FLAT HOLOMORPHIC CONNECTIONS ON PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER A PROJECTIVE MANIFOLD
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Abstract. Let $G$ be a connected complex linear algebraic group and $R_u(G)$ its unipotent radical. A principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ over a projective manifold $M$ will be called polystable if the associated principal $G/R_u(G)$–bundle is so. A $G$–bundle $E_G$ over $M$ is polystable with vanishing characteristic classes of degrees one and two if and only if $E_G$ admits a flat holomorphic connection with the property that the image in $G/R_u(G)$ of the monodromy of the connection is contained in a maximal compact subgroup of $G/R_u(G)$.

1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact connected Riemann surface $M$. A result due to Weil says that $E$ admits a flat connection if and only if each direct summand of $E$ is of degree zero [We], [At, p. 203, Theorem 10]. This criterion can be extended to holomorphic principal $G$–bundles over $M$, where $G$ is a connected reductive linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ [AB]. The present work started by trying to find a criterion for the existence of a flat connection on principal bundles whose structure group is not reductive.

Let $P$ be a connected complex linear algebraic group with $R_u(P)$ its unipotent radical. So then $L(P) := P/R_u(P)$ is the Levi group, which is reductive.

Given a holomorphic principal $P$–bundle $E_P$ over a compact connected Riemann surface $M$, it is natural to conjecture that $E_P$ admits a flat connection if and only if the corresponding principal $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$, obtained by extending the structure group of $E_P$ using the projection of $P$ to $L(P)$, admits a flat connection. The simplest situation would be the following. Let $F$ be a holomorphic subbundle of a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ over $M$ such that both $F$ and $E/F$ admit flat connections. Does this imply that $E$ admits a flat connection that preserves the subbundle $F$? The answer is not known even in this special case.

On the other hand, there is a very rich theory of special connections on a fairly general class of bundles (both vector and principal).

In [NS] it was proved that a topologically trivial holomorphic vector bundle $E$ over a compact connected Riemann surface admits a unitary flat connection if and only if $E$ is polystable. In [Do1] it was proved by Donaldson that a vector bundle $E$ over a smooth projective surface equipped with a Kähler metric admits a Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection if and only if $E$ is polystable. In [Do2] this was...
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extended to vector bundles over projective manifolds of arbitrary dimension. Uhlenbeck and Yau in [UY] proved a more general result; they proved the existence of a Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection for polystable vector bundles over an arbitrary compact Kähler manifold. In particular, a vector bundle $E$ over a projective manifold, or more generally over a compact Kähler manifold, admits a unitary flat connection if and only if $E$ is polystable and the first and second rational Chern classes of $E$ vanish.

Let $G$ be a connected reductive linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$. The notion of polystability of a $G$–bundle was introduced in [Ra1]. In [RS] it was proved that a principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ over a projective manifold $M$ admits a Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection if and only if $E_G$ is polystable. When $M$ is a Riemann surface was proved in [Ra1]. So, in particular, a principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ over a projective manifold admits a flat holomorphic connection with monodromy contained in a maximal compact subgroup of $G$ if and only if $E_G$ is polystable and all the (rational) characteristic classes of $E_G$ of degree one and degree two vanish.

Here we consider an arbitrary linear algebraic group $P$ over $\mathbb{C}$, not necessarily reductive. As before, $L(P) = P/R_u(P)$ is the maximal reductive quotient of $P$.

Let $M$ be a connected projective manifold equipped with a fixed polarization. A principal $P$–bundle $E_P$ over $M$ will be called polystable if the associated principal $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$ over $M$ is polystable. A Geometric Invariant Theoretic justification of this definition can be found in [Dr].

Let $E_P$ be a polystable principal $P$–bundle over $M$ with vanishing rational characteristic classes of both degrees one and two. We prove that $E_P$ admits a flat holomorphic connection whose monodromy has the above property, then $E_P$ is polystable and all the (rational) characteristic classes of $E_P$ of positive degree vanish.

The converse is also true. If $E_P$ admits a flat holomorphic connection whose monodromy has the above property, then $E_P$ is polystable and all the (rational) characteristic classes of $E_P$ of positive degree vanish.

Theorem 3.1 was proved in [Si] for the case where $P$ is a parabolic subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. What we do here is to derive Theorem 3.1 for general $P$ using [Si]. Although the construction of the Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection done in [Do2], [UY], and [RS] do not need any assumption on the Chern classes, the construction of connection done in [Si] for parabolic subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ crucially uses the assumption that the first and the second Chern classes of the vector bundle vanish.

The Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection on a polystable vector (or principal) bundle constructed in [Do2], [UY], and [RS] is unique. A polystable vector (or principal) bundle admits exactly one such connection. Since we quotient $P$ by its unipotent radical, it may happen that $E_P$ has two distinct flat connections sharing the property that the image of the monodromy in $L(P)$ lies in a compact subgroup. In other words, the uniqueness statement fails in Theorem 3.1. See Remark 5.7 for the details.

Theorem 3.1 implies that the conjecture stated in the beginning is true if $E_{L(P)}$ is polystable. In other words, if $E_P$ is a principal $P$–bundle over a compact connected Riemann surface such that the corresponding principal $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$ is polystable and admits a flat holomorphic connection, then $E_P$ itself admits a flat holomorphic connection.
As a corollary of Theorem 3.1, any principal $P$–bundle over a projective manifold $M$, where $P$ is unipotent, admits a flat holomorphic connection (Corollary 3.8).

For $P$ solvable, a principal $P$–bundle $E_P$ over $M$ admits a flat holomorphic connection if and only if for every character $\chi$ of $P$ the associated line bundle $(E_P \times \mathbb{C})/P$ over $M$ is of degree zero (Corollary 3.9).

2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be a connected smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Fix an ample line bundle $\xi$ over $M$. The degree of a coherent sheaf $F$ on $M$ is defined to be

$$\deg(F) := \int_M c_1(F)c_1(\xi)^{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $d = \dim M$.

Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$. An algebraic principal $G$–bundle over $M$ is a smooth complex variety $E_G$ equipped with a right action $\phi : E_G \times G \to E_G$ of $G$, and a surjective affine submersion

$$\phi : E_G \to M$$

such that

1. $\phi \circ \psi$ coincides with $\phi \circ p_1$, where $p_1$ is the natural projection of $E_G \times G$ to $E_G$;
2. the map to the fiber product over $M$

$$(\psi, p_1) : E_G \times G \to E_G \times_M E_G$$

is an isomorphism.

Note that the first condition implies that $\phi$ is $G$–equivariant (with the trivial action on $M$), and the second condition implies that $G$ acts freely transitively on the fibers of $\phi$.

Let $E_G$ be a principal $G$–bundle over $M$. All principal bundles, with an algebraic group as the structure group, considered here will be algebraic.

For a closed algebraic subgroup $G'$ of $G$ and a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subseteq M$, a reduction of structure group over $U$ of $E_G$ to $G'$ is defined by giving an algebraic section of $E_G/G'$ over $U$. If $\sigma$ is such a section and

$q : E_G \to E_G/G'$

is the quotient map, then $q^{-1}(\text{image}(\sigma))$ is clearly a principal $G'$–bundle over $U$. Conversely, all $G'$–bundles $E_{G'} \subset E_G|_U$ are obtained this way.

Let the above considered group $G$ be reductive. A parabolic subgroup of $G$ is a Zariski closed algebraic proper subgroup $P \subset G$ such that the quotient $G/P$ is complete. Let

$L(P) := P/R_u(P)$

be the Levi factor of $P$, where $R_u(P)$ is the unipotent radical. We recall that $R_u(P)$ is the largest connected normal unipotent subgroup of $P$ [Hum p. 125]. If we fix a maximal torus $T$ of $G$ contained in $P$, then the projection of $P$ to $L(P)$ has a canonical splitting, that is, $P$ is the semi–direct product of $L(P)$ and $R_u(P)$. Indeed, after fixing $T$ the Levi factor, $L(P)$ is identified with the maximal reductive subgroup of $P$ invariant under the adjoint action of $T$ on $P$. 
We will briefly recall the definition of (semi)stability of a principal $G$–bundle over $M$ (see [Ra2, RS] for the details).

Let $E_G$ be a principal $G$–bundle over $M$ and let $\sigma : U \rightarrow (E_G/P)|_U$ be a reduction of structure group of $E_G$ to a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ over a nonempty Zariski open subset $U$ of $M$. Note that if the complement $M \setminus U$ is of codimension at least two, then the direct image $\iota_* F$ is a coherent sheaf on $M$, where $F$ is any coherent sheaf on $U$ and $\iota$ is the inclusion map of $U$ in $M$.

The principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ is called stable (respectively, semistable) if and only if $\text{codim}(M \setminus U) \geq 2$, the inequality

$$\deg(\iota_* \sigma^* T_{\text{rel}}) > 0$$

(respectively, $\deg(\iota_* \sigma^* T_{\text{rel}}) \geq 0$) is valid; here $T_{\text{rel}}$ denotes the relative tangent bundle for the projection $E_G/P \rightarrow M$.

Let $Z_0$ denote the connected component of the center of the reductive group $G$ containing the identity element.

