

ELLIPTIC PLANAR VECTOR FIELDS WITH DEGENERACIES

ABDELHAMID MEZIANI

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with the normalization of elliptic vector fields in the plane that degenerate along a simple and closed curve. The associated homogeneous equation $Lu = 0$ is studied and an application to a degenerate Beltrami equation is given.

0. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals mainly with the normalization and integrability of a class of smooth complex-valued vector fields in the plane. A vector field L in this class will be assumed to be elliptic throughout except on a closed and simple curve Σ along which it is supposed to be tangent and such that $L \wedge \bar{L}$ vanishes to a constant order on Σ . The questions considered here are those of integrability and normalization of L in a tubular neighborhood of Σ .

We can assume that Σ is the circle $\{0\} \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ and that in a neighborhood of Σ , the vector field L has the expression

$$(0.1) \quad L_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^{n+1}a(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

with $Re(a(0, \theta)) \neq 0$ for every θ . The case $n = 0$ is now well understood (see [CG], [M1], and [M2]). The focus of this paper is then on the case $n \geq 1$.

To achieve a normal form for L_n , we construct a C^∞ -integral of L_n in a ring $A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1$. We use this integral to show that L_n is C^∞ -conjugate to the rotation invariant vector field R_n given by

$$(0.2) \quad R_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i \frac{r^{n+1}}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P(r)$ is a polynomial with degree at most $n - 1$ and such that $Re(P(0)) < 0$. The polynomial P and μ are uniquely determined by the vector field L_n . It follows, in particular, that two distinct vector fields given by (2) cannot be conjugate. A corresponding C^∞ -integral of R_n is the function

$$(0.3) \quad f_n(r, \theta) = \exp \left(\epsilon(r)^n \left(\frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta \right) \right),$$

with $\epsilon(r) = \frac{r}{|r|}$. Note that since $Re(P(0)) < 0$, $f_n \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ (for δ small enough) and that it vanishes to infinite order along Σ .

Received by the editors January 13, 2003 and, in revised form, December 23, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35F05; Secondary 30G20.

Key words and phrases. Beltrami equation, CR equation, elliptic vector field, normalization.

©2004 American Mathematical Society

Our motivation for seeking such normal forms is in the subsequent study of the pde's related to the structures defined by L . The normal forms allow us to write the equations in such a way that they can be analyzed. Related papers about solvability of vector fields near the characteristic set include [BCH], [BCP], [BgM1], [BgM2], [BhM1], [BhM2], [M1], [M2], [NT], [T1], [T2] and many others (see the extensive list of references contained in [T2]).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, we set the preliminaries and recall the main results of [CG], [M1] and [M2] about the case $n = 0$. In Section 2, we construct a unique series that is a formal solution of the equation $L_n u = 0$. The series has the form

$$(0.4) \quad \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta) r^j ,$$

with $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, P a polynomial with degree $\leq n - 1$, and $f_j \in C^\infty(S^1)$ (or in $C^\omega(S^1)$ when L is real analytic). In general, the series $\sum f_j(\theta) r^j$ appearing in (4) diverges for every $r \neq 0$. This is illustrated by an example in Section 3. In order to construct a nonconstant C^∞ solution of $L_n u = 0$, we study, in Section 4, particular CR equations. Namely, equations of the form

$$(0.5) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{f(z)}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu(z) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

where the coefficients $f(z)$ and $\mu(z)$ are functions of order $o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|z|})$ for every $q > 0$. In Section 5, we use the series (4) and results obtained in Section 4 to construct a C^∞ -integral for L_n . The normal form (3) for L_n is obtained in Section 6. The kernel of the operator R_n is studied in Section 7. We prove that all C^0 -solutions of $R_n u = 0$, in a neighborhood of the circle $r = 0$, are C^∞ functions. This result does not have a local counterpart. Indeed, for every $p \in \Sigma$, the equation $R_n u = 0$ has continuous solutions defined in a neighborhood of p that are not C^∞ . For the distribution solutions, we show that if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ solves $R_n u = 0$ and has support in Σ , then there are constants c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} such that

$$(0.6) \quad \langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta , \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta).$$

In the last section we make use of the normalization of the vector L_0 to study a degenerate Beltrami equation.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND FIRST ORDER CASE

In this section, we give the preliminary settings and recall the normalization for the case $n = 0$. Let

$$(1.1) \quad L = a(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

be a vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 . We assume that the coefficients a and b , are C^∞ or C^ω functions, are \mathbb{C} -valued and that they do not vanish simultaneously. Let \bar{L} be the complex conjugate vector field

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{L} = \bar{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \bar{b} dy.$$

The vector field L is said to be elliptic at a point p if L and \bar{L} are independent at p . If L is elliptic at each point of an open set Ω , then it is equivalent in Ω to the CR vector field

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Denote by Σ the characteristic set of L . That is, the set of points where L fails to be elliptic:

$$(1.3) \quad \Sigma = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^2; L \text{ and } \bar{L} \text{ are independent}\}.$$

We make the following assumptions

- (H1) Σ is a simple and closed curve;
- (H2) L is tangent to Σ at each point $p \in \Sigma$;
- (H3) $L \wedge \bar{L}$ vanishes to a constant order $n + 1$ along Σ .

It follows from the local representation of such vector fields (see [T1] or [T2]) that for each given $p \in \Sigma$, there are coordinates (s, t) , centered at p , such that in a neighborhood of the point p , the vector field L is a multiple of

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - is^{n+1} \alpha(s, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$$

some real-valued function α satisfying $\alpha(0) \neq 0$. It follows at once, that L satisfies the Nirenberg-Treves condition (P) at each point on Σ (see [NT] or [T1] or [T2]). These vector fields are thus locally integrable and locally solvable. In fact, the function α of (1.4) can be assumed to be identically equal to 1 (see [CG]). Thus, a vector field L satisfying hypotheses (H1), (H2), and (H3) can be viewed as follows:

in a neighborhood of a point $p \notin \Sigma$, L is equivalent to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ and in a neighborhood of a point $p \in \Sigma$, L is equivalent to $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - ix^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. These vector fields are therefore well understood when viewed locally. Their global behavior is, however, more complicated. Our aim here is to obtain normal forms for L in a tubular neighborhood of the characteristic set Σ .

From the assumption (H1), we can assume that Σ is a circle, that L is defined in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$, and that

$$(1.5) \quad \Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1.$$

Let

$$(1.6) \quad L = \alpha(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \beta(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where (r, θ) are the coordinates in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. It follows from hypotheses (H2) and (H3) that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that in the ring

$$(1.7) \quad A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1$$

the vector field L is a multiple of a vector field L_n of the form

$$(1.8) \quad L_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^{n+1} a(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

for some $a \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ satisfying $Re(a(r, \theta)) \neq 0$ for every $(r, \theta) \in A_\delta$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$(1.9) \quad Re(a(r, \theta)) > 0 \quad \forall (r, \theta) \in A_\delta.$$

The linear case $n = 0$ was studied in [M1] and [M2] and the study was completed in [CG]. It is proved in [M1] and [M2] that the complex number

$$(1.10) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} a(0, \theta) d\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}$$

is an invariant that characterizes L_0 . It is shown in [M2] that if $Im\lambda \neq 0$, then for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a C^k -diffeomorphism of A_δ that transforms L_0 to a multiple of the vector field

$$(1.11) \quad T_\lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

When $Im\lambda = 0$ (i.e., $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$), it is also proved in [M2] that L_0 is equivalent to T_λ but only under a $C^{1+\sigma}$ -diffeomorphism for some $0 < \sigma < 1$. In [CG], the above result about C^k equivalence is extended to include the case $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

In the real analytic category, it is proved in [M1] that L_0 is C^ω -equivalent to T_λ , if the equation $L_0 u = 0$ has a nonconstant C^ω solution. This is equivalent to saying that the holonomy group of Σ is periodic. It is proved in [CG] that, when $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and λ satisfies a certain diophantine condition (Bruno condition), the vector field L_0 is C^ω -equivalent to T_λ . It is also proved that there are C^ω vector fields L_0 with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ not satisfying the Bruno condition such that L_0 is not C^ω equivalent to T_λ .

