THE THEORY OF JACOBI FORMS
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ABSTRACT. As a generalization of the classical theory of Jacobi forms we discuss
Jacobi forms on \( \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^8 \), which are related with integral Cayley numbers. Using
the Selberg trace formula we give a simple explicit formula for the dimension
of the space of Jacobi forms. The orthogonal complement of the space of cusp
forms is shown to be spanned by certain types of Eisenstein series.

INTRODUCTION

The classical theory of Jacobi forms on \( \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C} \) was described by Eichler and
Zagier [4] in 1985. There also exist more general types of Jacobi forms on \( \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^n \) considered by Gritsenko [8] or for the Siegel half-space considered by Ziegler
[14]. These Jacobi forms naturally appear in the Fourier-Jacobi expansion of
Siegel modular forms (cf. [12]).

Jacobi forms over the Cayley numbers are defined on \( \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^8 \). They were
introduced in [5 and 6], where they appeared as Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of
modular forms on the half-plane of the Cayley numbers of degree 2. They are
of special interest, since they are related with modular forms on the exceptional
domain (cf. [1, 9]). On the other hand, the arithmetic of integral Cayley num-
bers (cf. [2]) leads to special results, which cannot be obtained in the general
case.

In this paper we show that the space of Jacobi forms over the Cayley numbers
has finite dimension. We can demonstrate that the orthogonal complement of
the space of cusp forms is spanned by certain Eisenstein series. Moreover the
Selberg trace formula can be applied in order to determine the dimension of
the space of Jacobi cusp forms explicitly. This leads to a very simple dimension
formula involving a weighted summatory function of Euler's totient function
\( \varphi(n) \). Surprisingly the result is simpler than in the classical situation (cf. [4])
or for Jacobi forms of index 1 on \( \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^n \) (cf. [13]).
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1. Notations

Let \( \mathfrak{f} \) be a field. The set \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{f} \) of the Cayley numbers over \( \mathfrak{f} \) is an eight-dimensional vector space over \( \mathfrak{f} \) with the standard basis \( e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7 \) satisfying the following rules for multiplication:

\[
xe_0 = e_0x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{C},
\]
\[
e_i^2 = -e_0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,
\]
\[
e_1e_2e_4 = e_2e_3e_5 = e_3e_4e_6 = e_4e_5e_7 = e_5e_6e_1 = e_6e_7e_2 = e_7e_1e_3 = -e_0.
\]

Write \( x \in \mathcal{C} \) in the form \( x = \sum_{j=0}^7 x_j e_j \), \( x_j \in \mathfrak{f} \). Then we consider the following mappings:

(a) involution: \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \), \( x \mapsto \bar{x} = 2x_0e_0 - x = x_0e_0 - \sum_{j=1}^7 x_j e_j \),

(b) norm: \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathfrak{f} \), \( N(x) = x\bar{x} = \sum_{j=0}^7 x_j^2 \),

(c) bilinear form: \( \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathfrak{f} \), \( \sigma(x, y) = 2\sum_{j=0}^7 x_j y_j \), if \( y = \sum_{j=0}^7 y_j e_j \).

In particular, one has

\[
N(x + y) = N(x) + N(y) + \sigma(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{C}.
\]

Cf. [3, Chapter 9], for further details.

Let \( \sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}_Q \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module of integral Cayley numbers investigated by Coxeter [2]. A basis of \( \sigma \) is given by \( \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_7 \) where

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_0 &= e_0, & \alpha_1 &= e_1, & \alpha_2 &= e_2, & \alpha_3 &= e_4, \\
\alpha_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4), & \alpha_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 - e_1 - e_4 + e_5), \\
\alpha_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 + e_1 - e_2 + e_6), & \alpha_7 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 + e_2 + e_4 + e_7).
\end{align*}
\]

We can identify \( \mathcal{C}_\sigma \) with \( \mathbb{C}^8 \) via the standard basis \( e_0, \ldots, e_7 \). Let \( H \) stand for the upper half-plane in \( \mathbb{C} \),

\[
H = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} | z = x + iy, \ y > 0 \}.
\]

Let \( k, m \) be integers and \( m \geq 0 \). A holomorphic function \( f : H \times \mathcal{C}_\sigma \to \mathbb{C} \) is called a Jacobi form of weight \( k \) and index \( m \), if it satisfies the following conditions:

(j.1) \[ f(z, w) = f|_{k, m}[M](z, w) := (cz + d)^{-k} e^{-2\pi imcN(w)/(cz+d)} f \left( \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \frac{w}{cz+d} \right) \quad \text{for all } M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma := SL_2(\mathbb{Z}). \]

