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Abstract. Suppose \(G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})\) or \(\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})\) and \(K\) is a maximal compact subgroup of \(G\). If \(P\) is any parabolic subgroup of \(G\), we determine a system of differential equations on \(G/K\) with the property that any function on \(G/K\) satisfies these differential equations if and only if it is the Poisson integral of a hyperfunction on \(G/P\).

1. Introduction. Let \(G\) be a connected noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite center. Fix \(G = KAN\), an Iwasawa decomposition of \(G\). That is, \(K\) is a maximal compact subgroup of \(G\), \(A\) a maximal vector subgroup of \(G\) with \(\text{Ad} A\) consisting of semisimple elements normalizing \(N\), a maximal simply connected unipotent subgroup of \(G\). The space \(\tilde{X} = G/K\) is a Riemannian symmetric space and the group \(AN\) acts transitively on \(\tilde{X}\). Now if \(M\) is the centralizer of \(A\) in \(K\), the group \(B = MAN\) is a minimal parabolic subgroup of \(G\), and the space \(S_0 = G/B = K/M\) is called the maximal or Furstenberg boundary of \(\tilde{X}\).

More generally, a boundary \(S\) of \(\tilde{X}\) is a homogeneous space \(G/P\), where \(P\) is a parabolic subgroup of \(G\), i.e. \(P\) is conjugate to a closed subgroup of \(G\) which contains \(B\). Henceforth, we shall consider only parabolic subgroups which contain \(B\). Let \(D(\tilde{X})\) denote the space of all \(G\)-invariant differential operators on \(\tilde{X}\) and let \(D_+(\tilde{X}) = \{D \in D(\tilde{X}): D1 = 0\}\). We call \(F \in C^\infty(\tilde{X})\) harmonic if \(DF = 0\) for every \(D \in D_+(\tilde{X})\). Deep analysis of [8] shows that a \(C^\infty\)-function \(F\) on \(\tilde{X}\) is harmonic if and only if \(F\) is the Poisson integral of a hyperfunction \(T\) on \(S_0\). In other words (abusing notation), we have

\[
F(x) = \int_{S_0} P_0(x, b) \, dT(b),
\]

where \(P_0: \tilde{X} \times S_0 \to \mathbb{R}^+\) is the Poisson kernel of \(\tilde{X}\) with respect to \(S\).

If \(P\) is a parabolic subgroup of \(G\) and \(S = G/P = K/L\), where \(L = K \cap P\), there is a Poisson kernel \(P_s: \tilde{X} \times S \to \mathbb{R}^+\) of \(\tilde{X}\) with respect to \(S\) with

\[
P_s(x, u) = \int_L P_0(x, klM) \, dl,
\]
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where \( k \in u \) and \( dl \) is Haar measure on \( L \) with \(|L|=1\). Now suppose that \( \tau(S) \) is the space of functions on \( \tilde{X} \) which are the Poisson integrals of hyperfunctions on \( S \). Clearly, \( \tau(S) \subset \tau(S_0) \) where \( \tau(S_0) \) is the space of harmonic functions on \( \tilde{X} \). As is the case with \( \tau(S_0) \) we wish to know if the space \( \tau(S) \) can be characterized by means of differential equations. To be specific we state the problem as follows.

**Problem.** Determine a collection \( \mathcal{C}(S) \) of partial differential operators on \( \tilde{X} \) such that \( F \in \tau(S) \) if and only if \( DF = 0 \) for every \( D \in \mathcal{C}(S) \).

In this paper, we shall solve this problem for any boundary \( S \) of \( \tilde{X} \) where \( G \) is either \( SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \) or \( SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \). The results for these groups may be stated in a clean and unencumbered fashion. In a subsequent paper, using various projections of the operators defined here, we shall examine the remaining simple noncompact groups.

In the case where \( \tilde{X} \) is a bounded symmetric domain and \( S \) its Shilov boundary, this problem was first examined in [10], solved for tube type domains in [7] and finished completely in [1].

§2 deals with some basic algebra of semisimple Lie groups. In §3 differential operators are introduced, which play a crucial role in our results. §§4–7 are devoted to the proof of our main theorem, with §§5 and 6 used to recall some known results concerning asymptotic differential operators.

2. Some algebraic preliminaries. Let \( \theta \) be the Cartan involution of \( G \) which fixes \( K \) and denote the Lie algebras of \( G, K, M, A, N, \) and \( \theta N \) by \( \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n} \) and \( \theta \mathfrak{n} \) respectively. For \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \) set

\[
\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} : [H, X] = \lambda(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a} \}.
\]

Recall that \( \lambda \) is called a restricted root if \( \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \neq \{0\} \); we call \( \lambda \) a positive restricted root if, in addition, \( \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{m} \). Now, if \( \Phi \) is the set of restricted roots and \( \Phi^+ \) is the set of positive restricted roots, \( \Phi = \Phi^+ \cup (-\Phi^+) \). We now wish to describe all parabolic subgroups of \( G \) up to conjugation.