Let $E_P \subset E_G$ be a reduction of structure group of a principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ to a parabolic subgroup $P$ (not necessarily maximal) over a Zariski open subset $U \subset M$, with $\text{codim}(M \setminus U) \geq 2$. Let $\chi$ be a nontrivial character of $P$ trivial on $Z_0$ and dominant with respect to a Borel subgroup contained in $P$. So

$$E_P(\chi) := \frac{E_P \times \mathbb{C}}{P}$$

is a line bundle over $U$, where the quotient is for the diagonal action of $P$ with $P$ acting on $\mathbb{C}$ through $\chi$. More precisely, that action of any $g \in P$ sends a point $(y, c) \in E_P \times \mathbb{C}$ to $(yg, \chi(g^{-1}) c)$. The $G$–bundle $E_G$ is stable (respectively, semistable) if and only if

$$\deg(\iota_* E_P(\chi)) < 0$$

(respectively, $\deg(\iota_* E_P(\chi)) \leq 0$) in every such situation for every parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$ [Ra1, RS p. 22]. We recall that a character $\chi$ of $P$ trivial on $Z_0$ is dominant if and only if the dual of the line bundle over $G/P$ associated to $\chi$ admits nonzero global sections.

Let $E_P \subset E_G$ be a reduction of structure group of $E_G$ over $M$ to a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$. This reduction is called admissible if for every character $\chi$ of $P$ trivial on $Z_0$, the associated line bundle $E_P(\chi)$ is of degree zero [Ra2 p. 307, Definition 3.3].

We will explain the notion of admissibility by giving a couple of examples. Let $V$ (respectively, $W$) be a holomorphic vector bundle over $M$ of rank $m$ (respectively, $n$) and positive (respectively, negative) degree. The vector bundle $V \oplus W$ defines a principal $\text{GL}(m+n, \mathbb{C})$–bundle, and the direct sum decomposition gives a reduction of structure group to $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Note that $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a Levi subgroup of the parabolic subgroup of $\text{GL}(m+n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by all $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ invertible matrices $A$ satisfying the condition that $A_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i > m$ and $j \leq m$. Consider the character $\chi$ of $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ that sends any $(A_1, A_2)$ to $(\det A_1)^m/ (\det A_2)^n$. (Note that the group of characters of a parabolic subgroup coincide with the group of characters of its Levi factor; this follows from the fact that a unipotent group does not have any nontrivial character.) Clearly $\chi$ vanishes on the center of $\text{GL}(m+n, \mathbb{C})$. From the condition on the degrees of $V$ and $W$ it follows
immediately that the line bundle over $M$ associated to the $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-bundle $V \oplus W$ for the character $\chi$ is of positive degree. In fact the degree of the line bundle is $n \cdot \deg(V) - m \cdot \deg(W)$. Therefore, this is not an admissible reduction. On the other hand, if $\text{rank}(W) \cdot \deg(V) = \text{rank}(V) \cdot \deg(W)$, then the decomposition $V \oplus W$ is an admissible reduction.

For any holomorphic vector bundle $V$ over $M$ of rank $n$, the vector bundle $V \oplus V^\ast$ has an $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ structure as well as an $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ structure. The symplectic structure on $V \oplus V^\ast$ is defined by

$$(a_1, a_2) \times (a'_1, a'_2) \mapsto a'_2(a_1) - a'_1(a_2),$$

and the orthogonal structure is defined by

$$(a_1, a_2) \times (a'_1, a'_2) \mapsto a'_2(a_1) + a'_1(a_2).$$

The decomposition $V \oplus V^\ast$ defines a reduction of structure group of the $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and the $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$–bundle to $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Note that $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ sits inside $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ as

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Also, this homomorphism makes $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ a Levi subgroup of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ as well as of $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the character $\chi$ of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by $A \mapsto \det A$. Note that the center of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is a discrete group. The line bundle associated to $V \oplus V^\ast$ for this character is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^n V$. Therefore, the reduction of the $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$–bundle defined $V \oplus V^\ast$ is admissible if and only if $\deg(V) = 0$.

A principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ over $M$ is called polystable if either $E_G$ is stable or there is a parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$ and a reduction

$$E_{L(P)} \subset E_G$$

over $M$ of structure group of $E_G$ to the Levi factor $L(P)$ (recall that $L(P)$ can be realized as a subgroup of $P$) such that

1. the principal $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$ is stable;
2. the extension of structure group of $E_{L(P)}$ to $P$, constructed using the inclusion of $L(P)$ in $P$, is an admissible reduction of $E_G$ to $P$.

(See the following comment.)

For a principal $H$–bundle $E_H$ and a homomorphism $f$ of $H$ to $H'$, consider the action of $H$ on $E_H \times H'$ defined by the condition that the action of any $g \in H$ sends a point $(y, h') \in E_H \times H'$ to $(yg, f(g^{-1})h')$. We recall that the quotient $(E_H \times H')/H$ for this action is a principal $H'$–bundle, which is known as the one obtained by extending the structure group of $E_H$ using $f$. Now note that since $E_{L(P)}$ is a reduction of structure group of $E_G$ to the subgroup $L(P)$, the principal $P$–bundle obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{L(P)}$, using the inclusion of $L(P)$ in $P$, is identified with a reduction of structure group of $E_G$ to $P$.

It is easy to see that any polystable $G$–bundle is semistable.

Let $E_P$ be a principal $P$–bundle over $M$, where $P$ is a connected complex linear algebraic group. As before, set $L(P) := P/R_u(P)$, where $R_u(P)$ is the unipotent radical of $P$ (see [Bo] p. 157, §1.12.1, [Hu] §19.5 for its definition and properties). So, $L(P)$ is a connected complex reductive algebraic group. Let $E_{L(P)}$ denote the principal $L(P)$–bundle obtained by extending the structure group of $E_P$ using the projection of $P$ to $L(P)$. 


Definition 2.1. The $P$–bundle $E_P$ will be called stable (respectively, semistable) if the $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$ is stable (respectively, semistable). Similarly, $E_P$ will be called polystable if $E_{L(P)}$ is polystable.

We note that for Geometric Invariant Theoretic quotients of polarized varieties using nonreductive group actions, the semistability of a point is defined in terms of the Levi group. See [DJ] p. 769, Définition 1] for the definition of (semi)stability for the action of a group which is not necessarily reductive.

From the above definition it follows that if $P$ is a solvable group, then $E_P$ is stable. Indeed, in that case $L(P)$ is isomorphic to a product of copies of $\mathbb{C}^*$; any principal bundle with a product of copies of $\mathbb{C}^*$ as structure group is stable (as $\mathbb{C}^*$ does not have any parabolic subgroup).

Let $G$ be a complex reductive algebraic group and let $Q \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup. Let $E_Q \subset E_G$ be a reduction to $Q$ of the structure group of a principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ over $M$. If $E_G$ is semistable (or polystable), it is not necessary that the principal $Q$–bundle $E_Q$ over $M$ be semistable (or polystable). However, this is valid for admissible reductions, as shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. Let $E_Q \subset E_G$ be an admissible reduction. The $G$–bundle $E_G$ is semistable if and only if $E_Q$ is semistable. If $E_G$ is polystable, then $E_Q$ is polystable.

Proof. That $E_Q$ is semistable if and only if $E_G$ is semistable is Lemma 3.5.11(i) in [Ra2] p. 311. Although in [Ra2] the base $M$ is assumed to be a curve, the proof of Lemma 3.5.11(i) does not use this assumption on dimension.

The assertion on polystability can be deduced from the combination of Lemma 3.5.11 of [Ra2] with [Ra2, Lemma 3.13]. But we will give a more self-contained proof. Assume that $E_G$ is polystable.

Since $E_G$ is polystable, the adjoint vector bundle $ad(E_G) := (E_G \times \mathfrak{g})/G$ is polystable [RS] p. 29, Theorem 3], where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $G$ equipped with the adjoint action of $G$, and the quotient is for the twisted diagonal action of $G$ on $E_G \times \mathfrak{g}$. From the assumption that $E_Q \subset E_G$ is an admissible reduction it follows that the adjoint vector bundle $ad(E_Q) := (E_Q \times \mathfrak{q})/Q$ is of degree zero ($\mathfrak{q}$ is the Lie algebra of $Q$). Indeed, considering the adjoint action of $Q$ on the line $\Lambda^\top q$ we see that the corresponding line bundle $(E_Q \times \Lambda^\top q)/Q$ associated to $E_Q$, which is identified with $\Lambda^\top ad(E_Q)$, is of degree zero; the character of $Q$ defined by the action on $\Lambda^\top q$ is trivial on $Z_0$, and hence from the definition of an admissible reduction it follows immediately that the associated line bundle $\Lambda^\top ad(E_Q)$ is of degree zero.

A subbundle of degree zero of a polystable vector bundle of degree zero is also polystable. Since $G$ is reductive, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a nondegenerate $G$–invariant bilinear form. Hence the vector bundle $ad(E_G)$ is isomorphic to $ad(E_G)^*$; in particular, $\deg(ad(E_G)) = 0$. The vector bundle $ad(E_Q)$, being a subbundle of degree zero of the polystable vector bundle $ad(E_Q)$ of degree zero, is also polystable.

