

SOME PROPERTIES OF THE SCHOUTEN TENSOR AND APPLICATIONS TO CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

PENGFEI GUAN, JEFF VIACLOVSKY, AND GUOFANG WANG

ABSTRACT. The Riemannian curvature tensor decomposes into a conformally invariant part, the Weyl tensor, and a non-conformally invariant part, the Schouten tensor. A study of the k th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor was initiated in an earlier paper by the second author, and a natural condition to impose is that the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor are in a certain cone, Γ_k^+ . We prove that this eigenvalue condition for $k \geq n/2$ implies that the Ricci curvature is positive. We then consider some applications to the locally conformally flat case, in particular, to extremal metrics of σ_k -curvature functionals and conformal quermassintegral inequalities, using the results of the first and third authors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, $n \geq 3$, and let the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature be denoted by Ric and R , respectively. We define the Schouten tensor

$$A_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(Ric - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} Rg \right).$$

There is a decomposition formula (see [1]):

$$(1) \quad \text{Riem} = A_g \odot g + \mathcal{W}_g,$$

where \mathcal{W}_g is the Weyl tensor of g , and \odot denotes the Kulkarni-Nomizu product (see [1]). Since the Weyl tensor is conformally invariant, to study the deformation of the conformal metric, we only need to understand the Schouten tensor. A study of k -th elementary symmetric functions of the Schouten tensor was initiated in [13], it was reduced to certain fully nonlinear Yamabe type equations. In order to apply the elliptic theory of fully nonlinear equations, one often restricts the Schouten tensor to be in a certain cone Γ_k^+ , defined as follows (according to Gårding [5]).

Definition 1. Let $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let σ_k denote the k th elementary symmetric function

$$\sigma_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k},$$

Received by the editors April 19, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C21; Secondary 35J60, 58E11.

Key words and phrases. Γ_k -curvature, Ricci curvature, conformal deformation.

Research of the first author was supported in part by NSERC Grant OGP-0046732.

Research of the second author was supported in part by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

and let

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \text{component of } \{\sigma_k > 0\} \text{ containing } (1, \dots, 1).$$

Let $\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ denote the closure of Γ_k^+ . If (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold, and $x \in M$, we say g has positive (nonnegative, resp.) Γ_k -curvature at x if its Schouten tensor $A_g \in \Gamma_k^+$ ($\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$, resp.) at x . In this case, we also say $g \in \Gamma_k^+$ ($\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$, resp.) at x .

We note that positive Γ_1 -curvature is equivalent to positive scalar curvature, and the condition of positive Γ_k -curvature has some geometric and topological consequences for the manifold M . For example, when (M, g) is locally conformally flat with positive Γ_1 -curvature, then $\pi_i(M) = 0, \forall 1 < i \leq \frac{n}{2}$, by a result of Schoen and Yau [11]. In this note, we will prove that positive Γ_k -curvature for any $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ implies positive Ricci curvature.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and $x \in M$. If g has positive (nonnegative, resp.) Γ_k -curvature at x for some $k \geq n/2$, then its Ricci curvature is positive (nonnegative, resp.) at x . Moreover, if the Γ_k -curvature is nonnegative for some $k > 1$, then*

$$Ric_g \geq \frac{2k - n}{2n(k - 1)} R_g \cdot g.$$

In particular, if $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$, then

$$Ric_g \geq \frac{(2k - n)(n - 1)}{(k - 1)} \binom{n}{k}^{-\frac{1}{k}} \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(A_g) \cdot g.$$

Remark. Theorem 1 is not true for $k = 1$. Namely, the condition of positive scalar curvature gives no restriction on the lower bound of the Ricci curvature.

Corollary 1. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact, locally conformally flat manifold with nonnegative Γ_k -curvature everywhere for some $k \geq n/2$. Then (M, g) is conformally equivalent to either a space form or a finite quotient of a Riemannian $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}(c) \times \mathbf{S}^1$ for some constant $c > 0$ and $k = n/2$. In particular, if $g \in \Gamma_k^+$, then (M, g) is conformally equivalent to a spherical space form.*

When $n = 3, 4$, the result in Theorem 1 was already observed in [9] and [2]. Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 will be proved in the next section.

We will also consider the equation

$$(2) \quad \sigma_k(A_{\tilde{g}}) = \text{constant},$$

for conformal metrics $\tilde{g} = e^{-2u}g$. This equation was studied in [13], where it was shown that when $k \neq n/2$, (2) is the conformal Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \text{Vol}(g)^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}} \int_M \sigma_k(g) \, d\text{vol}(g),$$

when $k = 1, 2$ or for $k > 2$ when M is locally conformally flat. We remark that in the even-dimensional locally conformally flat case, $\mathcal{F}_{n/2}$ is a conformal invariant. Moreover, it is a multiple of the Euler characteristic, see [13].