2. FORMAL INTEGRABILITY

We show that a vector field L_n as in (1.8) has a formal integral. First, we rewrite the vector field in more suitable coordinates.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a C^∞ change of coordinates that transforms L_n into a multiple of*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^{n+1}(c_0 + c(r, \theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where $c_0 = 1 + i\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $c(r, \theta) \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ satisfying $c(0, \theta) \equiv 0$. (The change of coordinates is C^ω when L is C^ω .)

Proof. With L_n as in (1.8), consider the 1-form ω given by

$$(2.2) \quad \omega = dr + ir^{n+1}a(r, \theta)d\theta.$$

With our assumption $Rea(r, \theta) > 0$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} a(0, \theta) d\theta = a + ib \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}.$$

Since $n > 0$, we can replace r by $r_1 = \sqrt[n]{ar}$ in such a way that in the new coordinates (r_1, θ) we have $Re(\lambda) = 1$. Hence, from now on we can assume that

$$(2.4) \quad a(r, \theta) = 1 + ib_0 + \gamma_1(\theta) + i\gamma_2(\theta) + ra_1(r, \theta),$$

where $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_1 \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$, and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C^\infty(S^1)$ are \mathbb{R} -valued and have averages on S^1 equal to 0, i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \gamma_k(\theta) d\theta = 0, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Consider the new angle ϕ defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(\theta) = \theta + \int_0^\theta \gamma_1(s) ds.$$

It follows from the hypothesis on a that $\phi'(\theta) > 0$ and from (2.5) that $\phi(\theta + 2\pi) = \phi(\theta) + 2\pi$. With respect to the coordinates (r, ϕ) , the form ω has the expression

$$(2.7) \quad \omega = dr + ir^{n+1}(1 + i\beta - i\chi(\phi) + O(r))d\phi,$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\chi \in C^\infty(S^1)$, real valued and with zero average on S^1 . Consider the new variables (ρ, ϕ) in A_δ , where

$$(2.8) \quad \rho = \frac{r}{\sqrt[n]{1 - nr^n m(\phi)}} \quad \text{with} \quad m(\phi) = \int_0^\phi \chi(s) ds.$$

A calculation shows that

$$dr + r^{n+1}\chi(\phi) = \frac{d\rho}{\sqrt[n]{1 + n\rho^n m(\phi)^{n+1}}}.$$

In the (ρ, ϕ) coordinates, the form ω is a multiple of

$$d\rho + i\rho^{n+1}(1 + i\beta + O(\rho))d\phi.$$

Consequently, L_n is a multiple of a vector field given by (2.1). □

From now on, we will assume that L_n is given by (2.1). We will show that L_n has a formal first integral. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let L_n be as in (2.1). Then there exist unique constants $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and a unique sequence of functions $f_j(\theta) \in C^\infty(S^1)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that the series*

$$(2.9) \quad f(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_{-n}}{r^n} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{r} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(\theta)r^j$$

solves formally the equation $L_n f = 0$.

Remark 2.1. By a formal solution of the equation $L_n u = 0$, we mean the following. For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the function f_N defined by

$$(2.10) \quad f_N(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_{-n}}{r^n} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{r} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^N f_j(\theta)r^j$$

satisfies $L_n f_N = o(r^N)$.

Remark 2.2. When L_n is real analytic, the functions $f_j(\theta) \in C^\omega(S^1)$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. The Taylor expansion of the coefficient of L_n given by (2.1) is

$$(2.11) \quad T_0(c_0 + c(r, \theta)) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty c_j(\theta)r^j,$$

with $c_j(\theta) = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j c}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta)$. We write

$$(2.12) \quad c_j(\theta) = c_j^0 + \gamma_j(\theta),$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad c_j^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} c_j(\theta) d\theta.$$

Note that $c_0 = 1 + i\beta$, $\gamma_0 = 0$, and that since for $j \geq 1$, the average of $\gamma_j(\theta)$ on S^1 is zero, then

$$(2.14) \quad \int_0^\theta \gamma_j(s) ds \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

In order for the series (2.9) to formally satisfy $L_n u = 0$, we need to have

$$(2.15) \quad i + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f'_j(\theta) r^j - i r^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (c_l^0 + \gamma_l(\theta)) r^l \left[\frac{-n\alpha_{-n}}{r^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{-\alpha_{-1}}{r^2} + \frac{\mu}{r} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j f_j(\theta) r^{j-1} \right] = 0.$$

After grouping like terms and equating to zero the coefficient of r^m , we obtain the following equations:

$$(2.16) \quad 1 + n\alpha_{-n}c_0 = 0, \quad \text{for } m = 0;$$

$$(2.17) \quad f'_m + \sum_{k=n-m}^n ik\alpha_{-k}(c_{m-n+k}^0 + \gamma_{m-n+k}) = 0, \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, n-1;$$

$$(2.18) \quad f'_n - ic_0\mu + \sum_{k=1}^n ik\alpha_{-k}(c_k^0 + \gamma_k) = 0, \quad \text{for } m = n;$$

$$(2.19) \quad f'_m - i\mu(c_{m-n}^0 + \gamma_{m-n}) + \sum_{k=1}^n (c_{m-n+k}^0 + \gamma_{m-n+k}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-n} ikf_k(c_{m-n-k}^0 + \gamma_{m-n-k}) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq n+1.$$

It follows from (2.16) that

$$(2.20) \quad \alpha_{-n} = \frac{-1}{nc_0}$$

is uniquely determined. We set $m = 1$ in (2.17) to obtain

$$(2.21) \quad f'(\theta) = -i((n-1)\alpha_{-(n-1)}c_0 + n\alpha_{-n}(c_1^0 + \gamma_1(\theta))).$$

It follows from (2.14) that this equation has a 2π -periodic solution $f_1(\theta)$ if and only if

$$(2.22) \quad (n-1)c_0\alpha_{-(n-1)} + n\alpha_{-n}c_1^0 = 0.$$

This determines $\alpha_{-(n-1)}$ uniquely. For this value of $\alpha_{-(n-1)}$, the function $f_1 \in C^\infty(S^1)$ is determined up to an additive constant of integration K_1 . By induction, suppose that there are unique constants $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-l}$, with $l < n-1$ so that the differential equations in (2.17) for $m = 1, \dots, l$ have 2π -periodic solutions f_1, \dots, f_l that are determined up to additive constants K_1, \dots, K_l . For $m = l+1$, we obtain the equation

$$(2.23) \quad f'_{l+1}(\theta) = -i(n-(l+1))\alpha_{-(n-(l+1))}c_0 - \sum_{k=n-l}^n ik\alpha_{-k}(c_{l+1-n+k}^0 + \gamma_{l+1-n+k}(\theta)).$$

It follows from (2.14) that equation (2.23) has a 2π -periodic solution f_{l+1} (determined up to an additive constant) for the unique value of $\alpha_{-(n-(l+1))}$ given by

$$(2.24) \quad (n - (l + 1))c_0\alpha_{-(n-(l+1))} + \sum_{k=n-l}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_{l+1-n+k}^0 = 0.$$

This shows that there are unique constants $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-1}$ so that equations (2.17) have 2π -periodic solutions f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} that are determined up to additive constants.

