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Abstract. In the study of induced representations the following problem arises: Let \( H = AG \) be a finite solvable group and \( k \) a field with \( \text{char} \, k \nmid |A| \). Let \( V \) be an irreducible, faithful, primitive \( k[AG] \)-module. Suppose \( H \) contains a normal extraspecial \( r \)-subgroup \( R \) with \( Z(R) \leq Z(H) \) and that \( A \) acts faithfully on \( R \). Under what conditions does \( A \) have a regular direct summand in \( V \)?

In this paper we consider this question under the hypotheses that \( G = MR \), where \( 1 \neq M \) is normal abelian in \( AM \), \( A \) is nilpotent, \( (|A|, |MR|) = (|M|, |R|) = 1 \), and \( R/Z(R) \) is a faithful, irreducible \( AM \)-module. We show that \( A \) has at least three regular direct summands in \( V \) unless \( |A|, \exp(M), r \) satisfy certain very restrictive conditions.

Let \( H = AG \) be a finite solvable group, where \( A \) is nilpotent, \( G \) is normal, and \( (|A|, |G|) = 1 \). Let \( k \) be a field of characteristic not dividing \( |A| \) and \( V \) a \( k[H] \)-module. Assume that \( V \) is faithful and irreducible. The study of induced representations often leads to the following two questions.

(1) (Regular Orbit Problem) Under what conditions does \( A \) have a regular orbit on \( V \)?

(2) (Regular Module Problem) Suppose that \( H \) contains a normal extraspecial \( r \)-group \( R \leq G \), where \( Z(R) \leq Z(H) \) and \( A \) acts faithfully on \( R \), and that \( V \) is primitive. Under what conditions does \( A \) have a regular direct summand in \( V \)?

A discussion of the applications of answers to these questions is contained in [8].

The Hall-Higman series of papers [1–7] considers these questions when \( A \) is wreath free. However, general results on minimal cases are given for the regular orbit problem in [1] and for the regular module problem in [2, 3]. General methods for reduction to minimal cases are studied in [5]. These results are used to study the regular orbit problem in [14] where a general solution is given for a nilpotent group \( A \). Here we wish to begin the extension of these results to the regular module problem.

The regular module problem is always more difficult than the regular orbit problem because there are many more groups for which there is no regular...
direct summand and because these exceptional groups are far more complex. The Hall-Higman series [1-7] describes all the essential minimal exceptional groups in the case where $G$ acts cyclically on $R/Z(R)$. This paper extends these results to the case where the action of $G$ on $R/Z(R)$ is abelian.

The inductive reductions used in this paper allow one to reduce to minimal cases where the action of $H$ on $R/Z(R)$ is form primitive. Therefore, in this paper we are starting with the cases where $G$ acts essentially as a cyclic group on $R/Z(R)$. In future papers we will consider the cases starting where $G$ acts essentially as an extraspecial group. These results are required for a general answer to the regular module problem.

The exceptional groups (i.e., those with no regular direct summand in $V$) all arise when certain interactions occur between the primes dividing $|A|$ and those dividing $|G|$. These may be explicitly excluded as is done in Shult [19] or implicitly excluded as in Turull [20]. We are attempting here to give methods for examining the exceptional cases excluded by these types of hypotheses. Our justification is that most of the interesting pathological behavior in representation theory occurs for the exceptional groups we are studying.

Because our rationale is to examine the pathology and because this pathology is plentiful, the computations are often elaborate and finicky. Wherever it seemed reasonable, we have suppressed the computations, indicating how they were done.

The main result is obtained through a sequence of reductions. We have isolated each of these reductions via a sequence of propositions. Thus, the hypotheses of each proposition are set to handle one particular reduction. The main theorem of this paper is:

**Theorem.** Suppose $H = AMR$, where $R$ is a normal extraspecial $r$-subgroup for a prime $r$, $1 \neq M$ is a normal abelian subgroup of $AM$, $A$ is nilpotent, and $(|A|, |MR|) = (|M|, |R|) = 1$. Assume that $Z(R) \leq Z(H)$ and that $\overline{R} = R/Z(R)$ is a faithful irreducible $AM$-module. Let $\lambda \neq 1$ be a complex linear character of $Z(R)$ and $V$ be a complex $H$-module lying over $\lambda$. Then $V|_A$ contains a direct sum of three copies of the regular $A$-module unless:

1. $M$ is cyclic, $R$ is a form quasiprimitive module, and $(A, M, R)$ is one of the exceptional groups $(A, N, R)$ given in Theorem (3.13).
2. $A$ is a 2-group and one of the following occurs:
   - (i) $\exp(M) = 3$ and $r = 3 \cdot 2^a \pm 1$ for some $a$, or
   - (ii) $\exp(M) = 5$ and either $r = 3$ or $r = 5 \cdot 2^a \pm 1$ for some $a$, or
   - (iii) $\exp(M)$ divides $(r - 1)/2$ and $r = 2^a - 1$ for some $a$, or
   - (iv) $\exp(M) = 15$ and $r = 11$.
3. $\mathfrak{S}_2(A)$ is cyclic, both $|\mathfrak{S}_2(A)|$ and $\exp(M)$ divide $(r + 1)/2$, and $r = 2^a + 1$ for some $a$.
4. $A$ is a $p$-group for an odd prime $p$, $\exp(M) = 2$, and $r^a = 2 \cdot p^b - 1$ for some $a$ and $b$.

For each exceptional conclusion there is at least one example $H$ so that $V$ does not contain three regular $A$-modules. This theorem is most useful in an inductive setup. For the inductive setup to work easily, it is necessary to know that $V$ contains at least three regular $A$-direct summands (rather than just one).

We have divided this paper as follows. §1 is a compendium of notation.
§2 sets up the notation for the canonical computations. §3 collects notation, definitions, and results from previous papers on this subject. We have found it necessary to extend some of these results in minor ways. §4 is devoted to tensor induction and the related reduction results we will need. §5 contains all the reduction steps mentioned earlier. Finally, in §6 we carefully give our hypotheses, the main theorem, and its proof.

1. Remarks and notation

All groups considered are finite and solvable. The following standard notation is used.

(1.1) $G^{(n)} = G \times G \times \cdots \times G$ (n factors).
(1.2) $G^\Lambda \leq G^{(n)}$ is defined by $G^\Lambda = \{(g, g, \ldots, g): g \in G\}$.
(1.3) $S_n$ is the symmetric group on $n$ letters.
(1.4) Let $H \leq S_n$. The wreath product $G \sim H$ is defined as the semidirect product $G^{(n)}H$ with the action of $h \in H$ on $f \in G^{(n)}$ given by $f^h(i) = f(h(i))$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.
(1.5) $G'$ always refers to the derived group of $G$.
(1.6) $G^2 = \{g \in G: g = x^2 \text{ for some } x \in G\}$.
(1.7) $\chi_\theta$ and $\rho_G$ refer to the trivial and regular characters of $G$, respectively.

Also, if $N \triangleleft G$, then every $G/N$-module (-character) can be viewed as a $G$-module (-character). In particular, $\rho_{G/N}$ is a $G$-character.

(1.8) $V_1 + V_2$ and $V_1 \oplus V_2$ refer to the internal and external direct sums of $V_1$ and $V_2$, respectively.
(1.9) $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta, i.e., $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$.
(1.10) For $g \in G$, $|g|_2$ is the 2-part of the order of $g$. That is, if $|g| = 2^a b$, $b$ odd, then $|g|_2 = 2^a$.

Let $G$ be a group, $k$ a field, $V$ a $k[G]$-module, and $\chi$ the character of $G$ on $V$. Then

$$V^{(n)} = V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \quad (n \text{ factors})$$

is naturally a $(G \sim S_n)$-module, where for $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in G^{(n)}$ and for $\nu \in S_n$ the action of $\nu(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ on $(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) \in V^{(n)}$ is given by

$$\nu(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = \nu(g_1 v_1 \otimes g_2 v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n v_n),$$

$$= (g_{\nu(1)} v_{\nu(1)} \otimes g_{\nu(2)} v_{\nu(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{\nu(n)} v_{\nu(n)}).$$

By $\chi^{(n)}$ we mean the $(G \sim S_n)$-character of $V^{(n)}$.

Notice that even if $V$ is a faithful $G$-module, it is not necessarily true that $V^{(n)}$ is a faithful $(G \sim S_n)$-module. For example, if $V$ is faithful and irreducible and $g \in G$ acts like $-1$ on $V$, then $(g, g)(v_1 \otimes v_2) = (-v_1) \otimes (-v_2) = (v_1 \otimes v_2)$ and $(g, g)$ acts trivially on $V^{(2)}$.

Recall that for a group $G$ the values of the regular character are given by $\rho_G(1) = |G|$ and $\rho_G(g) = 0$ for $g \in G^\#$ and that $\rho_G = \sum_\mu \mu(1) \mu$, where the sum is over the irreducible characters $\mu$ of $G$. Suppose $V$ is a $G$-module with character $\chi$. We will frequently want to show that $\chi \geq n \rho_G$, and hence that $V$ contains $n$ copies of the regular $G$-module. One method of doing this is to show that $(\chi, \mu) \geq n \mu(1)$ for all irreducible characters $\mu$ of $G$. Another method is to write $\chi = n \rho_G + \psi$, where $\psi$ is a sum of $G$-characters. While it
may be difficult to find \( \psi \), it is easy to determine if it is correct. One merely evaluates \( n \rho_G + \psi \) for \( g \in G \) and compares the result to \( \chi(g) \).

2. The module \( V_\lambda \) and character \( \chi_\lambda \)

Throughout this section we will have \( G = AR \) a finite group with normal extraspecial \( r \)-subgroup \( R \) (\( r \) a prime) and \( Z(R) \leq Z(G) \). Then \( \overline{R} = R/Z(R) \) is a vector space over the field \( \text{GF}(r) \) and \( A \) acts on \( \overline{R} \) as automorphisms. We begin this section with a discussion of the ordinary characters of extraspecial groups and then consider extensions to groups with normal extraspecial subgroups. In particular, certain canonical character extensions will be defined and methods given for explicitly computing character values.

(2.1) Theorem \[15, \text{V.16.14}\]. If \( R \) is extraspecial of order \( r^{2n+1} \), then \( R \) has precisely the following irreducible characters:

1. \( r^{2n} \) linear characters, lifted from \( R = R/R' \) and
2. \( r - 1 \) faithful characters of degree \( r^n \), which arise in the following way. Let \( M < R \) be a maximal abelian normal subgroup (of order \( r^{n+1} \)) and \( \lambda \neq 1 \) one of the \( r - 1 \) distinct linear characters of \( Z(R) \). Then \( \lambda \) has an extension \( \mu \) to \( M \), with \( \chi = \mu^{R} \) irreducible of degree \( r^n \) and \( \chi|_{Z(R)} = \chi(1)\lambda \). This determines a one-to-one correspondence between the nontrivial \( Z(R) \)-characters and the nonlinear irreducible \( R \)-characters.

If \( \chi \) is a \( G \)-character with associated representation \( X \), then \( \det \chi \) is the linear character defined by the determinant of \( X \). That is, \( \det \chi(g) = \det X(g) \), \( g \in G \).

(2.2) Theorem \[3, 2.2, 2.3\]. Suppose \( G = AR \) is the semidirect product of the normal extraspecial \( r \)-group \( R \) by \( A \), with \((|A|, r) = 1 \) and \( Z(R) \leq Z(G) \). Assume that \( k \) is a field of characteristic 0 containing the \( |G| \)th roots of unity. Let \( \lambda \neq 1 \) be a linear \( k \)-character of \( Z(R) \). Then there exists a unique \( k[G] \)-module \( V_\lambda(AR) \) with associated character \( \chi_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(AR) \) such that

1. \( \chi_\lambda|_{Z(R)} \) is a multiple of \( \lambda \),
2. \( \chi_\lambda|_R \) is irreducible, and
3. \( \det \chi_\lambda|_A \) is trivial.

Further, if \( B \leq A \), then \( \chi_\lambda(BR) = \chi_\lambda(AR)|_{BR} \). In particular, for \( x \in A \), \( \chi_\lambda(AR)(x) = \chi_\lambda((x)R)(x) \).

Note that the last statement indicates that the values of \( \chi_\lambda \) are determined locally. In \[3, 2.4-2.8\] the method of computing \( \chi_\lambda((x)R)(x) \) is given. We summarize the results.

