TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 312, Number 1, March 1989

THE SPECTRUM OF THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

MARTIN SCHECHTER

ABSTRACT. We describe the negative spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with a singular potential. We determine the exact value of the bottom of the spectrum and estimate it from above and below. We describe the dependence of a crucial constant on the eigenvalue parameter and discuss some of its properties. We show how recent results of others are simple consequences of a theorem proved by the author in 1972.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $V(x) \geq 0$ in $L^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the smallest constant $C_\lambda(V)$ which satisfies

$$(Vu, u) \leq C_\lambda(V)(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \lambda^2\|u\|^2), \qquad u \in C_0^\infty, \tag{1.1}$$

is of importance in the study of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator

$$H = -\Delta - V. \tag{1.2}$$

We shall show that $-\lambda_0^2$ is the smallest point of the spectrum of H if and only if, λ_0 is the smallest value of $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $C_\lambda(V) \leq 1$ (if $C_\lambda(V) > 1$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$, then the operator H is not bounded from below; the smallest point in the spectrum is $-\infty$). In 1972 the author obtained an expression determining the exact value of $C_\lambda(V)$ (cf. [1, p. 498]). It is given by

$$C_\lambda(V) = \inf_{\psi>0} \sup_x \psi(x)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} V(y)\psi(y)G_{2,\lambda}(x-y)\,dy \tag{1.3}$$

where $G_{2,\lambda}(x)$ is the Bessel potential of order 2. It is the kernel of the operator

$$G_{2,\lambda}f = (\lambda^2 - \Delta)^{-1}f, \qquad I_2 = G_{2,0}. \tag{1.4}$$

In (1.3) one obtains an upper bound for $C_\lambda(V)$ by picking a particular function $\psi(x) > 0$, e.g., $\psi(x) \equiv 1$. One can improve the estimate by varying ψ.

The cases $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ have received much attention. In 1962 Mazya [2] showed that for $n > 2$, $C_0(V) \leq 1$ if

$$\int_e V(x)\,dx \leq \frac{n-2}{4}\omega \operatorname{cap}(e) \tag{1.5}$$

Received by the editors January 12, 1987.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 26D10, 35J10, 35P15; Secondary 42B25, 47F05, 47G05.

holds for all compact sets $e \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Here ω is the surface area of the unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\operatorname{cap}(e)$ is the Green capacity of e. More recently Adams [3] showed that

$$\int \left(\int_e |x-y|^{2-n} V(y)\, dy \right)^2 dx \le C \int_e V(y)\, dy, \qquad e \subset \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{1.6}$$

implies a bound for $C_0(V)$. In [4], Fefferman and Phong show that

$$C_0(V) \le C_p \sup_{\delta, x} \left(\delta^{2p-n} \int_{|x-y|<\delta} V(y)^p\, dy \right)^{1/p} \tag{1.7}$$

if $p > 1$. The proof of (1.7) given in [4] is rather long and involved. In the next section we shall show that it is a simple consequence of (1.3). In fact, we shall give a direct easy proof of (1.7) without involving the ideas of [4]. In [1] Kerman and Sawyer show that

$$C_\lambda(V) \sim \sup_{|Q| \le \lambda^{-n}} \frac{\int \left(\int_Q G_{1,\lambda}(x-y) V(y)\, dy \right)^2 dx}{\int_Q V(y)\, dy} \tag{1.8}$$

where the supremum is taken over all dyadic cubes $Q \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$. Previous to [1], sufficient conditions for (1.1) to hold for various values of λ were obtained by Kato, Rollnik, Schechter, Simon (cf. [14, 15] for references). Other sets of sufficient conditions were recently obtained in [12 and 16]. These authors were apparently unaware of the results of [1] where a condition which is both necessary and sufficient is obtained.

