

## GLOBAL ANALYTIC REGULARITY FOR SUMS OF SQUARES OF VECTOR FIELDS

PAULO D. CORDARO AND A. ALEXANDROU HIMONAS

ABSTRACT. We consider a class of operators in the form of a sum of squares of vector fields with real analytic coefficients on the torus and we show that the zero order term may influence their global analytic hypoellipticity. Also we extend a result of Cordaro-Himonas.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , or more generally a real analytic manifold, and  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  be the set of real analytic functions in  $\Omega$ . We shall consider operators of the form

$$(1.1) \quad P = - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} X_j^2 + X_0 + a,$$

where  $X_0, \dots, X_\nu$ , are real vector fields with coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ , and  $a$  is a complex valued function in  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ . We shall discuss the analytic regularity of the solutions to the equation  $Pu = f$ , for a given function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ . To be more precise and to state our results we shall need the following definitions. We recall that the operator  $P$  is said to be *analytic hypoelliptic* (*hypoelliptic*) in  $\Omega$  if for any  $U$  open subset of  $\Omega$  the conditions  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  and  $Pu \in \mathcal{A}(U)$  ( $Pu \in C^\infty(U)$ ) imply that  $u \in \mathcal{A}(U)$  ( $u \in C^\infty(U)$ ). The operator  $P$  is said to be *globally analytic hypoelliptic* (*hypoelliptic*) in  $\Omega$  if the conditions  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  and  $Pu \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  ( $Pu \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ ) imply that  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  ( $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ ). Also, we recall that a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  is of *finite type* if the Lie algebra generated by the vector fields  $X_0, \dots, X_\nu$  spans the tangent space of  $\Omega$  at  $x_0$ .

By the celebrated sum of squares theorem of Hörmander [Ho] the finite type condition is sufficient for the hypoellipticity of  $P$  in the more general case where  $P$  has  $C^\infty$  coefficients, while in the analytic category, which is our situation here, Derridj [D] proved that the finite type condition is also necessary for hypoellipticity. Baouendi and Goulaouic [BG] discovered that the finite type condition is not sufficient for the analytic hypoellipticity of  $P$ . They showed that if  $P$  is the operator in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $P = (\partial_x)^2 + (x\partial_y)^2 + (\partial_t)^2$ , then the equation  $Pu = 0$  has a non-analytic solution near  $x = 0$ . After, several authors including Helffer

---

Received by the editors January 23, 1996 and, in revised form, November 26, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35H05, 35N15; Secondary 32F10, 58G15.

*Key words and phrases*. Analytic hypoellipticity, global, torus, sum of squares of vector fields, finite type, subelliptic.

The first author was partially supported by CNPq Grant 304825/89-1, and the second author by NSF Grant DMS 91-01161.

[H], Pham The Lai-Robert [PR], Metivier [M1], Hanges-Himonas [HH1], [HH2], and Christ [Ch1], [Ch2] found different classes of operators satisfying the finite type condition and failing to be analytic hypoelliptic. In [CH], most of these classes of operators were proved to be globally analytic hypoelliptic on the torus. The purpose of this article is to extend Theorem 1.1 in [CH] for the case where lower order terms are present, and to show that if the vector field  $X_0$  in (1.1) is complex, then the zero order term,  $a$ , may influence the global analytic hypoellipticity of  $P$ .

We start with the extension of a result in [CH].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $P$  be an operator of the form (1.1) on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^N = \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{T}^n$ , with variables  $(x, t)$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , and*

$$X_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \sum_{k=1}^m b_{jk}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad j = 0, \dots, \nu,$$

are real vector fields with coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , and  $a = a(x, t) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^{m+n})$  is complex-valued. If the following two conditions hold:

- (i) Every point of  $\mathbb{T}^{m+n}$  is of finite type;
  - (ii) The vector fields  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , span  $T_t(\mathbb{T}^n)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$ ,
- then the operator  $P$  is globally analytic hypoelliptic in  $\mathbb{T}^N$ .