(j.2) \[ f(z, w) = f|_{m}[\lambda, \mu](z, w) := e^{2\pi i[m(N(\lambda)z + \sigma(\lambda, w))]} f(z, w + \lambda z + \mu) \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \mu \in \sigma. \]

(j.3) \( f \) has a Fourier expansion of the form

\[
f(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{t \in \sigma, n,m \geq N(t)} \alpha_f(n, t)e^{2\pi i[nz + \sigma(t, w)]}.
\]
is a Jacobi cusp form, if it moreover satisfies
\[ \alpha_f (n, t) = 0, \] whenever \( nm = N(t). \)

We denote by \( J_{k,m}(\sigma) \) (resp. \( J^0_{k,m}(\sigma) \)) the space of Jacobi forms (resp. Jacobi cusp forms) over the Cayley numbers of weight \( k \) and index \( m \). Examples of functions in \( J_{k,m}(\sigma) \) are given by the Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of modular forms on the half-plane of the Cayley numbers of degree 2 (cf. [6]).

Given a congruence subgroup \( \Gamma' \) of \( \Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) let \( M_k(\Gamma') \) (resp. \( S_k(\Gamma') \)) denote the space of entire modular forms (resp. cusp forms) of weight \( k \) with respect to \( \Gamma' \) (cf. [11]). Using (j.3) and (j.1) we get
\[ J_{k,0}(\sigma) \cong M_k(\Gamma), \quad J^0_{k,0}(\sigma) \cong S_k(\Gamma). \]
Therefore we will always assume \( m \geq 1 \). It follows from [6] that
\[ J_{k,1}(\sigma) \cong M_{k-4}(\Gamma), \quad J^0_{k,1}(\sigma) \cong S_{k-4}(\Gamma) \quad \text{for even } k > 0. \]

2. Theta Series

Given \( m \geq 1 \) and \( q \in \mathcal{O} \) we define the theta series
\[ \vartheta_{m,q}(z, w) = \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda(q)} e^{2\pi im(N(\nu)z + \sigma(\nu, w))}, \]
where \( \Lambda(q) := \{ t + q/m \mid t \in \mathcal{O} \} \). Then \( \vartheta_{m,0} \in J_{4,1} \) was shown in [6]. Due to
(1) an easy calculation yields
\[ \vartheta_{m,q}(\lambda, \mu) = \vartheta_{m,q} \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}, \]
\[ \vartheta_{m,q}(z + 1, w) = e^{2\pi iN(q)/m} \vartheta_{m,q}(z, w). \]

Given \( w \in \mathbb{C}_C \) we define
\[ w = (w_0, \ldots, w_7)^t \in \mathbb{C}^8, \quad \text{whenever } w = \sum_{j=0}^{7} w_j \alpha_j. \]

The \( 8 \times 8 \) matrix \( S = (\sigma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)) \) is positive definite, even and unimodular (cf. [6]). In the notation of [10, p. 101], we therefore have
\[ \vartheta_{m,q}(z, w) = \Theta_{q, mS} \vartheta_{m,q}(z, mw; \mathbb{Z}^8). \]

Using [10, IV.2.3], we obtain
\[ \vartheta_{m,q4,J}(z, w) = z^{-4} e^{-\pi i m S[J](w)} \Theta_{q, mS} \vartheta_{m,q4,J}(z, -1/z, mS; \mathbb{Z}^8) = m^{-4} e^{-\pi i m S[J](w)} \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^8} \Theta_{mS} \vartheta_{m,q4,J}(z, -1/mS, z, \mathbb{Z}^8) = m^{-4} \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^8} e^{\pi i z(mS)^{-1}g} \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^8} \Theta_{mS} \vartheta_{m,q4,J}(z, -1/mS, z, \mathbb{Z}^8). \]

Here \( J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). If one sets \( g = mS(h + \hat{p}/m), \ h \in \mathbb{Z}^8, \ p : \mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O}, \) the result is
\[ \vartheta_{m,q4,J}(z, w) = m^{-4} \sum_{p : \mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O}} e^{-2\pi i \sigma(q,p)/m} \vartheta_{m,p}(z, w). \]

Next a combination of [10, IV.3.6 and IV.1.3], yields
\[ \vartheta_{m,q4,M} = \vartheta_{m,q}, \quad \text{whenever } M \in \Gamma, \ M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\text{mod } m). \]

Let \( U(n) \) denote the unitary group contained in \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \). We fix a set of representatives \( q_1, \ldots, q_m \) of \( \mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O} \) and set
\[ \Theta := (\vartheta_{m,q_1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{m,q_m})^t. \]
The operations in (j.1) and (j.2) can be applied to each component of $\Theta$.

