ASYMPTOTIC ZERO DISTRIBUTION FOR A CLASS OF MULTIPLE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS
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Abstract. We establish the asymptotic zero distribution for polynomials generated by a four-term recurrence relation with varying recurrence coefficients having a particular limiting behavior. The proof is based on ratio asymptotics for these polynomials. We can apply this result to three examples of multiple orthogonal polynomials, in particular Jacobi-Piñeiro, Laguerre I and the example associated with modified Bessel functions. We also discuss an application to Toeplitz matrices.

1. Introduction

Let \( \mu \) be a positive measure on the real line for which the support is not finite and all the moments exist. The corresponding monic orthogonal polynomial \( P_n \) of degree \( n \) is then defined by

\[
\int x^m P_n(x) \, d\mu(x) = 0, \quad k = 0, \ldots, n - 1,
\]

with \( P_0 \equiv 1 \) and \( P_{-1} \equiv 0 \). A well-known fact is that such polynomials satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of the form

\[
z P_n(z) = P_{n+1}(z) + b_n P_n(z) + a_n^2 P_{n-1}(z), \quad a_n > 0, \ b_n \in \mathbb{R},
\]

with initial conditions \( P_0 \equiv 1 \) and \( P_{-1} \equiv 0 \).

An object of frequent study is the asymptotic zero distribution of the zeros for a sequence of orthogonal polynomials. The zeros of the polynomials \( P_n \), generated by (1.2), are real and simple [13]. With each polynomial \( P_n \) we can associate the normalized zero counting measure

\[
\nu(P_n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{P_n(x) = 0} \delta_x,
\]

where \( \delta_x \) is the Dirac point mass at \( x \). If \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(P_n) = \nu \), by which we mean that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f \, d\nu(P_n) = \int f \, d\nu
\]
for every bounded and continuous function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ (weak-* convergence), then we call the probability measure $\nu$ the asymptotic zero distribution of the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. One of the famous results in this context is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** (see, e.g., [24, 28]). Suppose that the recurrence coefficients $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ have the limits $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively. The polynomials $P_n$, generated by (1.2), then have the asymptotic zero distribution $\omega_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ with density

\[
\frac{d\omega_{[\gamma, \delta]}}{dx}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{(\delta - x)(x - \gamma)}}, & x \in [\gamma, \delta], \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere}, \end{cases}
\]

where $\gamma = b - 2a$ and $\delta = b + 2a$.

**Remark 1.2.** The measure $\omega_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is known as the arcsine measure on $[\gamma, \delta]$. It also minimizes the logarithmic energy of the interval $[\gamma, \delta]$ [23].

Recently, the result in Theorem 1.1 was extended to the case of varying recurrence coefficients. Here the notation $\lim_{n/N \to t} Y_{n,N} = Y$ denotes the property that in the doubly indexed sequence $Y_{n,N}$ we have $\lim_{j \to \infty} Y_{n_j,N_j} = Y$ whenever $n_j$ and $N_j$ are two sequences of natural numbers such that $N_j \to \infty$ and $n_j/N_j \to t$ as $j \to \infty$.

**Theorem 1.3** (Kuijlaars, Van Assche [21]). Let for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, two sequences $\{a_{n,N}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $a_{n,N} > 0$, and $\{b_{n,N}\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $b_{n,N} \in \mathbb{R}$, of recurrence coefficients be given. Furthermore, suppose there exist two continuous functions $a : (0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$, $b : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, such that

\[
\lim_{n/N \to t} a_{n,N} = a(t), \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} b_{n,N} = b(t), \quad t > 0,
\]

and define $\gamma(t) := b(t) - 2a(t)$, $\delta := b(t) + 2a(t)$, $t > 0$. For the (orthogonal) polynomials generated by the recurrence

\[
z P_{n,N}(z) = P_{n+1,N}(z) + b_{n,N} P_{n,N}(z) + a_{n,N}^2 P_{n-1,N}(z),
\]

with initial conditions $P_{0,N} \equiv 1$ and $P_{-1,N} \equiv 0$, we then have

\[
\lim_{n/N \to t} \nu(P_{n,N}) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \omega_{[\gamma(s), \delta(s)]} ds, \quad t > 0.
\]

Here $\omega_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is defined by (1.4) if $\gamma < \delta$ and by $\delta_\gamma$ if $\gamma = \delta$.

**Remark 1.4.** More recently, Theorem 1.3 was generalized to measurable functions $a$ and $b$ [20].

In this paper we present a (conditional) theorem giving the asymptotic zero distribution for polynomials satisfying a four-term recurrence relation of the form

\[
z P_{n,N}(z) = P_{n+1,N}(z) + b_{n,N} P_{n,N}(z) + c_{n,N} P_{n-1,N}(z) + d_{n,N} P_{n-2,N}(z),
\]

where the varying recurrence coefficients have some particular limiting behavior. So, in a sense it extends Theorem 1.3. Such a four-term recurrence relation appears in the theory of multiple orthogonal polynomials of Type II. These are a generalization of orthogonal polynomials which arises naturally in Hermite-Padé approximation of a system of (Markov) functions [9, 10, 22]. In particular, they satisfy orthogonality conditions with respect to several positive measures [2, 25, 29]. Some of their applications are situated in diophantine number theory, rational approximation,
spectral and scattering problems for higher-order difference equations and some associated dynamical systems; see, e.g., [3, 11, 18, 27]. Recently they also appeared in random matrix theory for matrix ensembles with external source [3, 7, 8] and Wishard ensembles [6]. The particular limiting behavior which we are considering appears in the examples Jacobi-Piñeiro, Laguerre I [29] and the example associated with modified Bessel functions [30].

In Subsection 2.1 we state our main theorem. Next, in Subsection 2.2 and Subsection 2.3 we apply this result to the examples of multiple orthogonal polynomials mentioned above and some particular kind of Toeplitz matrices. In Section 3 we discuss a theorem on ratio asymptotics for monic polynomials satisfying the recurrence (1.8). This will be used to prove our main theorem in Section 4.