(2.3) Theorem. Suppose \( G = AR \) is the semidirect product of the extraspecial \( r \)-subgroup \( R \) by the cyclic group \( A = \langle x \rangle \). Assume further that \((|A|, r) = 1 \), \( Z(R) \leq Z(G) \), and \( C_A(R) = 1 \). Let \( k \) be a field of characteristic 0 containing the \( |G| \)th roots of unity. If \( \lambda \neq 1 \) is a linear character of \( Z(R) \), then \( \chi_\lambda(x) \) may be determined as follows:

1. Write \( \overline{R}_x = \sum_\mathcal{C} \overline{R}_C \), where, for each subgroup \( C \) of \( \langle x \rangle \), \( \overline{R}_C \) is the sum of all submodules of \( \overline{R} \) with kernel \( C \), and \( \mathcal{C} \) is the set of all subgroups \( C \leq \langle x \rangle \) for which \( \overline{R}_C \neq (0) \). The \( \overline{R}_C \)'s are mutually orthogonal nonsingular spaces. So each \( \overline{R}_C \) has even dimension \( 2n_C \).
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(2) For each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a sign $\varepsilon_C$ ($= \pm 1$) satisfying

$$r^n c \equiv \varepsilon_C \mod [(x) : C].$$

(3) Put

$$\gamma_C = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(r^n c - \varepsilon_C)/[(x) : C]} & \text{if } [(x) : C] \text{ is even}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\chi_\lambda(x) = r^n \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}^*} \varepsilon_C \gamma_C,$$

where $\dim(\overline{R}_{(x)}) = 2n$ and $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{(x)\}$.

When applying this theorem it is necessary to determine the dimensions of the modules $\overline{R}_C$. The groups for which we will need to do this will satisfy the hypotheses of the following lemma.

(2.4) Lemma. Suppose $R \triangleleft AR$ is an extraspecial $r$-group, $r$ an odd prime, $A$ is a 2-group, and $Z(R) \leq Z(AR)$. Assume $\overline{R} = R/Z(R)$ is a faithful irreducible $A$-module. Then $Z(A)$ is cyclic. Let $z \in A$ be the unique central involution. Suppose we have $xz$ conjugate to $x$ for all $x \in A$ with $\langle x \rangle \cap Z(A) = 1$. Then for all such $x$,

$$\dim C_{\overline{R}}(x) = (\dim \overline{R})/|x|.$$  

Proof. The proof is by mathematical induction on $|x|$. We can write $\overline{R} = \overline{R}_1 + \overline{R}_2 + \overline{R}_3$, where $x$ acts like 1 on $\overline{R}_1 = C_{\overline{R}}(x)$, $x$ acts like $-1$ on $\overline{R}_2$, and $x^2$ is faithful on $\overline{R}_3$. Now $xz$ acts like $-1$ on $\overline{R}_1$ and like 1 on $\overline{R}_2 = C_{\overline{R}}(xz)$. Since $xz$ is conjugate to $x$, $\dim C_{\overline{R}}(x) = \dim C_{\overline{R}}(xz)$. That is, $\dim \overline{R}_1 = \dim \overline{R}_2$. Since by induction

$$\dim(\overline{R}_1 + \overline{R}_2) = \dim C_{\overline{R}}(x^2) = (\dim \overline{R})/|x^2|,$$

we have $\dim \overline{R}_1 = (\dim \overline{R})/|x|$ as desired.

3. Form quasiprimitive modules

In this section we first discuss induction of general form quasiprimitive modules. The theory parallels that of ordinary induction of primitive and quasiprimitive modules. We then look at $V_\lambda(AMR)$ when $R/Z(R)$ is a form quasiprimitive $AM$-module. (In [5, §7] these modules are called minimal.) We will especially investigate the situations in which $\chi_\lambda(AMR)|_A \not\leq \rho_A$.

(3.1) Hypothesis. Let $k$ be a finite field, $G$ a group, and $V$ a $k[G]$-module. Suppose $g : V \times V \to k$ is a nonsingular symplectic form on $V$ fixed by $G$.

We say $V$ is form induced by $U$ if there is a subgroup $H$ of $G$ and a nonsingular $k[H]$-module $U$ such that $V \simeq U^G$ and the distinct submodules among the $xU$, $x \in G$, are pairwise orthogonal. An irreducible module which is not form induced is said to be form primitive. We also need to define the notion of a form quasiprimitive module.

(3.2) Definition. Assume Hypothesis (3.1). We say $V$ is form quasiprimitive (or minimal) if for any normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ either

1. $V|_N$ is homogeneous, or
(2) $V|_N = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where the $W_i$ are the homogeneous components and are totally isotropic. Then $N$ acts contragrediently on $W_1$ and $W_2$.

(3.3) **Proposition** [5, 7.8]. Assume Hypothesis (3.1). If $V$ is form primitive, then $V$ is form quasiprimitive.

(3.4) **Corollary.** Assume Hypothesis (3.1). Then $V$ is induced from a form quasiprimitive module.

(3.5) **Hypothesis.** Let $R \triangleleft AMR$, where $R$ is an extraspecial $r$-group, $r$ is a prime, $M \triangleleft AM$, and $(|AM|, r) = (|A|, |M|) = 1$. Assume $Z(R) \leq Z(AMR)$ and $\overline{R} = R/Z(R)$ is an irreducible $AM$-module.

Then the commutator map on $R$ induces a nonsingular symplectic form and we have

(3.6) **Corollary.** Assume Hypothesis (3.5). Then there are groups $R_0 \leq R$, $M_0 \leq M$, and $A_0 \leq A$ such that $M_0 \triangleleft A_0 M_0$, $Z(R) \leq R_0 \triangleleft A_0 M_0 R_0$. $\overline{R}_0 = R_0/Z(R)$ is a form quasiprimitive $A_0 M_0$-module, and $\overline{R} = R/Z(R)$ is form induced from $\overline{R}_0$, i.e., $\overline{R} \simeq \overline{R}_0^{A_0 M_0}$, where $\overline{R}_0$ is viewed as an $A_0 M_0$-module and for $x \in AM$ either $x^{-1} R_0 x = R_0$ or $[x^{-1} R_0 x, R_0] = 1$. Also, $R_0$ is extraspecial since $\overline{R}_0$ is nonsingular.

(3.7) **Hypothesis.** Assume $ANR$ satisfies Hypothesis (3.5) (with $N = "M"$), $1 \neq N$ is cyclic, $A$ is nilpotent, and $\overline{R} = R/Z(R)$ is a faithful quasiprimitive $AN$-module. Write $|R| = r^{2m+1}$. 

(3.8) **Theorem** [2, 3.20; 3, 4.12]. Assume Hypothesis (3.7). Then there is an identification so that $AN \leq B$ and $\overline{R}$ is given by one of the examples (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), or (3.12) below. In these examples $g$ is the symplectic form induced by the commutator on $R$. Further, if $AN$ is nilpotent, then $AN \leq B$ is given by either (3.9) or (3.10).

Let $k = GF(r)$, $\hat{k} = GF(r^m)$, and $\hat{k} = GF(r^{2m})$, and let $\text{Tr}: \hat{k} \rightarrow k$ be the trace map.

(3.9) **Example.** $B$ is cyclic of order $r^m + 1$.

Let $\overline{R} = \hat{k}^+$ and $\varphi: e \rightarrow e r^m$, the automorphism of order 2 of $\hat{k}$. Let $B$ be the multiplicative subgroup of $\hat{k}$ of order $r^m + 1$. Then $B$ acts on $\overline{R}$ by multiplication. Choose $\mu \in \hat{k}^\times$ so that $\mu^r = -\mu$ (if $r = 2$, set $\mu = 1$) and for $u, v \in \overline{R}$ set $g(u, v) = \text{Tr}((\mu u^\varphi v))$.

(3.10) **Example.** $B = S \times C$, where $S$ is semidihedral of order $2^{t+1}$, $2^t | r^m - 1$, and $N \leq C$ which is cyclic of odd order $(r^n+1)/2$, $m = 2n$, and $r^n \equiv 1 \mod 4$.

Let $\overline{R}$ be a two-dimensional $\hat{k}$-space with basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. As a $\hat{k}$-space we write matrices in the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ to represent linear (or semilinear) transformations. Choose $\nu \in \hat{k}^\times$ of order $2^t$ and $\theta \in \hat{k}^\times$ of order $(r^n+1)/2$. Let $\psi: e \rightarrow e^\nu$ be the automorphism of order 2 of $\hat{k}$. Set

$$S = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \nu & 1 \\ -\psi & \psi \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

and
For $u = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2$ and $v = \alpha' e_1 + \beta' e_2$, where $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \bar{k}$, set $g(u, v) = \text{Tr}(\alpha\beta' - \alpha'\beta)$.

For $k_0$ an extension field of $k$, let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(k_0/k)$ be the Galois group of $k_0$ over $k$. Form the semidirect product $\mathcal{F}(k_0/k) = \mathcal{G}k_0^+$. The additive group $k_0^+$ is naturally a $\mathcal{F}(k_0/k)$-module with action $(\sigma x)(v) = (xv)^{\sigma^{-1}}$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$, $x \in k_0^x$, and $v \in k_0^+$. We use the group $\mathcal{F}(k_0/k)$ in Examples (3.11) and (3.12).

(3.11) Example. $B$ equals the group $\mathcal{F}_1$ given below.

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}(\hat{k}/k)$ and $\sigma = \mathcal{G}(\hat{k}/k)$, and choose $\alpha \in \hat{k}^\times$ such that $\mu^\sigma \mu^{-1} \alpha^\sigma \alpha = 1$. Set $\mathcal{F}_1 = \langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle$. ($\mathcal{F}_1$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(\hat{k}/k)$ that fixes the form $g$.) Here $N < \langle \nu \rangle$.

(3.12) Example. $B$ equals the group $\mathcal{F}_2$ below.

Let $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}(\hat{k}/k)$, and $\langle \sigma \rangle = \mathcal{F}(\hat{k}/k)$, and $\langle \nu \rangle = k^x$. (No assumptions are made about $m$ or congruence mod 4.) Set

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \left\langle \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{array} \right], \nu' = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \nu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu^{-1} \end{array} \right] \right\rangle.$$

Here $N < \langle \nu' \rangle$.

(3.13) Theorem [3, 3.8, 4.28]. Assume Hypothesis (3.7). Let $\lambda \neq 1$ be a linear $Z(R)$-character and let $V_\lambda(ANR)$ be the module of (2.2). Then $V_\lambda(ANR)$ contains three copies of the regular $A$-module unless $A \leq \hat{A}$, where $\hat{A}$ ("$A"$), $N$, and $R$ also satisfy Hypothesis (3.7) and we have one of the entries of Table (3.14).

Remarks. When $\hat{A}N$ is nilpotent [2, 3.20] applies. When $\hat{A}N$ is as in Example (3.9), $\chi_\lambda|_{\hat{A}} = \{|N| - 1\} \rho_{\hat{A}} - \mu \rho_{\hat{A}}$, where $\mu$ is a linear character. Since $\chi_\lambda|_{\hat{A}} \not\geq 3 \rho_{\hat{A}}$, we must have $|N| = 2$ or 3 and we obtain exceptions (1) and (2). When $\hat{A}N$ is as in Example (3.10), then $\chi_\lambda|_{\hat{A}} \geq |N|(|r^n - 1|/2^{l-1} - 1/2)\rho_{\hat{A}}$, where $2^{l-1}|r^n - 1$. Since $|N| \geq 3$ and $\chi_\lambda|_{\hat{A}} \not\geq 3 \rho_{\hat{A}}$, we must have $r^n - 1 = 2^{l-1}$ and we obtain exceptions (3) and (4). The remaining exceptions are obtained from the table of exceptions of [3, 4.28], which applies when $\hat{A}N$ is not nilpotent. Here the conditions on $|A|$, $|N|$, and $|R|$ are a bit more stringent and so some of the exceptions to that theorem do not appear here. Also, each of the possibilities for $A \leq \hat{A}$ is listed separately there, while here only $\hat{A}$ is given.