Now that $\alpha_{-n}, \dots, \alpha_{-1}$ are determined, there is a unique constant μ given by

$$(2.25) \quad -c_0\mu + \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_k^0 = 0$$

so that the equation (2.18) has a 2π -periodic solution $f_n(\theta)$.

For $m = n + 1$, equation (2.19) has a 2π -periodic solution $f_{n+1}(\theta)$ if and only if

$$(2.26) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\mu c_1^0 + \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_{1+k}^0 - f_1(\theta)c_0 \right) d\theta = 0.$$

There is a unique choice of the constant K_1 for which equation (2.26) holds. For this choice of K_1 (so f_1 is now uniquely determined), f_{n+1} is determined up to an additive constant. By induction, suppose the functions f_1, \dots, f_l are uniquely determined so that equations (2.19) have 2π -periodic solutions f_{n+1}, \dots, f_{n+l} determined up to additive constants. The equation for $m = n + l + 1$ has a 2π -periodic solution f_{n+l+1} if and only if

$$(2.27) \quad (l + 1)c_0 \int_0^{2\pi} f_{l+1}(\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(-\mu c_{l+1}^0 + \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_{-k}c_{l+1+k}^0 \right) d\theta + \sum_{k=1}^l \int_0^{2\pi} k f_k(\theta)(c_{l+1-k}^0 + \gamma_{l+1-k}(\theta))d\theta.$$

There is a unique constant K_{l+1} (so f_{l+1} is uniquely determined) for which (2.28) holds. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

3. AN EXAMPLE

We give an example of a real analytic vector field with $n = 1$ for which the series solution constructed in the previous section diverges for every $r \neq 0$. Consider the vector field

$$(3.1) \quad L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^2(1 + re^{i\theta})\frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *For the vector field L_1 of (3.1), the series*

$$(3.2) \quad f(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{r} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta)r^j,$$

with $f_j \in C^\infty(S^1)$, solves formally $L_1 u = 0$, if and only if

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{-1} = -1, \quad \mu = 0, \quad f_1(\theta) = e^{i\theta} \quad \text{and} \\ f_j(\theta) = (j-1)!e^{i\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} f_{jk}e^{ik\theta}, \quad \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where f_{jk} are constants. Consequently, the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta)r^j$ diverges for every $r \neq 0$.

Proof. It follows at once from $L_1 u = 0$ that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} i(1 + \alpha_{-1}) + (f'_1 + i\alpha_{-1}e^{i\theta} - i\mu)r + (f'_2 - i\mu e^{i\theta} - if_1)r^2 \\ + \sum_{m \geq 3}^{\infty} (f'_m - i(m-1)f_{m-1} - i(m-2)e^{i\theta}f_{m-2})r^m = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha_{-1} = -1$ and then

$$(3.5) \quad f'_1(\theta) - ie^{i\theta} - i\mu = 0$$

has a 2π -periodic solution only when $\mu = 0$. In this case

$$(3.6) \quad f_1(\theta) = e^{i\theta} + K_1.$$

By equating the coefficient of r^2 to 0, we get

$$(3.7) \quad f'_2(\theta) = if_1(\theta) = ie^{i\theta} + iK_1.$$

This equation has a 2π -periodic solution if $K_1 = 0$ and then

$$(3.8) \quad f_2(\theta) = e^{i\theta} + K_2.$$

By equating the coefficient of r^3 to zero, we see that f_3 exists only when $K_2 = 0$ and then

$$(3.9) \quad f_3(\theta) = 2e^{i\theta} + \frac{1}{2}e^{2i\theta} + K_3.$$

By induction, suppose that f_j has the expression given in (3.3) for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then it follows from (3.4) that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} f'_m(\theta) &= (m-1)if_{m-1}(\theta) + (m-2)ie^{i\theta}f_{m-2}(\theta) \\ &= (m-1)!ie^{i\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} d_{mk}e^{ik\theta} \end{aligned}$$

with d_{mk} constants. Expression (3.3) for f_m follows at once.

To complete the proof of the proposition, observe that if $\sum f_j(\theta)r^j$ has positive radius of convergence, then the function

$$(3.11) \quad M(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\theta)r^j \right) e^{-i\theta} d\theta$$

would be real analytic at $r = 0$. But it follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.12) \quad M(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j-1)!r^j$$

with radius of convergence equal to zero. □

4. SOME RESULTS ABOUT THE CR OPERATOR

We will prove some results about the CR equation that will be needed to construct a C^∞ integral for L_n . Consider the space of functions defined in the disc $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(4.1) \quad E_R = \{f \in C^\infty(\overline{D(0, R)} \setminus \{0\}); f(z) = o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|z|}) \quad \forall q > 0\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in E_R$ and let*

$$(4.2) \quad g(z) = \int \int_{D(0, R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^2(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta,$$

where $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$. Then there is $R_1 < R$ such that $zg(z) \in E_{R_1}$.

Proof. Since $f \in E_R$, then it is not difficult to see that g is C^∞ for $z \neq 0$. We need only to show that for a given $q > 0$, $zg(z) = o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|z|})$. Let

$$(4.3) \quad D(0, R) = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_4,$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= D(0, \frac{|z|}{4}), & \Delta_2 &= D(z, \frac{|z|}{4}), \\ \Delta_3 &= \{\zeta : \frac{|z|}{4} < |\zeta - z| < \frac{|z|}{4} \log^{q+1} \frac{1}{|z|} \text{ and } |\zeta| > \frac{|z|}{4}\}, \\ \Delta_4 &= \{\zeta : \frac{|z|}{4} < |\zeta| < R \text{ and } |\zeta - z| > \frac{|z|}{4} \log^{q+1} \frac{1}{|z|}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |zg(z)| &\leq |z|I_1 + |z|I_2 + |z|I_3 + |z|I_4 \quad \text{with} \\ I_j &= \int \int_{\Delta_j} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2|\zeta - z|} d\xi d\eta \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the lemma, we need only to show that

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 0} |z|I_j \log^q \frac{1}{|z|} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

For $\zeta \in \Delta_1$, we have $|\zeta - z| > |z| - |\zeta| > \frac{3}{4}|z|$ and so

$$(4.7) \quad I_1 \leq \frac{4}{3|z|} \int \int_{\Delta_1} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

Since $f \in E_R$, then for every $s > 0$ there exists $C_s > 0$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad |f(\zeta)| \leq C_s \log^{-s} \frac{1}{|\zeta|}, \quad \forall \zeta \in D(0, R).$$

Hence,

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{4C_{q+2}}{3|z|} \int \int_{\Delta_1} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta|^2 \log^{q+2} \frac{1}{|\zeta|}} \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi C_{q+2}}{3|z|} \int_0^{|z|/4} \frac{dr}{r \log^{q+2} \frac{1}{r}} = \frac{8\pi C_{q+2}}{3|z|(q+1)} \log^{-(q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \end{aligned}$$

and (4.6) holds for $j = 1$.