A quotient vector bundle of degree zero of a polystable vector bundle bundle of degree zero is again polystable. Let $L(Q)$ denote the Levi group of $Q$ and $E_{L(Q)}$ denote the principal $L(Q)$–bundle over $M$ obtained by extending the structure group of $E_Q$ using the projection of $Q$ to $L(Q)$. So the adjoint vector bundle $ad(E_{L(Q)})$ is a quotient vector bundle of degree zero (the group $L(Q)$ is reductive) of the polystable vector bundle $ad(E_Q)$ of degree zero. Hence $ad(E_{L(Q)})$ is polystable.
For a complex reductive group $H$, a principal $H$–bundle $E_H$ over $M$ is polystable if its adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_H)$ is polystable [ABi, p. 224, Corollary 3.8]. As the vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_L(Q))$ is polystable, we conclude that the principal $L(Q)$–bundle $E_{L(Q)}$ is polystable. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of a complex algebraic group $H$. Fix an invariant polynomial

$$\psi \in (\text{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{h}^*))^H$$

of degree $k$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ invariant under the adjoint action of $H$ on its Lie algebra. Given a principal $H$–bundle $E_H$ over $M$, we have a characteristic class

$$C_\psi(E_H) \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{C})$$

of degree $k$. For the Chern–Weil construction of the cohomology class, choose a $C^\infty$ connection on the principal bundle $E_H$. Now $C_\psi(E_H)$ is represented, in the de Rham cohomology, by the closed $2k$–form over $M$ obtained by evaluating $\psi$ on the curvature; since the curvature is an $\text{ad}(E_H)$–valued two–form, the evaluation of $\psi$ is a $2k$–form. The cohomology class represented by this closed form is independent of the choice of the connection. See [Ch, p. 115, Corollary 3.1] for the details.

For a homomorphism $\rho : H \to H'$, let $E_{H'}$ be the principal $H'$–bundle obtained by extending the structure group of $E_H$ using $\rho$. Then any characteristic class of $E_{H'}$ is clearly a characteristic class of $E_H$. Indeed, any $H'$–invariant polynomial on the Lie algebra of $H'$ gives an $H$–invariant polynomial on $\mathfrak{h}$ by using the homomorphism of Lie algebras induced by $\rho$. In other words, the pullback of an $H'$–invariant polynomial on the Lie algebra of $H'$ is an $H$–invariant polynomial on $\mathfrak{h}$.

By higher characteristic classes we will mean characteristic classes of degree at least one.

Let $R_n(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the nilpotent radical. In other words, $R_n(\mathfrak{h})$ is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of $H$. So

$$L(\mathfrak{h}) := \frac{\mathfrak{h}}{R_n(\mathfrak{h})},$$

which is the Lie algebra of the Levi factor of $H$, is the maximal reductive quotient.

Using the natural projection of $\mathfrak{h}$ to $L(\mathfrak{h})$, an $L(H)$–invariant polynomial on $L(\mathfrak{h})$ defines an $H$–invariant polynomial on $\mathfrak{h}$. All the higher characteristic classes of $E_H$ are obtained this way, namely by the $L(H)$–invariant polynomials on $L(\mathfrak{h})$. The nilpotent part $R_n(\mathfrak{h})$ can have invariant polynomials of positive degree, but they do not define nontrivial characteristic classes. Therefore, to construct all the higher characteristic classes of $E_H$ it suffices to consider the pullback of the $L(H)$–invariant polynomials on $L(\mathfrak{h})$.

A reductive algebraic group is isogenous to a product of copies of $\mathbb{C}^*$ and simple groups. In other words, there is a surjective homomorphism to the reductive group from some product group of this type such that the kernel is a finite group. For a $\mathbb{C}^*$–bundle, the algebra of characteristic classes is generated by the degree one polynomial that identifies the Lie algebra with $\mathbb{C}$.

For a simple Lie group, in [Ko, p. 381, Theorem 7] a natural set of invariant polynomials are described that generate the algebra of invariant polynomials.
3. Connections on polystable bundles

Let $E_G$ be a principal $G$–bundle over $M$, where $G$ is a connected complex linear algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\text{At}(E_G)$ be the algebraic vector bundle over $M$ defined by the sheaf of all $G$–invariant vector fields on the total space of $E_G$ (see [At]). So for a sufficiently small contractible analytic open subset $U$ of $M$, the space of holomorphic sections of $\text{At}(E_G)$ over $U$ is identified with the space of all $G$–invariant holomorphic vector fields on $\phi^{-1}(U)$, where $\phi$ is the projection of $E_G$ to $M$. So $\text{At}(E_G)$ has a subbundle defined by the sheaf of all $G$–invariant vertical vector fields on the total space of $E_G$. It is easy to see that this subbundle is identified with the adjoint bundle $\text{ad}(E_G) := (E_G \times \mathfrak{g})/G$, and the quotient bundle $\text{At}(E_G)/\text{ad}(E_G)$ is identified with the holomorphic tangent bundle $TM$; the projection of $\text{At}(E_G)$ to $TM$ is defined by the differential of the projection $\phi$ (see [At] for the details).

Therefore, we have an exact sequence of vector bundles over $M$

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{ad}(E_G) \rightarrow \text{At}(E_G) \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0,
\]

which is known as the Atiyah exact sequence. A holomorphic connection on the principal $G$–bundle $E_G$ is a holomorphic splitting of the Atiyah exact sequence, that is, a holomorphic homomorphism of vector bundles $D : TM \rightarrow \text{At}(E_G)$ such that its composition with the projection in (3.1) is the identity map of $TM$.

The sheaf of sections of $\text{At}(E_G)$, $TM$ and $\text{ad}(E_G)$ are equipped with Lie algebra structures defined by the Lie bracket operation for a pair of vector fields. Note that this Lie algebra structure on the sheaf of sections of $\text{ad}(E_G)$ coincides with the one defined by the Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$, and the homomorphisms in (3.1) are compatible with the Lie algebra structures. Given a holomorphic homomorphism $D : TM \rightarrow \text{At}(E_G)$ that splits the exact sequence (3.1) (that is, $D$ is a holomorphic connection on $E_G$), the obstruction of $D$ to be compatible with the Lie algebra structures of the sheaf of sections of $TM$ and $\text{At}(E_G)$ is a holomorphic section

\[
K(D) \in H^0(M, \Omega^2_M \otimes \text{ad}(E_G))
\]

which is the curvature of the holomorphic connection $D$ [At]. The holomorphic connection $D$ is called flat if $K(D) = 0$.

A complex connection on $E_G$ is a $C^\infty$ splitting of the Atiyah exact sequence. In other words, a complex connection is a $C^\infty$ homomorphism $D_c : TM \rightarrow \text{At}(E_G)$ such that its composition with the projection in (3.1) is the identity map of $TM$. Thus a holomorphic connection on $E_G$ defines a complex connection on $E_G$. On the other hand, a flat complex connection is a flat holomorphic connection. In other words, a flat holomorphic connection is the same as a flat complex connection.

We will explain the interrelation between the two notions of connection using the example of vector bundles.

Let $V$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $n$ over $M$. So $V$ defines a principal $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$–bundle over $M$. Then the Atiyah exact sequence becomes

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{At}(V) \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0,
\]

where $\text{At}(V) \subset \text{Diff}^1_M(V, V)$ is the subbundle with symbol contained in $\text{Id}_V \otimes TM$, the projection to $TM$ is the symbol map, and the inclusion of $\text{End}(V)$ in $\text{At}(V)$ is the inclusion of the sheaf of differential operators of order zero in the sheaf of
differential operators of order one (see [At]). A holomorphic splitting of (3.2) defines a first order holomorphic differential operator
\[ \partial_V : V \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^1_M \]
between the holomorphic vector bundles satisfying the holomorphic Leibniz identity which says that
\[ \partial_V(fs) = s \otimes \partial f + f \partial_V(s), \]
where \( f \) is a locally defined holomorphic function, and \( s \) is a locally defined holomorphic section of \( V \).

A complex connection on \( V \) is a first order \( C^\infty \) differential operator
\[ \nabla^V : C^\infty(V) \rightarrow C^\infty(V \otimes T^*_E) = C^\infty(V \otimes (\Omega^{1,0} \oplus \Omega^{0,1})) \]
satisfying the Leibniz identity which says that
\[ \nabla^V(fs) = s \otimes df + f\nabla^V(s), \]
where \( f \) is a smooth function on \( M \) and \( s \) is a smooth section of \( V \). This implies that the \((0, 1)\) part of \( \nabla^V \), defined using the decomposition \( T^*_E = \Omega^{1,0} \oplus \Omega^{0,1} \), coincides with the Dolbeault operator \( \overline{\partial}_V \) defining the holomorphic structure of \( V \). Consequently, to give a complex connection \( \nabla^V \) on \( V \) is equivalent to giving \( \nabla^V \) on \( V \). Giving \( \nabla^V \) is equivalent to giving a \( C^\infty \) splitting of the exact sequence (3.2). In particular, a holomorphic connection on \( V \) defines a complex connection on \( V \).

If the curvature \((\nabla^V)^2\) of a complex connection \( \nabla^V \) on \( V \) vanishes identically, then the component \((\nabla^V)^{1,0}\) is a holomorphic operator. In other words, \((\nabla^V)^{1,0}\) defines a holomorphic connection on \( V \). The vanishing of \((\nabla^V)^2\) further implies that the holomorphic connection is actually flat. Conversely, if \( \partial_V \) is a flat holomorphic connection on \( V \), then \( \partial_V + \overline{\partial}_V \) is a flat complex connection on \( V \), where \( \overline{\partial}_V \) is the Dolbeault operator that defines the holomorphic structure of \( V \).

Fix a point \( x \in M \). Fix a point in \( E_G \) over \( x \); this amounts to identifying the fiber of \( E_G \) over \( x \) with \( G \) as spaces with left \( G \) action—the action of \( G \) on itself is by translations. Then the monodromy of a flat connection \( D \) is a homomorphism from the fundamental group \( \pi_1(M, x) \) to \( G \). Note that \( \pi_1(M, x) \) and \( \pi_1(M, x') \) are identified up to an inner conjugation. For a different choice of the point \( x \) or of the point in \( E_G \) over it, the two monodromy representations for \( D \) are identified up to an inner conjugation. Therefore, a condition on \( D \)—the monodromy is contained in a compact subgroup of \( G \)—does not depend on the choice of the base points in \( M \) or \( E_G \).