**Proposition 1.** There exists a unique homomorphism of the groups $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow U(m^8)$ such that

$$\Theta|_{\Lambda_{m}} = \psi(M) \cdot \Theta \quad \text{for all } M \in \Gamma.$$  

The principal congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma[m] = \left\{ M \in \Gamma | M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{m} \right\}$$

is contained in the kernel of $\psi$.

**Proof.** According to the uniqueness of the Fourier expansion with respect to $w$ in (4), the $m^8$ components of $\Theta$ are linearly independent functions. This implies the uniqueness of $\psi$. It suffices to demonstrate (10) for generators of $\Gamma$. Thus (10) follows from (6) and (7). The last statement is a consequence of (8). \qed

In particular one has

$$\psi(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\psi(J) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to (6) and (7).

Let $f \in J_{k,m}(\mathfrak{o})$ with the Fourier expansion (j.3). Given $q \in \mathfrak{o}$ we set

$$F_{q}(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq N(q)/m} \alpha_f(n, q)e^{2\pi i [n-N(q)/m]z}.$$  

**Proposition 2.** Given $f \in J_{k,m}(\mathfrak{o})$ one has a unique representation

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{q : \mathfrak{o}/m\mathfrak{o}} F_{q}(z) \cdot \theta_{m,q}(z, w).$$

**Proof.** Using (j.2) we have

$$f(z, w) = e^{2\pi im[N(\lambda)z+\sigma(\lambda, w)]}f(z, w + \lambda z)$$

$$= \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{o}} \sum_{n \geq N(t)/m} \alpha_f(n, t)e^{2\pi i [(n+\sigma(t, \lambda)+mN(\lambda))z+\sigma(t+m\lambda, w)]}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}$. Comparing the coefficients we get

$$\alpha_f(n + \sigma(t, \lambda) + mN(\lambda), t + m\lambda) = \alpha_f(n, t) \quad \text{for all } n, t, \lambda.$$  

Hence we have $F_{q + m\lambda} = F_{q}$ in (13). Thus the right-hand side of (14) is well defined. Setting $t = m\lambda + q$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}$, $q : \mathfrak{o}/m\mathfrak{o}$, a rearrangement of the Fourier expansion of $f$ yields (14). \qed

Just as in (9), now set

$$F := (F_{m,q_1}, \ldots, F_{m,q_{m^8}})^t.$$

Given a function $\Phi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, set

$$\Phi|_{k} M(z) := (cz + d)^{-k}\Phi \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) \quad \text{for } M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma.$$  

Apply this definition to each component of $F$. 
Theorem 1. Given \( k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1 \), the mappings

\[
\{ F \in M_{k-4}(\Gamma[m])^{n^8} | F|_{k-4}M = \psi(M) \cdot F \text{ for all } M \in \Gamma \} \to J_{k,m}(\sigma),
\]

\[ F \mapsto F' \cdot \Theta, \]

as well as

\[
\{ F \in S_{k-4}(\Gamma[m])^{n^8} | F|_{k-4}M = \psi(M) \cdot F \text{ for all } M \in \Gamma \} \to J_{k,m}^0(\sigma),
\]

\[ F \mapsto F' \cdot \Theta, \]

are isomorphisms.

Proof. Given \( f \in J_{k,m}(\sigma) \), apply (14) and Proposition 1,

\[
F' \cdot \Theta = f = f|_{k,m}[M] = (F|_{k-4}M)' \cdot (\Theta|_{k-4}[M])
\]

\[ = (\psi(M)'F|_{k-4}M)' \cdot \Theta. \]

Since the components of theta are linearly independent and \( \psi(M) \) is unitary, we get

\[ F|_{k-4}M = \psi(M) \cdot F \text{ for all } M \in \Gamma. \]

Proposition 1 leads to \( F_q \in M_{k-4}(\Gamma[m]) \) for each \( q \in \sigma \). Comparing the Fourier expansions we conclude that \( f \) is a cusp form if and only if each \( F_q \), \( q \in \sigma \), is a cusp form.