In §3 we show that there is a constant C_p depending only on n and p such that

$$C_\lambda(V) \le C_p \| M_{2p, 1/\lambda}[V^p] \|_\infty^{1/p}, \qquad \lambda \ge 0, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$M_{\alpha,\delta}[V](x) = \sup_{r \le \delta} r^{\alpha - n} \int_{|y-x|<r} V(y)\, dy.$$

This allows us to show that the lowest point $-\mu^2$ of the spectrum of the operator (1.2) satisfies

$$\mu^2 \le \sup_{x,\delta} \left(2C_p \left(\delta^{-n} \int_{|y-x|<\delta} V(y)^p\, dy \right)^{1/p} - \delta^{-2} \right)$$

which is another estimate of Fefferman-Phong [4]. In our estimate only one constant appears (the one from (1.9)) and can be readily estimated. In proving (1.9) we show that there is a constant $C_{s,q}$ depending only on s, n and q such that

$$\| I_{s,\delta} f \|_q \le C_{s,q} \| M_{s,\delta} f \|_q \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$I_{s,\delta} f(x) = \int_{|y-x|<\delta} |y-x|^{s-n} f(y)\, dy.$$

The estimate (1.10) is of interest in its own right. Our proof extends a method of Muckenhoupt-Wheeden [5]. As a consequence of (1.10) we obtain

$$\|G_{s,\lambda}f\|_q \le C'_{s,q}\|M_{s,1/\lambda}f\|_q \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$G_{s,\lambda}f = (\lambda^2 - \Delta)^{-s/2}f.$$

In §4 we show that the constant $C_\lambda(V)$ is continuous in λ in the interval $[0,\infty)$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^2 &= \inf_{C_\lambda(V)\le 1} \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V) = \inf_{C_\lambda(V)\le 1} \lambda^2 \\ &= \sup_{C_\lambda(V)>1} \lambda^2 = \sup_{C_\lambda(V)>1} \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V).\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows easily that

$$\sup_\lambda \lambda^2[C_\lambda(V) - 1] \le \mu^2 \le \sup_\lambda \lambda^2[2C_\lambda(V) - 1].$$

Next we show that if V is in the Muckenhoupt-Wheeden class A_∞ (cf. [5]), then

$$C_\lambda(V) \le N_p\|M_{2,1/\lambda}\|_\infty. \tag{1.12}$$

In §5 we show that the essential spectrum of H is the same as that of $-\Delta$, i.e.,

$$\sigma_e(H) = [0,\infty) \tag{1.13}$$

provided

(a) $C_\lambda(V) \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$;

(b) $C_{\lambda_0}(V^R) \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$

for some $\lambda_0 \ge 0$, where

$$V^R(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \le R, \\ V(x), & |x| > R. \end{cases}$$

2. A simple proof of the Fefferman-Phong estimate

We now show that (1.7) is a simple consequence of (1.3). Let

$$M_\alpha[V](x) = \sup_r r^{\alpha-n}\int_{|y-x|<r} V(y)\,dy, \qquad M = M_0, \tag{2.1}$$

denote the maximal function. The right-hand side of (1.7) is equivalent to

$$K_p\|M_{2p}[V^p]\|_\infty^{1/p}.$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$M_1[V^{1/2}u] \le M_q[V^{q/2}]^{1/q}M[|u|^{q'}]^{1/q'} \tag{2.2}$$

holds for any $q \geq 1$, where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. If we take $q = 2p > 2$, we have

$$\|M_1[V^{1/2}u]\|_2 \leq K_p^{1/2}\|M[|u|^{q'}]^{1/q'}\|_2 = K_p^{1/2}\|M[|u|^{q'}]\|_{2/q'}^{1/q'} \leq C'K_p^{1/2}\|\,|u|^{q'}\|_{2/q'}^{1/q'} = C'K_p^{1/2}\|u\|_2 \tag{2.3}$$

since $q' < 2$. By a theorem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [5], this implies

$$\|I_1[V^{1/2}u]\|_2 \leq C''K_p^{1/2}\|u\|_2, \tag{2.4}$$

where $I_s = G_{s,0}$. Inequality (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\|V^{1/2}I_2[V^{1/2}u]\|_2 \leq C''^2K_p\|u\|_2.$$

If $C > C''^2K_p$ and $h > 0$ is in L^2, then there is a $\phi > 0$ in L^2 such that

$$\phi = h + C^{-1}V^{1/2}I_2[V^{1/2}\phi]. \tag{2.5}$$

This shows that the right-hand side of (1.3) is bounded by a constant times K_p. Hence, (1.7) holds.

Another approach is to note that (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\|V^{1/2}I_1\nu\|_2 \leq C''K_p^{1/2}\|\nu\|_2 \tag{2.6}$$

which in turn is equivalent to

$$(Vu, u) \leq C''^2K_p\|\nabla u\|^2 \tag{2.7}$$

which shows that (1.7) holds.

