

## ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF FULL FACTORS OF TYPE III

DIMITRI SHLYAKHTENKO

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new invariant  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  for type III factors  $M$  with no almost-periodic weights. We compute this invariant for certain free Araki-Woods factors. We show that Connes' invariant  $\tau$  cannot distinguish all isomorphism classes of free Araki-Woods factors. We show that there exists a continuum of mutually non-isomorphic free Araki-Woods factors, each without almost-periodic weights.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The necessity to find effective invariants to distinguish full type III factors comes from the problem of classifying type III (typically, type III<sub>1</sub>) factors naturally occurring in free probability theory of Voiculescu [22]. These factors arise as free products of finite-dimensional or hyperfinite von Neumann algebras [1, 14, 13, 6] and more generally from a second-quantization procedure involving the free Gaussian functor (the so-called free Araki-Woods factors, [15]). The classification results so far have all relied on Connes' S and Sd invariants [3, 4], and worked well for factors having almost periodic weights (for example, in [15] a complete classification of free Araki-Woods factors for which the free quasi-free state is almost-periodic was given). However, not all free Araki-Woods factors have almost periodic weights [17], and the question of their complete classification remains open.

Recall that given a factor  $M$  and a state  $\phi$  on  $M$ , Tomita-Takesaki theory associates to it a one-parameter group of automorphisms  $\sigma_t^\phi$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , known as the modular group. If  $\sigma_t^\phi$  is not inner for all  $t$ , then  $M$  is a type III factor. Our case of interest is the situation that  $\sigma_t^\phi$  is never inner (for  $t \neq 0$ ), as is the case for factors of type III<sub>1</sub>. The modular group  $\sigma_t^\phi$  depends on  $\phi$  only up to inner automorphisms, thanks to the Connes Radon-Nikodym type theorem. The importance of the modular group  $\sigma_t^\phi$  is even more apparent from the fact that the crossed product  $M \rtimes_{\sigma^\phi} \mathbb{R}$  is semi-finite (and is a factor of type II<sub>∞</sub> if  $M$  is type III<sub>1</sub>). This crossed product is independent of the choice of  $\phi$ , and is called the core of  $M$ .

It is fair to say that most invariants of type III factors arise in one of two ways: either through the analysis of the modular group (such as its spectral properties or periodicity, as in Connes'  $S$  and  $T$  invariants introduced in [3]), or the analysis of the core, to which some of the properties of the factor pass (e.g., injectivity).

**1.1. Modular invariants.** We will briefly review the invariants of a type III<sub>1</sub> factor that can be obtained from the modular group; most of these constructions are from Connes' paper [4].

---

Received by the editors July 21, 2002 and, in revised form, July 17, 2003.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10; Secondary 46L54.

Although  $\sigma_t^\phi$  depends on  $\phi$  only up to inner automorphisms, the degree of continuity of  $t \mapsto \sigma_t^\phi$  may vary from one state to another. To give a trivial example, let  $N$  be a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor with a trace  $\tau$ , and consider the state  $\phi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\phi(x) = \tau(d^{1/2}xd^{1/2})$ . The modular group associated to  $\phi$  is given by  $x \mapsto d^{it}xd^{-it}$ . If  $d = 1$ , so that its spectrum is the set  $\{1\}$ , this map is continuous with respect to any topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, if the spectral measure of  $d$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, then this map is (say,  $*$ -strongly) continuous if and only if  $\mathbb{R}$  is endowed with the usual topology. An intermediate situation occurs when the spectral measure of  $d$  is atomic. In this case, the action is continuous with respect to a certain topology  $\tau$ , for which the completion of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a compact topological group  $T$  (the inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \subset T$  is dual to the inclusion of the group generated by the atoms of the spectral measure of  $d$  into  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , the multiplicative group of positive reals).

Utilizing this idea, Connes has introduced the invariant  $\tau(M)$ , which is, roughly, the weakest topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  making the modular group continuous (modulo inner automorphisms); see below for a precise definition.

In the case that for some state  $\phi$  the modular group  $\sigma_t^\phi$  is continuous with respect to some topology  $\tau$ , for which the completion of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a locally compact group  $G$ , there arises a possibility to consider the  $G$ -core of  $M$ , using the extension of the action of the modular group from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $G$ . It is interesting to note that this core now depends on the choice of  $\phi$  (since not every choice of  $\phi$  will lead to the same degree of continuity). This in turn can be used to select “special” states on  $M$ . It turns out that there are only two situations, insisting that  $G$  is locally compact: either  $G$  is all of  $\mathbb{R}$  (so that  $\tau$  is the usual topology), or  $G$  is a compact group (necessarily a torus, i.e. a finite or infinite power of the circle group), and  $R \subset G$  is an “irrational line” embedding of  $\mathbb{R}$  into the appropriate torus. If the latter is the case, the state  $\phi$  must be “almost-periodic” (in that the modular operator associated to  $\phi$  has atomic spectral measure). It turns out that in certain cases, the “smallest”  $G$ -core (corresponding to the “most continuous”  $\phi$  and the largest  $G$ ) can be characterized. More precisely, it turns out that if the  $G$ -core of  $M$  is non- $\Gamma$ , then  $G$  must be maximal (for no state  $\phi$  on  $M$  can the modular group extend by continuity to a group larger than  $G$ ).

It would be very interesting to understand if some analog of the  $G$ -core construction can be carried out for non-locally compact groups.

**1.2. Absence of almost-periodic states.** Almost-periodic states (or even weights) do not always exist. Much of this paper is devoted to the exploration of free Araki-Woods factors, having *no* almost-periodic states or weights. We give an example of a one-parameter family of such factors, each having no almost-periodic states (or even weights), but with different  $\tau$ -invariants (and hence pairwise non-isomorphic).

It turns out, however, that the  $\tau$  invariant is insufficient to classify free Araki-Woods factors.

We introduce a new invariant for a factor  $M$ , given by the intersection over the set of all normal faithful states  $\phi$  on  $M$  of the collections of measures, absolutely continuous with respect to the spectral measure of the modular operator of  $\phi$ . This invariant is in spirit related to the Connes’ Sd invariant, where the intersection is taken over all *almost-periodic* weights on  $M$ . Amazingly, it turns out that our invariant can be computed for certain free Araki-Woods factors. Using our new

invariant we are able to produce a pair of non-isomorphic free Araki-Woods factors, which cannot be distinguished by their  $\tau$  invariant, i.e., cannot be distinguished by any previously known invariant for type III factors.

The idea of the computation lies in the remark that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -core of  $M$  must have a special abelian subalgebra, namely  $L(\mathbb{R}) \subset M \rtimes G$ . However, Voiculescu showed that there are sometimes restrictions on the kinds of abelian subalgebras that can exist inside semifinite von Neumann algebras. For example, he showed that free group factors  $N = L(\mathbb{F}_n)$  cannot have diffuse abelian subalgebras  $A$  for which  $N$ , when regarded as an  $A, A$ -bimodule, is “disjoint” from the coarse  $A, A$ -bimodule  $A \bar{\otimes} A$ . More generally, the same statement holds for von Neumann algebras having a set of generators with large free entropy dimension. Translating the restriction on the possible subalgebras  $A$  back to the subalgebra  $L(\mathbb{R}) \subset M \rtimes G$  produces a restriction on the spectral properties of the action. It turns out that, under suitable assumptions, the spectral measure associated to the action of  $\mathbb{R}$  cannot be disjoint from the Haar measure on the group. This in turn implies a restriction on the possible spectral measures of states on  $M$ .

## 2. SOME EXAMPLES OF TOPOLOGIES ASSOCIATED TO UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathbb{R}$

The purpose of this section is to set notation and to prove certain results about unitary representation of  $\mathbb{R}$ , which are needed in the rest of the paper.