Starting with \( F \in M_{k-4}(\Gamma[m])^{n^8} \) such that \( F|_{k-4}M = \psi(M) \cdot F \), we obtain \( f|_{k,m}[M] = f \) for \( f = F' \cdot \Theta \) and \( M \in \Gamma \) from Propositions 1 and 2. Finally (5) yields (j.2). Since (j.3) is clear, we get \( f \in J_{k,m}(\sigma) \). □

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain

Corollary 1. Given \( k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1 \), one has

\[ \dim J_{k,m}(\sigma) \leq m^8 \cdot \dim M_{k-4}(\Gamma[m]) < \infty, \]

in particular,

\[ \dim J_{k,1}(\sigma) = \dim M_{k-4}(\Gamma), \quad \dim J_{k,1}^0(\sigma) = \dim S_{k-4}(\Gamma), \]

\[ J_{k,m}(\sigma) = \{0\}, \quad \text{if } k < 4. \]

3. Eisenstein series

Let \( \Gamma_\infty = \{ (\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) | n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \). Given \( q \in \sigma \) with \( N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \) we define the Jacobi-Eisenstein series

\[
E_{k,m}(z, w; q)
\]

\[
:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M: \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} (cz + d)^{-k} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(q)} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i m \left[ \frac{N(\lambda)}{cz + d} \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) + \sigma \left( \frac{w}{cz + d} - \frac{cN(w)}{cz + d} \right) \right] \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M: \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(q)} 1|m[\lambda, 0]|_{k,m}[M](z, w),
\]
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where \( M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \Lambda(q) = \{ t + q/m | t \in \sigma \} \). Due to \( mN(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) for all \( \lambda \in \Lambda(q) \), the definition does not depend on the choice of representatives of \( \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \). It is obvious that

\[
(17) \quad E_{k,m}(z, w; q) = E_{k,m}(z, w; q'), \quad \text{if } q = q' + m\lambda \text{ for some } \lambda \in \sigma ,
\]

\[
(18) \quad E_{k,m}(z, w; -q) = E_{k,m}(z, -w; q) = (-1)^kE_{k,m}(z, w; q).
\]

**Proposition 3.** Let \( k, m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( k > 10 \) and \( q \in \sigma \) with \( N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \). Then the series (16) converges absolutely and locally uniformly in \( H \times \mathbb{C}_\infty \).

**Proof.** It is well known from the theta transformation formula that

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 y} = O(1 + y^{-1/2}) \quad \text{for } y > 0.
\]

Hence we obtain

\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{m}\sigma} e^{-2\pi myN(\lambda)} = O(1 + y^{-4}) \quad \text{for } y > 0.
\]

Given \((z, w)\) in a compact subset of \( H \times \mathbb{C}_\infty \), we get

\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(q)} \left| \exp \left\{ 2\pi im \left[ N(\lambda) \frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \sigma \left( \lambda, \frac{w}{cz + d} \right) - \frac{cN(w)}{cz + d} \right] \right\} \right| \\
\leq \gamma \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{m}\sigma} \exp \left\{ -2\pi m \left( \frac{\text{Im} \frac{az + b}{cz + d} N(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\text{Im} \frac{az + b}{cz + d}}} - \delta \sqrt{N(\lambda)/\sqrt{\text{Im} \frac{az + b}{cz + d}}} \right) \right\},
\]

where the positive constants \( \gamma \) and \( \delta \) only depend on the compact set. There exists a constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that

\[
\varepsilon \text{Im} \frac{ai + b}{ci + d} \leq \text{Im} \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\text{Im} \frac{ai + b}{ci + d}
\]

holds for all \( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \) and \( z \) in the compact set. Hence the above sum over \( \lambda \) is uniformly majorized by

\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{m}\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\pi m \left( \text{Im} \frac{ai + b}{ci + d} N(\lambda) \right) \right\} = O \left( 1 + \left[ \text{Im} \frac{ai + b}{ci + d} \right]^{-4} \right).
\]

Since the series \( \sum_{M : \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} |ci + d|^{8-k} \) converges for \( k > 10 \), the series (16) converges and defines a holomorphic function on \( H \times \mathbb{C}_\infty \). \( \Box \)

From the group property of \( \Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) and (16), it is easy to verify that \( E_{k,m}(z, w; q) \) satisfies (j.1). Given \( \lambda, \mu \in \sigma \) we obtain

\[
E_{k,m}(\lambda, \mu)(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M : \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} (cz + d)^{-k} \cdot \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda(q)} e^{2\pi im} N(\nu) \frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \sigma \left( \nu, \frac{w + \lambda z + \mu}{cz + d} \right) \cdot \\
\cdot \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda(q)} e^{2\pi im} N(\nu) \frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \sigma \left( \nu, \frac{w + \lambda z + \mu}{cz + d} \right) + N(\lambda)z + \sigma(\lambda, w)
\]
Next a simple calculation leads to

\[ N(v) \frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \sigma \left( v, \frac{w + \lambda z + \mu}{cz + d} \right) - \frac{cN(w + \lambda z + \mu)}{cz + d} + N(\lambda)z + \sigma(\lambda, w) \]

\[ = N(v + d\lambda - c\mu) \frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \sigma \left( v + d\lambda - c\mu, \frac{w}{cz + d} \right) - \frac{cN(w)}{cz + d} + \alpha, \]

