

## FINITE GROUPS OF MATRICES OVER GROUP RINGS

GERALD CLIFF AND ALFRED WEISS

ABSTRACT. We investigate certain finite subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $GL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ , where  $\Pi$  is a finite nilpotent group. Such a group  $\Gamma$  gives rise to a  $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma \times \Pi]$ -module; we study the characters of these modules to limit the structure of  $\Gamma$ . We also exhibit some exotic subgroups  $\Gamma$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Pi$  be a finite group. We set

$$SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi) = \ker \text{aug} : GL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{Z}),$$

where  $\text{aug}$  is the usual augmentation map applied to each entry of  $GL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism from a finite group  $\Gamma$  to  $SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ . We shall investigate the following problem.

**Problem 0.** *Do there exist group homomorphisms  $\sigma_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \Pi$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and an element  $x \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q}\Pi)$  such that  $x^{-1}\alpha(\gamma)x = \text{diag}(\sigma_i(\gamma))$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ?*

This is analogous to a conjecture of Zassenhaus, who was interested in units of  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$  of augmentation 1, i.e.  $SGL_1(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ . Problem 0 is related to results on units of group rings, as shown in a special case in [MRSW]. There is a positive answer to Problem 0 if  $\Pi$  is a  $p$ -group [WAn] or if  $n = 1$  and  $\Pi$  is nilpotent [WCr].

Given finite groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$ , set

$$G = \Gamma \times \Pi, \quad N = 1 \times \Pi.$$

A homomorphism  $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$  gives rise to a double action  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module  $M(\alpha)$ , defined as follows: as abelian group,  $M(\alpha)$  is equal to the column vectors  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^n$ , and the  $G$ -action is given by

$$m \cdot (\gamma, \pi) = \alpha(\gamma^{-1})m\pi, \quad (\gamma, \pi) \in G, \quad m \in M.$$

There is a bijection between  $GL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ -conjugacy classes of homomorphisms  $\alpha$  and isomorphism classes of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattices  $M$  which satisfy

- (a)  $G/N$  acts trivially on the  $N$ -fixed points  $M^N$ , and
- (b)  $\text{res}_N M$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}N$ -module.

(See [S, §38.6] for details.)

---

Received by the editors November 1, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C10, 20C05; Secondary 16S34, 20H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Finite group, module, character.

This research was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  ( $\mathcal{D}$  for “double-action”) be the set of characters of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -modules  $M$  which satisfy (a) and (b).

If  $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Pi$  is a homomorphism, it is easy to show (Lemma 2.1 below) that the double action module  $M(\sigma)$  is isomorphic to the permutation module  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $[\sigma]$  denotes the subgroup  $\{(\gamma, \sigma(\gamma)) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  of  $G$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} R(G) &= \text{the virtual complex characters of } G, \\ R^+(G) &= \text{the proper characters of } G, \\ \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, \Pi) &= \mathbf{Z}\text{-span of } \{\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 : \sigma \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)\} \subset R(G), \\ \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi) &= \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\text{-span of } \{\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 : \sigma \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)\} \subset R^+(G). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{P}$  is for “permutation”. Then  $\mathcal{P}^+$  is contained in  $\mathcal{D}$ ; it follows from [WAN] that if  $\Pi$  is a  $p$ -group, then  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}^+$ . Indeed, it turns out (Proposition 2.3 below) that there is a positive answer to Problem 0 for finite groups  $\Gamma, \Pi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}^+$ . Note that  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under addition, and is a sub-semigroup of  $R^+(G)$ . Problem 0 can be reformulated as

**Problem 1.** *Is  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}^+$ ?*

In §6 we give some conditions under which Problem 1 has a positive solution. In §5 we give examples of groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$  for which  $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathcal{P}^+$ . A counterexample to Problem 1 for  $n = 1$  has been constructed by Roggenkamp and Scott [RS] (see [K]); there are also such examples in [B], but with no general principles of construction for them. We will show that for  $n > 1$  counterexamples are so plentiful that our focus shifts to describing all of them. The feasibility of doing so is addressed by

**Problem 2.** *Is the semigroup  $\mathcal{D}$  finitely generated?*

We will show that this is indeed true if  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$  are nilpotent; this is in §7. If we know that  $\mathcal{D}$  is finitely generated, we are also interested in explicitly finding a generating set.

Analysis of  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  is complicated by questions about locally free class groups, so we are led to replace (b) in the definition of  $\mathcal{D}$  by

$$(b') \text{ res}_N M \text{ is a locally free } \mathbf{Z}N\text{-module.}$$

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{D}'(\Gamma, \Pi)$  be the set of characters of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -modules  $M$  which satisfy (a) and (b').

The semigroup  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a good approximation to  $\mathcal{D}$ , in the sense that  $r\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$  for some positive integer  $r$  (Lemma 2.5 below); in other words, if  $M$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module satisfying (a) and (b'), then the direct sum  $M^r$  of  $r$  copies of  $M$  satisfies (a) and (b). We get first approximations to results about  $\mathcal{D}$  by considering  $\mathcal{D}'$  instead. All known cases of the equality  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}^+$  actually have  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{P}^+$ .

One of our main results, Theorem 3.3 below, is a complete character-theoretic description of  $\mathcal{D}'$  in the case that  $\Pi$  is nilpotent. To explain this we introduce some notation. For a finite nilpotent group  $N$ , let  $N_p$  be its Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and  $N_{p'}$  its Sylow  $p$ -complement. Then we have  $R(G) = R(G_{p'}) \otimes R(G_p)$ , and we use this to define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_p(\Gamma, \Pi) &= R(G_{p'}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p), \\ \mathcal{Q}_p^+(\Gamma, \Pi) &= \left\{ \sum \eta \otimes \lambda : \eta \in R^+(G_{p'}), \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We show in Theorem 3.3 below that if  $\Pi$  is nilpotent, then

$$\mathcal{D}'(\Gamma, \Pi) = \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+(\Gamma, \Pi).$$

Theorem 3.3 is crucial to most of the results in the rest of the paper.

We are also interested in knowing if Problem 1 has a “virtual” answer, that is, is the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -span of  $\mathcal{D}$  equal to  $\mathcal{P}$ ? This is discussed in §4.

Although the above definitions and problems are sensible for arbitrary finite groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$ , we shall need to assume that these groups are nilpotent for most of our results. This is because we rely on the local results of [WAn], which at this point have no analogues in the general case. *From §3 through to the end of the paper, we will assume that  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$  are nilpotent.*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As in the introduction, let  $G = \Gamma \times \Pi$  and  $N = 1 \times \Pi$ . In this section,  $\Pi$  and  $\Gamma$  can be arbitrary finite groups.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $\sigma \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)$ , the double action module  $M(\sigma)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G \mathbf{Z}$  as  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -modules.*

*Proof.* By definition,  $M(\sigma)$  has  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis  $\Pi$ . Consider elements of  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G \mathbf{Z}$  as linear combinations of the cosets of  $[\sigma]$  in  $G$ ; use  $1 \times \Pi$  as coset representatives. Define

$$f : M(\sigma) \rightarrow \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G \mathbf{Z}, \quad f(\pi) = [\sigma](1, \pi), \quad \pi \in \Pi.$$

To check that  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -homomorphism, for  $(\gamma, \pi') \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\pi \cdot (\gamma, \pi')) &= f(\sigma(\gamma^{-1})\pi\pi') = [\sigma](1, \sigma(\gamma^{-1})\pi\pi') \\ &= [\sigma](\gamma, \sigma(\gamma))(1, \sigma(\gamma^{-1})\pi\pi') = [\sigma](\gamma, \pi\pi') = f(\pi) \cdot (\gamma, \pi'). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.**  $\mathcal{P}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *For a homomorphism  $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ , the character  $\chi$  of the double action module  $M(\alpha)$  is in  $\mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$  if and only if there exist group homomorphisms  $\sigma_i \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and an element  $u \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q}\Pi)$  such that  $u\alpha(\gamma)u^{-1} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1(\gamma), \dots, \sigma_n(\gamma))$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\chi$  is in  $\mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ . By Lemma 2.1 there are homomorphisms  $\sigma_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \Pi$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that there is a  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -isomorphism

$$f : \mathbf{Q} \otimes M(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M(\sigma_i) \right).$$

Comparing dimensions over  $\mathbf{Q}$  gives  $k = n$ . Let  $\{e_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  be the standard basis of the  $\mathbf{Q}\Pi$ -column vectors  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes M(\alpha)$ , and write elements of  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes M(\sigma_i)$  as  $\langle x \rangle_i$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{Q}\Pi$ . Then  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M(\sigma_i) \right)$  has  $\mathbf{Q}N$ -basis  $\{\langle 1 \rangle_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and, since  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}N$ -homomorphism, we have

$$f(e_j) = \sum_i \langle u_{ij} \rangle_i \quad \text{where } u \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q}\Pi).$$

Act by  $(\gamma^{-1}, 1)$ , giving

$$f(e_j)(\gamma^{-1}, 1) = \sum_i \langle u_{ij} \rangle_i (\gamma^{-1}, 1) = \sum_i \langle \sigma_i(\gamma) u_{ij} \rangle_i.$$

Since  $f(e_j)(\gamma^{-1}, 1) = f(e_j(\gamma^{-1}, 1))$ , this equals

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha(\gamma)e_j) &= f\left(\sum_k e_k \alpha(\gamma)_{kj}\right) = \sum_k f(e_k)(1, \alpha(\gamma)_{kj}) \\ &= \sum_{k,i} \langle u_{ik} \rangle_i (1, \alpha(\gamma)_{kj}) = \sum_i \left\langle \sum_k u_{ik} \alpha(\gamma)_{kj} \right\rangle_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for all  $i, j$  we have

$$\sigma_i(\gamma) u_{ij} = \sum_k u_{ik} \alpha(\gamma)_{kj} \quad \text{so, as matrix equation,} \quad \text{diag}(\sigma_i(\gamma)) u = u \alpha(\gamma).$$

This implies that  $u \alpha(\gamma) u^{-1} = \text{diag}(\sigma_i(\gamma))$ .

