

## SOME RESTRICTIONS ON FINITE GROUPS ACTING FREELY ON $(S^n)^k$

BY  
GUNNAR CARLSSON<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Restrictions other than rank conditions on elementary abelian subgroups are found for finite groups acting freely on  $(S^n)^k$ , with trivial action on homology. It is shown that elements  $x$  of order  $p$ ,  $p$  an odd prime, with  $x$  in the normalizer of an elementary abelian 2-subgroup  $E$  of  $G$ , must act trivially on  $E$  unless  $p|(n+1)$ . It is also shown that if  $p=3$  or  $7$ ,  $x$  must act trivially, independent of  $n$ . This produces a large family of groups which do not act freely on  $(S^n)^k$  for any values of  $n$  and  $k$ . For certain primes  $p$ , using the mod two Steenrod algebra, one may show that  $x$  acts trivially unless  $2^{\mu(p)}|(n+1)$ , where  $\mu(p)$  is an integer depending on  $p$ .

**Introduction.** In this paper, we will describe some restrictions of the structure of finite groups  $G$  which act freely on spaces having the homotopy type  $\prod_{j=1}^k S^n$ . For the case of  $k=1$ , it is well known that all abelian subgroups of  $G$  are cyclic, and this condition is sufficient to allow the construction of a finite CW-complex on which  $G$  acts freely. In [2], it was proven that for  $k$  arbitrary, the elementary abelian 2-subgroups of  $G$  must have rank  $\leq k$ . However, for  $k \geq 1$ , more subtle restrictions than rank conditions are necessary. The following is known.

**THEOREM [5].**  $A_4$  does not act freely on  $S^n \times S^n$ , with trivial action on mod 2 homology.<sup>2</sup>

In this paper we apply the results of [2] to generalize this result considerably; thus, the main theorem, Theorem 4.4.

**THEOREM.** Let  $G$  be a semidirect product  $\mathbf{Z}/3 \times_T (\mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2)$  or  $\mathbf{Z}/7 \times_T (\mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2)$ , with nontrivial action. Then  $G$  does not act freely on a finite CW-complex  $X$ ,  $X \simeq \prod_{j=1}^k S^n$ , with trivial action on mod 2 homology, for any values of  $k$  and  $n$ .

For fixed values of  $n$ , we obtain stronger restrictions on  $G$ , in Theorem 3.5.

**THEOREM.** Let  $G$  act freely on  $X$ ,  $X \simeq \prod_{j=1}^k S^n$ , where  $X$  is finite, and acts trivially on  $H_*(X; \mathbf{Z}/2)$ . Let  $x \in N_G(E)$  be an odd order element of order  $p$ ,  $p$  prime, where  $N_G$  denotes normalizer and  $E$  is an elementary abelian subgroup of  $G$ . Then, unless  $p|(n+1)$ ,  $x$  is in the centralizer of  $E$ . Moreover, there is a function  $\mu: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ , such that  $x$  is in the centralizer unless  $2^{\mu(d)}|(n+1)$ . ( $\mu$  is nontrivial, i.e.  $\mu(31) = 3$ .)

---

Received by the editors September 24, 1979 and, in revised form, March 19, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55G10, 57E25.

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by NSF Grant MCS-7903192.

<sup>2</sup>R. Oliver informs me that he can also prove this theorem for  $(S^n)^k$ .

© 1981 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/81/0000-0161/\$03.25

EXAMPLE. Suppose  $x \in N_G(E)$  has order 127, and acts nontrivially on  $E$ . Then  $16 \cdot 127 | (n + 1)$ .

These conditions superficially resemble the Milnor condition (see [4]), for  $k = 1$ . However, the Milnor condition is a restriction on groups acting freely on a manifold  $M$ ,  $M \simeq S^n$ . Our conditions depend only on the 2-local homotopy type of the space  $X$ . Secondly, the Milnor condition depends on  $k$ ; the group  $D_6$  will act freely on  $S^n \times S^n$ , but not on  $S^n$ . Our conditions are independent of  $k$ .