3. Estimates for arbitrary λ

For μ a locally finite Borel measure, we define

$$I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu(y) = \int_{|x-y|<\delta} |x-y|^{s-n}\,d\mu(x), \qquad 0 < s \leq n, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu(y) = \sup_{r\leq\delta} r^{s-n}\int_{|x-y|<r} d\mu(x), \qquad 0 \leq s \leq n, \tag{3.2}$$

$$M_s\,d\mu = M_{s,\infty}\,d\mu.$$

For $1 \leq q < \infty$ we let

$$\|u\|_q = \left(\int_{R^n} |u(x)|^q\,dx\right)^{1/q}$$

by the norm in $L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Our first result is

Theorem 3.1. *There is a constant $C_{s,q}$ depending only on s, n and q such that*

$$\|I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q \leq C_{s,q}\|M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover

$$C_{s,q} \leq 2^{n-s+1} + (\omega/s)5^{n-s}n^{n/2}2^{(n+2-s)q+2s+2}.$$

Before proving Theorem 3.1 we state some consequences.

Theorem 3.2. *For each $p > 1$ there is a constant C_p depending only on n and p such that*

$$C_\lambda(V) \le C_p \sup_x (M_{2p,1/\lambda} V^p)^{1/p}, \qquad \lambda \ge 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, there is a constant C_1 depending only on n such that

$$C_\lambda(V) \ge C_1 M_{2,1/\lambda} V. \tag{3.5}$$

Corollary 3.3. *If $-\mu^2$ is the lowest point of the spectrum of the operator* (1.2), *then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 &\le \sup_{\delta>0} \left(2C_p \delta^{-2} \sup_x (M_{2p,\delta} V^p)^{1/p} - \delta^{-2} \right) \\ &= \sup_{x,\delta} \left(2C_p \left(\delta^{-n} \int_{|y-x|<\delta} V(y)^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} - \delta^{-2} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 &\ge \sup_{\delta} \left(C_1 \delta^{-2} \sup_x M_{2,\delta} V - \delta^{-2} \right) \\ &= \sup_{x,\delta} \left(C_1 \delta^{-n} \int_{|y-x|<\delta} V(y) \, dy - \delta^{-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *If $C_p^p M_{2p} V^p \le 1$, then $\mu = 0$.*

Corollaries 3.3 and 3.4 are proved by Fefferman and Phong [4]. Their proof is rather long and involved. They require two constants in (3.6) and do not provide a way of estimating them. Our proof is much shorter. They were unaware of the authors results in [1].

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let

$$S_t = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n | I_{s,\delta} \, d\mu(x) < t\} \tag{3.7}$$

for each $t > 0$. If $S_t \ne \mathbf{R}^n$, then

$$S_t = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j, \tag{3.8}$$

where the cubes Q_j have sides parallel to the coordinate axes, have disjoint interiors and satisfy

$$d(Q_j, S_t^c) \le 3\sqrt{n} l(Q_j) \tag{3.9}$$

where M^c is the complement of M in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $l(Q)$ is the edge length of Q (cf. [6, p. 10]). By subdividing Q_j if necessary, we may require that

$$\rho_j \equiv 4\sqrt{n} l(Q_j) \le \delta. \tag{3.10}$$

If (3.10) is achieved by subdivision, we lose (3.9). But in this case we can require

$$\delta \leq 2\rho_j. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus we can make each Q_j satisfy (3.10). If it does not satisfy (3.11) as well, then it will satisfy (3.9).

Let b, d be positive numbers to be determined later. Define

$$E_j = \{x \in Q_j | I_{s,\delta/2}\, d\mu(x) > tb,\ M_{s,\delta}\, d\mu(x) \leq td\}. \tag{3.12}$$

Let Q be one of the cubes Q_j, and let $E \subset Q$ be the set given by (3.12). Assume first that Q satisfies (3.10) and (3.11). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} tb|E| &\leq \int_Q I_{s,\delta/2}\, d\mu(x)\, dx \\ &= \int_Q \int_{|y-x|<\delta/2} |x-y|^{s-n}\, d\mu(y)\, dx \\ &= \int \int_{\substack{|x-y|<\delta/2 \\ x\in Q}} |x-y|^{s-n}\, dx\, d\mu(y) \\ &\leq (\omega/s)(\delta/2)^s \int_{Q+\delta} d\mu(y) \end{aligned}$$

