

## OPEN LOCI OF GRADED MODULES

CHRISTEL ROTTHAUS AND LIANA M. ŞEĞA

ABSTRACT. Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$  be an excellent homogeneous Noetherian graded ring and let  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. We consider  $M$  as a module over  $A_0$  and show that the  $(S_k)$ -loci of  $M$  are open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . In particular, the Cohen-Macaulay locus  $U_{CM}^0 = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay}\}$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . We also show that the  $(S_k)$ -loci on the homogeneous parts  $M_n$  of  $M$  are eventually stable. As an application we obtain that for a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay module  $M$  over an excellent ring  $A$  and for an ideal  $I \subseteq A$  which is not contained in any minimal prime of  $M$ , the  $(S_k)$ -loci for the modules  $M/I^n M$  are eventually stable.

### INTRODUCTION

A well-known theorem of Grothendieck states that if  $M$  is a finitely generated module over an excellent Noetherian ring  $A$ , then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the  $(S_k)$ -locus of  $M$

$$U_{S_k}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ satisfies } (S_k)\}$$

is an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . As usual,  $(S_k)$  denotes the Serre condition, that is,  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  satisfies  $(S_k)$  if for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  it holds that

$$\text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \min(k, \dim(M_{\mathfrak{q}})).$$

It also follows that for such modules  $M$  the Cohen-Macaulay locus

$$U_{CM}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay}\}$$

is an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ .

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$  be a Noetherian graded excellent homogeneous ring and  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$  a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. Considered as a module over the base ring  $A_0$ ,  $M$  is a direct sum of finitely generated  $A_0$ -modules. Moreover, if the base ring  $A_0$  is local, the standard notion of depth is meaningful for the  $A_0$ -module  $M$  and we may consider its  $(S_k)$ -loci

$$U_{S_k}^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ satisfies } S_k\},$$

where  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denotes the localization of  $M$  at the multiplicative set  $A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . In this paper we prove that under these assumptions the  $(S_k)$ -loci of the  $A_0$ -module  $M$  are open subsets of  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . In particular, the Cohen-Macaulay locus of  $M$  (as

---

Received by the editors March 23, 2004 and, in revised form, September 28, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13A02, 13C15, 13F40; Secondary 13A30, 13C14.

©2006 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

an  $A_0$ -module)

$$U_{CM}^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay}\}$$

is an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ .

The proof follows the main ideas of Grothendieck's proof. It is, however, not merely a copy of the proof in EGA and requires a number of modifications. For the benefit of the reader we have included complete proofs of the results. Our proof is based on the following two observations: First, if  $A$  is a polynomial ring over the base ring  $A_0$ , then every graded resolution of  $M$  by finitely generated graded free  $A$ -modules provides a free resolution of the  $A_0$ -module  $M$  which is finitely generated on the homogeneous parts. The second is a result by Hochster and Roberts which states for the  $A$ -module  $M$  that there is an element  $a \in A_0 \setminus (0)$  so that  $M_a$  is a free  $(A_0)_a$ -module provided that the ring  $A_0$  is a domain.

The paper is organized as follows:

The first section contains basic facts about graded rings and modules which are relevant for the rest of the paper. As a main result we obtain that the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula holds for the  $A_0$ -module  $M$ .

The second section shows that the codepth-loci of  $M$  are open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . This is the main step in proving the openness of the  $(S_k)$ -loci which we present in the next section.

In Section 4 we consider the homogeneous parts of the graded module  $M$ . We show that the codepth-loci and  $(S_k)$ -loci of the homogeneous parts of  $M$  are eventually stable. This is applied in the last section to the case of a finitely generated module  $M$  over an excellent Noetherian ring  $A$ . If  $I \subseteq A$  is an ideal we recover a well-known result by Kodiyalam [7], namely that for  $k \geq k_0$

$$\text{depth}(M/I^k M) = \text{depth}(M/I^{k_0} M).$$

We also show that if  $M$  is a Cohen-Macaulay module over  $A$  and if  $I \subseteq A$  is not contained in a minimal prime of  $M$ , then the codepth- and  $(S_k)$ -loci of  $M/I^n M$  are eventually stable.

## 1. BASIC FACTS

In this paper we assume that  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$  is a Noetherian homogeneous graded ring and that  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. As usual, we let  $A_+$  denote the irrelevant ideal of  $A$ , that is,  $A_+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A_i$ .

If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  is a prime ideal of  $A_0$ , then  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denotes the localization  $S^{-1}M$  where  $S = A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a graded module over the graded ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Our goal is to show that if  $A$  is excellent, then the codepth-loci and the  $(S_k)$ -loci of  $M$ , considered as a module over the base ring  $A_0$ , are open subsets of  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ .

**1.1. General remarks.** We begin our investigation with some well-known facts about graded modules. Since these results are frequently used throughout the paper, we include them together with their (short) proofs in this introductory section.

**1.1.1. Lemma.** *There exists an integer  $t$  so that  $\text{ann}_{A_0}(M_t) = \text{ann}_{A_0}(M_k)$  for all  $k \geq t$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  set  $J_k = \text{ann}_{A_0}(M_k)$ . Since  $A$  is homogeneous and  $M$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module, there exists  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$A_1 M_k = M_{k+1} \quad \text{for all } k \geq t_0.$$

We conclude  $J_k \subseteq J_{k+1}$  for all  $k \geq t_0$ . Since  $A_0$  is Noetherian, there then exists  $t \geq t_0$  so that  $J_k = J_t$  for all  $k \geq t$ .  $\square$

**1.1.2. Lemma.** *The following two functions are well defined and surjective:*

- (1) *The function  $\varphi: \text{Supp}_A(M) \rightarrow \text{Supp}_{A_0}(M)$  defined by  $\varphi(P) = P \cap A_0$ .*
- (2) *The function  $\psi: \text{Ass}_A(M) \rightarrow \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)$  defined by  $\psi(P) = P \cap A_0$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $P \in \text{Supp}_A(M)$ , then  $M_P \neq 0$  and in particular  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap A_0$ . This shows that  $\varphi$  is well defined. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_{A_0}(M)$ . Then

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0,$$

and we may consider  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as a graded module over the graded ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Note that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a \*local ring with unique graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus (A_+)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since all minimal primes of  $\text{Supp}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  are graded,  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Supp}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Thus there is a prime  $P \in \text{Supp}_A(M)$  with  $P \cap A_0 = \mathfrak{p}$ .

(2) If  $P \in \text{Ass}_A(M)$ , then there exists  $y \in M$  so that  $\text{ann}_A(y) = P$ . Thus  $\text{ann}_{A_0}(y) = P \cap A_0 = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)$ . Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)$ . Consider again the graded  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . There exists  $z \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  so that  $\text{ann}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(z) = \mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and therefore

$$\mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \bigcup_{Q \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})} Q.$$

Since  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a finitely generated  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, there exists  $Q \in \text{Ass}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  with  $\mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq Q$ . Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is \*local with unique graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus (A_+)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we obtain  $Q \cap (A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and a preimage  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$  of  $Q$  is an associated prime of the  $A$ -module  $M$ , with  $P \cap A_0 = \mathfrak{p}$ .  $\square$

Lemma 1.1.2 shows in particular that  $M$  as an  $A_0$ -module has a finite set of associated primes.