If \( G \) is a reductive linear algebraic group and \( E_G \) a polystable \( G \)-bundle over \( M \) with vanishing higher characteristic classes, then \( E_G \) admits a flat holomorphic connection whose monodromy is contained in a maximal compact subgroup of \( G \) [RS, p. 24, Theorem 1]. In other words, \( E_G \) is given by a representation of \( \pi_1(M) \) in the maximal compact subgroup of \( G \). In fact, it is enough if all the characteristic classes of degrees one and two vanish. Moreover the connection is unique. Conversely, any principal \( G \)-bundle over \( M \) admitting a flat connection whose monodromy is contained in a maximal compact subgroup of \( G \) must be polystable with vanishing higher characteristic classes [RS].
Let $P$ be a connected complex linear algebraic group. Let $R_u(P)$ denote the unipotent radical of $P$. Define $L(P) := P/R_u(P)$. Let
\begin{equation}
q : P \longrightarrow L(P)
\end{equation}
be the quotient map.

Let $E_P$ be a principal $P$–bundle over $M$. By a unitary flat connection on $E_P$ we will mean a flat holomorphic connection on $E_P$ whose monodromy has the property that its image in $L(P)$ (by the map $q$ in (3.3)) is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(P)$. Therefore, a principal $P$–bundle over $M$ admitting a unitary flat connection is given by a homomorphism
\begin{equation}
\tau : \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow P
\end{equation}
with the property that image($q \circ \tau$) $\subset L(P)$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(P)$.

**Theorem 3.1.** The $P$–bundle $E_P$ admits a unitary flat connection if and only if $E_P$ is polystable, and all the characteristic classes of $E_P$ of degrees one and two vanish.

**Proof.** Let $D$ be a holomorphic connection on $E_P$. Since $E_P$ admits a holomorphic connection, all higher characteristic classes of $E_P$ vanish [At, p. 192, Theorem 4]. Let $E_{L(P)} := (E_P \times L(P))/P$ be the principal $L(P)$–bundle obtained by extending the structure group of $E_P$ using the homomorphism $q$ in (3.3).

We recall that a connection on a principal bundle induces connections on each of its associated bundles, in particular, on any principal bundle obtained by extending the structure group. Let $D'$ denote the induced connection on $E_{L(P)}$ induced by $D$.

If $D$ is unitary flat, then the connection $D'$ on $E_{L(P)}$ is flat and its monodromy is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(P)$. Therefore, from the main theorem of [RS] mentioned above it follows immediately that $E_{L(P)}$ is polystable. In other words, $E_P$ is polystable with vanishing higher characteristic classes.

Now assume that $E_P$ is polystable and all the characteristic classes of degrees one and two of $E_P$ vanish.

To prove that $E_P$ admits a unitary flat connection, we will construct a flat connection on a vector bundle associated to $E_P$ by a faithful representation of $P$, and then we will show that this connection on the vector bundle comes from a unitary flat connection on $E_P$.

We start by establishing a group theoretic result.

**Lemma 3.2.** There is an injective homomorphism of algebraic groups
\begin{equation}
\rho : P \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(V),
\end{equation}
where $V$ is a finite dimensional complex vector space, and a closed subgroup $Q \subset \text{GL}(V)$ with $\rho(P) \subset Q$ such that either $Q$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$, or $Q = \text{GL}(V)$, and the following two conditions hold:

1. $\rho(R_u(P)) \subset R_u(Q)$;
2. the induced homomorphism
\begin{equation}
\phi : L(P) \longrightarrow L(Q) := \frac{Q}{R_u(Q)}
\end{equation}
induced by $\rho$ is injective.
(Since \( \rho(R_u(P)) \subset R_u(Q) \), the homomorphism \( \rho \) induces a homomorphism of the quotients.)

**Proof.** Fix a faithful representation

\[
\rho : P \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(V)
\]

of \( P \) with \( V \) being a finite dimensional complex vector space. Consider the action of the unipotent radical \( R_u(P) \) on \( V \).

Let

\[
V_1 := \{ v \in V \mid \rho(g)v = v, \forall g \in R_u(P) \}
\]

be the subspace on which \( R_u(P) \) acts trivially. As \( R_u(P) \) is unipotent, we have \( V_1 \neq 0 \) unless \( V = 0 \), in which case \( P = e \), the trivial group. So \( R_u(P) \) acts on the quotient \( V/V_1 \), as it preserves \( V_1 \). As before, let

\[
V_2' := \{ v \in V/V_1 \mid \rho(g)v = v, \forall g \in R_u(P) \}
\]

and set \( V_2 \subset V \) to be the inverse image of \( V_2' \) for the natural projection \( V \twoheadrightarrow V/V_1 \). Proceeding inductively we have filtration

\[
0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_\kappa = V
\]

of subspaces of \( V \) with the property that \( V_{i+1}/V_i, i \in [0, \kappa - 1] \), is the maximal subspace of \( V/V_i \) on which \( R_u(P) \) acts trivially.

We will now show that the filtration of subspaces in (3.6) is preserved by the action of \( P \) on \( V \).

To prove this, recall that the unipotent radical \( R_u(P) \) is a normal subgroup of \( P \). Consequently, for any \( g \in P \) and \( v \in V \) we have

\[
\rho(gv) = \rho(g)\rho(v) = \rho(g^\pm 1)\rho(gv) = \rho(g)\rho(v) = \rho(g)\rho(g^{-1}gv) = \rho(g)v
\]

for each \( g \in R_u(P) \), as \( g^{-1}gv \in R_u(P) \) and \( R_u(P) \) fixes \( V_1 \) pointwise. In other words, the subspace \( V_1 \subset V \) is preserved by the action of \( P \). Now consider the action of \( P \) on the quotient \( V/V_1 \) and repeat the argument. This would give that \( V_2/V_1 \) is preserved by the action of \( P \) on \( V/V_1 \). Next consider the action of \( P \) on the quotient \( V/V_2 \) and repeat the argument. Proceeding inductively, we conclude that the filtration in (3.6) is preserved by the action of \( P \) on \( V \).

Let

\[
Q \subset \text{GL}(V)
\]

be the subgroup preserving the filtration in (3.6). So for any \( g \in \text{GL}(V) \) we have \( g \in Q \) if and only if \( gV_i \subset V_i \) for all \( i \in [1, \kappa] \). From the definition \( Q \) it is immediate that \( \rho(P) \subset Q \). Note that if \( V_1 = V \), then \( Q = \text{GL}(V) \), and otherwise \( Q \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( \text{GL}(V) \).

We recall that \( R_u(Q) \) consists of all \( g \in Q \) with the property that \( g \) acts on each quotient \( V_{i+1}/V_i, i \in [0, \kappa - 1] \), as the identity map. So, from the construction of the filtration (3.6) we have \( \rho(R_u(P)) \subset R_u(Q) \).

Consequently, we have an induced homomorphism

\[
\phi : L(P) := \frac{P}{R_u(P)} \rightarrow \frac{Q}{R_u(Q)} =: L(Q)
\]

that sends a coset \( gR_u(P) \) to the coset \( \rho(g)R_u(Q) \). To complete the proof we need to show that \( \phi \) is injective. Since \( \rho^{-1}(R_u(Q)) \) is a normal unipotent subgroup of \( P \) and \( R_u(P) \subset \rho^{-1}(R_u(Q)) \), it follows from the definition of \( R_u(P) \) that \( R_u(P) = \)
Let \( E := \frac{E_P \times V}{P} \)

be the vector bundle over \( M \) associated to \( E_P \) for the left \( P \)-module \( V \) obtained in Lemma 3.2. The quotient in (3.7) is with respect to the twisted diagonal action of \( P \) with \( P \) acting on \( V \) through \( \rho \). (The action of any \( g \in P \) sends a point \((y, v) \in E_P \times V \) to \((y, \rho(g^{-1})v)\).)

**Proposition 3.3.** The vector bundle \( E \) is semistable with \( c_i(E) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** Let \( E_Q := (E_P \times Q)/P \) be the principal \( Q \)-bundle over \( M \) obtained by extending the structure group of \( E_P \) using the homomorphism \( \rho \) of \( P \) to \( Q \) obtained in Lemma 3.2. Therefore, the vector bundle \( E_Q \) associated to \( E_Q \) by the standard action of \( Q \) on \( V \) is identified with the vector bundle \( E \) defined in (3.7). Since \( Q \) preserves the filtration (3.6) of \( V \), it follows that the vector bundle \( E \) gets a filtration of subbundles

\[
0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots \subset E_{k-1} \subset E_k = E,
\]

where \( E_i := (E_P \times V_i)/P \) is the vector bundle associated to \( E_P \) for the left \( P \)-module \( V_i \) defined in (3.6).

Now the proposition follows immediately from the following stronger version.

**Lemma 3.4.** Each quotient \( E_{j+1}/E_j, j \in [0, k-1] \), is a polystable vector bundle over \( M \). Furthermore,

\[
c_i(E_{j+1}/E_j) = 0
\]

for all \( i \geq 1 \) and \( j \in [0, k-1] \).

**Proof.** For the action of \( P \) on \( V \), defined using \( \rho \) in Lemma 3.2, the restriction of the action to the subgroup \( R_n(P) \) on the graded vector space

\[
\nabla := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} V_{j+1}/V_j
\]

for the filtration in (3.6) is the trivial action. Therefore, the Levi group \( L(P) = P/R_n(P) \) acts on each quotient \( V_{j+1}/V_j \).