**2.1. Spectral measures of group representations.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a measure space  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  the collection of measures on  $X$

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu = \{\nu : \mu(Y) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(Y) = 0 \text{ for all measurable } Y \subset X\}.$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  is the collection of all measures, which are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . We shall abuse notation and write  $\mathcal{C}_T$  if  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator. By this we mean the collection of all measures, absolutely continuous with respect to the spectral measure of  $T$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

It should be mentioned that, following an idea of Mackey,  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  can be viewed as a kind of replacement for the notion of the support of  $\mu$ . Indeed, any  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_\mu$  can be written as  $f \cdot \mu$  for some function  $f$ , which is completely determined except on a set of  $\mu$ -measure zero. One can form intersections of two families  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\nu$ ; this operation is to remind us of the notion of intersection of sets. Similarly, inclusion of  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\nu$  can be thought of as the inclusion of the support of  $\mu$  into that of  $\nu$ . We shall say that a collection of measures  $\mathcal{C}$  is *supported* on a set  $Y$  if for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$ , the complement of  $Y$  has measure zero. Note also that if  $\mu$  is *atomic*, then the knowledge of  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  is exactly equivalent to the knowledge of the set of atoms of  $\mu$ .

We now recall some basic facts about representations and duality of locally compact abelian groups (see e.g. [10]). Let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow U(H)$  be a  $*$ -strongly continuous representation of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Associated to  $\sigma$  it is spectral measure class  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  on the dual group  $\hat{G}$ . The class  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  can be defined as the smallest collection of measures, so that (1) if  $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$  and  $\mu'$  is a.c. with respect to  $\mu$ , then  $\mu' \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$  and (2) for each  $\xi \in H$ , the measure obtained as the Fourier transform of the positive-definite function  $g \mapsto \langle \xi, \sigma(g)\xi \rangle$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ . If  $H$  is separable, there is a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ , with the property that it generates  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  is the smallest collection of measures satisfying (1) and containing  $\mu$ ). We sometimes refer to this  $\mu$  as “the”

spectral measure of  $\sigma$ . In particular,  $H$  can be decomposed as  $H = \int_{\chi \in \hat{G}} H_\chi d\mu(\chi)$ , so that  $\sigma = \int_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \sigma_\chi$ , where  $\sigma_\chi(g) \cdot \xi = \chi(g) \cdot \xi$ ,  $\xi \in H_\mu$ .

If the group  $G$  contains  $\mathbb{R}$  as a dense subgroup, the representation  $\sigma$  can be restricted to  $\mathbb{R} \subset G$ . In fact, all representations  $\sigma$  of  $G$  arise as extensions of representations of  $\mathbb{R}$ , which are continuous not just in the topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , but also in the restriction of the topology  $\tau_G$  on  $G$  to  $\mathbb{R} \subset G$ . The measure class  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  and the spectral measure  $\mu$  of  $\sigma$ , when interpreted as objects on  $\hat{\mathbb{R}} \supset \hat{G}$ , become exactly the measure class and the spectral measure of the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , viewed as a representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  (this is evident from the direct integral decomposition formula stated above). In particular, a representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  extends to a representation of  $G$  iff its spectral measure  $\mathcal{C}_\pi$  is supported on  $\hat{G} \subset \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ .

It is customary to choose a particular spectral measure of a representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $H$ , by finding on  $H$  a non-negative operator  $A$ , for which  $\pi(t) = A^{it}$ , and letting  $\mu$  be the spectral measure of  $A$  (composed with some faithful state on  $B(H)$ ). In particular, denoting by  $\sigma$  the extension of  $\pi$  to  $G$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \mathcal{C}_\pi = \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ .

## 2.2. Topologies induced by unitary or orthogonal representations of $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on the real line, so that  $\mu(-X) = \mu(X)$ . Denote by  $\pi$  the associated (real or complex) representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  given by the map

$$\pi(t) = M_{\exp(2\pi itx)},$$

where  $M_g$  denotes the operator of multiplication by  $g$ . Write  $\tau(\mu)$  for the weakest topology making the map  $t \mapsto \pi(t) \in U(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu))$  continuous with respect to the strong operator topology on the unitary group of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ . If  $\mu$  is not supported on a cyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\pi$  is injective and  $\tau(\mu)$  is a Hausdorff topology.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The completion of  $\mathbb{R}$  with respect to the topology  $\tau(\mu)$  is a locally compact group iff either  $\tau(\mu)$  is the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mu$  is atomic (in which case the completion is compact).*

*Proof.* Denote by  $(G, \tau)$  the completion of  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau(\mu))$ . Then  $\mathbb{R} \subset G$  is an inclusion of locally compact abelian groups; by Pontrjagin duality, this inclusion is dual to the injective dense inclusion  $\hat{G} \subset \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ .

By the structure theory for locally compact abelian groups [10], the connected component of identity of  $\hat{G}$  must have the form  $R \times H$ , with  $R \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $H$  compact and connected.

First note that  $H = \{e\}$ . Indeed, the image of  $H$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  must be a connected compact subgroup of  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ , hence must be the trivial group.

Since there are no continuous injective maps from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $n > 1$ , either  $n = 1$  or  $n = 0$ . If  $n = 1$ , all continuous injective group homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{R}$  to itself are surjective (their image must be a path-connected subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Hence injectivity of  $\hat{G} \subset \hat{\mathbb{R}}$  requires that  $\hat{G} = R$  in this case, the inclusion being a homeomorphism onto  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  and hence  $\tau(\mu)$  being the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

If  $n = 0$ ,  $\hat{G}$  must be discrete. This corresponds to the completion  $G$  being compact. Moreover, it is not hard to see that  $\mu$  must be supported on  $\hat{G} \subset \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ , since the representation  $\pi$  with spectral measure  $\mu$  must extend (by the definition of  $\tau(\mu)$ ) to the completion  $G$ . Hence  $\mu$  is atomic.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *A sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to zero in  $\tau(\mu)$  iff  $\hat{\mu}(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ , where  $\hat{\mu}$  is the Fourier transform of  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  associated to  $\mu$  as above, and let  $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  be the constant function 1. Then

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \langle \pi(t)\xi, \xi \rangle.$$

By definition,  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\tau(\mu)$  iff  $\pi(t_n) \rightarrow 1$  strongly. The vector state  $\phi(T) = \langle T\xi, \xi \rangle$  defines a faithful normal state on the commutative von Neumann algebra  $\pi(\mathbb{R})'' \subset B(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu))$ . Hence strong convergence of  $\pi(t_n)$  to 1 is equivalent to

$$\|\pi(t_n) - 1\|_2 = \phi((\pi(t_n) - 1)(\pi(t_n) - 1)^*)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0.$$

In other words,  $\pi(t_n) \rightarrow 1$  strongly iff

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi(\pi(t_n) + \pi(t_n)^*) \rightarrow 1,$$

i.e.,  $\Re\hat{\mu}(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ . Since  $|\hat{\mu}(t_n)| \leq 1$ , this happens iff  $\hat{\mu}(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** *There exists a continuum of non-atomic measures  $\mu_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in I$ , so that the topologies  $\tau(\mu_\lambda)$  are mutually non-equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{c_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a sequence of real numbers, so that  $c_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum c_k^2 < +\infty$ . Denote by  $\mu_n$  the  $n$ -fold convolution of delta-measures

$$\mu_n = \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_{c_1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-c_1}\right) * \dots * \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_{c_n} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-c_n}\right).$$

Each  $\mu_n$  is a symmetric probability measure, and the Fourier transform of  $\mu_n$  is given by

$$\hat{\mu}_n(t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \cos(2\pi c_k t).$$

The Fourier transform of the weak limit  $\mu$  of  $\mu_n$  is given by the pointwise limit of this expression; the measure  $\mu$  is non-atomic (see e.g. [8]).

Let  $c_k = 3^{-k!}$ . Let  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  be fixed. Let  $t_n = \lambda 3^{n!} = \lambda c_n^{-1}$ . We claim that  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\tau(\mu)$  iff  $\lambda = 1$ . Recall that  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\tau(\mu)$  iff  $\hat{\mu}(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ .

If  $\lambda < 1$ ,  $|\hat{\mu}(t_n)| \leq |\cos(2\pi c_n t_n)|$ . For  $|\cos(2\pi\lambda)| = 1$  we must have  $\cos(2\pi\lambda) = \pm 1$ , so that  $2\pi\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \cdot \pi$ . Since  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $2\pi\lambda$  cannot be an integer multiple of  $\pi$ , so  $|\cos(2\pi\lambda)| < 1$ . Thus  $t_n$  does not converge to 0 in  $\tau(\mu)$ .

If  $\lambda = 1$ ,

$$\hat{\mu}(t_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \cos(2\pi 3^{n! - k!}) \cdot \prod_{k>n} \cos(2\pi 3^{n! - k!}) = \prod_{k>n} \cos(2\pi 3^{n! - k!}).$$

There exists  $\omega > 0$  so that for  $0 \leq x \leq \omega$ ,  $\cos(2\pi x) \geq 1 - 49x^2$ . Hence

$$\hat{\mu}(t_n) \geq \prod_{k>n} (1 - 49 \cdot 6^{n! - k!})$$

as long as  $k > n$  and  $n$  is such that  $3^{n! - k!} \leq 3^{n! - (n+1)n!} = 3^{-n \cdot n!} < \omega$ .

Since for  $a \in [0, 1/2]$ ,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (1 - 49a^p)^p = 1$$

uniformly, and the function  $p \mapsto (1 - 49a^p)^p$  is increasing for any  $a < 1$ , given  $1 > \delta > 0$ , there exists a  $p = p(\delta) < +\infty$ , so that

$$(1 - 49a^p) > (1 - \delta)^{1/p}$$

for all  $a \in [0, 1/2]$ . Hence letting  $a = \frac{1}{6}$ , we get that for any  $n$  so that  $k! - n! \geq n \cdot n! > p$ ,

$$\hat{\mu}(t_n) \geq \prod_{k>n} (1 - \delta)^{1/(k! - n!)}.$$

Hence

$$\log \hat{\mu}(t_n) \geq \sum_{k>n} \log(1 - \delta) \frac{1}{k! - n!}.$$

Since  $\log(1 - \delta) < 0$  and

$$\sum_{k<n} \frac{1}{k! - n!} \leq \sum_{k<n} \frac{1}{k! - \frac{k!}{n}} = \sum_{k<n} \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{n}} \leq \sum_{k<n} \frac{1}{k!} < e,$$

we get that

$$\log \hat{\mu}(t_n) \geq e \log(1 - \delta).$$

Hence

$$\hat{\mu}(t_n) \geq (1 - \delta)^e$$

for any  $n$  so that (1)  $3^{-n \cdot n!} < \omega$  and (2)  $n \cdot n! > p(\delta)$ . It follows that  $\hat{\mu}(t_n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now fix  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  and set

$$\mu_\lambda(X) = \mu(\lambda \cdot X)$$

for any Borel set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\hat{\mu}_\lambda(t) = \hat{\mu}(t/\lambda).$$

It follows that for any  $0 < \nu \leq \lambda$ , the sequence  $\nu 3^{n!}$  is convergent in  $\tau(\mu_\lambda)$  iff  $\nu = \lambda$ . It follows that  $\{\tau(\mu_\lambda) : 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}\}$  are pairwise non-equivalent.  $\square$

The author is indebted to U. Haagerup for communicating to him the following example:

**Theorem 2.4.** *There exists a measure  $\mu$ , so that  $\mu$  as well as  $\mu * \dots * \mu$  (any number of times) are singular with respect to Lebesgue measure, but  $\tau(\mu)$  is the usual topology on the additive group of real numbers.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, with  $c_n = 3^{-n}$ . Then

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \prod_{k \geq 1} \cos(2\pi \frac{t}{3^k}).$$

We first claim that  $\tau(\mu)$  is the usual topology on the real line. Assume that  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $\hat{\mu}(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ . Choose  $N$  so that for all  $n > N$ ,  $t_n > 9$ . Choose  $k$  so that  $c = t_n 3^{-k} > 1$  but  $t_n 3^{-k-1} = c/3 \leq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(t) &\leq \cos(2\pi t_n 3^{-k}) \cdot \cos(2\pi t_n 3^{-k-1}) \cdot \cos(2\pi t_n 3^{-k-2}) \\ &= \cos(2\pi c) \cdot \cos(2\pi c/3) \cdot \cos(2\pi c/9), \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 < c \leq 3$ . Let

$$f(c) = \cos(2\pi c) \cdot \cos(2\pi c/3) \cdot \cos(2\pi c/9).$$

It is not hard to see that  $f(c)$  is strictly less than 1 on the interval  $1 < c \leq 3$ . It follows that  $\hat{\mu}(t) < 1$  whenever  $t > 9$ . Contradiction.

All convolution powers of  $\mu$  are singular with respect to Lebesgue measure (see the discussion of Taylor-Johnson measures [8] for examples of similar measures  $\mu$  but satisfying even stronger properties than what we need here).  $\square$

**2.3. Bimodule decompositions of crossed products.** Let  $(M, \phi)$  be a von Neumann algebra,  $\phi$  a faithful normal state, and let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group. Assume that  $\alpha$  is an action of  $G$  on  $M$ , which leaves  $\phi$  invariant. Then the crossed product von Neumann algebra  $C = M \rtimes_{\alpha} G$  contains a canonical copy  $A$  of the group algebra  $L(G) \cong L^{\infty}(\hat{G})$ ; moreover, the state  $\phi$  gives rise to a normal faithful conditional expectation  $E : C \rightarrow A$ . Let  $\hat{\psi}$  be a normal faithful weight on  $A$ , and let  $\psi = \hat{\psi} \circ E$ . This is a normal faithful weight on  $C$ . Moreover,  $L^2(C, \psi)$  is an  $A, A$ -bimodule in a natural way.

Fix an isomorphism  $(A, \hat{\psi}) \cong L^{\infty}(\hat{G}, \nu_G)$ . Denote by  $\ell^2$  the Hilbert space with basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots$  and by  $\ell_n \subset \ell^2$  the subspaces spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . Given a measure  $\eta$  on  $X \times X$  whose projections onto the the coordinate directions on  $X \times X$  are both equivalent to  $\nu_G$ , and a multiplicity function  $n : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , let

$$H(\eta, n) = L^2(X \times X, \eta, n)$$

be the space of square-integrable functions from  $X \times X \rightarrow \ell^2$ , so that  $f(x, y) \in \ell_{n(x,y)}$  for all  $x, y \in X$  (where for convenience we set  $\ell_{\infty}^2 = \ell^2$ ). Endow  $H(\eta, n)$  with an  $A, A$ -bimodule structure by letting

$$(f \cdot h \cdot g)(x, y) = f(x)h(x, y)g(y), \quad f, g \in A, \quad h \in H.$$

In fact [2, 11, 5] any bimodule over  $A$  can be represented in this way. It is easily seen that if  $\eta'$  is another measure on  $X \times X$ , projecting onto  $\mu$ , and  $\eta'$  is mutually absolutely continuous with  $\eta$ , then  $H(\eta, n) \cong H(\eta', n)$  as bimodules over  $A$ .