The cases in which $V_\lambda(ANR)|_{\hat{A}}$ do not contain any regular $\hat{A}$-modules will lead to most of the difficulties which arise later. We will need to do explicit character computations in these cases. We now describe these groups explicitly and give the values of the characters $\chi_\lambda(ANR)|_{\hat{A}}$. 
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### Table (3.14)

| r   | m   | \(|\hat{A}|\) | \(|\hat{N}|\) | example | #reg | comments  |
|-----|-----|-------------|-------------|---------|------|-----------|
| (1) | r   | \((r^m + 1)/2\) | 2           | 3.9     | 1    |           |
| (2) | r   | \((r^m + 1)/3\) | 3           | 3.9     | 2    |           |
| (3) | 3   | 4           | 32          | 5       | 3.10 | 0         |
| (4) | \(2^s + 1\) | 2          | \(2^{s+2} \cdot f\) | g       | 3.10 | 0         | \(s \geq 2, *, **\) |
| (5) | 3   | 2           | 8           | 5       | 3.11 | 1         |
| (6) | \(3 \cdot 2^s - 1\) | 1          | \(2^{s+1}\) | 3       | 3.11 | 1         |
| (7) | 2   | 5           | 3 \cdot 5   | 11      | 3.11 | 2         |
| (8) | 5   | 3           | 2 \cdot 27  | 7       | 3.11 | 2         |
| (9) | \(5 \cdot 2^s - 1\) | 1          | \(2^{s+1}\) | 5       | 3.11 | 2         |
| (10)| 3   | 4           | 128         | 5       | 3.12 | 0         |
| (11)| \(2^s + 1\) | 2          | \(2^{s+3}\) | g       | 3.12 | 0         | \(s \geq 2, *\) |
| (12)| \(2^s - 1\) | 2          | \(2^{s+3}\) | g       | 3.12 | 0         | \(s \geq 3, *\) |
| (13)| 5   | 4           | 32 \cdot 13 | 3       | 3.12 | 1         |
| (14)| 7   | 4           | 64 \cdot 25 | 3       | 3.12 | 1         |
| (15)| \(3 \cdot 2^s + 1\) | 1          | \(2^{s+1}\) | 3       | 3.12 | 1         |
| (16)| 3   | 8           | 64 \cdot 41 | 5       | 3.12 | 2         |
| (17)| 11  | 2           | 32          | 3, 5, 15| 3.12 | 2         |
| (18)| \(3 \cdot 2^s + 1\) | 2          | \(2^s(r + 1)\) | 3       | 3.12 | 2         |
| (19)| \(5 \cdot 2^s + 1\) | 1          | \(2^{s+1}\) | 5       | 3.12 | 2         |
| (20)| \(5 \cdot 2^s + 1\) | 2          | \(2^{s+1}(r + 1)\) | 5       | 3.12 | 2         |

* : \(1 \neq g\) is odd and divides \(r^m - 1\).
** : \(1 \leq f\) is also odd and divides \(r + 1\). Also, \((f, g) = 1\).

# reg gives the number of regular \(\hat{A}\) modules in \(V_2(ANR)\).
\(\hat{A}\) is the group described in the indicated example.

(3.15) **Theorem.** Assume Hypothesis (3.7). Let \(A_0 \leq A\). Assume \(\chi_\lambda(ANR)|_{A_0} \neq \rho_{A_0}\). Then \(A_0 \leq A \leq \hat{A}\), where \(\hat{A}\), \(N\), and \(R\) also satisfy Hypothesis (3.7) and we have one of (1), (2), (3), or (4) below. Further, in each of these cases \(\chi_\lambda(ANR)|_{\hat{A}} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\rho_{\hat{A}} - \rho_{\hat{A}/\hat{A}})\).

1. \(\hat{A} = C \times \langle h, k: h^2 = k^2 = -1, h^k = -h^{-1}\rangle\), where \(C\) is cyclic of odd order dividing \((r^{m/2} + 1)/2\), \(r^{m/2} = 2^s + 1\), and \(\langle h^2, hk\rangle < A_0\). For \(x \in \hat{A}\),

\[
\chi_\lambda(\hat{A}NR)(x) = \begin{cases} 
  r^m, & x = 1, \\
  r^{m/2}, & x \in h^k(h^2), \\
  -1, & x \in \langle h^2, h^k\rangle C \setminus h^k(h^2), \\
  1, & x \in (\langle h^2, h^k\rangle C)^\#.
\end{cases}
\]
(2) \( \hat{A} = \langle h, k, i \rangle : h^8 = k^2 = -1, i^4 = 1, h^k = -h^i, h^i = -h^3, [k, i] = 1 \), 
\( r = 3, m = 4, \) and \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle < A_0 \). For \( x \in \hat{A} \),
\[
\chi_{\lambda}(\hat{A}NR)(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
81, & x = 1, \\
9, & x \in hk\langle h^2 \rangle \cup \{i^2, -i^2\}, \\
-3, & x \in \{i, i^{-1}\}(\langle h^2 \rangle \cup k\langle h^4 \rangle), \\
-1, & x \in h\langle h^2, ki \rangle, \\
1, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(3) \( \hat{A} = \langle h, k, j \rangle : h^{2^i} = k^2 = -1, j^2 = 1, h^k = -h^j, h^j = -h, [k, j] = 1 \), 
\( r = 2s + 1, m = 2, \) and \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle < A_0 \). For \( x \in \hat{A} \),
\[
\chi_{\lambda}(\hat{A}NR)(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
r^2, & x = 1, \\
r, & x \in hk\langle h^2 \rangle \cup \{j, -j\}, \\
-1, & x \in h\langle j, h^2 \rangle, \\
1, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(4) \( \hat{A} = \langle h, k, j \rangle \) as in (3), \( r = 2^s - 1, m = 2, \) and \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \leq A_0 \). For \( x \in \hat{A} \),
\[
\chi_{\lambda}(\hat{A}NR)(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
r^2, & x = 1, \\
r, & x \in hk\langle h^2 \rangle \cup \{j, -j\}, \\
-1, & x \in h\langle j, h^2 \rangle, \\
1, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{array} \right.
\]

Remarks. Before proving the theorem we want to explicitly note some of the similarities between these characters. In all cases \( m \) is even. Also, \( 1 \neq |N| \) divides \( rm/2 \pm 1 \) and so \( rm/2 \geq 5 \). Notice that \( \chi_{\lambda}(x) = \pm r^{m/2} \) iff \( x \) is a noncentral involution and that \( \chi_{\lambda}(x) = 1 \) for \( 1 \neq x \in \langle h^2 \rangle = \hat{A}' \). These facts will be useful in \$6\$.

Proof. Set \( \chi_{\lambda} = \chi_{\lambda}(\hat{A}NR) \). Since \( \chi_{\lambda}|_{A_0} \nleq \rho_{A_0}, \) clearly \( \chi_{\lambda}|_{\hat{A}} \nleq \rho_{\hat{A}} \) and \( \hat{A}, N, \) and \( R \) are one of the entries (3) or (4), (10), (11), or (12) of Table (3.14). We obtain the groups \( \hat{A} \) using Examples (3.10) and (3.12). Let \( \mu \) be the 2-part of \( \nu \). Then \( \mu \in \langle \nu \rangle \) and \( |
u| = |\nu|_2 \). We then obtain the groups \( \hat{A} \) using the following correspondences.

(1) \( h = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & \mu^{-1} \\ \mu & \mu^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \ k = \begin{bmatrix} -\psi & \psi \\ -\psi & \psi \end{bmatrix}, \ i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & \sigma \\ \sigma & \sigma \end{bmatrix}, \)
(2) \( h = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & \mu^{-1} \\ \mu & \mu^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \ k = \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma^2 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}, \ i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & \sigma \\ \sigma & \sigma \end{bmatrix}, \)
(3) \( h = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & \mu^{-1} \\ \mu & \mu^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \ k = \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma & \sigma \\ -\sigma & \sigma \end{bmatrix}, \ j = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & \sigma \\ \sigma & \sigma \end{bmatrix}, \)
(4) \( h = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & \mu^{-1} \\ \mu & \mu^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \ k = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \mu & \sigma \mu^{-1} \\ \sigma \mu & \sigma \mu^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \ j = \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma \mu^{2^s-1} & \sigma \mu^{2^s-1} \\ -\sigma \mu^{2^s-1} & \sigma \mu^{2^s-1} \end{bmatrix} \).
The character values of \( \chi_\lambda \) are computed using Theorem (2.3). First consider \( x \in A^\# \) with \( \langle x \rangle \cap Z(A) \neq 1 \). Then \( \bar{R}_{\langle x \rangle} = \bar{R}_1 \) is a sum of faithful modules. Since in each example \( r^m \equiv 1 \pmod{|h|} \), where \( |h| = 2^{s+1}||r^m - 1 \), we have \( \chi_\lambda(x) = -1 \) if \( |x|^2 = |h| \) and \( \chi_\lambda(x) = 1 \) if \( |x|^2 < |h| \).

Suppose next that \( x \) is a noncentral involution. Then \( \bar{R}_{\langle x \rangle} = \bar{R}_x + \bar{R}_1 \), where by Lemma (2.4) both \( \bar{R}_x = C_{\bar{R}}(x) \) and \( \bar{R}_1 = [\bar{R}, x] \) have dimension \( r^{m/2} \). Now \( r^{m/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \) and so we can take \( e_{\langle x \rangle} = 1 \). In (1), (2), and (3) \( (r^{m/2} - 1)/2 \) is even and so \( \chi_\lambda(x) = e_{\langle x \rangle} \gamma_{\langle x \rangle} r^{m/2} = r^{m/2} \). In (4) \( (r^{m/2} - 1)/2 \) is odd and so \( \gamma_{\langle x \rangle} = -1 \) and \( \chi_\lambda(x) = -r^{m/2} \).

All elements of the groups in (1), (3), and (4) fall into one of the two categories above. However, the group in (2) also contains elements \( x \) of order 4 for which \( \langle x \rangle \cap Z(A) = 1 \). For these elements \( \bar{R}_{\langle x \rangle} = \bar{R}_x + \bar{R}_2 + \bar{R}_1 \) and by (2.4) \( \dim \bar{R}(x) = \dim \bar{R}_x = 1 \) and \( \dim \bar{R}_1 = 2 \). Now since \( r = 3 \) and \( |\bar{R}_1| = 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( (9 - 1)/4 \) is even, we have \( e_{\langle x \rangle} = \gamma_{\langle x \rangle} = 1 \). Also, \( |\bar{R}_x| = 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \) and \( (3 - 1)/2 \) is odd and so \( e_{\langle x \rangle} = 1 \) while \( \gamma_{\langle x \rangle} = -1 \). Then \( \chi_\lambda(x) = 3 \cdot (1 - 1) \cdot 1 = -3 \).

Using the character values, in each case a routine calculation shows that for every nonlinear irreducible \( \hat{\Lambda} \)-character \( \mu, \chi_\lambda|_{\hat{\Lambda}}, \mu \geq \mu(1)/2 \). Then \( \chi_\lambda|_{\hat{\Lambda}} \geq (\rho_{\hat{\Lambda}} - \rho_{\hat{\Lambda}A})^1/2 \).

To complete the proof we must determine the subgroups \( B \leq \hat{\Lambda} \) for which \( \chi_\lambda|_B \geq \rho_B \), and the \( B \)'s for which \( \chi_\lambda|_B \geq \rho_B \). We divide the subgroups \( B \) of \( \hat{\Lambda} \) into three categories:

1. \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \leq B \),
2. \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \not\leq B \langle h^2 \rangle \), and
3. \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \not\leq B \) but \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \leq B \langle h^2 \rangle \).

In each case we explicitly give the required character decompositions.

**Case 1.** \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \leq B \).

Set \( D = \langle h^2, hk \rangle \). Let \( \alpha \) be the linear \( D \)-character with \( \ker \alpha = \langle h^2 \rangle \). In exceptions (1), (2), and (3)

\[
\chi_\lambda|_D = \rho_D + [(r^{m/2} - 1)/2](\rho_D - \alpha) + [(r^{m/2} + 1)/2]1_D.
\]

Then \( \chi_\lambda|_D \) contains \( \rho_D \) but not \( 2 \rho_D \). Hence if \( D < B \), then \( \chi_\lambda|_B \not\geq \rho_B \). In exception (4)

\[
\chi_\lambda|_D = (r - 1)/2(\rho_D - 1_D) + [(r + 1)/2] \alpha.
\]

Thus, \( \chi_\lambda|_D \not\geq \rho_D \) and hence, if \( D \leq B \), then \( \chi_\lambda|_B \not\geq \rho_B \).