**1.1.3. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above and set  $I = \text{ann}_{A_0}(M)$ . For any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  the following hold:*

- (1) *If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , then there is an element  $a \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  with  $M_a = 0$ .*
- (2)  *$\text{ann}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) This is a basic fact about Noetherian modules using that  $M$  is a finitely generated module over  $A$  and  $A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  is a multiplicative subset of  $A$ .

(2) Obviously,  $I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{ann}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Let  $x \in \text{ann}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  with  $x = \frac{b}{s}$ , where  $b \in A_0$  and  $s \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Assume that  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  is a system of generators of the  $A$ -module  $M$ . Since  $x \frac{m_i}{1} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$  there is an element  $t \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  with  $t b m_i = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . We have that  $t b \in I$  and hence  $x = \frac{b}{s} \in I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .  $\square$

**1.2. The Auslander-Buchsbaum formula.** Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$  be a graded Noetherian homogeneous ring with  $(A_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$  local and let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Since  $M$  is (in general) not finitely generated as an  $A_0$ -module, we need to verify that the classical definition of  $A_0$ -depth works in the case of a finitely generated graded module. First note that an element  $z \in \mathfrak{m}_0$  is regular on  $M$  if and only if  $z$  is regular on  $M_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $M_i \neq 0$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \mathfrak{m}_0$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_t \in \mathfrak{m}_0$  be two maximal regular  $M$ -sequences (as an  $A_0$ -module). Then for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $M_i \neq 0$  the two sequences are regular on the  $A_0$ -module  $M_i$ , and the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_s)M) &= \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M_i/(x_1, \dots, x_s)M_i), \\ \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M/(y_1, \dots, y_t)M) &= \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M_i/(y_1, \dots, y_t)M_i) \end{aligned}$$

are finite by Lemma 1.1.2. The maximality of the first sequences yields that there is an  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $M_i \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M_i/(x_1, \dots, x_s)M_i)$ . Since the second sequence is also regular on  $M_i$  we have that  $t \leq s$ . A similar argument shows that  $s \leq t$ , and we obtain that two maximal regular sequences on  $M$  have the same length. Therefore the classical definition of depth is efficient and we put:

**1.2.1. Definition.** Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above with  $(A_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$  local. We define the *depth* of  $M$  as an  $A_0$ -module to be the number

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists \text{ an } M\text{-sequence of length } n\}.$$

In general, for a (not necessarily finitely generated) module  $M$  over a Noetherian local ring  $A$ , the depth of  $M$  is defined by means of Koszul homology (see [2, Definition 9.1.1]). In our setting, the definition above coincides with the one in [2].

The aim of this section is to prove the Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem for finitely generated graded modules  $M$  over \*local graded Noetherian rings  $A$  when  $M$  is considered a module over the base ring  $A_0$ . There is a generalized version of the Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem which applies to our case (see [3, (12.2)] or [6, Theorem (2.1)]). For the convenience of the reader we include a proof of this theorem in the graded case, which only makes use of the classical definition of depth as given above.

**1.2.2. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above and assume that  $(A_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$  is local. Then:*

- (1)  $\dim_{A_0}(M) = \sup\{\dim_{A_0}(M_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ,
- (2)  $\text{depth}_{A_0}(M) = \inf\{\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } M_i \neq 0\}$ ,
- (3)  $\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M) = \sup\{\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma 1.1.1 there is an integer  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\text{ann}_{A_0}(M_k) = \text{ann}_{A_0}(M_s)$  for all  $k \geq s$ . In particular, for all  $k \geq s$ ,  $\dim_{A_0}(M_k) = \dim_{A_0}(M_s)$  and

$$\dim_{A_0}(M) = \dim_{A_0}(M_r \oplus M_{r-1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{s-1} \oplus M_s),$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the smallest integer  $j$  with  $M_j \neq 0$ . The dimension of a finite direct sum of  $A_0$ -modules is the maximum of the dimensions of its summands.

(2) If  $r_1, \dots, r_s \in A_0$  is a regular sequence on  $M$ , then  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  is a regular sequence on  $M_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $M_i \neq 0$ . Thus  $\text{depth}_{A_0}(M) \leq \text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $M_i \neq 0$ , and hence

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M) \leq \inf\{\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } M_i \neq 0\}.$$

In order to show the other inequality we proceed by induction on  $t = \text{depth}_{A_0}(M)$ .

Note that by Lemma 1.1.3,  $\text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)$  is a finite set.

If  $t = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)$  and there is an  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M_i)$ . Thus

$$\inf\{\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } M_i \neq 0\} = 0.$$

Now assume that  $t = \text{depth}_{A_0}(M) > 0$ . This implies that

$$\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)} \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}_0.$$

Consider an element

$$r \in \mathfrak{m}_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Since  $r$  is regular on  $M$ , and therefore is regular on  $M_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $M_i \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M/rM) = \text{depth}_{A_0}(M) - 1,$$

and for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $M_i \neq 0$ ,

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i/rM_i) = \text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i) - 1.$$

By the induction hypothesis

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M/rM) = \inf\{\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i/rM_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } M_i/rM_i \neq 0\}.$$

The assertion follows.

(3) For all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $F_\bullet^{(i)}$  be a finite free resolution of  $M_i$ . Then

$$F_\bullet = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_\bullet^{(i)}$$

is a free resolution of the  $A_0$ -module  $M$  yielding

$$\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M) \leq \sup\{\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In order to show the other inequality, assume that  $\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M) = r$  and consider for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  the  $r$ th syzygy  $T_r^{(i)}$  of  $M_i$  and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_r^{(i)} \longrightarrow F_{r-1}^{(i)} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_0^{(i)} \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow 0.$$

By taking direct sums we see that

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} T_r^{(i)}$$

is an  $r$ th syzygy of  $M$  and thus projective. Therefore every  $T_r^{(i)}$  is a projective finitely generated  $A_0$ -module. Since  $A_0$  is a local Noetherian ring, every  $T_r^{(i)}$  is a free  $A_0$ -module and thus for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M_i) \leq r.$$

This shows (3). □

**1.2.3. Proposition.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above with  $(A_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$  a local ring. Then the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula holds for  $M$  as an  $A_0$ -module. That is, if  $\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M)$  is finite, then*

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M) + \text{projdim}_{A_0}(M) = \text{depth}(A_0).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M) = r < \infty$ . Then by Lemma 1.2.2(2) there is an  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M) = \text{projdim}_{A_0}(M_i)$ , and for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{projdim}_{A_0}(M_j) \leq r.$$

The Auslander-Buchsbaum formula holds for finitely generated  $A_0$ -modules

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_j) + \text{projdim}_{A_0}(M_j) = \text{depth}_{A_0}(A_0) \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and therefore

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_j) \geq \text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i) \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using Lemma 1.2.2(1), we conclude  $\text{depth}_{A_0}(M) = \text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i)$ . The Auslander-Buchsbaum formula for  $M_i$  then gives the desired formula.  $\square$

## 2. OPENNESS OF THE CODEPTH LOCUS

Throughout this section we assume that  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} A_i$  is a graded Noetherian homogeneous ring and that  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Our aim is to generalize and/or modify existing theorems for finitely generated modules over Noetherian rings to the graded case where the module  $M$  is considered a module over the base ring  $A_0$ . We begin with a result on the flat locus of the  $A_0$ -module  $M$ .