Choose vectors  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots \in L^2(M, \phi)$ ,  $\|\xi_1\|_2 = 1$ ,  $\|\xi_i\|_2 \leq 1$ , a measure  $\mu$  on  $\hat{G}$  and a multiplicity function  $n : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_g(\xi_i) \perp \alpha_h(\xi_j) & \quad \forall i, j \quad \forall g, h \in G, \\ L^2(M, \phi) & = \overline{\text{span}}\{\alpha_g(\xi_i) : g \in G, i = 1, 2, \dots\}, \\ \langle \xi_i, \alpha_g(\xi_j) \rangle & = \hat{\mu}_i(g), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_i = \mu|_{n^{-1}(i)}$  and  $\hat{\cdot}$  denotes the Fourier transform. Let  $(X, \mu) = (\hat{G}, \nu_G)$ , where  $\nu_G$  is the Haar measure on  $G$ . Let

$$\eta(x, y) = \mu(x - y), \quad n(x, y) = n(x - y)$$

be a measure and a multiplicity function on  $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ , and let  $H = H(\eta, n)$  (note that the projections of  $\eta$  onto the coordinate directions are precisely  $\mu * \nu_G = \mu(\hat{G}) \cdot \nu_G$ ). We claim that  $H(\eta, n) \cong L^2(C, \psi)$  as bimodules. To see this, one can verify that the map  $p\xi_i p \mapsto p(x)p(y)\chi_{n^{-1}(\{i\})}$  for a projection  $p \in L^{\infty}(\hat{G})$  with  $\hat{\psi}(p) < +\infty$  is a bimodule isometry from the linear span of  $p\xi_i p \in L^2(C)$  to  $H(\eta, \mu)$ .

Note that the measure  $\mu$  (which is the “spectral measure” of the representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(M)$ ) is uniquely determined up to absolute continuity.

### 3. FULL TYPE III FACTORS

Assume that  $M$  is a full factor, so that its group of inner automorphisms  $\text{Inn}(M)$  is a closed subgroup of the group of all automorphisms  $\text{Aut}(M)$ , endowed with the  $u$ -topology [9, 4]. Let  $\text{Out}(M) = \text{Aut}(M)/\text{Inn}(M)$ , with the quotient topology. Denote by  $\pi$  the quotient map from  $\text{Aut}(M)$  to  $\text{Out}(M)$ , and by  $\delta$  the composition  $\pi \circ \sigma_t^{\phi}$  (which is independent of  $t$  by Connes’ Radon-Nikodym type theorem [3]).

Assume that the action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $M$  by  $t \mapsto \sigma_t^{\phi}$  extends, for some  $\phi$ , to an action of a locally compact completion  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  (by Proposition 2.1 above, this means that

either  $G$  is just  $\mathbb{R}$ , or  $G$  is compact, and  $\phi$  is almost-periodic). In this case, call  $\phi$  a  $G$ -state (or weight) on  $M$ . Call the crossed product

$$M \rtimes_{\sigma, \phi} G$$

the  $G$ -core of  $M$ . It is known [3, 4] that the  $G$ -core of  $M$  is independent of the choice of the state  $\phi$  (having the property that its modular group extends to  $G$ ).

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $M$  be full. Then define:

- (a)  $\tau(M)$  to be the weakest topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  making the map

$$\delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Out}(M)$$

continuous (this invariant was introduced by Connes in [4]).

- (b) The  $\mathcal{S}$  invariant to be the intersection

$$\mathcal{S}(M) = \bigcap_{\phi \text{ f.n.state on } M} \mathcal{C}_{\oplus_n (\Delta^\phi)^{\otimes n}}.$$

Part (b) of the definition is equivalent to the Sd invariant of Connes [4] if the intersection were to be taken over all faithful normal *almost-periodic* weights.

Note that since we are dealing only with states in the definition of  $\mathcal{S}(M)$ , the delta measure at 1 is always in  $\mathcal{S}(M)$ .

Assume that the  $G$ -core of  $M$  is a factor. Note that since the  $G$ -core has a semifinite normal trace, it is full iff its compression by a finite projection is non- $\Gamma$ . In particular, if the  $G$  core is a factor and is full, it has no non-trivial central sequences.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that for some  $G$ -state  $\phi$  on a factor  $M$  the  $G$ -core is a full factor. Then  $M$  is full.*

*Proof.* Let  $C = M \rtimes_{\sigma} G$  be the  $G$ -core of  $M$ . Assume for contradiction that  $M$  is not full. Then by [4, Corollary 3.6, Proposition 2.8] there exists a sequence of unitaries  $m_n \in M$ ,  $|m - \phi(m_n)| \not\rightarrow 0$ , and so that  $[m_n, m] \rightarrow 0$   $*$ -strongly for all  $m \in M$  and  $[m_n, \phi] \rightarrow 0$  in norm on  $M_*$  for any  $\phi \in M_*$ . View  $m_n \in C \supset M$ . We claim that  $\{m_n\}$  is a non-trivial central sequence in  $C$ . Let  $E : C \rightarrow L(G) \subset C$  be the canonical conditional expectation. Then  $E(m_n) = \phi(m_n)$ , thus  $E(m_n) - m_n$  does not go to zero  $*$ -strongly, so that  $m_n$  is not asymptotically scalar. For any  $m \in M$ ,  $[m_n, m] \rightarrow 0$   $*$ -strongly. Denote by  $U_g \in L(G) \subset C$ ,  $g \in G$ , the implementing unitaries. Then by Connes' results [4, Theorem 2.9(3)], for any  $t \in \mathbb{R} \subset G$ ,  $[m_n, U_g] \rightarrow 0$   $*$ -strongly. Hence  $[m_n, u] \rightarrow 0$   $*$ -strongly for any  $u \in W^*(M, U_t : t \in \mathbb{R}) = C$ . Hence  $m_n$  is an asymptotically central sequence in  $C$ . Since  $C$  is assumed to be a full factor, we have arrived at a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that for some  $G$ -state  $\phi$  on  $M$ , the  $G$ -core of  $M$  is a full factor. Then if for some  $H$  not necessarily locally compact containing  $\mathbb{R}$  as a dense subgroup, there is an  $H$ -weight  $\psi$  on  $M$ , one must have that  $H \subset G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = M \rtimes_{\sigma, \phi} G$ . Let  $L(G) \subset C$  be the canonical copy of the group algebra of  $G$ ; for  $g \in G$ , denote by  $w_g \in L(G)$  the implementing unitary. We write  $E_{L(G)}$  for the canonical conditional expectation from  $C$  onto  $L(G)$ .

Assume that  $H \not\subset G$ , so that the topology defined by the inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \subset H$  is not stronger than the topology defined by the inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \subset G$ . Hence there

exists a sequence  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , so that  $\sigma_{t_n}^\psi \rightarrow \text{id}$ , but  $\sigma_{t_n}^\phi$  does not converge. Let  $u_t = [\phi : \psi]_t \in M \subset C$ . It follows that

$$\text{Ad}_{u_t w_t} |_C = \sigma_t^\psi.$$

In particular,  $[u_{t_n} w_{t_n}, x] \rightarrow 0$  \*-strongly for all  $x \in M \subset C$ . Note moreover that  $u_t w_t$  commutes with  $u_s w_s$  (since they form a one-parameter subgroup of  $U(C)$ ). Hence for  $s$  fixed,  $[u_{t_n} w_{t_n}, u_s w_s] = 0$ , and since  $u_{t_n} w_{t_n}$  asymptotically commutes with  $M \subset C$ , it also follows that  $[u_{t_n} w_{t_n}, w_s] \rightarrow 0$  \*-strongly. It follows that  $u_{t_n} w_{t_n}$  is a central sequence in  $M$ . Hence, by the assumption that  $C$  is a full factor, and by the fact that  $C$  is type  $\text{II}_\infty$ , we find that  $\lambda_n u_{t_n} w_{t_n} \rightarrow 1$  \*-strongly for some scalars  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{T}$ . But then

$$E_{L(G)}(\lambda_n u_{t_n} w_{t_n}) \rightarrow 1$$

\*-strongly. Since  $u_{t_n} \in M \subset C$ ,  $E_{L(G)}(u_{t_n}) \in \mathbb{C}$ , so that  $\lambda'_n w_{t_n} \rightarrow 1$  \*-strongly for some sequence  $\lambda'_n \in \mathbb{T}$ . Hence  $\lambda_n \pi(t_n) \rightarrow 1$  \*-strongly, where  $\pi$  is the left regular representation of  $G$  (since the representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(C, \text{Tr})$  is a multiple of its left regular representation).