For $\zeta \in \Delta_2$, we have $\frac{|z|}{4} < |\zeta| < \frac{5}{4}|z|$. Thus,

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} < \frac{16}{|z|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} < \log^{-1} \frac{4}{5|z|}.$$

It follows that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \frac{16C_{q+1}}{|z|^2} \left(\log^{-(q+1)} \frac{4}{5|z|} \right) \iint_{\Delta_2} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta - z|} \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi C_{q+1}}{|z|} \log^{-(q+1)} \frac{4}{5|z|} \end{aligned}$$

and (4.6) holds for $j = 2$.

For $\zeta \in \Delta_3$, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} \leq \frac{16}{|z|^2}.$$

We also have

$$(4.13) \quad |\zeta| \leq |z| + |\zeta - z| \leq |z| \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \log^q \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \leq \sqrt{|z|}$$

(we are assuming $|z|$ small). Thus

$$(4.14) \quad \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \leq 2 \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|z|}$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C_{2q+1} \iint_{\Delta_3} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2 |\zeta - z|} \left(\log^{-(2q+1)} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right) d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{16C_{2q+1}}{|z|^2} 2^{2q+1} \left(\log^{-(2q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \iint_{\Delta_3} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta - z|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using polar coordinates in the last integral, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \iint_{\Delta_3} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{|\zeta - z|} \leq 2\pi \int_{|z|/4}^{(|z|/4) \log^q \frac{1}{|z|}} dr = \frac{|z|}{4} \left(\log^q \frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(4.17) \quad I_3 \leq \frac{8\pi C_{2q+1} 2^{2q+1}}{|z|} \left(\log^{-(2q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \left(\log^q \frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right)$$

and (4.6) holds for $j = 3$.

Finally, for $\zeta \in \Delta_4$, we use

$$|\zeta - z| > \frac{|z|}{4} \log^{q+1} \frac{1}{|z|}$$

to obtain

$$(4.18) \quad I_4 \leq \frac{4}{|z|} \left(\log^{-(q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \iint_{\Delta_4} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2} d\xi d\eta \leq \frac{4B}{|z|} \left(\log^{-(q+1)} \frac{1}{|z|} \right),$$

where

$$(4.19) \quad B = \iint_{D(0,R)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2} d\xi d\eta < \infty.$$

Therefore (4.6) holds for $j = 4$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in E_R$. Then the CR equation*

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{f(z)}{z}$$

has a solution $w \in E_R$.

Remark 4.1. Note that, in general, for $f \in E_R$, the function $\frac{f}{z} \in L^2$ but $\frac{f}{z} \notin L^p$ for any $p > 2$. Hence the classical results about the solvability of the inhomogeneous CR equation cannot be applied here.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The function

$$(4.21) \quad v(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta \in C^\infty(D(0,R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^1(D(0,R)).$$

That v is in $C^\infty(D(0,R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^\sigma(D(0,R))$ for any $0 < \sigma < 1$ follows from classical theory (see [V], Chapter 1); that v is C^1 at 0 follows from a result of [B], Chapter 2. We have

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

Let

$$(4.23) \quad u(z) = v(z) - v(0) - \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}(0)z = \frac{-z^2}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^2(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta.$$

The function u solves

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} = f(z).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 4.1 and from (4.24) that the function

$$w(z) = \frac{u(z)}{z} \in E_R$$

and solves equation (4.20). □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f \in E_R$. The function*

$$(4.25) \quad Pf(z) = \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\xi d\eta,$$

where the singular integral is understood in the sense of the Cauchy principal value, satisfies

$$(4.26) \quad Pf(z) - Pf(0) \in E_R.$$

Proof. We know that if $f \in E_R$, then Pf is C^∞ away from 0 (see [V], Chapter 1). To prove the lemma, we need only to show that for a given $q > 0$,

$$(4.27) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (Pf(z) - Pf(0)) \log^q \frac{1}{|z|} = 0.$$

We can rewrite (see [V], page 58)

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} Pf(z) - Pf(0) &= -z \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{(\zeta - z)^2 \zeta} d\xi d\eta \\ &\quad - z \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^2(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta - \pi f(z) \frac{\bar{z}}{z} \end{aligned}$$

(in fact, in [V] there is an additional term defined by an integral over the boundary which is equal to zero in our case since $\partial D(0, R)$ is the circle). We then have

$$(4.29) \quad |Pf(z) - Pf(0)| \leq |z|I_1 + |z|I_2 + \pi|f(z)|,$$

where

$$(4.30) \quad I_1 = \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(z)|}{|\zeta - z|^2|\zeta|} d\xi d\eta \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^2|\zeta - z|} d\xi d\eta.$$

Since $f \in E_R$, to prove the lemma, we need only to show that

$$(4.31) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |z|I_k \log^q \frac{1}{|z|} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2.$$

For $k = 2$, (4.31) holds by Lemma 4.1. To prove it for $k = 1$, notice that since $f \in E_R$, then

$$(4.32) \quad |f(\zeta) - f(z)| = o(\log^{-q} \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|}) \quad \forall q > 0$$

uniformly in z . Hence for $|z| < \frac{r}{2}$, we have

$$(4.33) \quad I_1 = \int \int_{D(z,R)} \frac{f(\tau + z) - f(z)}{|\tau|^2|\tau + z|} dsdt \leq \int \int_{D(0,2R)} \frac{|h(\tau, z)|}{|\tau|^2|\tau + z|} dsdt,$$

where we have set $\tau = s + it$ and $h(\tau, z) = f(z + \tau) - f(z)$. It follows from (4.32) that $h(\cdot, z) \in E_R$ and so (4.31) follows again from Lemma 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mu(z) \in E_R$. The Beltrami equation*

$$(4.34) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu(z) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

has a solution of the form

$$(4.35) \quad w(z) = z(1 + K(z))$$

with $K(z) \in E_R$.

Proof. It follows from classical results that any solution of (4.34) is C^∞ away from 0 (for R small enough) and it follows from [B] (Chapter 3) that equation (4.34) has a C^1 solution that is a local diffeomorphism at 0. The local diffeomorphism can be constructed as follows (see [V], Chapter 2). Let

$$(4.36) \quad w(z) = z + Tf(z),$$

with f satisfying the integral equation

$$(4.37) \quad f(z) - \mu(z)\Pi f(z) = \mu(z),$$

where T and Π are the integral operators

$$(4.38) \quad Tf(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta,$$

$$\Pi f(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\xi d\eta.$$

Furthermore, the function f is obtained as the limit of the sequence f_n defined by

$$(4.39) \quad f_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{n+1}(z) = \mu(z)\Pi f_n(z) + \mu(z) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0.$$

Each f_n is in E_R and so is f . The function

$$(4.40) \quad v(z) = \frac{Tf(z) - Tf(0)}{z} = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int \int_{D(0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta(\zeta - z)} d\xi d\eta$$

solves the equation

$$(4.41) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{f}{z}.$$

It follows from Theorem 4.1 that

$$(4.42) \quad K_1(z) = v(z) - v(0) \in E_R.$$

Hence,

$$(4.43) \quad w(z) = z + Tf(z) - Tf(0)$$

has the desired form. □

5. A C^∞ INTEGRAL

We construct here a C^∞ integral for L_n defined in a tubular neighborhood of the characteristic circle. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let L_n be as in (2.1) and for $\delta > 0$ let*

$$(5.1) \quad A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1, \quad A_\delta^+ = (0, \delta) \times S^1, \quad A_\delta^- = (-\delta, 0) \times S^1.$$

Then there is $\delta > 0$ and $h \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ such that

- (i) h is flat along the circle $\{r = 0\}$;
- (ii) $h : A_\delta^\pm \rightarrow h(A_\delta^\pm)$ is a diffeomorphism; and
- (iii) $L_n h = 0$.