**Case 2.** \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \not\leq B \langle h^2 \rangle \). \( \langle h^2 \rangle \). We will show that \( \chi_\lambda|_B \geq \rho_B \) for each such subgroup \( B \). In each example \( \hat{\Lambda}/\langle h^2 \rangle \) is abelian with \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle/\langle h^2 \rangle \) as a direct summand. Then \( B \leq \hat{B} \), where

\[
\hat{\Lambda}/\langle h^2 \rangle = \langle h^2, hk \rangle/\langle h^2 \rangle + \hat{B}/\langle h^2 \rangle.
\]

Then \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \not\leq \hat{B} \) and \( [\hat{\Lambda}: \hat{B}] = 2 \). Since \( \chi_\lambda|_{\hat{B}} \geq \rho_{\hat{B}} \) implies \( \chi_\lambda|_B \geq \rho_B \), it suffices to consider only those subgroups \( B \) which have index 2 in \( \hat{\Lambda} \). We explicitly list the possibilities for \( B \) that occur in each of the four examples. In each case we can write \( \chi_\lambda|_B \) in the form \( n \rho_B + \psi \), as at the end of §1, and hence \( \chi_\lambda|_B \geq \rho_B \).
Case 2a. \( \widehat{A} = C \times \langle h, k \rangle \) is the group of (1).

Here \( B = \langle h \rangle \times C \) or \( \langle h^2, k \rangle \times C \). We have
\[
\chi\mid_B = \left(\frac{r m^2}{2} + 1\right) \rho_B + \mu,
\]
where in the first case \( \mu \) is the linear character with \( \ker \mu = \langle h^2 \rangle \times C \) and in the second \( \mu = 1_B \).

Case 2b. \( \widehat{A} = \langle h, k, i \rangle \) is the group of (2).

Here \( B \) is one of \( \langle h, i \rangle \), \( \langle h, ki \rangle \), \( \langle h^2, k, i \rangle \), or \( \langle h^2, k, hi \rangle \). Set \( I = \langle -1, i^2 \rangle \), \( J = \langle i^2 \rangle B' \), and
\[
\varphi = \rho_B + \frac{1}{4}(\rho_B - \rho_{B/(-1)}) + \frac{1}{2}(\rho_{B/J} - \rho_{B/J}).
\]
When \( B = \langle h, i \rangle \),
\[
\chi\mid_B = \varphi + \mu_1 + 2\mu_2,
\]
where \( \ker \mu_1 = \langle h, i^2 \rangle \) and \( \ker \mu_2 = \langle h^2, hi \rangle \). When \( B = \langle h, ki \rangle \),
\[
\chi\mid_B = \varphi + (\rho_{B/J} - \rho_{B/(ki)B'}) + \mu,
\]
where \( \ker \mu = \langle ki, h^2 \rangle \). When \( B = \langle h^2, k, i \rangle \),
\[
\chi\mid_B = \varphi + (\rho_{B/J} - \rho_{B/(hi)B'}) + 1_B.
\]
When \( B = \langle h^2, k, hi \rangle \),
\[
\chi\mid_B = \varphi + (\rho_{B/J} - \rho_{B/(hki)B'}) + \mu.
\]

Case 2c. \( \widehat{A} = \langle h, k, j \rangle \) is the group of (3) and (4).

Here \( B \) is one of \( \langle h, j \rangle \), \( \langle h^2, k, j \rangle \), \( \langle h, kj \rangle \), or \( \langle h^2, k, hj \rangle \). Let \( e = r m^2 \mod 4 \). Set \( H = \langle h^2 \rangle \) and
\[
\varphi = \frac{1}{4}(r - 2 + e) \rho_B + \frac{1}{2}(\rho_B - \rho_{B/H}).
\]
Suppose \( j \in B \). Set \( \varphi_1 = \rho_{B/(j,-1)} \) and \( \varphi_{-1} = \rho_{B/(j,-1)} - \rho_{B/(j,-1,j)} \) Then
\[
\chi\mid_B = \varphi + \varphi_{\pm} + \mu,
\]
where \( \ker \mu = \langle h^2, j \rangle \) when \( B = \langle h, j \rangle \) and \( \mu = 1_B \) when \( B = \langle h^2, k, j \rangle \). When \( j \notin B \),
\[
\chi\mid_B = \varphi + (\rho_{B/H} - \mu),
\]
where \( \ker \mu = \langle h \rangle \) when \( B = \langle h, k \rangle \) and \( \ker \mu = \langle h^2, hj \rangle \) when \( B = \langle h^2, k, hj \rangle \).

Case 3. \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \notin B \) but \( \langle h^2, hk \rangle \leq B(\langle h^2 \rangle) \).

Again we show that \( \chi\mid_B \geq \rho_B \) always and so, as above, it suffices to consider only those groups \( B \) which are maximal with respect to the conditions given. Now \( h^{2n+1} k \in B \), some \( n \). Since all such elements are conjugate we may assume \( hk \in B \). Then \( h^2 \notin B \). Since \( h^2 \notin \langle h^4 \rangle B \) we may assume that \( B = \langle h^4 \rangle B \), i.e., \( h^4 \in B \). Now
\[
B' \leq B \cap \widehat{A} = B \cap \langle h^2 \rangle = \langle h^4 \rangle
\]
and so \( \langle h^4, hk \rangle < B \) and \( B \leq N_A(\langle h^4, hk \rangle) \).

Case 3a. \( \widehat{A} = C \times \langle h, k \rangle \) is the group of (1).
Here \( B \leq N_A(\langle h^4, hk \rangle) = C \times \langle h^2, hk \rangle \) and we may assume that \( B = C \times \langle h^4, hk \rangle \). Then

\[
\chi_{\lambda}|_B = \left[ \frac{r m/2 + 1}{2} |C| \right] |\rho_B + (\rho_B - \rho_B/(h^2)) + 2\rho_C| + \mu,
\]

where \( \ker \mu = \langle h^4 \rangle C \).

**Case 3b.** \( \widehat{A} \) is the group of \( (2), (3), \) or \( (4) \).

When \( \widehat{A} \) is the group of \( (2) \), set \( j = i^2 \). Then, in all cases, \( B \leq N_A(\langle h^4, hk \rangle) = \langle h^2, hk, j \rangle \) and \( B \) is either \( \langle h^4, hk, h^2 j \rangle \) or \( \langle h^4, hk, j \rangle \). Let \( \varepsilon \equiv r m/2 \pmod{4} \) and set \( H = \langle h^4 \rangle \).

Suppose first that \( B = \langle h^2, hk, h^2 j \rangle \). Set \( \varphi_1 = \rho_{B/H}(hk) \) and \( \varphi_{-1} = \rho_{B/H} - \rho_{B/H}(hk) \). Then

\[
\chi_{\lambda}|_B = \left[ \frac{r m/2 + 3 \varepsilon}{4} \right] \rho_B + \left[ \frac{(r m/2 - \varepsilon)}{4} \right] (\rho_B - \rho_{B/H}) + \left[ \frac{(r m/2 - \varepsilon)}{2} \right] \rho_C + l_B.
\]

Now suppose that \( B = \langle h^4, hk, j \rangle \). Set \( \varphi_1 = \rho_{B/(i, -1)} \), \( \varphi_{-1} = \rho_{B/(i, -1)} - \rho_{B/(i, -1)} \), \( \mu_1 = 1_B \), and let \( \mu_{-1} \) be the linear character with kernel \( \langle h^4, hk \rangle \). Then

\[
\chi_{\lambda}|_B = \left[ \frac{(r m/2 - 2 + \varepsilon)}{4} \right] \rho_B + \left[ \frac{(r m/2 + 2 + \varepsilon)}{4} \right] (\rho_B - \rho_{B/(i, -1)}) + \left[ \frac{(r m/2)}{2} \right] \rho_C + e \mu_1.
\]

### 4. Tensor induced modules and characters

Tensor induction of modules is similar to ordinary induction. However, where in ordinary induction you take the direct sum of modules, here we take the tensor product. The analysis here is similar to that of [5, §2; 14, §3].

Throughout this section we will have \( H \) a subgroup of a group \( G \), \( k \) a field, \( U \) a \( k[H] \)-module, and \( \chi \) the character of \( H \) on \( U \). We wish to describe the action of \( G \) on \( V = U^\otimes G \) and the character \( \chi^\otimes G \). Let \( T = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\} \) be a transversal for \( H \) in \( G \). Set

\[
V = (y_1 \otimes U) \otimes (y_2 \otimes U) \otimes \cdots \otimes (y_n \otimes U).
\]

Here the tensor in \( (y_i \otimes U) \) is over \( k[H] \) and the tensors between the \( (y_i \otimes U) \)'s are over \( k \). For \( g \in G \), \( gy_i \otimes H = y_j \otimes H \), some \( j \). Define a map \( g \rightarrow \hat{g} \) from \( G \) into \( S_n \), the symmetric group on \( n \) letters, by \( \hat{g}(i) = j \) iff \( gy_i \otimes H = y_j \otimes H \), or equivalently, iff \( y_{j^{-1}} \otimes H \). It is easily verified that this is a homomorphism.

Define a map from \( G \) into \( H^{(n)} = \{(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) : h_i \in H\} \) by

\[
g \rightarrow F_g = (y_{\hat{g}(1)}^{-1} g y_1, y_{\hat{g}(2)}^{-1} g y_2, \ldots, y_{\hat{g}(n)}^{-1} g y_n).
\]

In ordinary induction we have \( g(y_i \otimes U) = y_{\hat{g}(i)}^{-1} y_{\hat{g}(i)}^{-1} g y_i \otimes U \) and for \( v = (y_1 \otimes u_1) + (y_2 \otimes u_2) + \cdots + (y_n \otimes u_n) \in U^G \) we have

\[
g v = (y_{\hat{g}(1)}^{-1} y_{\hat{g}(1)}^{-1} g y_1 u_1) + \cdots + (y_{\hat{g}(n)}^{-1} y_{\hat{g}(n)}^{-1} g y_n u_n)
\]

\[
= (y_1 \otimes F(\hat{g}^{-1}(1)) u_{\hat{g}^{-1}(1)}) + \cdots + (y_n \otimes F(\hat{g}^{-1}(n)) u_{\hat{g}^{-1}(n)}).
\]
In tensor induction the equation \( g(y_1 \otimes u) = y_{g(i)} \otimes y_{g(i)}^{-1} g y_i u \) describes the effect of \( g \) on a factor in a tensor of \( U^{\otimes G} \). That is, for \( g \in G \) and
\[
v = (y_1 \otimes u_1) \otimes (y_2 \otimes u_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (y_n \otimes u_n) \in U^{\otimes G},
\]
we have
\[
gv = (y_1 \otimes F(g^{-1}(1))u_{g^{-1}(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (y_n \otimes F(g^{-1}(n))u_{g^{-1}(n)}).
\]

Let \( \hat{G} \) be the image of \( G \) in \( S_n \) under the map \( g \to \hat{g} \). Define \( \varphi \):
\[
\varphi : G \to H \sim \hat{G} \quad \text{by} \quad \varphi(g) = \hat{g}Fg.
\]

Straightforward computation shows that \( \varphi \) is a monomorphism. Recall that \( U^{(n)} \) is an \( (H \sim \hat{G}) \)-module with the following action. For \( (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in H^{(n)} \), \( \hat{g} \in \hat{G} \), and \( u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n \in U^{(n)} \),
\[
\hat{g}(h_1, \ldots, h_n)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n) = \hat{g}(h_1 u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n u_n)
\]
\[
= h_{g^{-1}(1)} u_{g^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{g^{-1}(n)} u_{g^{-1}(n)}.
\]
That is, \( (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n) \) acts componentwise and \( \hat{g} \) moves the \( i \)th factor to the \( g(i) \)th position.