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group of odd order, and let  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{Z}/2)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional linear representation of  $\Gamma$  over the field  $k = \mathbf{Z}/2$ . We define  $\Sigma(\Gamma, \rho)$  by

$$\Sigma(\Gamma, \rho) = \Gamma \times_{\rho} (\mathbf{Z}/2)^n,$$

the semidirect product of  $\Gamma$  with  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^n$ . Recall that the  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -cohomology of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^n$  is given by

$$H^*((\mathbf{Z}/2)^n; \mathbf{Z}/2) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/2)[x_1, \dots, x_n] \stackrel{\text{(defn.)}}{=} A_*$$

the polynomial ring on  $n$  1-dimensional generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Note that if  $\Gamma$  is a group of automorphisms of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^n$ , then  $\Gamma$  acts on  $A_*$ ; in fact, if  $M$  is the matrix describing the action of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  on  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^n$ , then  $\gamma$  acts on  $H^1((\mathbf{Z}/2)^n; \mathbf{Z}/2) = k \cdot x_1 + \dots + k \cdot x_n$  by the matrix  $M^t$ , and the action extends to the rest of  $A_*$  by multiplicativity.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $\Gamma$  be an odd order group,  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(k)$  a representation as above. Then  $H^*(\Sigma(\Gamma, \rho); k) \cong A_*^{\Gamma}$ , the ring of invariants under the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $A_*$ .*

PROOF. Consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the group extension

$$(\mathbf{Z}/2)^n \rightarrow \Sigma(\Gamma, \rho) \rightarrow \Gamma.$$

$E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for  $p \neq 0$  and  $E_2^{0,q} = A_q^{\Gamma}$ ; hence the result.  $\square$

Recall that since  $H^*(G; k)$  is the cohomology ring of the space  $BG$  for any group  $G$ , it is an algebra over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ . In particular,  $A_*$  is such an algebra, and the action of  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$  on  $A_*$  is determined by the Cartan formula and the requirements  $Sq^1 x_j = x_j^2$ ,  $Sq^i x_j = 0$  for  $i > 1$ .

We recall the inductive definition of the Milnor primitives  $Q_i$  by

$$Q_1 = Sq^1, \quad Q_{i+1} = [Q_i, Sq^{2^i}].$$

Conventionally, as in [1], we define a derivation  $Q_0$  on  $A_*$  by  $Q_0 x_i = x_i$ , and the requirement that  $Q_0$  be a derivation. We remark that under left multiplication of elements of  $A_*$ , the derivations of  $A_*$  to itself become a graded  $A_*$ -module.

PROPOSITION 2 (SEE [1]). (a)  $Q_i$  is a derivation of  $A_*$ .

(b) There exist polynomials  $\varphi_{ij} \in A_*$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$ ,  $l > n$ , such that  $Q_l = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{lj} Q_j$ .

(c)  $Q_0(z) = 0$  for  $z \in A_{2k}$ ,  $Q_0(z) = z$  for  $z \in A_{2k+1}$ .

PROPOSITION 3 [1]. Let  $\theta \in A_*$  satisfy  $Q_i \theta = 0 \forall i$ . Then  $\theta$  is a square.

COROLLARY 4. *If  $\theta \in A_*$  satisfies  $Q_j\theta = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , then  $\theta$  is a square.*

Finally, we recall some results from [2]. Let  $G$  denote a finite group acting freely on a finite CW-complex  $X$ , where  $X \simeq \coprod_{j=1}^k S^n$  so that the action of  $G$  on  $H^*(X; k)$  is trivial. Let  $y_j \in H^n(X)$  denote the dual to the fundamental class of the  $j$ th sphere. Consider the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$X \rightarrow EG \times_G X \rightarrow BG$$

where  $EG$  denotes a contractible space on which  $G$  acts freely. Define  $f_j \in H^{n+1}(BG; k) = H^{n+1}(G; k)$  to be  $d_{n+1}(y_j)$  in the above spectral sequence.

PROPOSITION 5 [2]. *The ideal in  $H^*(G; k)$  generated by the  $f_j$ 's is  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant.*

Let  $C_*$  denote a graded  $k$ -algebra, and let  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in C_*$  be a collection of homogeneous elements in  $C_*$ .

DEFINITION 6. *We say  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  is a homogeneous system of parameters (h.s.o.p.) for  $C_*$  if  $C_*$  is a finitely generated module over the subalgebra generated by the  $\theta_j$ 's.*

REMARK. This terminology is nonstandard in that the usual definition would require the set  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  to be algebraically independent.

