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Abstract. The problem of $C^0$-sufficiency of jets is one of the most interesting problems in the theory of functions or singularities. Roughly speaking, it is the problem of determining a topologically principal part of the Taylor expansion of a given function $f(x)$ at the origin of Euclidean space. Here, the topologically principal part should satisfy the properties that it is as small as possible a part of the Taylor expansion of $f(x)$ and that the local topological type of $f(x)$ at the origin is determined by it. If a function $f(x)$ is an isolated singularity at the origin or has a nondegenerate Newton principal part (see (1.2)), then we know some answers to this problem (see (1.1), (1.3)). The purpose of this paper is to give some results for this problem for any analytic function. The main results are formulated in (1.5), (1.6), and (1.7).

1. Main results

Let $K := \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and $A(K^n)$ be the set of all germs of analytic functions $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K, 0)$ at the origin of $K^n$.

Sufficiency of $f \in A(K^n)$ with an isolated singularity at the origin of $K^n$ has been studied by many mathematicians [1, 3, 4]. Kuiper, Kuo, Chang and Lu proved the following:

(1.1) Theorem [1, 3, 4]. Let $f \in A(K^n)$ be an isolated singularity. If there exist positive $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ such that

$$\left| \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \right| \geq \varepsilon |x|^{r-\delta}$$

for some positive integer $r$ and for all $x$ in a neighborhood of the origin of $K^n$, then the $r$-jet $j^{(r)}(f)$ is a $C^0$-sufficient jet.

Let $\Gamma_+(f)$ be the Newton polygon of $f \in A(K^n)$, the convex hull of the set

$$\{k + \mathbb{R}_+ \mid a_k \neq 0\}$$
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in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) where \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) is the set of all nonnegative real numbers, for the Taylor expansion

\[
f(x) = \sum_k a_k x^k = \sum_k a_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n}.
\]

Let \( S \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{Z}_+^n \) (or \( \mathbb{R}_+^n \)) where \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is the set of all nonnegative integers and define \( f_S := f \mid S := \sum_{k \in S \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_k x^k \).

(1.2) **Definition.** An \( f \in A(\mathbb{K}^n) \) (or the Newton principal part of \( f \)) is non-degenerate if \( \{ x \in \mathbb{K}^n \mid \partial f_y / \partial x_1 = \partial f_y / \partial x_2 = \cdots = \partial f_y / \partial x_n = 0 \} \subset \{ x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 0 \} \) for any compact face \( y \) of \( \Gamma_+(f) \).

The following theorem related to sufficiency of nondegenerate analytic functions is well known.

(1.3) **Theorem** [2]. Let an \( f \in A(\mathbb{K}^n) \) be nondegenerate and \( \Gamma_+(f) \) be the Newton polygon of \( f \). Then the family \( f + u g \) is topologically trivial along \( I = \{ u \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < u < 1 \} \) for any \( g \in A(\mathbb{K}^n) \) with \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \text{Int} \Gamma_+(f) \).

(1.4) **Definitions.**

(1.4.1) \[ |\text{Grad } f| := \left| \left( x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \cdots, x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|^2. \]

For a given \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \), define

(1.4.2) **Condition:** There exists a positive \( \epsilon = \epsilon(k) \) such that

\[ |\text{Grad } f| \geq \epsilon |x^k| \]

in a neighborhood of the origin of \( \mathbb{K}^n \).

(1.4.3) Let \( \Lambda_+(f) \) be the convex hull of the set

\[ \left\{ k + \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \text{Condition (1.4.2) holds} \right\} \]

in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). We call \( \Lambda_+(f) \) a gradient polygon of \( f \).

Let \( m \) be the order of the function \( |\text{Grad } f| \) on \( V := V(f) := \{ x \in \mathbb{K}^n \mid |\text{Grad } f| = 0 \} \). Namely

(1.4.4) \[ m := m(f) := \frac{1}{2} \text{Min}\{\text{the order of } |\text{Grad } f|^2 \text{ at } x_0 \mid x_0 \in V(f)\}. \]

For a given \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \), define

(1.4.5) **Condition:** There exists a positive \( \epsilon = \epsilon(k) \) such that

\[ |\text{Grad } f|^{1+1/m} \geq \epsilon |x^k| \]

in a neighborhood of the origin of \( \mathbb{K}^n \).