*Remark.* A generalization of [CH] has been also obtained by Christ [Ch3] under the assumption of a certain symmetry condition, which does not hold here because of the dependence of  $a$  on  $x$ . A different generalization has been proved by Tartakoff [T3] under the restriction  $\nu = n$ , but with  $P$  in a more general form and assumed to satisfy a maximal estimate. However his method could be used for Theorem 1.1 too. Also, we mention the related work of Chen [C], Komatsu [Ko], Derridj-Tartakoff [DT], Metivier [M2], Sjöstrand [S], Tartakoff [T1], [T2], and Treves [T1]. Theorem 1.1 is only a partial result on the problem of global analytic hypoellipticity and there is no doubt that more general results are valid, although it is far from clear what is a necessary and sufficient condition for global analytic hypoellipticity.

Next, in the 2-dimensional torus we consider the case where in (1.1)  $X_0$  is complex. While in the above theorem the zero order term did not play any role, we shall show that this is not the case in the following situation. In  $\mathbb{T}^2$  let  $P$  be the operator defined by

$$(1.2) \quad P = -\bar{L}L + a, \quad a \in \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad L = \partial_t + ib(t)\partial_x, \quad \text{with } b \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1), \text{ and real-valued.}$$

Then we have the following results.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^1$  be a zero of  $b$  of odd order. If  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ , then the operator  $P$  defined by (1.2) is analytic hypoelliptic near  $\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{t_0\}$ .*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $P$  be as in (1.2). If all zeros of  $b$  are of odd order and if  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ , then  $P$  is globally analytic hypoelliptic. Conversely, if  $b$  has a zero of odd order and if  $a = 0$ , then  $P$  is not globally analytic hypoelliptic*

Such phenomena have been studied in the past for an operator on the Heisenberg group related to the Lewy operator by Stein [St], and Kwon [Kw].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We start with a lemma about a global subelliptic estimate.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X_j, j = 0, \dots, \nu$ , be real  $C^\infty$  vector fields in  $\mathbb{T}^N$  and  $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . If all points of  $\mathbb{T}^N$  are of finite type for  $X_0, \dots, X_\nu$ , then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_\varepsilon \leq C (\|Pu\|_0 + \|u\|_{-1}), \quad u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

where  $P$  is of the form (1.1).

*Proof.* Since the finite type condition holds at every point, there exists a local subelliptic estimate near each point (see [Ho], [K], [OR], [RS]) and this implies that the following property holds true:  $u \in H^0(\mathbb{T}^N), Pu \in H^0(\mathbb{T}^N) \implies u \in H^\varepsilon(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . Then by the closed graph theorem the following global estimate holds:

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_\varepsilon \leq C_1 (\|Pu\|_0 + \|u\|_0), \quad u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $C_1 > 0$ .

By Lions' Lemma for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $C_\delta$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_0 \leq \delta \|u\|_\varepsilon + C_\delta \|u\|_{-1}, \quad u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

Applying (2.3) in (2.2) and selecting  $\delta$  appropriately small give (2.1). The proof of Lemma 2.1 is complete. □

Now let  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{T}^N)$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad Pu = f, \quad \text{with } f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

By Hörmander's theorem  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . To show that  $P$  is globally analytic hypoelliptic in  $\mathbb{T}^N$  it suffices to show that

$$(2.5) \quad u \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

Since by our hypothesis  $P$  is elliptic in  $t$ , it suffices to show that there exists  $B > 0$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_0 \leq B^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha!, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^m.$$

Since  $a$  and  $f$  are in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N)$ , there exists  $A > 0$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha a\|_\infty \leq A^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha!, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^m,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_0 \leq A^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha!, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^m.$$

Since  $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u\|_\varepsilon$ , the basic inequality (2.1) implies the following weaker inequality:

$$(2.9) \quad \|u\|_0 \leq C (\|Pu\|_0 + \|u\|_{-1}), \quad u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

which is what we need for proving (2.6). If we apply (2.9) with  $u$  replaced with  $\partial_x^\alpha u$ , then we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_0 \leq C (\|\partial_x^\alpha Pu\|_0 + \|[P, \partial_x^\alpha]u\|_0 + \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{-1}).$$

We have

$$(2.11) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{-1} \leq \|\partial_x^{\alpha - e_j} u\|_0,$$

where  $e_j$  is an element of the orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  such that the corresponding  $\alpha_j \geq 1$ . Also, by their form  $X_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, \nu$ , commute with  $\partial_x^\alpha$  and we have

$$[P, \partial_x^\alpha]u = a\partial_x^\alpha u - \partial_x^\alpha(au) = - \sum_{\beta < \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} a \partial_x^\beta u.$$