THEOREM 7 [2]. *If  $G = (\mathbf{Z}/2)^n$ , and  $X$  and the  $f_j$ 's are as above, then the  $f_j$ 's form an h.s.o.p. for  $A_* = H^*((\mathbf{Z}/2)^n; k)$ .*

COROLLARY 8. *If  $G = \Sigma(\Gamma, \rho)$ , and  $X$  and the  $f_j$ 's are as above, then the  $f_j$ 's form an h.s.o.p. for  $A_*^\Gamma = H^*(\Sigma(\Gamma, \rho); k)$ .*

PROOF.  $A_*$  is finitely generated as an  $A_*^\Gamma$ -module.  $\square$

**2. Invariant theory for odd order cyclic groups.** We wish to study groups of the type  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}; \rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is a faithful, irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  over  $k$ ,  $n$  odd. The following four propositions are standard results in the representation theory of finite groups. We omit the proofs and refer the reader to [8]. We describe the faithful, irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  over  $k$ . Let  $k(\xi_n)$  denote the field obtained from  $k$  by adjoining the  $n$ th roots of unity, and let  $d_n = [k(\xi_n) : k]$ . Let  $T_n \subseteq k(\xi_n)$  consist of the  $n$ th roots, and let  $G = G(k(\xi_n)|k) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/d_n\mathbf{Z}$  denote the Galois group of  $k(\xi_n)$  over  $k$ . Note that  $G$  acts on  $T_n$ , and consequently on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}; T_n)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Any injection  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, T_n)$  defines an irreducible, faithful representation of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ , with  $k(\xi_n) \cong k^{\text{d.h.}}$  as representation space. Conversely, any irreducible, faithful representation of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  is obtained in this way, and two injections  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, T_n)$  define isomorphic representations iff  $\psi = \varphi^g$ , for some  $g \in G$ .*

It is also useful to consider the faithful, irreducible  $\bar{k}$ -representations of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ , where  $\bar{k}$  denotes the algebraic closure of  $k$ .

PROPOSITION 2. All irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  over  $\bar{k}$  are one-dimensional. Each  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, T_n)$  defines an irreducible representation of dimension 1, and all these representations are distinct.  $G(\bar{k}|k)$  acts on the irreducible representations.

Given a  $k$ -representation  $(V, \rho)$ , one may form a  $\bar{k}$ -representation  $(V \otimes_k \bar{k}, \rho \otimes \text{id})$ , which we write  $(\bar{V}, \bar{\rho})$ . We describe  $(\bar{V}, \bar{\rho})$ , where  $V = k(\zeta_n)$ , and  $\rho$  is an injection  $\rho: \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow T_n$ . Recall that the Frobenius map  $\gamma(x) = x^2$  topologically generates  $G(\bar{k}|k)$ , and hence  $\gamma$  generates  $G(\bar{k}|k)$ , where  $K$  is any finite extension of  $k$ . Moreover, for  $x \in T_n$ ,  $\gamma^{d_n}(x) = x$ , since  $d_n = [k(\zeta_n): k]$ . Let  $i: k(\zeta_n) \rightarrow \bar{k}$  be any embedding.

PROPOSITION 3.  $i \circ \rho$  defines an irreducible  $\bar{k}$  representation of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ , say  $\sigma$ . Then  $(\bar{V}, \bar{\rho}) \simeq \prod_{j=0}^{d_n-1} (\bar{k}, \sigma^{j'})$ . This is independent of  $i$ , since any two choices of  $i$  are conjugate under  $G(\bar{k}|k)$ .

Thus, an irreducible  $k$ -representation amounts to a choice of primitive  $n$ th roots of unity  $\zeta$ ; the representation  $(\bar{V}, \bar{\rho})$  is then defined by

$$\bar{V} = \bar{k}^{d_n}, \bar{\rho}(T)(x_1, \dots, x_{d_n}) = (\zeta x_1, \zeta^2 x_2, \dots, \zeta^{2^{(d_n-1)}} x_{d_n}).$$

If we let  $(V, \rho)$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -representation of a group  $G$  we may form the symmetric algebra  $k[V]$ , and extend the action of  $G$  to  $k[V]$ .  $k[V]^G$  will denote the ring of invariants. If  $G = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ , and  $\rho$  is a faithful, irreducible representation on  $V$ ,

$$H^*(\sum (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}; \rho); k) \cong k[V]^{Z/nZ}.$$

The structure of  $k[V]^{Z/nZ}$  may be complicated; for our purpose, however, it will suffice to know in what dimensions elements exist. For this purpose, we may tensor up to  $\bar{k}$ , for

PROPOSITION 4.  $k[V]^G \otimes_k \bar{k} \cong k[V]^G$ .