Let \( \mathfrak{a}^+ = \{ H \in \mathfrak{a} : \lambda(H) > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Phi^+ \} \). If \( H \in \mathfrak{a}^- \), let \( \Phi^+(H) = \{ \lambda \in \Phi^+ : \lambda(H) > 0 \} \) and \( \mathfrak{n}(H) = \sum \mathfrak{g}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Phi^+(H) \). Now \( \mathfrak{n}(H) \) is a nilpotent Lie algebra and \( \mathfrak{N}(H) = \exp \mathfrak{n}(H) \) is a unipotent Lie group. Now, if, \( Z_G(H) \) is the centralizer of \( H \) in \( G \), the group \( P(H) = Z_G(H) \cdot \mathfrak{N}(H) \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G \), and up to conjugation all parabolic subgroups of \( G \) may be obtained in this way. Suppose \( A(H) = Z(Z_G(H)) \cdot A \) and \( M(H) = [Z_G(H), Z_G(H)] \cdot (Z(Z_G(H)) \cdot K) \); \( A(H) \) is called the split component of \( P(H) \), \( N(H) \) is the nilradical and \( P(H) = M(H)A(H)N(H) \) is called the Langlands decomposition of \( P(H) \). Note that for \( H_1 \), \( H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}^+ \), \( P(H_1 + H_2) = P(H_1) \cap P(H_2) \) and \( P(H_1) = P(H_2) \) if and only if \( \Phi^+(H_1) = \Phi^+(H_2) \). Hence, we see that every parabolic subgroup is the intersection of maximal nontrivial parabolic subgroups.

Now suppose \( G = SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \) (resp. \( SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \)). Choose \( K = SU(n) \) (resp. \( SO(n) \)), \( A \) the group of diagonal elements of \( G \) with positive entries down the diagonal, and take \( N \) to be the group of upper triangular matrices in \( G \) with \( l \)'s down the diagonal. In both cases the Cartan involution \( \theta : G \to G \) is the map \( \theta g = (\bar{g}^t)^{-1} \). Now \( \alpha \) is the space of real diagonal \( n \times n \) matrices of trace 0, and \( \alpha^+ \) is the set of matrices \( H = (h_{ij}) \) in \( \alpha \) where \( h_{ii} > h_{i+1,j+1} \). If, for any integer \( l \geq 0 \), \( I_l \) is the \( l \times l \)-identity
matrix and \( k \) is a positive integer \( \leq n - 1 \), let
\[
\hat{H}_k = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & -c_k I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}
\]
with \((n-k)c_k = k\). Then \( \hat{H}_k \in \mathfrak{s}^+ \) and \( P(\hat{H}_k) = P(k) \) is a maximal parabolic subgroup, and any parabolic subgroup is conjugate to one of the form
\[
P(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_i) = P(k_1) \cap \cdots \cap P(k_i),
\]
with \( k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_i \leq n - 1 \), and any minimal one to \( B = P(1, 2, \ldots, n - 1) \). Finally, for \( 1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_i \leq n - 1 \), let
\[
S(k_1, \ldots, k_i) = G/P(k_1, \ldots, k_i).
\]

**Remark.** We use the notation "\( S(k_1, \ldots, k_i) \), \( P(k_1, \ldots, k_i) \)" in the real and complex cases. It will be clear with which case we are dealing.

**3. Construction of our operators.** For \( G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) (resp. \( \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \)), the map \( g \rightarrow gg^* \) allows us to identify \( \hat{X} \) with the space of positive definite hermitian (resp. real) \( n \times n \)-matrices of determinant one. Hence, \( \hat{X} \subset H_n \) or \( P_n \), where \( H_n \) is the space of positive definite hermitian \( n \times n \)-matrices, and \( P_n \) is the space of positive definite real \( n \times n \)-matrices, or in other words, the real points of \( H_n \). Thus, we see if \( \hat{X} \subset H_n \) or in \( P_n \) the \( C^\infty \)-functions on \( \hat{X} \) may be identified with \( C^\infty \)-functions on \( H_n \) or \( P_n \) which are homogeneous of degree 0.

For an arbitrary \( Z \in H_n \), let \( dZ \) be the \( n \times n \)-matrix of differentials whose \( i, j \) entry is \( dz_{ij} \), let \( \partial \) be the \( n \times n \)-matrix whose \( i, j \)th entry is \( \partial / \partial z_{ij} \), and let \( \partial' \) be the transpose of \( \partial \). Now \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) acts transitively on \( H_n \) by setting \( g(Z) = gZg^* \), where \( g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) and \( Z \in H_n \). As \( \text{tr} \, dZ(\partial') = n^2 \) identically on \( H_n \) and \( dg(Z) = gdZg^* \), we have
\[
(3.1) \quad (\partial' f)(g(Z)) = g^{-1}\left[\partial'(f \circ g)(Z)\right]g^{-1},
\]
where \( (f \circ g)(Z) = f(g(Z)) \) for \( f \in C^\infty(H_n) \).

Set \( \Delta = Zd' \) and consider for any integer \( k > 0 \) the operator \( \Delta^k \). If \( Z \in H_n \), let \( (\Delta^k)_Z \) be the local expression of \( \Delta^k \) at \( Z \). Then, for \( g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) and \( f \in C^\infty(H_n) \), we have
\[
(3.2) \quad (\Delta^k)_Z f(g(Z)) = g\left[\left(\Delta^k\right)_Z (f \circ g)(Z)\right]g^{-1}.
\]

From [14] we know that the ring of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \)-invariant differential operators on \( H_n \) is generated by the constants and the operators \( D_k = \text{tr}(\Delta^k) \) for \( k \leq n \). Then we have that the harmonic functions on \( \hat{X} \) are identified with the functions on \( H_n \) which are annihilated by the \( D_k \), and we call such functions harmonic on \( H_n \).