This problem was further studied in [7], where the following conformal flow was considered:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}g &= -(\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)) \cdot g, \\ g(0) &= g_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\log r_k = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(g)} \int_M \log \sigma_k(g) d\text{vol}(g).$$

Global existence with uniform $C^{1,1}$ a priori bounds of the flow was proved in [7]. It was also proved that for $k \neq n/2$ the flow is sequentially convergent in $C^{1,\alpha}$ to a C^∞ solution of $\sigma_k = \text{constant}$. Also, if $k < n/2$, then \mathcal{F}_k is decreasing along the flow, and if $k > n/2$, then \mathcal{F}_k is increasing along the flow. We remark that the existence result for equation (2) has been obtained independently in [10] in the locally conformally flat case for all k .

In Section 3, we will consider global properties of the functional \mathcal{F}_k , and give conditions for the existence of a global extremizer. We will also derive some conformal quermassintegral inequalities, which are analogous to the classical quermassintegral inequalities in convex geometry.

2. CURVATURE RESTRICTION

We first state a proposition which describes some important properties of the sets Γ_k^+ .

Proposition 1. (i) Each set Γ_k^+ is an open convex cone with vertex at the origin, and we have the following sequence of inclusions:

$$\Gamma_n^+ \subset \Gamma_{n-1}^+ \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_1^+.$$

(ii) For any $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma_k^+$ ($\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$, resp.), $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$(\Lambda|i) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Then $(\Lambda|i) \in \Gamma_{k-1}^+$ ($\bar{\Gamma}_{k-1}^+$, resp.). In particular,

$$\Gamma_{n-1}^+ \subset V_{n-1}^+ = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : \lambda_i + \lambda_j > 0, i \neq j\}.$$

The proof of this proposition is standard, following from [5].

Our main results are consequences of the following two lemmas. In this note, we assume that $k > 1$.

Lemma 1. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, and define

$$A_\Lambda = \Lambda - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i}{2(n-1)}(1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

If $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$, then

$$(4) \quad \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i \geq \frac{(2k-n)}{2n(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

In particular, if $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$, then

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i \geq \frac{(2k-n)(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)} \binom{n}{k}^{-\frac{1}{k}} \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(A_\Lambda).$$

Proof. We first note that, for any nonzero vector $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \bar{\Gamma}_2^+$ we have $\sigma_1(A) > 0$. This can be proved as follows. Since $A \in \bar{\Gamma}_2^+$, $\sigma_1(A) \geq 0$. If $\sigma_1(A) = 0$, there must be an $a_i > 0$ for some i , since A is a nonzero vector. We may assume $a_n > 0$. Let $(A|n) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$; we have $\sigma_1(A|n) \geq 0$ by Proposition 1. This would give $\sigma_1(A) = \sigma_1(A|n) + a_n > 0$, a contradiction.

Now without loss of generality, we may assume that Λ is not a zero vector. By the assumption $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ for $k \geq 2$, so we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > 0$.

Define

$$\Lambda_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \delta_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R};$$

then we have $A_{\Lambda_0} = (a, \dots, a, b)$, where

$$\delta_k = \frac{(2k - n)(n - 1)}{2nk - 2k - n},$$

$$a = 1 - \frac{n - 1 + \delta_k}{2(n - 1)}, \quad b = \delta_k - \frac{n - 1 + \delta_k}{2(n - 1)},$$

so that

$$(5) \quad \sigma_k(A_{\Lambda_0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_j(A_{\Lambda_0}) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \leq k - 1.$$

It is clear that $\delta_k < 1$, and so $a > b$. Since (4) is invariant under the transformation from Λ to $s\Lambda$ for $s > 0$, we may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \text{tr}(\Lambda_0) = n - 1 + \delta_k$ and $\lambda_n = \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i$. We write

$$A_\Lambda = (a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

We claim that

$$(6) \quad \lambda_n \geq \delta_k.$$

This is equivalent to showing

$$(7) \quad a_n \geq b.$$

Assume for a contradiction that $a_n < b$. We consider $\Lambda_t = t\Lambda_0 + (1 - t)\Lambda$ and

$$A_t := A_{\Lambda_t} = tA_{\Lambda_0} + (1 - t)A_\Lambda$$

$$= ((1 - t)a + ta_1, \dots, (1 - t)a + ta_{n-1}, (1 - t)b + ta_n).$$

By the convexity of the cone Γ_k^+ (see Proposition 1), we know that

$$A_t \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+, \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, 1].$$

In particular, $f(t) := \sigma_k(A_t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. By the definition of δ_k , $f(0) = 0$.