For the converse, given  $u \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q}\Pi)$  such that  $u \alpha(\gamma) u^{-1} = \text{diag}(\sigma_i(\gamma))$ , define

$$f : \mathbf{Q} \otimes M(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \otimes (\oplus_i M(\sigma_i))$$

by  $f(e_j) = \sum_i \langle u_{ij} \rangle_i$ . It follows as above that  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -isomorphism. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\Pi'$  is a subgroup of  $\Pi$ , and set  $G' = \Gamma \times \Pi'$ ,  $N' = 1 \times \Pi'$ . Then  $\text{ind}_{G'}^G \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi') \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $G = NG'$  and  $N \cap G' = N'$ . Let  $\chi' \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi')$  be the character of a  $\mathbf{Z}G'$ -lattice  $M'$  which satisfies (a) and (b) for  $G', N'$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{D}$  in §1. We must check that  $M = \text{ind}_{G'}^G M'$  satisfies (a) and (b) for  $G, N$ .

For (a) identify  $G/N$  and  $G'/N'$  with  $\Gamma$ ; there are  $\Gamma$ -isomorphisms

$$M^N \cong M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}G} \mathbf{Z}\Gamma \cong M' \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}G'} \mathbf{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}G} \mathbf{Z}\Gamma \cong M'^{N'},$$

so  $\Gamma$  acts trivially.

For (b), use Mackey decomposition to get

$$\text{res}_N^G M \cong \text{res}_N^G \text{ind}_{G'}^G M' \cong \text{ind}_{N'}^N \text{res}_{N'}^{G'} M',$$

because  $G = NG'$  and  $N \cap G' = N'$ . □

**Lemma 2.5.**  *$r\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$  for some positive integer  $r$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, we have  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$ . We will find a positive integer  $r$  so that for any locally free  $\mathbf{Z}N$ -lattice  $X$ ,  $X^r$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}N$ -lattice. Let  $e$  be the exponent of the locally free class group of  $\mathbf{Z}N$ , as defined in [CR, 49.10]. Then  $Y = X^e$  is stably free. From the Bass Cancellation Theorem [CR, 41.20]  $Y \oplus Y$  is free, so  $X^{2e}$  is free, and the lemma is proved, with  $r = 2e$ . □

To proceed further, we will apply the  $p$ -group results of [WAn]. In order to do this for all primes dividing  $|\Pi|$ , we will assume that  $\Pi$  is nilpotent. We next show that under this assumption,  $\alpha(\Gamma)$  is also nilpotent.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that  $\Pi$  is nilpotent; let  $\phi_p$  denote the natural map*

$$\phi_p : GL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{Z}[\Pi/\Pi_p]).$$

*Let  $H$  be a finite subgroup of  $SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ . Then the following hold:*

1.  $\ker \phi_p \cap H$  is a  $p$ -group.

2. If  $x \in SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$  has prime order  $r$ , then  $r$  divides  $|\Pi|$ .
3.  $\ker \phi_p \cap H$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ .

*Proof.* 1. Suppose that  $x \in \ker \phi_p \cap H$ . Then  $x = 1 + \delta$ , where all the entries of  $\delta$  are in  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi\Delta(\Pi_p)$ , where  $\Delta(\Pi_p)$  is the augmentation ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi_p$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi\Delta(\Pi_p)$  is a nilpotent ideal mod  $p$ , it follows that for a suitable positive integer  $m$  we have  $x^{p^m} = 1 + py$ , for some element  $y$  of the matrix ring  $M_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ . Then, raising to  $p$ -powers, we get

$$x^{p^{m+i}} = 1 + p^i y_i, \quad y_i \in M_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi).$$

Now  $x$  has finite order, since it is in the finite group  $H$ ; so there are only finitely many possible values of  $x^{p^{m+i}}$  as  $i$  varies. Then there is a subsequence of integers  $i$  for which  $x^{p^{m+i}}$  is constant, say  $z$ . We see from the last equation that  $z - 1$  has coefficients divisible by arbitrarily high powers of  $p$ . This forces  $z = 1$ , and  $x$  has  $p$ -power order.

2. Use induction on  $\Pi$ . If  $|\Pi| = 1$ , then  $|SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)| = 1$ . Suppose that  $|\Pi| > 1$ . Let  $p$  be a prime dividing  $|\Pi|$ . If  $x \in \ker \phi_p$ , then  $x$  has order  $p$  by 1, and  $r = p$ . If  $x \notin \ker \phi_p$ , then  $\phi_p(x) \in SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}[\Pi/\Pi_p])$  has order  $r$  dividing  $|\Pi/\Pi_p|$ , by induction.

3. Let  $\Phi_p = \ker \phi_p \cap H$ . From 1,  $\Phi_p$  is a  $p$ -group. Suppose that  $y$  is an element of  $p$ -power order in  $H$ . From 2,  $SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}[\Pi/\Pi_p])$  has no element of order  $p$ ; therefore  $y \in \ker \phi_p$ . So  $\Phi_p$  contains all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $H$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *If  $\Pi$  is nilpotent then  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi) = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{\text{nil}}, \Pi)$ , where  $\Gamma_{\text{nil}}$  is the largest nilpotent quotient of  $\Gamma$ . The same holds for  $\mathcal{D}'$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  is the character of  $M(\alpha)$  then  $\alpha(\Gamma)$  is nilpotent by Lemma 2.6, since each Sylow subgroup is normal. Thus  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{\text{nil}}, \Pi)$ . The same assertion for  $\mathcal{D}'$  follows from Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

### 3. CHARACTER-THEORETIC DESCRIPTION OF $\mathcal{D}'$

For the rest of the paper, we assume that  $\Pi$  is nilpotent; then by Corollary 2.7, it is no loss of generality to assume that  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent. Thus we shall always assume that  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent.

In this section we give a character-theoretic description of  $\mathcal{D}'(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\chi \in R(G) = R(G_{p'}) \otimes R(G_p)$  be written uniquely in the form*

$$\chi = \sum_{\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{p'})} \eta \otimes \lambda_\eta, \quad \lambda_\eta \in R(G_p).$$

*Then  $\chi \in \mathcal{Q}_p^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$  if and only if  $\lambda_\eta \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$  for all  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R(G_{p'})$  has  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis the irreducible complex characters  $\text{irr}(G_{p'})$ , and  $R^+(G_{p'})$  is the non-negative linear combinations of  $\text{irr}(G_{p'})$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p \subseteq \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\chi \in \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p$ . Let  $B_p$  be a maximal linearly independent subset of  $\{\text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1 : \sigma_p \in \text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)\}$ ; extend this to a basis  $\widehat{B}_p$  of  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes R(G_p)$ . Then  $\bigotimes_p \widehat{B}_p$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of  $\bigotimes_p (\mathbf{Q} \otimes R(G_p)) = \mathbf{Q} \otimes R(G)$ , so we can write  $\chi$  uniquely as a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear combination in this basis. For a fixed  $p$ ,  $\chi$  is a linear combination of elements in

$(\bigotimes_{l \neq p} \widehat{B}_l) \otimes B_p$ . Varying over  $p$  shows that  $\chi$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear combination of elements of  $\bigotimes B_p$ . A collection  $\{\sigma_p : \Gamma_p \rightarrow \Pi_p\}$ , one for each prime, corresponds to a single homomorphism  $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Pi$ , whose restriction to  $\Gamma_p$  is  $\sigma_p$ . So  $\chi \in \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $\Pi$  is nilpotent. Then  $\mathcal{D}' = \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ . Suppose that  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}'$ , and let  $M$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice affording  $\chi$ . Fix a prime  $p$  dividing  $|\Pi|$ . We want to apply Theorem 2 of [WAN] to the  $\mathbf{Z}_p G_p$ -module  $M_p = \text{res}_{G_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M)$ , relative to the normal subgroup  $N_p = 1 \times \Pi_p$  of  $G_p$ . We need to verify that

- (a) the  $N_p$ -fixed points  $M_p^{N_p}$  have trivial  $G_p/N_p$ -action, and
- (b)  $\text{res}_{N_p} M_p$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}_p N_p$ -module.