The rest of the section deals with the proof of this theorem. Let

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(\theta)r^j$$

be the series constructed in Section 2, where P is the polynomial of degree $\leq n - 1$ given by

$$(5.3) \quad P(r) = \alpha_{-n} + \alpha_{-n+1}r + \dots + \alpha_{-1}r^{n-1}.$$

Note that

$$(5.4) \quad P(0) = \frac{-1}{nc_0} = \frac{-1}{n(1+i\beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re}(P(0)) = \frac{-1}{n(1+\beta^2)} < 0.$$

Let $g(r, \theta) \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ be such that

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\partial^j g}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) = j!f_j(\theta), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Thus the Taylor series of g with respect to r is $\sum f_j(\theta)r^j$. Let

$$(5.6) \quad m(r, \theta) = \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + g(r, \theta).$$

The function m is C^∞ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for $r \neq 0$ small, and it satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad m(r, \theta + 2\pi) = m(r, \theta) + 2\pi \quad \forall (r, \theta).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 and from (5.5) that $L_n m$ is flat along $r = 0$. That is,

$$(5.8) \quad L_n m(r, \theta) = o(r^q) \quad \forall q > 0.$$

Define a function $f \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ by

$$(5.9) \quad f(r, \theta) = \exp(\epsilon(r)^n m(r, \theta)),$$

where $\epsilon(r) = \frac{r}{|r|}$. The function f satisfies

$$(5.10) \quad |f(r, \theta)| = 0(\exp(\frac{Re(P(0))}{|r|^n})).$$

Consequently, f vanishes to infinite order along $r = 0$ since $Re(P(0)) < 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that the maps*

$$(5.11) \quad f : A_\delta^\pm \longrightarrow f(A_\delta^\pm),$$

defined in (5.9), are diffeomorphisms.

Proof. We will prove the lemma for A_δ^+ . We need only to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ for which f is injective in A_δ^+ . Consider the equation

$$(5.12) \quad f(r, \theta) = f(\rho, \phi).$$

By equating the real and imaginary parts we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{P_1(r) + \mu_1 r^n \log r + r^n g_1(r, \theta)}{r^n} &= \frac{P_1(\rho) + \mu_1 \rho^n \log \rho + \rho^n g_1(\rho, \phi)}{\rho^n}, \\ \theta + \frac{P_2(r) + \mu_2 r^n \log r + r^n g_2(r, \theta)}{r^n} &= \phi + \frac{P_2(\rho) + \mu_2 \rho^n \log \rho + \rho^n g_2(\rho, \phi)}{\rho^n} \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2, \quad P = P_1 + iP_2, \quad \text{and} \quad g = g_1 + ig_2.$$

The first equation of (5.13) can be rewritten as

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{r}{\sqrt[n]{-P_1(r) - \mu_1 r^n \log r - r^n g_1(r, \theta)}} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt[n]{-P_1(\rho) - \mu_1 \rho^n \log \rho - \rho^n g_1(\rho, \phi)}}.$$

We have then, from the implicit function theorem, that for δ small enough (5.13) has a solution of the form

$$(5.15) \quad \rho = r(1 + r\alpha(r, \theta)) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \theta + r\beta(r, \theta).$$

Now, it can be proved that f is a local diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of each point (r, θ) with $r \neq 0$. This, together with (5.15), imply that the functions α and β are identically zero and so f is injective on A_δ^+ . A similar argument shows that f is also injective on A_δ^- . \square

Since f is flat along $r = 0$, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists $R = R(\delta)$ such that

$$(5.16) \quad (D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \subset f(A_\delta^\pm) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Let

$$(5.17) \quad L^\pm = f_* L_n$$

be the pushforward to $f(A_\delta^\pm)$ of the vector field L_n via f .

Lemma 5.2. *There exist a function $A(z) \in E_R$, where E_R is the space of functions defined in (4.1), and a function $B(z)$ with*

$$B \in C^\infty(D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) \log^{1/n} \left(\frac{1}{|z|} \right) \text{ is bounded}$$

such that the vector field L^+ defined by (5.17) can be expressed as

$$(5.18) \quad L^+ = zA(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{2i}{c_0} \bar{z} (1 + B(z)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

A similar expression holds for L^- .

Proof. Since $z = f(r, \theta)$, then it follows from (5.10) that there exist positive constants a and b such that

$$(5.19) \quad a \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\kappa}{r}\right)^n\right) < |z| < b \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\kappa}{r}\right)^n\right),$$

where we have set $\kappa = \sqrt[n]{-P_1(0)}$. Equivalently,

$$(5.20) \quad \kappa \log^{-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{b}{|z|} < r < \kappa \log^{-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{a}{|z|}.$$

Let

$$(5.21) \quad L^+ = X(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + Y(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$$

where

$$(5.22) \quad X(z) = (L_n f)(f^{-1}(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad Y(z) = (L_n \bar{f})(f^{-1}(z)).$$

Using $f(r, \theta) = \exp m(r, \theta)$, we get

$$(5.23) \quad L_n f = f L_n m \quad \text{and} \quad L_n \bar{f} = \bar{f} L_n \bar{m}.$$

We know that $L_n m$ is flat along $r = 0$, and

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} L_n \bar{m} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir^{n+1}(c_0 + O(r)) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{P}(r)}{r^n} - i\theta + \bar{\mu} \log r + O(r) \right) \\ &= -i + inc_0 \bar{P}(0) + O(r) = \frac{-2i}{c_0} + O(r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from (5.22), (5.23) and (5.24) that

$$(5.25) \quad X(z) = zA(z) \quad \text{and} \quad Y(z) = \frac{-2i}{c_0} \bar{z} (1 + B(z)).$$

That $A \in E_R$ follows from (5.23), (5.20) and (5.8). That B satisfies the conditions of the lemma follows from (5.22), (5.24), and (5.20). \square

We are going to construct a solution to the equation $L_n u = 0$ in A_δ^+ in the form $u = f(r, \theta)(1 + k(r, \theta))$, where f is defined by (5.9) and where k is a C^∞ function vanishing to infinite order along $r = 0$. The function k will be defined as

$$(5.26) \quad k(r, \theta) = K \circ f(r, \theta)$$

where $K(z)$ is a solution of the equation

$$(5.27) \quad L^+(z(1 + K(z))) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D(0, R),$$

and where L^+ is defined in (5.18).