Let  $(W, \sigma)$  denote any representation of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  over  $\bar{k}$ . Thus  $W \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \bar{k}$ , and the representation is determined on a generator  $T$  of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  by

$$\rho(T) = \Delta(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s),$$

where  $\Delta(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)$  denotes the diagonal matrix with entries  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s$ ,  $n$ th roots of unity. Choosing a particular primitive  $n$ th root  $\zeta$ , we define integers modulo  $n$   $\lambda_j$  by  $\zeta_j = \zeta^{\lambda_j}$ .

PROPOSITION 5.  $\bar{k}[V]^{Z/nZ} \subseteq k[V]$  may be identified with the subalgebra of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_s]$  consisting of all monomials  $x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_s^{e_s}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^s e_j \lambda_j = 0 \pmod{n}.$$

PROOF. Let  $x_j$  represent a basis vector for the  $j$ th summand of  $(V, \rho)$ , so  $Tx_j = \zeta_j x_j = \zeta^{\lambda_j} x_j$ .

It is evident that the action of  $T$  respects the monomial basis of  $\bar{k}[V]$ . Given a monomial  $x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_s^{e_s}$ ,

$$T(x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_s^{e_s}) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^s \zeta_j^{e_j} \right) x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_s^{e_s} = \zeta^{\sum \lambda_j e_j} x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_s^{e_s},$$

so  $x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_s^{e_s} \in \bar{k}[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{d_n} \lambda_j e_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $(V, \rho)$  be any faithful, irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ -representation over  $k$ . Then  $k[\bar{V}]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$  may be identified with the subalgebra of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_{d_n}]$  consisting of all monomials  $x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_{d_n}^{e_{d_n}}$  so that  $\sum_{i=1}^{d_n} 2^i e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .*

**DEFINITION 7.** *Define the dyadic expansion number of  $n$ ,  $\nu(n)$ , by  $\nu(n) = \min_S \{ \sum_{i=1}^{d_n} \alpha_i \}$  where  $S = \{ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d_n}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{d_n} \alpha_i 2^i \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \}$ .*

**COROLLARY 8.** *Let  $(V, \rho)$  be as above. Then the first dimension for which  $k[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$  is nontrivial is  $\nu(n)$ .*

As remarked before,  $k[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$  is endowed with an  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -action. Extending the action  $k$ -linearly, we obtain an action of  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$  on  $\bar{k}[\bar{V}]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$ , which satisfies the Cartan formula and the axiom  $\text{Sq}^i(x) = 0$  if  $\dim x < i$ , but no longer satisfies the condition  $\text{Sq}^n(x) = x^2$  for  $\dim x = n$ . We wish to describe the  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -action on  $k[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$ , when this is given in a basis which splits  $\bar{V}$  as a direct sum of 1-dimensional representations, as in Corollary 6.

**LEMMA 9.** *In terms of a basis which splits  $\bar{V}$  into a sum of one-dimensional representations, say  $x_1, \dots, x_{d_n}$ , the action of  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$  on  $\bar{k}[\bar{V}]$  is characterized by the Cartan formula,  $\bar{k}$ -linearity, and the condition  $\text{Sq}^1 x_j = x_{j-1}^2$ . (Here  $x_0$  is identified with  $x_{d_n}$ .)*

**PROOF.** Writing  $(\bar{V}, \bar{\rho}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_n} (\bar{V}_j, \bar{\rho}_j)$ , where  $\bar{V}_i \cong \bar{k}$ , and  $\rho_i(T)(x) = \zeta^{2^{i-1}} x$ ,  $V$  may be identified with the invariants  $[\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_n} \bar{V}_j]^{G(\bar{k}|k)}$  where  $G(\bar{k}|k)$  acts on  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_n} V_j$  by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{d_n})^\gamma = (x_{d_n}^\gamma, x_1^\gamma, \dots, x_{d_n-1}^\gamma).$$