where ω is the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $Q+\delta$ is the cube having the same center as Q but edge length equal to $l(Q)+\delta$. Assume that E is not empty, and let x_0 be any point in E. The cube $Q+\delta$ is contained in the ball with center x_0 and radius $\sqrt{n}l(Q) + (\delta/2) \leq (\rho/4) + (\delta/2) \leq 3\delta/4$ by (3.10). Hence by (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} tb|E| &\leq (\omega/s)(\delta/2)^s(\rho/4+\delta/2)^{n-s} M_{s,\delta}\, d\mu(x_0) \\ &\leq (\omega/s)\rho^s(5\rho/4)^{n-s}\, td \\ &\leq (\omega/s)4^s 5^{n-s}\, td\, n^{n/2}|Q|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$|E| \leq (\omega/s)4^s 5^{n-s} n^{n/2}(d/b)|Q|. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that (3.13) holds if E is empty. Next assume that (3.9) and (3.10) hold. Then there is a point x_1 not in S_t that

$$d(x_1, Q) \leq 3\sqrt{n}l(Q).$$

If x is in Q, then

$$|x - x_1| < \rho. \tag{3.14}$$

Consequently, if y is any point such that

$$|y - x| > \rho, \tag{3.15}$$

then

$$|y - x_1| \le |y - x| + |x - x_1| < 2|y - x|. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{s,\delta/2}\, d\mu(x) &= \int_{|y-x|<\rho} + \int_{\rho<|y-x|<\delta/2} |y - x|^{s-n}\, d\mu(y) \\ &\le I_{s,\rho}\, d\mu(x) + 2^{n-s} \int_{|y-x_1|<\delta} |y - x_1|^{s-n}\, d\mu(y) \\ &\le I_{s,\rho}\, d\mu(x) + 2^{n-s} I_{s,\delta}\, d\mu(x_1) \\ &\le I_{s,\rho}\, d\mu(x) + 2^{n-s} t \end{aligned}$$

since x_1 is not in S_t. We now take $b = 2^{n+1-s}$. This implies that if $x \in E$, we have

$$tb \le I_{s,\rho}\, d\mu(x) + tb/2$$

and consequently

$$tb/2 \le I_{s,\rho}\, d\mu(x).$$

Thus E is contained in the set

$$\{x \in Q | I_{s,\rho}\, d\mu(x) > tb/2,\ M_{s,\delta}\, d\mu(x) \le td\}.$$

Hence, if $x \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} tb|E|/2 &\le \int_Q I_{s,\rho}\, d\mu(x)\, dx \\ &= \int \int_{\substack{|x-y|<\rho \\ x \in Q}} |x - y|^{s-n}\, dx\, d\mu(y) \\ &\le (\omega/s)\rho^s \int_{Q+2\rho} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2\rho \le \delta$ and the cube $Q + 2\rho$ is contained in a ball of radius $5\rho/4 < \delta$ about any point in Q, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} tb|E|/2 &\le (\omega/s)\rho^s (5\rho/4)^{n-s} M_{s,\delta}\, d\mu(x_0) \\ &\le (\omega/s) r^s 5^{n-s} n^{n/2} td|Q| \end{aligned}$$

or

$$|E| \le 2^{2s+1}(\omega/s) 5^{n-s} n^{n/2} (d/b)|Q| \tag{3.17}$$

if we take $x_0 \in E$. If E is empty, (3.17) holds as well. Thus we see that (3.17) holds in all cases. If we sum over all the cubes Q_j, we see that

$$|\{I_{s,\delta/2}\, d\mu(x) \ge tb,\ M_{s,\delta}\, d\mu(x) \le td\}| \le C_{n,s}\, d|S_t|$$

where

$$C_{n,s} = \omega 5^{n-s} n^{n/2} 2^{3s-n}/s.$$

Hence

$$|\{I_{s,\delta/2}\,d\mu(x) > tb\}| \le C_{n,s}\,d|S_t| + |\{M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu(x) > td\}|.$$

This means that

$$\int_0^N |\{I_{s,\delta/2}\,d\mu(x) > tb\}|\,dt^q$$
$$\le C_{n,s}\,d\int_0^N |S_t|\,dt^q + \int_0^N |\{M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu(x) > td\}|\,dt^q$$

or

$$b^{-q}\int_0^{Nb} |\{I_{s,\delta/2}\,d\mu(x) > \tau\}|\,d\tau^q$$
$$\le C_{n,s}\,d\int_0^N |S_t|\,dt^q + d^{-q}\int_0^{Nd} |\{M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu(x) > \tau\}|\,d\tau^q.$$