If \( P \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \), then \( \hat{P} = \{\mu g : \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*, \, g \in P\} \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) and
\[
S = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})/P = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})/\hat{P}.
\]
Moreover, the Poisson kernel \( P(x, S) : H_n \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) has the property that \( P(x, b) = P(x, b) \) for \( \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*, \, x \in \hat{X} \) and \( b \in S \), where \( P(x, b) \) is the Poisson kernel of \( \hat{X} \) with respect to \( S \).
Suppose \( \vec{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \), and set
\[
\mathcal{D}(\vec{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \mathcal{D}_i.
\]
From (3.2) we have

**Proposition 3.1.** For \( F \in C^\infty(H_n) \) and \( \vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^m \), \( \mathcal{D}(\vec{a})F = 0 \) if and only if
\[
\mathcal{D}(\vec{a}) (f \circ g)(I) = 0
\]
for every \( g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \), where \( I \) is the identity.

To study harmonic functions on \( \tilde{X} = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(n) \), we consider \( X \subset P_n = \text{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(n) \). If \( X \) is an arbitrary element of \( E \), let \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) be the matrix whose \( i, j \)th coordinate is \( (1 + \delta_{ij}) \langle d/\omega x_{ij} \rangle \) and set \( \mathcal{D}_0 = X\mathcal{D}_0' \). Now \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) is merely the restriction of \( 2\mathcal{D} \) to \( P_n \). If again \( \vec{a}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \), define
\[
\mathcal{D}_0(\vec{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i (\mathcal{D}_0)'_i.
\]
The corresponding statements concerning harmonic functions on \( \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(n) \) are now easily seen to be valid in this case also.

**4. The Poisson kernel.** Let \( \tilde{X} \) be as in §3 and suppose \( S \) is a boundary of \( \tilde{X} \) with \( T \) a hyperfunction on \( S \). Let \( \mathcal{P}_S(T) \) be the Poisson integral of \( T \). It is well known that a differential operator on \( \tilde{X} \) annihilates the Poisson kernel if and only if it annihilates all Poisson integrals \( \mathcal{P}_S(T) \). In fact, if the operator is \( \mathcal{D}(\vec{a}) \) or \( \mathcal{D}_0(\vec{a}) \), it suffices to show that the operator annihilates all \( \mathcal{P}_S(T) \) where \( T \) is a \( K \)-finite function on \( S \).

For \( T \) a function on \( S \) and \( g \in G \), set \( L_gT(b) = T(g^{-1}b) \). From the standard properties of the Poisson integral we have
\[
L_{g^{-1}}\mathcal{P}_S(T) = \mathcal{P}_S(L_gT),
\]
and hence if \( \tilde{X} \subset H_n \) and \( \vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^m \), (3.2) yields
\[
\mathcal{D}(\vec{a})\mathcal{P}_S(T)(g(Z)) = g \left[ \mathcal{D}(\vec{a})\mathcal{P}_S(L_gT)(Z) \right] g^{-1}.
\]
The corresponding equation holds when \( \tilde{X} \subset P_n \) and \( \mathcal{D}(\vec{a}) \) is replaced by \( \mathcal{D}_0(\vec{a}) \). Thus we obtain

**Proposition 4.1.** The operator \( \mathcal{D}(\vec{a}) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{D}_0(\vec{a}) \)) annihilates the Poisson kernel of \( \tilde{X} \) with respect to \( S \) if and only if \( \mathcal{D}(\vec{a})\mathcal{P}_S(T)(I) = 0 \) (resp. \( \mathcal{D}_0(\vec{a})\mathcal{P}_S(T)(I) = 0 \)) for all \( K \)-finite functions \( T \) on \( S \).

Suppose \( \tilde{X} \subset H_n \) and \( U \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \), and consider the pairing with \( K \)-finite functions on \( S \) given by
\[
\langle U, T \rangle = \text{tr} \, \mathcal{D}(\vec{a})U \mathcal{P}_S(T)(I).
\]
From (4.2) we have
\[
\langle \text{Ad} kU, L_kT \rangle = \langle U, T \rangle \quad (k \in K),
\]
with the corresponding equation holding for \( \tilde{X} \subset P_n \).

Let \( G \) be \( \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) or \( \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \) and let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be the Lie algebra of \( G \). Suppose \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{v} \) is the Cartan decomposition of \( \mathfrak{g} \). Identify \( \mathfrak{g} \) with its image in \( \text{End} \mathbb{C}^n \) or \( \text{End} \mathbb{R}^n \).
Proposition 4.2. The operator $\mathfrak{d}(\bar{a})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{d}_0(\bar{a})$) annihilates the Poisson kernel of $\tilde{X}$ with respect to $S$ if and only if for all $U \in \mathfrak{v}$ and all $K$-finite functions $T$ on $S$, $\langle U, T \rangle = 0$.

Proof. Clearly, if $\mathfrak{d}(\bar{a})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{d}_0(\bar{a})$) annihilates the Poisson kernel, $\langle U, T \rangle = 0$ for all such $U$ and $T$.

If $G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{p}_C = \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, and as $\text{tr} \mathfrak{d}(\bar{a})$ is invariant, we are done in this case.