Let

\[
E_{L(P)} := \frac{E_P \times L(P)}{P}
\]

be the principal \( L(P) \)-bundle over \( M \) obtained by extending the structure group of \( E_P \) using the natural projection \( P \longrightarrow L(P) \). The above quotient is for the twisted diagonal action of \( P \) with \( P \) acting on \( L(P) \) as left translations.

The vector bundle

\[
E_{\nabla} := \frac{E_{L(P)} \times \nabla}{P}
\]
associated to the principal $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$ for the left $L(P)$–module $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ is naturally identified with the graded vector bundle for the filtration in (3.8), that is,

\begin{equation}
\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} E_{j+1}^{E_j} = E_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}. 
\end{equation}

This follows from the fact that $R_s(P)$ acts trivially on $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$.

Recall the assumption that $E_P$ is polystable with vanishing characteristic classes of degrees one and two. In other words, $E_{L(P)}$ is polystable with vanishing characteristic classes of degrees one and two. Consequently, the principal $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$ admits a (unique) flat holomorphic connection whose monodromy is contained in a maximal compact subgroup of $L(P)$ [RS p. 24, Theorem 1]. Let $D$ denote this flat holomorphic connection $E_{L(P)}$.

Let $D_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ be the flat holomorphic connection on the associated vector bundle $E_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ (associated to the $L(P)$–bundle $E_{L(P)}$) induced by the connection $D$ on $E_{L(P)}$. Since the monodromy of $D$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(P)$, the monodromy of $D_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ is also contained in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})$, where $\text{Aut}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) \subset \text{GL}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})$ is the group of all isomorphisms of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ preserving the decomposition in (3.9).

Therefore, the connection $D_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ on $E_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ induces a unitary flat connection on each direct summand $E_{j+1}/E_j$ of $E_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}}$ in the decomposition in (3.11). Consequently, each quotient $E_{j+1}/E_j$, $j \in [0, k-1]$, is polystable, and $c_i(E_{j+1}/E_j) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. \hfill \Box

It was already noted that Lemma 3.4 completes the proof of Proposition 3.3. Hence the proof of Proposition 3.3 is complete. \hfill \Box

Now we will recall a result of [Si] which will be crucially used here. Fix, once and for all, a Kähler form $\omega$ on $M$ representing the de Rham cohomology class $c_1(\zeta)$, where $\zeta$ is the polarization on $M$.

Let $W$ be a semistable vector bundle over $M$ such that $c_1(W) = 0 = c_2(W)$ (here $c_1$ is a rational Chern class). Then $W$ admits a filtration

\begin{equation}
0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W_{m-1} \subset W_m = W
\end{equation}

such that each $W_i$, $i \in [0, m-1]$, is a subbundle of $W$ and the quotient $W_{i+1}/W_i$ is a polystable vector bundle with $c_j(W_{i+1}/W_i) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ [Si p. 39, Theorem 2]. Set the Higgs field in [Si Theorem 2] to be zero to get the above formulation. Note that $W$ can have more than one filtration satisfying all the conditions for the above filtration $\{W_i\}$.

The vector bundle $W$ has a natural flat holomorphic connection [Si p. 40, Corollary 3.10]. (As before, set the Higgs field to be zero in [Si Corollary 3.10].) This connection on $W$ will be denoted by $\nabla^W$. Since this connection is very important for our purpose, some comments on it will be made. In [Si p. 40, Corollary 3.10], an equivalence between the category of all flat vector bundles over $M$ and the category of all semistable Higgs bundles $(F, \theta)$ over $M$, with $c_1(F) = 0 = c_2(F)$, are identified. The flat vector bundle $(W, \nabla^W)$ corresponds to the Higgs bundle $(W, 0)$ by this identification. It may be noted that in [Si p. 40, Corollary 3.10], if the Higgs bundle $(F, \theta)$ corresponds to the flat vector bundle $(F', \nabla)$, then the two holomorphic vector bundles, namely $F$ and $F'$, are not always isomorphic.
However, in our case the Higgs field is zero, or equivalently, $W$ has a filtration as in (3.12) of subbundles such that each subsequent quotient is a polystable vector bundle with vanishing higher Chern classes. This implies that the holomorphic vector bundle $W$ is holomorphically identified with the holomorphic vector bundle underlying the flat vector bundle that corresponds to the Higgs bundle $(W, 0)$. (See the final paragraph of the subsection “Examples” in the middle of page 37 of [Si, Section 3]; see also the paragraph in [Si, p. 40] following Corollary 3.10.)

For convenience, the flat connection $\nabla^W$ constructed in [Si, p. 40, Corollary 3.10] on a semistable vector bundle over $M$ with vanishing first and second Chern classes will henceforth be called the canonical connection.

The canonical connection $\nabla^W$ has the property that it is compatible with any filtration of $W$ by subbundles of the type described in (3.12) (that is, each subsequent quotient is polystable with all its higher Chern classes being zero). In other words, the connection $\nabla^W$ preserves the subbundle $W_i$, where $i \in [1, m-1]$, with $W_i$ as in (3.12). To prove that $\nabla^W$ preserves $W_i$, note that $W_i$ is semistable with vanishing higher Chern classes; this is an immediate consequence of the fact that each quotient $W_{i+1}/W_i$, $j \in [0, m-1]$, is polystable with vanishing higher Chern classes. Consider the homomorphism of Higgs bundles $(W_i, 0) \rightarrow (W, 0)$ induced by the inclusion map of $W_i$ in $W$; both of the vector bundles are equipped with the zero Higgs field. Now, Lemma 3.5 of [Si, p. 36] says that the above homomorphism of Higgs bundles induces a homomorphism of the flat bundles (local systems) corresponding to the two Higgs bundles. In [Si, Lemma 3.5], Simpson shows that the category of flat vector bundles is equivalent to the category of Higgs bundles that are extensions of stable Higgs bundles with vanishing higher Chern classes (that is, Higgs bundles admitting a filtration of Higgs bundles such that each subsequent quotient is a stable Higgs bundle of vanishing higher Chern classes). Note that since a polystable vector bundle is a direct sum of stable vector bundles, both $(W_i, 0)$ and $(W, 0)$ are Higgs bundles of the type considered in [Si, Lemma 3.5]. Therefore, using the equivalence of categories, the above homomorphism of Higgs bundles gives a homomorphism from the flat bundle corresponding to the Higgs bundle $(W_i, 0)$ to the flat bundle corresponding to the $(W, 0)$. It was noted earlier that the underlying holomorphic vector bundle for the flat bundle corresponding to $(W_i, 0)$ (respectively, $(W, 0)$) is identified with $W_i$ (respectively, $W$); this follows from the vanishing of the Higgs fields (see the third paragraph in page 37 of [Si]). The homomorphism of the local systems induced by the above homomorphism $(W_i, 0) \rightarrow (W, 0)$ gives the inclusion map of $W_i$ in $W$ for the underlying holomorphic vector bundles. This immediately implies the following:

(1) the connection $\nabla^W$ on $W$ preserves the subbundle $W_i$, and

(2) the connection on $W_i$ induced by $\nabla^W$ coincides with the canonical connection on $W_i$.

Therefore, there is an induced connection on each quotient $W_{i+1}/W_i$, $i \in [0, m-1]$, induced by $\nabla^W$. Note that since the vector bundle $W_{i+1}/W_i$ is polystable with vanishing higher Chern classes, it has a unique flat Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection [Do2, Si]. The flat connection on $W_{i+1}/W_i$ induced by $\nabla^W$ coincides with the Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection on $W_{i+1}/W_i$ [Si, p. 40, Corollary 3.10] (see also the paragraph following Corollary 3.10 in [Si]). We remark that from these
properties of the connection $\nabla^W$ constructed in [Si] it is easy to see that if we set $P$ in Theorem 3.1 to be a parabolic subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, then the connection $\nabla^W$ on the associated rank $n$ vector bundle (associated to $E_P$ for the standard representation of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) satisfies all the conditions asserted in Theorem 3.1.

The canonical connection is natural in the sense that it is compatible with the direct sum, tensor product and dualization operations. To explain this, note that the dual vector bundle $W^*$ over $M$ is also semistable with $c_2(W^*) = 0 = c_2(W)$. If $\nabla^W$ denotes the canonical connection on $W$, then $\nabla^{W^*}$ coincides with the connection on $W^*$ induced by the canonical connection $\nabla^W$ on $W$. Let $W'$ be another semistable vector bundle over $M$ with $c_1(W') = 0 = c_2(W')$. Let $\nabla^{W'}$ denote the canonical connection on $W'$. Now note that both the vector bundles $W \oplus W'$ and $W \otimes W'$ are semistable with vanishing first and second Chern classes. Let $\nabla^{W \oplus W'}$ and $\nabla^{W \otimes W'}$ be the canonical connections on $W \oplus W'$ and $W \otimes W'$, respectively. Then

$$\nabla^{W \oplus W'} = \nabla^W \oplus \nabla^{W'}$$

and

$$\nabla^{W \otimes W'} = (\nabla^W \otimes \text{Id}_{W'}) + (\text{Id}_W \otimes \nabla^{W'}).$$

In other words, $\nabla^{W \oplus W'}$ and $\nabla^{W \otimes W'}$ coincide with the connections induced by $\nabla^W$ and $\nabla^{W'}$ on $W \oplus W'$ and $W \otimes W'$, respectively. This compatibility of the canonical connection with the above standard operations on vector bundles follows directly from the construction of the canonical connection in [Si]. This also follows from the second part of [Si, p. 40, Corollary 3.10]. See [Si, p. 41 – p. 43] for the details.