2. Statement of results

2.1. Main theorem. We will study doubly indexed sequences of polynomials \( \{P_{n,N}\} \), generated by a four-term recurrence of the form

\[
(2.1) \quad zP_{n,N}(z) = P_{n+1,N}(z) + b_{n,N}P_{n+1,N}(z) + c_{n,N}P_{n-1,N}(z) + d_{n,N}P_{n-2,N}(z),
\]

with the initial conditions \( P_{n,N} = 1, \ P_{-1,N} = 0 \) and \( P_{-2,N} = 0 \) and real recurrence coefficients. In particular, our main theorem gives an explicit expression for the asymptotic zero distributions

\[
\lim_{n,N \to t} \nu(P_{n,N}), \quad t > 0,
\]

with some conditions on the zeros of the \( P_{n,N} \) and some particular limiting behavior for the recurrence coefficients. As mentioned in the introduction the limit is taken over any sequence \( \{\nu(P_{n_j,N_j})\}_{j \geq 1} \) for which \( n_j \to \infty, N_j \to \infty \) and \( n_j/N_j \to x \) as \( j \to \infty \). We will use this notation throughout the rest of this paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let for each \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) three sequences \( \{b_{n,N}\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{c_{n,N}\}_{n=1}^\infty \) and \( \{d_{n,N}\}_{n=2}^\infty \) of real recurrence coefficients be given and assume that there exists a continuous function \( \alpha : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty) \) such that, for \( t > 0 \),

\[
(2.2) \quad \lim_{n,N \to t} b_{n,N} = 3\beta(t), \quad \lim_{n,N \to t} c_{n,N} = 3\beta(t)^2, \quad \lim_{n,N \to t} d_{n,N} = \beta(t)^3,
\]

with \( \beta(t) = \frac{4\alpha(t)}{2t} \). Let \( P_{n,N} \) be the monic polynomials generated by the recurrence (2.1) and suppose these polynomials \( P_{n,N} \) have real simple zeros \( x_1^{n,N} < \ldots < x_n^{n,N} \) satisfying the interlacing property \( x_j^{n+1,N} < x_j^{n,N} < x_{j+1}^{n+1,N} \), for all \( n, N \in \mathbb{N}, \ j = 1, \ldots, n \). Then

\[
(2.3) \quad \lim_{n,N \to t} \nu(P_{n,N}) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \nu_{[0, \alpha(s)]} \, ds, \quad t > 0,
\]

where \( \nu_{[0, \alpha]} \) is defined by \( \delta_0 \) if \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \frac{d\nu_{[0, \alpha]}}{dx}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d\nu_{[0, \alpha]}}{dx}(\frac{x}{\alpha}) \), with

\[
(2.4) \quad \frac{d\nu_{[0,1]}}{dx}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - x})^{1/3} + (1 - \sqrt{1 - x})^{1/3}}{x^{2/3}\sqrt{1 - x}}, & x \in (0, 1), \\ 0, & elsewise, \end{cases}
\]

if \( \alpha > 0 \).
Remark 2.2. Denote by \( \nu_t \) the right hand side of (2.3). The action of this measure on arbitrary Borel sets is given by
\[
\nu_t(E) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \nu_{[0, \alpha(s)]}(E) \, ds, \quad E \in B(\mathbb{R}).
\]
Now suppose that for each \( x \geq 0 \) the set \( \{ s \geq 0 \mid x \leq \alpha(s) \} \) is an interval, which we denote by \([t_-(x), t_+(x)]\). The density of the measure \( \nu_t \) is then
\[
\frac{d\nu_t}{dx}(x) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\min(t, t_+(x))}^{\min(t, t_-(x))} \frac{d\nu_{[0, \alpha(s)]}}{dx}(x) \, ds.
\]
This will be the case in each of the examples we present in this paper.

Remark 2.3. Comparing Theorem 2.1 with Theorem 1.3 we see that the measure \( \nu_{[0,1]} \) plays the role of the arcsine measure in the case of orthogonal polynomials (satisfying a three-term recurrence relation). The density \( \nu_{[0,1]} \) again has the behavior \( c_1(1-x)^{-1/2} \) as \( x \uparrow 1 \), but has a different behavior \( c_2x^{-2/3} \) as \( x \downarrow 0 \). See Figure 1.

Remark 2.4. The measure \( \nu_{[0,1]} \) coincides (after a cubic transformation) with the asymptotic zero distribution of Faber polynomials associated with the 3-cusped hypocycloid [19].

2.2. Application to multiple orthogonal polynomials. There are two types of multiple orthogonal polynomials, but we will only consider type II. Let \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r, \) \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), be a set of positive measures on the real line for which the support is not finite and all the moments exist. Furthermore, let \( \vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \) be a vector of \( r \) nonnegative integers, which is a multi-index with length \( |\vec{n}| := n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r \).

A multiple orthogonal polynomial \( P_{\vec{n}} \) of type II with respect to the multi-index \( \vec{n} \), is a (nontrivial) polynomial of degree \( \leq |\vec{n}| \) which satisfies the orthogonality conditions
\[
\int x^m P_{\vec{n}}(x) \, d\mu_j(x) = 0, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n_j - 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r.
\]
A basic requirement in the study of multiple orthogonal polynomials is that the system (2.6) has a unique solution (up to a scalar multiplicative constant) of degree \(|\vec{\mu}|\). We call \(|\vec{\mu}|\) a normal index for \(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r\) if any solution of (2.6) has exactly degree \(|\vec{\mu}|\) (which implies uniqueness). If all the multi-indices are normal, then the system of measures is called perfect. Some famous classes of perfect systems are the Angelesco systems, Nikishin systems (for \(r = 2\)) and AT systems; see, e.g., [25, 29].