If $G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the Furstenberg boundary of $\tilde{X}$ is $K/M$ where $K = \text{SO}(n)$ and $M$ is the group of diagonal matrices in $K$. As there are no $M$-fixed vectors in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, we have automatically that $\langle U, T \rangle = 0$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$. Since $\text{tr} \mathfrak{d}_0(\bar{a})$ is invariant, we are done.

For $k$ a positive integer $\leq n - 1$, let $P(k)$ denote the parabolic subgroup defined in §2, and, similarly, for $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r \leq n - 1$, define $P(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ as in §2. Now $A(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = \mathbb{Z}(M(k_1, \ldots, k_r)) \cap A$ is the split component of $P(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$, and $r = \dim A(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ is the split rank of $P(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$. Let $L(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = K \cap P(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ and let $V$ be the space of all $K$-finite functions on $K/L(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$.

Lemma 4.3. The representation of $K$ on $\mathfrak{v}$ occurs in $V$ with multiplicity $r$.

Proof. The space of $L(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ fixed vectors in $\mathfrak{v}$ is precisely the Lie algebra of $A(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$. Hence we are done by Frobenius reciprocity.

Proposition 4.4. Let $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r \leq n - 1$ be integers. If $\tilde{X} = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})/\text{SU}(n)$, let $H^m(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = \{ \bar{a} \in \mathbb{C}^m : \mathfrak{d}(\bar{a}) \text{ annihilate the Poisson kernel of } \tilde{X} \text{ with respect to } S(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \}$, and if $\tilde{X} = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(n)$, define $H^m_0(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ similarly with $\mathfrak{d}_0(\bar{a})$ substituted for $\mathfrak{d}(\bar{a})$ above. Then we have:

(i) $\dim H^m(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \geq m - r$;

(ii) $H^m_0(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \subseteq H^m(k_1) \cap \cdots \cap H^m(k_r)$;

(iii) $H^m(k) = m - 1$ and $H^m_0(k) = m - 1$.

Proof. (i) and (i') follow from Lemma 4.3. (ii) and (ii') are obvious. Since $\mathfrak{d}(\bar{e}_1)$ and $\mathfrak{d}_0(\bar{e}_1)$ annihilate only constants for $\bar{e}_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, (iii) and (iii') follows. This completes our proof.

In §7 we show that

$H^m(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = H^m(k_1) \cap \cdots \cap H^m(k_r)$,

and

$H^m_0(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = H^m_0(k_1) \cap \cdots \cap H^m_0(k_r)$,

by showing that the hyperplanes $H^m(k)$ and $H^m_0(k)$ ($k \leq n - 1$) are in general position.
5. Asymptotic differential operators. We now recall some results from [6] which will be useful in the proof of our main theorem. Since these results are stated there for semisimple groups with finite center, we shall recall them in this framework. The extension of these results to reductive groups will be clear.

Fix $G$, $K$, $M$, $A$ and $N$ as in §2. Let $\theta$ be the Cartan involution of $G$ which is the identity on $K$ and set $\overline{N} = \theta N$. Let $g$ (resp. $\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$) denote the Lie algebra of $G$ (resp., $K$, $M$, $A$, $N$ and $\overline{N}$).

If $g \in G$, $g$ may be written uniquely as

$$g = k(g)\exp H(g)n(g),$$

where $k(g) \in K$, $H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $n(g) \in N$. Defining $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ so that $2\rho(H) = \text{tr}(\text{ad} H|_{\mathfrak{n}})$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, the Poisson kernel $P_0: G/K \times K/M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by setting

$$P_0(gK, kM) = \exp(-2\rho H(g^n k)).$$

With $\tilde{X} = G/K$, $S_0 = K/M$ we say $F \in C^\infty(\tilde{X})$ is the Poisson integral of $f \in C^\infty(S_0)$ if

$$F(x) = \int_{S_0} P_0(x, b)f(b)\, db,$$

where $db$ is the unique $K$-invariant measure on $S_0$ normalized with $|S_0| = 1$.

If $F \in C^\infty(\tilde{X}) \subset C^\infty(G)$ and $H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^\perp}$ (see §2) define

$$F_H(g) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F(g \exp tH) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F(g \exp tHK).$$

Let $M(H)$, $A(H)$ and $N(H)$ be as in §2 and set $K(H) = M(H) \cap K$. Then, if $F$ is the Poisson integral of $f \in C^\infty(S_0)$ and $g = umn$ with $u \in K$, $m \in M(H)$ and $n \in N(H)$,

$$F_H(g) = \int_{K(H)/M} \{\exp(-2\rho_0 H(m^{-1} k))\} f(ukM)\, dkM,$$

where $2\rho_0(\overline{H}) = \text{tr} \text{ad} H|_{\mathfrak{n}(H)}$ with $\mathfrak{n}(H)$ the Lie algebra of $N(H)$, and

$$0 \neq \epsilon = \int_{M(H) \cap N} \exp(-2\rho H(\overline{n}))\, d\overline{n}.$$

Now $F_H \in C^\infty(G/Q(H))$ where $Q(H) = K(H)A(H)N(H)$, so $F_H$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\overline{N}(H)M(H)$. Moreover, for any $\overline{n} \in \overline{N}(H)$ the function $g \to F_H(\overline{n}g)$ is a harmonic function on $M(H)/K(H)$ provided $g \in M(H)$.