(1.4.6) Let \( \check{\Lambda}_+(f) \) be the convex hull of the set

\[ \left\{ k + \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \text{Condition (1.4.5) holds} \right\} \]

in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). We call \( \check{\Lambda}_+(f) \) a quasi gradient polygon of \( f \).
We need the following notation to state Lemma 2.6 and Corollary 2.7. For a given $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define

\begin{equation}
\mu(k) := \text{Max}\left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{R} \mid \frac{1}{1 + \mu} k \in \Lambda_+(f) \right\}
\end{equation}

and

\[\mu := \mu(f) := \text{Min}\{\mu(k) \mid k \in \text{Int} \Lambda_+(f) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n\}\] .

Then $\Gamma_+(f) \supset \Lambda_+(f) \supset \tilde{\Lambda}_+(f)$ where the first inclusion is proved in (3.1) and the second one is clear by definition.

Now the main results in this paper are the following three theorems.

(1.5) **Theorem.** Suppose that one of the following two conditions holds for an analytic function $g(x)$:

1. $\Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f)$;
2. $\Gamma_+(g) \subset \text{Int} \Lambda_+(f)$ and $V(f) = \{0\}$.

Then the family $f + ug$ is topologically trivial, identically on $V(f)$, along $I := \{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < u \leq 1\}$. Namely there exists a local homeomorphism $H: (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I) \to (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I)$ such that the following diagram (1.5.3) commutes:

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
(V \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I) & \xrightarrow{i} & (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I) & \xrightarrow{H} & (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(R, I) & \xrightarrow{f + ug} & (K^n, 0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(K^n, 0) & \xrightarrow{f} & (K, 0)
\end{array}\]

where $p_1$, $p_2$ are the canonical projections and $i$ is the inclusion map.

(1.6) **Theorem.** Suppose $\Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f)$ for an analytic function $g$ and $V(f) = \{0\}$. Then $f(x) + ug(x)$ is topologically trivial along $I(\delta) = \{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq u \leq \delta\}$ for a sufficiently small positive $\delta$. Namely there exists a local homeomorphism $H: (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times 0) \to (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times 0)$ such that the diagram (1.5.3) replacing $I$ by $0$ commutes.

(1.7) **Theorem.** An $f$ is nondegenerate if and only if $\Gamma_+(f) = \Lambda_+(f)$.

Let $\Lambda_-(f)$ (resp. $\tilde{\Lambda}_+(f)$) be the complement of $\text{Int} \Lambda_+(f)$ (resp. $\text{Int} \tilde{\Lambda}_+(f)$) in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. By (1.5), $f | \tilde{\Lambda}_-(f)$ (resp. $f | \Lambda_-(f)$ if $V = \{0\}$) is a topologically
principal part of $f$ in the sense that if $f | \hat{A}_-(f) = g | \hat{A}_-(f)$ (resp. $f | A_-(f) = g | A_-(f)$) for $f, g \in A(K^n)$; then $f$ is topologically equivalent (identically on $V(f)$) to $g$.

Suzuki [5] proved a beautiful theorem related to (1.6) when $f(x,y)$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of two complex variables with an isolated singularity.

2. Proof of Theorems (1.5) and (1.6)

For $f, g \in A(K^n)$, we let

$$F(x; u) := f(x) + ug(x),$$

$$\text{Grad} F := \left( x_1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} , x_2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} , \ldots, x_n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} , \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} \right),$$

$$\text{Grad}_x F := \left( x_1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} , x_2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} , \ldots, x_n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right),$$

$$p(x; u) := \overline{g} \left| \text{Grad}_x F \right|^2 \left( |x_1|^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} , |x_2|^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} , \ldots, |x_n|^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} , 0 \right),$$

$$V := V(f) := \{ x \in K^n \mid \left| \text{Grad} f \right| = 0 \},$$

and

$$X(x; u) := \begin{cases} (0,1) - \overline{g} p(x; u) & \text{if } x \notin V, \\ (0,1) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{g}$ means the complex conjugate of $g$.

