TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 351, Number 11, Pages 4365–4380
S 0002-9947(99)02519-2
Article electronically published on July 9, 1999

THE $\overline{\partial}$ PROBLEM ON DOMAINS WITH PIECEWISE SMOOTH BOUNDARIES WITH APPLICATIONS

JOACHIM MICHEL AND MEI-CHI SHAW

Abstract. Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that Ω has piecewise smooth boudnary. We discuss the solvability of the Cauchy-Riemann equation

$$\overline{\partial} u = \alpha \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{0.1}$$

where α is a smooth $\overline{\partial}$-closed (p,q) form with coefficients C^∞ up to the bundary of Ω, $0 \le p \le n$ and $1 \le q \le n$. In particular, Equation (0.1) is solvable with u smooth up to the boundary (for appropriate degree q) if Ω satisfies one of the following conditions:

i) Ω is the transversal intersection of bounded smooth pseudoconvex domains.

ii) $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_2$ where Ω_2 is the union of bounded smooth pseudoconvex domains and Ω_1 is a pseudoconvex convex domain with a piecewise smooth boundary.

iii) $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_2$ where Ω_2 is the intersection of bounded smooth pseudoconvex domains and Ω_1 is a pseudoconvex domain with a piecewise smooth boundary.

The solvability of Equation (0.1) with solutions smooth up to the boundary can be used to obtain the local solvability for $\overline{\partial}_b$ on domains with piecewise smooth boundaries in a pseudoconvex manifold.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that Ω has a piecewise smooth boundary. In this paper we study the solvability of the Cauchy-Riemann equation

$$\overline{\partial} u = \alpha \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{0.1}$$

where α is a smooth $\overline{\partial}$-closed (p,q) form with coefficients C^∞ up to the boundary of Ω, $0 \le p \le n$ and $1 \le q \le n$. In particular, we prove that Equation (0.1) is solvable with u smooth up to the boundary (for appropriate degree q) if Ω satisfies one of the following conditions:

i) Ω is the transversal intersection of bounded smooth pseudoconvex domains.

ii) $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_2$, where Ω_2 is the union of bounded smooth pseudoconvex domains and Ω_1 is a pseudoconvex domain with a piecewise smooth boundary.

iii) $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_2$, where Ω_2 is the intersection of bounded smooth pseudoconvex domains and Ω_1 is a pseudoconvex domain with a piecewise smooth boundary.

The boundary regularity problem for $\overline{\partial}$ has been studied extensively by two very different methods: by L^2 a priori estimates and by the integral kernel approach.

Received by the editors August 11, 1997 and, in revised form, May 7, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35N05, 35N10, 32F10.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy-Riemann equations, piecewise smooth boundary, tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations.

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 98-01091.

©1999 American Mathematical Society

The L^2 method was first used by Kohn [15] in studying the boundary regularity of the $\overline{\partial}$ equation when Ω is smooth and strictly pseudoconvex. He established the existence and regularity for the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator and obtained subellipitic estimates in the Sobolev spaces for the solutions. Regularity results for the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator have also been obtained for a wide class of other pseudoconvex domains with smooth boundaries (see Kohn [17], Catlin [3] and Boas-Straube [2]). Thanks to Hörmander's (see [13]) L^2 existence theorem for $\overline{\partial}$, the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator is known to exist for any bounded pseudoconvex domain. On the other hand, recent results have shown that the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator can be irregular on certain pseudoconvex domains with C^∞ boundaries (see Barrett [1] and Christ [5]). It is also known that the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator on domains with nonsmooth boundaries does not preserve C^∞ smoothness (see Michel-Shaw [24]), even for domains with piecewise strictly pseudoconvex boundaries. When Ω is pseudoconvex with C^∞ boundary, the $\overline{\partial}$ equation was studied in Kohn [16] using the weighted $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator. Thus the method of L^2 a priori estimates for the (weighted) $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator has yielded many important results on the local and global boundary regularity of Equation (0.1) when the domain is pseudoconvex with C^∞ boundary. On the other hand, this method is not easily adapted to nonsmooth domains.

The integral formula approach was pioneered by Henkin [9] and Grauert-Lieb [8] for strictly pseudoconvex domains. They obtained uniform and Hölder estimates for the solution of $\overline{\partial}$ on such domains. The integral formula was extended subsequently to analytic polyhedra (see Henkin [10]) and piecewise strictly pseudoconvex domains (see Polyakov [27], [28] and Range-Siu [30]), where uniform and Hölder estimates were obtained. The C^k estimates for $\overline{\partial}$ on strictly pseudoconvex domains were studied in Lieb-Range [18] and by Michel [20] in the piecewise smooth case.

In this paper, we combine the two approaches to study the boundary regularity of $\overline{\partial}$ on domains with piecewise smooth boundaries. We first use the L^2 method to construct certain barrier functions which satisfy a certain growth condition for smooth pseudoconvex domains, using the weighted $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator. Then we use the barriers to construct kernels for the homotopy formula on piecewise smooth domains. Since the main ingredient in the kernel approach is the Stokes' theorem, one can extend the construction for smooth domains to piecewise smooth domains along the lines of the previous work in the strictly pseudoconvex case. As a result, we have obtained solutions of $\overline{\partial}$ smooth up to the boundary for the transversal intersection of bounded smooth pseudoconvex domains. We should mention that when Ω is pseudoconvex with a special Stein neighborhood basis, the C^∞ regularity was obtained by Dufresnoy [7] (see also Chaumat-Chollet [4]).

We also construct kernels for the annuli between two piecewise smooth domains. When Ω is an annulus between two smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains with C^∞ boundaries, such results have been obtained in Shaw [31]. It was first proved by Hortmann [14] that one can construct a homotopy formula for the annulus between two strictly pseudoconvex domains. Recently Michel-Shaw [25] have extended this result to the case when $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_2$ and the boundary of Ω_2 is pseudoconvex with only C^2 boundary. When Ω_1, Ω_2 have piecewise smooth strongly pseudoconvex boundaries, Equation (0.1) was studied in Michel-Perotti [22], [23].

The $\overline{\partial}$ problem on piecewise smooth domains is not only interesting in itself, it also arises from the local solvability of tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations. Let ω be an open subset of the boundary M of a bounded smooth pseudoconvex

domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We consider the equation

$$\overline{\partial}_b u = \alpha \ \text{ in } \ \omega, \tag{0.2}$$

where α is a smooth $\overline{\partial}_b$-closed (p,q) form on $\overline{\omega}$, $1 \le q \le n-3$. We show that when the boundary $\partial\omega$ lies locally in the transversal intersection of M with k Levi-flat hypersurfaces, then one can find a smooth solution u satisfying (0.2) for $1 \le q \le n-k-2$, provided these k hypersurfaces satisfy some global conditions. This is the first result for solvability of $\overline{\partial}_b$ on pseudo-convex hypersurfaces with piecewise smooth boundaries. Previous results (cf. Henkin [11], Shaw [32], [33], Michel-Shaw [26]) all require that the boundary be smooth and that $\partial\omega$ lie in some Levi-flat hypersurface. Thus our result is much more general even for the smooth boundary case.