For $u \in C^\infty(G)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ set

$$Xu(g) = \frac{d}{dt}u((\exp - tX)g)|_{t=0}.$$

If $F$ is the Poisson integral of $f \in C^\infty(S_0)$, $X_1, \ldots, X_p \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}(H)} = \theta(\mathfrak{n}(H))$, and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_q \in \mathfrak{m}(H) + \mathfrak{n}(H)$ with $Y_i = Y_i^0 + Z_i$ ($Y_i^0 \in \mathfrak{m}(H)$, $Z_i \in \mathfrak{n}(H)$), Lemma 2.1 of [6] states that for $\overline{n} \in \overline{N}(H)$ and $m \in M(H)$,

$$(5.1) \quad \left(Y_1 \cdots Y_q X_1 \cdots X_p L_{\overline{n}}^{-1} F \right)_H(m) = Y_1^0 \cdots Y_p^0 \overline{F}_H(m),$$
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where

\[ \tilde{F}(m) = \int_{S_0} P_0((mK, b)) X_1 \cdots X_p L_{n^{-1}} f(b) \, db. \]

Fixing \( F \), we now proceed to rewrite (5.1).

As \( F \) is a function on \( G/K \), we may consider \( F \) as a function on \( \bar{N} \times G/K \) by setting

\[ F(\bar{n}, g) = L_{\bar{n}^{-1}} F(g) = F(\bar{n}g). \]

If \( X_1, \ldots, X_p \) and \( Y_1 \cdots Y_q \) are as above, then

\[ Y_1 \cdots Y_q X_1 \cdots X_p L_{\bar{n}^{-1}} F(g) = (-1)^p Y_1 \cdots Y_q R(X_p) \cdots R(X_1) F(\bar{n}, g), \]

where for \( X \in \bar{n} \),

\[ R(X) F(\bar{n}, g) = \frac{d}{dt} F(\bar{n} \exp tX, g) \bigg|_{t=0}, \]

and for \( Y \in g \),

\[ Y F(\bar{n}, g) = \frac{d}{dt} F(\bar{n} \exp -tY, g) \bigg|_{t=0}. \]

Setting

\[ F_H(\bar{n}, g) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} F(\bar{n}, g \exp tH), \]

we have \( F_H(n, g) = F_H(ng) = (L_{\bar{n}^{-1}} F)_H(g) \). (5.1) now becomes

\[ (-1)^p (Y_1 \cdots Y_q R(X_p) \cdots R(X_1) F)_H(\bar{n}, m) \]

\[ = (-1)^p (Y_1^0 \cdots Y_q^0 R(X_p) \cdots R(X_1) F_H)_H(\bar{n}, m). \]

Observe that each \( Y_i \) commutes with each \( R(X_j) \).

If \( D = Y_1 \cdots Y_q R(X_p) \cdots R(X_1) \), set \( D_H = Y_1^0 \cdots Y_q^0 R(X_p) \cdots R(X_1) \). Then \( (DF)_H = D_H F_H \). Suppose \( D_1, \ldots, D_k \) are operators of the same type as \( D \) and \( \alpha_i \in C^\infty(\bar{N} \times G/K) \) for \( i \leq k \). If \( \alpha_{i,H} \) exists for each \( i \), we have

\[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i D_i \right)_H F(\bar{n}, m) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{i,H}(\bar{n}, m) D_{i,H} F_H(\bar{n}, m). \]

If \( D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \), the enveloping algebra of \( \mathfrak{g} \), \( DF(\bar{n}, m) = (Ad \bar{n}^{-1} D) L_{\bar{n}^{-1}} f(m) \). Now

\[ \text{Ad} \bar{n}^{-1} D = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(\bar{n}) D_i, \]

where each \( D_i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \) and each \( \alpha_i \in C^\infty(\bar{N}(H)) \). From the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem each \( D_i \) may be written as \( Y_1 \cdots Y_q R(X_p) \cdots R(X_1) \). Thus, \( (\text{Ad} \bar{n}^{-1} D)_H \) exists and \( (DF)_H(\bar{n}, m) = (\text{Ad} \bar{n}^{-1} D)_H F_H(\bar{n}, m) \).

6. The operators \( (\mathcal{O}_H^k)_{\mathcal{H}} \) and \( (\mathcal{O}_H^k)_{\mathcal{H}} \). We now apply the results of the previous section to our operators \( \mathcal{O}_H^k \) and \( \mathcal{O}_H^k \) and calculate explicitly \( (\mathcal{O}_H^k)_{\mathcal{H}} \) and \( (\mathcal{O}_H^k)_{\mathcal{H}} \) for \( H \in \mathfrak{a}^\perp \). We first consider the case of \( H_n \) and proceed to rewrite the entries of \( \mathcal{O} \) in terms of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \).
As we shall find it necessary to distinguish the abstract Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) from its standard realization, let \( \nu \) be the standard representation of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) and \( \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Fix \( i\sqrt{-1} \) and suppose \( J \) is the linear operator on \( \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) for which \( \nu(JX) = i\nu(X) \) for all \( X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \). Where there is no possibility of confusion we shall write \( X \) for \( \nu(X) \).

Let \( \theta \) be the Cartan involution of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) which is the identity on \( \mathfrak{u}(n) \). For \( Z, W \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) set
\[
(Z + iW)^* = Z^* + iW^* = -(\theta Z + i\theta W)
\]
and
\[
Z + iW = Z - iW.
\]
On \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) we define \( g \to g^* \) accordingly.