For any i and any vector $V = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, we denote by

$$(V|i) = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n)$$

the vector with the i -th component removed. Now we compute the derivative of f at 0:

$$f'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i - a)\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|i) + (a_n - b)\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|n).$$

Since $(A_0|i) = (A_0|1)$ for $i \leq n - 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = (n - 1)a + b$, we have

$$f'(0) = (a_n - b)(\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|n) - \sigma_{k-1}(A_0|1)) < 0,$$

for $\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|n) - \sigma_{k-1}(A_0|1) > 0$. (Recall that $b < a$.) This is a contradiction; hence $\lambda_n \geq \delta_k$. It follows that

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i \geq \delta_k = \frac{2k - n}{2n(k - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

Finally, the last inequality in the lemma follows from the Newton-MacLaurin inequality. □

Remark. It is clear from the above proof that the constant in Lemma 1 is optimal.

We next consider the case $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\frac{n}{2}}^+$.

Lemma 2. *Let $k = n/2$ and $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$. Then either $\lambda_i > 0$ for any i , or*

$$\Lambda = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda, 0)$$

up to a permutation. If the second case is true, then we must have $\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}(A_\Lambda) = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, to prove the Lemma we only need to check that for $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0)$ with $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$,

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_j, \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1.$$

We use the same idea as in the proof of the previous Lemma. Without loss of generality, we may assume that Λ is not a zero vector. By the assumption $A_\Lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$ for $k \geq 2$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i > 0$. Hence we may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i = n - 1$. Define

$$\Lambda_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(8) \quad A_{\Lambda_0} \in \Gamma_{k-1}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k(A_{\Lambda_0}) = 0.$$

That is, $A_{\Lambda_0} \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$. If the λ 's are not all the same, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1)^2 > 0.$$

Now consider $\Lambda_t = t\Lambda_0 + (1 - t)\Lambda$ and

$$A_t := A_{\Lambda_t} = tA_{\Lambda_0} + (1 - t)A_\Lambda = \left(\frac{1}{2} + t(\lambda_1 - 1), \dots, \frac{1}{2} + t(\lambda_{n-1} - 1), -\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

From the assumption that $A \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+$, (8), and the convexity of $\bar{\Gamma}_k^+$, we have

$$(9) \quad A_t \in \bar{\Gamma}_k^+ \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

For any $i \neq j$ and any vector A , we denote by $(A|i j)$ the vector with the i -th and j -th components removed. Let $\tilde{\Lambda} = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ be an $(n - 1)$ -vector, and $\Lambda^* = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ an $(n - 2)$ -vector. It is clear that $\forall i \neq j, \quad i, j \leq n - 1$,

$$\sigma_{k-1}(A_0|i) = \sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) > 0,$$

$$\sigma_{k-2}(A_0|i j) = \sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) > 0.$$

Now we expand $f(t) = \sigma_k(A_t)$ at $t = 0$. By (8), $f(0) = 0$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1) \sigma_{k-1}(A_0|i) \\ &= \sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f''(0) &= \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - 1)(\lambda_j - 1)\sigma_{k-2}(A_0|ij) \\
 &= \sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - 1)(\lambda_j - 1) \\
 &= -\sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - 1)^2 < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma_{k-2}(A_0|ij) = \sigma_{k-2}(\Lambda^*) > 0$ for any $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_j - 1) = (1 - \lambda_i)$. Hence $f(t) < 0$ for small $t > 0$, which contradicts (9). \square

Remark. From the proof of Lemma 2, there is a constant $C > 0$, depending only on n and $\frac{\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}(A_\Lambda)}{\sigma_1(A_\Lambda)}$, such that

$$\min_i \lambda_i \geq C \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}(A_\Lambda).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 follows directly from Lemmas 1 and 2. \square

Corollary 2. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $k \geq n/2$, and let $N = M \times \mathbf{S}^1$ be the product manifold. Then N does not have positive Γ_k -curvature. If N has nonnegative Γ_k -curvature, then (M, g) is an Einstein manifold, and there are two cases: either $k = n/2$, or $k > n/2$ and (M, g) is a torus.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 1 and 2. \square