Multiple orthogonal polynomials of type II satisfy a recurrence relation of order \(r+1\). In particular, if we set \(r = 2\) and consider proper multi-indices \(\vec{\nu}_n = (m+s, m)\), \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\), where \(n = 2m + s, s \in \{0, 1\}\), then the polynomials \(P_n := P_{\vec{\nu}_n}\) satisfy a four-term recurrence relation of the form

\[
(2.7) \quad zP_n(z) = P_{n+1}(z) + b_n P_n(z) + c_n P_{n-1}(z) + d_n P_{n-2}(z),
\]

with the initial conditions \(P_0 \equiv 1\), \(P_{-1} \equiv 0\) and \(P_{-2} \equiv 0\). For three examples known in the literature the recurrence coefficients in (2.7) have the particular limiting behavior (2.2), possibly after some re-scaling. In each of these examples the measures form an AT system on an interval \(\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}\). It is then known that the zeros of the polynomials \(P_n\) are simple, lie in \(\Delta\) [25, 29] and satisfy the interlacing property [4]. So, it is possible to apply Theorem 2.1.

2.2.1. Jacobi-Piñeiro. The Jacobi-Piñeiro polynomials are the multiple orthogonal polynomials for the system of orthogonality measures

\[
\text{d}\mu_j(x) = x^{\alpha_j} (1-x)^\beta \text{d}x, \quad j = 1, 2,
\]
on the interval \([0, 1]\) with \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta > -1\) and \(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}\). In [29] it was shown that the monic Jacobi-Piñeiro polynomials with respect to proper multi-indices, which we denote by \(P^{\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \beta}_n\), satisfy a recurrence relation of the form (2.7) for which

\[
(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 3 \left(\frac{4}{27}\right), \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 3 \left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^2, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} d_n = \left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^3.
\]

By Theorem 2.1 with \(\alpha(t) = 1\), \(t > 0\), we then easily obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.** The Jacobi-Piñeiro polynomials \(P^{\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \beta}_n\) have the asymptotic zero distribution \(v_{[0,1]}\), defined as in (2.4).

2.2.2. Multiple Laguerre I. The multiple Laguerre polynomials of the first kind are orthogonal with respect to the system of measures

\[
\text{d}\mu_j(x) = x^{\alpha_j} e^{-x} \text{d}x, \quad j = 1, 2,
\]
on \([0, +\infty)\) with \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > -1\) and \(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}\). Denote the monic multiple Laguerre I polynomials with respect to proper multi-indices by \(L^{\alpha_1; \alpha_2}_n\). These satisfy a four-term recurrence relation of the form (2.7) where, for \(t > 0\),

\[
(2.9) \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} b_n \frac{n}{N} = 3 \left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} c_n \frac{n}{N^2} = 3 \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2, \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} d_n \frac{n}{N^3} = \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^3;
\]

see [29]. The following theorem is then a corollary of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.6.** For the multiple Laguerre polynomials of the first kind the limit

\[
(2.10) \quad \nu^L_t := \lim_{n/N \to t} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{L^{\alpha_1; \alpha_2}_n(x) = 0} \delta_{x/N}, \quad t > 0,
\]
Figure 2. The densities of the measures $\nu^L_t$ and $\nu^M_t$, see (2.11) and (2.14), with $t = \frac{8}{27}$ and $t = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}$, respectively.

exists and has the density

$$\frac{d\nu^L_t}{dx}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{8}{27t} g \left( \frac{8x}{27t} \right), & x \in \left(0, \frac{27t}{8}\right), \\
0, & \text{elsewhere,}
\end{array} \right.$$

where, for $y \in (0, 1)$,

$$g(y) = \frac{3\sqrt{3} (1 + 3\sqrt{1-y})(1 - \sqrt{1-y})^{1/3} - (1 - 3\sqrt{1-y})(1 + \sqrt{1-y})^{1/3}}{16\pi y^{2/3}}.$$

Proof. If we define $L^\alpha_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z) := L^\alpha_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(Nz)/N^n$, then the polynomials satisfy a recurrence relation of the form (2.1) and the asymptotic property (2.2) with $\alpha(t) = \frac{27t}{8}, \ t > 0$. So, applying Theorem 2.1 we get

$$\frac{d\nu^L_t}{dx}(x) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\frac{8x}{27t}}^{1} \frac{8}{27s} \frac{d\nu^L_{[0,1]}}{dx} \left( \frac{8x}{27s} \right) ds = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\frac{8x}{27t}}^{1} \frac{1}{u} \frac{d\nu^L_{[0,1]}}{dx}(u) du.$$

Set $x \in \left(0, \frac{27t}{8}\right)$ and $z = \sqrt{1 - \frac{8x}{27t}}$. Applying the substitution $y \leftrightarrow \sqrt{1 - u}$ we then obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^L_t}{dx}(x) = \frac{1}{t} \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{27\pi} \int_{0}^{z} \left( (1 + y)^{-4/3}(1 - y)^{-5/3} + (1 + y)^{-5/3}(1 - y)^{-4/3} \right) dy = \frac{1}{t} \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{27\pi} \int_{-z}^{z} (1 + y)^{-5/3}(1 - y)^{-4/3} dy = \frac{1}{t} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{18\pi} \left( 1 + 3y \right) \left( (1 + y)^{2/3}(1 - y)^{1/3} \right)_{-z}^{z}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$
2.2.3. **Multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with modified Bessel functions.**

In [30] one considered multiple orthogonal polynomials with respect to the orthogonality measures

$$d\mu_1(x) = x^\nu \rho_\gamma(x) \, dx, \quad d\mu_2(x) = x^\nu \rho_{\gamma+1}(x) \, dx$$
on $(0, +\infty)$ with $\nu > -1, \gamma \geq 0$ and

$$\rho_\gamma(x) = 2x^{\gamma/2} K_\gamma(2\sqrt{x}), \quad x > 0,$$

where $K_\gamma$ is the modified Bessel of the second kind, also known as the Macdonald function [11 p. 374]. For the type II multiple polynomials with respect to proper multi-indices, $P_\gamma^{\nu,\kappa}$, the recurrence coefficients in (2.7) are known [30, Theorem 4].