Now choose  $\phi$  a function on  $G$ , supported in a compact neighborhood of identity and so that  $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$ . Then  $\lambda_n \pi(t_n) \cdot \phi \rightarrow \phi$  in  $L^2$ . In particular, it means that the support  $X$  of  $\phi$  and its translate  $X + t_n$  cannot be disjoint once  $n$  is sufficiently large. It follows that  $t_n \in X - X$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . It follows that  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $G$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the  $G$ -core of  $M$  is full, then  $\tau(M) = \tau_G$ , the weakest topology making the inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \subset G$  continuous.*

We also have:

**Proposition 3.5.** *If  $M$  has a  $G$ -state and  $H$  is a discrete subgroup, then the  $H$ -core of  $M$  is not a full factor.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a  $G$ -state on  $M$ , and denote by  $C$  the  $H$ -core  $M \rtimes_{\sigma^\phi} H$ . Assume that  $C$  is a factor. By assumption, there exists a sequence  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  in the topology of  $G$ , but  $t_n$  not convergent in  $H$ . Denote by  $w_h \in C$ ,  $h \in H$ , the implementing unitaries for the  $H$  action on  $M$ . Then  $\text{Ad}_{w_{t_n}}(x) \rightarrow x$  \*-strongly for all  $x \in M \subset C$ ; moreover,  $\text{Ad}_{w_{t_n}}(w) = w$  for all  $w \in W^*(w_h : h \in H) = L(H)$ . Hence  $w_{t_n}$  form a central sequence. Arguing exactly as in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 3.3 we find that for no sequence of scalars  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{T}$  does  $\lambda_n w_{t_n} \rightarrow 1$  \*-strongly in the group algebra  $L(H)$ . Hence  $w_{t_n}$  is a non-trivial central sequence in  $M$ .

Choose  $p \in L(H) \subset C$  a projection of finite trace. Then  $[p, w_{t_n}] = 0$  and hence  $p w_{t_n} p$  is a central sequence in  $p C p$ , which has a finite trace. Thus  $p C p$  has property  $\Gamma$ . Hence by Connes' results [4],  $p C p$  and hence  $C$  is not full.  $\square$

#### 4. CROSSED PRODUCTS, FREE ENTROPY DIMENSION AND THE $\mathcal{S}$ INVARIANT

The main result of this section is a computation of the  $\mathcal{S}$  invariant of some type III factors  $M$ , for which the core has a sequence of generators with large free entropy dimension. We first recall some preliminaries.

**4.1. Free entropy dimension for infinite families of generators.** It is useful for us to consider Voiculescu's free entropy dimension in the context of an infinite family of generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in a von Neumann algebra  $M$ . We point out the necessary modifications of Voiculescu's approach ([20], [21]; see also [18], where a similar modification was necessary). We freely use the notations of [19], [20], [21].

Let  $x_i, 1 \leq i < +\infty$  and  $y_i, 1 \leq i < +\infty$  be in  $M$ . Fix a free ultrafilter  $\omega$  and an element  $\kappa$  in the Stone-Cech compactification of  $(0, 1]$ , not lying in this interval. Define

$$\chi^\omega(x_1, \dots, x_p : y_1, y_2, \dots; m, \epsilon) = \liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} \chi^\omega(x_1, \dots, x_p : y_1, \dots, y_q; m, \epsilon)$$

(note that the  $\liminf$  is actually a limit in this definition).

Define  $\chi^\omega(x_1, \dots, x_p : y_1, y_2, \dots)$  in exactly the same way as in [20], but using  $\chi$  defined above.

One still has the property

$$\chi^\omega(x_1, \dots, x_p : y_1, y_2, \dots) \leq \chi^\omega(x_1, \dots, x_p : z_1, \dots, z_l)$$

for all  $z_1, \dots, z_l \in W^*(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, y_2, \dots)$ .

Let  $S_1, \dots, S_p$  be a free semicircular family, free from  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \cup \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ . Set  $x_j^\epsilon = x_j + \epsilon S_j$ . Then define

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\omega, \kappa}^0(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p : y_1, y_2, \dots) \\ = p - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \kappa} \frac{\chi^\omega(x_1^\epsilon, \dots, x_p^\epsilon : S_1, \dots, S_p, y_1, y_2, \dots)}{\log \epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$\underline{\delta}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{\omega, \kappa}^0(x_1, \dots, x_p : x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \dots).$$

For a finite family  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  this is exactly Voiculescu's definition of free entropy dimension. In general,

$$\underline{\delta}(x_1, x_2, \dots) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{\omega, \kappa}^0(x_1, \dots, x_p).$$

Moreover,

$$0 \leq \underline{\delta}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

iff  $W^*(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  can be embedded into  $R^\omega$ , the ultrapower of the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor.

If  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  are free from  $x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \dots$ , then

$$\delta_{\omega, \kappa}^0(x_1, \dots, x_p : x_{p+1}, \dots) = \delta_{\omega, \kappa}^0(x_1, \dots, x_p).$$

In particular, if the families  $\{x_1\}, \dots, \{x_p\}, \dots, \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$  are free and  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$  is embeddable, we get by [21] that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\delta}(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots) &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{\omega, \kappa}^0(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_p : y_1, y_2, \dots) \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{\omega, \kappa}^0(x_1, \dots, x_p) + \underline{\delta}(y_1, y_2, \dots) \\ &\geq \sum_k \delta(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $M$  be a  $\text{II}_1$  von Neumann algebra. Denote by

$$\delta(M) = \sup_{x_1, x_2, \dots \in M} \underline{\delta}(x_1, x_2, \dots),$$

where the supremum is taken over all self-adjoint families (finite or infinite)  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  of generators of  $M$ . If  $N$  is type  $\text{II}_\infty$ , we write  $\delta(N)$  for the supremum over all finite-trace projections  $p \in N$  of  $\delta(pNp)$ .

*Remark 4.2.* It is quite likely that  $\delta(M) \in \{0, 1, +\infty\}$  if  $M$  is type  $\text{II}_\infty$ . Note also that  $\delta(M) \leq \delta(M \otimes B(H))$  for all  $M$ .

While  $\delta(M)$  is clearly an invariant of  $M$ , our inability to prove that the number  $\delta(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  is independent of the choice of generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  [19, 20, 21] results in the inability to compute the exact value of  $\delta$  for infinite-dimensional von Neumann algebras. However, as we pointed out above, if  $M = L(\mathbb{F}_n) * N$ , with  $N \subset R^\omega$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  or  $+\infty$ , we have  $\delta(M) \geq n$  and  $\delta(M \otimes B(H)) \geq n$  (in fact,  $= +\infty$  by [7]). Furthermore, as Voiculescu proved in [20],  $\delta(R) = 1$  if  $R$  is the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  (or of  $\text{II}_\infty$ ) factor; more generally,  $\delta(M) \leq 1$  if  $M$  has property  $\Gamma$  or has a Cartan subalgebra (since these properties are inherited by compressions of a von Neumann algebra, these statements are valid for  $M$  of type  $\text{II}_1$  or of type  $\text{II}_\infty$ ). It is also known that  $\delta(M) > 1$  implies that the center of  $M$  is at most atomic.