The proof of local solvability for Equation (0.2) depends on solving the $\overline{\partial}$-equation on piecewise smooth domains with compact support, which is equivalent to solving $\overline{\partial}$ on an annulus with piecewise smooth boundary such that the solutions are smooth up to the boundary (see Theorem 3 and Corollary 3.1). At the end of this paper we shall give examples to show that our results are sharp in the appropriate sense. Explicit kernels for $\overline{\partial}_b$ on a domain in a convex hypersurface with piecewise smooth boundary have been constructed recently by Vassiliadou [36].

The plan of this paper is as follows: In section I we derive a homotopy formula for $\overline{\partial}$ on a domain with a piecewise smooth boundary using the barrier functions constructed in Michel [21]. In section II we construct a homotopy formula on an annulus such that Ω_2 is the union of finitely many smooth pseudoconvex domains. The proof depends on the barrier functions constructed recently in Michel-Shaw [25]. We then use induction to construct a solution for Equation (0.1) when Ω_2 is the transversal intersection of finitely many smooth pseudoconvex domains. In section III we prove the solvability of Equation (0.2) with regularity up to the boundary on a domain ω, using results proved in sections I and II.

This paper was brought to a conclusion while both authors were visiting the Mathematics Institute at the University of Bonn. They would like to thank Professor Ingo Lieb for his kind invitation.

I. Homotopy formulas for $\overline{\partial}$ on piecewise smooth pseudoconvex domains

Let D_i, $i = 1, \cdots, k$, be bounded pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with C^∞ boundary ∂D_i. Let ρ_i be a C^∞ defining function for D_i, i.e., $D_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \rho_i(z) < 0\}$ and $\nabla\rho_i \neq 0$ on ∂D_i. Let $D = \bigcap_{i=1}^k D_i$ be the transversal intersection of the D_i's. We shall call D a piecewise smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ if

$$d\rho_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\rho_{i_\ell} \neq 0$$

on $\{z \in \partial D | \rho_{i_1} = \cdots = \rho_{i_\ell} = 0\}$ for every $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_\ell \le k$. In this section we construct a homotopy formula for $\overline{\partial}$ on such D. When D_i is strictly pseudoconvex, the homotopy formulas were constructed in Polyakov [28] and Range-Siu [30]. We refer the readers to these papers and to the books of Henkin-Leiterer [12] and Range [29] for the details of the construction of the kernel.

Let $\mathcal{U}_i$ be an open neighborhood of D_i. It follows from Michel [21] that for each D_i there exist an increasing sequence $0 < t_0 < \cdots$ and a C^3 mapping $w^{(i)}$:

$D_i \times (\mathcal{U}_i \setminus \overline{D}_i) \to \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying

$$\overline{\partial}_z \omega^{(i)}(z,\zeta) = 0, \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (\zeta_\nu - z_\nu)\omega_\nu^{(i)}(z,\zeta) = 1 \tag{1.1}$$

and the estimates

$$\mid \omega^{(i)}(\cdot,\zeta) \mid_s + \mid \bigtriangledown_\zeta \omega^{(i)}(\cdot,\zeta) \mid_s \leq \frac{C(s)}{\mid \rho_i(\zeta) \mid^{t_s}} \text{ for every } s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}_i \setminus \overline{D}_i$, $\mid \ \mid_s$ denotes the $C^s(\overline{D})$ norm and $C(s)$ does not depend on ζ. (1.2) also implies that $\omega^{(i)}(\cdot,\zeta)$ is in $(C^\infty(\overline{D}_i))^n$.

We define some special Cauchy-Fantappiè forms with $\omega_\nu^{(i)}$.

Let

$$\omega_\nu^{(0)}(z,\zeta) = \frac{\overline{\zeta}_\nu - \overline{z}_\nu}{\mid \zeta - z \mid^2}, \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq n,$$

and

$$\omega_\nu(z,\zeta,\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_j \omega_\nu^{(j)}(z,\zeta),$$

wherever this is defined.

Let ω be the column vector $\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_n \end{pmatrix}$. For $0 \leq q \leq n-1$, we define

$$\Omega_{n,q} = (-1)^q \binom{n-1}{q} \det(\omega, \underbrace{\overline{\partial}_z\omega, \cdots, \overline{\partial}_z\omega}_{q \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\overline{\partial}_{\zeta,\lambda}\omega, \cdots, \overline{\partial}_{\zeta,\lambda}\omega}_{n-q-1 \text{ times}}) \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\zeta_n.$$

Set $\Omega_{n,n} = \Omega_{n,-1} = 0$. It follows that

$$\overline{\partial}_{\zeta,\lambda}\ \Omega_{n,q} = (-1)^q\ \overline{\partial}_z \Omega_{n,q-1}$$

and

$$d_{\zeta,\lambda}(U \wedge \Omega_{n,q}) = U \wedge \overline{\partial}_z \Omega_{n,q-1} + \overline{\partial}_\zeta U \wedge \Omega_{n,q} \quad \text{for} \quad U \in C^1_{(0,q)}(\overline{D}).$$

For every ordered subset $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_\ell\}$ of $\{1, \cdots, k\}$ we define

$$S_I = \{x \in \partial D \mid \rho_i(x) = 0 \text{ for } i \in I\}$$

and choose the orientation on S_I such that the orientation is skew symmetric in the components of I and the following equations hold when D is given the natural orientation:

$$\partial D = \sum_{j=1}^{k} S_j,$$

$$\partial S_I = \sum_{j=1}^{k} S_{Ij}.$$

Let

$$\triangle = \{\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k) \in R^{k+1} \mid \lambda_i \geq 0, \lambda_0 + \cdots + \lambda_k = 1\}.$$

For each ordered subset $J = \{j_1, \cdots, j_m\}$ of $\{1, \cdots, k\}$, we define

$$\triangle_J = \{\lambda \in \triangle \mid \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j = 1\}.$$

The orientation of each $\triangle_J$ is chosen so that

$$\partial \triangle_J = \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (-1)^{\nu+1} \triangle_{j_1 \cdots \widehat{j_\nu} \cdots j_m},$$

where $\widehat{j_\nu}$ means that j_ν is omitted.