Define
\[
(I) \quad I : U^{\otimes G} \to U^{(n)} \quad \text{by} \quad I((y_1 \otimes u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (y_n \otimes u_n)) = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n.
\]
Clearly \( I \) is an isomorphism. From the computations above it is clear that for \( g \in G \) and \( v \in U^{\otimes G} \), \( I(gv) = \varphi(g)I(v) \). Let \( H_0 = C_H(U) \) and \( \overline{H} = H/H_0 \). Now \( H_0^{(n)} \leq C_{H \sim \hat{G}}(U^{(n)}) \) and \( U^{(n)} \) is naturally an \( (H \sim \hat{G})/H_0^{(n)} \simeq \overline{H} \sim \hat{G} \) module. Let \( \overline{\varphi} \) be the composition map
\[
\overline{\varphi} : G \to H \sim \hat{G} \sim \overline{H} \sim \hat{G}.
\]
Then \( \ker \overline{\varphi} \leq C_G(U)^{\otimes G} \) and \( G/\ker \overline{\varphi} \) acts on \( U^{\otimes G} \) as a subgroup of \( \overline{H} \sim \hat{G} \) acting on \( U^{(n)} \). In summary, we have

(4.1) Lemma. Let \( G \) be a group, \( H \) a subgroup of index \( n \), \( k \) a field, and \( U \) a \( k[H]\)-module. Fix a transversal \( T \) for \( H \) in \( G \). Let \( \varphi : G \to H \sim \hat{G}, \overline{\varphi} : G \to \overline{H} \sim \hat{G}, \) and \( I : U^{\otimes G} \to U^{(n)} \) be the maps \( \varphi \), \( (I) \), and \( (\overline{\varphi}) \) above. Then for \( g \in G \) and \( v \in U^{\otimes G} \), \( I(gv) = \varphi(g)I(v) \). Also, \( \ker \overline{\varphi} \leq C_G(U)^{\otimes G} \) and so \( G/\ker \overline{\varphi} \) acts on \( U^{\otimes G} \) as a subgroup of \( \overline{H} \sim \hat{G} \) acting on \( U^{(n)} \). Further, \( \varphi(G) \) and \( \overline{\varphi}(G) \) cover \( \hat{G} \).

Suppose now that \( U \) is in fact a \( G \)-module and that \( G = HC_G(U) \). Let \( V = U|_H^{\otimes G} \). We explicitly describe \( \overline{\varphi}(G) \). Since \( G = HC_G(U) \), we can choose the transversal \( T \) so that each \( y_i \in C_G(U) \). Let \( g \in G \). Then \( g = y_i h \) for some \( h \in H \) and some \( i \). Then for \( u \in U \), \( y_i^{-1} gy_i u = gu = y_i hu = hu \).

Then \( \varphi(g) \equiv \hat{g}(h, h, \ldots, h) \mod H_0^{(n)} \). So, \( \overline{\varphi}(g) = \hat{g}(\overline{h}, \overline{h}, \ldots, \overline{h}) \in \overline{H} \times \hat{G} \).
Thus, we have

(4.2) Lemma. Assume that \( U \) is a \( G \)-module and \( G = HC_G(U) \), and set \( V = U|_H^{\otimes G} \). Choose the transversal \( T \) so that \( T \subseteq C_G(U) \). Then \( \overline{\varphi} : G \to \overline{H} \times \hat{G} \) and so \( G/\ker \overline{\varphi} \) acts on \( V \) as a subgroup of \( \overline{H} \times \hat{G} \) acting on \( U^{(n)} \).

We will in general be applying these lemmas when \( G \) is nilpotent and \( H \triangleleft G \) of prime index \( p \). We can then choose \( y \in G \setminus H \) of \( p \)-power order and let \( T = \)
Lemma. Assume $G$ is nilpotent and $H \triangleleft G$ of prime index $p$. Then $\widehat{G} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\varphi(G) \leq \mathcal{S}_p'(\overline{H}) \times (\mathcal{S}_p(\overline{H}) \sim \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

We can modify the argument to obtain

Lemma. Assume $G$ is nilpotent and $[G : H]$ is a $p$-power. Then $\widehat{G}$ is a $p$-group and $\varphi(G) \leq \mathcal{S}_p'(\overline{H}) \times (\mathcal{S}_p(\overline{H}) \sim \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

We next look at the characters of induced modules. Set $n = [G : H]$. Let $\chi$ be the character of $H$ on $U$. Then $\chi^{\otimes G}$ denotes the character of $G$ on $U^{\otimes G}$ and $\chi^{(n)}$ the character of $H \sim \widehat{G}$ on $U^{(n)}$. Since $H^{(n)} \leq C_{\widehat{G}}(U^{(n)})$, we can view $\chi^{(n)}$ as an $(\overline{H} \sim \widehat{G})$-character. By Lemma (4.1), for $g \in G$, $\chi^{\otimes G}(g) = \chi^{(n)}(\varphi(g)) = \chi^{(n)}(\varphi(g))$.

Lemma [12, 2.1]. Assume $H \triangleleft G$ of prime index $p$. Choose $y \in G \setminus H$. (Then $T = \{1, y, y^2, \ldots, y^{p-1}\}$ is a transversal for $H$ in $G$.) Then

(i) for $g \in G$,

\[ \chi^{\otimes G}(g) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \chi(g^{y^i}), & g \in H, \\ \chi(g^p), & g \in G \setminus H; \end{array} \right. \]

(ii) for $g = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_p) \in U \sim \mathbb{Z}_p^p = H^{(p)} \mathbb{Z}_p$,

\[ \chi^{(n)}(g) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \chi(h_i), & g \in H^{(p)}, \\ \chi(\prod_{i=1}^{p} h_i) = \chi(g^p), & g \in (H \sim \mathbb{Z}_p) \setminus H^{(p)}. \end{array} \right. \]

If $U$ is in fact a $k[G]$-module, then $\chi$ is a $G$-character and $\chi(g^{y^i}) = \chi(g)$.

Corollary. Assume $H \triangleleft G$ of prime index $p$ and that $U$ is a $G$-module. Then

\[ \chi|_{H}^{\otimes G}(g) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \chi(g)^p, & g \in H, \\ \chi(g^p), & g \notin H. \end{array} \right. \]

5. The inductive lemmas

The following very important proposition enables us to relate the presence of regular modules in $V_\lambda(AMR)|_A$ in the general case to what happens in the form quasiprimitive case.

Proposition [5, 5.18]. Assume $G = AMR$ satisfies Hypothesis (3.5). Set $\overline{R} = R/Z(R)$. By (3.6), $\overline{R} = \overline{R}_0|_{AM}$, where $\overline{R}_0$ is a form primitive $A_0M_0$-module, $A_0 \leq A$ and $M_0 \leq M$. Then

\[ V_\lambda(AMR)|_{AM} \simeq W \otimes (V_\lambda(A_0M_0R_0)|_{A_0M_0}^{\otimes AM}), \]

where $W$ is a linear $AM$-module.

When the module $V_\lambda(A_0M_0R_0)|_{A_0}$ does not contain a regular module, we will have enough information to explicitly compute the character $\chi_\lambda(AMR)|_A$ of the module $V_\lambda(AMR)|_A$. We can then determine whether $\chi_\lambda(AMR)|_A \geq 3 \rho_A$. 
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When the module $V_4(A_0M_0R_0)|_{A_0}$ does contain copies of the regular module, these can be used to obtain copies of the regular module in $V_4(AMR)|_A \simeq W \otimes V_4(A_0M_0R_0)|_{A_0M_0}$, and $\sigma AM|_A$. The lemmas we will need to do this follow.

In discussing why a module $V = U|_{\otimes G}$ might contain regular $G/C_G(V)$-modules, many modules arise and it is inconvenient to identify their centralizers. So we make the following definition.

(5.2) **Definition.** Let $G$ be a group, $V$ a $G$-module, and $\chi$ the character of $V$. We say $V$ contains $n$ regular $G$-modules if it has a submodule isomorphic to the direct sum of $n$ copies of the regular $G/C_G(V)$-module. We denote the character of the regular $G$-module by $\rho^*_G$ and write $\chi \geq n \rho^*_G$.

(5.3) **Lemma** [18, p. 101]. Suppose $V$ is a faithful $G$-module and that $V$ contains $t \geq 2$ regular $G$-modules. Let $p$ be a prime and $Z_p = \langle (12 \cdots p) \rangle \leq S_p$. Then $V^{(p)}$ contains at least $(t^p - t)/p$ copies of the regular $(G \simeq Z_p)$-module.

(5.4) **Corollary.** Suppose $H \triangleleft G$ of prime index $p$. Let $U$ be an $H$-module and suppose $U$ contains $t \geq 2$ copies of the regular $H$-module. Then $U|_{\otimes H}$ contains at least $(t^p - t)/p$ copies of the regular $H$-module.

**Proof.** Let $H_0 = C_H(U)$, $\overline{H} = H/H_0$, and $\overline{\phi}$ be as in §4. Since $H \triangleleft G$ with prime index $p$, $\widehat{G} \simeq Z_p$. Then $\overline{\phi} : G \to \overline{H} \simeq Z_p$ and $G/\ker \overline{\phi}$ acts on $U|_{\otimes H}$ as a subgroup of $\overline{U}$ acting on $U^{(n)}$. But $U$ contains $t$ copies of the regular $H$-module and so $U^{(n)}$ contains $(t^p - t)/p$ copies of the regular $(G \simeq Z_p)$-module and hence $U|_{\otimes G}$ contains $(t^p - t)/p$ copies of the regular $(G/\ker \overline{\phi})$-module and so of the regular $G$-module.

**Remark.** We always have $\ker \overline{\phi} = \phi^{-1}(H_0^{(n)}) = \cap_{g \in G} H_0^{g^{-1}} \leq C_G(U|_{\otimes G})$. When $U^{(n)}$ contains the regular $(\overline{H} \simeq \widehat{G})$-module, then $U|_{\otimes G}$ contains the regular $(G/\ker \overline{\phi})$-module and so $\ker \overline{\phi} = C_G(U|_{\otimes H})$.

Using mathematical induction on $[G : H]$ it is easy to prove

(5.5) **Corollary** [5, 4.4]. Let $G$ be a nilpotent group, $H$ a subgroup of $G$, and $U$ an $H$-module. Set $V = U|_{\otimes G}$. Then

(i) if $U$ contains three copies of the regular $H$-module, then $V$ contains three copies of the regular $G$-module, and

(ii) if $|G|$ is odd and $U$ contains two copies of the regular $H$-module, then $V$ contains two copies of the regular $G$-module.

When $\overline{\phi}(G) < \overline{H} \simeq \widehat{G}$, using various techniques, one can frequently obtain regular $G$-modules even when $U$ contains only one copy of the regular $H$-module.

In [5, Theorem 4.4] one of us has shown that if $U$ contains, but does not equal, the regular $H$-module and $G$ is $Z_p \simeq Z_p$ free for all primes $p$, then $V$ contains, but does not equal, the regular $G$-module. In fact, it is only the "top" of $G$ that needs to be $Z_p \simeq Z_p$ free. With minor modifications of the proof one can obtain the following useful result.

(5.6) **Theorem.** Let $G$ be a nilpotent group and let $H \triangleleft G$ of prime index $p$. Let $U$ be an $H$-module which contains, but does not equal, the regular $H$-module. Set $\overline{H} = H/C_H(U)$, $V = U|_{\otimes G}$, and $\overline{G} = G/C_G(V)$. Now $\overline{G}$ acts on $V$ as a
subgroup of \( \varrho_p(H) \times (\varrho_p(H) \sim Z_p) \) acting on \( U^{(p)} \). Assume
\[
\varrho_p(G) < \varrho_p(H) \sim Z_p.
\]
Then \( V \) contains, but does not equal, the regular* \( G \)-module.

When applying this we will usually have \( \varrho(G) = H \times Z_p \). In this case
\[
[\varrho_p(H) \sim Z_p : \varrho_p(G)] = |\varrho_p(H)|^{p-1}p.
\]
Hence

(5.7) **Corollary.** Assume \( G, H, p, U, \) and \( V \) are as in (5.6) and that \( \varrho(G) \sim H \times Z_p \). Then \( V \) contains at least \( |\varrho_p(H)|^{p-1} \) copies of the regular* \( G \)-module.