The following theorem essentially follows from the results of [20]; we sketch the necessary modifications of the proof coming from the fact that we may be dealing with infinite families of generators.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\text{II}_1$  or  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor. Let  $L^\infty(X, \mu) \cong A \subset M$  be a diffuse abelian subalgebra, so that  $\text{Tr}_M|_A$  is semifinite. View  $L^2(M)$  as an  $A, A$  bimodule, and identify it with  $H(\eta, n)$  for some measure  $\eta$  on  $X \times X$ . Assume that  $\eta$  is disjoint from  $\mu \times \mu$ , i.e.,  $X \times X = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , so that  $\eta(Y_1) = 0$  and  $(\mu \times \mu)Y_2 = 0$ . Then  $\delta(M) \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We first reduce to the case that  $M$  is type  $\text{II}_1$ . Given  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ , let  $p \in A$  be a finite projection, corresponding to the characteristic function of some set  $Y \subset X$ ,  $\mu(Y) < +\infty$ . Then view  $pMp$  as a bimodule over  $pAp$ . It is not hard to see that  $pMp$  can be identified with  $H(\eta', n')$ , with  $\eta'$  absolutely continuous with respect to  $\eta|_{Y \times Y \subset X \times X}$ ,  $n' = n|_{Y \times Y \subset X \times X}$ . If  $\eta$  is disjoint from  $\mu \times \mu$ , then  $\eta'$  is disjoint from  $\mu' = \mu|_Y$ . If the statement of the theorem can be proved for  $pMp$  and  $pAp \subset pMp$ , we would have that  $\delta(qMq) \leq 1$  for all  $q \in M$  of finite trace (since  $qMq$  depends up to isomorphism only on the center-valued trace of  $q$ ). Hence by definition we get that  $\delta(M) \leq 1$ .

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in M$  be a sequence of generators of  $M$ ; by rescaling (which does not affect  $\underline{\delta}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ ), assume that  $\|x_j\| = 1$ . By the hypothesis, given  $\omega, \delta > 0$  and a measure  $\eta'$  in the absolute continuity class of  $\eta$ , there exists an  $N = N(\eta', \omega, \delta)$  and a finite family of  $N$  disjoint measurable subsets  $X_i, i \in I$  of  $X$ , each of measure  $1/N$ , a subset  $K \subset I \times I$ , so that  $X = \bigcup X_i$  and  $\eta'(Y_2 \setminus \bigcup_{(i,j) \in K} X_i \times X_j) < \omega$ ,  $(\mu \times \mu)(\bigcup_{(i,j) \in K} X_i \times X_j) = |K|/N^2 < \delta$ . It follows that for each fixed  $T, \delta$  and  $\omega$ , there are projections  $p_1, \dots, p_N \in A$  of trace  $1/N$  (corresponding to the characteristic functions of  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  in the identification  $A \cong L^\infty(X, \mu)$ ), so that

$$\|x_t - \sum_{i,j \in K} p_i x_i p_j\|_2 < \omega$$

and

$$\frac{|K|}{N^2} < \delta$$

for all  $1 \leq t \leq T$ . Using Voiculescu's result [20] and the fact that  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in W^*(x_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_1, \dots, x_T + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_T, S_1, \dots, S_T, x_{T+1}, x_{T+2}, \dots)$ , we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_1, \dots, x_T + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_T : S_1, \dots, S_T, x_{T+1}, x_{T+2}, \dots) \\ \leq \chi(x_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_1, \dots, x_T + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_T : p_1, \dots, p_N) \\ \leq (T(1 - \delta) - 1) \log(\epsilon + \omega) + C, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant, independent of  $\omega$ ,  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$ . Letting  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  first, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} T - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \kappa} \frac{\chi(x_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_1, \dots, x_T + \sqrt{\epsilon}S_T : S_1, \dots, S_T, x_{T+1}, x_{T+2}, \dots)}{\log \epsilon} \\ \leq T - T(1 - \delta) + 1 = 1 + \delta T. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\delta_{\omega, \delta}^0(x_1, \dots, x_T : x_{T+1}, x_{T+2}, \dots) \leq 1$$

for all  $T$ . Hence  $\underline{\delta}(x_1, x_2, \dots) \leq 1$ . Since the sequence of generators  $\{x_j\}$  was arbitrary, we get that  $\delta(M) \leq 1$ .  $\square$

In a similar way one sees that  $\delta(M) > 1$  implies that  $M$  is a non- $\Gamma$  factor.

**4.2. Free entropy dimension and crossed products.** Using the estimates in [20], stated in Theorem 4.3, we record the following theorem (due to Voiculescu, but formulated by him under the additional hypothesis that  $M$  be finitely generated):

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(M, \phi)$  be a von Neumann algebra. Let  $\alpha$  be an action of a locally compact non-discrete abelian group  $G$  on  $M$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  preserves the state  $\phi$  on  $M$ . Denote by  $U_g : L^2(M, \phi) \rightarrow L^2(M, \phi)$  the unitaries extending  $\alpha(g) : M \rightarrow M$ . Let  $\mu \in M(\hat{G})$  be the spectral measure of the representation  $g \mapsto \bigoplus_n (U \oplus \bar{U})_g^{\otimes n}$  (here  $\bar{U}$  denotes the conjugate representation). Let  $C = (M \rtimes_{\alpha} G) \otimes B(H)$ . Assume that for some normal faithful weight  $\psi$  on  $L(G)$ , the composition  $\psi \circ E_{L(G)} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a normal faithful semifinite trace on  $C$ .*

*Then if  $C$  is a factor and satisfies  $\delta(C) > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  must contain the Haar measure of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* View  $C$  as a bimodule over the abelian subalgebra  $L(G, \gamma) \cong L^{\infty}(G, \mu)$  of  $M \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ . This bimodule can be identified with  $H(\eta, n)$  for some measure  $\eta$  on  $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$  and some multiplicity function  $n$  (see §2.3).

By Theorem 4.3,  $\eta$  cannot be disjoint from the product measure  $\nu_{\hat{G}} \times \nu_{\hat{G}}$  on  $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ . It follows that  $\mu$  cannot be disjoint from the Haar measure  $\nu_{\hat{G}}$ . We may assume that  $\mu$  is symmetric. We claim that  $\nu_{\hat{G}}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu' = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^n} \mu^{*n}$ , which is the spectral measure of  $g \mapsto \bigoplus_n U_g^{\otimes n}$ . We must show that if  $\mu'(X) = 0$ , then also  $\nu_{\hat{G}}(X) = 0$  for all Borel subsets  $X \subset \hat{G}$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\nu_{\hat{G}}(X) > 0$  but  $\mu'(X) = 0$ . Then  $\mu^{*n}(X) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\mu$  is not disjoint from  $\nu_G$ , there exists a subset  $Y$  of  $\hat{G}$ , for which  $\nu_{\hat{G}}|_Y = f \cdot \mu|_Y$ . By modifying  $\mu$  and  $Y$ , we may assume that  $f = 1$  and  $\mu(Y) = \nu_{\hat{G}}(Y) = 1$ . Then  $\nu_{\hat{G}} = f_n \cdot \mu^{*n}$  on  $nY = Y + Y + \dots + Y$  ( $n$  times). Moreover, since  $\mu$  and  $\nu_{\hat{G}}$  are

symmetric, we may assume that  $Y = -Y$ . Hence we find that  $\nu_{\hat{G}} = f \cdot \mu'$  on the subgroup of  $\hat{G}$  generated by  $Y$ .

Clearly,  $X$  (modulo a set of  $\nu_G$ -measure zero) is contained in the complement of  $H$ , and  $\nu_G(H) \neq 0$ . Since  $\nu_G$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, there exists a countable sequence  $x_n \in \hat{G}$ , so that (after possibly making  $X$  smaller by a set of  $\nu_G$ -measure zero)  $X \subset \bigcup_n (x_n + H)$ .