Let D_0 be a small neighborhood of $\overline{D}$ such that for some small $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $D_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \rho_i(z) < \epsilon_0,\ i = 1, \cdots, k\}$. We define

$$S_I^0 = \{x \in \partial D_0 \mid \rho_i(x) = \epsilon_0 \ \text{ for } i \in I\}$$

and

$$R_I = \{z \in \overline{D}_0 \mid 0 \le \rho_{i_1}(z) = \cdots = \rho_{i_\ell}(z) \le \epsilon_0,\ \rho_j(z) \le \rho_{i_1}(z) \ \text{ for } j \notin I\}.$$

We also require that the orientation on R_I be skew symmetric in the components of I and $R = \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_i = \overline{D}_0 \setminus D$. It follows (see Range-Siu [30]) that

$$\partial R_I = \sum_{j=1}^{k} R_{Ij} - S_I + S_I^0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Big(\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I \times \triangle_{0I}\Big) &= \sum_I R_I \times \triangle_I - R \times \triangle_0 \\ &\quad + \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} S_I \times \triangle_{0I} - \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} S_I^0 \times \triangle_{0I}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where the summation is over all ordered increasing subsets of $\{1, \cdots, k\}$.

Following the Bochner-Martinelli-Koppelman formula, for any $u \in C^1_{(0,q)}(\overline{D})$ we have

$$u(z) = C_n\Big(\int_{\partial D \times \triangle_0} u \wedge \Omega_{n,q} - \int_{D \times \triangle_0} \overline{\partial}_\zeta u \wedge \Omega_{n,q} - \overline{\partial}_z \int_{D \times \triangle_0} u \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1}\Big) \tag{1.4}$$

for $z \in D$, where $C_n = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}/(2\pi i)^n$. For a detailed proof of (1.4), see e.g. Lemma 2.4 in Range-Siu [30] or Theorem 1.10 in Range [29].

For any $V \in C^\infty_{(0,q+1)}(\overline{D_0 \setminus D})$ such that V vanishes on ∂D and ∂D_0, we apply Stokes' theorem to the form $d_{\zeta,\lambda}(V \wedge \Omega_{n,q})$ and the $2n$-chain $(\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I \times \triangle_{0I})$, where $\sum_I$ is over all increasing ordered subsets of $\{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$. We use (1.3) and the formula

$$d_{\zeta,\lambda}(V \wedge \Omega_{n,q}) = -V \wedge \overline{\partial}_z \Omega_{n,q-1} + \overline{\partial}_\zeta V \wedge \Omega_{n,q}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I \times \triangle_{0I}} V \wedge \overline{\partial}_z \Omega_{n,q-1} &+ \int_{\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I \times \triangle_{0I}} \overline{\partial}_\zeta V \wedge \Omega_{n,q} \\ &= \int_{\sum_I R_I \times \triangle_I} V \wedge \Omega_{n,q} - \int_{R \times \triangle_0} V \wedge \Omega_{n,q}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Since the boundary of D is Lipschitz, for any $\alpha \in C^\infty(\overline{D})$ there exists an extension $E\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and $E\alpha$ vanishes outside a neighborhood of $\overline{D}$ (see e.g. Section 6.3 in Stein [35]). Furthermore, E is bounded from $C^{k,\epsilon}(\overline{D})$ to $C^{k,\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, where $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let $f \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D})$ and apply E to f componentwise, so that supp $Ef \subset D_0$.

Applying the Bochner-Martinelli-Koppelman formula to Ef on the region R, we have, for $z \in D$,

$$-\int\limits_{R\times\triangle_0} \overline{\partial} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q} = \overline{\partial}_z \int\limits_{R\times\triangle_0} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1} + \int\limits_{\partial D\times\triangle_0} f \wedge \Omega_{n,q}.$$

If $V = \overline{\partial} Ef - E\overline{\partial} f$, we have, using (1.4) for $z \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\int\limits_{R\times\triangle_0} V \wedge \Omega_{n,q} &= -\int\limits_{R\times\triangle_0} \overline{\partial} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q} + \int\limits_{R\times\triangle_0} E\overline{\partial} f \wedge \Omega_{n,q} \\ &= \overline{\partial}_z \int\limits_{R\times\triangle_0} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1} + \int\limits_{\partial D\times\triangle_0} f \wedge \Omega_{n,q} + \int\limits_{R\times\triangle_0} E\overline{\partial} f \wedge \Omega_{n,q} \\ &= \overline{\partial}_z \int\limits_{D_0\times\triangle_0} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1} + \int\limits_{D_0\times\triangle_0} E\overline{\partial} f \wedge \Omega_{n,q} + C_n^{-1} f(z). \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Since V vanishes on ∂D and ∂D_0, we can apply (1.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^{-1} f(z) = &-\overline{\partial}_z \int\limits_{\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I\times\triangle_{0I}} V \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1} \\ &+ \int\limits_{\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I\times\triangle_{0I}} \overline{\partial}_\zeta V \wedge \Omega_{n,q} - \int\limits_{\sum_I R_I\times\triangle_I} V \wedge \Omega_{n,q} \\ &- \overline{\partial}_z \int\limits_{D_0\times\triangle_0} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1} - \int\limits_{D_0\times\triangle_0} E\overline{\partial} f \wedge \Omega_{n,q}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Since each $\omega_\nu^{(j)}(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic in z for $1 \le j \le k$ and $1 \le \nu \le n$, we have

$$\Omega_{n,q} = 0 \qquad \text{on } R_I \times \triangle_I \quad \text{for } q > 0.$$

Thus we define

$$T_0 f = -C_n \sum_I \int\limits_{R_I\times\triangle_I} (\overline{\partial} Ef - E\overline{\partial} f) \wedge \Omega_{n,0}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_q f = C_n \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} &\int\limits_{R_I\times\triangle_{0I}} (\overline{\partial} Ef - E\overline{\partial} f) \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1} \\ &- C_n \int\limits_{D_0\times\triangle_0} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Then it follows from (1.7) that, for $z \in D$,

$$f = \overline{\partial} T_q f + T_{q+1} \overline{\partial} f \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D}),\ 1 \le q \le n, \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$f = T_0 f + T_1 \overline{\partial} f \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty_{(0,0)}(\overline{D}). \tag{1.11}$$

Theorem 1. *Let D be a piecewise smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. For $1 \leq q \leq n$, there exist linear operators $T_q : C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D}) \longrightarrow C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$ such that, for every $f \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D})$,*

$$f = \overline{\partial} T_q f + T_{q+1} \overline{\partial} f$$

where we have set $T_{n+1} = 0$. When $q = 0$, there exists a linear operator $T_0 : C^\infty(\overline{D}) \longrightarrow A^\infty(\overline{D})$ such that

$$f = T_0 f + T_1 \overline{\partial} f,$$

where $A^\infty(\overline{D})$ is the subspace of holomorphic functions in $C^\infty(\overline{D})$.