In particular, for $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n/N \to t} \frac{b_n}{N^2} = 3t^2, \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} \frac{c_n}{N^4} = 3t^4, \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} \frac{d_n}{N^6} = t^6.$$  

Theorem 2.7 then implies the following asymptotic result for the zeros of these polynomials.

**Theorem 2.7.** For the multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with modified Bessel functions the limit

$$\nu_i^M := \lim_{n/N \to t} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=0}^{\delta x/N^2}$$

exists and has the density

$$\frac{d\nu_i^M}{dx}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{27\pi} h \left( \frac{4x}{27\pi} \right), & x \in \left( 0, \frac{27\pi^2}{4} \right), \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h(y) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - y} \right)^{1/3} - \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - y} \right)^{1/3} y^{2/3}, \quad y \in (0, 1).$$

**Proof.** Define the polynomials $\tilde{P}_\gamma^{\nu,\kappa}(z) := P_\gamma^{\nu,\kappa}(N^2 z)/N^{2n}$. By (2.12) these satisfy a recurrence relation of the form (2.7) having the asymptotic property (2.2) with $a(t) = \frac{27\pi^2}{4}$, $t > 0$. Set $x \in \left( 0, \frac{27\pi^2}{4} \right)$ and $z = \frac{4x}{27\pi}$. Applying Theorem 2.1 then gives

$$\frac{d\nu_i^M}{dx}(x) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\sqrt{u}}^\frac{4x}{27\pi} \frac{4x}{27\pi} \, dx \, \left( \frac{4x}{27\pi} \right) \, ds = \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{2}{27\pi^2} \int_{\sqrt{u}}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \, du.$$  

Similarly as in Theorem 2.6 we apply the substitution $y = \sqrt{1 - u}$ and get

$$\frac{d\nu_i^M}{dx}(x) = \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi \sqrt{z}} \int_{\sqrt{1 - z}}^{1 - z} (1 + y)^{-5/6} (1 - y)^{-7/6} \, dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3\pi} \sqrt{z}} \left( \frac{1 + y}{1 - y} \right)^{1/6} \int_{\sqrt{1 - z}}^{1 - z}.$$

From this we easily obtain (2.13). \qed
2.3. Application to Toeplitz matrices. Set $\alpha > 0$, $\beta = \frac{4\alpha}{27}$, and define the Toeplitz matrices

$$T_n^\alpha := \begin{pmatrix}
3\beta & 1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
3\beta^2 & 3\beta & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\beta^3 & 3\beta^2 & 3\beta & 1 & \ddots & \\
0 & \beta^3 & 3\beta^2 & 3\beta & \ddots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \beta^3 & 3\beta^2 & 3\beta \\
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

Note that the eigenvalues of $T_n^\alpha$ coincide with the zeros of the monic polynomial $Q_n^\alpha(z) = \det(zI_n - T_n^\alpha)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(2.15) \quad z Q_n^\alpha(z) = Q_{n+1}^\alpha(z) + 3\beta Q_n^\alpha(z) + 3\beta^2 Q_{n-1}^\alpha(z) + \beta^3 Q_{n-2}^\alpha(z),$$

with $Q_0^\alpha \equiv 1$ and $Q_{-1}^\alpha \equiv Q_{-2}^\alpha \equiv 0$. The following asymptotic result for the eigenvalues of the matrices $T_n^\alpha$ then follows from Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.8.** The limiting eigenvalue distribution of the matrices $T_n^\alpha$, with $\alpha > 0$, is given by the measure $\nu_{[0, \alpha]}$, defined as in Theorem 2.1.

**Proof.** The homogeneous recurrence relation

$$0 = Q_{n+1}^\alpha(0) + 3\beta Q_n^\alpha(0) + 3\beta^2 Q_{n-1}^\alpha(0) + \beta^3 Q_{n-2}^\alpha(0)$$

with $Q_0^\alpha(0) = 1$ and $Q_{-1}^\alpha(0) = Q_{-2}^\alpha(0) = 0$ has the solution

$$Q_n^\alpha(0) = (-\beta)^n \left(1 + \frac{3n^2}{2} + \frac{n^4}{2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

So, since $\beta = \frac{4\alpha}{27} > 0$ all the $T_n^\alpha$ are nonsingular. Next, define

$$\tilde{T}_n^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
3\beta & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
3\beta^2 & 3\beta & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\beta^3 & 3\beta^2 & 3\beta & 1 & \ddots & \\
0 & \beta^3 & 3\beta^2 & 3\beta & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \beta^3 & 3\beta^2 & 3\beta & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)},$$

and notice that $\tilde{T}_n^\alpha = (\tilde{A}_n^\alpha)^3$ with

$$\tilde{A}_n^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
\beta & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\
0 & \beta & 1 & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \beta & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}.$$ 

Since $\tilde{A}_n^\alpha$ is totally nonnegative, $\tilde{T}_n^\alpha$ is totally nonnegative, see, e.g., [15] p. 74, 1°], and so also $T_n^\alpha$. By [15] p. 100, Theorem 10] we then get that the $T_n^\alpha$ are oscillation...
matrices. Consequently, the zeros of the polynomials $Q_n$ are simple and positive [15, p. 87, Theorem 6] and satisfy the interlacing property [15, p. 107, Theorem 14]. The theorem then easily follows from Theorem 2.1 and the recurrence (2.14).

**Remark 2.9.** The polynomials satisfying the recurrence relation with constant coefficients (2.14) are the multiple Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind after a cubic transformation [12–14]. These are an example of multiple orthogonal polynomials of type II extending the well-known Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind [13]. The corresponding orthogonality measures can be found in [12, Corollary 4.2], [14, Theorem 4.1].