Let  $x \in \hat{G}$ . Then for any measure  $\sigma$  on  $\hat{G}$  of finite total mass,

$$(\mu_\alpha * \nu_G|_H)(x) = \sigma(x + H).$$

It follows that if there are finite measures  $\sigma_n$ , absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu'$  and so that  $\sigma_n(x_n + H) \neq 0$ , then  $\mu' * (\sum \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma_n)$  (and hence  $\mu' * \mu'$  and hence  $\mu' \sim \mu' * \mu'$ ) gives  $X$  a non-zero measure (which would be a contradiction). Hence  $\mu'(x_n + H) = 0$  for some  $n$ . Let  $p \in L^\infty(\hat{G})$  be the projection corresponding to the characteristic function of  $H$ , and let  $q \in L^\infty(\hat{G})$  be the projection corresponding to the characteristic function of  $H + x_n$ . Let  $(s, t) \in H \times (H + x_n) \subset \hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ . Then  $s - t \in H - H + x_n \in H + x_n$ . Since  $\mu'(x_n + H) = 0$ , it follows that the characteristic function of  $H \times (H + x_n)$  is zero in  $L^2(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}, \eta, n)$ , where  $\eta$  and  $n$  are as in §2.3. But this implies that  $pCq = 0$ , so that  $p$  and  $q$  are not equivalent in  $C$ . Hence  $C$  is not a factor. Contradiction.  $\square$

It should be noted that this theorem is of interest even in the type  $\text{II}_\infty$  case. For example, we get as a consequence that an amplification of a free group factor  $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \otimes B(H)$  cannot be written as a crossed product  $N \rtimes_\alpha G$ , with  $G$  abelian, unless the spectral measure of  $\alpha$  contains Lebesgue measure on  $G$ .

### 4.3. Consequences for the $\mathcal{S}$ invariant.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Assume that for some normal faithful  $G$  state  $\phi$  on  $M$ , the  $G$ -core  $C$  of  $M$  satisfies  $\delta(C) > 1$ . Then for any other n.f.s. weight  $\psi$  on  $M$ , the Haar measure on  $\hat{G}$  is contained in the spectral measure of the action of  $G$  on  $\bigoplus_n L^2(M, \psi)^{\otimes n}$ .*

*Proof.* This is immediate from  $C = M \rtimes_{\sigma_\phi} G$  and Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Assume that the core  $C$  of  $M$  satisfies  $\delta(C) > 1$ . Assume that there exists a state  $\phi$  on  $M$ , for which the spectral measure of the modular group is  $\lambda + \delta_1$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(M) = \mathcal{C}_{\lambda + \delta_1}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.5, we get that  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{S}(M)$ . Because  $\phi$  is a state,  $\mathcal{S}(M) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\lambda + \delta_1}$ .  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATIONS TO FREE ARAKI-WOODS FACTORS

**5.1.  $G$ -core for certain free Araki-Woods factors.** Let  $\hat{G} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and denote by  $\sigma$  its Haar measure.

Let  $\nu$  be a measure on  $\hat{G}$ , which is symmetric,  $\nu(X) = \nu(-X)$ . Extending  $\nu$  to all of  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\nu(X) = \nu(X \cap \hat{G})$  gives us a measure on the real line.

Let  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \nu) = L^2(\hat{G}, \nu)$ . Denote by  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$  the subspace of  $H$  consisting of functions with the property that  $f(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$ . Then  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a real subspace of  $H$ , and the restriction of the inner product on  $H$  to  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$  is real-valued. Moreover, the one-parameter group of unitary operators

$$U_t : t \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{\exp(it)}$$

of multiplication operators acting on  $H$  leaves  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$  invariant and hence defines an action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on this real Hilbert space.

Note that if we consider the dual inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \subset G$ , then the map

$$t \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{\exp(it)} : L^2(\hat{G}, \nu) \rightarrow L^2(\hat{G}, \nu)$$

extends to the map

$$g \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{(g, \cdot)} : L^2(\hat{G}, \nu) \rightarrow L^2(\hat{G}, \nu),$$

where  $\langle g, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the function  $\langle g, \cdot \rangle(\chi) = \chi(g)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ . Hence  $U_t$  extends to an action  $U_g$  of  $G$  on  $H$ ; it is not hard to see that once again  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$  is invariant under  $U_g$ ,  $g \in G$ , and hence  $G$  acts on the real Hilbert space  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$  as well. Note that  $U_g$  is isomorphic to the left regular representation of  $G$ . In particular, the spectral measure of the infinitesimal generator of  $t \mapsto U_t$  is  $\nu$ .

Let  $\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$  be the free Araki-Woods factor [15] associated to the action  $U_t$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and let  $\phi$  denote the free quasi-free state on  $\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ . For convenience we shall write  $\Gamma(\mu)$  for this von Neumann algebra.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be the Haar measure on  $G$ . Then the  $G$ -core of  $M = \Gamma(\sigma)''$  is isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_{\infty}) \otimes B(H)$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that in the case that  $G$  is compact, the  $G$ -core is the so-called discrete core of  $M$ , and the claimed isomorphism was already proved by Dykema ([6]; see [15] for the argument reducing the case of a general Araki-Woods factor to the form which can utilize Dykema's results). Therefore, we proceed under the assumption that  $G$  is not compact and hence  $G = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\nu_{\hat{G}}$  is the Lebesgue measure. In particular,  $\nu_{\hat{G}}$  is non-atomic.

Let  $C$  denote the core. Let  $\xi \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a cyclic vector for  $U_g$ ,  $g \in G$  (one can take, for example, any function  $f(x) = f(-x)$ , which is nowhere zero on  $\hat{G}$ , and which lies in  $L^2(\hat{G}, \sigma)$ ). Let  $\phi$  be the positive-definite function on  $G$  associated to  $\xi$ ,  $\phi(g) = \langle \xi, U_g \xi \rangle$ . Let  $\mu$  be the Fourier transform of  $\phi$  (viewed as a measure on  $\hat{G}$ ). By [16] and [17],

$$C \cong \Phi(L(G), \eta),$$

where  $\eta$  is a completely positive map from  $L(G) \cong L^{\infty}(\hat{G}) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\hat{G})$  given by

$$h \mapsto h * \mu,$$

$*$  denoting the convolution on measures on  $\hat{G}$ . Notice that the measure  $\mu$  is just the measure resulting from applying the state  $\langle \xi, \cdot \xi \rangle$  to the spectral measure of the infinitesimal generator of  $U_g$ . Hence  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure  $\sigma$  on  $\hat{G}$ .

The  $L(G)$ ,  $L(G)$  bimodule associated to this completely positive map is

$$L^2(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}, \hat{\mu}),$$

where  $\hat{\mu}(\chi, \chi') = \mu(\chi - \chi')$ , with  $L(G) \cong L^{\infty}(\hat{G})$  acting by

$$(f\zeta g)(\chi, \chi') = f(\chi)\zeta(\chi, \chi')g(\chi').$$

The real Jordan sub-bimodule of this bimodule (cf. [17]) is generated by the constant function 1.

By arguing exactly as in [16], it follows that

$$C \cong \Phi(L^{\infty}(G), \text{Tr}) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_{\infty}) \otimes B(H)$$

as claimed. □

The same proof works to show that

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $M = \Gamma(\sigma)$  as before and let  $\phi$  be the free quasi-free state on  $M$ . Then the  $G$  core of the  $n$ -fold free product  $(M, \phi)^{*n}$ , for any  $n \geq 1$  or  $n = +\infty$ , is  $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes B(H)$ .*

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $(N, \theta)$  be a full factor with a  $G$ -state  $\theta$ . Assume that the compression of the  $G$  core of  $N$  to any of its finite projections can be embedded into  $R^\omega$ . Denote by  $C$  the  $G$ -core of  $(N, \theta) * (\Gamma(\nu_{\hat{G}}), \phi)$ . Then  $\delta(C) = +\infty$ . In particular,  $C$  is full.*

*Proof.* Fix  $p \in N \rtimes_\sigma G$  a projection of trace 1. By [17],

$$\begin{aligned} C &\cong (N \rtimes_\sigma G) *_{L(G)} (M \rtimes_\sigma G) \\ &\cong (N \rtimes_\sigma G) *_{L(G)} \Phi(L(G), \text{Tr}) \cong \Phi(N \rtimes_\sigma G, \text{Tr}) \\ &\cong (p(N \rtimes_\sigma G)p) * L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes B(H), \end{aligned}$$

the last isomorphism by [12] (see also [7]). Since by assumption  $N \rtimes_\sigma G$  is embeddable in  $R^\omega$ , we get that  $\delta(C) = +\infty$ .  $\square$