Corollary 1.1. *Let D be the same as in Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D})$, where $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $\overline{\partial}\alpha = 0$ in D. There exists a $u \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$ such that $\overline{\partial} u = \alpha$ in D.*

Proof. Let T_q be defined by (1.8) and (1.9). We only need to show that $T_q f \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$. It is obvious that $\int_{D_0 \times \triangle_0} Ef \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1} \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$. To show that $\int_{R_I \times \triangle_{0I}} (\overline{\partial} Ef - E\overline{\partial} f) \wedge \Omega_{n,q-1}$ is in $C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$, we note that $V = \overline{\partial} Ef - E\overline{\partial} f$ vanishes to infinite order on ∂D. Letting $d(\zeta)$ denote the distance from ζ to ∂D, we have

$$|V(\zeta)| \leq C_N |d(\zeta)|^N \quad \text{for any} \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.12}$$

Since ∂D is Lipschitz, for any $\zeta \in D_0 \setminus D$ and $z \in D$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\zeta - z| \geq C |d(\zeta)|, \tag{1.13}$$

where C is independent of ζ and z. Also, for any $\zeta \in R_I$, there exists a $C > 0$ such that we have

$$|\rho_i(\zeta)| \geq C |d(\zeta)| \quad \text{for any } i \in I, \tag{1.14}$$

where C is independent of ζ. It follows from (1.2) and (1.13), (1.14) that for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist C_s, T_s such that

$$|\Omega_{n,q-1}(\cdot, \zeta)|_s \leq \frac{C_s}{|d(\zeta)|^{Ts}}. \tag{1.15}$$

The theorem follows from (1.12), (1.15) and differentiation under the integral sign. □

II. Boundary regularity for $\overline{\partial}$ on piecewise smooth annuli

Let Ω be a bounded piecewise smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $D_i \subset\subset \Omega$, $i = 1, \cdots, k$, be such that each D_i is a bounded pseudoconvex domain with C^2 boundary ∂D_i defined by $\{\rho_i = 0\}$. We assume that $d\rho_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\rho_{i_\ell} \neq 0$ on $\rho_{i_1} = \cdots = \rho_{i_\ell} = 0$ for every $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell)$, $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_\ell \leq k$. Let

$$D = \Omega \setminus \left(\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} D_i} \right).$$

Then D is the annulus between a pseudoconvex domain Ω and the union of finitely many bounded pseudoconvex domains with C^2 boundary. In this section we consider $\overline{\partial}$ on D with solutions smooth up to the boundary.

We shall construct a homotopy formula for $\overline{\partial}$ on D. Since each D_i has C^2 boundary, it follows from Diederich-Fornaess [6] that there exist a C^2 defining function $\tilde{\rho}_i$ and a $\nu_i \geq 1$ such that $\phi_i = -(-\tilde{\rho}_i)^{1/\nu_i}$ is a bounded strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function on D_i. Let $\mathcal{U}_i = \Omega \setminus \overline{D}_i$ and $W^i = D_i \times \mathcal{U}_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, k$. For each nonnegative integer m, there exists a C^m map

$$w^{(i)} = (w_1^{(i)}, \cdots, w_n^{(i)}) \ : \ W^i \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

such that a) $\omega^{(i)}(\cdot, \zeta)$ is holomorphic for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}_i$, and for each $(z, \zeta) \in W^i$ we have

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} w_\mu^{(i)}(z, \zeta)(\zeta_\mu - z_\mu) = 1,$$

b) and there exists a constant $C(m)$ such that for all I, with $\mid I \mid \leq m$, for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}_i$ any any $z \in D_i$,

$$\mid D_\zeta^I \omega^{(i)}(z, \zeta) \mid \leq C(m) \text{ dist } (z, bD_i)^{-N_m}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{2.1}$$

where $N_m = (5t_m^2 + 3|I| + 1)/\nu_i + 2n$, $t_m = [2\nu \max\,(4 + 3m, \frac{n-1}{5}) + 1]$ and [a] denotes the largest integer $j \leq a$. Each $w^{(i)}$ depends on m, though we do not indicate it in the notation. Such a barrier function $w^{(i)}$ exists for each pseudoconvex domain with C^2 boundary and was constructed in Theorem 1 in [25]. We set, for $z \in \mathcal{U}_i,\ \zeta \in D_i$,

$$P_\mu^{(i)}(z, \zeta) = -w_\mu^{(i)}(\zeta, z), \quad i = 1, \cdots, k,\ \mu = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Then $P^{(i)} = (P_1^{(i)}, \cdots, P_n^{(i)}) \ : \ \mathcal{U}_i \times D_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is holomorphic in $\zeta \in D_i$ for each fixed $z \in \mathcal{U}_i$. We set $P_\mu^0(z, \zeta) = (\overline{\zeta}_\mu - \overline{z}_\mu)/ \mid \zeta - z \mid^2$ for $\mu = 1, \cdots, n$, and we define

$$P_\mu(z, \zeta, \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_j P_\mu^{(j)}(z, \zeta)$$

wherever it is defined, where $\lambda_j \geq 0$ and $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = 1$. In particular, if $\lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_r} = 1$, $z \in D$ and $\zeta \in \bigcap_{\nu=1}^{r} D_{i_\nu}$, then P_μ is well defined and holomorphic in ζ if $\lambda_0 = 0$. Let P be the column vector $\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ P_n \end{pmatrix}$. For $0 \leq q \leq n-1$, we define the double differential form

$$\Omega_{n,q}^0 = (-1)^q \binom{n-1}{q} \det(P, \underbrace{\overline{\partial}_z P, \ldots, \overline{\partial}_z P}_{q}, \underbrace{\overline{\partial}_{\zeta,\lambda} P, \ldots, \overline{\partial}_{\zeta,\lambda} P}_{n-q-1}) \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\zeta_n,$$

which is of degree q in z and of degree $2n - q - 1$ in (ζ, λ). Set $\Omega_{n,n}^0 = \Omega_{n,-1}^0 = 0$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} D_i = R^0$. For each increasing index $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell),\ 1 \leq \ell \leq k$, we define, for small $\epsilon_0 > 0$,

$$R_I^0 = \{z \in \bigcap_{\nu=1}^{\ell} D_{i_\nu} \mid -\epsilon_0 \leq \rho_{i_1}(z) = \cdots = \rho_{i_\ell}(z) \leq 0,\ \rho_j(z) \geq \rho_{i_1}(z)$$

$$\text{for } j \notin I \text{ and } z \in D_j\}.$$

Similarly as before, we require that the orientation on R_I^0 be skew symmetric in the components of I, and we define

$$S_I = \{z \in \partial \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} D_i \mid \rho_i(z) = 0, i \in I\}$$

and

$$S_I^{\epsilon_0} = \{z \in \partial \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} D_i \mid \rho_i(z) = -\epsilon_0,\ i \in I\},$$

and each S_I and $S_I^{\epsilon_0}$ is given the natural induced orientation. Then we have

$$\partial R_I^0 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} R_{Ij}^0 + S_I - S_I^{\epsilon_0},$$

$$\partial\Big(\sum_I (-1)^{|I|}(R_I^0 \times \triangle_{0I})\Big) = \sum_I R_I^0 \times \triangle_I - R^0 \times \triangle_0 + \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} S_I \times \triangle_{0I} - \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} S_I^{\epsilon_0} \times \triangle_{0I},$$

where the summation is over all ordered increasing subsets of $\{1, \cdots, k\}$.