where \( \alpha = \sigma(v, a\mu - b\lambda) + bc \cdot \sigma(\lambda, \mu) - bd \cdot N(\lambda) - ac \cdot N(\mu) \in \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{Z} \). Hence a rearrangement yields (j.2) for \( E_{k, m}(z, w; q) \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k > 10 \) and \( q \in \mathfrak{o} \) with \( N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \). Then the Jacobi-Eisenstein series \( E_{k, m}(z, w; q) \) belongs to \( J_{k, m}(\mathfrak{o}) \) and possesses a Fourier expansion of the form

\[ E_{k, m}(z, w; q) = \frac{1}{2}(\vartheta_{m, q}(z, w) + (-1)^k \vartheta_{m, -q}(z, w)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{o}, nm > N(t)} \alpha_q(n, t) e^{2\pi i [nz + \sigma(t, w)]}. \]

**Proof.** Convergence and analyticity were proved in Proposition 3. (j.1) and (j.2) were shown above.

Choosing \( c = 0 \) the sum over \( \Lambda(q) \) as well as \( d = \pm 1 \) in (16) exactly yields \( \vartheta_{m, q}(z, w) + (-1)^k \vartheta_{m, -q}(z, w) \). Now let \( c \neq 0 \). Setting \( \lambda = \frac{z}{m} + p + ct, p : \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{o}, t \in \mathfrak{o} \), we get

\[ \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(q)} \exp \left\{ 2\pi im \left[ N(\lambda) \frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \sigma \left( \lambda, \frac{w}{cz + d} \right) - \frac{cN(w)}{cz + d} \right] \right\} \]

\[ = \sum_{p : \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{o}} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi i aN(q + mp)}{mc} \right\} \]

\[ \times \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{o}} \exp \left\{ -2\pi im \left[ N \left( w - \frac{q}{mc} - \frac{p}{c} - t \right) / (z + d/c) \right] \right\} \]

\[ = \left( \frac{z + d/c}{m} \right)^4 \sum_{p : \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{o}} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi i aN(q + mp)}{mc} \right\} \]

\[ \times \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{o}} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \left[ N(t) \frac{z + d/c}{m} + \sigma \left( w - \frac{q}{mc} - \frac{p}{c} - t \right) \right] \right\}, \]

where we applied the theta transformation formula [10, IV.2.2], just as in §2. For fixed \( 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{Z} \) we now sum over \( d = d' + mc/l, 1 \leq d' \leq m|c|, (c, d') = 1, l \in \mathbb{Z} \). In view of the well-known identity

\[ \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{z}{m} + \frac{d'}{mc} + l \right)^{4-k} = \frac{(-2\pi i)^{k-4}}{(k-5)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-5} \exp\left\{ 2\pi i n \left( \frac{z}{m} + \frac{d'}{mc} \right) \right\}, \]
we obtain a Fourier expansion of the form
\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{M : \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma, c \neq 0} (cz + d)^{-k} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(q)} \exp \left\{ 2\pi \imath \left[ \frac{az + b}{cz + d} N(\lambda) + \sigma \left( \lambda, \frac{w}{cz + d} \right) - \frac{cN(w)}{cz + d} \right] \right\}
\]
\[= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{t \in \sigma} \alpha(n, t) e^{2\pi \imath [n(z + N(t))/m + \sigma(t, w)]} .
\]

Since \( E_{k,m}(z, w; q) \) and \( \vartheta_{m,q}(z, w) + (-1)^k \vartheta_{m,-q}(z, w) \) are invariant under \( z \mapsto z + 1 \), we conclude \( \alpha(n, t) = 0 \) unless \( n + N(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \). This gives (19).

\textbf{Remark 1.} Let \( k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k > 10 \) and \( q \in \sigma \) with \( N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \). A look at (17), (18) and at the Fourier expansion (19) yields
\[ E_{k,m}(\cdot, \cdot; q) \equiv 0 \text{ if and only if } k \text{ is odd and } 2q \in m\varrho . \]
Moreover fix representatives \( \pm q_1, \ldots, \pm q_r, q_{r+1}, \ldots, q_{r+s} \) of \( q : \varrho/m\varrho \) with \( N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \) such that \( 2q_j \notin m\varrho \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq r \) and \( 2q_j \in m\varrho \) for \( r < j \leq r + s \). Define
\[
\mathcal{E}_{k,m} := \{ E_{k,m}(\cdot, \cdot; q_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq r \}, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd},
\]
\[
\mathcal{E}_{k,m} := \{ E_{k,m}(\cdot, \cdot; q_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq r + s \}, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even}.
\]
Then (19) and the linear independence of the theta series imply that the set \( \mathcal{E}_{k,m} \) is linearly independent.