Therefore

$$\|[P, \partial_x^\alpha]u\|_0 \leq \sum_{\beta < \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} a\|_\infty \|\partial_x^\beta u\|_0.$$

Then by using (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \|[P, \partial_x^\alpha]u\|_0 \leq \alpha! \sum_{\beta < \alpha} A^{|\alpha-\beta|+1} B^{|\beta|+1}.$$

By (2.8), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_0 \leq C \left( A^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha! + \alpha! \sum_{\beta < \alpha} A^{|\alpha-\beta|} B^{|\beta|+1} + B^{|\alpha|} (\alpha - e_j)! \right).$$

We look for  $B$  of the form

$$(2.14) \quad B = MA, \text{ for some } M > 1,$$

such that (2.6) holds. By (2.13) it suffices to choose  $M$  such that for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$  we have

$$C(A^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha! + \alpha! \sum_{\beta < \alpha} A^{|\alpha-\beta|+|\beta|+2} M^{|\beta|+1} + A^{|\alpha|} M^{|\alpha|} (\alpha - e_j)!) \leq A^{|\alpha|+1} M^{|\alpha|+1} \alpha!$$

By simplifying we obtain that the last inequality follows from

$$(2.15) \quad C \left( 1 + AM \sum_{\beta < \alpha} M^{|\beta|} + \frac{1}{A} M^{|\alpha|} \right) \leq M^{|\alpha|+1}.$$

Since for  $M > 1$  we have

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{\beta < \alpha} M^{|\beta|} \leq \left[ \left( \frac{M}{M-1} \right)^m - 1 \right] M^{|\alpha|},$$

by (2.16) we see that for (2.15) to hold it suffices that

$$(2.17) \quad C \left( \frac{1}{M^{|\alpha|+1}} + A \left[ \left( \frac{M}{M-1} \right)^m - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{AM} \right) \leq 1.$$

Since the left-hand side of (2.17) goes to zero as  $M$  goes to infinite, we conclude that there exist  $M > 1$  such that (2.17) holds. And therefore (2.6) holds with  $B = MA$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.2 & 1.3

We start with  $a$  being a function of  $t$ ; i.e. in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  we consider the operator

$$(3.1) \quad P = -\bar{L}L + a, \quad a = a(t) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1),$$

where  $L = \partial_t + ib(t)\partial_x$ , with  $b \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ , and real-valued. We shall work near a zero of  $b(t)$ , which for simplicity we will assume to be  $t = 0$ . Then we may assume that

$$(3.2) \quad b(t) = t^k g(t), \quad g(t) \neq 0, \quad -\delta \leq t \leq \delta, \quad \text{some } \delta > 0.$$

If we expand  $P$ , we obtain

$$P = -\partial_t^2 - b^2(t)\partial_x^2 - ib'(t)\partial_x + a(t).$$

If  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_t, \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}_x))$ , then by taking Fourier transform with respect to  $x$  we obtain

$$\widehat{Pu}(\xi, t) = -\widehat{u}_{tt}(\xi, t) + [\xi^2 b^2(t) + \xi b'(t) + a(t)]\widehat{u}(\xi, t).$$

If we multiply by  $\bar{\widehat{u}}$  and integrate in  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \widehat{Pu}(\xi, t) \bar{\widehat{u}}(\xi, t) dt \\ &= - \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \widehat{u}_{tt}(\xi, t) \bar{\widehat{u}}(\xi, t) dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\xi^2 b^2(t) + \xi b'(t) + a(t)] |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then we integrate by parts and use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\widehat{u}_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\xi^2 b^2(t) + \xi b'(t)] |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt \\ & \leq \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\frac{1}{2} - a(t)] |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\widehat{Pu}(\xi, t)|^2 dt + |\bar{\widehat{u}}(\xi, t) \widehat{u}_t(\xi, t)|_{t=-\delta}^{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us assume that we have started with some  $r > 0$ . And  $\delta$  above has been chosen to be in the interval  $(0, r)$ . If we assume that

$$(3.4) \quad Pu \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1 \times (-r, r)),$$

and we use the fact that the operator  $P$  is elliptic near  $(\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{-\delta\}) \cup (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{\delta\})$ , then by (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\widehat{u}_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\xi^2 b^2(t) + \xi b'(t)] |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt \\ & \leq \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\frac{1}{2} + \|a\|_\infty] |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt + O(e^{-\varepsilon|\xi|}), \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Next we shall absorb the term

$$(\frac{1}{2} + \|a\|_\infty) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt$$

in the left-hand side of (3.5) by using the following (Poincaré inequality) argument. We write

$$\widehat{u}(\xi, t) = \widehat{u}(\xi, -\delta) + \int_{-\delta}^t \widehat{u}_t(\xi, s) ds.$$