Now,  $\text{Sq}^1 x = x^2$  for  $x \in V = A_1$ , so it suffices to check that the formula of the theorem satisfies this condition on  $V$ . But every element in  $[\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_n} \bar{V}_j]^{G(\bar{k}|k)}$  is of the form

$$(\alpha, \alpha^\gamma, \alpha^{\gamma^2}, \dots, \alpha^{\gamma^{d_n-1}}), \text{ for } \alpha \in k(\zeta_n).$$

If  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d_n}) = (\alpha_{d_n}^\gamma, \alpha_1^\gamma, \dots, \alpha_{d_n-1}^\gamma)$ , we obtain  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{d_n}^\gamma = \alpha_{d_n-1}^{\gamma^2} = \dots = \alpha_1^{\gamma^{d_n}}$ . Thus,  $\alpha_0$  belongs to the fixed field of  $\gamma^{d_n}$ , which is  $k(\zeta_n)$ . Applying the formula of the theorem to elements of this type, we obtain

$$\text{Sq}^1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} \alpha^{\gamma^j} x_{j+1} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} \alpha^{\gamma^j} x_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} \alpha^{\gamma^{j+1}} x_{j+1}^2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} \alpha^{\gamma^j} x_{j+1} \right)^2,$$

where we interpret  $x_{d_n+1}$  as  $x_1$ .  $\square$

**3. Systems of parameters in  $k[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$ .** Recall from §1 the definition of an h.s.o.p.  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  of a graded  $k$ -algebra. We say  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant if the

ideal  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$  is preserved by the  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -action, provided the given graded  $k$ -algebra is an  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -algebra.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  be an h.s.o.p. for a graded algebra  $C_*$ , and  $j: C_* \rightarrow C'_*$  a surjective map of graded rings. Then  $\{j\theta_1, \dots, j\theta_k\}$  is an h.s.o.p. for  $C'_*$ . Similarly, if  $C_*$  and  $C'_*$  are  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -algebras, and  $j$  commutes with the  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -action, then  $\{j\theta_1, \dots, j\theta_k\}$  is an  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant h.s.o.p.*

PROOF. Immediate.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  is an h.s.o.p. for  $k[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$ , and suppose  $\dim(\theta_j) = d$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $n|d$ .*

PROOF. It is clear that  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  also forms an h.s.o.p. for  $\bar{k}[\bar{V}]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$ . Perform a basis change so that  $\bar{k}[\bar{V}]$  is identified with the subalgebra of  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{d_n}]$  defined in Corollary 2.6. Consider the ideal  $I \subseteq \bar{k}[\bar{V}]$  generated by all monomials  $x_i x_j; i \neq j$ . Then  $\bar{k}[\bar{V}]/I$  has the elements  $x_i^s$  as basis in dimension  $s$ . The image of  $\bar{k}[\bar{V}]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$  consists of the subalgebra generated by  $x_1^n, \dots, x_{d_n}^n$ . In particular,  $k[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}/_{I \cap k[V]^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}}$  is zero in all dimensions except multiples of  $n$ , and hence admits h.s.o.p.  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k\}$  with  $\dim(\eta_1) = \dots = \dim(\eta_k) = d$  only for  $n|d$ .  $\square$

Consider  $A_* = \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{d_n}]$ , with  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  acting by  $Tx_i = \zeta^{2^{t-1}} x_i$ ,  $\zeta$  a primitive  $n$ th root of unity, and  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$  acting as in Lemma 2.9.

LEMMA 3. *The ideal  $J$  generated by the elements  $\{x_i + x_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_n}$  is  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant. Hence  $A_*/J$  is an algebra over  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ . Moreover,  $A_*/J \cong k[x]$ , with  $\text{Sq}^1 x = x^2$ .*

PROOF. Clear.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4. *Let  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$  be an  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant h.s.o.p. for  $A_*^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$ , with  $\dim(\theta_1) = \dots = \dim(\theta_k) = S$ . Then  $2^{t+1}|S$ , where  $t$  is the largest integer satisfying  $2^t < \nu(n)$ .*