For any smooth forms $\alpha \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D})$, since the boundary of D is Lipschitz, there exists a componentwise extension $E\alpha \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and $E\alpha$ vanishes outside a neighborhood of $\overline{D}$ (see Stein [35]). We shall assume that $E\alpha$ is supported in $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \rho_i(z) \geq -\epsilon_0\}$ for some small $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Setting $g = \overline{\partial} E\alpha - E\overline{\partial}\alpha$, we get $g = 0$ on D, and g vanishes outside a neighborhood of $\overline{D}$. We first assume α (and thus g) vanishes on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \Omega$. For $z \in D$, using similar arguments as before (applying Stokes' theorem on the 2n-chain $\sum_I (-1)^{|I|}(R_I^0 \times \triangle_{0I})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I^0 \times \triangle_{0I}} g \wedge \overline{\partial}_z \Omega^0_{n,q-1} &+ \int_{\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} R_I^0 \times \triangle_{0I}} \overline{\partial}_\zeta g \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q} \\ &= \int_{\sum_I R_I^0 \times \triangle_I} g \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q} - \int_{R^0 \times \triangle_0} g \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We note that since $g \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q}$ is a form of degree $(n, q+1)$ in ζ variables and

$$\dim_R R_I^0 = 2n - \mid I \mid + 1,$$

we have

$$\int_{R_I^0 \times \triangle_I} g \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q} = 0 \ \text{ if } \ q + 1 \leq n - \mid I \mid . \tag{2.3}$$

Using the same arguments as in (1.6), we have for $z \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_{R^0 \times \triangle_0} g \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q} \\ &\quad = \overline{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n \times \triangle_0} E\alpha \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q-1} + \int_{\mathbb{C}^n \times \triangle_0} E\overline{\partial}\alpha \wedge \Omega^0_{n,q} + C_n^{-1}\alpha(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Substituting (2.2) into (2.4) and using (2.3), we have for $z \in D,\ q+1 \le n-k$,

$$C_n^{-1}\alpha(z) = -\overline{\partial}_z \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^n\times\triangle_0} E\alpha\wedge\Omega^0_{n,q-1} - \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^n\times\triangle_0} E\overline{\partial}\alpha\wedge\Omega^0_{n,q}$$

$$- \int\limits_{\sum_I(-1)^{|I|}R^0_I\times\triangle_{0I}} g\wedge\overline{\partial}_z\Omega^0_{n,q-1} + \int\limits_{\sum_I(-1)^{|I|}R^0_I\times\triangle_{0I}} \overline{\partial}_\zeta g\wedge\Omega^0_{n,q}. \tag{2.5}$$

Define

$$S_q^{(m)}\alpha = C_n\Big\{\int\limits_{\sum_I(-1)^{|I|}R^0_I\times\triangle_{0I}} g\wedge\Omega^0_{n,q-1} - \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^n\times\triangle_0} E\alpha\wedge\Omega^0_{n,q-1}\Big\} \tag{2.6}$$

for $1\le q\le n-k-1$.

We have, for $z\in D$,

$$\alpha = S_1^{(m)}\overline{\partial}\alpha, \quad \alpha\in C^\infty_{(0,0)}(\overline{D}), \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\alpha = \overline{\partial}S_q^{(m)}\alpha + S_{q+1}^{(m)}\overline{\partial}\alpha, \quad \alpha\in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D}) \quad \text{and } 1\le q\le n-k-1. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus we have derived the homotopy formula on D in the case when α vanishes on $\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\Omega$. For the general case we need to modify the above construction. Let $A^\infty(\overline{D}) = C^\infty(\overline{D})\cap\mathcal{O}(D)$, where $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is the set of holomorphic functions in D.

Theorem 2. *Let Ω be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with piecewise C^∞ smooth boundary. Let $D_i\subset\subset\Omega,\ i=1,\cdots,k$, be a pseudoconvex domain with C^2 boundary and $D=\Omega\setminus\overline{(\bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i)}$. We assume that the $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^k$ intersect transversally. For $1\le q\le n-k$ and every nonnegative integer m there exist linear operators $\tilde{S}_q^{(m)} : C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D}) \longrightarrow C^m_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$ such that, for every $\alpha\in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D})$, $z\in D$, we have*

$$\alpha = \overline{\partial}\tilde{S}_q^{(m)}\alpha + \tilde{S}_{q+1}^{(m)}\overline{\partial}\alpha, \quad \text{where } 1\le q\le n-k-1.$$

When $q=0\le n-k$, there exists an operator $\tilde{S}_0 : C^\infty(\overline{D}) \longrightarrow A^\infty(\overline{D})$ such that for every $\alpha\in C^\infty(\overline{D})$,

$$\alpha = \tilde{S}_0\alpha + \tilde{S}_1^{(m)}\overline{\partial}\alpha, \quad 0\le n-k-1,$$

for any $z\in D$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let D be the same as in Theorem 2. If $\alpha\in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{D})$ and $\overline{\partial}\alpha=0$, where $1\le q\le n-k-1$, then there exists a $u\in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$ satisfying $\overline{\partial}u=\alpha$ in D.*

Proof. Let $E\alpha$ be a C^∞ extension of α to $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $E\alpha$ vanishes outside a small neighborhood of $\overline{D}$. Using the construction of the operator T_q in section I, we define

$$\tilde{S}_q^{(m)}\alpha = C_n\Big\{ - \int\limits_{\sum_I(-1)^{|I|}R_I\times\triangle_{0I}} (\overline{\partial}E\alpha - E\overline{\partial}\alpha)\wedge\Omega_{n,q-1}$$
$$+ \int\limits_{\sum_I(-1)^{|I|}R^0_I\times\triangle_{0I}} (\overline{\partial}E\alpha - E\overline{\partial}\alpha)\wedge\Omega^0_{n,q-1} - \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^n\times\triangle_0} E\alpha\wedge\Omega^0_{n,q-1}\Big\}$$

for $1 \le q \le n-k$, and

$$\tilde{S}_0\alpha = C_n \sum_I \int_{R_I \times \triangle_I} (\overline{\partial} E\alpha - E\overline{\partial}\alpha) \wedge \Omega_{n,0}.$$