**2.1. The flat locus of  $M$ .** Our first result is a modification of [8, Theorem 24.3]. The proof follows the proof in Matsumura's book. A key observation is that for a finitely generated graded module  $M$  the localizations  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are  $I$ -adically separated for every ideal  $I \subseteq (A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above. The flat locus of  $M$  as an  $A_0$ -module*

$$U^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is flat over } A_0\}$$

*is open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ .*

*Proof.* According to Nagata's criterion on the openness of loci [8, Theorem 24.2] we have to show:

- (a) If  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0(M)$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\mathfrak{q} \in U^0(M)$ .
- (b) If  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0(M)$ , then  $U^0(M)$  contains a nonempty open subset of  $V^0(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{n} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}\}$ .

(a) is trivial. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0(M)$ , that is, assume that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat over  $A_0$ . Set  $\bar{A}_0 = A_0/\mathfrak{p}$ . By [8, Theorem 22.3] for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in V^0(\mathfrak{p})$  the module  $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $A_0$  if and only if  $(M/\mathfrak{p}M)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $\bar{A}_0$  and  $\text{Tor}_1^{A_0}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}, \bar{A}_0) = 0$ . A similar argument as in the proof of [8, Theorem 23.2] shows that  $\text{Tor}_1^{A_0}(M, \bar{A}_0)$  is a finitely generated module over  $A$ . Therefore there is an element  $a \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  so that  $(\text{Tor}_1^{A_0}(M, \bar{A}_0))_a = 0$ . By applying [8, Theorem 24.1] to the  $\bar{A}_0$ -module  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  we obtain an element  $b \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  so that  $(M/\mathfrak{p}M)_b$  is a free  $(\bar{A}_0)_b$ -module. Set  $D_{ab}^0 = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid ab \notin \mathfrak{q}\}$ . Then for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in V^0(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D_{ab}^0$  we have that  $\text{Tor}_1^{A_0}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}, \bar{A}_0) = 0$  and that  $(M/\mathfrak{p}M)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $(\bar{A}_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Thus by [8, Theorem 22.3] the module  $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and  $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $A_0$ .  $\square$

**2.2. A proposition by Auslander.** As before, let  $A$  be a Noetherian graded homogeneous ring and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. The following Proposition is an extension of a proposition in EGA [4, (6.11.1) and (6.11.2)] to the (not finitely generated)  $A_0$ -module  $M$ .

**Proposition.** *The function  $\gamma : \text{Spec}(A_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by*

$$\gamma(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$$

*is upper semicontinuous. That is, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set*

$$U_n^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid \text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq n\}$$

*is open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the ring  $A$  is the homomorphic image of the polynomial ring  $B = A_0[x_1, \dots, x_t]$ , and that, with the standard grading on the polynomial ring  $B$ , the graded  $B$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated. We may replace  $A$  by  $B$  and assume that  $A$  is a graded polynomial ring over  $A_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq n$ .

Consider a graded finitely generated free resolution of the  $A$ -module  $M$ :

$$F_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where the  $F_i$  are finitely generated graded free  $A$ -modules and the  $\varphi_i$  are homogeneous  $A$ -linear maps. Let  $T$  be the  $n$ th syzygy of  $M$ , yielding an exact sequence of graded  $A$ -modules:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\delta} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since all the homogeneous parts of  $F_i$  are free  $A_0$ -modules and since  $T$  is a graded  $A$ -module, we obtain for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  an exact sequence of  $A_0$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow T_k \xrightarrow{(\delta)_k} (F_{n-1})_k \xrightarrow{(\varphi_{n-1})_k} \dots \xrightarrow{(\varphi_1)_k} (F_1)_k \xrightarrow{(\varphi_0)_k} M_k \rightarrow 0$$

with  $(F_i)_k$  a finitely generated free  $A_0$ -module. Therefore by considering  $(*)$  as an exact sequence of  $A_0$ -modules we obtain that every module  $F_i$  is free over  $A_0$  and  $T$  is an  $n$ th syzygy of the  $A_0$ -module  $M$ . Localization at  $\mathfrak{p}$  yields exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} (F_{n-1})_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_{n-1})_{\mathfrak{p}}} \dots \xrightarrow{(\varphi_1)_{\mathfrak{p}}} (F_1)_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq n$ , it follows that  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a projective  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. Therefore  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. Since  $T$  is a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that the set

$$U^0(T) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid T_{\mathfrak{q}} \text{ is a flat over } (A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}\}$$

is an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . Since  $T$  is a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module,

$$T = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} T_i,$$

we have for  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$

$$T_{\mathfrak{q}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (T_i)_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

If  $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , then, by [1, chapter 1, §2.3, Proposition 2], for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(T_i)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Since every  $(T_i)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a finitely generated  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module, each  $(T_i)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a free  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module and

$$U^0(T) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid T_{\mathfrak{q}} \text{ is a free over } (A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}\}.$$

This shows that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0(T)$  and

$$U^0(T) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid \text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq n\}.$$

The set  $\{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid \text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq n\}$  is thus open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . □

**2.3. A dimension formula.**

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above. Assume that  $A_0$  is catenary and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $A_0$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_{A_0}(M)$ . Then there is an open subset  $U$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$  we have*

$$\dim(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \dim(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

*Proof.* Set  $S = A_0/\text{ann}_{A_0}(M)$  and choose an element  $a \in S \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  so that the following equality on the set of minimal primes holds:

$$\text{Min}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Min}(S_a).$$

Assume that  $\dim(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}S) = t$  and choose elements  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t \in S$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 & \text{ not in a minimal prime of } S_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ y_2 & \text{ not in a minimal prime of } y_1 S_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ & \dots \\ y_t & \text{ not in a minimal prime of } (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})S_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there is an element  $b \in S \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 & \text{ not in a minimal prime of } S_b, \\ y_2 & \text{ not in a minimal prime of } y_1 S_b, \\ & \dots \\ y_t & \text{ not in a minimal prime of } (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1})S_b. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a, b$  also denote preimages of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A_0$  and put  $U = D_{ab} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid ab \notin \mathfrak{q}\}$ . Then for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in U \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$  the elements  $y_1, \dots, y_t$  extend to a system of parameters of  $S_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Since  $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $S_{\mathfrak{q}}$  have the same set of minimal primes and since  $S$  is catenary, we obtain that

$$\dim(S_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \dim(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim((S/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

This is the same as

$$\dim(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \dim(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}). \quad \square$$

**2.4. The special case of  $A_0$  regular.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then we define

$$\text{codepth}_R(M) := \dim_R(M) - \text{depth}_R(M).$$

As usual the depth of the zero module is defined to be  $\infty$ , and the dimension of the zero module is  $-\infty$ , implying that the codepth of the zero module is  $-\infty$ .