Proof of Corollary 1. From Theorem 1, we know that the Ricci curvature Ric_g is nonnegative. Now we deform it by the Yamabe flow considered by Hamilton, Ye [15] and Chow [4] to obtain a conformal metric \tilde{g} of constant scalar curvature. The Ricci curvature $Ric_{\tilde{g}}$ is nonnegative, for the Yamabe flow preserves the nonnegativity of the Ricci curvature, see [4]. Now, by a classification result given in [12, 3], we know that (M, \tilde{g}) is isometric to either a space form or a finite quotient of a Riemannian $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}(c) \times \mathbf{S}^1$ for some constant $c > 0$. In the latter case, it is clear that $k = n/2$, since otherwise it cannot have nonnegative Γ_k -curvature. \square

Next, we will prove that if M is locally conformally flat with positive Γ_{n-1} -curvature, then g has positive sectional curvature. If M is locally conformally flat, then by (1) we may decompose the full curvature tensor as

$$\text{Riem} = A_g \odot g,$$

Proposition 2. *Assume that $n = 3$, or that M is locally conformally flat. Then the Schouten tensor $A_g \in V_{n-1}^+$ if and only if g has positive sectional curvature.*

Proof. Let π be any 2-plane in $T_p(N)$, and let X, Y be an orthonormal basis of π . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\sigma) &= \text{Riem}(X, Y, X, Y) = A_g \odot g(X, Y, X, Y) \\
 &= A_g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - A_g(Y, X)g(X, Y) \\
 &\quad + A_g(Y, Y)g(X, X) - A_g(X, Y)g(Y, X) \\
 &= A_g(X, X) + A_g(Y, Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\min_{\sigma \in T_p N} K(\sigma) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2,$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the smallest eigenvalues of A_g at p . □

Corollary 3. *If (M, g) is locally conformally flat with positive Γ_{n-1} -curvature, then g has positive sectional curvature.*

Proof. This follows easily from Propositions 1 and 2. □

3. EXTREMAL METRICS OF σ_k -CURVATURE FUNCTIONALS

We next consider some properties of the functionals \mathcal{F}_k associated to σ_k . These functionals were introduced and discussed in [13], see also [7]. Further variational properties in connection to 3-dimensional geometry were studied in [9].

We recall that \mathcal{F}_k is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_k(g) = \text{Vol}(g)^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}} \int_M \sigma_k(g) \, d\text{vol}(g).$$

We denote $\mathcal{C}_k = \{g \in [g_0] \mid g \in \Gamma_k^+\}$, where $[g_0]$ is the conformal class of g_0 .

We now apply our results to show that if $g_0 \in \Gamma_{\frac{n}{2}}^+$, then there is an extremal metric g_e which minimizes \mathcal{F}_m for $m < n/2$, and if $m > n/2$, there is an extremal metric g_e which maximizes \mathcal{F}_m .

Proposition 3. *Suppose (M, g_0) is locally conformally flat and $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$ for some $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then $\forall m < \frac{n}{2}$, there is an extremal metric $g_e^m \in [g_0]$ such that*

$$(10) \quad \inf_{g \in \mathcal{C}_m} \mathcal{F}_m(g) = \mathcal{F}_m(g_e^m),$$

and $\forall m > \frac{n}{2}$, there is extremal metric $g_e^m \in [g_0]$ such that

$$(11) \quad \sup_{g \in \mathcal{C}_m} \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \mathcal{F}_k(g_e^m).$$

In fact, any solution to $\sigma_m(g) = \text{constant}$ is an extremal metric.

Proof. First, by Corollary 1, (M, g_0) is conformal to a spherical space form. For any $g \in \mathcal{C}_m$, from [7] we know there is a conformal metric \tilde{g} in \mathcal{C}_m such that $\sigma_m(\tilde{g})$ is constant and

- (a) if $m > n/2$, then $\mathcal{F}_m(g) \leq \mathcal{F}_m(\tilde{g})$,
- (b) if $m < n/2$, then $\mathcal{F}_m(g) \geq \mathcal{F}_m(\tilde{g})$.