From the above argument and the proof of Theorem 1, we see that the homotopy formulas hold. To see that $S_q^{(m)}\alpha \in C^m_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$, we use (2.1) and the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1. Thus for each nonnegative integer m, there exists a solution $u_m \in C^m_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{D})$ such that $\overline{\partial} u_m = \alpha$ in D. To extract a convergent sequence from u_m in order to obtain a C^∞ solution u, we repeat an argument of Kohn (see also Michel-Shaw [26]). We omit the details. □

Next we want to prove the boundary regularity for $\overline{\partial}$ on an annulus between a pseudoconvex domain and an intersection of smooth pseudoconvex domains. For every increasing multi-index $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_\mu), 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_\mu \le k$, we define $D_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. We set, for fixed $1 \le \gamma \le k$, $1 \le j_\nu \le k$,

$$\Omega_2 = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\gamma} D_{Ij_\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \Omega \setminus \Omega_2,$$

where $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_\mu)$, $\gamma + \mu \le k$, and $j_\nu \notin I$. We set $D_\phi = \mathbb{C}^n$ and assume Ω_2 and A are connected. We also assume that each $\Omega \setminus \{\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\mu+\gamma-\tilde{\mu}} (D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_{\tilde{\mu}}) \cap D_{\tilde{\mu}+\nu}\}$ is connected for each $0 \le \tilde{\mu} \le \mu$. We first prove the regularity for $\overline{\partial}$ on A.

Theorem 3. *For every $f \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{A})$, where $1 \le q \le n-1-\gamma$, such that $\overline{\partial} f = 0$ in A, there exists a $g \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{A})$ satisfying $\overline{\partial} g = f$ in A.*

Corollary 3.1. *For every $\alpha \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\overline{\partial}\alpha = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\operatorname{supp}\alpha \subset \overline{\Omega}_2$, where $1 \le q \le n-\gamma$, there exists a $u \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying $\overline{\partial} u = \alpha$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\operatorname{supp} u \subset \overline{\Omega}_2$.*

In particular, we have the following important case when $\mid I \mid = k-1$ and $\gamma = 1$. Note that $D_I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k D_i$ if $\mid I \mid = k$.

Theorem 3′. *Let Ω be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with piecewise smooth C^∞ boundary. Let $D_i \subset\subset \Omega, i = 1, \cdots, k$, be pseudoconvex domains with C^2 boundary, and $G = \Omega \setminus (\bigcap_{i=1}^k D_i)$. For every $\overline{\partial}$-closed $f \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{G}), 1 \le q \le n-2$, there exists a $u \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{G})$ such that $\overline{\partial} u = f$ in G.*

Let $D^0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^k D_i$. Theorem 3′ implies the following:

Corollary 3.1′. *For every $\alpha \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\overline{\partial}\alpha = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\operatorname{supp}\alpha \subset \overline{D}^0$, where $1 \le q \le n-1$, there exists a $u \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying $\overline{\partial} u = \alpha$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\operatorname{supp} u \subset \overline{D}^0$.*

To prove Theorem 3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_\mu)$ and $0 < \gamma \le n-1$, $\mu + \gamma \le n-1$, $\mu, \gamma \le k$. If $1 \le q \le n-1-\gamma$, and if $f \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{A})$ is such that $\overline{\partial} f = 0$ in A and $f = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus D_I$, there exists a $g \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{A})$ such that $\overline{\partial} g = f$ in A and $g = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus D_I$.*

Proof. We shall use induction on μ for all $0 < \gamma \leq n-1-\mu$. For $\mu = 0$, this is proved in Theorem 2 (since $1 \leq q \leq n-1-\gamma$ and $D_\phi = \mathbb{C}^n$). We assume that the lemma holds for $\mu - 1,\ \mu \geq 1$ and all admissible $\gamma,\ q$.

It suffices to prove the assertion for $I = (1, \cdots, \mu),\ \mu \geq 1,\ \mu + \gamma \leq n-1$ and $0 < \gamma \leq n-1$. Let $f \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{A}), 1 \leq q \leq n-1-\gamma$, and $f = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus D_I$. We define $J = (1, \cdots, \mu - 1)$ if $\mu \geq 2$ and $J = \varnothing$ if $\mu = 1$. Let

$$A' = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\gamma} D_{Jj_\nu}.$$

Then $\overline{\partial} f = 0$ in A' and $f = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus D_J$. By induction there exists a $g' \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{A}')$ such that

$$\overline{\partial} g' = f \quad \text{in } A'$$

and

$$g' = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus D_J.$$

Setting $A'' = \Omega \setminus \{D_I \cup (\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\gamma} D_{Jj_\nu})\}$, one has $\overline{\partial} g' = f = 0$ in A'' and $g' = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus D_J$. If $q \geq 2$, since $((q-1)+\gamma+1) = q+\gamma \leq n-1$, we can again apply induction to the set

$$D_I \cup \left(\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\gamma} D_{Jj_\nu} \right) = D_{J\mu} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\gamma} D_{Jj_\nu} \right).$$

Thus there exists an $h' \in C^\infty_{(0,q-2)}(\overline{A}'')$ such that

$$\overline{\partial} h' = g' \text{ in } A''$$

and

$$h' = 0 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega \setminus D_J}.$$

Extending h' smoothly into $\overline{A}'$ and denoting the extension by Eh', we set $\widetilde{g} = g' - \overline{\partial} Eh'$. Then $\widetilde{g} \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{A}'),\ \overline{\partial}\widetilde{g} = f$ in A', and $\widetilde{g} = 0$ in $\overline{A}''$. We extend $\widetilde{g}$ to $\overline{A}$, as g by setting $g = \widetilde{g}$ in A' and $g = 0$ in $\overline{A} \setminus A'$. Since $f = 0$ in $\overline{A} \setminus A'$, we have $g \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{A}),\ \overline{\partial} g = f$ in A and $g = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus D_I$. Thus the lemma is proved when $q = 2$.

When $q = 1$, g' is holomorphic on $\Omega \setminus D_J$. By Hartogs' theorem, there exists a holomorphic function h such that $\overline{\partial} h = 0$ in Ω and $h = g'$ on $\Omega \setminus D_J$. Setting $\widetilde{g} = g' - h$ in A', we have $\overline{\partial}\widetilde{g} = f$ in A' and $\widetilde{g} = 0$ in A''. Repeating the arguments as before, the lemma is proved for $q = 1$ also. □

Proof of Theorem 3. We argue by induction on $\mid I \mid = \mu$.