Now let \( m = 1 \) and therefore \( q = 0 \) and \( k \) be even. Then our proof describes the Fourier development explicitly. If \( \sigma_k \) denotes the divisor sum, \( B_k \) the Bernoulli number and \( E_k(z) \in M_k(\Gamma) \) the normalized Eisenstein series (cf. [11]), we obtain

\textbf{Corollary 2.} Let \( k > 10 \) be even. Then the Fourier expansion of the Jacobi-Eisenstein series of weight \( k \) and index 1 is given by
\[ E_{k,1}(z, w; 0) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{t \in \sigma, N(t) \leq n} \gamma(n, t) e^{2\pi \imath [nz + \sigma(z, w)]} ,
\]
where
\[ \gamma(n, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = N(t), \\ -\frac{2(k-4)}{B_k-4} \sigma_{k-5}(n - N(t)) & \text{if } n > N(t). \end{cases} \]

One has
\[ E_{k,1}(z, w; 0) = E_{k-4}(z) \cdot \vartheta_{m,0}(z, w) . \]

\section{The Orthogonal Complement of the Cusp Forms}

The Petersson inner product for \( f, g \in \mathcal{J}_{k,m}(\sigma) \), where at least one of \( f \) and \( g \) is a cusp form, was already introduced in [6],
\[ \langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(z, w) \overline{g(z, w)} y^k e^{-4\pi \imath m N(v)/y} d\omega , \]
where \( w = u + iv \) and \( d\omega = y^{-10} dx dy du dv \) is the invariant volume element. We use the standard identification of \( \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{R}^8 \) with \( \mathbb{R}^8 \) for normalizing \( du, dv \).
Lemma 1. Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 10$, $q \in \mathfrak{o}$ with $N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $f \in J_{k,m}^0$. Then one has

$$\langle E_{k,m}(z,w;q), f(z,w) \rangle = 0.$$ 

Proof. We can write the Eisenstein series in the form (16). Hence the usual unfolding trick gives

$$\langle E_{k,m}(z,w;q), f(z,w) \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{D}} f(z,w) y^k e^{-4\pi mN(v)/y} \, dx \, dy \, du \, dv$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (z,w) \in H \times \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, \ u = \sum_{j=0}^{7} u_j \alpha_j, \ 0 \leq u_j \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a fundamental domain with respect to the translations in (j.1) and (j.2). If one inserts the Fourier expansion (j.4), the integration over $x$ already shows that the integral vanishes. $\square$

Next we count the number of possible $q$. Therefore let $\varphi$ denote Euler's totient function.

Lemma 2. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$(21) \quad \# \{ q : \mathfrak{o}/m\mathfrak{o} \mid N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \} = m^7 \sum_{d|m} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d^4}.$$ 

Proof. Both sides of (21) are multiplicative arithmetical functions. Hence it suffices to consider $m = p^r$ for some prime $p$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to the corollary in §2 of [9] the left-hand side of (21) is equal to

$$p^{4r} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{r} p^{3r} - \sum_{r=0}^{r-1} p^{3r-1} \right] = \frac{p^{7r} \left[ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{r} (p-1)p^{-3r-1} \right]}{d|m} = m^7 \sum_{d|m} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d^4}. \quad \square$$

In particular the number $s$ in (20) can be computed to be

$$\# \{ q : \mathfrak{o}/m\mathfrak{o} \mid N(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \ 2q \in m\mathfrak{o} \} = N_m,$$

where

$$(22) \quad N_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 136 & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 256 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Denote the orthogonal complement of the space of Jacobi cusp forms by

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,m}^0(\mathfrak{o}) = \{ f \in \mathcal{J}_{k,m}(\mathfrak{o}) \mid \langle f, g \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{J}_{k,m}^0(\mathfrak{o}) \}.$$ 

Theorem 3. Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 10$. Then the set of Jacobi-Eisenstein series $\mathcal{J}_{k,m}^0$ in (20) forms a basis of $\mathcal{J}_{k,m}^0(\mathfrak{o})$. Moreover one has

$$\dim \mathcal{J}_{k,m}^0(\mathfrak{o}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( m^7 \sum_{d|m} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d^4} + (-1)^k N_m \right),$$

where $N_m$ is given by (22).
Proof. Apply Lemmas 1 and 2, Remark 1 and (17). Given \( g \in J_{k,m}(\sigma) \), we conclude from Theorem 2 and (15) that

\[
g - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_g(N(q_j)/m, q_j)E_{k,m}(\cdot, \cdot; q_j)
- \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^k) \sum_{j=r+1}^{r+s} \alpha_g(N(q_j)/m, q_j)E_{k,m}(\cdot, \cdot; q_j)
\]

is a cusp form. Hence the claim follows. \( \square \)