The following proposition extends a result by Auslander [4, (6.11.2)] to the graded case.

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above and assume that  $A_0$  is a homomorphic image of a regular ring. The function  $\varphi: \text{Spec}(A_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by*

$$\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$$

*is upper semicontinuous, that is, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set*

$$U_{C_n}^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq n\}$$

*is open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A_0$  is a homomorphic image of a regular ring  $R_0$ , then the dimension and the depth of the  $R_0$ -module  $M$  are identical to the dimension and depth of  $M$  considered as an  $R_0$ -module. If we show that the set

$$\tilde{U}_{C_n}^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(R_0) \mid \text{codepth}_{(R_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq n\}$$

is open in  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  (where  $M$  is considered an  $R_0$ -module), then the corresponding set for the  $A_0$ -module  $M$  is given by

$$U_{C_n}^0(M) = \tilde{U}_{C_n}^0(M) \cap V(J),$$

where  $A_0 = R_0/J$ . Thus we may assume that  $A_0$  is a regular ring. We may also assume that  $A$  is a polynomial ring over  $A_0$  equipped with the standard grading.

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$ . By Proposition 1.2.3, the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula holds:

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}((A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Let  $I = \text{ann}_{A_0}(M)$ . By Lemma 1.1.3,  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ann}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , and we have that

$$\text{dim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{dim}((A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{ht}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  is such that

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq n.$$

If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq I$ . Take an element  $a \in I \cap (A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p})$ . Then for all

$$\mathfrak{q} \in D_a = \{\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid a \notin \mathfrak{w}\}$$

we have that  $M_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$  and  $\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = -\infty \leq n$ .

If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$  pick an element  $a_1 \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  so that  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $(A_0)_{a_1}$  have the same minimal primes and put  $U_1 = D_{a_1} = \{\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid a_1 \notin \mathfrak{w}\}$ . Then for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_1 \cap V^0(I)$ ,

$$\text{ht}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \text{ht}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Let  $\text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t$ . Then by Proposition 2.2 there is an open subset  $U_2$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$  so that

$$\text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq t \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{q} \in U_2.$$

Using the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula and the fact that  $A_0$  is regular, we obtain for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_2 \cap U_1 \cap V^0(I)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) &= \text{dim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \\ &= \text{dim}((A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \text{ht}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \text{dim}((A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \\ &= \text{projdim}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \text{ht}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U = U_1 \cap U_2$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

and it follows that  $U_{C_n}^0(M)$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . □

**2.5. A local formula.** Using the fact that a complete local Noetherian ring is the homomorphic image of a regular local ring, we obtain a result similar to [4, (6.11.5)]:

**Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian graded homogeneous ring and let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. Then for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$  we have that*

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

*Proof.* By replacing  $A_0$  by  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  (and  $A$  by  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ ) we may assume that  $(A_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$  is a local ring. Then we have to show

$$\text{codepth}_{A_0}(M) \geq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Let  $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Spec}(\widehat{A}_0)$  be a minimal prime ideal over  $\mathfrak{p}\widehat{A}_0$ . Then  $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A_0 = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $(\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$  is flat over  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with trivial special fiber. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}} &= \left( \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \otimes_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\widehat{M}_i)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widehat{M}_i \cong M_i \otimes_{A_0} \widehat{A}_0$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}_{A_0}(M) &= \inf\{\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_i) \mid M_i \neq 0\}, \\ \dim_{A_0}(M) &= \sup\{\dim_{A_0}(M_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By [8, Theorem 23.3], for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}_{(\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}((\widehat{M}_i)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) &= \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{depth}((\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}/\mathfrak{p}(\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) \\ &= \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}), \end{aligned}$$

and by [8, Theorem 15.1],

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{(\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}((\widehat{M}_i)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) &= \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim((\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}/\mathfrak{p}(\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) \\ &= \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{M} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{M}_i \cong M \otimes_{A_0} \widehat{A}_0,$$

and note that  $\widetilde{M}$  is a finitely generated graded module over the Noetherian homogeneous graded ring

$$\widetilde{A} := A \otimes_{A_0} \widehat{A}_0.$$

The computation above shows that

$$\text{codepth}_{(\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widetilde{M}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) =: n.$$

Since  $\widehat{A}_0$  is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring, by Proposition 2.3 the set  $U_{C_{n-1}}^0(\widetilde{M})$  is open in  $\text{Spec}(\widehat{A}_0)$ . This implies that

$$\text{codepth}_{\widehat{A}_0}(\widetilde{M}) \geq \text{codepth}_{(\widehat{A}_0)_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widetilde{M}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}).$$

The same argument as above shows that

$$\text{codepth}_{\widehat{A}_0}(\widetilde{M}) = \text{codepth}_{A_0}(M),$$

which proves the claim

$$\text{codepth}_{A_0}(M) \geq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}). \quad \square$$

**2.6. Formulas for depth and codepth.** In this section we make the same assumption as at the beginning, namely,  $A$  is a positively graded Noetherian homogeneous ring and  $M$  is a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. The following proposition is the graded version of [4, (6.10.6)]:

**2.6.1. Proposition.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above and assume that  $A$  is excellent. Then for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  there is an open subset  $U^0 \subseteq \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0$  so that for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,*

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{depth}((A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$ . Then by Lemma 2.5 for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

or equivalently,

$$(*) \quad \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

According to Proposition 2.3 there is an open subset  $U_1 \subseteq \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_1$  so that for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_1 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

Since  $A_0$  is excellent, there is an open subset  $U_2 \subseteq \text{Spec}(A_0)$  so that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_2$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_2 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$  the local ring

$$(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}} \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay.}$$

There is also an open subset  $U_3 \subseteq \text{Spec}(A_0)$  so that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_3$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_3 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$  we have equality on the set of minimal primes:

$$\text{Min}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{Min}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

where  $I := \text{ann}_{A_0}(M)$  denotes the  $A_0$ -annihilator of  $M$ . In particular, for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_3 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\text{ht}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{ht}(I(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Put  $\widetilde{U}_1 = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3$ ; then for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in \widetilde{U}_1 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \dim((A_0/I)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim((A_0/I)_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Since  $A$  is excellent, the ring  $A_0$  is universally catenary, and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in \widetilde{U}_1 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\dim((A_0/I)_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \dim((A_0/I)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{depth}((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

From (\*) we obtain

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{depth}((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}})$$

for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in \widetilde{U}_1 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ .

In order to prove the other inequality,

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) - \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \text{depth}((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$

assume that  $\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t$  and let  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in \mathfrak{p}$  be such that  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  is a regular sequence on  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . A prime avoidance argument shows that there is an element  $a \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  so that  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  is a regular sequence on  $M_a$ . (The argument again makes use of the fact that the sets  $\text{Ass}_{A_0}(M)$  and  $\text{Ass}_{A_0}(M/(f_1, \dots, f_i)M)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$  are finite.)

Put

$$\overline{M} := M/(f_1, \dots, f_t)M,$$

and consider the associated graded module

$$\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{p}^i \overline{M} / \mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \overline{M}.$$

The module  $\overline{M}$  is finitely generated over  $A$ , and  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M})$  is a finitely generated  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$ -module. Also note that  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$  is a finitely generated algebra over  $A/\mathfrak{p}A$  and that  $A/\mathfrak{p}A$  is a finitely generated algebra over  $A_0/\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$  is a finitely generated  $A_0/\mathfrak{p}$ -algebra. By [8, Theorem 24.1] there is an element  $b \in A_0 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  so that the  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_b$ -module

$$\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M})_b = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathfrak{p}^i \overline{M} / \mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \overline{M})_b$$

is free. Set  $\tilde{U}_2 = D_b = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid b \notin \mathfrak{q}\}$  and fix a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \in \tilde{U}_2 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ . Assume that

$$\text{depth}((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}) = s,$$

and let  $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathfrak{q}$  be such that  $g_1, \dots, g_s$  is a regular sequence on  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

*Claim 1.*  $g_1$  is a regular element on  $\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

*Claim 2.* Set  $N_1 := \overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}/g_1 \overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ ; then  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(N_1) \cong \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}})/g_1 \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ .

Assuming the claims, we finish the proof. From the second claim it follows that  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(N_1)$  is a free  $(A_0/(g_1, \mathfrak{p})A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module. Since  $g_2$  is a regular element on  $(A_0/(g_1, \mathfrak{p})A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we may apply Claims 1 and 2 to  $N_1$ . Note that  $N_1$  is also a finitely generated graded  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module. This yields that  $g_2$  is a regular element on  $N_1$  and that with  $N_2 = N_1/g_2 N_1$ ,

$$\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(N_2) \cong \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(N_1)/g_2 \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(N_1).$$

An induction argument yields that  $g_1, \dots, g_s$  is a regular sequence on  $\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , and we have that

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{depth}((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

This inequality holds for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in \tilde{U}_2 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ . Assuming the claims the proposition is now proved with  $U^0 = \tilde{U}_1 \cap \tilde{U}_2$ .

In order to prove the claims, set  $g = g_1$  and  $N = N_1$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let  $z \in \overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  with  $gz = 0$ . Consider the image  $\bar{z}$  of  $z$  in  $\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Since  $\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a free module over  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and since  $g$  is regular on  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we obtain that  $\bar{z} = 0$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{p}\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Now consider the image of  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{p}\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}^2\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and repeat the argument. This yields

$$z \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Note that

$$\overline{M}_q = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\overline{M}_i)_q \quad \text{with} \quad (\overline{M}_i)_q = (M_i)_q / (f_1, \dots, f_t)(M_i)_q.$$

In particular,

$$\mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{p}^j (\overline{M}_i)_q,$$

and every  $(\overline{M}_i)_q$  is a finitely generated  $(A_0)_q$ -module. This shows that  $z = 0$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* By assumption, we have that  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}_q)$  is a free  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_q$ -module and  $\mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q$  is a direct summand of  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}_q)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q$  is a free  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_q$ -module and  $g$  is regular on  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_q$ . Therefore

$$(**) \quad \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q \cap g \overline{M}_q = g \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / g \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q &\cong \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / (\mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q \cap g \overline{M}_q) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}^j (\overline{M}_q / g \overline{M}_q). \end{aligned}$$

From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} N & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^j N & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^j N / \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} (\overline{M}_q / g \overline{M}_q) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^j (\overline{M}_q / g \overline{M}_q) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^j (\overline{M}_q / g \overline{M}_q) / \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} (\overline{M}_q / g \overline{M}_q) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q / g \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / g \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / (g \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q + \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(N) &= \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{p}^j N / \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} N \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / (g \mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q + \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q) / g (\mathfrak{p}^j \overline{M}_q / \mathfrak{p}^{j+1} \overline{M}_q) \\ &\cong \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}_q) / g (\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}_q)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim, and finishes the proof. □

Similar to [4, (6.11.8.1)] we have in the graded case:

**2.6.2. Corollary.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as above and assume that  $A$  is excellent. Then for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  there is an open subset  $U^0 \subseteq \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0$ , so that for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,*

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_q}(M_q) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_p}(M_p) + \text{codepth}((A_0)_q / \mathfrak{p}(A_0)_q).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  and let  $U_1^0$  be as in Proposition 2.6.1, so that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_1^0$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_1^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_q}(M_q) = \text{depth}_{(A_0)_p}(M_p) + \text{depth}((A_0)_q / \mathfrak{p}(A_0)_q).$$

By Proposition 2.3 there is an open subset  $U_2^0$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ , so that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_2^0$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_2^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\dim_{(A_0)_q}(M_q) = \dim_{(A_0)_p}(M_p) + \dim((A_0/\mathfrak{p})_q).$$

Thus with  $U^0 = U_1^0 \cap U_2^0$  we have that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_q}(M_q) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_p}(M_p) + \text{codepth}((A_0)_q / \mathfrak{p}(A_0)_q). \quad \square$$

We are now ready to prove the graded version of [4, (6.11.2)(a)].

**2.6.3. Theorem.** *Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$  be an excellent graded homogeneous ring and let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set*

$$U_{C_n}^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq n\}$$

is open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ .

*Proof.* According to Nagata’s criterion on openness of loci (see [8, Theorem 24.2]) we need to show:

- (a) If  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_{C_n}^0(M)$ , then  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_{C_n}^0(M)$ .
  - (b) If  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_{C_n}^0(M)$ , then  $U_{C_n}^0(M)$  contains a nonempty open subset of  $V(\mathfrak{p})$ .
- (a) Let  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . By Lemma 2.5

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$

and thus  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_{C_n}^0(M)$  implies that  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_{C_n}^0(M)$ .