(5.8) **Lemma** [5, 4.5]. Let \( G \) be a nilpotent group, \( H \triangleleft G \) of prime index \( p \), and \( U \) an \( H \)-module. Suppose \( U \) contains the regular* \( H \)-module and that \( B = \varrho_p(H)C_H(U) \neq 1 \). Then \( U^{\otimes G} \) contains the regular* \( G \)-module. In fact, \( U^{\otimes G} \) contains three copies of the regular* \( G \)-module unless \( U \) does not contain two copies of the regular* \( H \)-module and either
(i) \( p = 3 \) and \(|B| = 2\), or
(ii) \( p = 2 \) and \(|B| = 3 \) or \( 5 \).

(5.9) **Lemma** [5, 1.2]. Let \( G \) be a group and let \( U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n \) be \( G \)-modules. Suppose \( U_i \) contains \( t_i \) copies of the regular* \( G \)-module. Set \( V = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n \), which is also a \((G \simeq G^n \leq G^{(n)})\)-module. Then \( V \) contains \( \prod t_i \) copies of the regular* \( G \)-module.

(5.10) **Lemma.** Let \( G \) be a group and let \( V \) and \( W \) be \( G \)-modules. Suppose \( V \) contains the regular \( G \)-module. Then \( V \otimes W \) contains at least \( \dim W \) copies of the regular \( G \)-module.

**Proof.** Let \( v \in V \) generate a basis for the regular \( G \)-module and let \( \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\}, n = \dim W \), be a basis for \( W \). Then \( \{g(v \otimes w_i) : g \in G, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \) is linearly independent. Then \( v \otimes w_1, \ldots, v \otimes w_n \) generate bases for \( n = \dim W \) copies of the regular \( G \)-module in \( V \otimes W \).

(5.11) **Lemma** [14, 4.9]. Let \( G \triangleleft AG \), where \((|A|, |G|) = 1\). Let \( A_0G_0 \leq AG \) with \( A_0 \leq A \) and \( G_0 \leq G \). Then from each double \((A, A_0G_0)\)-coset of \( AG \) we may choose an element \( y \in G \) so that
\[
(A_0G_0)^{y-1} \cap A = C_{A_0}(y).
\]

(5.12) **Lemma** [5, 2.9]. Let \( G \triangleleft AG \), where \((|A|, |G|) = 1\). Let \( A_0G_0 \leq AG \) with \( A_0 \leq A \) and \( G_0 \leq G \). Let \( U \) be an \( A_0G_0 \)-module and set \( V = U^{\otimes AG} \). Then
\[
V|_A = U|_{C_{A_0}(U)} \simeq \prod_x (U|_{C_{A_0}(U)}|^{\otimes A}),
\]
where the \( x \)'s are appropriately chosen \((A, A_0G_0)\)-double coset representatives.

6. **The main results**

We now have the background needed to attack the main theorem. We first outline the general steps involved.
In this case, $V_\lambda(AMR)|_A \simeq W \otimes V_\lambda(A_0M_0R_0)|^{\otimes AM}|_A$, where $W$ is a linear $A$-module, $R_0/Z(R)$ is a form quasiprimitive $A_0M_0$-module, and $1 \neq M_0/C_{A_0}(R_0)$ is cyclic. We may assume $A_0M_0/C_{A_0}(R_0)$ is one of the exceptional cases of Theorem (3.13). In these, $A_0/C_{A_0}(R_0)$ is essentially a 2-group.

We first look at what happens when inducing through an odd prime dividing $[A : A_0]$ and conclude that $[A : A_0]$ must be a power of 2.

Next, if $M_0 < M$, then $V_\lambda(A_0M_0R_0)|^{\otimes AM}|_A$ is the tensor product of modules of the form $V_\lambda(A_0M_0R_0)|_A|^{\otimes AM}|_A$, where $A_1 \leq A_0$, so that these modules are investigated. We conclude that $M_0 = M$.

Concluding the proof is then routine.

In order to keep the proof conceptually manageable, we in essence isolate the major steps of the proof as separate theorems. Throughout, $\lambda \neq 1$ is a linear $Z(R)$-character and $V_\lambda$ and $\chi_\lambda$ are the module and character of (2.2).

(6.1) Hypothesis. Let $R < ANR$ and $N < AN$, where $R$ is an extraspecial group of order $p^{2m+1}$, $r$ is a prime, $A$ is nilpotent, and $(|A|, r|N|) = (|N|, r) = 1$. Suppose $Z(R) \leq Z(ANR)$ and $\bar{R} = R/Z(R)$ is a form quasiprimitive (not necessarily faithful) $AN$-module. Assume $1 \neq N/C_N(R)$ is cyclic.

(6.2) Theorem. Assume Hypothesis (6.1). Let $A_0 \leq A$ and let $B$ be another nilpotent group with $A_0 \leq B$. ($A \leq B$ is possible but not necessary.) Suppose $[B : A_0]$ is divisible by an odd prime $p$. Then $V_\lambda(ANR)|_A|^{\otimes B}$ contains three copies of the regular $B$-module unless $r^m = 2p^a - 1$ for some positive integer $a$, $|N/C_N(\bar{R})| = 2$, and $B/C_B(V_\lambda(ANR)|_A|^{\otimes B})$ is a $p$-group. In this exceptional case $A_0C_A(\bar{R}) = A$, $1 \neq A/C_A(\bar{R})$ is cyclic of order $(r^m + 1)/2$, and $V_\lambda(ANR)|_A$ contains the regular $A$-module.

Proof. Set $\bar{A} = A/C_A(\bar{R})$, $\bar{A}_0 = A_0/C_{A_0}(\bar{R}_0) \simeq A_0C_A(\bar{R})/C_A(\bar{R}) \leq \bar{A}$, and $\bar{N} = N/C_N(\bar{R})$. Now $C_\bar{A}_0(\bar{R}) \leq C_{A_0}(\bar{N})$ and so $\bar{A}_0$ acts on $\bar{N}$. Then $\bar{A}_0\bar{N} \simeq A_0N/C_{A_0}(\bar{N})$ and we may view $\chi_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(ANR)|_{A_0N}$ as an $\bar{A}_0\bar{N}$-character. We assume that $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0|^{\otimes B}} \geq 3p^*_\lambda$. Then by (5.5), $\chi_\lambda \geq 3p^*_\lambda$ and so $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}} \geq 3p^*_\lambda$.

Hence, by Theorem (3.13) there is a group $\hat{A}$ with $\bar{A}_0 \leq \hat{A} \leq \hat{A}$ such that

Step 1. $\hat{A}$, $\bar{N}$, $R$, and $V_\lambda$ are one of the entries of Table (3.14).

Let $C \leq B$ with $A_0 \triangleleft C$ of index $p$. Set $V = V_\lambda|_{A_0}|^{\otimes C}$, $\bar{C} = C/C_C(V)$, and $\psi = \chi_\lambda|_{A_0}|^{\otimes C}$, the character of $\bar{C}$ on $V$. By (5.5),

Step 2. $\psi \geq 3p^*_C$.

Suppose $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0} \geq p^*_\lambda$. Then by (5.8), either (i) $\bar{A}_0$ is a $p$-group or (ii) $p = 3$, $|\bar{A}_0| = 2$, and $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0} \geq 2p^*_\lambda$. Inspecting entries of Table (3.14) we see that

Step 3. Either $\bar{A}\bar{N}$ is cyclic or $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0} \not\geq p^*_\lambda$.

If $\chi_\lambda \not\geq p^*_\lambda$ and $|\bar{A}_0| = 2$, then it follows from (3.15) that $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0} \geq 2p^*_\lambda$.)

Case 1. $\bar{A}\bar{N}$ is cyclic.

Here $|\bar{A}\bar{N}|$ divides $r^m + 1$, $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0}(1) = r^m$ by (2.3), and $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0}(x) = 1$ for $1 \neq x \in \bar{A}_0$. Then

$$\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0} \geq [(r^m + 1)/|\bar{A}_0| - 1]p^*_\lambda.$$ Thus, $|\bar{A}\bar{N}:\bar{A}_0| \leq (r^m + 1)/|\bar{A}_0| \leq 3$, and so $|\bar{A}| = |\bar{A}_0|$, $|\bar{N}| = 2$ or 3, and $\chi_\lambda|_{\bar{A}_0} \geq (|\bar{N}| - 1)p^*_\lambda$. Since $|\bar{A}| = |\bar{A}_0|$, we have $\bar{A} = A_0C_A(\bar{R})$. Suppose
\( |\mathcal{N}| = 3 \). Then \( \chi_\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0} \geq 2p_{\mathcal{A}_0} \) and \( p \geq 5 \). Then, by (5.4), \( \psi = \chi_\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0} \) is a contradiction. Then \( |\mathcal{N}| = 2 \) and so \( |\mathcal{B}| \) must be odd. If \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) were not a \( p \)-group, (5.8) would give \( \psi \geq 3p_C^\star \), a contradiction. Thus, \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) is a \( p \)-group and \( r^m+1 = |\mathcal{A}_0| = 2p^a \), some \( a \geq 1 \). Since this is true for any prime \( p \) dividing \( [\mathcal{B}: \mathcal{A}_0] \), this index must be a \( p \)-power. Hence, by (4.4), \( B/C_B(V_\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0} \otimes B) \) is a \( p \)-group, concluding Case 1.

**Case 2.** \( \mathcal{A}\mathcal{N} \) is noncyclic and \( \chi_\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0} \neq p_{\mathcal{A}_0} \).

Since \( \chi_\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0} \neq p_{\mathcal{A}_0} \), then \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) \((\leq \mathcal{A})\), \( \mathcal{N} \), \( \mathcal{R} \), and \( V_\lambda \) are one of the exceptional cases of Theorem (3.15). Notice that \( \mathcal{O}_2(\mathcal{A}) \) is nonabelian and \( \mathcal{O}_2(\mathcal{A}) \) is cyclic (or trivial). We may view \( V_\lambda \) as an \( \mathcal{A}\)-module. Write \( \mathcal{A} = S \times T \times P \), where \( S = \mathcal{O}_2(\mathcal{A}) \), \( T = \mathcal{O}_{2,\rho}(\mathcal{A}) \), and \( P = \mathcal{O}_p(\mathcal{A}) \). (\( T = 1 \) and \( P = 1 \) are possible.)

Recall that in all of the exceptional cases of (3.14) \( \chi_\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}} \geq (\rho_{\mathcal{A}} - \rho_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}})/2 = \psi_{\mathcal{T}P\mathcal{P}} \), where \( \psi = (\rho_S - \rho_{S/S'})/2 \). Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be the \( \mathcal{A} \)-module with character \( \psi_{\mathcal{T}P\mathcal{P}} \).

By (4.3), \( \mathcal{V} \) acts on \( V|_{\mathcal{A}_0}|\otimes \mathcal{C} \) as a subgroup of \( \mathcal{O}_{p}\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{A}_0) \times (\mathcal{O}_{p}(\mathcal{A}_0) \sim \mathcal{Z}_p) = S \times T \times (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p) \) acting on \( V^{(p)} \). Let \( \Phi \) be the character of the \( (S \times T \times (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)) \)-module \( V^{(p)} \). Since \( V_\lambda \geq V \), \( \psi = \chi_\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0}|\otimes \mathcal{C} \geq 3p_C^\star \) will follow from \( \Phi \geq 3p_{S \times T \times (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)} \). We will show the latter.

Let \( a = |\mathcal{T}| \), \( b = |\mathcal{P}| \), \( c = |S: S'|/2 \), and \( d = |S'| \). Observe (from (3.15)) that \( c \geq 2 \), \( d \geq 4 \), and \( |S| = 2cd \). For \( x \in S \times T \times P \),

\[
\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} 
abc(d-1), & x = 1, \\
-abc, & x \in (S')^*, \\
0, & \text{elsewhere}.
\end{cases}
\]

Set \( D = (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)^c \cup \{x \in (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)^c : |x| = p\} \), a subgroup of \( P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p \). By (4.5), for \( x \in S \times T \times (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p) \),

\[
\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} 
[abc(d-1)]_p, & x = 1, \\
abc(d-1), & x \in D \setminus (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)^c, \\
-abc, & x \in (S')^c(D \setminus (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)^c), \\
-(abc)^p, & x \in (S')^c, \\
0, & \text{elsewhere}.
\end{cases}
\]

A routine calculation shows that

\[
\Phi = e \rho_{S \times T \times (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)} + \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\rho_S - \rho_{S/S'}) \right] \\
\times \rho_T \rho_{P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p} + \rho_{P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p}/p + (\rho_{P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p} - \rho_{P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p}((P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)^c)),
\]

where \( e = (ac)^p - (d-1)[(d-1)^p - 1]/2pd \) and \( f = [(ac)^p - 1]/p \) are integers. Recalling that \( c \geq 2 \), \( d \geq 4 \), and \( p \geq 3 \), we have

\[
e \geq (ac)^p - (d-1)/2 \geq 2^2 3/2 \geq 3.
\]

Thus, \( \Phi \geq 3p_{S \times T \times (P \sim \mathcal{Z}_p)} \) and so \( \psi \geq 3p_C^\star \), a contradiction, concluding the proof.