Then we obtain

$$|\hat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 \leq 2c^2 e^{-2\epsilon|\xi|} + 4\delta \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |u_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt,$$

which implies that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\hat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt \leq 4c^2 \delta e^{-2\epsilon|\xi|} + 8\delta^2 \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |u_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt.$$

If we choose  $\delta$  such that (3.2) is true and furthermore  $8\delta^2(\frac{1}{2} + \|a\|_{\infty}) < \frac{1}{2}$ , then by using (3.6), relation (3.5) gives

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |u_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\xi^2 b^2(t) + \xi b'(t)] |\hat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt \lesssim e^{-\epsilon|\xi|}.$$

Very similar to the above arguments applied to the operator  $Q = -L\bar{L} + a$  give the inequality

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |v_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\xi^2 b^2(t) - \xi b'(t)] |\hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 dt \lesssim e^{-\epsilon|\xi|},$$

for any  $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_t, \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}_x))$  with  $Qv \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1 \times (-r, r))$ . To summarize, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be given by (3.1) with  $b$  as in (3.2), and  $r > 0$  be a given number. If  $\delta \in (0, r)$  is such that*

$$8\delta^2(\frac{1}{2} + \|a\|_{\infty}) < \frac{1}{2},$$

*then the following hold:*

**1.** *Any  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_t, \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}_x))$  with  $Pu \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1 \times (-r, r))$  satisfies inequality (3.7) for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .*

**2.** *Let  $Q = -L\bar{L} + a$ . Then any  $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_t, \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}_x))$  with  $Qv \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1 \times (-r, r))$  satisfies inequality (3.8) for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .*

Now we assume that  $b(t)$  has a **zero of odd order** at  $t = 0$ ; without loss of generality we can assume

$$(3.9) \quad b'(t) \geq 0, \quad -\delta \leq t \leq \delta,$$

and we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be as in (3.1),  $b$  be as in (3.2) and (3.9), and  $r > 0$  be a given number. If  $\delta \in (0, r)$  is such that  $8\delta^2(\frac{1}{2} + \|a\|_{\infty}) < \frac{1}{2}$ , then the following hold:*

**1.** *For any solution  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_t, \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}_x))$  to  $Pu = f$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1 \times (-r, r))$  there exist constants  $c > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , which may depend on  $u$ , such that*

$$(3.10) \quad |\hat{u}(\xi, t)| \leq ce^{-\epsilon|\xi|}, \quad \xi > 0, \quad |t| \leq \delta.$$

**2.** *For any solution  $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_t, \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}_x))$  to  $Qv = f$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^1 \times (-r, r))$  there exist constants  $c > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , which may depend on  $v$ , such that*

$$(3.11) \quad |\hat{v}(\xi, t)| \leq ce^{-\epsilon|\xi|}, \quad \xi < 0, \quad |t| \leq \delta.$$

*Remark.*  $f$  may be assumed to satisfy the correct estimate only for  $\xi > 0$  in (1), and  $\xi < 0$  in (2).

*Proof.* Let  $\xi > 0$ . Then by (3.9) we obtain  $\xi^2 b^2(t) + \xi b'(t) \geq 0$ , and we can apply Lemma 4.1 in Cordaro-Himonas [CH] to show that

$$(3.12) \quad |\hat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 \lesssim \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\hat{u}_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\xi^2 b^2(t) + \xi b'(t)] |\hat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt.$$

Therefore by (3.7) and (3.12) we obtain (3.10).