(b) Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_{C_n}^0(M)$ . By Corollary 2.6.2 there is an open subset  $U_1^0$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ , so that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_1^0$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_1^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{codepth}((A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

Since  $A$  and  $A_0$  are excellent, there is an open subset  $U_2^0$  in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ , so that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_2^0$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U_2^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ , the ring  $(A_0/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore with  $U^0 = U_1^0 \cap U_2^0$  we have that  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^0$ , and for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p})$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

This implies that  $U^0 \cap V^0(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq U_{C_n}^0(M)$ , and the theorem is proved. □

**2.6.4. Corollary.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be as in Theorem 2.6.3. Then the Cohen-Macaulay locus of the  $A_0$ -module  $M$ ,*

$$U_{CM}^0(M) = U_{C_0}^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is a CM module over } (A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}\},$$

is open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ . □

### 3. OPENNESS OF THE $(S_n)$ -LOCUS

Throughout this section we assume that  $R = A_0$  is the base ring of a graded Noetherian homogeneous ring  $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$  and  $M$  is a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. This includes the case of a finitely generated module  $M$  over a Noetherian ring  $R$ . For those modules we prove that the openness of the  $C_n$ -loci of  $M$  implies the openness of the  $(S_k)$ -loci of  $M$ . The argument is due to Grothendieck [4, (5.7.2) and (6.11.2)(b)], but we include it here for the convenience of the reader. The proof also shows that the  $(S_k)$ -loci of  $M$  only depend on the  $C_n$ -loci of  $M$  and on the annihilator of  $M$ , so that two  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  with the same annihilators and  $C_n$ -loci have identical  $(S_k)$ -loci.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and suppose that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the set

$$U_{C_n}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{codepth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq n\}$$

is open in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . Define

$$Z_n = V(\mathfrak{b}_n) = \text{Spec}(R) \setminus U_{C_n}(M),$$

where  $\mathfrak{b}_n \subseteq R$  is a reduced ideal. Obviously, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$U_{C_n}(M) \subseteq U_{C_{n+1}}(M),$$

and therefore

$$Z_{n+1} \subseteq Z_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{b}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_{n+1}.$$

Since  $R$  is Noetherian, there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{b}_m = \mathfrak{b}_{m+t} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_m = Z_{m+t}.$$

**3.1. Lemma.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be as above. Then  $Z_m = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{p} \in Z_m$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} \in Z_{m+t}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . By definition of  $Z_{m+t}$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(R)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq m + t \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

But  $\text{codepth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \dim((R)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \infty$ , and therefore  $Z_m = \emptyset$ . □

Recall that the  $R$ -module  $M$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_k)$  if for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,

$$(*) \quad \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \min(\dim(M_{\mathfrak{p}}), k).$$

From now on let  $m$  denote the minimal  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $Z_m = \emptyset$ .

**3.2. Lemma.** *With the assumptions as above put  $\overline{R} = R/\text{ann}_R(M)$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the  $R$ -module  $M$  satisfies  $(S_k)$  if and only if for all  $0 \leq n < m$ ,*

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{b}_n \overline{R}) > n + k.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  satisfies  $(S_k)$ , and fix an integer  $n$  with  $0 \leq n < m$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$  with  $\mathfrak{b}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in Z_n$ , and therefore

$$\text{codepth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) > n,$$

or equivalently,

$$\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) > n.$$

Since  $M$  satisfies  $(S_k)$ , we obtain that whenever

$$\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0,$$

then

$$\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq k.$$

Thus, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in Z_n$ , then

$$\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq n + k,$$

which implies that  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{b}_n \overline{R}) \geq n + k$ .

Conversely, fix an integer  $k$  and assume that for all  $0 \leq n < m$ ,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{b}_n \overline{R}) > n + k.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .

If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , then  $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \infty$ , and condition  $(*)$  is satisfied.

Now assume  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ . If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module, then condition  $(*)$  is satisfied. Now assume that

$$\text{codepth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) > 0,$$

and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with

$$\text{codepth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = n + 1.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{p} \in Z_n$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . By assumption,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{b}_n \overline{R}) > n + k \Rightarrow \text{ht}(\mathfrak{b}_n \overline{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}) > n + k \Rightarrow \dim(\overline{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}) > n + k.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codepth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) &= n + 1 \\ &= \dim(\overline{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &\geq n + 1 + k - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq k.$$

Thus  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  satisfies condition  $(*)$ , and the  $R$ -module  $M$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_k)$ .  $\square$

For all  $0 \leq n < m$  consider the closed subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ ,

$$Y_{n,k} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{b}_n) \mid \text{ht}(\mathfrak{b}_n \overline{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq n + k\},$$

and its complement

$$V_{n,k} = \text{Spec}(R) - Y_{n,k}$$

an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . By Lemma 3.2

$$U_{S_k}(M) = \bigcap_{0 \leq n < m} V_{n,k}$$

is an open subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . We have shown:

**3.3. Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module as above. If for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the  $C_n$ -locus  $U_{C_n}(M)$  is open in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $(S_k)$ -locus*

$$U_{S_k}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ satisfies } (S_k)\}$$

*is open in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .*

In the graded case the theorem states:

**3.4. Corollary.** *Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$  be an excellent graded homogeneous ring and let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. Then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set*

$$U_{S_k}^0(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0) \mid \text{the } (A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-module } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ satisfies } (S_k)\}$$

*is open in  $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ .*

The proof of the theorem also yields the following corollary:

**3.5. Corollary.** *Suppose that  $M$  and  $N$  are  $R$ -modules as above. Assume that  $\text{ann}_R(M) = \text{ann}_R(N)$  and that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the sets  $U_{C_n}(M) = U_{C_n}(N)$  are open in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . Then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$U_{S_k}(M) = U_{S_k}(N),$$

*and the  $(S_k)$ -loci are open subsets of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .*

4. STABILITY ON THE HOMOGENEOUS PARTS

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$  be an excellent graded homogeneous Noetherian ring and let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. In this section we prove that there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $i \geq k$ ,

$$U_{C_n}^0(M_i) = U_{C_n}^0(M_k) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{S_n}^0(M_i) = U_{S_n}^0(M_k),$$

that is, the codepth and  $(S_n)$ -loci of the homogeneous parts of  $M$  are eventually stable (considered as an  $A_0$ -module). As before we define for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$N_t = \bigoplus_{i \geq t} M_i,$$

and observe the following simple facts: Let  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  be an integer so that for all  $t \geq k_1$ ,  $\text{ann}_{A_0}(M_t) = \text{ann}_{A_0}(M_{k_1})$ . Then for all  $t \geq k_1$ ,

$$U_{C_n}^0(N_t) \supseteq U_{C_n}^0(N_{k_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{S_n}^0(N_t) \supseteq U_{S_n}^0(N_{k_1}).$$

Since  $A_0$  is Noetherian, there is an integer  $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $k_2 \geq k_1$  and

$$U_{C_n}^0(N_t) = U_{C_n}^0(N_{k_2}) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{S_n}^0(N_t) = U_{S_n}^0(N_{k_2}).$$

We may also assume for large enough  $k_2$  that

$$N_{k_2} = AM_{k_2},$$

which implies that for all  $t \geq k_2$ ,

$$N_t = AM_t.$$

**4.1. Lemma.** *With the assumptions as above assume additionally that  $(A_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$  is a local ring. Then there is a  $k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that for all  $t \geq k_3$ ,*

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_t) = \text{depth}_{A_0}(M_{k_3}) = \text{depth}_{A_0}(N_{k_3}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be as above and take an integer  $k$  with  $k > k_2$ . Then  $\text{codepth}_{A_0}(N_k) = n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and therefore

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 \in U_{C_n}^0(N_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_0 \notin U_{C_{n-1}}^0(N_k).$$