3. Ratio asymptotics

In [21] Kuijlaars and Van Assche have proven a theorem that gives explicit ratio asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with converging varying recurrence coefficients. In this section we give an extension of this result to polynomials satisfying a (specific) four-term recurrence relation instead of a three-term recurrence relation.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose we have for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sequences $\{b_{n,N}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{c_{n,N}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{d_{n,N}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ of real recurrence coefficients and let $P_{n,N}$ be the monic polynomials generated by the recurrence

$$(3.1) \quad z P_{n,N}(z) = P_{n+1,N}(z) + b_{n,N} P_{n,N}(z) + c_{n,N} P_{n-1,N}(z) + d_{n,N} P_{n-2,N}(z),$$

with $P_{0,N} \equiv 1$, $P_{-1,N} \equiv 0$ and $P_{-2,N} \equiv 0$. Assume that for some fixed $t > 0$ the recurrence coefficients have the limits

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} b_{n,N} = 3 \left(\frac{4t}{27}\right), \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} c_{n,N} = 3 \left(\frac{4t}{27}\right)^2, \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} d_{n,N} = \left(\frac{4t}{27}\right)^3,$$

with $\alpha \geq 0$. Furthermore, assume that the polynomials $P_{n,N}$ have real simple zeros $x_1^{n,N} < \ldots < x_n^{n,N}$ satisfying the interlacing property $x_j^{n+1,N} < x_j^{n,N} < x_{j+1}^{n+1,N}$, for all $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, suppose that for some $t^* > 0$ there exist $m \leq 0$, $M \geq \alpha$ such that all zeros of $P_{n,N}$ belong to $[m, M]$ whenever $n \leq t^* N$.

Then

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n/N \to t} \frac{P_{n,N}(z)}{P_{n+1,N}(z)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \phi \left(\frac{z}{\alpha}\right), & \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{1}{z}, & \alpha = 0, \end{cases}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M]$, where $\phi$ is defined by

$$\phi(z) := \frac{27}{4} \left(\frac{3}{2} \omega_3^{1/3} \left\{ \omega_3 (-1 + \sqrt{1 - z})^{1/3} + (-1 - \sqrt{1 - z})^{1/3} \right\} - 1 \right)$$

with $\omega_3 = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and

$$\sqrt{\rho e^{i\theta}} = \rho^{1/2} e^{i\theta}, \quad \rho > 0, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi),$$

$$(\rho e^{i\theta})^{1/3} = \rho^{1/3} e^{i\theta}, \quad \rho > 0, \quad \theta \in (-\pi, +\pi].$$

**Remark 3.2.** In the case that the recurrence coefficients do not depend on $N$ the existence of the limit (3.3) was already proven in [4]. Our proof will be based on similar arguments.
Remark 3.3. Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1 by taking the derivative of (3.3) we get

\[ \lim_{n/N \to t} \left( \frac{P'_{n,N}(z)}{P_{n,N}(z)} - \frac{P'_{n+1,N}(z)}{P_{n+1,N}(z)} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\phi'(z/\alpha)}{\phi(z/\alpha)}, & \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{1}{z}, & \alpha = 0, \end{cases} \]

uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M] \).

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we need part (a) of the following lemma. The whole lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 as well. It can be found in, e.g., [21] Lemma 2.2, but we include a short proof for completeness.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that the zeros of the monic polynomials \( p_{n-1} \) and \( p_n \), with degree \( n-1 \) and \( n \), respectively, are simple and real, interlace and lie in \([m, M]\). Then

(a) \( \left| \frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\text{dist}(z, [m, M])} \), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M],

(b) \( \left| \frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2|z|} \), \quad \text{if } |z| > \max(|m|, |M|).

Proof. Denote the real zeros of \( p_n \) by \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \). Since \( p_{n-1} \) and \( p_n \) are monic and their zeros interlace, there exist \( w_j > 0 \), \( \sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1 \), so that

\[ \frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{z - y_j}. \]

Then note that, because \( y_j \in [m, M] \), for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M] \) we have \( |z - y_j| \geq \text{dist}(z, [m, M]) \), \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). This immediately proves part (a) of the lemma.

If \( |z| > \max(|m|, |M|) \), then \( |y_j/z| < 1 \) and therefore \( \Re \left( \frac{1}{1-y_j/z} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \), \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). Hence

\[ \frac{1}{|z|} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{1-y_j/z} \geq \frac{1}{|z|} \Re \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{1-y_j/z} \right) > \frac{1}{2|z|} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j = \frac{1}{2|z|}, \]

which proves part (b). \( \square \)

We also need the following properties of the function \( \phi \).

Lemma 3.5. The function \( \phi \) is analytic on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1] \) and satisfies

(a) \( z \phi(z) = \left( 1 + \frac{4 \phi(z)}{27} \right)^3 \), \quad \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1] \),

(b) \( \phi(z) = z^{-1} + O(z^{-2}) \), \quad \text{as } z \to \infty.

Proof. By the choice of branch cuts for the square and the cubic root, see (3.3), the function \( \phi \) is certainly analytic on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 1] \). For \( x < 0 \), a simple calculation also shows that \( \lim_{x \to 0} \phi(x + i \epsilon) = \lim_{x \to 0} \phi(x - i \epsilon) \). So \( \phi \) is analytic on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1] \). If we define

\[ u_+(z) := -1 + \sqrt{1-z}, \]

then

\[ u_+(z) u_-(z) = z, \quad u_+(z) + u_-(z) = -2. \]
Using this gives
\[
\left(1 + \frac{4\phi(z)}{27}\right)^3 = \frac{27}{8} z \left(\omega_3(u_+(z))^{1/3} + (u_-(z))^{1/3}\right)^3
\]
\[
= \frac{27}{8} z \left(-2 + 3\omega_3 z^{1/3} \left(\omega_3(u_+(z))^{1/3} + (u_-(z))^{1/3}\right)\right),
\]
which verifies part (a) of the lemma.