(2.1) Lemma. If $\Gamma_+(g) \subset \hat{A}_+(f)$ (resp. $\Gamma_+(g) \subset A_+(f)$), then

$$|\text{Grad}_x F| \geq |\text{Grad} f|$$

in a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times I$ (resp. $\{0\} \times \{0\}$) in $K^n \times R$.

Proof. If $\Gamma_+(g) \subset \hat{A}_+(f)$ (resp. $\Gamma_+(g) \subset A_+(f)$), then

$$\Gamma_+ \left( x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right) \subset \hat{A}_+(f) \quad \text{(resp. } \Gamma_+ \left( x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right) \subset A_+(f) \text{).}$$

So the hypothesis of (2.1) implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 \geq 4|u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right|^2$$

in a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times I$ (resp. $\{0\} \times \{0\}$) in $K^n \times R$.

Hence,

$$|\text{Grad}_x F|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + u x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right|^2$$

$$= |\text{Grad} f + u \text{Grad} g|^2 \geq (|\text{Grad} f| - |u \text{Grad} g|)^2$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} |\text{Grad} f|^2$$
in a neighborhood of \( \{0\} \times I \) (resp. \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \)). This completes the proof of (2.1). □

The following (2.2) is an immediate corollary of (2.1).

(2.2) Corollary. If \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \hat{\Lambda}_+(f) \) (resp. \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f) \)), then \( \{(x,u) \in K^n \times I \mid |\text{Grad}_x F| = 0\} \subset V \times I \) as germs at \( \{0\} \times I \) (resp. \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \)) where \( I = [0,1] \), and so the vector field \( X \) is well defined in a neighborhood of \( \{0\} \times I \) (resp. \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \)).

(2.3) Lemma.

(2.3.1) Suppose that (1.5.1) holds. Then there exists a positive \( K \) such that

\[
|X(x;u) - X(x_0;u)| \leq K|x - x_0|
\]

for any \( x_0 \in V \), in a neighborhood of \( \{0\} \times I \) in \( K^n \times \mathbb{R} \).

(2.3.2) If \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \text{Int} \Lambda_+(f) \) (resp. \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f) \)), then there exists a positive \( K \) such that

\[
|X(x;u) - X(x_0;u)| \leq K|x|
\]

for any \( x_0 \in V \), in a neighborhood of \( \{0\} \times I \) (resp. \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \)) in \( K^n \times \mathbb{R} \).

Proof. If \( x \in V \), then (2.3) is trivial. So we assume \( x \notin V \). Then we have

\[
|X(x;u) - X(x_0;u)| = |p(x;u)|
\]

\[
= |g||\text{Grad}_x F|^{-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i^4| \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq |g||\text{Grad}_x F|^{-1}|x|
\]

\[
\leq 2g||\text{Grad} f|^{-1}|x|
\]

in a neighborhood of \( \{0\} \times I \) (resp. \( \{0\} \times \{0\} \)) if \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \hat{\Lambda}_+(f) \) (resp. \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f) \)), where the last inequality follows from (2.1).

If (1.5.1) holds, then there exist positive \( \varepsilon \), \( K \) such that

\[
2|g||\text{Grad} f|^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\text{Grad} f|^{1/m} \leq K|x - x_0|
\]

in a neighborhood of the origin. This completes the proof of (2.3.1).

If \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \text{Int} \Lambda_+(f) \) (resp. \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f) \)), there exists a positive \( K \) such that

\[
2|g||\text{Grad} f|^{-1}|x| \leq K|x|
\]

in a neighborhood of the origin. This completes the proof of (2.3.2). □

The conclusion of (2.3) is a well-known Lipschitz condition for the existence of a locally unique solution of an ordinary differential equation (see [1, p. 876]). So we have the following corollary.
(2.4) Corollary. Suppose that one of (1.5.1), (1.5.2) holds (resp. $\Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f)$). Then the vector field $X(x;u)$ is continuous in a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times I$ (resp. $\{0\} \times \{0\}$) and the differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = X(x;u)$$

has a locally unique continuous solution $\phi(t;x,u)$ with $\phi(0;x,u) = (x,u)$ in a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times I$ (resp. $\{0\} \times \{0\}$).