Since  $k \geq k_2$ , we have for all  $t \geq k$

$$\text{codepth}_{A_0}(N_k) = n = \text{codepth}_{A_0}(N_t).$$

For all  $t \geq k_1$  we also have that  $\text{ann}_{A_0}(N_t) = \text{ann}_{A_0}(N_k)$ , and therefore for all  $t \geq k$ ,

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(N_t) = s = \text{depth}_{A_0}(N_k).$$

Let  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  be a maximal regular sequence on  $N_k$  and put

$$\bar{N}_k = N_k / (r_1, \dots, r_s)N_k \quad \text{with homogeneous parts} \quad \bar{M}_i = M_i / (r_1, \dots, r_s)M_i$$

for  $i \geq k$ . Note that the torsion submodule  $\Gamma_{A_+}(\bar{N}_k)$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -submodule of  $\bar{N}_k$ . This implies that there is an integer  $k_3 \geq k$  so that  $\Gamma_{A_+}(\bar{N}_k) \cap N_{k_3} = 0 = \Gamma_{A_+}(\bar{N}_{k_3})$ . Thus for  $k_3$  large enough the  $A$ -module  $\bar{N}_{k_3}$  is  $A_+$ -torsion-free. Since by assumption  $\text{depth}_{A_0}(N_k) = s = \text{depth}_{A_0}(N_{k_3})$ , there is an integer  $i \geq k_3$  and an element  $\bar{x} \in \bar{M}_i$  so that  $\bar{x} \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \bar{x} = 0$ . Since  $\bar{N}_{k_3}$  is  $A_+$ -torsion-free, we obtain

$$(A_+)^l \bar{x} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus for  $k_4 = i > k_3$  we have that  $\text{depth}_{A_0}(\overline{M}_{k_4+l}) = 0$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and therefore for all  $t \geq k_4$ ,

$$\text{depth}_{A_0}(M_t) = \text{depth}_{A_0}(M_{k_4}) = s. \quad \square$$

Choose an integer  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $N_{k_0} = AM_{k_0}$ , that is,  $N_{k_0}$  is generated in the lowest nonvanishing degree.
- (b) For all  $t \geq k_0$ ,  $\text{ann}(M_{k_0}) = \text{ann}(M_t)$ .
- (c) For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $t \geq k_0$ ,

$$U_{C_n}^0(N_t) = U_{C_n}^0(N_{k_0}) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{S_n}^0(N_t) = U_{S_n}^0(N_{k_0}).$$

As before put

$$Z_n = \text{Spec}(A_0) \setminus U_{C_n}^0(N_{k_0}) = V(\mathfrak{b}_n),$$

where  $\mathfrak{b}_n \subseteq A_0$  is a reduced ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{b}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_{n+1}$ , yielding an increasing sequence of ideals

$$\mathfrak{b}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_{m-1} \subseteq \dots$$

We have seen before that the sequence stops with some  $\mathfrak{b}_m = A_0$ , and let  $m$  be minimal with this property, that is, let  $\mathfrak{b}_m = A_0$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_{m-1} \neq A_0$ . For all  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$  we consider the set of minimal prime divisors of  $\mathfrak{b}_j$ :

$$\text{Min}(A_0/\mathfrak{b}_j) = \{\mathfrak{p}_{j1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{jr_j}\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, for all  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$  and all  $r_j \geq h \geq 1$ , there is an integer  $k_{jh} \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k_{jh} \geq k_0$ , so that for all  $i \geq k_{jh}$ ,

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_{k_{jh}})_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \text{constant}.$$

Let  $k = \max\{k_{jh} \mid 0 \leq j \leq m - 1; 1 \leq h \leq r_j\}$ . Then for all  $i \geq k$ ,

$$\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}).$$

By assumption on the annihilators we also have for all  $i \geq k$

$$\dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \dim_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}),$$

which implies that for all  $i \geq k$  and all primes  $\mathfrak{p}_{jh}$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((M_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{jh}}).$$

We are now ready to prove:

**4.2. Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be as above. Then for all  $i \geq k$  and all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$ ,*

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_0)$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}_0 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $(N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay module over  $(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It follows that  $(M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \geq k$ .

Assume that  $\mathfrak{b}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and let  $g$  be minimal so that  $\mathfrak{b}_g \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_{g+1} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . In this case  $\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = g + 1$ , and there is an integer  $1 \leq j \leq r_j$  so that  $\mathfrak{p}_{gj} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . By [4, (6.11.5)], the nongraded version of Lemma 2.5, for all  $i \geq k$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{gj}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}_{gj}}) = \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}_{gj}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}_{gj}}) > g.$$

In order to verify the other inequality consider

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = g + 1 = \dim((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

and assume that  $\text{depth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = s$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  be a regular sequence on  $(N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  is a regular sequence on  $(M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $i \geq k$ . Since  $N_k$  and  $M_i$  have the same annihilators, we obtain that

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((N_k)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = g + 1 \geq \text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

for all  $i \geq k$ . This shows that for all  $i \geq k$ ,

$$\text{codepth}_{(A_0)_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = g + 1.$$

□

**4.3. Corollary.** *There is an integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $i \geq k$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$U_{C_n}^0(M_i) = U_{C_n}^0(M_k) = U_{C_n}^0(N_k).$$

□

**4.4. Corollary.** *There is an integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $i \geq k$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$U_{S_n}^0(M_i) = U_{S_n}^0(M_k) = U_{S_n}^0(N_k).$$

*Proof.* The second corollary follows from the first by using Corollary 3.5. □

### 5. APPLICATIONS

Let  $A$  be an excellent ring, let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module, and let  $I \subseteq A$  be an ideal of  $A$ . By applying the results of the previous section to the Rees algebra/module and to the associated graded ring/module, respectively, we see that there is an integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that for all  $i \geq k$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{C_n}(I^i M) &= U_{C_n}(I^k M) & \text{and} & & U_{C_n}(I^i M/I^{i+1} M) &= U_{C_n}(I^k M/I^{k+1} M), \\ U_{S_n}(I^i M) &= U_{S_n}(I^k M) & \text{and} & & U_{S_n}(I^i M/I^{i+1} M) &= U_{S_n}(I^k M/I^{k+1} M). \end{aligned}$$

In the following we want to apply these results to the  $(S_n)$ - and codepth-loci of the modules  $M/I^k M$ . We want to show that these loci are again eventually stable, provided that  $M$  is a Cohen-Macaulay module over  $A$ .

**5.1. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be any Noetherian ring,  $I \subseteq A$  an ideal, and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\text{Supp}(M/I^k M) = \text{Supp}(M/IM).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\text{Supp}(M/I^k M) = \text{Supp}(M/I^{k+1} M).$$

Since  $M/I^k M$  is a homomorphic image of  $M/I^{k+1} M$ , we have  $\text{Supp}(M/I^k M) \subseteq \text{Supp}(M/I^{k+1} M)$ . Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow I^k M/I^{k+1} M \rightarrow M/I^{k+1} M \rightarrow M/I^k M \rightarrow 0,$$

and let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  with  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . The sequence stays exact after localization:

$$0 \rightarrow (I^k M/I^{k+1} M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (M/I^{k+1} M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (M/I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $(M/I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  with  $(M/I^{k+1} M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ , then

$$(I^k M/I^{k+1} M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = (M/I^{k+1} M)_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

which implies by Nakayama that  $(M/I^{k+1} M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , a contradiction. □

A more general version of the next result was proved, using different methods, by Kodiyalam [7, Corollary 9].

