I$ . Then  $B$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, hence it is isomorphic to the space  $C(S)$  of continuous complex-valued functions on the space  $S$  of maximal ideals of  $B$ . It is well known that  $S$  is homeomorphic to the spectrum of  $T$ , hence it could be identified with a compact subset of the real line.

Let  $f \leftrightarrow T_f$  be the Gelfand correspondence between  $C(S)$  and  $B$ ; let  $h \in C(S)$  be such that  $T = T_h$ . The  $h$  is continuous and real valued.

Now we can apply the Daniell theory discussed in [15] to the positive linear map  $J: f \rightarrow [T_f(q), q] = J(f)$  to introduce the Borel  $\tau A$ -valued measure  $\eta$  on  $S$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $H$  is isomorphic to  $L^2(S, \eta)$  and  $T$  corresponds to the multiplication with  $h$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. Ambrose, *Structure theorems for a special class of Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1945), 364–386. MR 7, 126.
2. George R. Giellis, *A characterization of Hilbert module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 440–442.
3. H. H. Goldstine and L. P. Horwitz, *Hilbert space with non-associative scalars. II*, Math. Ann. **164** (1966), 291–316.
4. L. H. Loomis, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1953. MR 14, 883.
5. R. M. Loynes, *Linear operators in VH-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1965), 167–180.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *On a generalization of second-order stationarity*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 385–398.
7. G. W. Mackey, *Commutative Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes, Harvard University, 1952; Livraria Castelo, Rio de Janeiro, 1959.
8. Pesi Masani, *Orthogonally scattered measures*, Advances in Math. **2** (1968), 61–117.
9. M. A. Naimark, *Normed rings*, GITTL, Moscow, 1956; English transl. by L. F. Boron, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
10. P. P. Saworotnow, *A generalized Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 191–197.
11. \_\_\_\_\_, *Representation of a topological group on a Hilbert module*, Duke Math. J. **37** (1970), 145–150.
12. \_\_\_\_\_, *Trace-class and centralizers of an  $H^*$ -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 101–104. MR 42 #2304
13. \_\_\_\_\_, *Abstract stationary processes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1973), 585–589.
14. \_\_\_\_\_, *A characterization of Hilbert modules*, Bull. Calcutta Math. **68** (1976), 39–41.
15. \_\_\_\_\_, *Daniell construction for an  $H^*$ -algebra valued measure*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **25** (1976), 877–882.
16. P. P. Saworotnow and J. C. Friedell, *Trace-class for an arbitrary  $H^*$ -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 95–100. MR 42 #2304.
17. James F. Smith, *The structure of Hilbert modules*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **8** (1974), 741–749. MR 50 #10826.
18. J. D. Maitland Wright, *Measures with values in a partially ordered vector space*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **25** (1972), 675–688.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA, WASHINGTON, D. C. 20064