Next, we will prove that \( \phi \) tends to zero as \( z \to \infty \). From part (a) we then obtain that \( \lim_{z \to \infty} z \phi(z) = 1 \) and, since \( \phi \) is analytic in a neighborhood of infinity, this implies part (b) of the lemma. Applying the formula \( (a + b)(a^2 + b^2 - ab) = a^3 + b^3 \) and (3.8), we observe that
\[
\frac{4}{27} \phi(z) = -\frac{3\omega_3 z^{1/3}}{\omega_3^2 \left[(u_+(z))^{1/3}\right]^2 + \left[(u_-(z))^{1/3}\right]^2 - \omega_3^2 z^{1/3}} - 1.
\]
Now take for a moment \( z = 1 + L, \) with \( L > 0. \) By the definition of the square root we have \( u_\pm(1 + L) = -1 \pm i\sqrt{L}. \) So we can write
\[
u_+(1 + L) = \rho(L) e^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \varepsilon(L)\right)}, \quad \nu_-(1 + L) = \rho(L) e^{i\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \varepsilon(L)\right)}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon(L) < \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]
Obviously we then get
\[
\omega_3^2 \left[(u_+(1 + L))^{1/3}\right]^2 = (\rho(L))^{2/3} e^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \varepsilon(L)\right)},
\]
\[
\left[(u_-(1 + L))^{1/3}\right]^2 = (\rho(L))^{2/3} e^{i\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \varepsilon(L)\right)}.
\]
Finally, notice that
\[
\lim_{L \to +\infty} \varepsilon(L) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(L) \sim \sqrt{L}, \quad L \to +\infty.
\]
Hence, combining (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain
\[
\lim_{L \to +\infty} \frac{4}{27} \phi(1 + L) = \lim_{L \to +\infty} \frac{3}{e^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \varepsilon(L)\right)} + e^{-i\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \varepsilon(L)\right)}} - 1 = 0.
\]
Since \( \phi \) is analytic in a neighborhood of infinity, then also \( \lim_{z \to \infty} \phi(z) = 0. \) □

Now we give the proof of Theorem 3.1

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It is enough to prove the cases \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \alpha = 1. \) The more general case \( \alpha > 0 \) is then obtained by taking \( P_{n,N}(z) := P_{n,N}(\alpha z) / \alpha^n. \)

We first prove the case \( \alpha = 1. \) By the assumptions on the zeros of the polynomials \( P_{n,N} \) every member of
\[
\left\{ \frac{P_{n,N}(z)}{P_{n+1,N}(z)} \middle| n, N \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq t^*N \right\}
\]
satisfies the estimate in part (a) of Lemma 3.1. So, the family (3.13) is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of \( \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [m, M]. \) By the theorem of Montel [17, p. 563] we then know that (3.13) is a normal family on \( \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [m, M]. \) For a
sequence \( \{(n_j, N_j)\}_{j \geq 1} \), with \( n_j, N_j \to \infty \), \( n_j/N_j \to t \) as \( j \to \infty \), we have that, if \( j \) is sufficiently large, the function

\[
(3.14) \quad f_j(z) := \frac{P_{n_j, N_j}(z)}{P_{n_j+1, N_j}(z)}
\]

belongs to the normal family (3.13). The corresponding sequence \( \{f_j\}_{j \geq 1} \) then has a subsequence that converges uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M] \). If we can prove that the limit of any such subsequence is \( \phi \), then, by a standard compactness argument, the full sequence \( \{f_j\}_{j \geq 1} \) converges uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M] \) to \( \phi \). This then proves the theorem in the case \( \alpha = 1 \).

We will show that for each sequence \( n_i, N_i \to \infty \) with \( n_i/N_i \to t \) such that the functions \( \{f_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) converge uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M] \), we have

\[
(3.15) \quad f(z) := \lim_{i \to \infty} f_i(z) = \phi(z) + O(z^{-k}), \quad \text{as} \ z \to \infty,
\]

for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). The uniqueness of the Laurent expansion around infinity then implies that \( f(z) = \phi(z) \). We show this by induction on \( k \). The case \( k = 1 \) follows from Lemma 3.5 (b) and \( f_1(z) = O(z^{-1}) \), for every \( i \geq 1 \). Next, suppose that the claim holds for some \( k \geq 1 \) and consider a sequence \( \{(n_i, N_i)\}_{i \geq 1} \) such that \( n_i, N_i \to \infty \), \( n_i/N_i \to x \) and the functions \( \{f_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) converge uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M] \) to some function \( f \) as \( i \to \infty \). If we put

\[
g_i(z) := \frac{P_{n_i-1, N_i}(z)}{P_{n_i, N_i}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M],
\]

\[
h_i(z) := \frac{P_{n_i-2, N_i}(z)}{P_{n_i-1, N_i}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M],
\]

then from the recurrence relation (3.11) we obtain

\[
(3.16) \quad z = f_i(z)^{-1} + b_{n_i, N_i} + c_{n_i, N_i} g_i(z) + d_{n_i, N_i} h_i(z).
\]

Since \( t < t^* \) we may assume without loss of generality that \( n_i < t^* N_i \) for every \( i \geq 1 \). Then \( \{g_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) and \( \{h_i\}_{i \geq 1} \) are subsets of the normal family (3.13). Therefore, there is a sequence \( i_j \to \infty, j \to \infty \), such that \( \{g_i\}_{j \geq 1} \) and \( \{h_i\}_{j \geq 1} \) converge uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M] \) with limits \( g \) and \( h \), respectively. If we pass to such a subsequence and take limits in (3.16), then by (3.12) we find

\[
(3.17) \quad z = \frac{1}{f(z)} + 3 \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^2 g(z) + \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^3 g(z) h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M].
\]

By the induction hypothesis we now have that

\[
\begin{align*}
g(z) &= \phi(z) + O(z^{-k}), \quad z \to \infty, \\
h(z) &= \phi(z) + O(z^{-k}), \quad z \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

Applying this to (3.17), by Lemma 3.5 we then get

\[
\frac{1}{f(z)} = z - 3 \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^2 \phi(z) - \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^3 \phi(z)^2 + O(z^{-k}) = \frac{1}{\phi(z)} + O(z^{-k}).
\]

Since \( \phi(z) = O(z^{-1}) \), this implies

\[
f(z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{1 + \phi(z) O(z^{-k})} = \frac{\phi(z)}{1 + O(z^{-k-1})} = \phi(z) + O(z^{-k-2}).
\]
So we proved that (3.13) also holds with \( k \) replaced by \( k + 2 \). Therefore, it holds for all \( k \).