We modify the argument slightly to obtain
(6.3) Corollary. Assume Hypothesis (6.1). Let \( n > 1 \) with \( (n, |AR|) = 1 \). Set \( V = V^{(n)}_\lambda \), an \((A \sim Z_n)\)-module. We can view \( V \) as a module for \( A \simeq A^\Delta \leq A \sim Z_n \). Then \( V \) contains three copies of the regular* \( A \)-module.

Proof. Clearly it suffices to consider the case when \( n = p \), a prime. Set \( B = A \times C \) with \( C \simeq Z_p \). Now \( B \) acts on \( V^{(p)}_\lambda \times \Omega B \) like \( A^\Delta \times Z_p \leq A \sim Z_p \) acting on \( V^{(p)}_\lambda \). First assume that \( p \) is odd. Then the hypotheses of Theorem (6.2) are satisfied with the exception that here we may not have \((|B|, |N|) = 1\). This hypothesis was used only in Case 1 to obtain \( p \geq 5 \) when \( |N| = 3 \). However, in this situation \( V^{(p)}_\lambda \) contained two copies of the regular* \( A \)-module and we had \( r|A| = r(m + 1)/3 \) which is even, so we still have \( p \) odd and hence \( p \geq 3 \). Then, by (5.3), \( V^{(p)}_\lambda \) contains two copies of the regular* \( (B = A^\Delta \times Z_p) \)-module and so contains \( 2p \geq 3 \) copies of the regular* \( (A \sim A^\Delta) \)-module.

We next assume \( p = 2 \). Then \|AR\| is odd and, by Theorem (3.15), \( V^{(2)}_\lambda \) contains the regular* \( A \)-module and hence \( V^{(2)}_\lambda \) contains at least \( \dim V^{(2)}_\lambda = r \geq 3 \) copies of the regular* \( A \)-module.

(6.4) Theorem. Suppose \( G = ANR \) satisfies Hypothesis (6.1) and that in addition \( \overline{R} = R/Z(R) \) is faithful. Assume \( A_0 < A \). Then \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes A \geq p_\lambda A \).

Proof. Write \( V^{(p)}_\lambda = V^{(p)}_{ANR} \) and \( \chi_\lambda = \chi_{ANR} \). Suppose \( \chi_\lambda \geq p_\lambda A_0 \). If \( |A| \) is not a prime power, the result follows from (5.8), while if it is, the result follows from (5.7) and induction on \( [A : A_0] \). Then we may assume that \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \neq p_\lambda A_0 \) and hence that \( A_0 < A \leq \hat{A} \), \( N \), and \( R \) are one of the exceptional cases of Theorem (3.15). Also, by Theorem (6.2), if \( [A : A_0] \) is divisible by an odd prime, then \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes A \geq 3p_\lambda A \) and so we may assume that \( [A : A_0] \) is a power of 2. Further, by the argument above, if the result holds for \( [A : A_0] = 2 \) it holds in general. Thus, it suffices to consider only those exceptions of (3.15) which have \( [A : A_0] = 2 \). (But \( [\hat{A} : A_0] \) may be greater than 2.) The values of \( \chi_\lambda \) are given there explicitly and the decomposition into irreducible characters is partially described. We divide the exceptions into two groups.

Case 1. \( r^m = 81 \), \( A = \hat{A} = \langle h, k, i \rangle \) is the group of (2), and \( A_0 \) is either \( \langle h^2, hk, i \rangle \) or \( \langle h^2, hk, ki \rangle \). (Notice that these are the only possibilities for \( A_0 \) which contain elements \( a \) for which \( \chi_\lambda(a) = -3 \).)

Since \( \chi_\lambda|_{\hat{A}} \geq (p_\hat{A} - p_{\hat{A}} A_0) \), it follows from Frobenius reciprocity that \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \geq p = (p_\lambda A_0 - p_\lambda A_0 q_0) \). Then it suffices to show that \( \varphi|_{\hat{A}} \geq p_\lambda A \). Set \( c = [A_0 : A_0^\prime] \). Then for \( a \in A_0 \),

\[
\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} 
64 - c, & a = 1, \\
-c, & a \in (A_0^\prime)^*, \\
0, & a \in A_0 \setminus A_0^\prime.
\end{cases}
\]

By (4.6), \( \varphi|_{\hat{A}}(a) = \varphi(a)^2 \) for \( a \in A_0 \), while \( \varphi|_{\hat{A}}(a) = \varphi(a^2) \) for \( a \in A \setminus A_0 \). Noticing that \( A \setminus A_0 \) contains no involutions, we see that for \( a \in A \),

\[
\varphi|_{\hat{A}}(a) = \begin{cases} 
(64 - c)^2, & a = 1, \\
c^2, & a \in (A_0^\prime)^*, \\
-c, & a \in H \setminus G, \\
0, & \text{elsewhere},
\end{cases}
\]
\[ \varphi^\otimes_A = d\rho_A + (e + 4)\rho_{A/A_0'} - 2\rho_{A/G} - 2\rho_{A/H}, \]

where we have one of the following cases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( A_0 )</th>
<th>( A_0' )</th>
<th>( H )</th>
<th>( G )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( d )</th>
<th>( e )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \langle h^2, hk, i \rangle )</td>
<td>( \langle h^2 \rangle )</td>
<td>( \langle h, k, i^2 \rangle )</td>
<td>( \langle h^2, hk, i^2 \rangle )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \langle h^2, hk, hi \rangle )</td>
<td>( \langle h^4 \rangle )</td>
<td>( \langle h^2, k, i^2 \rangle )</td>
<td>( \langle h^2, i^2 \rangle )</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes^\otimes_A \geq \varphi|\otimes_A \geq \rho_A \), as desired.

**Case 2.** All other cases.

In the remaining cases, \( m = 2n, \ r^n \geq 5, \) and for \( a \in A_0, \)

\[
\chi_\lambda(a) = \begin{cases} 
    r^{2n}, & a = 1, \\
   \pm r^n, & a \in A_0 \setminus Z(A), \ a^2 = 1, \\
   1, & a \in (A_0^2)^*, \\
   \pm 1, & \text{elsewhere}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then, by (4.6), for \( a \in A, \)

\[
\chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes^\otimes_A (a) = \begin{cases} 
   r^{4n}, & a = 1, \\
   r^{2n}, & a \in A \setminus Z(A), \ a^2 = 1, \\
   1, & \text{elsewhere}.
\end{cases}
\]

In all cases we can write

\[
\{a \in A \setminus Z(A): a^2 = 1\} = (E \setminus A^*) \cup (F \setminus G),
\]

where \( E = \langle h^2, hk \rangle, \ A^* = \langle h^2 \rangle, \ G = \langle -1 \rangle, \) and \( F \) is one of \( \langle i^2, -1 \rangle, \langle j, -1 \rangle, \) or \( \langle -1 \rangle \) (e.g. if \( i^2 \in A, \ F = \langle i^2, -1 \rangle \)). Also, in all cases \( |A| \) divides \( 8(r^{2n} - 1) \) and \( |A'| = r^n \pm 1 \). Let \( \mu \) be an irreducible \( A \)-character. Routine calculations then show that

\[
|A|(\chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes_A, \mu) = (r^{4n} - 1)\mu(1) - (r^{2n} - 1)\mu(1)\mu(1) \\
\times [E|\delta_{1}\mu|_{E} - |A'||\delta_{1}\mu|_{A'} + |F|\delta_{1}\mu|_{F} - |G|\delta_{1}\mu|_{G}] + |A|\delta_{1}\mu \\
\geq (r^{4n} - 1)\mu(1) - (r^{2n} - 1)\mu(1)(r^n + 1 + 2) \\
= \mu(1)(r^{2n} - 1)(r^n - 2)(r^n + 1),
\]

and so \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes^\otimes_A \geq \mu(1)(r^n - 2)(r^n + 1)/8 \geq \mu(1) \). Thus, \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes_A \geq \rho_A \), as desired.

The crucial facts used in the above proof were the descriptions of the character \( \chi_\lambda|_A \) when \( \chi_\lambda|_A \not\geq \rho_A \) and of the noncentral involutions in this situation. Using these facts and modifying the proof slightly we obtain the following.

**Theorem.** Assume Hypothesis (6.1) and that \( |N| \neq 2 \) and \( \overline{R} \) is faithful. Let \( A_0 \leq A \) and write \( \chi_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(ANR) \). Set \( \chi = \chi^{(2)} \), an \( A_0^* \times Z_2 \leq A \sim Z_2 \) character. Then \( \chi \geq 3\rho_{A_0^* \times Z_2} \).

**Proof.** If \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \geq 3\rho_{A_0} \), the result follows from (5.5). Then we may assume that \( A_0, A, N, R, \) and \( V_\lambda \) are one of the exceptional cases of (3.13). Assume \( \chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \geq \rho_{A_0} \). If \( |A_0| \) is not a power of 2, the result follows from (5.8), while if it
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is a 2 power, it follows from (5.7) \((p = 2\) and either \(|H| = |A_0| > 2\) or \(\chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \geq 2\rho_{A_0}\)) . Then we may assume that \(\chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \not= \rho_{A_0}\) and hence that we have one of the exceptions of (3.15). Clearly we may assume \(A_0 = A = \hat{A}\). For convenience we identify \(A^A\) with \(A\) and write \(Z_2 = \langle y \rangle\) so that \(A^A \times Z_2 = A \cup A y\).

Case 1. \(A = \langle h, k, i \rangle\) is the group of (2).

Now \(\chi_\lambda|A \geq \varphi = (\rho_A - \rho_{A/A'})/2\) and for \(a \in A\),

\[
\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} 
3136, & a = 1, \\
64, & a \in (A')^#,
-8, & a \in 0, & a \in A \setminus A'. 
\end{cases}
\]

The involutions of \(A y\) are \([E\setminus A') U F]y\), where \(E = \langle h^2, hk \rangle\) and \(F = \langle i^2, -1 \rangle\). Also, \(\{x \in A y: x^2 \in A'\} = G y\), where \(G = \langle h, k, i^2 \rangle\). Then for \(x \in A \times Z_2\),

\[
\varphi|_{A Z_2^2}(x) = \begin{cases} 
3136, & x = 1, \\
64, & x \in (A')^#,
56, & x \in [(E\setminus A') U F]y, 
-8, & x \in (G\setminus [(E\setminus A') U F])y, 
0, & elsewhere.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \(\nu\) be the nontrivial \(Z_2\)-character. Routine calculations show that

\[
\varphi|_{A Z_2^2} = 12\rho_{AZ_2} + (12_2 - \nu)(\rho_{A/F} + 4\rho_{A/E} - 2\rho_{A/G}) + 4\nu\rho_{A/A'} \geq 3\rho_{AZ_2}.
\]

Case 2. All other cases.

As before

\[
\chi_\lambda|A |_{A Z_2^2}(a) = \begin{cases} 
r^{4n}, & a = 1, \\
r^{2n}, & a \in A \setminus Z(A), a^2 = 1, 
1, & elsewhere,
\end{cases}
\]

and we can write \(\{x \in AZ_2^2 \setminus Z(A): x^2 = 1\} = (E\setminus A') \cup (F\setminus G)\), where now \(E = \langle h^2, hk \rangle, A' = \langle h^2 \rangle, G = \langle -1 \rangle,\) and \(F\) is either \((-1)Z_2\) or \((-1, j)Z_2\).

For \(\mu\) an irreducible \(AZ_2\)-character,

\[
(\chi_\lambda|_{A}|_{A Z_2^2}, \mu) \geq |N|\mu(1)(r^n - 2)(r^n + 1)/8 \geq 3\rho_A
\]

and \(\chi_\lambda|A|_{A Z_2^2} \geq 3\rho_A\), as desired.