Letting $N \to \infty$, we have

$$\|I_{s,\delta/2}\,d\mu\|_q^q \le C_{n,s}\,db^q\|I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q^q + (b/d)^q\|M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q^q$$

and consequently

$$\|I_{s,\delta/2}\,d\mu\|_q \le C_{n,s}^{1/q}\,d^{1/q}b\|I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q + (b/d)\|M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q.$$

Now

$$I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu(x) = I_{s,\delta/2}\,d\mu(x) + \int_{\delta/2<|y-x|<\delta} |x-y|^{s-n}\,d\mu(y)$$
$$\le I_{s,\delta/2}\,d\mu + 2^{n-s}M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\|I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q \le C_{n,s}^{1/q}\,d^{1/q}b\|I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q + (bd^{-1} + 2^{n-s})\|M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q.$$

Take $1/d = C_{n,s}2^q b^q$. Then

$$\|I_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q \le b(2d^{-1}+1)\|M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q$$
$$= (2^{n-s+1} + (\omega/s)5^{n-s}n^{n/2}2^{(n+2-s)q+2s+2})\|M_{s,\delta}\,d\mu\|_q.$$

This gives the theorem.

Next we shall prove

Theorem 3.5. *Under the same hypothesis,*

$$\|G_{s,\lambda}\,d\mu\|_q \le C'_{s,q}\|M_{s,1/\lambda}\,d\mu\|_q \tag{3.18}$$

where the constant depends only on s, n and q. Here

$$G_{s,\lambda}\,d\mu(x) = \int G_{s,\lambda}(x-y)\,d\mu(y), \tag{3.19}$$
$$(\lambda^2 - \Delta)^{-s/2}f(x) = \int G_{s,\lambda}(x-y)f(y)\,dy.$$

Proof. For each $s > 0$, the function $G_{s,\lambda}(x)$ has been studied extensively by Aronszajn-Smith [7]. In particular, it satisfies

$$G_{s,\lambda}(x) \le \begin{cases} c_0|x|^{s-n}, & \lambda|x| \le 1, \\ c_1\lambda^{n-s}|\lambda x|^{\gamma}e^{-\lambda|x|}, & \lambda|x| > 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

where $\gamma = (n-s-1)/2$ and the c_j do not depend on λ. Let

$$\widetilde{G}_{s,\lambda}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda|x| \le 1, \\ G_{s,\lambda}(x), & \lambda|x| > 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

It suffices to show that

$$\|\widetilde{G}_{s,\lambda}\, d\mu\|_q \le C\|M_{s,1/\lambda}\, d\mu\|_q. \tag{3.22}$$

For by Theorem 3.1 and (3.20)

$$\|[G_{s,\lambda} - \widetilde{G}_{s,\lambda}]\, d\mu\|_q \le c_0\|I_{s,1/\lambda}\, d\mu\|_q \le c_0 C_{s,q}\|M_{s,1/\lambda}\, d\mu\|_q.$$

Now by (3.20) and (3.21)

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}_{s,\lambda}\, d\mu(y) &\le c_1 \int_{\lambda|x-y|>1} \lambda^{n-s}|\lambda(x-y)|^{\gamma}e^{-\lambda|x-y|}\, d\mu(x) \\ &\le c_1\lambda^{n-s}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{k<\lambda|x-y|<k+1}(k+1)^{\gamma}e^{-k}\, d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

The set $k < |x| < k+1$ can be covered by $N(k)$ balls of radius 1 and centers $z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{N(k)}$ with $N(k) \le c_2 k^{n-1}$. Thus the set $k < \lambda|x| < k+1$ can be covered by $N(k)$ balls with centers $z^{(1)}/\lambda, \dots, z^{N(k)}/\lambda$ having radius $1/\lambda$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}_{s,\lambda}\, d\mu(y) &\le c_1\lambda^{n-s}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{N(k)}(k+1)^{\gamma}e^{-k}\int_{|x-y-z^{(j)}/\lambda|<1/\lambda} d\mu(x) \\ &\le c_1\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{N(k)}(k+1)^{\gamma}e^{-k}M_{s,1/\lambda}\, d\mu(y+z^{(j)}/\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\|\widetilde{G}_{s,\lambda}\, d\mu\|_q \le c_1\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}N(k)(k+1)^{\gamma}e^{-k}\|M_{s,1/\lambda}\, d\mu\|_q.$$

This gives (3.22).