PROOF. According to Corollary 2.8,  $A_*^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}} = 0$  for  $* < \nu(n)$ . Consequently,  $B_* = 0$  also for  $* < \nu(n)$ , where  $B_* = A_*^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}/J \cap A_*^{\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$ . Let  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l\}$  be any  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant h.s.o.p. for  $B_*$ ,  $\dim(\eta_1) = \dots = \dim(\eta_l) = S$ . Since  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l\}$  is  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant,

$$\text{Sq}^i(\eta_j) = \sum \lambda_{jk}^i \eta_k,$$

where  $\lambda_{jk}^i \in B_i$ . But for  $i < \nu(n)$ ,  $B_i = 0$ , so  $\text{Sq}^i(\eta_j) = 0$  for  $i < \nu(n)$ . Using the decomposability of  $\text{Sq}^i$  for  $i$  not a power of 2, this is equivalent to the requirement  $\text{Sq}^{2^q}(\eta_j) = 0 \forall q < t$ . But, as is well known,

$$\text{Sq}^{2^q}(x^m) = 0 \quad \forall q < t \Rightarrow 2^{t+1}|m.$$

Since a basis for  $B_m$  is given by  $x^m$ , for  $m > \nu(n)$ , if the  $\eta_j$ 's are to form an h.s.o.p., we must have  $2^{t+1}|s$ . Applying the second half of Lemma 1, we see that  $\dim(\theta_1) = \dots = \dim(\theta_k) = S$  is divisible by  $2^{t+1}$ .  $\square$

We interpret this geometrically.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, \rho)$  act freely on  $X \simeq \prod_{j=1}^k S^m$ ,  $X$  finite, and trivially on  $H_*(X; k)$ , where  $\rho$  is an irreducible, faithful representation of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n$  odd. Then*

- (a)  $n|(m + 1)$ ,
- (b)  $2^{t+1} | (m + 1)$ , where  $t$  satisfies  $2^t < \nu(n) < 2^{t+1}$ .

**PROOF.** By Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.7, the  $f_j$ 's ( $f_j = d_{m+1}(z_j)$ ,  $z_j$  the dual to the fundamental class of the  $j$ th sphere) form an  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant h.s.o.p. for  $H^*(\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, \rho); k)$  with  $\dim(f_j) = m + 1$ . Proposition 2 and Proposition 4 now imply the result.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 6.** Let  $G = \Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/31, \rho)$ , so  $G = \mathbf{Z}/31 \times_{\rho} (\mathbf{Z}/2)^5$ .  $G$  acts freely on  $\prod_{j=1}^k S^m$ , for some  $k$ , with trivial action on homology only if  $8|(m + 1)$ , since  $\nu(31) = 5$ .

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $G$  be any finite group acting freely on  $\prod_{j=1}^k S^{2^t-1}$ , with trivial action on  $H_*(\prod_{j=1}^k S^{2^t-1}; k)$ . Let  $E \subseteq G$  be any elementary abelian 2-subgroup, and  $\Theta \subseteq N_G(E)$  an odd order subgroup. Then  $\Theta$  acts trivially on  $E$ .*

**PROOF.** Apply Theorem 5(a), noting that on  $\prod_{j=1}^k S^{2^t-1}$ , none of the groups  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}; \rho)$  act freely, and if there were an  $\Theta \subseteq N_G(E)$  which acted nontrivially on  $E$ , some  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}; \rho)$  would embed in  $G$ .  $\square$

**4. The groups  $A_4$  and  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}; \rho)$ .** In this section, we will prove that the groups  $A_4 = \Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}; \rho)$  and  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}; \rho)$  do not act freely on  $\prod_{j=1}^k S^n$  for any values of  $k$  and  $n$ , where as usual  $\rho$  denotes an irreducible, faithful representation of the odd order cyclic group in question. Using the terminology of §2, we observe that  $\nu(3) = 2$ , and  $\nu(7) = 3$ . Consequently  $H^1(A_4; k) = H^1(\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho); k) = H^2(\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}; \rho); k) = 0$ . Let  $A_* = \bar{k}[x_1, x_2]$  for  $A_4$ , and  $A_* = \bar{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  for  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho)$ . Let  $B_* = A_*^{\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}}$  and  $B_* = A_*^{\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}}$  respectively.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_t\}$  be a collection of homogeneous elements in  $H^*(G; k)$ , where  $G = A_4$  or  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho)$ , so that  $\dim(\theta_1) = \dots = \dim(\theta_t) = 2d$ . Suppose the ideal  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_t)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant. Then  $\theta_i$  is a square in  $A_*$  for all  $i$ .*