(2.5) Lemma. The function $F(\phi(t;x,u))$ of $t$ is constant along each solution curve $\phi$ of (2.4.1) under the assumption of (1.5) or (1.6).

Proof. If $x \notin V$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(\phi(t;x,u)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_i}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. If $x_0 \in V$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(\phi(t)) = g(x_0) = 0,$$

also holds, since $F(\phi(t)) = F(x_0;u+t) = f(x_0) + (u+t)g(x_0)$ and $|\text{Grad}_x f| \geq \varepsilon|g|$ by the common assumption $\Gamma_+(g) \subset \Lambda_+(f)$ of (1.5) and (1.6). We thus draw the conclusion that $F(\phi(t)) = \text{constant}$ along each solution curve $\phi$ of (2.4.1). □

Now, we are at the final stage of the proof of (1.5) and (1.6). Under the assumption of (1.5) (resp. (1.6)), the differential equation (2.4.1) has a locally unique continuous solution $\phi(t;x,u)$ by (2.5). Since $I$ is compact and the $u$-component of $X(x;u)$ is equal to one, a finite succession of solutions gives the trajectory starting from $(x,0)$ in a neighborhood of $(0,0)$, ending at a point of $K^n \times \{1\}$. Namely, denoting the trajectory starting from $(x,0)$ by $\phi(u;x,0)$, we have

$$H(x;u) := \phi(u;x,0): (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I) \rightarrow (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times I)$$

(resp. $H(x;u) := \phi(u;x,0): (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times 0) \rightarrow (K^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \times 0)$),

a level preserving local homeomorphism, identically on $V \times I$. In fact, the uniqueness of solutions of (2.4.1) implies that $H$ is locally homeomorphic.
And the diagram (1.5.3) (resp. (1.5.3) replacing I by 0) commutes. This completes the proofs of (1.5) and (1.6).

The method used here to prove (1.5) and (1.6) modifies a method used in [1, 4]. One of the most important improvements is the definition of the vector field \( X(x; u) \). The definition of "gradient vector" \( \text{Grad} F \) used here has some reasonable meaning in the sense of a "singular" Riemannian metric (see [2, 7]).

(2.6) **Lemma.** If \( 1 \leq m(f) \mu(f) \), then \( \text{Int} \Lambda_+(f) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}_+(f) \).

*Proof.* By the definition (1.4.7) of \( \mu(f) \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{1 + \mu} k \in \Lambda_+(f)
\]

for any \( k \in \text{Int} \Lambda_+(f) \). So there exists a positive \( \varepsilon \) such that

\[
\varepsilon |x|^k \leq |\text{Grad} f|^{1+\mu} \leq |\text{Grad} f|^{1+1/m}
\]

in a neighborhood of the origin of \( \mathbb{K}^n \). Thus \( k \in \tilde{\Lambda}_+(f) \). This completes the proof of (2.6). \( \square \)

The following (2.7) is an immediate corollary of (1.5) and (2.6).

(2.7) **Corollary.** Suppose \( 1 \leq m(f) \mu(f) \). Then for any \( g \in A(\mathbb{K}^n) \), the family \( f + ug \) is topologically trivial, identically on \( V(f) \), along \( I = \{0 \leq u \leq 1\} \) if \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \text{Int} \Lambda_+(f) \).

(2.8) **Example.** Let \( f(x, y) := (x + y)^2 + y^3 \) and \( g(x, y) := -y^3 \). Then \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \text{Int} \Gamma_+(f) \) and \( V(f) = \{0\} \) as germs at the origin. But it is clear that the family \( F(x, y; u) := f + ug \) is not topologically trivial along \( I = [0, 1] \) because \( F(x, y; 0) = f(x, y) = (x + y)^2 \).

Example (2.8) shows that we may not in general use the Newton polygon \( \Gamma_+(f) \) instead of the gradient polygon \( \Lambda_+(f) \) in (1.5.2).

3. **Proof of (1.7)**

At the beginning of this section we recall the notion of toroidal embedding corresponding to the Newton polygon \( \Gamma_+(f) \) of \( f \in A(\mathbb{K}^n) \). It plays an essential role in the proof of Theorem (1.6).