Now (b) holds because  $\text{res}_N M$  is locally free. To prove (a), it is no loss to replace  $M$  by a direct sum of copies of  $M$ , and by Lemma 2.5, we may assume that  $\text{res}_N M$  is free. Then  $M \cong M(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ ; hence  $M^{N_p} \cong M(\phi_p \alpha)$ , where  $\phi_p$  is as in Lemma 2.6. Now  $\Gamma_p$  is in the kernel of  $\phi_p \alpha$  by Lemma 2.6, so  $G_p/N_p$  acts trivially on  $M^{N_p}$ .

From Theorem 2 of [WAN],  $M_p$  is a permutation  $\mathbf{Z}_p G_p$ -lattice; moreover, the permuted basis is a disjoint union of orbits whose point stabilizers are of the form  $[\sigma_p] = \{(\gamma, \sigma_p(\gamma)) : \gamma \in \Gamma_p\}$ , where  $\sigma_p \in \text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$  is a summand of  $\text{ind}_{G_p}^{G_p} M_p$ , then  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$  is a summand of a permutation  $\mathbf{Z}_p G$ -lattice.

Let  $L$  be an indecomposable summand of  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$ . Denote its vertex by  $1 \times D \subseteq G_{p'} \times G_p$ ; then  $L$  is isomorphic to a summand of  $\text{ind}_{1 \times D}^{G_{p'} \times G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p \cong \mathbf{Z}_p G_{p'} \otimes \text{ind}_D^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Write  $\mathbf{Z}_p G_{p'} = \bigoplus X_i$  as a direct sum of (projective) indecomposables. Then  $L$  is a summand of  $X_i \otimes \text{ind}_D^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p$  for some  $i$ . We claim that  $Y = X_i \otimes \text{ind}_D^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p$  is indecomposable. The  $G_p$ -fixed points of  $Y$  are  $X_i \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p \cong X_i$ , which is irreducible mod  $p$  as an  $\mathbf{F}_p G_{p'}$ -module, since  $p$  does not divide  $|G_{p'}|$ . If  $Y$  were decomposable, it would be decomposable mod  $p$ , say as  $Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ , where  $Z_1, Z_2$  are nonzero  $\mathbf{F}_p G$ -modules. The  $G_p$ -fixed points of each of  $Z_1, Z_2$  are non-zero, since  $G_p$  is a  $p$ -group. This contradicts the irreducibility of  $(Y/pY)^{G_p}$  as  $\mathbf{F}_p G_{p'}$ -module. So  $Y$  is indeed indecomposable, and therefore  $L$ , which is a summand of  $Y$ , is  $Y$  itself. Thus  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$  is isomorphic to a sum of modules of the form  $X \otimes \text{ind}_D^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p$ , where  $X$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p G_{p'}$ -module. Since each  $D$  is of the form  $[\sigma_p]$ , it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma_p \in \text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)} X_{\sigma_p} \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p,$$

where each  $X_{\sigma_p}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p G_{p'}$ -module. Let  $\xi_{\sigma_p}$  be the character of  $X_{\sigma_p}$ . Then  $\chi$  has the form

$$\chi(g) = \sum_{\sigma_p} \xi_{\sigma_p}(g_{p'}) \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1(g_p).$$

In other words, writing  $R(G) = R(G_{p'}) \otimes R(G_p)$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \chi = \sum_{\sigma_p \in \Sigma_p} \xi_{\sigma_p} \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1, \quad \xi_{\sigma_p} \in R^+(G_{p'}).$$

Write  $\xi_{\sigma_p}$  as a sum of irreducible characters of  $G_{p'}$ :

$$(3.3) \quad \xi_{\sigma_p} = \sum_{\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{p'})} b(\sigma_p, \eta) \eta, \quad \text{with unique integers } b(\sigma_p, \eta) \geq 0.$$

Changing the order of summation, we have  $\chi = \sum_{\eta} \eta \otimes \lambda_{\eta}$ , where

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_{\eta} = \sum_{\sigma_p} b(\sigma_p, \eta) \operatorname{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1 \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $\chi \in \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ .

Now suppose that  $\chi \in \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ ; we will show that  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}'$ . From Lemma 3.2,  $\chi \in \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ ; since characters in  $\mathcal{P}$  are characters of permutation modules, we see that  $\chi$  is rational valued.

Let  $p$  be a prime dividing  $|G|$ . Since  $\chi$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}_p^+$ , write  $\chi$  as

$$\chi = \sum_{\eta \in \operatorname{irr}(G_{p'})} \eta \otimes \lambda_{\eta}, \quad \lambda_{\eta} \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p).$$

Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $|G|$ -th root of unity; let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the Galois group of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}_p$  denote the Galois group of  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is the  $p$ -adic rationals. Since  $\chi$  and  $\lambda_{\eta}$  are rational valued, we have

$$\chi = \chi^{\omega} = \sum_{\eta} \eta^{\omega} \otimes \lambda_{\eta}, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{G}_p.$$

By uniqueness of the representation  $\chi = \sum_{\eta} \eta \otimes \lambda_{\eta}$ , it follows that  $\lambda_{\eta} = \lambda_{\eta^{\omega}}$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}_p$ . Partition  $\operatorname{irr}(G_{p'})$  into  $\mathcal{G}_p$ -orbits. For an orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\lambda_{\eta}$  is the same for all  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ ; call this common value  $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Let  $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}$  denote  $\sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}} \eta$ , which takes values in  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Then

$$(3.5) \quad \chi = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}} \eta \otimes \lambda_{\eta} = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}} \eta \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \tau_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

We have each  $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$ , so we may choose non-negative integers  $b(\sigma_p, \mathcal{O})$  such that  $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{\sigma_p} b(\sigma_p, \mathcal{O}) \operatorname{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1$ . Let

$$(3.6) \quad \xi_{\sigma_p} = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} b(\sigma_p, \mathcal{O}) \tau_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Then, changing the order of summation, we have

$$\chi = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \tau_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{\sigma_p} \tau_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes b(\sigma_p, \mathcal{O}) \operatorname{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1 = \sum_{\sigma_p} \xi_{\sigma_p} \otimes \operatorname{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1.$$

Now  $\xi_{\sigma_p}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of  $\{\tau_{\mathcal{O}}\}$ , and each  $\tau_{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}} \eta$ . Fix  $\eta \in \operatorname{irr}(G_{p'})$  in an orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since the order of  $G_{p'}$  is not divisible by  $p$ , the Schur index of  $\eta$  over the field  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\eta)$  is 1, by [F, IV.9.5]. Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p(\eta)$  and let  $X$  be a  $KG_{p'}$ -module affording  $\eta$ . By restriction of scalars,  $X$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}_p G_{p'}$ -module whose character is the sum of all the algebraic conjugates of  $\eta$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , namely  $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Thus  $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}$  is the character of a  $\mathbf{Q}_p G_{p'}$ -module; hence so is  $\xi_{\sigma_p}$ . Choose a  $\mathbf{Z}_p G_{p'}$ -lattice  $L_{\sigma_p}$  in this module, so  $\xi_{\sigma_p}$  is afforded by  $L_{\sigma_p}$ .

Next, define the  $\mathbf{Z}_p G$ -lattice  $M(p)$  by

$$(3.7) \quad M(p) = \bigoplus_{\sigma_p} L_{\sigma_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \operatorname{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

We claim that

- (a) the  $N$ -fixed points  $M(p)^N$  have trivial  $G/N$ -action, and
- (b)  $\operatorname{res}_N M(p)$  is  $\mathbf{Z}_p N$ -free.

To prove (a), note that  $M(p)$  has character  $\chi$  which is in  $\bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ ; by Lemma 3.2,  $\chi \in \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ . Since the  $N$ -fixed points of  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G \mathbf{Z}$  have trivial  $G/N$ -action, (a) holds.

We now prove (b). Since  $G_p = N_p[\sigma_p]$  and  $N_p \cap [\sigma_p] = 1$ , it follows that  $\text{res}_{N_p} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p \cong \mathbf{Z}_p N_p$  by Mackey decomposition. Since

$$\text{res}_N M(p) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma_p} (\text{res}_{N_{p'}} L_{\sigma_p}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p N_p \cong \text{res}_{N_{p'}} \left( \bigoplus_{\sigma_p} L_{\sigma_p} \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p N_p,$$

it suffices to show that  $\text{res}_{N_{p'}} \left( \bigoplus_{\sigma_p} L_{\sigma_p} \right)$  is free over  $\mathbf{Z}_p N_{p'}$ . Since  $p$  does not divide  $|N_{p'}|$ , we need only show that the character  $\chi'_p$  of  $\bigoplus_{\sigma_p} L_{\sigma_p}$  has the property that  $\text{res}_{N_{p'}} \chi'_p$  is a multiple of the character  $\rho(N_{p'})$  of the regular representation of  $N_{p'}$ . Since  $\chi = \sum_{\sigma_p} \xi_{\sigma_p} \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1$  relative to  $R(G_{p'}) \otimes R(G_p)$  and since the degree of each  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1$  is  $|G_p : [\sigma_p]| = |\Pi_p|$ , then  $\text{res}_{G_{p'}} \chi = |\Pi_p| \chi'_p$ ; hence  $\text{res}_{N_{p'}} \chi'_p$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -multiple of  $\text{res}_{N_{p'}} \chi$ . Let  $y$  be a non-identity element of  $N_{p'}$ . Then  $y$  has the form  $(1, \pi)$ , so no conjugate of  $y$  lies in  $[\sigma_p]$ . Since  $\chi \in \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$  by Lemma 3.2,  $\chi$  and therefore  $\chi'_p$  both vanish on  $y$ . It follows that  $\text{res}_{N_{p'}} \chi'_p$  is a multiple of  $\rho(N_{p'})$ , as desired. This proves (b).