**PROOF.** By the above remarks, since  $H^*(A_4; k) = 0$  for  $* = 1$ , and  $H^*(\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho); k) = 0$  for  $* = 1, 2$ , if  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_t)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant, we must have  $\text{Sq}^1(\theta_i) = 0$  in the case of  $A_4$ , and  $\text{Sq}^1(\theta_i) = \text{Sq}^2(\theta_i) = 0$  in the case of  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho)$ . Since  $\dim(\theta_i) = 2d$ ,  $Q_0$  vanishes on  $\theta_i$  by Proposition 1.2(c). In the case of  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho)$ ,  $Q_2 = [\text{Sq}^1, \text{Sq}^2]$  vanishes on  $\theta_i$  as well.  $H^*(A_4; k)$  is a subalgebra of  $H^*(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}, k)$ , consequently  $Q_j$  may be expressed as a linear combination of  $Q_0$  and  $Q_1$  for all  $j$ . Hence  $Q_j\theta_i = 0 \forall j$ , which by Corollary 1.4 implies that  $\theta_i$  is a square. Similarly,  $[k(\zeta_7): k] = 3$ , so on  $H^*(\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho))$ ,  $Q_j$  may be expressed as a linear combination of  $Q_0, Q_1$ , and  $Q_2$ , implying  $Q_j\theta_i = 0 \forall j$ , so  $\theta_i$  is a square in  $A_*$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $\theta \in B_*$  be a homogeneous element in  $B_*$ , with  $\theta = \eta^2$  for some  $\eta \in A_*$ . Then  $\eta \in B_*$ .*

PROOF. The map  $x \rightarrow x^2$  from  $A_S$  to  $A_{2S}$  is  $k$ -linear and an injection. Hence  $\eta$  is uniquely determined. If  $\theta \in B_{2S}$ , then  $\theta$  is a sum  $\sum a_{(e_1, \dots, e_l)} x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_l^{e_l}$ , where the  $l$ -tuples  $(e_1, \dots, e_l)$  range over all solutions to the equation  $\sum 2^{i-1} e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , and  $n = 3$  or  $7$ ,  $l = 2$  or  $3$ .  $\theta$  is a square in  $A_*$  if and only if each  $e_j$  is even, say  $e_j = 2f_j$ . Thus,  $\eta = \sum \sqrt{a_{(e_1, \dots, e_l)}} x_1^{f_1} \cdots x_l^{f_l}$ . Now,  $\sum 2^{i-1} f_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum 2^{i-1} e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , since  $n$  is odd.  $\square$

Let  $A_*^2$  and  $B_*^2$  denote the subalgebras of squares in  $A_*$  and  $B_*$ . The above proposition shows that  $A_*^2 \cap B_* = B_*^2$ .

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s \in B_*^2$ ,  $\dim(\theta_1) = \dots = \dim(\theta_s)$ , and suppose that  $\xi = \sum \lambda_i \theta_i$ , where  $\xi \in B_*^2$  is homogeneous, and the  $\lambda_i$ 's are homogeneous elements of  $A_*$ . Then there is an expression  $\xi = \sum \lambda'_i \theta_i$ , with  $\lambda'_i$  homogeneous,  $\lambda'_i \in B_*^2$ .

PROOF. We first point out that  $A_* = \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_l]$  is free as an  $A_*^2$ -module, with basis consisting of all monomials  $x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_l^{d_l}$ , with  $d_j = 0$  or  $1$ . Given a monomial  $\mu$  in the above basis, let  $\pi_\mu$  denote the projection on the  $\mu$ th factor in the  $A_*^2$  module  $A_*$ .  $\pi_\mu$  is  $A_*^2$ -linear. If we have an expression  $\xi = \sum \lambda_i \theta_i$ , as in the theorem, we apply  $\pi_1$  to the expression to obtain  $\pi_1(\xi) = \sum \pi_1(\lambda_i) \theta_i$ . Since  $\xi$  is a square,  $\pi_1(\xi) = \xi$ , and  $\pi_1(\lambda_i) \in A_*^2$ , so we may suppose that the  $\lambda_i$ 's are squares.