5. The Selberg trace formula

Given a subset \( S \) of \( \Gamma \) and \( z, z' \in \mathcal{H} \) we define

\[
H^S_{k}(z, z') := \sum_{M=(\alpha \beta \gamma \delta) \in S} \left( \frac{1}{2i}(z - M\bar{z}')(\gamma\bar{z} + \delta) \right)^{-k}
\]

for \( k > 2 \), where \( Mz = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta} \). Then it is well known (cf. [7, 11]) that

\[
\delta_m \Phi(z) = \frac{k-1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma[m]\setminus \mathcal{H}} y^{k-4} H^k_{\Gamma[m]}(z, z') \Phi(z') \, d\mu(z')
\]

holds for all \( \Phi \in S_k(\Gamma[m]) \), when \( \Gamma[m]\setminus \mathcal{H} \) denotes a fundamental domain of \( \mathcal{H} \) with respect to \( \Gamma[m] \) and \( d\mu(z) = y^{-2} \, dx \, dy \) stands for the invariant volume element. Clearly \( \delta_m = 1 \) holds for \( m > 2 \) and \( \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 2 \).

Lemma 3. Given \( k > 6 \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) one has for all \( f \in J_{k,m}(\sigma) \),

\[
F(z) = \frac{k-5}{8\pi} \int_{\Gamma[m]\setminus \mathcal{H}} y^{k-4} \sum_{M: \Gamma[m]\setminus \Gamma} H^k_{\Gamma[m]}(z, z') \psi(M) \cdot F(z') \, d\mu(z').
\]

Proof. Let \( F \) be a fundamental domain with respect to \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma[m]M_j \). Then \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} M_j F \) covers a fundamental domain of \( \mathcal{H} \) exactly \( \frac{2}{\delta_m} \)-times. Thus Theorem 1 and (23) yield

\[
F(z) = \frac{k-5}{8\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{M_jF} y^{k-4} H^k_{\Gamma[m]}(z, z') F(z') \, d\mu(z')
= \frac{k-5}{8\pi} \int_{F} y^{k-4} \sum_{j=1}^{r} H^k_{\Gamma[m]}(z, z') \psi(M_j) \cdot F(z') \, d\mu(z'). \quad \square
\]

In the next step we obtain

Lemma 4. Given \( k > 6 \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) one has for all \( f \in J_{k,m}(\sigma) \),

\[
f(z, w) = 2^{10} m^4 \left( \frac{k-5}{\pi} \right) \int_{F} y^{k} e^{-4\pi m N(v')/y'} \sum_{M: \Gamma[m]\setminus \Gamma} H^k_{\Gamma[m]}(z, z')
\cdot \Theta(z, w) \cdot \psi(M) \cdot \Theta(z', w') f(z', w') \, d\omega(z', w').
\]

\[
(24)
\]
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Proof. Note that the set of all \((z, w)\), where \(z \in \mathbb{F}\) and \(w\) runs through a fundamental parallelootope of \(\mathbb{C}/\alpha z + \alpha\) contains 2 copies of a fundamental domain. Write \(f = F' \cdot \Theta = \Theta' \cdot F\). The standard procedure yields

\[
\int_{\mathbb{C}/\alpha z + \alpha} \vartheta_m(q, z', w') \cdot \vartheta_m(q, z', w') e^{-4\pi mN(v')/y'} \, du' \, dv' \quad (25)
\]

\[
\begin{cases}
(y'/8m)^4 & \text{if } j = l, \\
0 & \text{if } j \neq l.
\end{cases}
\]

Hence the integral on the right-hand side of (24) equals

\[
\frac{k - 5}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{F}} y'^{k-4} \sum_{M : \Gamma / m \subseteq \Gamma} H_{k-4}^{[m]M}(z', z') \Theta(z, w)^{M} \cdot \psi(M) \cdot F(z') \, d\mu(z').
\]

Due to Lemma 3 and \(f = \Theta' \cdot F\), the claim follows. \(\square\)

Hence we have computed the Bergmann kernel of \(J_{k, m}(\sigma)\). Thus the standard procedure (cf. [11, 6.4.1]) yields the Selberg trace formula. The orthogonal relation (25) then leads to

**Theorem 4.** Given \(k > 6\) and \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) one has

\[
\dim J_{k, m}(\sigma) = \frac{k - 5}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{F}} y'^{k-4} \sum_{M : \Gamma / m \subseteq \Gamma} H_{k-4}^{[m]M}(z, z) \left( \text{trace } \psi(M) \right) \, d\mu(z).
\]

Now we are going to calculate the terms explicitly. Note that \(\text{trace } \psi(M)\) is constant on the conjugacy class of \(M\) due to Theorem 1. It is well known (cf. [7, Chapter II]) that the conjugacy classes of hyperbolic elements do not give any contribution.