We next show that  $\chi$  is afforded by a  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module. As in equation (3.5), we have

$$\chi = \sum_{\mathcal{O}'} \tau_{\mathcal{O}'} \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{O}'},$$

where  $\tau_{\mathcal{O}'}$  is the orbit sum over an orbit of  $\mathcal{G}$  acting on  $\text{irr}(G_{p'})$ , and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}'}$  is the common value of  $\lambda_\eta$  for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{O}'$ . Let  $R_{\mathbf{Q}}^+(G)$  be the characters afforded by  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -modules.

Since  $\tau_{\mathcal{O}'}$  is a rational valued character of  $G_{p'}$ , then  $|G_{p'}| \tau_{\mathcal{O}'} \in R_{\mathbf{Q}}^+(G_{p'})$ ; since  $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}'}$  is the character of a permutation module, then  $|G_{p'}| \chi \in R_{\mathbf{Q}}^+(G)$ . Varying over  $p$ , since the greatest common divisor of the  $|G_{p'}|$  is 1, it follows that  $\chi \in R_{\mathbf{Q}}^+(G)$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module affording  $\chi$ . For each prime  $p$  we have an isomorphism

$$\phi_p : \mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} M(p).$$

For each  $p$  let

$$V(p) = \{v \in V : \phi_p(1 \otimes v) \in 1 \otimes M(p)\}.$$

Then let  $M = \bigcap_p V(p)$ . From [R, 5.3] we see that  $M$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice such that  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M \cong M(p)$ . Then  $M$  affords  $\chi$ , the fixed points  $M^N$  have trivial  $G/N$ -action, and  $\text{res}_N(\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M) \cong \text{res}_N M(p)$ , so  $\text{res}_N M$  is locally free. Therefore  $\chi$  is in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

For later use, we record the following result, which is proved in the second paragraph of the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $M$  satisfies (a) and (b'), then for each prime  $p$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$  is a summand of a permutation lattice for  $\mathbf{Z}_p G$ .*

#### 4. THE LATTICE SPANNED BY $\mathcal{D}'$

In this section we show that the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -span  $\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{D}'$  of  $\mathcal{D}'$  is equal to  $\bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p$ . We also show that  $\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{D}'$  is equal to  $\mathcal{P}$ , if  $\Gamma$  is cyclic. We do not know if this result holds for arbitrary  $\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *The  $\mathbf{Z}$ -span of  $\mathcal{D}'$  is equal to  $\bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p.$$

For the reverse inclusion, suppose that  $\chi \in \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p$ . For a fixed  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ , write

$$(4.1) \quad \chi = \sum_{\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{p'})} \eta \otimes \lambda_\eta \quad \text{for unique } \lambda_\eta \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p).$$

By Lemma 3.2,  $\chi \in \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ . For a given  $\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{p'})$ , suppose that

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \eta, \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \rangle_{G_{p'}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \sigma_{p'} \in \text{hom}(\Gamma_{p'}, \Pi_{p'}).$$

Then  $\eta$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma_{p'}, \Pi_{p'})$ , and hence

$$0 = \langle \chi, \eta \otimes \lambda_\eta \rangle_G = \langle \eta \otimes \lambda_\eta, \eta \otimes \lambda_\eta \rangle_G = \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_{G_{p'}} \langle \lambda_\eta, \lambda_\eta \rangle_{G_p}.$$

It follows that  $\lambda_\eta = 0$ . Thus in equation (4.1), we need only sum over  $\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{p'})$  for which (4.2) does not hold. For such an  $\eta$ , if  $\langle \eta, \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \rangle_{G_{p'}} \neq 0$ , decompose  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1$ , giving

$$(4.3) \quad -\eta = \sum \tilde{\eta} - \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1$$

for some  $\tilde{\eta} \in \text{irr}(G_{p'})$ . Write  $\lambda_\eta = \lambda'_\eta - \lambda''_\eta$  with  $\lambda'_\eta, \lambda''_\eta \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$ ; we get

$$\chi = \sum \eta \otimes \lambda'_\eta + \sum (-\eta) \otimes \lambda''_\eta.$$

In this equation, replace  $-\eta$  using equation (4.3). We get  $\chi = \chi'_p - \xi_p$  with  $\chi'_p \in \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ ,  $\xi_p \in \mathcal{P}^+$ . Let  $\xi = \sum_{p||G|} \xi_p \in \mathcal{P}^+$ ; then  $\chi + \xi = \chi'_p + \sum_{l \neq p} \xi_l$ , which is in  $\mathcal{Q}_p^+$  for all  $p$ . Thus

$$\chi = (\chi + \xi) - \xi$$

with  $\chi + \xi \in \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{P}^+ \subseteq \bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ . Since  $\bigcap_p \mathcal{Q}_p^+ = \mathcal{D}'$  by Theorem 3.3, the result is proved.  $\square$

We next show that  $\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{P}$  if  $\Gamma$  is cyclic. Let  $\Sigma$  be a complete set of homomorphisms from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Pi$  up to conjugacy in  $\Pi$ . Our proof that  $\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{P}$  for cyclic  $\Gamma$  uses the next result, that  $\{\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbf{Q}$  if  $\Gamma$  is cyclic. This lemma can fail if  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic, but it is possible that  $\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{P}$  can be proved by some other method in the non-cyclic case.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The set  $\{\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$  if  $\Gamma$  is cyclic.*

*Proof.* For  $g = (\gamma, \pi) \in G$  and  $\sigma \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)$ , we have

$$\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1(g) = \begin{cases} |C_\Pi(\pi)|, & \sigma(\gamma) \sim \pi, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\sim$  denotes conjugacy in  $\Pi$ . If  $\tau \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  then  $\tau$  is conjugate to some  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . From the formula for  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1(g)$  above, then  $\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^G 1 = \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1$ , so  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$  is spanned by  $\{\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ .

Suppose that  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} a_\sigma \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 = 0$  with  $a_\sigma \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Given  $\tau \in \Sigma$ , let  $\gamma$  be a generator of  $\Gamma$ , and evaluate at  $(\gamma, \tau(\gamma))$ . We get

$$\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1(\gamma, \tau(\gamma)) = \begin{cases} |C_\Pi(\tau(\gamma))|, & \sigma(\gamma) \sim \tau(\gamma), \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are distinct elements of  $\Sigma$ , then  $\tau(\gamma)$  and  $\sigma(\gamma)$  are not conjugate in  $\Pi$ , so  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1(\gamma, \tau(\gamma)) = 0$ . It follows that  $a_\tau = 0$ , for all  $\tau$  in  $\Sigma$ , and the result is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *The  $\mathbf{Z}$ -span of  $\mathcal{D}'$  is equal to  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $\Gamma$  is cyclic.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{P}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$  it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . Suppose that  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}'$ . Then  $\chi \in \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}$  from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.2. Then  $\chi = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} a_\sigma \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1$ , where  $a_\sigma \in \mathbf{Q}$ , and by Lemma 4.2, the  $a_\sigma \in \mathbf{Q}$  are unique. We must show that each  $a_\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Since  $G$  is nilpotent, we pick  $\Sigma$  by picking complete sets  $\Sigma_p \subseteq \text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$  up to conjugacy in  $\Pi_p$ , and then letting  $\Sigma$  be those homomorphisms whose restrictions to  $\Gamma_p$  are in  $\Sigma_p$ .

Fix a prime  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ . From Theorem 3.3,  $\chi \in \mathcal{Q}_p(\Gamma, \Pi) = R(G_{p'}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$ . For  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , we have  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 = \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1$ . Then

$$\chi = \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_p} \left( \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma \\ \sigma_p = \tau}} a_\sigma \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \right) \otimes \text{ind}_{[\tau]}^{G_p} 1.$$

But  $\{\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^{G_p} 1\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$ , from Lemma 4.2, so it follows that

$$\phi_\tau = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma \\ \sigma_p = \tau}} a_\sigma \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \in R(G_{p'})$$

for all  $\tau \in \Sigma_p$ . Let  $\gamma_{p'}$  be a generator of  $\Gamma_{p'}$ . Evaluate  $\phi_\tau$  at  $(\gamma_{p'}, \sigma_{p'}(\gamma_{p'}))$ . For the unique  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  whose restriction to  $\Gamma_p$  is  $\tau$  and whose restriction to  $\Gamma_{p'}$  is  $\sigma_{p'}$ , we get, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1,

$$|C_{\Pi_{p'}}(\sigma_{p'}(\gamma_{p'}))| a_\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}$$

since the values of  $\phi_\tau$  are algebraic integers in  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Thus

$$|\Pi_{p'}| a_\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in \Sigma.$$

This holds for all  $p$ . Since the greatest common divisor of  $|\Pi_{p'}|$  is 1, it follows that  $a_\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Here is an example showing that Lemma 4.2 can fail if  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic. Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$  each be the direct product  $C_p \times C_p$  of cyclic groups of prime order. Consider the  $p^4 \times p^4$  matrix whose rows are indexed by  $\Sigma = \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  and columns by  $G = \Gamma \times \Pi$ , with  $(\sigma, g)$ -entry given by  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1(g)$ . Since  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1(1, x) = 0$  if  $x$  is a nontrivial element of  $\Pi$ , then there is a column of zeros, and so the rows are linearly dependent.