We can now give the

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\delta = 1/\lambda$ and put

$$K_p = \sup_x (M_{2p,\delta}V^p)^{1/p}.$$

If $q = 2p > 2$, then Hölder's inequality gives

$$M_{1,\delta}[V^{1/2}u] \le M_{q,\delta}(V^{q/2})^{1/q}M_{0,\delta}(|u|^{q'})^{1/q'} \le K_p^{1/2}(M|u|^{q'})^{1/q'}.$$

Hence

$$\|M_{1,\delta}[V^{1/2}u]\|_2 \le K_p^{1/2}\|(M|u|^{q'})^{1/q'}\|_2.$$

Since $q' < 2$, this is bounded by

$$K_p^{1/2}\|M|u|^{q'}\|_{2/q'}^{1/q'} \le c'K_p^{1/2}\|\,|u|^{q'}\|_{2/q'}^{1/q'} = c'K_p^{1/2}\|u\|_2.$$

By Theorem 3.5, this implies

$$\|G_{1,\lambda}[V^{1/2}u]\|_2 \le c'C'_{1,2}K_p^{1/2}\|u\|_2.$$

This implies by duality

$$\|V^{1/2}G_{1,\lambda}\nu\|_2 \le c'C'_{1,2}K_p^{1/2}\|\nu\|_2$$

which is equivalent to

$$(Vu, u) \le c'^2C'^2_{1,2}\ K_p(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \lambda^2\|u\|^2).$$

Thus

$$C_\lambda(V) \le c'^2C'^2_{1,2}\ K_p$$

which is precisely (3.4). To prove (3.5), let $\phi(x)$ be a test function which equals 1 for $|x| < 1$ and 0 for $|x| > 2$. Put $\phi_\lambda(x) = \phi(\lambda(x - z))$, where $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(V\phi_\lambda, \phi_\lambda) &\le C_\lambda(V)(\|\nabla\phi_\lambda\|^2 + \lambda^2\|\phi_\lambda\|^2)\\ &= C_\lambda(V)\lambda^{2-n}(\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2) = C\lambda^{2-n}C_\lambda(V).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda^{n-2}\int_{\lambda|x-z|<1} V(x)\,dx \le C\,C_\lambda(V)$$

and consequently

$$M_{2,1/\lambda}V(z) \le C\,C_\lambda(V).$$

Remark 3.6. The constant C_p in (3.4) can be estimated readily from the proofs of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.5.

4. Properties of $C_\lambda(V)$

In this section we shall derive some properties of the constant $C_\lambda(V)$.

Theorem 4.1. *$C_\lambda(V)$ is continuous in λ in the interval $[0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$C_\nu(V) \le A, \qquad \nu > \lambda.$$

Then $C_\lambda(V) \le A$. For we have

$$(Vu, u) \le A(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \nu^2\|u\|^2), \qquad u \in C_0^\infty.$$

Let $\nu \to \lambda$. Then

$$(Vu, u) \le A(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \lambda^2\|u\|^2), \qquad u \in C_0^\infty.$$

Thus $C_\lambda(V) \le A$. Next, suppose $\lambda > 0$ and

$$C_\nu(V) \ge A, \qquad \nu < \lambda.$$

Then $C_\lambda(V) \ge A$. For if $C_\lambda(V) \le A - \varepsilon$, we can find for each $\nu < \lambda$ a function $u_\nu \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\|\nabla u_\nu\|^2 + \nu^2 \|u_\nu\|^2 = 1 \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$C_\nu(V) - \varepsilon/2 \le (Vu_\nu, u_\nu) \le C_\lambda(V)(\|\nabla u_\nu\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|u_\nu\|^2).$$

Thus

$$A - \varepsilon/2 \le C_\lambda(V)(1 + (\lambda^2 - \nu^2)\|u_\nu\|^2) \le C_\lambda(V)\lambda^2/\nu^2$$

in view of (4.1). Let $\nu \to \lambda$. We have

$$A - \varepsilon/2 \le C_\lambda(V) \le A - \varepsilon$$

providing a contradiction. Since $C_\lambda(V)$ is a decreasing function of λ, it must be continuous.