When $\mu = 0$, this again was proved in Theorem 2. For $\mu \geq 1$, if $\overline{\partial} f = 0$ in A, we have $\overline{\partial} f = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus D_I$. Thus writing $D_I = D_{I' j_\mu}$ where $I' = (i_1, \cdots, i_{\mu-1})$, we can use induction (since $q \leq n-2$) to find a $V \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{\Omega \setminus D_I})$ such that $\overline{\partial} V = f$ on $\Omega \setminus D_I$. Extending V smoothly into $\widetilde{V}$ in Ω, we define $f_0 \equiv f - \overline{\partial}\widetilde{V}$. Then $\overline{\partial} f_0 = 0$ in A and $f_0 = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus D_I$. Thus we can apply Lemma 3.2 to find a solution g in A, and Theorem 3 is proved. □

Corollary 3.1 follows easily, since $\alpha = \overline{\partial} U$ in some large ball B containing each D_i. By Theorem 3, there exists a $V \in C^{\infty}_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{B \setminus \Omega_2})$ such that $\overline{\partial} V = U$. Extending V smoothly into $\widetilde{V}$ on B and setting $u = U - \overline{\partial}\widetilde{V}$, we have proved the corollary when $2 \leq q \leq n - \gamma$. When $q = 1$, it follows easily from Hartogs' Theorem. $\square$

III. Applications to the local solvability of $\overline{\partial}_b$

Let M be the boundary of a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let ω be a connected open subset of M with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\omega$. By this we mean there exist bounded domains D_i, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, with smooth boundary ∂D_i, such that

$$\omega = M \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} D_i \right),$$

where ∂D_i and M intersect transversally wherever they intersect.

Definition. ω is called a *domain with admissible boundary* $\partial\omega$ if the following conditions hold:

i) $\Omega_I \equiv \Omega \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} D_i)$ is a bounded piecewise smooth pseudoconvex domain (as defined in section I).

ii) For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, the set $\Omega_i^c \equiv \Omega \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{D}_i)$ is equal to Ω intersected with a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain.

We note that i) and ii) imply that $\partial\omega$ consists of smooth pieces which lie in Levi-flat hypersurfaces. Examples of admissible boundaries are those defined by real hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Theorem 4. *Let $\omega \subset M$ be a domain with admissible boundary. For every $\overline{\partial}_b$-closed form $\alpha \in C^{\infty}_{(0,q)}(\overline{\omega})$, $1 \leq q \leq n - k - 2$, there exists a $u \in C^{\infty}_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{\omega})$ such that*

$$\overline{\partial}_b u = \alpha \quad \text{in } \omega. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be a C^{∞} extension of α to $\overline{\Omega}_I$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}_{(0,q)}(\overline{\Omega}_I)$ and $\overline{\partial}\tilde{\alpha}$ vanishes to infinite order on ω. Let

$$\alpha_1 = \overline{\partial}\tilde{\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \overline{\Omega}_I,$$

and let $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ be a C^{∞} extension of α_1 to $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\overline{\partial}\tilde{\alpha}_1$ vanishes to infinite order on $\partial\Omega$ and

$$\overline{\partial}\tilde{\alpha}_1 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega_I.$$

Let

$$\alpha_2 = \overline{\partial}\tilde{\alpha}_1 \quad \text{for } z \in \overline{\Omega}$$

and extend α_2 to be zero outside $\overline{\Omega}$. We have

$$\overline{\partial}\alpha_2 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^n$$

and

$$\text{supp } \alpha_2 \subset \overline{\Omega}_I^c$$

where $\Omega_I^c = \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_I$. Thanks to the assumption ii) and the equality $\Omega_I^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Omega_i^c$, we can apply Corollary 3.1 (when $\mu = 1, \gamma = k$) since $q + 2 \leq n - k$. Thus there exists a $\beta_0 \in C^\infty_{(0,q+1)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that

$$\overline{\partial}\beta_0 = \alpha_2 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^n$$

and

$$\text{supp } \beta_0 \ \subset \ \overline{\Omega}_I^c.$$

Setting $\alpha_1' = \tilde{\alpha}_1 - \beta_0$ in Ω, we have that α_1' is a $\overline{\partial}$-closed extension of α_1 from Ω_I to Ω and α_1' vanishes to infinite order on $\partial\Omega$. Extending α_1' to be zero outside Ω and using Corollary 3.1 again, we see that there exists a $V_0 \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that

$$\overline{\partial}V_0 = \alpha_1' \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^n$$

and

$$\text{supp } V_0 \subset \overline{\Omega}.$$

Let

$$\alpha' = \tilde{\alpha} - V_0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}_I.$$

We have $\alpha' \in C^\infty_{(0,q)}(\overline{\Omega}_I)$ and

$$\overline{\partial}\alpha' = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_I,$$
$$\alpha' = \alpha \quad \text{on} \quad \omega.$$

By Corollary 1.1 and the assumption i) for admissible domains, there exists a $U' \in C^\infty_{(0,q-1)}(\overline{\Omega}_I)$ such that

$$\overline{\partial}U' = \alpha' \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_I.$$

Restricting U' to ω and denoting it by u, we have $\overline{\partial}u = \alpha$ in ω and $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{(0,q-1)}\ (\overline{\omega})$. This proves Theorem 4. □

Remark. We note that the condition on the degree q and k cannot be relaxed. Let M be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 3$, and $M = \{z|\ |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2 = 1\}$. Let

$$\omega = M \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=3}^{i=n} \{z|\ |z_i|^2 < \frac{1}{2(n-2)}\} \right).$$

Then ω is a domain with admissible boundary with $k = n - 2$. We shall show that Equation (4.1) is not solvable for $q = 1$ in ω. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{\overline{z}_1 d\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_2 d\overline{z}_1}{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^2}.$$

Then $\alpha \in C^\infty_{0,1}(\overline{\omega})$ and $\overline{\partial}_b\alpha = 0$ in ω. Let $M_0 = M \cap \{z_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-2)}}, \cdots, z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-2)}}\}$. If $\alpha = \overline{\partial}_b u$ for some $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\omega})$, then we have

$$\int_{M_0} \alpha dz_1 \wedge dz_2 = \int_{M_0} \overline{\partial}u \wedge dz_1 \wedge dz_2 = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{M_0} \alpha dz_1 \wedge dz_2 &= \frac{1}{4}\int_{|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2=\frac{1}{2}} \{\overline{z}_1 d\overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_2 d\overline{z}_1\} dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2<\frac{1}{2}} d\overline{z}_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_2 \wedge dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \\ &\neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition on the number of intersection k cannot be removed.