By using the expression of L^+ given in Lemma 5.2, we find that the function $U = \log(1 + K)$ must solve the equation

$$(5.28) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{M(z)}{\bar{z}} + \frac{z}{\bar{z}} M(z) \frac{\partial U}{\partial z},$$

where we have set

$$(5.29) \quad M(z) = \frac{\bar{c}_0 A(z)}{2i(1 + B(z))} \in E_R.$$

To solve (5.28), we first consider the Beltrami equation

$$(5.30) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{z}{\bar{z}} M(z) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}.$$

Since this equation has a coefficient in E_R , then it follows from Theorem 4.2 that it has a solution w of the form

$$(5.31) \quad w(z) = z(1 + s(z)) \quad \text{with} \quad s \in E_R.$$

With respect to the new complex variable w , equation (5.28) becomes

$$(5.32) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{N(w)}{\bar{w}},$$

where

$$(5.33) \quad N(w) = \frac{\bar{w} M}{\bar{z}(1 - |M|^2) \bar{w}_z}.$$

Hence, $N \in E_R$ and by Theorem 4.1, equation (5.32) has a solution $U(w) \in E_R$. The function

$$(5.34) \quad K(z) = \exp(U(w(z))) - 1 \in E_R$$

solves (5.27) and consequently, the function $k(r, \theta)$ given by (5.26) is flat along $r = 0$ (thanks to (5.20)) and

$$(5.35) \quad L_n(f(r, \theta)(1 + k(r, \theta))) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad A_\delta^+.$$

A similar argument gives a solution to the equation $L_n u = 0$ in A_δ^- of the form $u = f(1 + \hat{k})$ with \hat{k} flat along $r = 0$. We define h in A_δ by

$$(5.36) \quad h(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} f(r, \theta)(1 + k(r, \theta)) & \text{if } r \geq 0, \\ f(r, \theta)(1 + \hat{k}(r, \theta)) & \text{if } r \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the construction of k and \hat{k} that if δ is small enough, then h satisfies all properties of Theorem 5.1. This completes the proof.

Remark 5.1. The integral $h(r, \theta)$ constructed above has the form

$$(5.37) \quad h(r, \theta) = \exp \left(\epsilon(r)^n \left(\frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta + l(r, \theta) \right) \right)$$

with $l \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ and $l(0, \theta) = 0$. In general, l is not real analytic, even when L_n is real analytic (see Section 3).

6. NORMALIZATION

We make use of the first integral constructed in the previous section to find a normal form for the vector field L_n .

Theorem 6.1. *Let L_n be a vector field as in (2.1). Then there exists a unique polynomial $P(r)$ with $Re(P(0)) < 0$ and of degree $\leq n - 1$, and there exists a complex number μ such that L_n is C^∞ -conjugate in a ring A_δ to the vector field*

$$(6.1) \quad R_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i \frac{r^{n+1}}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} ,$$

with a C^∞ integral given by

$$(6.2) \quad f_n(r, \theta) = \exp \left(\epsilon(r)^n \left(\frac{P(r)}{r^n} + \mu \log |r| + i\theta \right) \right) ,$$

where $\epsilon(r) = \frac{r}{|r|}$.

To prove the theorem, we use the first integral $h(r, \theta)$ given by (5.37). Our aim is to find new coordinates in which the function $l(r, \theta)$ is identically zero. Let

$$(6.3) \quad A(r) = \frac{P(r)}{r^n} + i\mu \log |r| .$$

We decompose the functions into their real and imaginary parts:

$$(6.4) \quad A = A_1 + iA_2, \quad P = P_1 + iP_2, \quad l = l_1 + il_2, \quad \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2.$$

Lemma 6.1. *The equation*

$$(6.5) \quad A_1(\rho) = A_1(r) + l_1(r, \theta)$$

has a solution $\rho \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ of the form

$$(6.6) \quad \rho = r + r^{n+2}\beta(r, \theta) .$$

Proof. Equation (6.5) can be rewritten as

$$(6.7) \quad \frac{\rho}{(-P_1(\rho) - \rho^n \mu_1 \log |\rho|)^{1/n}} = \frac{r}{(-P_1(r) - r^n \mu_1 \log |r| - r^n l_1(r, \theta))^{1/n}} .$$

It follows at once from the implicit function theorem that (6.7) has a solution $\rho = r + o(r)$. We write this solution as $\rho = r(1 + \alpha(r, \theta))$ and solve for the function α . By rewriting (6.5) for the unknown α , we get the equation

$$(6.8) \quad G(r, \theta, \alpha) = 0,$$

where G is a C^∞ function defined for $|r| < \delta$, $|\alpha| < \delta$, and $\theta \in S^1$ by

$$(6.9) \quad G(r, \theta, \alpha) = (1 + \alpha)^n (P_1(r) + r^n l_1(r, \theta)) - P_1(r(1 + \alpha)) - \mu_1 r^n \log(1 + \alpha).$$

Since

$$(6.10) \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha}(0, 0, \theta) = nP_1(0) \neq 0,$$

and

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{\partial^j G}{\partial r^j}(0, 0, \theta) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, n ,$$

the solution α satisfies $\alpha = o(r^n)$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\rho(r, \theta)$ be a function as in (6.6). Then*

$$\log r - \log \rho \in C^\infty(A_\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P_2(r)}{r^n} - \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n} \in C^\infty(A_\delta), \quad (6.12)$$

where P_2 is the imaginary part of the polynomial P . Furthermore, the functions given in (6.12) vanish along $r = 0$.

Proof. For ρ as in (6.6), we have

$$(6.13) \quad \log r - \log \rho = -\log(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)$$

which is clearly C^∞ for r small and vanishes for $r = 0$. We also have

$$(6.14) \quad \frac{P_2(r)}{r^n} - \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n} = \frac{(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)^n P_2(r) - P_2(r(1 + r^{n+1}\beta))}{r^n(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)^n}.$$

Since

$$(6.15) \quad (1 + r^{n+1}\beta)^n P_2(r) - P_2(r(1 + r^{n+1}\beta)) = o(r^{n+1}),$$

the conclusions follow. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let ρ be the solution (6.6) of equation (6.5). With respect to the coordinates (ρ, θ) , the function $h(r, \theta)$ has the expression

$$(6.16) \quad h(\rho, \theta) = \exp \left[\epsilon(\rho)^n \left(\frac{P_1(\rho)}{\rho^n} + \mu_1 \log |\rho| + i(\theta + \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n} + \mu_2 \log |\rho| + s(\rho, \theta)) \right) \right],$$

where s is given by

$$(6.17) \quad s(\rho, \theta) = l_2(r, \theta) + \mu_2(\log |r| - \log |\rho|) + \frac{P_2(r)}{r^n} - \frac{P_2(\rho)}{\rho^n}.$$

It follows from Lemma 6.2 that $s \in C^\infty(A_\delta)$ and that $s = 0$ along $\rho = 0$. Finally, if we take as a new angle,

$$(6.18) \quad \phi = \theta + s(\rho, \theta),$$

then with respect to the new coordinates (ρ, ϕ) , the function h has the desired form

$$(6.19) \quad h(\rho, \theta) = \exp(\epsilon(\rho)^n (A(\rho) + i\phi))$$

whose annihilator is the vector field $R_n(\rho, \phi)$ given in (6.1). \square

For a real analytic vector field L_n , the normal form R_n can be achieved under a real analytic diffeomorphism only when the formal integral constructed in Section 2 converges for some $r \neq 0$. Under the assumption that the formal integral converges, the proof of the C^ω -conjugacy is identical to that given above. We state this as the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let L_n be a real analytic vector field as in (2.1). Suppose that the corresponding formal solution converges for some $r \neq 0$. Then L_n is C^ω -conjugate in a ring A_δ to the vector field*

$$(6.20) \quad R_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i \frac{r^{n+1}}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

7. THE KERNEL OF R_n

We determine the structure of the solutions of the homogeneous equation

$$(7.1) \quad R_n u = 0$$

in the ring $A_\delta = (-\delta, \delta) \times S^1$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let f_n be the first integral given in (6.2) of the vector field R_n . A function $u \in C^0(\overline{A_\delta})$ solves (7.1) if and only if there exist holomorphic functions H^\pm defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, with $H^+(0) = H^-(0)$, such that*

$$(7.2) \quad u(r, \theta) = H^\pm \circ f_n(r, \theta) \quad \forall (r, \theta) \in \overline{A_\delta^\pm},$$

where $A_\delta^+ = A_\delta \cap \{r > 0\}$ and $A_\delta^- = A_\delta \cap \{r < 0\}$. Consequently, any C^0 -solution of (7.1) is C^∞ .