Theorem 4.2. *If $-\mu^2$ is the lowest point of the spectrum of $-\Delta - V$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 &= \inf_{C_\lambda(V) \le 1} \lambda^2 = \sup_{C_\lambda(V) > 1} \lambda^2 \\ &= \inf_{C_\lambda(V) \le 1} \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V) = \sup_{C_\lambda(V) > 1} \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V). \end{aligned}$$

If the set $C_\lambda(V) \le 1$ is empty, then $\mu = \infty$. If the set $C_\lambda(V) > 1$ is empty, then $\mu = 0$.

Proof. Let H be the operator (1.2). If $C_\lambda(V) \le 1$, then (1.1) implies

$$-C_\lambda(V)\lambda^2 \|u\|^2 \le (Hu, u).$$

Thus

$$\mu^2 \le C_\lambda(V)\lambda^2 \le \lambda^2, \qquad C_\lambda(V) \le 1. \tag{4.2}$$

If $C_\lambda(V) > 1$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $u \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$(Vu, u) \ge (C_\lambda(V) - \varepsilon)(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|u\|^2).$$

Thus

$$(Hu, u) + \lambda^2 (C_\lambda(V) - \varepsilon)\|u\|^2 \le (1 + \varepsilon - C_\lambda(V))\|\nabla u\|^2.$$

For ε sufficiently small, this is ≤ 0. Thus

$$-\mu^2 \le -\lambda^2 (C_\lambda(V) - \varepsilon) \quad \text{or} \quad \mu^2 \ge \lambda^2 (C_\lambda(V) - \varepsilon).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\mu^2 \ge \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V) \ge \lambda^2, \qquad C_\lambda(V) > 1. \tag{4.3}$$

In particular we see from this that

$$C_\mu(V) \le 1.$$

If $\mu \neq 0$, we see by (4.2) that

$$C_\mu(V) = 1. \tag{4.4}$$

By (4.2),

$$\mu^2 \leq \inf_{C_\lambda(V)\leq 1} \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V) \leq \inf_{C_\lambda(V)\leq 1} \lambda^2. \tag{4.5}$$

But by (4.4) we see that equality holds. Similarly, by (4.2) we see that

$$\mu^2 \geq \sup_{C_\lambda(V)} \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V) \geq \sup_{C_\lambda(V)} \lambda^2. \tag{4.6}$$

But there cannot be a positive ε such that $\mu^2 \geq \varepsilon + \lambda^2$ holds for all λ satisfying $C_\lambda(V) > 1$. For that would imply the existence of a $\nu < \mu$ such that $C_\nu(V) \leq 1$, contradicting (4.5). Thus, equality holds throughout (4.6) as well.

Corollary 4.3.

$$\mu^2 \leq \sup_\lambda \lambda^2[2C_\lambda(V) - 1], \tag{4.7}$$

$$\mu^2 \geq \sup_\lambda \lambda^2[C_\lambda(V) - 1]. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. If $C_\lambda(V) > 1$, then

$$\lambda^2 \leq \lambda^2[2C_\lambda(V) - 1].$$

Thus $\sup \lambda^2$ over the set $C_\lambda(V) > 1$ is bounded by the right-hand side of (4.7). Similarly, if $C_\lambda(V) > 1$, then

$$\lambda^2 C_\lambda(V) \geq \lambda^2[C_\lambda(V) - 1]. \tag{4.9}$$

On the other hand, the right-hand side of (4.9) is negative if $C_\lambda(V) < 1$. Thus $\sup \lambda^2 C_\lambda(V)$ over the set $C_\lambda(V) > 1$ is $\geq$ the right-hand side of (4.8).

Now we turn to the

Proof of Corollary 3.3. By (4.7) and (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 &\leq \sup_\lambda \lambda^2[2C_p \sup_x (M_{2p,1/\lambda} V^p)^{1/p} - 1] \\ &= \sup_{x,\delta}[2C_p \delta^{-2}(M_{2p,\delta} V^p)^{1/p} - \delta^{-2}]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

This equals the last expression in (3.6). For let L be the latter expression. Then

$$\left(\delta^{-n} \int_{|y-x|<\delta} V(y)^p \, dy\right)^{1/p} \leq (L + \delta^{-2})/2C_p, \qquad \delta > 0.$$

This implies

$$(M_{2p,\delta} V^p)^{1/p} \leq (\delta^2 L + 1)/2C_p.$$

If we substitute this into (4.10), we obtain

$$\mu^2 \leq \sup_{x,\delta}[\delta^{-2}(\delta^2 L + 1) - \delta^{-2}] = L.$$

The same reasoning works in reverse. The second estimate in Corollary 3.3 is proved in the same way using inequality (3.5).