It should be mentioned that the construction of the canonical connection in [Si] completely breaks down in the absence of the assumption that the first two Chern classes vanish. Also, it is not known whether the above–mentioned Theorem 2 of [Si, p. 39] remains valid if $M$ is compact Kähler. The proof in [Si] does not work for Kähler manifolds, as it crucially uses a restriction theorem in [MR] which is valid only for projective manifolds.

To have some understanding of the canonical connection, it will be described for the special case of two–step filtrations, which is much simpler than the general case. A reader not interested in this digression from the proof of Theorem 3.1 should go directly to the paragraph that starts as “Continuing with the proof of the theorem”.

Let

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow F_2 := W/F_1 \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of vector bundles over $M$ such that both $F_1$ and $F_2$ are polystable vector bundles and $c_i(F_1) = 0 = c_i(F_2)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $\nabla_1$ (respectively, $\nabla_2$) denote the (unique) flat Hermitian connection (the Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection) on $F_1$ (respectively, $F_2$). So the $(0, 1)$–part of $\nabla_1$ (respectively, $\nabla_2$) is the Dolbeault operator on $F_1$ (respectively, $F_2$) and the $(1, 0)$–part is a flat holomorphic connection on $F_1$ (respectively, $F_2$). Using these two connections, we will now construct a connection on $W$.

Let

$$(3.13)\quad c \in H^1(M, \text{Hom}(F_2, F_1))$$

be the extension class for the above exact sequence. The connections $\nabla_1$ and $\nabla_2$ together induce a unitary flat connection $\nabla'$ on $\text{Hom}(F_2, F_1)$.

Let

$$(3.14)\quad \theta \in C^\infty(M, \Omega^0_{M}(\text{Hom}(F_2, F_1)))$$
be the harmonic representative of \( c \) (for the Dolbeault resolution) corresponding to the unitary flat connection \( \nabla' \) and the (fixed) Kähler form \( \omega \) on \( M \). Note that \( \text{Hom}(F_2, F_1) \subset \text{End}(F_1 \oplus F_2) \) in an obvious way. So \( \theta \) in (3.14) is a \( \text{End}(F_1 \oplus F_2) \)-valued \( (0,1) \)-form on \( M \).

Finally, consider the connection
\[
\nabla := \nabla_1 \oplus \nabla_2 + \theta
\]
on \( F_1 \oplus F_2 \), where \( \theta \) is defined in (3.14). In other words, if \( s \) is a locally defined smooth section of \( F_1 \) (respectively, \( F_2 \)), then \( \nabla(s) \) coincides with \( \nabla_1(s) \) (respectively, \( \nabla_2(s) + \theta(s) \)). Since \( \theta \) is harmonic, the connection \( \nabla \) is flat.

Since \( \theta \) represents the cohomology class \( c \), the holomorphic vector bundle over \( M \) defined by the Dolbeault operator \( \nabla^{0,1} \) (the \( (0,1) \)-part of \( \nabla \)) is isomorphic to \( W \). Note that the holomorphic isomorphism between \( W \) and the one defined on \( F_1 \oplus F_2 \) by \( \nabla^{0,1} \) can be so chosen that it takes the subbundle \( F_1 \) of \( W \) to the \( C^\infty \) direct summand \( F_1 \) of \( F_1 \oplus F_2 \), and the automorphism of the graded bundle \( F_1 \oplus F_2 \) (for \( W \)) induced by this isomorphism is the identity automorphism. Transport the connection \( \nabla \) on \( F_1 \oplus F_2 \) to \( W \) using such an isomorphism. So we have constructed a flat connection on \( W \). This flat connection on \( W \) coincides with the canonical connection on \( W \).

Continuing with the proof of the theorem, the vector bundle \( E \) defined in (3.7) is semistable with \( c_1(E) = 0 = c_2(E) \) (see Proposition 3.3). Let \( \nabla^E \) be the canonical connection on \( E \). From Lemma 3.4 we know that the filtration of \( E \) in (3.5) by subbundles has the property that each \( E_{j+1}/E_j \), \( j \in [0, k-1] \), is a polystable vector bundle with \( c_i(E_{j+1}/E_j) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). As we noted above, this implies that the canonical connection \( \nabla^E \) preserves each subbundle \( E_j \). In other words, \( \nabla^E \) induces a flat holomorphic connection on each quotient \( E_{j+1}/E_j \), \( j \in [0, k-1] \). Since each \( E_{j+1}/E_j \) is polystable with \( c_i(E_{j+1}/E_j) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \), the vector bundle \( E_{j+1}/E_j \) admits a unique unitary flat connection (the Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection) \( \text{Do}1 \), \( \text{MR} \). We also noted that this unitary flat connection on \( E_{j+1}/E_j \) coincides with the one induced on \( E_{j+1}/E_j \) by \( \nabla^E \).

Let \( E_Q := (E_P \times Q)/P \) be the principal \( Q \)-bundle over \( M \) obtained by extending the structure group of \( E_P \) using the homomorphism \( \rho : P \longrightarrow Q \) in Lemma 3.2 (the \( Q \)-bundle defined in the proof of Proposition 3.3). Since the connection \( \nabla^E \) preserves the filtration of \( E \) in (3.5), it follows immediately that the connection \( \nabla^E \) on \( E \) naturally induces a flat connection, which we will denote by \( \nabla^Q \), on the standard action of \( Q \) on \( V \) is identified with \( E \), any connection on \( Q \) induces a connection on the associated vector bundle \( E \). This identifies connections on the principal \( Q \)-bundle \( E_Q \) with the space of all connections on the vector bundle \( E \) that preserve that filtration in (3.5).

The final step in the proof of the theorem would be to show that the connection \( \nabla^Q \) on the \( Q \)-bundle \( E_Q \) induces a connection on \( E_P \).

Consider the closed subgroup \( \rho(P) \) of \( \text{GL}(V) \), where \( \rho \) is defined in Lemma 3.2. A theorem of C. Chevalley (see [Hu p. 80]) says that there is a finite-dimensional left \( \text{GL}(V) \)-module \( W \) and a line \( l \subset W \) such that \( \rho(P) \) is exactly the isotropy subgroup, of the point in \( P(W) \) representing the line \( l \), for the action of \( \text{GL}(V) \) on the projective space \( P(W) \) of lines in \( W \).

Let \( E_{\text{GL}(V)} := (E_P \times \text{GL}(V))/P \) be the principal \( \text{GL}(V) \)-bundle obtained by extending the structure group of the principal \( P \)-bundle \( E_P \) using the homomorphism
\( \rho \) in Lemma 3.2. Therefore, the vector bundle \((E_{GL(V)} \times V)/GL(V)\) associated to \(E_{GL(V)}\) for the standard action of \(GL(V)\) on \(V\) is identified with the vector bundle \(E\).

Let 
\[
E_W := \frac{E_{GL(V)} \times W}{GL(V)}
\]
be the vector bundle associated to \(E_{GL(V)}\) for the above left \(GL(V)\)-module \(W\) obtained from Chevalley’s theorem. Since the action of \(P\) on \(W\) fixes the line \(l\), the line \(l\) defines a line subbundle of the vector bundle \((E_{P} \times W)/P\) associated to \(E_{P}\) for the action of \(P\) on \(W\). But this vector bundle is canonically identified with \(E_{W}\) (as the action of \(P\) on \(W\) is the restriction of the action of \(GL(V)\), and \(E_{GL(V)}\) is the extension of \(E_{P}\).

Let \(L \subset E_{W}\) be the line subbundle defined by the line \(l\) left invariant by the action of \(P\) on \(W\).

The canonical connection \(\nabla^{E}\) on \(E\) induces a connection of the associated vector bundle \(E_{W}\). (The connection \(\nabla^{E}\) induces a connection on \(E_{GL(V)}\).) This connection on \(E_{W}\) will be denoted by \(\nabla^{W}\). The connection \(\nabla^{W}\) is flat since \(\nabla^{E}\) is flat.

Since \(P\) is the isotropy subgroup of the point in \(P(W)\) represented by the line \(l\) for the action of \(GL(V)\) on \(P(W)\), the connection \(\nabla^{W}\) induces a connection on the \(P\)-bundle \(E_{P}\) if and only if \(\nabla^{W}\) preserves the above-defined line subbundle \(L\) of \(E_{W}\). To explain this assertion, let
\[
E_{GL(W)} := \frac{E_{GL(V)} \times GL(W)}{GL(V)}
\]
be the principal \(GL(W)\)-bundle obtained by extending the structure group of \(E_{GL(V)}\) using the homomorphism
\[
GL(V) \longrightarrow GL(W)
\]
(3.16)
defined by the action of \(GL(V)\) on \(W\). The connection \(\nabla^{W}\) defines a connection on \(E_{GL(W)}\), which is a \(gl(W)\)-valued one-form \(\Omega\) on the total space of \(E_{GL(W)}\); here \(gl(W)\) is the Lie algebra of \(GL(W)\). Pull back the form \(\Omega\) to the total space of \(E_{P}\) (since \(E_{GL(W)}\) is obtained by extending the structure group of \(E_{P}\), there is a natural map of the total space of \(E_{P}\) to the total space of \(E_{GL(W)}\)). Denote by \(\Omega'\) this pulled back \(gl(W)\)-valued form on the total space of \(E_{P}\).