For the purpose of the next theorem, it is useful to make the following remark (which is probably folklore, but we sketch the proof nonetheless):

**Proposition 5.4.** *The existence of an almost-periodic state is equivalent (for a separable full factor  $M$ ) to the requirement that there be an almost-periodic weight.*

*Proof.* We may of course restrict to the case that  $M$  is of type III<sub>1</sub>. If there is an almost-periodic weight, then by Connes' results [4],  $M \cong N \rtimes \Gamma$ , where  $N$  is a separable semi-finite von Neumann algebra, and  $\Gamma$  is a countable discrete abelian group acting on  $M$  by trace-scaling automorphisms  $\alpha_\gamma$ . There is a normal conditional expectation  $E$  from  $M$  onto  $N$ , given by integration over the group dual  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\tau$  be the semifinite trace on  $N$ , and consider the semifinite weight  $\psi = \tau \circ E$  on  $M$ . The modular group of  $\psi$  is almost-periodic, and  $\sigma^\psi$  can be extended to a compact completion  $G = \hat{\Gamma}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover,  $N$  is in the centralizer of  $\psi$  and the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $N$  is semifinite ( $= \tau$ ). It follows that if  $d \in N_+$  and  $\tau(d) < +\infty$ , then the modular group of the state  $\phi = \psi(d^{1/2} \cdot d^{1/2})$  is given by  $x \mapsto \sigma_t^\psi(\beta_t(x))$ , where  $\beta_t(x) = d^{it} x d^{-it}$ . Note that  $\sigma_t^\psi$  and  $\beta_s$  commute for all  $t$  and  $s$ . Now choose  $d$  so that its spectral measure is atomic and supported on  $\Gamma$  (one can in fact choose  $d$  so that its spectrum is supported on the set  $\{\lambda^{-k}\}_{k \geq 0}$  for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ ). Then  $\beta_t(x)$  also extends to  $G$ , and so  $(s, t) \mapsto \beta_s \circ \sigma_t^\psi$  extends to an action of  $G \times G$ . Restricting this action to the diagonal of  $G \times G$ , we find that the modular group of  $\phi$  extends to  $G$ , and so  $\phi$  is an almost-periodic state.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *There exists a continuum of mutually non-isomorphic free Araki-Woods factors, each having no almost-periodic weights.*

*Proof.* It was shown in [17] that for each topology  $\tau(\mu)$  as discussed above, there exists a free Araki-Woods factor whose  $\tau$  invariant is exactly  $\tau(\mu)$ . Moreover, a factor  $M$  has an almost-periodic weight iff the completion of  $\mathbb{R}$  with respect to  $\tau(\mu)$  is compact in that topology (hence  $\mu$  is atomic, as then  $\mu$  is supported on the Pontrjagin dual  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R} \subset \hat{\Gamma}$  is the inclusion of  $\mathbb{R}$  into its completion with respect to  $\tau$ ). By Theorem 2.3, there exists a continuum of mutually non-equivalent topologies  $\tau(\mu_\lambda)$ , with  $\mu_\lambda$  non-atomic.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *There exist two non-isomorphic free Araki-Woods factors, which cannot be distinguished by their  $\tau$  invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  be the free Araki-Woods factor associated to the representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  with spectral measure  $\delta_1 + \delta_{-1} + \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on the additive group  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1 and by Corollary 4.5,

$$\mathcal{C}(M_1) \supset \mathcal{C}_\lambda.$$

Moreover, we have that  $\tau(M_1) = \tau(\delta_1 + \delta_{-1} + \lambda)$  is the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  [17].

Let  $M_2$  be the free Araki-Woods factor associated to the representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  with spectral measure  $\mu + \delta_{-1} + \delta_1$ , where  $\mu$  is as in Theorem 2.4. Then again  $\tau(M_2) = \tau(\mu + \delta_{-1} + \delta_1) = \tau(\mu)$  is the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\tau(M_1) = \tau(M_2)$ . However,

$$\mathcal{C}(M_2) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\sum \frac{1}{2^n}(\mu + \delta_1 + \delta_{-1})^n},$$

so that

$$\mathcal{C}(M_2) \cap \mathcal{C}_\lambda = \emptyset.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{C}(M_2) \neq \mathcal{C}(M_1)$$

and so  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are not isomorphic. In fact, going through the proof of Theorem 4.4, we see that the cores of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are not isomorphic (one has  $\delta(M_1) > 1$ ,  $\delta(M_2) \leq 1$ ).  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship, a Sloan Foundation fellowship, and NSF grant DMS-0102332. I thank MSRI and the organizers of the operator algebras program for their hospitality and for the encouraging atmosphere. I am also grateful to Professors N. Brown, T. Giordano, U. Haagerup, Y. Ueda and D.-V. Voiculescu for many useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Barnett, *Free products of von Neumann algebras of type III*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 543–553. MR **95c**:46096
2. A. Connes, *Correspondences*, unpublished notes.
3. ———, *Une classification des facteurs de type III*, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **6** (1973), 133–252. MR **49**:5865
4. ———, *Almost periodic states and factors of type III<sub>1</sub>*, J. Funct. Anal. **16** (1974), 415–455. MR **50**:10840
5. ———, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.
6. K. Dykema, *Free products of finite dimensional and other von Neumann algebras with respect to non-tracial states*, Free Probability (D.-V. Voiculescu, ed.), Fields Institute Communications, vol. 12, American Mathematical Society, 1997, pp. 41–88. MR **98c**:46131
7. K. Dykema and F. Rădulescu, *Compressions of free products of von Neumann algebras*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000), no. 1, 61–82. MR **2001f**:46100
8. C. C. Graham and O. C. McGehee, *Essays in commutative harmonic analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. MR **81d**:43001
9. U. Haagerup, *The standard form of von Neumann algebras*, Math. Scand. **37** (1975), 271–283. MR **53**:11387
10. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979, Structure of topological groups, integration theory, group representations. MR **81k**:43001
11. S. Popa, *Correspondences*, INCREST preprint, 1986.

12. S. Popa and D. Shlyakhtenko, *Universal properties of  $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$  in subfactor theory*, MSRI preprint 2000-032, to appear in Acta Math.
13. F. Rădulescu, *A one parameter group of automorphisms of  $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$  scaling the trace*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **314** (1992), no. 1, 1027–1032. MR **93i**:46111
14. ———, *A type  $III_\lambda$  factor with core isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra of a free group, tensor  $B(H)$* , Recent advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992), no. 232, Astérisque, 1995, pp. 203–209. MR **97a**:46083
15. D. Shlyakhtenko, *Free quasi-free states*, Pacific J. Math **177** (1997), 329–368. MR **98b**:46086
16. ———, *Some applications of freeness with amalgamation*, J. reine angew. Math. **500** (1998), 191–212. MR **99j**:46079
17. ———, *A-valued semicircular systems*, J. Func. Anal **166** (1999), 1–47. MR **2000j**:46124
18. ———, *Microstates free entropy and cost of equivalence relations*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), 375–425.
19. D.-V. Voiculescu, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory II*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 411–440. MR **96a**:46117
20. ———, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, III*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **6** (1996), 172–199. MR **96m**:46119
21. ———, *A strengthened asymptotic freeness result for random matrices with applications to free entropy*, IMRN **1** (1998), 41–64. MR **2000d**:46080
22. D.-V. Voiculescu, K. Dykema, and A. Nica, *Free random variables*, CRM monograph series, vol. 1, American Mathematical Society, 1992. MR **94c**:46133

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90095

*E-mail address*: `shlyakht@math.ucla.edu`