A classification result of [13] and [14], which is analogous to a result of Obata for the scalar curvature, shows that \tilde{g} has constant sectional curvature. Therefore \tilde{g} is the unique critical metric unless M is conformally equivalent to \mathbf{S}^n , in which case any critical metric is the image of the standard metric under a conformal diffeomorphism. This clearly implies the conclusion of the Proposition. □

Next we consider the case $k < n/2$. We have

Proposition 4. *Suppose (M, g_0) is locally conformally flat and $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$ for some $k < \frac{n}{2}$. Suppose furthermore that for any fixed $C > 0$, the space of solutions to the equation $\sigma_k = C$ is compact, with a bound independent of the constant C . Then there is an extremal metric $g_e^k \in [g_0]$ such that*

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \mathcal{F}_k(g_e^k).$$

Proof. From the compactness assumption, there exists a critical metric g_e^k which has least energy. If the functional assumed a value strictly lower than $\mathcal{F}_k(g_e^k)$, then by [7], the flow would decrease to another solution of $\sigma_k = \text{constant}$, which is a contradiction since g_e^k has minimal energy. \square

We conclude with conformal quermassintegral inequalities, which were conjectured in [7], and verified there for some special cases when (M, g) is locally conformally flat and $g \in \Gamma_{\frac{n}{2}-1}^+$ or $g \in \Gamma_{\frac{n}{2}+1}^+$ using the flow method. In the case of $k = 2, n = 4$, the inequality was proved in [8] without the locally conformally flat assumption.

Proposition 5. *Suppose (M, g_0) is locally conformally flat and $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$ for some $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then for any $1 \leq l < \frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n$ there is a constant $C(k, l, n) > 0$ such that for any $g \in [g_0]$ and $g \in \Gamma_k^+$,*

$$(12) \quad (\mathcal{F}_k(g))^{1/k} \leq C(k, l, n)(\mathcal{F}_l(g))^{1/l},$$

with equality if and only if (M, g) is a spherical space form.

Proof. By Proposition 3, we have a conformal metric g_e of constant sectional curvature such that

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{C}_l} \mathcal{F}_l(g) = \mathcal{F}_l(g_e)$$

and

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \mathcal{F}_k(g_e).$$

Hence, for any $g \in \Gamma_k^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\mathcal{F}_k(g))^{1/k}}{(\mathcal{F}_l(g))^{1/l}} &\leq \frac{(\mathcal{F}_k(g_e))^{1/k}}{(\mathcal{F}_l(g_e))^{1/l}} \\ &= \frac{(l!(n-l))^{1/l}}{(k!(n-k))^{1/k}}. \end{aligned}$$

When the equality holds, g is an extremal of \mathcal{F}_l , hence a metric of constant sectional curvature by [13]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR **88f**:53087
- [2] A. Chang, M. Gursky and P. Yang, *An equation of Monge-Ampère type in conformal geometry, and four manifolds of positive Ricci curvature*, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [3] Q.-M. Cheng, Compact locally conformally flat Riemannian manifolds. Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001), no. 4, 459–465. MR **2002g**:53045
- [4] B. Chow, *The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 8, 1003–1014. MR **93d**:53045
- [5] L. Gårding, *An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials*, J. Math. Mech. **8** (1959), 957–965. MR **22**:4809
- [6] P. Guan and G. Wang, *Local estimates for a class of fully nonlinear equations arising from conformal geometry*, preprint, August, 2001.
- [7] P. Guan and G. Wang, *A fully nonlinear conformal flow on locally conformally flat manifolds*, preprint, October, 2001.
- [8] M. Gursky, *The principal eigenvalue of a conformally invariant differential operator, with an application to semilinear elliptic PDE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), 131–143. MR **2000k**:58029

- [9] Matthew J. Gursky and Jeff A. Viaclovsky, *A new variational characterization of three-dimensional space forms*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **145** (2001), no. 2, 251–278. MR **2002j**:53039
- [10] A. Li and Y. Li, *On some conformally invariant fully nonlinear equations*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **334** (2002), 305–310.
- [11] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, *Invent. Math.* **92** (1988), no. 1, 47–71. MR **89c**:58139
- [12] M. Tani, *On a conformally flat Riemannian space with positive Ricci curvature*. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **19** 1967 227–231. MR **36**:3279
- [13] Jeff A. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry and the calculus of variations*, *Duke J. Math.* **101** (2000), no. 2, 283–316. MR **2001b**:53038
- [14] Jeff A. Viaclovsky, *Conformally invariant Monge-Ampère equations: global solutions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), no. 9, 4371–4379. MR **2000m**:35067
- [15] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow*, *J. Differential Geom.* **39** (1994), no. 1, 35–50. MR **95d**:53044

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO L8S 4K1,
CANADA

E-mail address: `guan@math.mcmaster.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE,
MASSACHUSETTS

E-mail address: `jeffv@math.mit.edu`

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, INSELSTRASSE 22-26, 04103
LEIPZIG, GERMANY

E-mail address: `gwang@mis.mpg.de`