Finally, for the case \( \alpha = 0 \) the proof is similar. In fact, (3.3) then easily follows by taking limits in (3.10).

\[ \square \]

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

In order to prove the asymptotic result in Theorem 2.1 we first have a closer look at the function \( \frac{\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} \), which is analytic on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1] \). Here we will use the relation

\[
(4.1) \quad \frac{\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} = \frac{\frac{4\phi(z)}{z} + 1}{\frac{\phi(z)}{2z} - 1} = \frac{1}{2z} + \frac{3}{2z} \left( \frac{8\phi(z)}{27} - 1 \right)^{-1},
\]

which can be obtained by differentiating part (a) of Lemma 3.5. First of all, we are interested in the jump across its branch cut.

**Lemma 4.1.** The jump of the function \( \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \) across its branch cut is given by

\[
(4.2) \quad m(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\phi'(x + i\varepsilon)}{\phi(x + i\varepsilon)} - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\phi'(x - i\varepsilon)}{\phi(x - i\varepsilon)} = 2\pi i \frac{dv_{[0,1]}}{dx}(x), \quad x \in (0, 1),
\]

where \( v_{[0,1]} \) is defined as in Theorem 2.1.

**Proof.** Let \( x \in (0, 1) \). By (4.1) we easily obtain

\[
(4.3) \quad m(x) = \frac{3}{2x} \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \frac{8\phi(xe^{i\varepsilon})}{27} - 1 \right)^{-1} - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \frac{8\phi(xe^{-i\varepsilon})}{27} - 1 \right)^{-1} \right\}.
\]

Applying the definitions (3.5) we get

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 - xe^{i\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} = e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - x} \right)^{1/3},
\]

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( -1 - \sqrt{1 - xe^{i\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{3}} \left( 1 \mp \sqrt{1 - x} \right)^{1/3}.
\]

Using the notation \( v_\pm(x) := (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - x})^{1/3} \) we then have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{8\phi(xe^{i\varepsilon})}{27} = -1 = 3x^{1/3} \left\{ e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{3}} v_\pm(x) + e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}} v_\pm(x) \right\} - 3.
\]

So, also applying the relations \( x^{1/3} = v_+(x)v_-(x) \) and \( 2 = v_+(x)^3 + v_-(x)^3 \), equation (4.3) becomes

\[
m(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}i}{x^{2/3}} \frac{v_+(x) - v_-(x)}{(x^{1/3}(v_+(x) + v_-(x)) - 2)^2 + 3x^{2/3} (v_+(x) - v_-(x))^2} - \frac{2\sqrt{3}i}{x^{2/3}} \frac{(v_+(x) - v_-(x))^{-1} (v_+(x) - v_-(x))^3}{\left[(v_-(x)^2 - v_+(x)^2)^2 + 3v_+(x)^2 v_-(x)^2 \right]^{-1}}.
\]

If we multiply the numerator and denominator both by \( v_+(x) + v_-(x) \), then we finally obtain

\[
m(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}i}{x^{2/3}} \frac{v_+(x) + v_-(x)}{(v_+(x)^3 + v_-(x)^3)(v_+(x)^3 - v_-(x)^3)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{2x^{2/3}} \frac{v_+(x) + v_-(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x}},
\]

which proves (4.2).  \[ \square \]
A second point of interest is the behavior of the function $\frac{\phi'}{\phi}$ in the neighborhood of its branch points 0 and 1.

**Lemma 4.2.** Near the points 0 and 1 we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi'(ze^{i\theta}) &= O(\varepsilon^{-2/3}), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \quad \theta \in (0, 2\pi), \\
\phi'(1 + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}) &= O(\varepsilon^{-1/2}), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \quad \theta \in (-\pi, \pi).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** For $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ we easily see that

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi(\varepsilon e^{i\theta}) = \frac{27}{4}, \quad \left| \frac{4\phi(\varepsilon e^{i\theta})}{27} + 1 \right| = O(\varepsilon^{1/3}), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0.
\]

Applying this to the first equality in (4.1) then gives expression (4.4).

We now take $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$. We then have $u_\pm(1 + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}) = -1 \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon} e^{i \frac{\pi}{3} \theta}$, where we use the notation (3.7), and applying the definition of the third root (3.5) we get

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \phi(1 + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}) = \frac{27}{4} \left( \frac{3\omega_3}{2} \left( \omega_3^e e^{i\pi/3} + e^{-i\pi/3} \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{27}{8}.
\]

If we write $u_\pm(1 + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}) := \rho_\pm(\varepsilon, \theta)e^{i\eta\pm(\varepsilon, \theta)}$, meaning the polar coordinates, then a closer look gives

\[
\eta_\pm(\varepsilon, \theta) = \pm \pi \mp \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cos(\theta/2) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0,
\]

and

\[
e^{i\eta\pm(\varepsilon, \theta)/3} = e^{\pm \frac{i\pi}{3}} \left( 1 \mp \frac{i\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{3} \cos(\theta/2) \right) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0,
\]

\[
\rho_\pm(\varepsilon, \theta)^{1/3} = 1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{3} \sin(\theta/2) + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0.
\]

From this we easily obtain

\[
\frac{8\phi(1 + \varepsilon e^{i\theta})}{27} - 1 = -\sqrt{3}\varepsilon e^{i\pi/3} + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0.
\]

Applying (4.6) and (4.9) to the first equality in (4.1) then finally leads to (4.5). \qed

As a corollary of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 we obtain that $\frac{\phi'}{\phi}$ is the Stieltjes transform of the measure $\nu_{[0,1]}$, up to a minus sign.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $\phi$ be defined by (3.4); then

\[
\frac{\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} = -\int \frac{1}{z-x} \, d\nu_{[0,1]}(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1],
\]

with $\nu_{[0,1]}$ defined as in Theorem 2.1

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 and applying Lemma 3.3 (b) to (4.1), the function $\frac{\phi'}{\phi}$ satisfies the following additive Riemann-Hilbert problem:

- (P1) $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$,
- (P2) $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f(x + i\varepsilon) - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f(x - i\varepsilon) = 2\pi i \frac{d\nu_{[0,1]}}{dx}(x), \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1),$
(P3) \( f(z) = -z^{-1} + O(z^{-2}), \) as \( z \to \infty, \)

(P4) \( f(z) = O(z^{-2/3}), \) as \( z \to 0, \)
\[ f(z) = O\left((1-z)^{-1/2}\right), \] as \( z \to 1. \)

If \( f \) and \( g \) are both solutions of this Riemann-Hilbert problem, then it is easily seen that \( f - g \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}. \) Moreover, 0 and 1 are removable singularities by (P4). Liouville’s Theorem and (P3) then imply \( f \equiv g, \) meaning that the Riemann-Hilbert problem has a unique solution. So it is enough to show that

\[ f(z) := \int \frac{1}{x-z} \, dv_{[0,1]}(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0,1], \]

satisfies (P1)-(P4). Properties (P1) and (P3) easily follow from the fact that \( dv_{[0,1]} \) is a probability measure on \( (0, 1). \) By the Plemelj-Sokhotski formula for Cauchy integrals, see, e.g., [23, p. 43, (18.1)], \( f \) satisfies (P2). Finally, the behavior at the branch points, see (P4), easily follows from [23, p. 74, (29.5) and (29.6)] and (2.4). □

Remark 4.4. As an easy consequence of Lemma 4.3 we obtain

\[
(4.11) \quad - \int \frac{1}{z-x} \, dv_{[0,\alpha]}(x) = \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\phi'(z/\alpha)}{\phi(z/\alpha)}, & \alpha > 0, \\
-1/z, & \alpha = 0,
\end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha],
\]

where \( v_{[0,\alpha]} \) is defined as in Theorem 2.1.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let \( t > 0 \) and fix a number \( t^* > t. \) Clearly, the convergence (2.2) and the fact that \( \frac{dv_{[0,1]}}{dz} \) is uniformly bounded if \( n/N \) is restricted to compact subsets of \([0, \infty). \) So,

\[
(4.12) \quad 0 < R := \sup \{1 + |b_{n,N}| + |c_{n,N}| + |d_{n,N}| : n \leq t^*N\} < +\infty.
\]

By the recurrence (2.1) we have \( P_{n,N}(z) = \det(zI_n - L_{n,N}), \) with

\[
L_{n,N} = \begin{pmatrix}
b_{0,N} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
c_{1,N} & b_{1,N} & 1 & \ddots & & \\
d_{2,N} & c_{2,N} & b_{2,N} & 1 & \ddots & \\
0 & d_{3,N} & c_{3,N} & b_{3,N} & \ddots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & d_{n-1,N} & c_{n-1,N} & b_{n-1,N}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

As a consequence, the zeros of \( P_{n,N} \) are bounded by \( \|L_n\|_\infty. \) For \( n \leq t^*N \) we then have that the simple zeros of \( P_{n,N} \) lie in the interval \([-R, R]\). Moreover, they are assumed to satisfy the interlacing property (for fixed \( N \)) and one can observe that

\[ \alpha(st) \leq \left(1 + \frac{4\alpha(st)}{27}\right)^3 \leq R, \quad \text{for each } 0 < s \leq 1. \]
So, by Remark 3.3 and Remark 4.4 we establish

\[
\lim_{n/N \to t} \left( \frac{P'_{[sn]+1,N}(z)}{P_{[sn]+1,N}(z)} - \frac{P'_{[sn],N}(z)}{P_{[sn],N}(z)} \right) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{z-x} \, dv_{[0,a(s(t))]}(x)
\]

uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [-R, R] \), where \( 0 < s \leq 1 \) and \([sn]\) denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to \( sn \).

Note that

\[
\frac{1}{n} P_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{P'_{k+1}(z)}{P_{k+1}(z)} - \frac{P'_{k}(z)}{P_{k}(z)} \right) = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{P'_{[sn]+1}(z)}{P_{[sn]+1}(z)} - \frac{P'_{[sn]}(z)}{P_{[sn]}(z)} \right) \, ds.
\]

For \( n \leq t^* N \) the zeros of the polynomials \( P_{n,N} \) are simple, lie in \([-R, R]\) and satisfy the interlacing property for fixed \( N \). From Lemma 3.3 (b) we then get

\[
\left| \frac{P_{[sn]+1,N}(z)}{P_{[sn],N}(z)} \right| \leq 2|z|, \quad |z| > R.
\]

With a similar argument as in Lemma 3.3 (a) we can also prove

\[
\left| \left( \frac{P_{[sn],N}(z)}{P_{[sn]+1,N}(z)} \right)' \right| \leq \frac{1}{\text{dist}(z, [-R, R])^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-R, R].
\]

Combining these two results we have, for \(|z| > R\),

\[
\left| \frac{P'_{[sn]+1}(z)}{P_{[sn]+1}(z)} - \frac{P'_{[sn]}(z)}{P_{[sn]}(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{P_{[sn]+1,N}(z)}{P_{[sn],N}(z)} \right| \left| \left( \frac{P_{[sn],N}(z)}{P_{[sn]+1,N}(z)} \right)' \right| \leq \frac{2|z|}{\text{dist}(z, [-R, R])^2}.
\]

So, we can apply Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem on (4.15), and by (4.14) we obtain

\[
\lim_{n/N \to t} \int \frac{1}{z-x} \, dv(P_{n,N})(x) = \int_0^1 \int \frac{1}{z-x} \, dv_{[0,a(s(t))]}(x) \, ds
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int \frac{1}{z-x} \, dv_{[0,a(s)]}(x) \, ds,
\]

for \(|z| > R\). By [16 Theorem 2], which is a gloss on the theorem of Grommer and Hamburger [3], p. 104-105, we then finally establish (2.3).

\[
\square
\]
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