Since the connection \(\nabla^{W}\) is induced by a connection on \(E_{GL(V)}\), the form \(\Omega'\) is actually \(gl(V)\)-valued in the sense that there is a \(gl(V)\)-valued form on the total space of \(E_{P}\) such that the \(gl(W)\)-valued form obtained from it by using the Lie algebra homomorphism \(gl(V) \longrightarrow gl(W)\) coincides with \(\Omega'\) (the homomorphism of Lie algebras is obtained from (3.16)).

If the line subbundle \(L\) of \(E_{W}\) is preserved by the connection \(\nabla^{W}\) on \(E_{W}\), then the \(gl(V)\)-valued form \(\Omega'\) actually takes values in the Lie algebra of the isotropy subgroup of the point in \(P(W)\) represented by \(l\) for the action of \(GL(V)\) on \(P(W)\). However, that Lie algebra is the Lie algebra of \(P\), as \(\rho(P)\) is precisely the isotropy subgroup.

Hence we conclude that if the connection \(\nabla^{W}\) preserves \(L\), then there is a connection \(\nabla^{P}\) on \(E_{P}\) with the property that the connection induced on the associated vector bundle \(E_{GL(W)}\) (associated to \(E_{P}\)) by the connection \(\nabla^{P}\) coincides with \(\nabla^{W}\).

We will first prove that \(L\) is of degree zero.

**Proposition 3.5.** The first Chern class \(c_{1}(L) \in H^{2}(M, \mathbb{C})\) vanishes.
Proof. The line $l$ in $W$ is preserved by the action of $P$. Therefore, we have a character $\chi : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by the identity $g \circ v = \chi(g)v$ for all $g \in P$ and $v \in l$. The line bundle $L$ is identified with the one associated to $E_P$ for the character $\chi$ of $P$. By assumption, all the characteristic classes of degree one for the principal $P$–bundle $E_P$ vanish. Since $L$ is associated to $E_P$ by a character, the Chern class $c_1(L)$ is a characteristic class of degree one for $E_P$. Hence we have $c_1(L) = 0$, proving the proposition. \hfill \Box

We will now show that the vector bundle $E_W$ is semistable with vanishing higher Chern classes, and the connection $\nabla^W$ on $E_W$ coincides with the canonical connection on $E_W$. Before proving this let us see how this assertion actually equips $E_P$ with a flat connection.

If $E_W$ is semistable with $c_j(E_W) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$, then the fact that the line subbundle $L$ of $E_W$ has $c_1(L) = 0$ (see Proposition 3.5) immediately implies that the quotient vector bundle $E_W/L$ is semistable and all the higher Chern classes of $E_W/L$ vanish. Let

$$0 = U_0 \subset U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \cdots \subset U_{m-1} \subset U_m = \frac{E_W}{L}$$

be a filtration such that each $U_i$ is a subbundle of $E_W/L$ and each subsequent quotient $U_{i+1}/U_i$, $i \in [0, m-1]$, is polystable with $c_j(U_{i+1}/U_i) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. We noted earlier that the existence of such a filtration by subbundles is ensured by [Si, p. 39, Theorem 2]. Let

$$q : E_W \rightarrow \frac{E_W}{L}$$

be the natural projection. Note that the filtration

$$0 = U'_0 \subset U'_1 := L \subset U'_2 := q^{-1}(U_1) \subset \cdots \subset U'_{i+1} := q^{-1}(U_i) \subset \cdots \subset U'_{m+1} := E_W$$

of $E_W$ has the property that

1. each $U'_i$ is a subbundle of $E_W$;
2. each quotient $U'_{i+1}/U'_i$, $i \in [0, m]$, is polystable;
3. $c_j(U'_{i+1}/U'_i) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $i \in [0, m]$.

(Clearly, $U'_0/U'_0 = L$ and $U'_{i+2}/U'_{i+1} = U_{i+1}/U_i$.) So the canonical connection on $E_W$ preserves the subbundle $L$ of $E_W$. Therefore, if the canonical connection coincides with the connection $\nabla^W$ on $E_W$ constructed earlier, it follows immediately that $\nabla^W$ preserves the line subbundle $L$ of $E_W$. As was shown earlier, this implies that $\nabla^W$ induces a connection on $E_P$.

Consequently, the following proposition implies that $\nabla^W$ induces a connection on the $P$–bundle $E_P$.

**Proposition 3.6.** The vector bundle $E_W$ is semistable and $c_i(E_W) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. The connection $\nabla^W$ on $E_W$ coincides with the canonical connection on $E_W$.

Proof. Let $V_1$ be a finite–dimensional faithful complex left $G_1$–module, where $G_1$ is a connected reductive complex linear algebraic group. Proposition 3.1(a) of [De, p. 40] says that if $W_1$ is another finite–dimensional complex left $G_1$–module, then $W_1$ is a direct summand of a direct sum of $G_1$–modules of the form

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_1^{\otimes m_i} \otimes (V_1^{\otimes n_i})^*.$$
Since $V$ is a faithful $\text{GL}(V)$–module and $W$ is a $\text{GL}(V)$–module, we conclude that $W$ is a direct summand of a left $\text{GL}(V)$–module

$$
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V^\otimes m_i \otimes (V^\otimes n_i)^*
$$

for some $k$, $m_i$ and $n_i$.

This immediately implies that the vector bundle $E_W$ is a direct summand of the vector bundle

$$
(3.17) \quad \mathcal{V} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} E^\otimes m_i \otimes (E^\otimes n_i)^*
$$

(as $E$ (respectively, $E^*$) is the vector bundle associated to $E_{\text{GL}(V)}$ by the standard action of $\text{GL}(V)$ on $V$ (respectively, $V^*$)).

Now, a tensor product of semistable vector bundles over $M$ is again semistable [Si, p. 38, Corollary 3.8]. Consequently, from Proposition 3.3 it follows immediately that each $E^\otimes m_i \otimes (E^\otimes n_i)^*$ is semistable with vanishing higher Chern classes.

Therefore, the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ defined in (3.17) is semistable with vanishing higher Chern classes. Since $E_W$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{V}$, we conclude that $E_W$ is semistable.

The vector bundle $E_W$ has a flat holomorphic connection, namely $\nabla^W$. Therefore, all the higher Chern classes of $E_W$ vanish [A1, p. 192, Theorem 4].

Recall that the canonical connection is well-behaved with respect to the direct sum, tensor product and dualization operations. So, the connection on the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ (defined in (3.17)) induced by the canonical connection $\nabla^E$ on $E$ coincides with the canonical connection of $\mathcal{V}$. Note that since $\mathcal{V}$ is associated to $E$, any connection on $E$ induces a connection on $\mathcal{V}$.

Since the canonical connection is well-behaved with respect to the direct sum operation, the canonical connection on $\mathcal{V}$ preserves the direct summand $E_W$, and moreover, the connection on $E_W$ induced by the canonical connection on $\mathcal{V}$ coincides with the canonical connection of $E_W$. This immediately implies that the connection $\nabla^W$ on $E_W$ induced by the connection $\nabla^E$ coincides with the canonical connection of $E_W$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

It was noted prior to Proposition 3.6 that Proposition 3.6 implies that $\nabla^W$ induces a connection on the $P$–bundle $E_P$. Let $\nabla^P$ denote this induced connection on $E_P$. Since $\nabla^W$ is flat, the connection $\nabla^P$ is also flat.

Note that the Levi group of $Q$ is the product

$$
L(Q) \cong \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \text{GL}(V_j/V_j'),
$$

where $V_j$ are as in (3.6).

Let $E_{L(Q)}$ be the principal $L(Q)$–bundle over $M$ obtained by extending the structure group of $E_Q$ using the natural projection of $Q$ to its Levi group $L(Q)$. Let $\nabla^{L(Q)}$ denote the connection on $E_{L(Q)}$ obtained from the connection $\nabla^Q$ on $Q$.

The connection on the quotient vector bundle $E_j+1/E_j$ in (3.11) induced by the connection $\nabla^E$ on $E$ has the property that it coincides with the unique flat Hermitian connection on $E_j+1/E_j$. Consequently, the monodromy of the flat connection $\nabla^{L(Q)}$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(Q)$. 
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The image of the monodromy of $\nabla^Q$ in $L(Q)$ is contained in a maximal compact subgroup of $L(Q)$, as the monodromy of $\nabla^{L(Q)}$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(Q)$. Therefore, the image of the monodromy of $\nabla^P$ in $L(Q)$, using the homomorphism

$$\phi \circ q : P \to L(Q),$$

where $\phi$ and $q$ are defined in (3.5) and (3.3), respectively, is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(Q)$. Since $\phi$ is injective, this implies that the image of the monodromy of $\nabla^P$ in $L(P)$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $L(P)$. Therefore, the connection $\nabla^P$ is unitary flat. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \hfill \square

Remark 3.7. Let $E_G$ be a polystable $G$–bundle over $M$ with vanishing higher characteristic classes, where $G$ is a reductive linear algebraic group. Then $E_G$ admits a unique flat connection whose monodromy is contained in a compact subgroup of $G$ [RS, p. 24, Theorem 1]. However, for a general group $P$, as opposed to the case of reductive groups, the condition that the image of the monodromy of the flat connection lies in a compact subgroup of $L(P)$ does not produce at most one connection on a given principal $P$–bundle. More precisely, if $E_P$ is polystable with vanishing higher characteristic classes, then there may be more than one flat holomorphic connection on $E_P$ that induces the unitary flat connection $E_{L(P)}$ given by RS. To give an example, take $M$ to be a compact Riemann surface of genus at least two and $P$ to be the parabolic subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ (the group of all upper triangular matrices in $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$). So $L(P) = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$. A rank two vector bundle $E$ that fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to E \to L^* \to 0,$$

where $L$ is a holomorphic line bundle of degree zero, is a polystable principal $P$–bundle over $M$. The corresponding $L(P)$–bundle is $L \oplus L^*$. Let

$$\theta \in H^0(M, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_M) \setminus \{0\}$$

be a nonzero section, where $K_M$ is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of $M$. So $\theta$ defines a homomorphism

$$\theta' \in H^0(M, K_M \otimes \text{End}(E)),$$

as $L^{\otimes 2} = \text{Hom}(L^*, L) \subset \text{End}(E)$. Note that if $\nabla$ is a flat connection on the vector bundle $E$, then $\nabla + \theta'$ is also a flat connection on $E$. Now, if $\nabla^E$ is a flat connection on the principal $P$–bundle $E$ that induces the flat Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection on the principal $L(P)$–bundle $L \oplus L^*$, then the flat connection $\nabla^E + \theta'$ on the $P$–bundle $E$ also induces the Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection on $L \oplus L^*$.