If  $\xi < 0$ , then by (3.9) we obtain  $\xi^2 b^2(t) - \xi b'(t) \geq 0$ , and again we apply Lemma 4.1 in [CH] to obtain

$$(3.13) \quad |\hat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 \lesssim \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |u_t(\xi, t)|^2 dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [\xi^2 b^2(t) - \xi b'(t)] |\hat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 dt.$$

By (3.8) and (3.13) we obtain (3.11). □

*End of Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Since by our hypothesis  $Pu$  is analytic, by Proposition 3.2  $u$  satisfies the estimate (3.10). To complete the proof it suffices to show that  $u$  satisfies estimate (3.11) too. We have  $L(-\bar{L}Lu + au) = Lf$ . Since  $a$  is a constant, it commutes with  $L$  and we obtain  $L(-\bar{L}L + a) = (-L\bar{L} + a)L$ . Therefore we have that  $Lu$  satisfies the equation  $(-L\bar{L} + a)(Lu) = Lf$ . Now by applying the second part of Proposition 3.2 for  $v = Lu$  we obtain that  $Lu$  satisfies estimate (3.11). If we solve the equation  $-\bar{L}Lu + au = f$  for  $au$ , we obtain  $au = \bar{L}(Lu) + f$ . Since  $a \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$u = \frac{1}{a}(\bar{L}(Lu) + f).$$

Since both  $Lu$  and  $f$  satisfy estimate (3.11), the last relation implies that  $u$  satisfies the estimate (3.11) too. Since  $u$  satisfies both estimates (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain the inequality

$$(3.14) \quad |\hat{u}(\xi, t)| \lesssim e^{-\varepsilon|\xi|}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Relation (3.14) together with standard arguments (see for example [CH]) implies that  $u$  is analytic near  $\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{t_0\}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

To prove Theorem 1.3 we shall need the following result in Bergamasco [B].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $L$  be as in (1.3) with  $b \not\equiv 0$ . Then  $L$  is globally analytic hypoelliptic in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  if and only if the function  $b(t)$  does not change sign in  $\mathbb{T}^1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $b(t)$  does not change sign in  $\mathbb{T}^1$ , then condition (P) holds and by the work of Treves [Tr2]  $L$  is locally and therefore globally analytic hypoelliptic. If  $b(t)$  does change sign, then by using the stationary phase method one can construct a non-analytic solution in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  to  $Lu = 0$ , [B]. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* If  $a \neq 0$ , then by Theorem 1.2  $P$  is analytic hypoelliptic near  $\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{t_0\}$ , for each zero,  $t_0$ , of  $b$ . Therefore  $P$  is globally analytic hypoelliptic in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $P = -\bar{L}L$ . Since  $b(t)$  changes sign, by Lemma 3.3 there exists a global non-analytic solution  $u$  to the equation  $Lu = 0$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . This implies  $Pu = 0$ , and therefore  $P$  is not globally analytic hypoelliptic in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

## 4. FINAL REMARKS

1. If  $L$  is as in (1.3) and  $a(t)$  is a real analytic function in  $\mathbb{T}^1$ , then Bergamasco can modify his arguments in [B] to show that Lemma 3.3 is also true for the operator  $L + a$ . Therefore the global analytic hypoellipticity of the operator  $L + a$  is independent of  $a$ , while, by Theorem 1.3 this is not so for the operator  $-\bar{L}L + a$ .