Proof. The pushforward of u in A_δ^+ via the first integral f_n is a function H^+ defined in $f_n(A_\delta^+)$ that satisfies the CR equation $H_{\bar{z}}^+ = 0$. Hence, H^+ is a bounded holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $u = H^+ \circ f_n$ in A_δ^+ . A similar result holds in A_δ^- . That $H^+(0) = H^-(0)$ follows from the continuity of u and that u is C^∞ on $r = 0$ follows from the flatness of f_n along $r = 0$. \square

Remark 7.1. Theorem 7.1 does not have a local counterpart version. For every $p \in \Sigma$ there exist C^0 solutions of $L_n u = 0$ defined in a neighborhood of p that are not C^∞ . For example, for a given branch of the logarithm, the function $(x + ix^2t)^{3/2}$ is not C^∞ in a neighborhood of 0 and it satisfies the equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i \frac{x^2}{1 + 2ixt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)u = 0$$

(we refer to [T1] and [T2] for the local solvability of vector fields).

The next result describes the distribution solutions of (7.1) that are supported by the characteristic circle $r = 0$. The analogue question for the vector field L_0 is treated in [BhM2].

Theorem 7.2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ with $\text{supp}(u) \subset \{r = 0\}$. If u solves (7.1), then there exist constants c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} in \mathbb{C} such that*

$$(7.3) \quad \langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta).$$

Proof. The transpose of R_n is the operator

$$(7.4) \quad R_n^* = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + ir^{n+1}Q(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i(r^{n+1}Q(r))_r,$$

where

$$Q(r) = \frac{1}{rP'(r) - nP(r) + \mu r^n}.$$

First, we verify that a distribution u given by (7.3) solves equation (7.1). For $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$, let $u_j \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ be defined by

$$(7.5) \quad \langle u_j, \phi \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta).$$

For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$, we write

$$(7.6) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} l_k(\theta) r^k + O(r^n), \quad l_k(\theta) \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

It follows that

$$(7.7) \quad R_n^* \phi = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} l'_k(\theta) r^k + O(r^n).$$

Therefore,

$$(7.8) \quad \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) = -j! l'_j(\theta),$$

and

$$(7.9) \quad \langle R_n u_j, \phi \rangle = \langle u_j, R_n^* \phi \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta = -j! \int_0^{2\pi} l'_j(\theta) d\theta = 0.$$

Hence, u_j solves (7.1) and so does any linear combination given by (7.3).

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ be a solution of (7.1) and $\text{supp}(u) \subset \{r = 0\}$. Suppose that u has a transverse order m . Since R_n is elliptic in the tangential direction along $r = 0$, then there exist $a_0(\theta), \dots, a_m(\theta) \in C^\infty(S^1)$ such that

$$(7.10) \quad \langle u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^m \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(\theta) \frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial r^k}(0, \theta) d\theta.$$

We prove that the order m must satisfy $m \leq n - 1$. By contradiction, suppose that $m \geq n$. Then $a_m \neq 0$ and there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(7.11) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} a_m(\theta) e^{ip\theta} d\theta \neq 0.$$

If $p \neq 0$, we let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$ be of the form

$$(7.12) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = e^{ip\theta} r^m + o(r^m).$$

Then

$$(7.13) \quad R_n^* \phi = -ipe^{ip\theta} r^m + o(r^m)$$

and

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) &= 0 \quad \text{if } j = 0, \dots, m-1, \\ \frac{\partial^m R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^m}(0, \theta) &= -m! i p e^{ip\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7.10), (7.11) and (7.14) that

$$(7.15) \quad \langle R_n u, \phi \rangle = \langle u, R_n^* \phi \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} a_m(\theta) (-m! i p e^{ip\theta}) d\theta \neq 0.$$

This contradicts the hypothesis $R_n u = 0$ and shows that $m \leq n - 1$ when $p \neq 0$. In the case $p = 0$, we consider $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$ independent of θ and given by

$$(7.16) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = g(r) = r^{m-n} + o(r^{m-n})$$

with $g \in \mathcal{D}((-\delta, \delta))$. We have (by using (7.4)) that

$$(7.17) \quad R_n^* \phi(r, \theta) = i \frac{d}{dr} (r^{n+1} Q(r) g(r)) = i(m+1) Q(0) r^m + o(r^m).$$

Consequently,

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^j R_n^* g}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) &= 0 \quad \text{if } j = 0, \dots, m - 1, \\ \frac{\partial^m R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^m}(0, \theta) &= i(m + 1)!Q(0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that in this case, we also have $\langle R_n u, g \rangle \neq 0$. This shows that the order of a distribution solution supported by the characteristic circle needs to be $\leq n - 1$.

Next, we prove that the coefficients $a_0(\theta), \dots, a_m(\theta)$ given in (7.10) are constants. For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_\delta)$, we have

$$(7.19) \quad 0 = \langle R_n u, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^m \int_0^{2\pi} a_j(\theta) \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) d\theta.$$

We write

$$(7.20) \quad \phi(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^m l_k(\theta)r^k + o(r^m).$$

Since $m < n$, it follows from (7.4) and (7.20) that for $j = 0, \dots, m$,

$$(7.21) \quad \frac{\partial^j R_n^* \phi}{\partial r^j}(0, \theta) = j!l'_j(\theta).$$

For a given $k \leq m$, let $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}'(A_\delta)$ be such that

$$(7.22) \quad \phi_k(r, \theta) = l_k(\theta)r^k + o(r^m).$$

Equation (7.19) together with (7.21) gives

$$(7.23) \quad 0 = \langle R_n u, \phi_k \rangle = k! \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(\theta)l'_k(\theta) d\theta.$$

If a_k were not constant, then there would be $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \neq 0$ such that

$$(7.24) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(\theta)e^{ip\theta} d\theta \neq 0,$$

and in this case if we select $f_k(\theta) = e^{ip\theta}$, then (7.23) will be violated. This shows that a_0, \dots, a_m are constants. □

8. A DEGENERATE BELTRAMI EQUATION

The Beltrami equation $w_{\bar{z}} = \mu(z)w_z$ has been studied in the elliptic case $|\mu(z)| \leq K < 1$ for all z in a domain of \mathbb{C} (see [V]). However, very little is known when $\mu(z)$ is not uniformly bounded away from 1. In this section, we consider this degenerate situation and show that it can be understood in terms of the vector field L_n with $n = 0$.