It is perhaps natural to ask what happens to Theorem 3.1 if we look for holomorphic flat connections on $E_P$ whose monodromy lies in a compact subgroup of $P$ instead of the image of monodromy lying in a compact subgroup of $L(P)$. If the exact sequence (3.18) is nontrivial in the sense that it does not split, then $E$ is not polystable as a principal $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$–bundle. So the principal $P$–bundle $E$ does not admit any flat holomorphic connection whose monodromy lies in a compact subgroup of $P$.

Let $G$ be a connected unipotent linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$. So, $R_u(G) = G$. Consequently, any $G$–bundle over $M$ is stable. As was noted earlier, there are no
characteristic classes of higher degree for unipotent groups. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 has the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.8.** Any \( G \)-bundle over \( M \), where \( G \) is unipotent, admits a flat holomorphic connection.

Let \( G \) be a connected solvable linear algebraic group over \( \mathbb{C} \). It was noted in Section 2 that the Levi group of \( G \) is a product of copies of \( \mathbb{C}^* \), and any \( G \)-bundle is stable. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 has the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.9.** Let \( E_G \) be a principal \( G \)-bundle over \( M \), where \( G \) is a connected solvable linear algebraic group over \( \mathbb{C} \). The \( G \)-bundle \( E_G \) admits a flat holomorphic connection if and only if for every character \( \chi \) of \( G \), the associated line bundle \((E_G \times \mathbb{C})/G \) over \( M \) is of degree zero.

Let \( E_G \) be a semistable principal \( G \)-bundle over \( M \), where \( G \) is a connected reductive linear algebraic group. Assume that all the (rational) characteristic classes of \( E_G \) of degree one vanish. Take a finite-dimensional complex left \( G \)-module \( W \). We will show that the associated vector bundle \( E_W := \frac{E_G \times W}{G} \) is semistable. For this, let

\[
W \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} W_i
\]

be a decomposition into irreducible left \( G \)-modules. Since the \( G \)-module \( W_i \) is irreducible, the center of \( G \) acts on \( W_i \) as scalar multiplications. Therefore, the associated vector bundle

\[
E_{W_i} := \frac{E_G \times W_i}{G}
\]

is semistable [RS, p. 29, Theorem 3].

Since all the characteristic classes of \( E_G \) of degree one vanish, we have \( \deg(E_{W_i}) = 0 \). So, as \( E_{W_i} \) is semistable, the direct sum

\[
E_W \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} E_{W_i}
\]

is also semistable.

In view of this observation, the proof of Theorem 3.1 gives the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let \( P \) be any complex connected linear algebraic group and let \( E_P \) be a semistable principal \( P \)-bundle over \( M \) with the property that all the characteristic classes of \( E_P \) of degrees one and two vanish. Then \( E_P \) admits a flat holomorphic connection.

Since the vector bundle \( E \) constructed in (3.7) is semistable and \( c_1(E) = 0 = c_2(E) \), it has a canonical connection \( \nabla^E \). As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, this connection \( \nabla^E \) induces a flat connection on \( E_P \).

In the final section, an application of Proposition 3.10 will be given for principal bundles over abelian varieties.
4. Principal bundles on an abelian variety

Let $M$ be an abelian variety. For any point $x \in M$, let
$$T_x : M \longrightarrow M$$
be the translation automorphism using $x$. So, $T_x(y) = x + y$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $P$ be any complex linear algebraic group. A principal $P$-bundle $E_P$ on the abelian variety $M$ admits a flat connection if and only if the pullback $T_x^*E_P$ is isomorphic to $E_P$ for all $x \in M$.

Proof. Since the isomorphism $T_x$ is homotopic to the identity map of $M$, if $E_P$ is given by some representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ in $P$ (that is, $E_P$ admits a flat connection), then $T_x^*E_P$ is isomorphic to $E_P$ for all $x \in M$.

Assume that $T_x^*E_P$ is isomorphic to $E_P$ for all $x \in M$. So if
$$\chi : P \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$
is a character, then the associated line bundle $E_P(\chi) := (E_P \times \mathbb{C})/P$ also has the property that $T_x^*E_P(\chi) \cong E_P(\chi)$. Now, if $\xi$ is a holomorphic line bundle over $M$ with the property that $T_x^*\xi \cong \xi$ for all $x \in M$, then
\begin{equation}
(4.1) \quad c_1(\xi) = 0
\end{equation}
\[\text{[Mu, p. 74, Definition; p. 86].}\] Since $c_1(E_P(\chi)) = 0$, we conclude that all characteristic classes of $E_P$ of degree one vanish.

Let $E_{L(P)}$ be the principal $L(P)$-bundle for $E_P$, where $L(P)$, as before, is the Levi group of $P$. If the adjoint bundle vector $\text{ad}(E_{L(P)})$ is not semistable, then consider the first term
$$W \subset \text{ad}(E_{L(P)})$$
in the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of $\text{ad}(E_{L(P)})$ (the maximal semistable subsheaf of $\text{ad}(E_{L(P)})$). From the uniqueness of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration it follows immediately that the condition $T_x^*\text{ad}(E_{L(P)}) \cong \text{ad}(E_{L(P)})$ implies that $T_x^*W \cong W$. So, from (4.1) we have $c_1(W) = c_1(\text{det} W) = 0$. Since $c_1(\text{ad}(E_{L(P)})) = 0$ (as $L(P)$ is reductive), we conclude that $\text{ad}(E_{L(P)})$ is semistable. Therefore, $E_{L(P)}$, and hence the $P$-bundle $E_P$, is semistable.

In view of Proposition 4.10 to show that $E_P$ admits a flat connection all we need to show is that if $c \in H^{2,2}(M)$ is a (rational) characteristic class of $E_P$ of degree two, then $c = 0$.

For this, let
\begin{equation}
(4.2) \quad C \in \text{CH}^2(M)
\end{equation}
be the characteristic class, in the Chow group of codimension two cycles, corresponding to the same invariant polynomial on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $P$ to which $c$ corresponds (see Chapter 3 of [Fu] for characteristic classes with values in Chow groups). We may need to take an integral multiple of $c$ as it is rational.

Consider the map
$$f : M \longrightarrow J^2(M) := \frac{H^3(M, \mathbb{C})}{F^2H^3(M, \mathbb{C}) + H^3(M, (2\pi \sqrt{-1})^2\mathbb{Z})}$$
defined by $x \longmapsto \text{AJ}_M(T_x(C) - C)$, where $\text{AJ}_M$ is the Abel–Jacobi map (see [Gr, p. 22]), and $C$ is the cycle in (4.2). This map $f$ is holomorphic.
The holomorphic cotangent space $\Omega^1_{\Omega}$ of $M$ at the identity element $e \in M$ will be denoted by $V$. So $H^{1,0}(M) = (\Lambda^i V) \otimes (\Lambda^j \overline{V})$ using the structure of cohomology of an abelian variety.

Consider the differential
\[(4.3)\quad df(e) : V^* \rightarrow T_0J^2(M) = (V \otimes \Lambda^2 \overline{V}) \oplus \Lambda^3 \overline{V}\]
at the point $e \in M$ of the map $f$ constructed above; here $0 \in J^2(M)$ is the zero element. Let
\[\varphi(C) \in H^{2,2}(M) \cong (\Lambda^2 V) \otimes (\Lambda^2 \overline{V})\]
be the cycle class of $C$. The homomorphism $df(e)$ in (4.3) is the contraction of $\varphi(C)$. In other words,
\[df(e)(v) = \langle v, \varphi(C) \rangle \in V \otimes \Lambda^2 \overline{V} \subset T_0J^2(M)\]
for all $w \in V^*$, where $\langle - , - \rangle$ denotes the contraction of $V^*$ with $V$. That $df(e)$ coincides with the contraction homomorphism follows from the description of the differential of the Abel–Jacobi map (see [Gr, p. 28]).

From the assumption that $T^*_x E_P \cong E_P$ for all $x \in M$ it follows that the map $f$ is identically zero. Since the homomorphism $df(e)$ in (4.3) is identically zero, we have $\varphi(C) = 0$ from the above description of $df(e)$. (If the contraction with $V^*$ of an element $\alpha \in (\Lambda^2 V) \otimes (\Lambda^2 \overline{V})$ vanishes identically, then $\alpha = 0$.)

Since $\varphi(C) = nc$, where $n$ is some positive integer, we conclude that all characteristic classes of $E_P$ of degree two vanish. So by Proposition 3.10 the principal $P$–bundle $E_P$ admits a flat connection. This completes the proof of the proposition.
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