2. A simple example of an operator  $L$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  with  $b \neq 0$  and where the equation  $Lu = 0$  has a non-analytic global solution is given by  $L = \partial_t + i \sin t \partial_x$ . The function  $v = e^{-i(x+i(\cos t-1))}$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  and a solution to  $Lv = 0$ . Since  $|v| = e^{\cos t-1}$ , we have that  $|v| < 1$  for  $t \neq 0$  and  $|v| = 1$  for  $t = 0$ . If we let  $u = \sqrt{1-v}$ , then  $u$  is a solution to  $Lu = 0$ , which is not in  $C^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Here we used the branch of the square root  $\sqrt{1-z}$  which is defined in  $\mathbb{C} - [1, \infty)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BG] M.S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic, *Nonanalytic-hypoellipticity for some degenerate elliptic operators*, Bull. AMS **78** (1972), 483-486. MR **45**:5567
- [B] A.P. Bergamasco, *Remarks about global analytic hypoellipticity*, Preprint (1995).
- [CH] P. D. Cordaro and A. A. Himonas, *Global analytic hypoellipticity for a class of degenerate elliptic operators on the torus*, MRL **1** (1994), 501-510. MR **95j**:35048
- [C] S.C. Chen, *Global analytic hypoellipticity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on circular domains*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), 173-185. MR **89h**:35240
- [Ch1] M. Christ, *Certain sums of squares of vector fields fail to be analytic hypoelliptic*, Comm. in PDE **16(10)** (1991), 1695-1707. MR **92k**:35056
- [Ch2] M. Christ, *A class of hypoelliptic PDE admitting non-analytic solutions*, Contemporary Mathematics, Madison Symposium in Complex analysis **137** (1992). MR **93j**:35048
- [Ch3] M. Christ, *Global analytic hypoellipticity in the presence of symmetry*, MRL **1** (1994), 559-563. MR **95j**:35047
- [D] M. Derridj, *Un probleme aux limites pour une classe d'operateurs du second ordre hypoelliptiques*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **21** (1971), 99-148. MR **58**:29139
- [DT] M. Derridj and D. S. Tartakoff, *Global analyticity for  $\square_b$  on three dimensional CR manifolds*, Comm. in PDE **18(11)** (1993), 1847-1868. MR **94i**:32021
- [HH1] N. Hanges and A. A. Himonas, *Singular solutions for sums of squares of vector fields*, Comm. in PDE **16 8 & 9** (1991), 1503-1511. MR **92i**:35031
- [HH2] N. Hanges and A.A. Himonas, *Analytic hypoellipticity for generalized Baouendi-Goulaouic operators*, Journal of Functional Analysis **125**, No. 1 (1994), 309-325. MR **95j**:35049
- [H] B. Helffer, *Conditions nécessaires d'hypoanalyticité pour des opérateurs invariants à gauche homogènes sur un groupe nilpotent gradué*, Journal of Differential Equations **44** (1982), 460-481. MR **84c**:35026
- [Ho] L. Hörmander, *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, Acta Math. **119** (1967/), 147-171. MR **36**:5526
- [K] J. J. Kohn, *Pseudo-differential operators and hypoellipticity*, Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics **XXIII** (1973), 61-69. MR **49**:3356
- [Ko] G. Komatsu, *Global analytic hypoellipticity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **28** (1976), 145-156. MR **52**:14683
- [Kw] K. H. Kwon, *Concatenations applied to analytic hypoellipticity of operators with double characteristics*, Trans. AMS **283** (1984), 753-763. MR **85j**:35045
- [M1] G. Metivier, *Une class d'operateurs non hypoelliptiques analytiques*, Indiana Univ. Math J. **29** (1980), 823-860.
- [M2] G. Metivier, *Analytic hypoellipticity for operators with multiple characteristics*, Comm. in PDE **1** (1981), 1-90. MR **82g**:35030
- [OR] O. A. Oleinik and E. V. Radkevic, *Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form*, AMS and Plenum Press (1973). MR **56**:16111
- [PR] Pham The Lai and D. Robert, *Sur un problème aux valeurs propres non linéaire*, Israel J. of Math **36** (1980), 169-186. MR **83b**:35132

- [RS] L.P. Rothschild and E. M. Stein, *Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups*, Acta Math **137** (1977), 247-320. MR **55**:9171
- [S] J. Sjöstrand, *Analytic wavefront sets and operators with multiple characteristics*, Hokkaido Mathematical Journal **12** (1983), 392-433. MR **85e**:35022
- [St] E. M. Stein, *An example on the Heisenberg group related to the Lewy operator*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 209-216. MR **84c**:35031
- [T1] D. S. Tartakoff, *On the local real analyticity of solutions to  $\square_b$  and the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem*, Acta Math **145** (1980), 117-204. MR **81k**:35033
- [T2] D. S. Tartakoff, *On the global real analyticity of solutions to  $\square_b$  on compact manifolds*, Comm. in PDE **1(4)** (1976), 283-311. MR **53**:14552
- [T3] D. S. Tartakoff, *Global (and local) analyticity for second order operators constructed from rigid vector fields on products of tori*, Trans. AMS **348** (1996), 2577-2583. MR **96i**:35018
- [Tr1] F. Trèves, *Analytic hypo-ellipticity of a class of pseudodifferential operators with double characteristics and applications to  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem*, Comm. in PDE **3** (1978), 475-642. MR **58**:11867
- [Tr2] F. Trèves, *Analytic hypoelliptic partial differential operators of principal type*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **24** (1971), 537-570. MR **45**:5569

IME - USP, CAIXA POSTAL 66281, CEP 05389-970, SÃO PAULO, SP, BRAZIL  
*E-mail address:* cordaro@ime.usp.br

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, INDIANA 46556  
*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Room 370, CCMB,  
Notre Dame, Indiana 46556-5683  
*E-mail address:* alex.a.himonas.1@nd.edu