If \( F \in C^\infty(H_n) \), \( F \) lifts to a function on \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) and we set \( L_k^{-1}F(Z) = F(gZg^*) \).

For \( X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \),
\[
XF(Z) = \frac{d}{dt} F((\exp - tX)Z(\exp - tX^*)) \bigg|_{t=0}
= \frac{d}{dt} F(Z - t(XZ + ZX^*) + O(t^2)) \bigg|_{t=0}
= -\text{Tr}(\partial'F(Z))(XZ + ZX^*) = -\text{Tr} \nu(X)^\square F(Z) - \text{Tr} \nu(X^*)^\square* F(Z).
\]

Hence,
\[
\frac{1}{2}(X - iJX)F(Z) = -\text{Tr} \nu(X)^\square F(Z),
\]
and
\[
\frac{1}{2}(X + iJX)F(Z) = -\text{Tr} \nu(X^*)^\square* F(Z).
\]
Thus, if for \( j, k \leq n, E_{jk} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) with \( \nu(E_{jk}) \), the matrix with 1 in the \((j, k)\) entry and zeroes elsewhere,
\[
^\square = \sum \nu(E_{jk})Z_{kj},
\]
where \( Z_{kj} = \frac{1}{2}(E_{kj} - iE_{jk}) \) and the sum ranges over \( 1 \leq j, k \leq n \).

Fix \( l \leq n \) and set \( H = \hat{H}_l \), where
\[
\hat{H}_l = \begin{pmatrix} I_l & 0 \\ 0 & -c_l I_{n-l} \end{pmatrix}
\]
with \((n - l)c_l = l\). Define \( M(H), K(H), N(H) \) and \( \bar{N}(H) \) as in §5. For \( \bar{n} \in \bar{N}(H) \) and \( g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \),
\[
F(\bar{n}, g) = F(\bar{ng}g^*\bar{n}^*).
\]
We shall now find it convenient to introduce some additional notation.

Suppose \( \mathcal{E} \) is an \( n \times n \)-matrix of differential operators. The notation \( g \to \mathcal{E}\nu(g) \) (or \( g \to \mathcal{E}\nu(g)^{-1} \)) indicates that \( \mathcal{E} \) does not differentiate the entries of \( \nu(g) \), while the notation \( \mathcal{E}' \) will indicate that the entries of \( \mathcal{E} \) differentiate everything to the right of \( \mathcal{E} \). As usual, \( \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{E}'^{-1} \).
Keeping the notation of §5, set
\[ DF(\bar{n}, g) = \sum_{j \leq l < k} \nu(E_{jk}) R(Z_{kj}) F(\bar{n}, g), \]
\[ \hat{D} F(\bar{n}, g) = \sum_{k \leq l < j} \nu(E_{jk}) R(\bar{Z}_{jk}) F(\bar{n}, g), \]
\[-D_0 F(\bar{n}, g) = \left( \sum_{j, k \leq l} \nu(E_{jk}) Z_{kj} + \sum_{j, k > l} \nu(E_{jk}) Z_{kj} \right) F(\bar{n}, g), \]
where \( 2\bar{Z}_{jk} = E_{jk} + iE_{jk} \).

**Proposition 6.1.** For \( F \in C^\infty(H_n, \text{End } C^n) \), \( \bar{n} \in \overline{N} \) and \( m \in M(H) \),
\[ \mathcal{D} F(\bar{n}mm^*\bar{n}^*) = \nu(\bar{n})(D + D_0 + \nu(mm^*) \hat{D} \nu(mm^*)^{-1}) \nu(\bar{n})^{-1} F(\bar{n}, m). \]

**Proof.** As \( \mathcal{D} F(\bar{n}mm^*\bar{n}^*) = \nu(\bar{n})\mathcal{D} \nu(\bar{n})^{-1} F(\bar{n}, m) \), we obtain
\[ \mathcal{D} F(\bar{n}mm^*\bar{n}^*) = \nu(\bar{n})(D + D_0 + D_1) \nu(\bar{n})^{-1} F(\bar{n}, m) \]
where
\[ D_1 = - \sum_{k \leq l < j} \nu(E_{jk}) Z_{kj}. \]

We now need only show that
\[ D_1 = \nu(mm^*) \hat{D} \nu(mm^*)^{-1}. \]

Setting \( G(m) = \nu(\bar{n})^{-1} F(\bar{n}, m) \), observe that
\[ D_1 G(m) = - \sum_{k \leq l < j} \nu(E_{jk}) \text{Ad} m^{-1}(Z_{kj})(L_m^{-1} G)(1) \]
\[ = - \sum_{k \leq l < j} \nu(E_{jk}) \left[ \text{Ad} m^{-1}(Z_{kj}) \right]^* (L_m^{-1} G)(1) \]
\[ = - \sum_{k \leq l < j} \nu(E_{jk}) \left[ \text{Ad} mm^* (\bar{Z}_{jk}) \right] G(m). \]

The proof now follows since \( \nu(mm^*) \) is a selfadjoint matrix and, for \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \),
\[ (a + bJ)X + iJ(a + bJ)X = (a - bi)(X + iJX). \]