We start with a vector field V defined in a disc $D(0, \delta) \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(8.1) \quad V = A(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + B(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}},$$

where $A, B \in C^l(D(0, R))$ satisfy

$$(8.2) \quad |A(z)| = O(|z|^m) \quad \text{and} \quad |B(z)| = O(|z|^n)$$

with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$(8.3) \quad n \leq m < l.$$

Assume that there exist constants $a, b > 0$ such that

$$(8.4) \quad a|z|^{2n} \leq |B(z)|^2 - |A(z)|^2 \leq b|z|^{2n}.$$

The equation $Vw = 0$ is equivalent to the Beltrami equation

$$(8.5) \quad w_{\bar{z}} = \mu(z)w_z,$$

with

$$(8.6) \quad \mu(z) = -\frac{A(z)}{B(z)}.$$

It follows from hypothesis (8.4) that

$$(8.7) \quad |\mu(z)| < 1 \quad \text{for } z \neq 0.$$

Hence, equation (8.5) is elliptic in a neighborhood of each point $z \neq 0$, but $\limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} |\mu(z)|$ might be equal to 1. We will show that this degenerate Beltrami equation has a solution which is a local homeomorphism at 0.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mu(z)$ be given by (8.6) with A and B satisfying (8.4). Then there exist $\delta > 0$, $\sigma > 0$ and a function*

$$(8.8) \quad w \in C^{l+1}(D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^\sigma(D(0, R))$$

such that w solves the Beltrami equation (8.5) and

$$(8.9) \quad w : D(0, R) \longrightarrow w(D(0, R))$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. First, consider the case $m > n$. It follows from the hypotheses that

$$(8.10) \quad \mu(z) \in C^l(D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^{m-n-1+\sigma}(D(0, R))$$

for any $0 < \sigma < 1$ and that $\mu(0) = 0$. This is a classical Beltrami equation and a diffeomorphic solution w can be found in $D(0, R)$. With w of class C^{l+1} away from 0, and of class $C^{m-n+\sigma}$ at 0 (see [V]).

Next, in the case $m = n$, in which there is an effective degeneracy, let

$$(8.11) \quad \begin{aligned} A(z) &= A_n(z) + O(|z|^{n+1}), \\ B(z) &= B_n(z) + O(|z|^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

with A_n and B_n homogeneous polynomials in z and \bar{z} of degree n . We use polar coordinates $z = re^{i\theta}$ to express (8.4) as

$$(8.12) \quad a \leq |B(e^{i\theta})|^2 - |A(e^{i\theta})|^2 \leq b$$

and the vector field V as

$$(8.13) \quad V = \frac{i}{2} r^{n-1} (B_n(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} - A_n(e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta} + O(r)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - ir(a(\theta) + O(r)) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right),$$

where

$$(8.14) \quad a(\theta) = \frac{B_n(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} + A_n(e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta}}{B_n(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} - A_n(e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta}}.$$

It follows from (8.12) that the real part of $a(\theta)$ is nowhere zero. We can therefore assume that $Re(a) > 0$ so that

$$(8.15) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} a(\theta)d\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}.$$

It follows then from [M2] that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the equation $Vu = 0$ has a solution of the form

$$(8.16) \quad u(r, \theta) = r^{1/\lambda}e^{i\theta}B(r, \theta),$$

with

$$(8.17) \quad B \in C^{l+1}(A_\delta \setminus \{r = 0\}) \cup C^0(A_\delta)$$

and $B(0, \theta) \neq 0$ for every θ . Hence, for δ small enough u is a homeomorphism from A_δ^+ onto its image $u(A_\delta^+)$. The expression of the function u in terms of the variable $z = re^{i\theta}$ is

$$(8.18) \quad w(z) = \frac{z}{|z|} |z|^{1/\lambda} \hat{B}(Z)$$

with $\hat{B}(z) = B(u^{-1}(z))$. Thus

$$(8.19) \quad w \in C^l(D(0, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^\sigma(D(0, \epsilon))$$

for any positive number σ satisfying

$$(8.20) \quad 0 < \sigma < Re\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

Furthermore, w is a homeomorphism and satisfies equation (8.5). □

As a consequence of Theorem 8.1 we get the following factorization result.

Theorem 8.2. *If u is a C^0 -solution of (8.5) defined near $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then there exists a holomorphic H such that $u = H \circ w$, where w is the homeomorphic solution of (8.5) as in Theorem 8.1.*

Remark 8.1. The following question (motivated by geometric considerations) is considered in [W] (page 52). Given $A(x, y)$ and $B(x, y)$ real analytic functions defined near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\left| \frac{A(x, y)}{B(x, y)} \right| \leq K < 1 \quad \text{for } x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2,$$

the question is to determine whether the Beltrami equation $w_{\bar{z}} = (A/B)w_z$ has a meromorphic solution. That is, a solution of the form

$$w(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{g(x, y)}$$

with w a local homeomorphism at 0 and f and g real analytic. In view of Theorem 8.1 and its proof, in general, there are no nontrivial meromorphic solutions to such Beltrami equations. Indeed, a necessary condition for the existence of a meromorphic solution is that the invariant λ (see (8.15)) of the associated vector field V (as in (8.13)) must be in \mathbb{Z}^+ . This follows from the fact that if the solution is meromorphic, then its expression in polar coordinates (r, θ) would be a real

analytic integral of V and thus $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (see [M1] and [M2]). However, for given real analytic functions A, B , the associated invariant λ is not necessarily in \mathbb{Z} . In fact, even when $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there are vector fields without nontrivial C^ω solutions (see [CG]).

REFERENCES

- [BCH] A. Bergamasco, P. Cordaro, and J. Hounie, *Global properties of a class of vector fields in the plane*, J. Diff. Equations **74** (1988), 179–199. MR89h:58175
- [BCP] A. Bergamasco, P. Cordaro, and G. Petronilho, *Global Solvability for a class of complex vector fields on the two-torus*, Preprint.
- [BgM1] A. Bergamasco and A. Meziani, *Semiglobal solvability of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Mat. Contemporanea **18** (2000), 31–42. MR2001m:35013
- [BgM2] A. Bergamasco and A. Meziani, *Solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Preprint.
- [BhM1] S. Berhanu and A. Meziani, *On rotationally invariant vector fields in the plane*, Manus. Math. **89** (1996), 355–371. MR97e:35007
- [BhM2] S. Berhanu and A. Meziani, *Global properties of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Comm. PDE **22** (1997), 99–142. MR98c:35003
- [B] N. Blev, *Generalized analytic functions in fractional spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 86, 1997. MR98g:30075
- [CG] P. Cordaro and X. Gong, *Normalization of complex-valued planar vector fields which degenerate along a real curve*, Preprint.
- [M1] A. Meziani, *On real analytic planar vector fields near the characteristic set*, Contemp. Math. **251** (2000), 429–438. MR2001e:35033
- [M2] A. Meziani, *On planar elliptic structures with infinite type degeneracy*, J. Funct. An. **179** (2001), 333–373. MR2001k:35122
- [NT] L. Nirenberg and F. Trèves, *Solvability of first order pde*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. **16** (1963), 331–351. MR29:348
- [T1] F. Trèves, *Remarks about certain first-order linear PDE in two variables*, Comm. PDE **5** (1980), 381–425. MR83e:35033
- [T2] F. Trèves, *Hypo-analytic structures: local theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1992. MR94e:35014
- [V] I. Vekua, *Generalized analytic functions*, Pergamon Press, 1962. MR27:321
- [W] W. L. Wendland, *Elliptic systems in the plane*, Pitman Monographs and Studies in Mathematics 3, 1979. MR80h:35053

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33199
E-mail address: `meziani@fiu.edu`