Let \( \mathbb{R}^{n*} \) be the dual space of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). For \( a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n*} \), define:

\[
\langle a, \alpha \rangle := a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + a_n\alpha_n,
\]

\[
l(\alpha) := \min\{\langle a, \alpha \rangle | a \in \Gamma_+(f)\},
\]

\[
\gamma(\alpha) := \{a \in \Gamma_+(f) | \langle a, \alpha \rangle = l(\alpha)\},
\]

and

\[
\Gamma^*(f) := \mathbb{R}^{n*}_{+}/\sim,
\]
where \( \alpha \sim \alpha' \) defined by \( \gamma(\alpha) = \gamma(\alpha') \). We can identify an equivalence class \( \sigma \) with a rational polyhedral convex cone

\[
\mathbb{R}_+ a_1^1(\sigma) + \mathbb{R}_+ a_2^2(\sigma) + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_+ a_n^m(\sigma)
\]

where \( a_1^1(\sigma), a_2^2(\sigma), \ldots, a_n^m(\sigma) \) are minimal generators and we require \( a_i^j(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ \) to be as small as possible. Then there exists a unimodular simplicial sub-division \( \Sigma \) of \( \Gamma^n(f) \); namely \( \Sigma \) is a simplicial sub-division of \( \Gamma^n(f) \) and

\[
\det(a_1^1(\sigma), a_2^2(\sigma), \ldots, a_n^m(\sigma)) = \pm 1 \text{ for any } n \text{-dimensional simplex } \sigma \text{ of } \Sigma \ [6].
\]

Let \( K^n(\sigma) \) be a copy of \( K^n \) for any \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( \sigma \in \Sigma \) and \( (y_{\sigma,1}, y_{\sigma,2}, \ldots, y_{\sigma,n}) \) be a coordinate system of \( K^n(\sigma) \).

For a matrix \( A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}(n \times n, \mathbb{Z}) \), define

\[
A_{y} := (y_1^1, y_2^2, \ldots, y_n^n)
\]

Let

\[
a(\sigma) := (a_1^1(\sigma), a_2^2(\sigma), \ldots, a_n^m(\sigma))
\]

and

\[
a_i^j(\sigma) = \left( a_1^1(\sigma), a_2^2(\sigma), \ldots, a_n^m(\sigma) \right).
\]

Define

\[
X := X(\Gamma_+(f)) := \bigcup_{\sigma} K^n(\sigma)/\sim
\]

where \( y_\sigma \sim y_\tau \) \( (y_\sigma \in K^n(\sigma), y_\tau \in K^n(\tau)) \) is defined by \( y_\tau = a(\tau)^{-1} a(\sigma) y_\sigma \). Then \( X \) is a nonsingular algebraic manifold and the mapping

\[
\pi: X \to K^n, \quad \pi(y_\sigma) = a(\sigma) y_\sigma \quad (y_\sigma \in K^n(\sigma))
\]

is a proper analytic mapping onto \( K^n \).

Put

\[
\pi_\sigma := \pi | K^n(\sigma): K^n(\sigma) \to K^n
\]

and

\[
g_i(y_\sigma) := \frac{x_i \partial f / \partial x_i \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma)}{\prod_{j=1}^n y_{\sigma,j}^{l(a(\sigma))}},
\]

an analytic function in \( K^n(\sigma) \). Then we have the following

(3.1) Lemma. \( \Lambda_+(f) \subset \Gamma_+(f) \).

Proof. Let \( k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \) be an element of \( \mathbb{Z}^n_+ - \Gamma_+(f) \). Then there exist an \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( \sigma \) of \( \Sigma \) and \( i_0 \) such that

\[
l(a_i^0(\sigma)) > \langle k, a_i^0 \rangle.
\]

Then we have

\[
| \text{Grad} f | \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) = | y_1^{l(a(\sigma))} y_2^{l(a(\sigma))} \cdots y_n^{l(a(\sigma))} | \left( \sum_{i=1}^n | g_i(y_\sigma) |^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
and

\[ |x^k| \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i^k| \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) = |y_1^{K_1} y_2^{K_2} \cdots y_n^{K_n}| \]

where \( K_i = \sum_{j=1}^n k_i a_j^i(\sigma) = \langle k, a^i(\sigma) \rangle \). This shows that the inequality

\[ |\text{Grad} f| \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) \geq \epsilon |x^k| \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) \]

does not hold in any neighborhood of the origin of \( K^n(\sigma) \) for any positive \( \epsilon \). So \( k \) is not the element of \( \Lambda_+(f) \). This completes the proof of (3.1). \( \square \)

(3.2) Lemma. A germ \( f \) is nondegenerate if and only if \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g_i(y_\sigma)|^2 \neq 0 \) for any \( y_\sigma \in \pi^{-1}_\sigma(0) \) and any \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( \sigma \) of \( \Sigma \).