Setting \( \mathcal{D} = \nu(\bar{n}) \mathcal{D}_1 \nu(\bar{n})^{-1} \) with
\[ \mathcal{D}_1 = D + D_0 + \nu(mm^*) \hat{D} \nu(mm^*)^{-1}, \]
we obtain easily that
\[ \mathcal{D}^p F(\bar{n}mm^*\bar{n}^*) = \mathcal{D}_1 F(\bar{n}, m) = \nu(\bar{n})(\mathcal{D}_1 + C)^{p-1} \circ \mathcal{D}_1 \nu(\bar{n})^{-1} F(\bar{n}, m), \]
where
\[ C = \sum_{j \leq l < k} \nu(E_{jk}) \nu(E_{kj}) = \begin{pmatrix} (n-l)I_l & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]
Proposition 6.2. Suppose $F$ is the Poisson integral of a $C^\infty$-function and $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(H)$, $m \in M(H)$ with $H = \hat{H}_i$. Then

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \varpi^p F(\bar{n} \exp 2tH^*m^*) = v(\bar{n})(D + C + D_0)^{p-1} \circ (D + D_0)v(\bar{n})^{-1} F_H(\bar{n}, m).$$

Proof. As $v(m \exp 2tH^*)\hat{D}v(m \exp 2tH^*)^{-1}$ decays exponentially regardless of the number of entries of $D_0$ which differentiate it, the proof is an immediate consequence of (5.2) and (5.3).

In the case of $P_n$, again take $v$ to be the standard representation of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $gl(n, \mathbb{R})$. Also, if $X \in gl(n, \mathbb{R})$, let $X^t = -\theta X$, where $\theta$ is the Cartan involution of $gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ which is the identity on $\hat{\mu}(n)$. Define $g \to g'$ accordingly for $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\varpi_0^p F = -\sum v(E_{jk})E_{kj} F,$$

where the sum is taken over all $j, k \leq n$. If $H = \hat{H}_i, m \in M(H)$ and $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(H)$,

$$\varpi_0^p F(\bar{n}mm'n') = v(\bar{n})(D + D_0 + v(mm')\hat{D}v(mm')^{-1})v(\bar{n})^{-1} F(\bar{n}, m),$$

where $D, D_0$ and $\hat{D}$ are defined as in the complex case except that $Z_{pq}$ is replaced by $E_{pq}$. Setting

$$\bar{S}_1 = D + D_0 + v(mm')\hat{D}v(mm')^{-1} \text{ and } \bar{S} = v(\bar{n})\bar{S}_1v(\bar{n})^{-1},$$

$$\varpi_0^p F(\bar{n}mm'n') = \bar{S}^p F(\bar{n}, m).$$

Unlike the complex case the entries of $\hat{D}$ do not act trivially on $v(\bar{n})$. However, we still obtain, using the same proof as in Proposition 6.2,

$$(\bar{S}^p F)_H(\bar{n}, m) = v(\bar{n})(D + C + D_0)^{p-1} \circ (D + D_0)v(\bar{n})^{-1} F_H(\bar{n}, m).$$

7. The main theorem. In this section we give criteria for the operators $\varpi(\bar{a})$ and $\varpi_0(\bar{a})$ to characterize harmonic functions on $\bar{X}$ which are the Poisson integrals of hyperfunctions on a boundary $S$ of $\bar{X}$.

Definition 7.1. For $a_i > 2$ and $l$ a positive integer $\leq n - 1$, let

$$U^m(l) = \left\{ \bar{a} \in \mathbb{C}^m : \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(n - l)' = 0 \right\},$$

and for $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq n - 1$, let

$$U^m(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = U^m(k_1) \cap \cdots \cap U^m(k_r).$$

Proposition 7.1. For any integers $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq n - 1$, the hyperplanes $U^m(k_1), \ldots, U^m(k_r)$ are in general position.

Proof. This is immediate from the theory of Vandermonde determinants.

Suppose $U^m = \cup_{k=1}^{n-1} U(k)$.

Theorem 7.2. Suppose $F$ is harmonic on $H_n$ (resp. $P_n$) $(n \geq 2)$ and $\varpi(\bar{a})F = 0$ (resp. $\varpi_0(\bar{a})F = 0$) for some $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Then, if $a \notin U^m$, $F$ is constant.
Proof. We shall prove this result only in the case that $F$ is harmonic on $H_n$, as this case contains one complication which does not occur in the real case.

From [3] we know that $F$ is the convergent sum of $K$-finite harmonic functions which are annihilated by $\otimes(\hat{a})$. Hence it suffices to prove the theorem for $F$ a $K$-finite function.

As in §§5 and 6, let $l = n - 1$ and set $H = H_l$. From (5.2), (5.3) and Proposition 6.2, we obtain, for $\bar{n} \in \hat{N}(H)$ and $m_0 \in M(H)$,

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p \nu(\bar{n}) (D + C + D_0)^{p-1} \circ (D + D_0) \nu(\bar{n})^{-1} F_H(\bar{n}, m_0) = 0.$$

If $F_H$ is independent of $m_0$, $F_H$ is a function on $S(l)$, the space of $l$-planes in $C^m$, and we write $F_H(\bar{n}) = F_H(\bar{n}, -)$. As $D^2 = 0$ the above equation yields

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p (n-l)^{p-1} F_H(\bar{n}) = 0.$$

As $R(X - jX)F_H(\bar{n}) = 0$ for all $X \in \hat{N}(H)$, $F_H$ is (anti) holomorphic on a dense open subset of $S(l)$ which is an orbit of $\hat{N}(H)$ is $S(l)$. As $F_H$ is $K$-finite, $F_H$ is (anti) holomorphic on $S(l)$. Since $S(l)$ is compact, $F_H$, and hence $F$, is constant. (In the real case we obtain $R(X)F_H = 0$ for all $X \in \hat{N}(H)$ which implies $F_H$ is constant.) We now proceed by induction on $n$ to show that $F_H(\bar{n}, m)$ is independent of $m$.