In Theorem (6.4) we looked at the module \(V_\lambda(ANR)|_{A_0} \otimes A\), \(A_0 < A\), when \(\overline{R}\) was a faithful \(AN\)-module. We now want to consider the general case.

(6.6) Theorem. Assume Hypothesis (6.1). Let \(A_0 < A\). Then \(\chi_\lambda(ANR)|_{A_0} \otimes A \geq \rho_A^3\).

Proof. Write \(V_\lambda = V_\lambda(ANR)\) and \(\chi_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(ANR)\). Set \(\overline{A} = A/C_A(V_\lambda)\), \(\overline{A_0} = A_0/C_{A_0}(V_\lambda) \simeq A_0C_A(V_\lambda)/C_A(V_\lambda)\), and \(\overline{N} = N/C_N(V_\lambda)\). If \(\chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \geq 3\rho_{A_0}\), the result follows from (5.5) and so we may assume that \(\overline{A_0}, \overline{A}, \overline{N}\), and \(R\) are one of the exceptional cases of (3.13). Also, if \(C_A(V_\lambda) \leq A_0\), then the result follows from (6.4). Then we may assume \(A_0 < A_0C_A(V_\lambda)\).

Choose \(A_0 \triangleleft B \leq A_0C_A(V_\lambda)\) with \([B : A_0] = p\), a prime. By (5.5), it suffices to show that \(\chi_\lambda|_{A_0} \otimes B \geq 3\rho_B^p\). This follows from (6.2) except when either (i)
$p = 2$ or (ii) $p$ is odd, $|A_0| = p^a$ for some positive integer $a$, and $\chi_{\lambda}|_{A_0} \geq \rho_{A_0}^*$. 
Now by (4.2), $B/C_B(V_\lambda|_{A_0})^\otimes B$ acts on $V_\lambda|_{A_0}^\otimes B$ like $A_0^\lambda \times Z_p$ acting on $V_\lambda^{-1}|_{A_0}$.

The result now follows from (6.5) when $p = 2$ and from (5.7) when $p$ is odd.

(6.7) Theorem. Let $R \leq AMR$, where $R$ is an extraspecial group, $M \leq AM$, $A$ is nilpotent, and

$$\langle |AM|, |R| \rangle = \langle |A|, |M| \rangle = 1.$$ 

Assume $Z(R) \leq Z(AMR)$ and that $R = R/Z(R)$ is a faithful, irreducible $AM$-module. By (3.6), $R \simeq R_0^{AM}$ for some form quasiprimitive $A_0N$-module, where $A_0 \leq A$ and $N \leq M$. Suppose $N < M$ and $1 \neq N/C_N(R_0)$ is cyclic. Then $\chi_{\lambda}(AMR)|_A \geq 3p_A$.

Proof. Write $V_\lambda = V_\lambda(A_0NR_0)|_{A_0N}$ and $\chi_{\lambda} = \chi_{\lambda}(A_0NR_0)|_{A_0N}$. By (5.1), $V_\lambda(AMR)|_A \cong W \otimes V_\lambda^{\otimes AM}$, where dim $W = 1$. When counting regular modules we may assume that $W$ is the trivial module so that $V_\lambda(AMR)|_A \cong V_\lambda^{\otimes AM}$. Further, since $V_\lambda^{\otimes AM}|_A = V_\lambda^{\otimes A_0M}|_{A_0N}$, by (5.5) it suffices to show that $V_\lambda^{\otimes AM}|_{A_0}$ contains three copies of the regular $^*$ module. That is, if the theorem is true for $A = A_0$, then it is true in general. In order to reduce the number of subscripts we then assume that $A_0 = A$.

Write $V = V_\lambda(AMR)|_A$ and $\chi = \chi_{\lambda}(AMR)|_A$. By Lemma (5.12), $V \simeq \Pi_{i \in S} V_{i\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A}$, where the $x_i$'s are appropriately chosen double $(A, AN)$-coset representatives in $AM$. Set $S = \{i : C_A(x_i) < A\}$, $T = \{i : C_A(x_i) = A\}$, $V_S = \Pi_{i \in S} V_{i\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A}$ and $V_T = \Pi_{i \in T} V_{i\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A} = V_\lambda^{\otimes T}$. Then $V = V_S \otimes V_T$. Also, we may take $x_1 = 1$ and so $T \neq \varnothing$.

We first assume $S = \varnothing$. Then the number of double coset representatives is $|T| = |M : N|$ and by (6.3) $\chi = \chi_\lambda^{\otimes T} \geq 3p_A$, as desired. We next assume $S \neq \varnothing$. By (6.6), $V_{i\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A}$ contains a copy of the regular $^*$-module, all $i \in S$, and so by (5.9), $V_S = \Pi_{i \in S} V_{i\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A}$ contains a regular $A/C_A(V_S)$-module. But $C_A(V_S) \leq C_A(V_\lambda) \leq C_A(V_T)$ and so $C_A(V_S) = C_A(V_T) = 0$. Thus, $V_S$ contains the regular $A$-module and then $V = V_S \otimes V_T$ contains $\dim V_T = (\dim V_\lambda)|T|$ copies of the regular $A$-module. Then we may assume $(\dim V_\lambda)|T| < 3$, i.e., $\dim V_\lambda = 2$ and $|T| = 1$. But $\dim V_\lambda = r^m$, where $|R_0| = r^{2m+1}$. Hence $|R_0| = 8$ and $R_0 \simeq Z_2 \times Z_2$. Now $AN/C_N(R_0)$ embeds into $\text{Aut}(R_0) \simeq Z_3$ and $N/C_N(R_0) \neq 1$. Therefore $A = C_A(R_0) = C_A(V_\lambda)$ and $A$ is trivial on $V_\lambda$. Then for all $i \in S \cup T$, $\chi_{\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A} = 2p_{C_A(x_i)}^*$. Since $|A|$ is odd ($r = 2$), by (5.5), $\chi_{\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A} \geq 2p_A$ and by (5.9), $\chi = \Pi_{i \in S} \chi_{\lambda}|_{C_A(x_i)}^{\otimes A} \geq 2^{\frac{|S|+|T|}}p_A \geq 3p_A$, as desired.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem. We phrase the hypotheses in a more general form than in the introduction. (In fact, they turn out to be equivalent.)

(6.8) Theorem. Suppose $G = AMR$, where $R < AMR$ is an extraspecial $r$-group, $r$ is a prime, $1 \neq M < AM$ is solvable, $A$ is nilpotent, and $\langle |A|, |M| \rangle = \langle |M|, r \rangle = 1$. Suppose $Z(R) \leq Z(AMR)$ and $R \simeq R/Z(R)$ is a faithful, irreducible $AM$-module. By (3.6), $R \simeq R_0^{AM}$, where $R_0$ is a form primitive $A_0N$-module, $A_0 \leq A$, and $N \leq M$. Suppose $N/C_N(R_0)$ is abelian. Let $\lambda \neq 1$
be an irreducible $Z(R)$-character. Let $V_1 = V_1(AMR)$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_1(AMR)$ be the module and character of (2.2). Then $\chi_1 \geq 3\rho_A$ unless $M$ is abelian and one of the following occurs:

1. $M$ is cyclic, $\overline{R}$ is a form primitive $AM$-module, and $A$, $M$, and $R$ are one of the exceptional cases of Theorem (3.13),
2. $A$ is a $p$-group, $p$ an odd prime, $r^a = 2p^b - 1$, for some positive integers $a$, $b$, and $\exp(M) = 2$,
3. $r = 2^a + 1$, some $a$, $\sigma_2(A)$ is cyclic, and both $|\sigma_2(A)|$ and $\exp(M)$ divide $(r + 1)/2$, or
4. $A$ is a 2-group and one of the following occurs:

   i. $\exp(M) = 3$ and $r = 3 \cdot 2^a \pm 1$, some $a$,
   ii. $\exp(M) = 5$ and either $r = 5$ or $r = 5 \cdot 2^a \pm 1$, some $a$,
   iii. $\exp(M) = 15$ and $r = 11$, or
   iv. $r = 2^a - 1$ and $\exp(M)$ divides $(r - 1)/2$.

Proof. Since $\overline{R}_0$ is form quasiprimitive and $N \triangleleft A_0N$, either $\overline{R}_0|_N$ is homogeneous or $\overline{R}_0|_N = \overline{R}_1 + \overline{R}_2$, the sum of two homogeneous components on which $N$ acts contragrediently. In the latter case $C_N(\overline{R}_1) = C_N(\overline{R}_2) = C_N(\overline{R}_0)$. Thus, since $N/C_N(\overline{R}_0)$ is abelian, in both cases it is in fact cyclic. Suppose $N = C_N(\overline{R}_0)$. Then $W = C_{\overline{R}_0|_{A_0M}}(M) \neq (0)$ is an $A_0M$-submodule of $\overline{R}_0|_{A_0M}$ and $(0) \neq W|_{AM}$ is a submodule of $\overline{R} = \overline{R}_0|_{AM}$. The latter is irreducible and so $\overline{R} = W|_{AM}$. However, since $M \triangleleft AM$, $M$ centralizes $W|_{AM}$, contradicting the assumption that $\overline{R}$ is a faithful $AM$-module. Then $N \neq C_N(\overline{R}_0)$ and hence $A_0$ (= "$A_0$"), $N$, and $R_0$ (= "$R_0$") satisfy Hypothesis (6.1).

We assume we have a counterexample to the theorem, in particular, that $\chi_1 \neq 3\rho_A$. Then by (6.7), $N = M$. Set $\overline{M} = M/C_M(\overline{R}_0)$ and $\overline{A}_0 = A_0/C_{A_0}(\overline{R}_0)$. Since $C_{A_0}(\overline{R}_0) \leq C_{A_0}(M)$, $\overline{A}_0$ acts on $\overline{M}$ and $A_0M/C_{A_0M}(\overline{R}_0) \simeq \overline{A}_0\overline{M}$. Let $\overline{\varphi}: AM \rightarrow \overline{A}_0\overline{M} \sim \hat{A}$ be the monomorphism of §4 (which does not depend on the module $U$). Now $\overline{\varphi}(M)$ is a Hall $\pi(M)$-subgroup of $\overline{A}_0\overline{M} \sim \hat{A}$ and $\overline{M}^{(\{A_0 : A_0\})}$ is one such subgroup. Then

Step 1. $M = N$ is abelian, $1 \neq \overline{M} = M/C_M(\overline{R}_0)$ is cyclic, and $\exp(M) = \exp(\overline{M})$.

If $A = A_0$, then $\overline{R}$ is quasiprimitive and we have exception (1). Thus, $A_0 \triangleleft A$. If $[A : A_0]$ is divisible by an odd prime, by (6.2), we have exception (2). Thus,

Step 2. $1 \neq [A : A_0]$ is a power of $2$.

By (5.1), $\chi_1(AMR)|_A = \mu \cdot \chi_1(A_0MR_0)|_{A_0}^{\otimes A}$ for some linear character $\mu$. When counting regular characters we may assume $\mu = 1$. Then, by (5.5), $\chi_1(A_0MR_0)|_{A_0} \neq 3\rho_{A_0}$ and hence

Step 3. $\overline{A}_0$, $\overline{M}$, and $R_0$ are one of the exceptions of (3.13).

Suppose $|\overline{A}_0|$ is not a 2-power. Inspecting the entries of Table (3.14) we see that one of the following happens:

i. $\chi_1(A_0MR_0)|_{A_0} \geq \rho_{A_0}$ and $\sigma_2(\overline{A}_0) > 5$,
ii. $\chi_1(A_0MR_0)|_{A_0} \geq 2\rho_{A_0}$, or
iii. we have entry (4) of Table (3.14).
(Entry (1) cannot occur since $2 \mid |A|$ and $(|A|, |N|) = 1$.) In the first two cases, by (5.8) and (5.5), $\chi(A) = \chi(A_0 M R_0) |A_0|^{-1} \geq 3 \rho_A$, a contradiction. Then we must have entry 4) of (3.14). By (4.4), $\mathcal{E}_2(A) = \mathcal{E}_2(A_0)$ and we have exception (3). Finally, suppose $A_0$ is a 2-group. By (4.4), $A$ is also a 2-group and it follows immediately that we have one of exceptions (3) or (4).
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