5. EXAMPLES OF  $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathcal{P}^+$ 

In this section we produce examples of groups  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Pi$  and modules  $M$  having character which is in  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  but not in  $\mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *For distinct primes  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , let  $\Gamma = C_{p_1 p_2}$ , the cyclic group of order  $p_1 p_2$ , and let  $\Pi = C_{p_1 p_2} \times C_{p_1 p_2}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi) \neq \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .*

*Proof.* As before, let  $G = \Gamma \times \Pi$ ,  $N = 1 \times \Pi$ . Choose sets  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$  of homomorphisms  $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Pi$  whose images  $\sigma(\Gamma)$  are precisely the subgroups of  $\Pi$  of order  $p_1$ , respectively,  $p_2$ . Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ . Let  $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow \Pi$  be the trivial map. The module  $M$  we will construct has character

$$\chi = -\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^G 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1.$$

It will follow from our construction that  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a generator of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $g = (\gamma, 1) \in G$ . Then  $(\gamma, 1) \in [\tau]$ , so  $\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^G 1(g) = |\Pi|$ , but  $(\gamma, 1) \notin [\sigma]$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , so  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1(g) = 0$ . Hence  $\chi(g) < 0$  and  $\chi$  is not the character of a permutation module, so  $\chi \notin \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .

For  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $M(\sigma)$  is the corresponding double-action module. Define

$$M(\Sigma) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M(\sigma).$$

For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , let  $s(\sigma) = \sum_{x \in \sigma(\Gamma)} x \in \mathbf{Z}\Pi$ , and define the map

$$f_\sigma : M(\sigma) \rightarrow M(\tau), \quad f_\sigma(m) = s(\sigma)m, \quad m \in M(\sigma).$$

Define  $f : M(\Sigma) \rightarrow M(\tau)$  by  $f = \sum_{\sigma} f_\sigma$ . The key to the proof is the claim that  $f$  is an epimorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -modules.

We now prove that the claim holds. Since  $s(\sigma)\sigma(\gamma^{-1}) = s(\sigma) = \sigma(\gamma^{-1})s(\sigma)$ , then each  $f_\sigma$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -homomorphism, and so is  $f$ . To prove that  $f$  is surjective, it suffices to find  $v \in M(\Sigma)$  such that  $f(v) = 1 \in M(\tau)$ . Pick two distinct elements  $\phi_i, \psi_i$  from  $\Sigma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Find integers  $n_1, n_2$  such that  $n_1 p_1 + n_2 p_2 = 1$ . Define  $v = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} v_\sigma$  with  $v_\sigma \in M(\sigma)$  given by

$$v_\sigma = \begin{cases} n_i \cdot 1, & \sigma \in \Sigma_i, \quad \sigma \neq \phi_i, \\ n_i(1 - s(\psi_i)), & \sigma = \phi_i. \end{cases}$$

To compute  $f(v)$ ,

$$f(v) = n_1 \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_1 \\ \sigma \neq \phi_1}} s(\sigma) + n_1 s(\phi_1)(1 - s(\psi_1)) + n_2 \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_2 \\ \sigma \neq \phi_2}} s(\sigma) + n_2 s(\phi_2)(1 - s(\psi_2)).$$

Since

$$s(\sigma)s(\tilde{\sigma}) = \widehat{\Pi}_{p_i}, \quad \sigma, \tilde{\sigma} \in \Sigma_i, \sigma \neq \tilde{\sigma},$$

we get

$$f(v) = n_1 \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1} s(\sigma) - \widehat{\Pi}_{p_1} \right) + n_2 \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_2} s(\sigma) - \widehat{\Pi}_{p_2} \right).$$

In the sum  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_i} s(\sigma) \in \mathbf{Z}\Pi_{p_i}$ , non-identity elements  $y \in \Pi_{p_i}$  occur exactly once, whereas 1 occurs  $p_i + 1$  times and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_i} s(\sigma) = p_i \cdot 1 + \widehat{\Pi}_{p_i}.$$

We obtain

$$f(v) = n_1 p_1 \cdot 1 + n_2 p_2 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

Therefore  $f$  is indeed a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -epimorphism.

Now define  $M$  to be the kernel of  $f : M(\Sigma) \rightarrow M(\tau)$ , so we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{f} M(\tau) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{res}_N M(\sigma)$  is free for all  $\sigma$ , this sequence splits when restricted to  $N$ ; hence  $\text{res}_N M$  is stably free. Since  $\mathbf{Z}N$  satisfies the Eichler condition, it follows that  $\text{res}_N M$  is  $\mathbf{Z}N$ -free by Jacobinski's Cancellation Theorem [CR, 51.24]. (In fact, it can be shown directly that  $\text{res}_N M$  is  $\mathbf{Z}N$ -free by exhibiting a basis; this is done in a special case below.) Since  $G/N$  acts trivially on  $M(\Sigma)^N$ , it does so on  $M^N$ . The character  $\chi$  of  $M$  is therefore in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and it follows from the exact sequence that  $\chi = -\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^G 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

From Proposition 2.4, there exists  $U \in SGL_{p_1 p_2}(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$  with  $U^{p_1 p_2} = 1$  and  $U$  not conjugate in  $GL(\mathbf{Q}\Pi)$  to a diagonal matrix of group elements. We can exhibit such a matrix  $U$  by computing the action of a generator of  $\Gamma$  on an explicit  $\mathbf{Z}N$ -basis of the free module  $\text{res}_N M$ . To simplify the exposition, we assume that

$$p_1 = 2, \quad p_2 = 3.$$

Then pick

$$n_1 = -1, \quad n_2 = 1.$$

Write  $\Gamma = \langle c \rangle$  of order 6,  $\Pi = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  each have order 6. Let

$$\Sigma_1 = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}, \quad \sigma_1(c) = a^3, \sigma_2(c) = b^3, \sigma_3(c) = a^3 b^3,$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \{\sigma_4, \sigma_5, \sigma_6, \sigma_7\}, \quad \sigma_4(c) = a^2, \sigma_5(c) = b^2, \sigma_6(c) = a^2 b^2, \sigma_7(c) = a^2 b^4.$$

Pick

$$\psi_1 = \sigma_1, \quad \phi_1 = \sigma_3, \quad \psi_2 = \sigma_4, \quad \phi_2 = \sigma_7.$$

Define

$$s_i = s(\sigma_i), \quad c_i = \sigma_i(c).$$

Identify  $M(\Sigma)$  with  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$  and  $M(\tau)$  with  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$ . Then our map  $f$  takes  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$  to  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$ , given by

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_7)^T = \sum_{i=1}^7 s_i z_i.$$

The  $G$ -action on  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$  becomes

$$(z_1, \dots, z_7)^T(x, y) = (\sigma_1(x^{-1})z_1 y \cdots, \sigma_7(x^{-1})z_7 y)^T.$$

(Transposes are used because  $M(\Sigma)$  is a right  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$ -module and endomorphisms are matrices over  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$  acting on the left.) In this notation the element  $v$  in the proof of Lemma 5.1 is

$$v = (-1, -1, s_1 - 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 - s_4)^T.$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_7\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$ . Extend the “unimodular column”  $v$  to a  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$ -basis  $\{v, e_2, \dots, e_7\}$  of  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$ . Then do “elementary operations” by setting

$$m_i = e_{i+1} - vf(e_{i+1}) = e_{i+1} - vs_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 6,$$

and then  $\{v, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_6\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$ -basis of  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$ . The  $m_i$  are in  $M$  by construction, so  $\{m_1, \dots, m_6\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$ -basis of  $M$ .