Proof. The “only if” part of (3.2) is Lemma 3.9 in [2, p. 472].

Suppose that \( f \) is degenerate. Then there exist a compact face \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma_+(f) \) and a point \( x^0 \) of \((K^*)^n\) such that

\[(x_i \partial f_j/\partial x_j)(x^0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.\]

There exist an \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( \sigma \) of \( \Sigma \) and a subset \( I \) of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) such that

\[ \gamma = \bigcap_{i \in I} \gamma(a^i(\sigma)). \]

Define \( E_{\sigma,I} := \{ y_\sigma \in K^n(\sigma) | y_{\sigma,i} = 0, i \in I \} \). Then

\[ g_i(y_\sigma) = \frac{(x_i \partial f_j/\partial x_j) \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma)}{\prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{l(a^j(\sigma))}} \]

and so

\[ (g_i | E_{\sigma,I})(y_\sigma) = \frac{(x_i \partial f_j/\partial x_j) \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma)}{\prod_{j \notin I} y_j^{l(a^j(\sigma))}}. \]

Note that \( \pi_\sigma | (K^*)^n \) is bijective. Put \( y^0 = (y_1^0, y_2^0, \ldots, y_n^0) = \pi^{-1}_\sigma(x^0) \) and

\[ y_j^0 := \begin{cases} y_j^0 & \text{if } j \notin I, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \in I. \end{cases} \]

Then

\[ g_i(y^0) = \frac{(x_i \partial f_j/\partial x_j) \circ \pi_\sigma(y^0)}{\prod_{j=1}^n(y_j^0)^{l(a^j(\sigma))}} = 0 \]

for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

On the other hand, \( \pi_\sigma(E_{\sigma,I}) = 0 \) by Lemma 3.7 in [2, p. 471]. So

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g_i(y_0^i)|^2 = 0 \]

for \( y^0 \in E_{\sigma,I} \subset \pi^{-1}_\sigma(0) \subset \pi^{-1}(0) \). This completes the proof of the “if” part of (3.2). \( \square \)

(3.3) Lemma. If \( f(x) \) is nondegenerate, then the following four statements are equivalent to each other for each \( k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \).
(3.3.1) The n-tuple \( k \) is an element of \( \Lambda_+^*(f) \).

(3.3.2) The inequality
\[
l(a^i(\sigma)) \leq \langle k , a^i(\sigma) \rangle
\]
holds for any \( i , 1 \leq i \leq n \), and any \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( \sigma \in \Sigma \).

(3.3.3) Let \( b^i , 1 \leq i \leq m \), be the all vectors of \( \mathbb{Z}_+^n \) such that \( \mathbb{R}_+ b^i \) is a one-dimensional cone of \( \Gamma^*(f) \), namely \( \gamma(b^i) \) is an \((n-1)\)-dimensional face of \( \Gamma_+^*(f) \). Then the inequality
\[
l(b^i) \leq \langle k , b^i \rangle
\]
holds for any \( i , 1 \leq i \leq m \).

(3.3.4) The n-tuple \( k \) is an element of \( \Gamma_+^*(f) \).

Proof. It is clear that for any \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( \sigma \in \Sigma \),
\[
|\text{Grad } f| \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) = |y_1^{(a^i(\sigma))} \cdot y_2^{(a^i(\sigma))} \cdots y_n^{(a^i(\sigma))}| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i(y_\sigma)|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
and
\[
|x^k| \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i^{K_i}| \circ \pi_\sigma(y_\sigma) = |y_1^{K_1} \cdot y_2^{K_2} \cdots y_n^{K_n}|
\]
where \( K_i = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j a^j(\sigma) = \langle k , a^j(\sigma) \rangle \).