Let  $\{\chi_i\}$  be a complete set of irreducible characters of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  over  $\bar{k}$ . Let  $p_i$  denote the projection on the  $\chi_i$ -isotypical component (see [6]). The  $p_i$ 's are  $B_*$ -linear, and moreover  $p_i(A_*^2) \subseteq A_*^2$ . If  $\xi = \sum \lambda_i \theta_i$ , with  $\xi, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_s \in B_*^2$ , and  $\lambda_i \in A_*^2$ , by applying  $p_0$ , if  $\chi_0$  is the trivial character, we obtain  $p_0(\xi) = \sum p_0(\lambda_i) \theta_i$ , and  $p_0(\xi) = \xi$ , since  $\xi \in B_*$ , so  $\xi = \sum p_0(\lambda_i) \theta_i$ . Setting  $\lambda'_i = p_0(\lambda_i)$ , we obtain the theorem, since  $\lambda'_i \in A_*^2 \cap B_* = B_*^2$ , by Proposition 2.  $\square$

THEOREM 4. There does not exist a free  $G$ -action on  $\prod_{i=1}^k S^n$ , with trivial action on homology, for  $G = A_4$  or  $\Sigma(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}, \rho)$ .

PROOF. According to Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.7, it suffices to prove that  $H^*(G; k)$  admits no  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant system of parameters  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s\}$  with  $\dim(\theta_1) = \dim(\theta_2) = \dots = \dim(\theta_s) = n + 1$ . Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 guarantee that each  $\theta_i$  is a square in  $B_*$ , say  $\theta_i = \zeta_i$ . We claim that the ideal  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)$  is  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant. Let  $\text{Sq}^j(\theta_i) = \sum \lambda_{ik}^j \theta_k$ . Since the  $\theta$ 's are squares,  $\text{Sq}^{2j}(\theta_i) = (\text{Sq}^j(\zeta_i))^2$ , and  $\text{Sq}^{2j+1}(\theta_i) = 0$ . To obtain an expression for  $\text{Sq}^j(\zeta_i)$  in terms of  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s$ , we write  $(\text{Sq}^j(\zeta_i))^2 = \sum \lambda_{ik}^{2j} \theta_k = \sum \lambda_{ik}^{2j} \zeta_k^2$ . But Proposition 3 gives that  $\lambda_{ik}^{2j}$  may be chosen to be a square, say  $\lambda_{ik}^{2j} = (\mu_{ik}^j)^2$ , so  $(\text{Sq}^j(\zeta_i))^2 = \sum (\mu_{ik}^j)^2 \zeta_k^2$ , or  $\text{Sq}^j(\zeta_i) = \sum \mu_{ik}^j \zeta_k$ , which was to be shown. Moreover,  $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s\}$  is an h.s.o.p. for  $H^*(G; k)$ , since  $\{\zeta_1^2, \dots, \zeta_s^2\} = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s\}$  is. Consequently, if  $\dim(\theta_i) = \dots = \dim(\theta_s) = 2^m l$ , where  $l$  is odd, we have demonstrated the existence of an  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant h.s.o.p.  $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s\}$ , with  $\dim(\theta_i) = 2^{m-1} l$ . Inductively, we may produce an h.s.o.p.  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s\}$  with  $\dim(\theta_i) = l$ , where  $l$  is odd. We claim, however, that this is impossible. Let  $J$  be as in Lemma 3.3. Then  $B_*/J \cap B_*$  is a graded  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant subalgebra of  $k[x]$ , and  $B_*/J \cap B_* = 0$  for  $*$  = 1. Consequently,  $B_*/J \cap B_*$  admits no  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant h.s.o.p. in odd dimensions, for  $\text{Sq}^1$  is nonzero on  $x^{2i+1}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $B_*$  admits no  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$ -invariant h.s.o.p. in odd dimensions, which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams and C. W. Wilkerson, *Finite H-spaces and algebras over the Steenrod algebra*, Ann. of Math. **111** (1980), 95–143.
2. G. Carlsson, *On the non-existence of free actions of elementary abelian groups on products of spheres*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), 1147–1157.
3. P. Conner, *On the action of a finite group on  $S^n \times S^n$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 586–588.
4. J. Milnor, *Groups which act on  $S^n$  without fixed points*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 623–630.
5. R. Oliver, Proc. Aarhus Sympos. on Algebraic Topology, 1978, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 763, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York.
6. R. P. Stanley, *Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1979), 475–511.
7. E. Stein, *Free actions on products of spheres*, Michigan Math. J. (to appear).
8. L. Dornhoff, *Group representation theory*, Dekker, New York, 1971–1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093