We want the action of a generator of  $\Gamma$  on  $M$  in this basis. The matrix of  $(c^{-1}, 1)$  in the standard basis of  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$  is  $D = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_7)$ . So we need only see the effect of two changes of basis. Set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & & & & \\ s_1 - 1 & & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & & & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & & & 1 & \\ 1 - s_4 & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -s_2 & -s_3 & -s_4 & -s_5 & -s_6 & -s_7 \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The action of  $(c^{-1}, 1)$  in the basis  $\{v, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_6\}$  is given by the  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi$ -matrix  $X = B^{-1}A^{-1}DAB$ . Since  $M$  is a  $G$ -submodule of  $\mathbf{Z}\Pi^7$ , this matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & & & & & & \\ * & & & & & & \\ * & & U & & & & \\ * & & & & & & \\ * & & & & & & \\ * & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $U \in GL_6(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ , and it is this  $U$  that we want. Using  $c_i s_i = s_i$ , and denoting  $c_{ij} = c_i - c_j$ ,  $s_1^* = s_1 - 1$ ,  $s_4^* = s_4 - 1$ , we get  $U =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_2 + c_{21}s_2 & c_{21}s_3 & c_{21}s_4 & c_{21}s_5 & c_{21}s_6 & c_{21}s_7 \\ c_{13}s_1^*s_2 & c_3 + c_{13}s_1^*s_3 & c_{13}s_1^*s_4 & c_{13}s_1^*s_5 & c_{13}s_1^*s_6 & c_{13}s_1^*s_7 \\ c_{14}s_2 & c_{14}s_3 & c_4 + c_{14}s_4 & c_{14}s_5 & c_{14}s_6 & c_{14}s_7 \\ c_{15}s_2 & c_{15}s_3 & c_{15}s_4 & c_5 + c_{15}s_5 & c_{15}s_6 & c_{15}s_7 \\ c_{16}s_2 & c_{16}s_3 & c_{16}s_4 & c_{16}s_5 & c_6 + c_{16}s_6 & c_{16}s_7 \\ c_{71}s_4^*s_2 & c_{71}s_4^*s_3 & c_{71}s_4^*s_4 & c_{71}s_4^*s_5 & c_{71}s_4^*s_6 & c_7 + c_{71}s_4^*s_7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that this matrix has trace  $-1 + \sum_{i=1}^7 c_i$ , so it is a counterexample to the strategy of [MRSW]. Actually every  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}' - \mathcal{P}^+$  gives such a counterexample, by Lemma 1 of [WCr] generalized to matrices.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $\Gamma = C_4 \times C_p$  and  $\Pi = Q_8 \times C_p \times C_p$ , where  $Q_8$  is the quaternion group of order 8. Then  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi) \neq \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .*

*Proof.* Pick  $\tau \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  whose image is one of the cyclic subgroups of order 4 of  $Q_8$ . Choose  $\Sigma_2$  to consist of three homomorphisms  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Pi$  whose images are the two other subgroups of order 4 in  $Q_8$  as well as the subgroup of order 2. Choose  $\Sigma_p$  to consist of  $p + 1$  elements of  $\text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  so that  $\text{im } \sigma_p$  are the nontrivial cyclic  $p$ -subgroups and so that  $\sigma_2 = \tau_2$ . Set  $\Sigma = \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_p$ , and

$$\chi' = -\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^G 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1.$$

Relative to  $R(G) = R(G_p) \otimes R(G_2)$ ,

$$\chi' = \left( -\text{ind}_{[1]}^{G_p} 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1 \right) \otimes \text{ind}_{[\tau_2]}^{G_2} 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_2} \text{ind}_{[1]}^{G_p} 1 \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_2]}^{G_2} 1,$$

where the expression in parentheses is in  $R^+(G_p)$ . So  $\chi \in \mathcal{Q}_2^+$ . Relative to  $R(G) = R(G_2) \otimes R(G_p)$  we have

$$\chi' = \left( -\text{ind}_{[\tau_2]}^{G_2} 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_2} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_2]}^{G_2} 1 \right) \otimes \text{ind}_{[1]}^{G_p} 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1 \otimes \text{ind}_{[\tau_2]}^{G_2} 1,$$

where the expression in parentheses is in  $R^+(G_2)$ . Therefore  $\chi \in \mathcal{Q}_p^+$ .

By Theorem 3.3,  $\chi' \in \mathcal{D}'$ ; hence  $\chi = r\chi' \in \mathcal{D}$  by Lemma 2.5. We shall show that  $\chi \notin \mathcal{P}^+$ . By Lemma 4.2, it is enough to check that  $\tau$  is not  $\Pi$ -conjugate to any  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . But the image of  $\tau$ , which is normal in  $\Pi$ , is different from the images of all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . This completes the proof. □

### 6. ON PROBLEM 1

In this section we prove that  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{P}^+$  if  $\Pi$  is nilpotent and  $\Gamma$  has prime-prower order. Since  $\mathcal{P}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$ , then Problem 1 has a positive answer in this case. We also completely deal with Problem 1 if  $\Gamma$  is cyclic.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is an  $l$ -group for some prime  $l$  and that  $\Pi$  is nilpotent. Then  $\mathcal{D}'(\Gamma, \Pi) = \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}'$ . For each prime  $p \neq l$ , use Theorem 3.3 to write  $\chi$  relative to  $R(G_{p'}) \otimes R(G_p)$  as  $\chi = \sum_{\sigma_p} \xi_{\sigma_p} \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1$ . Since  $\Gamma_p = 1$ , the only  $\sigma_p : \Gamma_p \rightarrow \Pi_p$  in this sum is the trivial map; hence  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1$  is the character  $\rho(G_p)$  of the regular representation and  $\chi = \xi_{\sigma_p} \otimes \rho(G_p)$ . In particular,  $\chi$  vanishes off  $G_{p'}$ . Varying  $p \neq l$ , it follows that  $\chi$  vanishes off  $G_l$ .

Define the class function  $\lambda$  on  $G_l$  by  $\lambda(g) = \chi(g)/|G_{l'}|$ . Then relative to  $R(G) = R(G_{l'}) \otimes R(G_l)$  (actually with scalars extended to  $\mathbf{Q}$ ) we have  $\chi = \rho(G_{l'}) \otimes \lambda$ .

At the prime  $l$  write  $\chi = \sum_{\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{l'})} \eta \otimes \chi_\eta$  with  $\chi_\eta \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_l, \Pi_l)$ . Since  $\rho(G_{l'}) = \sum_{\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{l'})} \eta(1)\eta$ , we get

$$\chi = \rho(G_{l'}) \otimes \lambda = \sum_{\eta} \eta \otimes \eta(1)\lambda = \sum_{\eta} \eta \otimes \chi_\eta,$$

so we deduce that  $\chi_\eta = \eta(1)\lambda$  for all  $\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{l'})$ . Take  $\eta$  to be the trivial character; then  $\lambda = \chi_1 \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma_l, \Pi_l)$ , and we can write  $\lambda = \sum_{\sigma_l} a_{\sigma_l} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_l]}^{G_l} 1$ , where each  $a_{\sigma_l}$  is a non-negative integer.

Since  $\rho(G_{l'}) \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_l]}^{G_l} 1 = \text{ind}_{[\sigma_l]}^G 1$ , we have

$$\chi = \rho(G_{l'}) \otimes \lambda = \sum_{\sigma_l} a_{\sigma_l} \rho(G_{l'}) \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_l]}^{G_l} 1 = \sum_{\sigma_l} a_{\sigma_l} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_l]}^G 1.$$

Thus  $\chi \in \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.2.**  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\Pi^n$  (the direct product of  $n$  copies of  $\Pi$ .)

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Gamma \subseteq SGL_n(\mathbf{Z}\Pi)$ . From Corollary 2.7,  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent, so in order to prove that  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\Pi^n$  it suffices to prove that  $\Gamma_l \subseteq \Pi^n$  for each prime  $l$  dividing  $\Gamma$ . Hence we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is an  $l$ -group. Then Theorem 6.1 implies that  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi) = \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ , and then from Proposition 2.3,  $u\Gamma u^{-1} \subseteq \Pi^n$ .

The converse is clear.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** Suppose that  $\Pi$  is nilpotent. Then  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}^+$  for all cyclic  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $\Pi$  has at most one non-cyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Pi$  has at most one non-cyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. We will show that  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{P}^+$ , and therefore that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}^+$ . Fix a prime  $p$ , which exists by hypothesis, such that  $\Pi_{p'}$  is cyclic, and therefore has a faithful character  $\lambda$  of degree 1. Let  $\chi$  be in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Choose  $\Sigma$  as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, namely

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma \in \text{hom}(\Gamma, \Pi) : \sigma_p \in \Sigma_p\},$$

where  $\Sigma_p \subset \text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$  is a complete set of homomorphisms up to conjugacy in  $\Pi_p$ . By Proposition 4.3,  $\chi \in \mathcal{P}$ , and since  $\Gamma$  is cyclic, we may write, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\chi = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} a_{\sigma} \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 \quad \text{for unique } a_{\sigma} \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

We must show that  $a_{\sigma} \geq 0$  for all  $\sigma$ .