This implies that (3.3.1) and (3.3.2) are equivalent to each other by (3.2).

Since \( \{b^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \) is a subset of \( \{a^i(\sigma) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \), all \( n \)-dimensional simplices \( \sigma \) of \( \Sigma \), it is clear that (3.3.3) follows from (3.3.2).

Since \( \Sigma \) is a subdivision of \( \Gamma^*(g) \), for any \( n \)-dimensional simplex \( \sigma \) there is an \( n \)-dimensional cone \( \sigma(\Gamma^*) \) of \( \Gamma^*(f) \) which contains \( \sigma \). Then \( \sigma(\Gamma^*) \) is a linear combination of \( b^i , 1 \leq i \leq m \), with coefficient \( \mathbb{R}_+ \). We may assume that
\[
\sigma(\Gamma^*) = \mathbb{R}_+ b^1 + \mathbb{R}_+ b^2 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_+ b^r
\]
for simplicity of notation. Then \( \bigcap_{i=1}^r \gamma(b^i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \gamma(a^i(\sigma)) \) is a one-point set. Let \( p = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \in \Gamma_+^*(f) \) be the point. There are nonnegatives \( s_{ij} , 1 \leq i \leq n , 1 \leq j \leq r \), such that
\[
a^i(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^r s_{ij} b^j.
\]

Now we have the equation
\[
l(a^i(\sigma)) = \langle p , a^i(\sigma) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^r s_{ij} \langle p , b^j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^r s_{ij} l(b^j).
\]

Suppose that (3.3.3) is true; then
\[
l(a^i(\sigma)) = \sum_{j=1}^r s_{ij} l(b^j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^r s_{ij} \langle k , b^j \rangle = \left( k , \sum_{j=1}^r s_{ij} b^j \right) = \langle k , a^i(\sigma) \rangle.
\]

So (3.3.2) is proved.
It is clear that \( l(b^i) \leq \langle k, b^i \rangle \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) if and only if \( k \in \Gamma_+(f) \). So (3.3.3) and (3.3.4) are equivalent to each other. This completes the proof of (3.3). □

The “only if” part of (1.7) is an immediate corollary of (3.3).

**Proof of “if” of (1.7).** Let \( \sigma \) be an \( n \)-dimensional simplex of \( \Sigma \) and \( \{ p = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi(a^i(\sigma)), \) a vertex of \( \Gamma_+(f) \). By the hypothesis: \( \Lambda_+(f) = \Gamma_+(f), \) \( p \in \Lambda_+(f), \) namely

\[
|\text{Grad } f| \geq \varepsilon|x^p|
\]

in a neighborhood of the origin of \( K^n \) for some positive \( \varepsilon \). So we have

\[
|\text{Grad } f| \circ \pi^-_\sigma(y_\sigma) = \left| y_1^{l(a_1(\sigma))} y_2^{l(a_2(\sigma))} \cdots y_n^{l(a_n(\sigma))} \right| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i(y_\sigma)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
\geq \varepsilon|x^p| \circ \pi^-_\sigma(y_\sigma) = \varepsilon \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i^{p_i}| \circ \pi^-_\sigma(y_\sigma) = \varepsilon |y_1^{K_1} y_2^{K_2} \cdots y_n^{K_n}|
\]

where \( K_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j a_j^i(\sigma) = \langle p, a^i(\sigma) \rangle = l(a^i(\sigma)) \).

So \( \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|^2 > 0 \) in a neighborhood of \( \pi^-_\sigma(0) \), and by (3.2) the germ \( f \) is nondegenerate. This completes the proof of the “if” of (1.7). □

This completes the proof of (1.7).

(3.4) **Corollary.** If \( f(x) \in A(K^n) \) is nondegenerate and \( V(f) = \{0\} \). Then the family \( F(x; u) := f(x) + ug(x) \) is topologically trivial along \( I = [0, \delta] \) for any \( g(x) \in A(K^n) \) with \( \Gamma_+(g) \subset \text{Int} \Gamma_+(f) \) where \( \delta \) is positive and sufficiently small.

**Proof.** This follows immediately from (1.6) and (1.7). □
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