If $n = 2$, $M(H)/K(H) = H_1 \times H_1$, and since any harmonic function on $H_1$ is constant, we are done in this case. Now assume our result holds for $n < r$ and suppose $n = r$.

Fixing $\bar{n}$, $m_0 \to F_H(\bar{n}, m_0)$ is harmonic $M(H)/K(H) = H_1 \times H_{r-1}$, and $D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, where $\alpha$ is the operator $\otimes$ for $H_1$ and $\beta$ is the operator $\otimes$ for $H_{r-1}$. As no entry of $D_0$ differentiates $\nu(\bar{n})^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p (D_0 + C)^{p-1} D_0 F_H(\bar{n}, m_0) = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p (\alpha + n - l)^{p-1} \alpha F_H(\bar{n}, m_0) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p \beta^p F_H(\bar{n}, m_0) = 0.$$

As

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p (r - l - k)^p \neq 0$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq r - l$, we have by induction that $F_H$ is constant on $H_{r-1}$. As

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p (r - l + l - k)^{p-1}(l - k) = \sum_{p=1}^{m} a_p (r - k)^{p-1}(l - k) \neq 0$$
for any \(1 \leq k < l\), \(F_H\) is constant on \(H_l\) also. Hence \(F_H\) is constant on \(M(H)/K(H)\).

From our previous remarks this proves our theorem.

**PROPOSITION 7.3. Suppose** \(F\) is the Poisson integral of a hyperfunction on \(S(l)\) and \(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{a})F = 0\) (resp. \(\mathcal{D}_0(\tilde{a})F = 0\)) for \(\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{C}^m\). If \(\tilde{a} \notin U^m(l)\), \(F\) is constant.

**PROOF.** Again considering only the complex case, we assume \(F\) is \(K\)-finite and select \(H = \hat{H}_l\). Now there is an \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*\) and \(b \in U^m(l)\) such that \(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{a}) = \alpha \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}(\tilde{b})\).

As \(\alpha \neq 0\), \((\mathcal{D}F)_H = 0\) and hence \(F\) is constant.

Fix \(1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r < n\) and set \(I = \{k_1, \ldots, k_r\}\).

**COROLLARY.** For any \(l\), \(U^m(l) = H^m(l) = H^m_0(l)\), and in general

\[
H^m(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = U^m(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = H^m_0(k_1, \ldots, k_r).
\]

**PROOF.** From the above proposition, \(U^m(l) \subset H^m(l)\) and \(U^m(l) \subset H^m_0(l)\). As \(H^m(l)\) and \(H^m_0(l)\) are of codimension one in \(\mathbb{C}^m\), we have equality. Our result now follows (i), (i'), (ii), and (ii') of §4 and Proposition 7.1.

We now prove our main result.

**THEOREM 7.4. Suppose**

\[
\tilde{a} \in \bigcap_{l \in I} U^m(l) \sim \left[ \bigcup_{j \in J} U^m(j) \right]
\]

and \(F\) is a harmonic function on \(H_n\) (resp. \(P_n\)). Then \(F\) is the Poisson integral of a hyperfunction on \(S(k_1, \ldots, k_r)\) if and only if \(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{a})F = 0\) (resp. \(\mathcal{D}_0(\tilde{a})F = 0\)).

**PROOF.** Necessity is immediate from the above corollary. Assuming \(F\) is \(K\)-finite set, for \(i \leq r\),

\[
F(1, \ldots, i) = F(1, \ldots, i - 1)_{H_{k_i}}
\]

and recall from §5 that \(F_{H_{k_i} + H_{k_j}} = (F_{H_{k_i}})_{H_{k_j}}\). It suffices to show that \(F(1, \ldots, r)\) is defined on \(S(k_1, \ldots, k_r)\). As before we prove our result for \(F\) harmonic on \(H_n\). Let \(H = \hat{H}_{k_1}\).

Now \(M(H)/K(H) = H_{k_1} \times H_{n-k_1}\) and, fixing \(\tilde{n} \in \tilde{N}(H)\), we see from the proof of Theorem 7.2 that \(F(1)(\tilde{n})\) is constant on \(H_{k_1}\). If \(H = \hat{H}_{k_1} + \hat{H}_{k_2}\),

\[
M(H)/K(H) = H_{k_1} \times H_{k_2} \times H_{n-k_2},
\]

and \(F(1, 2)\) is constant on \(H_{k_1} \times H_{k_2} \times H_{n-k_2}\). Continuing in this way we obtain that \(F(1, \ldots, r)\) is defined on \(S(k_1, \ldots, k_r)\) (i.e., constant on \(H_{k_1} \times H_{k_2} \times \cdots \times H_{n-k_r}\)).

This completes the proof.

**REFERENCES**


Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602