Relative to  $R(G) = R(G_{p'}) \otimes R(G_p)$ , we have  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 = \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1$ , giving

$$\chi = \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1.$$

From equation (3.2), we have

$$\chi = \sum_{\sigma_p \in \Sigma_p} \xi_{\sigma_p} \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1, \quad \xi_{\sigma_p} \in R^+(G_{p'}).$$

Comparing these equations, using linear independence of  $\{\text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1 : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  from Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\xi_{\sigma_p} = \sum_{\tau} a_{\tau} \text{ind}_{[\tau_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} 1 \in R^+(G_{p'})$$

where the sum is over  $\tau \in \Sigma$  such that  $\tau_p = \sigma_p$ . Then  $\langle \xi_{\sigma_p}, \eta \rangle_{G_{p'}} \geq 0$  for all irreducible characters  $\eta$  of  $G_{p'} = \Gamma_{p'} \times \Pi_{p'}$ , in particular for  $\eta = \lambda^* \sigma_{p'} \otimes \lambda$ , where  $\lambda^*$  is the contragredient of  $\lambda$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{\sigma_p}, \lambda^* \sigma_{p'} \otimes \lambda \rangle &= \sum_{\tau} a_{\tau} \langle 1, \text{res}_{[\tau_{p'}]}^{G_{p'}} (\lambda^* \sigma_{p'} \otimes \lambda) \rangle_{[\tau_{p'}]} \\ &= \sum_{\tau} a_{\tau} / |\Gamma_{p'}| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{p'}} \lambda(\sigma_{p'} \gamma^{-1}) \lambda(\tau_{p'} \gamma) = \sum_{\tau} a_{\tau} \langle \lambda \sigma_{p'}, \lambda \tau_{p'} \rangle_{\Gamma_{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

and this equals  $a_\sigma$  since  $\lambda_{\sigma_{p'}}$  and  $\lambda_{\tau_{p'}}$  are different irreducible characters of  $\Gamma_{p'}$  unless  $\sigma_{p'} = \tau_{p'}$ , that is,  $\tau = \sigma$ . Thus  $a_\sigma \geq 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\Pi$  has at least 2 non-cyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroups. First suppose that  $\Pi$  has a subgroup of the form  $\Pi' = C_{p_1} \times C_{p_1} \times C_{p_2} \times C_{p_2} \cong C_{p_1 p_2} \times C_{p_1 p_2}$ , where  $p_1, p_2$  are distinct primes. Using the construction in Lemma 5.1 with  $\Gamma = C_{p_1 p_2}$ ,  $G' = \Gamma \times \Pi'$ ,  $N' = 1 \times \Pi'$ , there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}G'$ -lattice  $M'$  satisfying (a) and (b) whose character is  $\chi' = -\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^{G'} 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^{G'} 1$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\chi = \text{ind}_{G'}^G \chi'$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$ . But  $\chi = -\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^G 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^G 1$  is not in  $\mathcal{P}^+$  by Lemma 4.2, since  $\tau = 1$  is not  $\Pi$ -conjugate to an element of  $\Sigma'$ . Thus  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi) \neq \mathcal{P}^+(\Gamma, \Pi)$ .

If  $\Pi$  has at least 2 non-cyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroups but does not have a subgroup isomorphic to  $C_{p_1} \times C_{p_1} \times C_{p_2} \times C_{p_2}$ , where  $p_1, p_2$  are distinct primes, then  $\Pi_2$  is a quaternion group, and  $\Pi$  has a subgroup of the form  $\Pi' = C_p \times C_p \times Q_8$  where  $p$  is an odd prime. Apply the construction of Lemma 5.2, where  $\Gamma = C_p \times C_4 \cong C_{4p}$ ,  $G' = \Gamma \times \Pi'$ ,  $N' = 1 \times \Pi'$ , to get

$$\chi' = r(-\text{ind}_{[\tau]}^{G'} 1 + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \text{ind}_{[\sigma]}^{G'} 1) \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi')$$

As above,  $\chi = \text{ind}_{G'}^G \chi'$  is in  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Pi)$  by Lemma 2.4, but to show that  $\chi \notin \mathcal{P}^+$  we must be careful in our choice of  $\tau$ . Let  $A$  be a cyclic normal subgroup of index 2 in  $\Pi_2$  and choose  $\tau$  whose image is  $A \cap \Pi'$ . Then the construction of Lemma 5.2 applies, since  $\text{im } \tau$ , which is normal in  $\Pi$ , is not  $\Pi$ -conjugate to any  $\text{im } \sigma$  with  $\sigma \in \Sigma'$ . Applying Lemma 4.2 as before completes the proof.  $\square$

7. FINITE GENERATION OF  $\mathcal{D}$

**Theorem 7.1.** *If  $\Pi$  is nilpotent, then  $\mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are finitely generated semigroups.*

*Proof.* Set

$$X = \bigoplus_{H \leq G} \text{ind}_H^G \mathbf{Z}, \quad \text{where } H \text{ varies over all subgroups of } G.$$

For each prime  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ , enumerate the distinct non-isomorphic indecomposable summands of  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes X$ : suppose they are  $X(p, i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n_p$ , and suppose that  $X(p, i)$  affords the character  $\chi(p, i)$  of  $G$ . Let  $n = \sum n_p$ , summed over primes dividing  $|G|$ . We shall use some ideas in the proof of a result of Jones [CR, 33.2]. Following some of the notation of [CR], let  $C$  denote the additive semigroup of  $n$ -tuples of non-negative integers; partially order  $C$  by writing  $(a_i) \leq (b_i)$  in  $C$  if  $a_i \leq b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . If the  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice  $M$  satisfies (a) and (b'), then by Proposition 3.4 and the Krull-Schmidt Theorem for  $\mathbf{Z}_p G$ -lattices,  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$  can be written uniquely as a direct sum of modules  $X(p, i)$ :

$$\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n_p} X(p, i)^{m(p, i)}, \quad \text{for unique non-negative integers } m(p, i).$$

Let  $\theta(M)$  denote the ordered  $n$ -tuple in  $C$  whose entries are the integers  $m(p, i)$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$  be the set of  $n$ -tuples  $\theta(M) \in C$  where  $M$  ranges over all  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattices which satisfy (a) and (b'); similarly, let  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  be the set of  $\theta(M) \in C$  where  $M$  satisfies (a) and (b). Given  $\theta(M) = (a(p, i))$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , we associate to  $\theta(M)$  the character  $\sum_p a(p, i)\chi(p, i)$ ; this gives us a mapping of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  onto  $\mathcal{D}$ . Similarly we have a mapping

of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$  onto  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Thus the theorem will follow if we can prove finite generation of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ .

We first prove that  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$  is finitely generated. From Step 3 in the proof of Jones' Theorem [CR, p. 689], any subset of  $C$  has a finite set of minimal elements in the partial order we have given  $C$ . Let  $S$  be the finite set of minimal elements of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}' - \{0\}$ . We claim that this set  $S$  generates  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$ . To prove this, let  $\theta(M)$  be an element of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$ ; we shall show that  $\theta(M)$  is a sum of elements of  $S$ . This is true if  $\theta(M) \in S$ , so assume that there is an element  $s \in S$  which is strictly smaller than  $\theta(M)$ . Also assume that if  $L$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice such that  $\theta(L) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$  and which has smaller  $\mathbf{Z}$ -rank than  $M$ , then  $\theta(L)$  is a sum of elements of  $S$ . Suppose that  $s = \theta(M')$ . Then locally at each prime,  $M'$  is a direct summand of  $M$ , so [CR, 31.12], there is a lattice  $M''$  in the same genus as  $M'$  such that  $M \cong M'' \oplus M_0$  for some  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice  $M_0$ . Then  $\theta(M'') = \theta(M')$ , and  $M_0$  satisfies (a) and (b'). Moreover, by our assumption on lattices with ranks smaller than that of  $M$ ,  $\theta(M_0)$  is a sum of elements of  $S$ . Since  $\theta(M) = s + \theta(M_0)$ , then  $\theta(M)$  is a sum of elements of  $S$ , as claimed.

We next show that  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  is finitely generated. Let  $S_0$  be the set of  $s \in S$  so that  $s = \theta(M)$  for some  $M$  such that  $\text{res}_N M$  is stably free but  $s = \theta(M')$  for no  $M'$  such that  $\text{res}_N M'$  is free. Let  $r$  be as in Lemma 2.5. Set

$$T = \left( \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \left\{ \sum_{s \in S} a_s s : 0 \leq a_s \leq r, s \in S \right\} \right) \cup \{(r+1)s : s \in S_0\}.$$

Note that if  $\text{res}_N M$  is stably free, then since  $r+1 \geq 2$ ,  $\text{res}_N M^{r+1}$  is free by [CR, 41.20], so  $T \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . We claim that  $T$  generates  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . Suppose that  $d = \theta(D) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . As above, we assume that if  $\theta(L) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $L$  has smaller  $\mathbf{Z}$ -rank than  $D$ , then  $\theta(L)$  is a sum of elements of  $T$ . Since  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}'$  is generated by  $S$ , we can write

$$d = \sum_{s \in I} a_s s \text{ for a subset } I \subseteq S \text{ with } a_s \geq 1, s \in I.$$

Write  $a_s = b_s + rc_s$  where  $1 \leq b_s \leq r$  and  $c_s \geq 0$ , and set

$$e = \sum_{s \in I} b_s s, \quad f = r \sum_{s \in I} c_s s,$$

so  $d = e + f$ . We have  $d \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , and since  $r\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ , then  $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , so we can find  $\mathbf{Z}G$  lattices  $D$  and  $F$  satisfying (a) and (b) with  $d = \theta(D)$  and  $f = \theta(F)$ . Also,  $e = \theta(E')$  for a lattice  $E'$  satisfying (a) and (b'). Now  $\theta(D) = \theta(E' \oplus F)$ , so  $D$  and  $E' \oplus F$  are in the same genus, and locally for all  $p$ ,  $F$  is a direct summand of  $D$ .