**5.2. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local Noetherian ring, let  $I \subseteq A$  be an ideal of  $A$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that for all  $i \geq k$ ,*

$$\text{depth}_A(M/I^i M) = \text{depth}_A(M/I^k M).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{A}$  be the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $A$ . Then for any finitely generated  $A$ -module  $T$ ,

$$\text{depth}_A(T) = \text{depth}_{\widehat{A}}(T \otimes_A \widehat{A}),$$

and we may replace  $A$  by  $\widehat{A}$  and  $M$  by  $M \otimes_A \widehat{A}$ , and assume that  $A$  is excellent. By Lemma 4.1 there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that for all  $t \geq k_1$ ,

$$\text{depth}_A(I^t M/I^{t+1} M) = \text{depth}_A(I^{k_1} M/I^{k_1+1} M) = g.$$

For all  $t \geq k_1$  consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I^t M/I^{t+1} M \rightarrow M/I^{t+1} M \rightarrow M/I^t M \rightarrow 0,$$

which leads to an exact sequence on the cohomology modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/I^{t+1} M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/I^t M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \\ \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{g-1}(M/I^{t+1} M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{g-1}(M/I^t M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^g(I^t M/I^{t+1} M) \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^g(M/I^{t+1} M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^g(M/I^t M) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g$  is minimal with  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^g(I^t M/I^{t+1} M) \neq 0$ .

*Case 1:* There is an  $i \leq g - 1$  and a  $t_0 \geq k_1$ , so that  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/I^{t_0} M) \neq 0$ . Then for all  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/I^t M) \neq 0$ . Let  $h \leq g - 1$  be the minimal  $i$  with this property. Then

$$\text{depth}_A(M/I^t M) = h \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

*Case 2:* For all  $i \leq g - 1$  and all  $t \geq k_1$ ,

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/I^t M) = 0.$$

This implies that  $\text{depth}_A(M/I^t M) \geq g - 1$  for all  $t \geq k_1$ .

*Case 2.1:* There are infinitely many  $t \geq k_1$ , so that

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{g-1}(M/I^t M) \neq 0.$$

From the long exact sequence we observe that  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{g-1}(M/I^t M) \neq 0$  implies that  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{g-1}(M/I^{t-1} M) \neq 0$  whenever  $t - 1 \geq k_1$ . Thus in this case there is a  $t_1 \geq k_1$ , so that for all  $t \geq t_1$ ,

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{g-1}(M/I^t M) \neq 0,$$

and therefore for all  $t \geq t_1$ ,  $\text{depth}_A(M/I^t M) = g - 1$ .

*Case 2.2:* There is a  $t_2 \geq k_1$ , so that for all  $t \geq t_2$ ,  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{g-1}(M/I^t M) = 0$ . Then for all  $t \geq t_2$ ,

$$\text{depth}_A(M/I^t M) = g. \quad \square$$

**5.3. Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an excellent ring and  $M$  a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay  $A$ -module. Let  $I \subseteq A$  be an ideal of  $A$  which is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of  $M$ . Then there is an integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that for all  $t \geq k$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :*

- (1)  $U_{C_n}(M/I^t M) = U_{C_n}(M/I^{k_0} M)$ .
- (2)  $U_{S_n}(M/I^t M) = U_{S_n}(M/I^{k_0} M)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that for all  $t \geq k$ ,

$$U_{C_n}(I^t M) = U_{C_n}(I^k M).$$

We claim that for all  $i \geq k$  and all  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ ,

$$\text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M/I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Obviously, for all  $i \geq k$ ,  $\dim((I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim((I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , and thus because of the stability of the codepth-loci, we have for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$  and all  $i \geq k$  that

$$\text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Fix an integer  $i \geq k$  and a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ , and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

With  $d = \dim_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  we obtain a long exact sequence of the local cohomology modules

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-1}((M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}}^i((I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{d-1}((M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}}^d((I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}}^d(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow 0 = H_{\mathfrak{p}}^d((M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_{\mathfrak{p}}^d((M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ , since  $\dim_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq d - 1$ . This shows that

$$\text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) - 1 = \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) - 1,$$

and the claim is proven. For all  $i \geq k$  and all  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}} &= \text{depth}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M/I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ \dim((M/I^i M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) &= \dim((M/I^k M)_{\mathfrak{p}}). \end{aligned}$$

The last equation is obtained from Lemma 5.1. This yields that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $i \geq k$ ,

$$U_{C_n}(M/I^i M) = U_{C_n}(M/I^k M).$$

The second assumption follows with Corollary 3.5. □

**5.4. Corollary.** *Let  $A$ ,  $M$ , and  $I$  be as in the theorem, and assume that  $IM \neq M$ . Then there is an element  $a \in A$ , so that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

- (1)  $(M/I^k M)_a \neq 0$ .
- (2)  $(M/I^k M)_a$  is a Cohen-Macaulay module. □

**5.5. Corollary.** *Let  $A$  be an excellent ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Suppose that the ideal  $I \subseteq A$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $I$  is not contained in a minimal prime of  $M$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  is the defining ideal of the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of  $M$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(IM : M)}$ .

*Then there is an element  $a \in A$ , so that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

- (1)  $(M/I^k M)_a \neq 0$ .
- (2)  $(M/I^k M)_a$  is a Cohen-Macaulay module.

*Proof.* Choose an element  $b \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \sqrt{(IM : M)}$ . In order to prove the assertion apply the previous corollary to the Cohen-Macaulay  $A_b$ -module  $M_b$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra, chapters 1-7*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1989 MR0979760 (90a:13001)
- [2] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 39, revised edition, Cambridge, 1998 MR1251956 (95h:13020)
- [3] H. B. Foxby, *Hyperhomological algebra and commutative rings*, in preparation
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math **24** (1965) MR0199181 (33:7330)
- [5] M. Hochster, J. L. Roberts, *Rings of invariants of reductive groups acting on regular rings are Cohen-Macaulay*, Adv. Math. **13** (1974), 115–175 MR0347810 (50:311)
- [6] S. Iyengar, *Depth for complexes, and intersection theorems*, Math. Z. **230** (1999), 545–569 MR1680036 (2000a:13027)
- [7] V. Kodiyalam, *Homological invariants of powers of an ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 757–764 MR1156471 (93i:13022)
- [8] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 8, Cambridge, 1986 MR0879273 (88h:13001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824

*E-mail address:* `rotthaus@math.msu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64110-2499

*E-mail address:* `segal@umkc.edu`