On the other hand, if we take

$$\omega = M \cap (\bigcap_{i=4}^{i=n} \{z|\ |z_i|^2 < \frac{1}{2(n-2)}\}),$$

where $n > 4$, then using Theorem 4 we can find a $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\omega})$ satisfying $\overline{\partial}_b u = \alpha$ in ω.

References

1. Barrett, D., *Behavior of the Bergman projection on the Diederich-Fornaess worm*, Acta Math. **168** (1992), 1-10. MR **93c:**32033
2. Boas, H. P., Straube, E. J., *Sobolev estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator on domains in $\mathbf{C}^n$ admitting a defining function that is plurisubharmonic on the boundary*, Math. Zeit. **206** (1991), 81-88. MR **92b:**32027
3. Catlin, D., *Subelliptic estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem on pseudo-convex domains*, Ann. of Math. **126** (1987), 131-191. MR **88i:**32025
4. Chaumat, J., Chollet, A.M., *Noyaux pour résoudre l'équation $\overline{\partial}$ dans des classes ultra-différentiables sur des compacts irréguliers de $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Princeton University Press (1993), 205–226. MR **94b:**32018
5. Christ, M., *Global C^∞ irregularity of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem for worm domains*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1986), 1171-1185. MR **96m:**32014
6. Diederich, K., Fornaess, J. E., *Pseudoconvex domains: Bounded strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion functions*, Invent. Math. **39** (1977), 129-141. MR **55:**10728
7. Dufresnoy, A., *Sur L'opérateur d" et les fonctions différentiables au sens de Whitney*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **29** (1979), 229-238. MR **80i:**32050
8. Grauert H., Lieb, I., *Das Ramirezsche Integral und die Lösung der Gleichung $\overline{\partial} f = \alpha$ im Bereich der beschränkten Formen*, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Rice Univ. Studies **56** (1970), 29- 50. MR **42:**7938
9. Henkin, G.M., *Integral representation of functions in strictly pseudoconvex domains and applications to the $\overline{\partial}$-problem*, Math. USSR Sb. **7** (1969), 579-616. MR **40:**2902
10. Henkin, G.M., *Uniform estimates for solutions to the $\overline{\partial}$-problem in Weil domains*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **26** (1971), 211-212 (Russian). MR **45:**3753
11. Henkin, G.M., *The H. Lewy equation and analysis on pseudoconvex manifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys **32** (1977), 59–130. MR **56:**12318
12. Henkin, G.M., Leiterer, J, *Theory of functions on complex manifolds*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass. (1984). MR **86a:**32002
13. Hörmander, L., *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\overline{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89-152. MR **31:**3691
14. Hortmann, M., *Über die Lösbarkeit der $\overline{\partial}$-Gleichung mit Hilfe von L^p, C^k und D'-stetigen Integraloperatoren*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), 139-156. MR **54:**10674
15. Kohn, J.J., *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudoconvex manifolds, I*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 112-148. MR **27:**2999
16. Kohn, J.J., *Global regularity for $\overline{\partial}$ on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **181** (1973), 273–292. MR **49:**9442
17. J. J. Kohn, *Subellipticity of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains: Sufficient conditions*, Acta Math. **142** (1979), 79- 122. MR **80d:**32020

18. Lieb, I., Range, R.M., *Lösungsoperatoren für den Cauchy-Riemann Komplex mit C^k-Abschätzungen*, Math. Ann. **253** (1980), 145–164. MR **82:**32012
19. Ma, L., Michel, J., *Local regularity for the tangential Cauchy-Riemann Complex*, J. Reine Angew. Math **442** (1993), 63–90. MR **94h:**32032
20. Michel, J., *Randregularität des $\overline{\partial}$-Problems für stückweise streng pseudokonvexe Gebiete in $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Math. Ann **280** (1988), 46–68. MR **89f:**32033
21. Michel, J., *Integral representations on weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Zeit. **208** (1991), 437–462. MR **93a:**32005
22. Michel, J., Perotti, A., *C^k-regularity for the $\overline{\partial}$-equation on strictly pseudoconvex domains with piecewise smooth boundaries*, Math. Zeit. **203** (1990), 415–427. MR **91b:**32019
23. Michel, J., Perotti, A., *C^k-regularity for the $\overline{\partial}$-equation on a piecewise smooth union of strictly pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa **21** (1994), 483–495. MR **95m:**32026
24. Michel, J., Shaw, M.-C., *Subelliptic estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator on piecewise smooth strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Duke Math. Jour. **93** (1998), 115–129. MR **99b:**32019
25. Michel, J., Shaw, M.-C., *A decomposition problem on weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Zeit. **230** (1999), 1–19.
26. Michel, J., Shaw, M.-C., *C^∞-regularity of solutions of the tangential CR-equations on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds*, Math. Ann. **311** (1998), 147-162. CMP 98:13
27. Polyakov, P.L., *On Banach cohomologies of stratified spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **26** (1971), 243-244 (Russian). MR **45:**3781
28. Polyakov, P.L., *Banach cohomology of piecewise strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. USSR Sb. **17** (1972), 237-256. MR **46:**396
29. Range, R.M., *Holomorphic functions and integral representations in several complex variables*, Graduate Texts in Math, Springer-Verlag **108** (1986). MR **87i:**32001
30. Range, R. M., Siu, Y. T., *Uniform estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-equation on domains with piecewise smooth strictly pseudoconvex boundaries*, Math. Ann. **83** (1973), 325-354. MR **49:**3214
31. Shaw, M.-C., *Global solvability and regularity for $\overline{\partial}$ on an annulus between two weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (1985), 255–267. MR **86m:**32030
32. Shaw, M.-C., *L^p estimates for local solutions of $\overline{\partial}_b$ on strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds*, Math. Ann. **288** (1990), 35-62. MR **92b:**32028
33. Shaw, M.-C., *Local existence theorems with estimates for $\overline{\partial}_b$ on weakly pseudoconvex boundaries*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), 677–700. MR **94b:**32026
34. Shaw, M.-C., *Semi-global existence theorems of $\overline{\partial}_b$ for $(0, n-2)$ forms on pseudoconvex boundaries in $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Colloque D'Analyse Complexe et Géométrie, Astérisque, No. 217 (1993), 227–240. MR **95a:**32028
35. Stein E. M., *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey (1970). MR **44:**7280
36. Vassiliadou, S., *Homotopy fomulas for $\overline{\partial}_b$ and subelliptic estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem*, Thesis, Notre Dame (1997).

Université du Littoral, Centre Universitaire de la Mi-Voix, F-62228 Calais, France
E-mail address: michel@lma.univ-littoral.fr

Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556
E-mail address: mei-chi.shaw.1@nd.edu