(A) **Contribution from \(\pm I, I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\).** One has \(\text{trace } \psi(I) = m^8\) and \(\text{trace } \psi(-I) = \gcd(m, 2)^8\). Hence the contribution is

\[
\frac{k - 5}{24} \left( m^8 + (-1)^k \gcd(m, 2)^8 \right).
\]

(B) **Contribution from elliptic elements.** There are 6 conjugacy classes of elliptic elements in \(\Gamma\), which can be represented by \(\pm J, \pm TJ, \pm T^{-1}J\). We apply (11) and (12) and evaluate the arising Gauss sums in the usual way in order to get

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{trace } \psi(J) &= \text{trace } \psi(-J) = \gcd(m, 2)^4 \\
\text{trace } \psi(TJ) &= \text{trace } \psi(-T^{-1}J) = 1, \\
\text{trace } \psi(T^{-1}J) &= \text{trace } \psi(-TJ) = \gcd(m, 3)^4.
\end{align*}
\]

The integrals are evaluated according to [7, Chapter II]. Setting \(\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\sqrt{3})\) the total contribution is

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{8} \rho_{-k} \gcd(m, 2)^4 (1 + (-1)^k) + \frac{1}{6} \rho_{5-k} \gcd(m, 3)^4 (1 + (-1)^k) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6} \rho_{10-2k} \gcd(m, 3)^4 (-1)^k \\
= &\, \frac{1}{8} \rho_{-k} \gcd(m, 2)^4 (1 + (-1)^k) - \left( \frac{k + 1}{3} \right) \frac{1}{6} \gcd(m, 3)^4 (-1)^k,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\left( \frac{k+1}{3} \right)\) denotes the Legendre symbol.
(C) **Cusp contributions.** Representatives of the conjugacy classes of the parabolic elements are given by $\pm T^j, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ j \neq 0$. Note that

$$\psi(T^j) = \psi(T^{j'}), \quad \psi(-T^j) = \psi(-T^{j'}), \quad \text{if} \ j \equiv j' \ (\text{mod} \ m).$$

According to [7, Chapter II], the integral over all the conjugacy classes $\pm T^j', \ j' \equiv j \ (\text{mod} \ m), \ j = 1, \ldots, m$, is evaluated to be

$$-(\pm 1)^k \frac{1}{4m} \text{trace} \psi(\pm T^j).$$

Due to (11) the total contribution is

$$-\frac{1}{4m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{q \colon \sigma/m\sigma} e^{-2\pi i jN(q)/m} + (-1)^k \sum_{q \colon \sigma/m\sigma \ 2q \in m\sigma} e^{-2\pi i jN(q)/m} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left( \# \{ q \colon \sigma/m\sigma | N(q) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ m) \} + (-1)^k \# \{ q \colon \sigma/m\sigma | N(q) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ m), \ 2q \in m\sigma \} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left( m^7 \sum_{d|m} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d^4} + (-1)^k N_m \right),$$

if we regard Lemma 2 and (22).

Gathering all the contributions we obtain our final

**Theorem 5.** Let $k > 6$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then one has

$$\dim J^0_{k, m}(\sigma) = \frac{k-5}{24}(m^8 + (-1)^k \gcd(m, 2)^8 - \frac{1}{8} i^{-k} \gcd(m, 2)^4 (1 + (-1)^k))$$

$$- \left( \frac{k+1}{3} \right) \frac{1}{6} (\gcd(m, 3)^4 + (-1)^k) - \frac{1}{4} \left( m^7 \sum_{d|m} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d^4} + (-1)^k N_m \right).$$

Combining Theorems 5 and 2 we also have an explicit formula for $\dim J_{k, m}(\sigma)$.

**Corollary 3.** Let $k > 10$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then one has

$$\dim J_{k, m}(\sigma) = \frac{k-5}{24}(m^8 + (-1)^k \gcd(m, 2)^8 - \frac{1}{8} i^{-k} \gcd(m, 2)^4 (1 + (-1)^k))$$

$$- \left( \frac{k+1}{3} \right) \frac{1}{6} (\gcd(m, 3)^4 + (-1)^k) + \frac{1}{4} \left( m^7 \sum_{d|m} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d^4} + (-1)^k N_m \right).$$
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