Corollary 3.4 is an immediate consequence of (3.4) taking $\lambda = 0$.

A function $V(x)$ is said to satisfy the A_∞ condition if there is $p > 1$ such that

$$\left(|Q|^{-1}\int_Q V(x)^p\,dx\right)^{1/p} \leq L_p|Q|^{-1}\int_Q V(x)\,dx$$

holds for all cubes Q, where $|Q|$ is the volume of Q (cf. [8]). We have

Corollary 4.4. *If $V(x)$ satisfies the A_∞ condition, then*

$$C_\lambda(V) \leq N_p\|M_{2,1/\lambda}V\|_\infty. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. From the definition we see that there is a constant L'_p such that

$$(M_{2p,\delta}V^p)^{1/p} \leq L'_p M_{2,\delta}V.$$

We now merely apply Theorem 3.2.

5. Invariance of the essential spectrum

For a closed operator A on a Banach space we define the essential spectrum of A as

$$\sigma_e(A) = \bigcap_K \sigma(A + K)$$

where the intersection is taken over all compact operators K. We give sufficient conditions for H to have the same essential spectrum as $-\Delta$.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that*

(a) $C_\lambda(V) \to 0$ *as* $\lambda \to \infty$.

(b) *For some* $\lambda_0 \geq 0$,

$$C_{\lambda_0}(V^R) \to 0 \quad \text{as } R \to \infty$$

where

$$V^R(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \leq R, \\ V(x), & |x| > R. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sigma_e(H) = \sigma_e(-\Delta) = [0, \infty). \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. By (a) and (1.1), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant C_ε such that

$$(Vu, u) \leq \varepsilon\|\nabla u\|^2 + C_\varepsilon\|u\|^2.$$

Moreover, if $\phi(x) \in C_0^\infty$ is the function used in the proof of Theorem 3.2, then

$$C_{\lambda_0}(V(1-\phi_R)) \to 0 \quad \text{as } R \to \infty$$

by (b). These two conditions are necessary and sufficient for $V^{1/2}$ to be compact from $H^{1,2}$ to L^2 (cf. [14, p. 172]). This in turn is sufficient for H to have a $1/2$ extension satisfying (5.1) (cf. [14, p. 149]).

Bibliography

1. M. Schechter, *Hamiltonians for singular potentials*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1972), 483–503.
2. V. G. Mazya, *On the negative spectrum of multidimensional Schrodinger operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1962, 721–722.
3. D. R. Adams, *On the existence of capacitary strong type estimates in* $\mathbf{R}^n$, Ark. Mat. **14** (1976), 125–140.
4. C. L. Fefferman, *The uncertainty principle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1983), 129–206.
5. B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **192** (1974), 251–275.
6. M. de Guzman, *Differentiation of integrals in* $\mathbf{R}^n$, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 481, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1975.
7. N. Aronszajn and K. T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel potentials*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **11** (1961), 385–475.
8. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 241–250.
9. R. Kerman and E. Sawyer, *Weighted norm inequalities for potentials with applications to Schroedinger operators, Fourier transforms and Carleson measures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1985), 112–116.
10. ——, *The trace inequality and eigenvalue estimates for Schrodinger operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **36** (1986), 207–228.
11. E. B. Fabes, C. E. Kenig and R. P. Serapioni, *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **7** (1982), 77–116.
12. S. Chanillo and R. L. Wheeden, *L^p estimates for fractional integrals and Sobolev inequalities with applications to Schrodinger operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **10** (1985), 1077–1116.
13. E. T. Sawyer, *A weighted inequality and eigenvalue estimates for Schrodinger operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), 1–28.
14. M. Schechter, *Spectra of partial differential operators*, North-Holland, 1971; rev. ed. 1986.
15. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1966.
16. S. Y. A. Chang, J. M. Wilson and T. H. Wolff, *Some weighted inequalities concerning the Schrodinger operators*, Comment Math. Helv. **60** (1985), 217–246.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, California 92717