We will apply a result of Roiter and Jacobinski [CR, 31.32]; we must check that every irreducible  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -composition factor of  $\mathbf{Q}F$  occurs more often as a composition factor of  $\mathbf{Q}D$ . This is so because  $d = e + f$  and  $e = \sum_{s \in I} b_s s$ , where  $b_s > 0$  for  $s \in I$ . Thus  $D \cong E \oplus F$  for some  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice  $E$ . Restricting to  $N$ , we see that  $\text{res}_N E$  is stably free. If it is actually free (so, in particular, if we have the Eichler condition for  $\mathbf{Q}N$ ), then  $e = \theta(E) \in T$ , and we are done.

From [CR, 41.20], if  $\sum_{s \in I} b_s > 1$ , then  $\text{res}_N E$  is free; so we may assume that  $\sum_{s \in I} b_s = 1$ . Thus  $I$  contains a single element  $s_0$ , and  $e = s_0$ . If  $s_0 \notin S_0$ , then writing  $s_0 = \theta(E')$  where  $E'$  satisfies (a) and (b), it follows that  $D$  is in the same genus as  $E' \oplus F$ ; we replace  $D$  by  $E' \oplus F$  and we are done as before. So we assume that  $s_0 \in S_0$ .

Suppose that  $f = 0$ . Then  $d = s_0 \notin \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . Hence  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $c_s \geq 1$  for some  $s \in I$ ; since  $I = \{s_0\}$ , then  $f = c_{s_0} r s_0$  with  $c_{s_0} \geq 1$ . Then  $e = (r + 1)s_0 + (c_{s_0} - 1)(r s_0)$  with  $(r + 1)s_0 \in T$  and  $(c_{s_0} - 1)(r s_0) = \theta(L) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , where  $L$  has smaller rank than  $D$ . Thus  $d$  is indeed a sum of elements of  $T$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

8. COMPLEMENTS

Assume we have  $\Gamma, \Pi$  and  $G = \Gamma \times \Pi$  as above. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3,  $\mathcal{G}$  is the Galois group of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , where  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $|G|$ -th root of unity, and  $\mathcal{G}_p$  denotes the Galois group of  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . We identify  $\mathcal{G}_p$  as a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ , namely the decomposition group at any prime of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$  above  $p$ . Let  $\Sigma_p$  be a complete set of elements of  $\text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$  up to conjugation by  $\Pi_p$ . As in [WCr], define a label for  $\chi$  to be a collection  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_p\}$  of functions

$$b_p : \Sigma_p \times \text{irr}(G_{p'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

one for each prime  $p$ , so that on writing

$$\chi = \sum_{\eta \in \text{irr}(G_{p'})} \eta \otimes \lambda_\eta \text{ relative to } R(G) = R(G_{p'}) \otimes R(G_p)$$

we have

- (i)<sub>p</sub>  $\lambda_\eta = \sum_{\sigma_p \in \Sigma_p} b_p(\sigma_p, \eta) \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1,$
- (ii)<sub>p</sub>  $b_p(\sigma_p, \eta^\omega) = b_p(\sigma_p, \eta)$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}_p.$

**Theorem 8.1.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$  are nilpotent. Then labels for  $\chi$  are in bijection with genera of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattices with character  $\chi$  which satisfy (a) and (b').*

*Proof.* The proof comes from a closer look at the proof of Theorem 3.3. Suppose that  $M$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice which satisfies (a) and (b'). From equation (3.1), we have

$$\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma_p \in \text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)} X_{\sigma_p} \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

Instead of summing over  $\sigma_p \in \text{hom}(\Gamma_p, \Pi_p)$ , we may sum over  $\Sigma_p$ , because replacing  $\sigma_p$  by a  $\Pi_p$ -conjugate gives a  $G_p$ -conjugate of  $[\sigma_p]$ , hence a module isomorphic to  $\text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Then the different groups  $[\sigma_p], \sigma_p \in \Sigma_p$ , are the vertices of the summands of  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$ , so the modules  $X_{\sigma_p}$  are unique up to isomorphism, and their characters  $\xi_{\sigma_p}$  give the well-defined equation

$$\chi = \sum_{\sigma_p \in \Sigma_p} \xi_{\sigma_p} \otimes \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1, \quad \xi_{\sigma_p} \in R^+(G_{p'}).$$

Then (ii)<sub>p</sub> follows from equation (3.3) and (i)<sub>p</sub> comes from equation (3.4). Since these depend only on  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M$ , the same label would be attached to any lattice in the same genus as  $M$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathbf{b}$  is a label. From (ii)<sub>p</sub>,  $b(\sigma_p, \eta)$  just depends on the  $\mathcal{G}_p$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  containing  $\eta$ . Then as in equation (3.6), we let  $\xi_{\sigma_p} = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} b(\sigma_p, \mathcal{O}) \tau_{\mathcal{O}}$ , and  $\xi_{\sigma_p} \in R_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^+(G_{p'})$ . The lattice

$$M(b_p) = \bigoplus_{\sigma_p} L_{\sigma_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} \mathbf{Z}_p$$

in equation (3.7) satisfies the local versions  $(a_p)$  and  $(b_p)$  of (a) and (b), and has character  $\chi$  by  $(i)_p$ . Then the  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -lattice  $M = M(\mathbf{b})$  at the end of the proof has  $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes M \cong M(b_p)$  for all  $p$ , hence it satisfies (a) and (b'); the construction of  $M$  depends on the identifications  $\phi_p$ , but the genus of  $M$  is well-defined.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.2.** *If  $\Gamma$  is cyclic, there is only one genus of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -modules having a given character in  $\mathcal{D}'$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2, for each  $p$  the set  $\{\text{ind}_{[\sigma_p]}^{G_p} 1 : \sigma_p \in \Sigma_p\}$  is linearly independent; hence there is only one solution to  $(i)_p$ , and only one label for a character  $\chi$ .  $\square$

*Remarks.* Given  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}'$ , we want to decide whether  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}$ . We begin by determining all labels  $\mathbf{b}$  for  $\chi$ : this is a purely character-theoretic problem. For each  $\mathbf{b}$  one then constructs a lattice  $M = M(\mathbf{b})$  in the genus of the label. Deciding whether the genus of  $M$  contains an  $M'$  with  $\text{res}_N M'$  stably free can then be approached by genus class group methods, generalizing Theorem 3 of [WCr]. Carrying this out is a long computation which will answer the existence question “Is  $\chi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ ?” (at least when we have the Eichler condition for  $\mathbf{ZII}$ ). However, the construction of  $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow SGL_n(\mathbf{ZII})$  with double-action character  $\chi$  takes still more calculation.

Nevertheless this is how the first example of §5 was found. It is typical of such computations that once an  $M$  satisfying (a) and (b) is found, it is simpler to describe it directly, as we have done in §5.

Finally there is the issue of finding  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}'$ , no multiple of which is in  $\mathcal{P}^+$ , in the first place. This amounts to finding generators of  $\mathcal{D}'$ , and this again is a problem of character theory, by Theorem 3.3. In examples we have considered,  $\mathcal{D}'$  has many generators, even when  $\Gamma$  is cyclic. More exploration of this, perhaps by computer, is still needed.

#### REFERENCES

- [B] Peter Blanchard, *Exceptional group ring automorphisms I, II*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), 2727–2733, 2735–2742. MR **98k**:20007
- [CR] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory*, vol. II, John Wiley & Sons, 1987. MR **88f**:20002
- [F] Walter Feit, *The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North-Holland, 1982. MR **83g**:20001
- [K] Lee Klingler, *Construction of a counterexample to a conjecture of Zassenhaus*, Comm. Algebra **19** (1991), 2303–2330. MR **92**:20004
- [MRSW] Z. Marciniak, J. Ritter, S. Sehgal, and A. Weiss, *Torsion units in integral group rings of some metabelian groups II*, J. Number Theory **25** (1987), 340–352. MR **88k**:20019
- [R] Irving Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, 1975. MR **52**:13910
- [RS] K. W. Roggenkamp and L. L. Scott, *On a conjecture of Zassenhaus for finite group rings*, manuscript, 1987.
- [S] Sudarshan Sehgal, *Units in Integral Group Rings*, Longman, 1993. MR **94m**:16039
- [WAn] Alfred Weiss, *Rigidity of  $p$ -adic  $p$ -torsion*, Annals of Math. **127** (1988), 317–332. MR **89g**:20010
- [WCr] ———, *Torsion units in integral group rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **415** (1991), 175–187. MR **92c**:20009

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1

*E-mail address:* gcliff@math.ualberta.ca

*E-mail address:* aweiss@math.ualberta.ca