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Abstract. Caldero and Keller, and Hubery have proved the cluster multiplication theorems for finite type and affine type. We generalize their results and prove the cluster multiplication theorem for arbitrary type using the 2-Calabi–Yau property and a property we call 'higher order associativity'.

Introduction

Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky in [9]. By definition, cluster algebras are commutative algebras generated by a set of variables called cluster variables. Let Q be a quiver. We denote by A(Q) the associated cluster algebra. If Q does not contain oriented cycles, we call Q an acyclic quiver. The cluster algebras associated to acyclic quivers are called acyclic cluster algebras. Their relations to quiver representations were first revealed in [20]. In [1], the authors found a general framework for the link of cluster algebras and quiver representations. They introduced the cluster categories as the categorification of acyclic cluster algebras. For an acyclic quiver Q, the associated cluster category C(Q) is the orbit category of the bounded derived category Db(modkQ) over a field k by the auto–equivalence F := [1]τ−1, where [1] is the translation functor and τ is the Auslander–Reiten translation. In general, one can define the cluster category of a hereditary category with Serre duality ν by taking τ = [−1]ν as shown in [17].

In [2], the authors introduced a certain structure of Hall algebra involving the cluster category C(Q) by associating to the objects in C(Q) some variables given by an explicit map X?, called the Caldero-Chapoton map. We denote by XM the variable (called the generalized cluster variable) associated to an object M in C(Q). In the case where M is a non-projective kQ-module, the authors gave the multiplication of XM and XτM as follows:

\( X_{1M}X_M = X_B + 1, \)

where B is the middle term of the almost split sequence involving M and τM.

If Q is a simply laced Dynkin quiver, Caldero and Keller [3] extended the above multiplication (0.1) to the multiplication of any two variables associated to two
objects in $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ as follows:

$$
\chi_c(\mathcal{P}\text{Ext}^1(M, N))X_MX_N = \sum_Y (\chi_c(\mathcal{P}\text{Ext}^1(M, N)_Y) + \chi_c(\mathcal{P}\text{Ext}^1(N, M)_Y))X_Y,
$$

where $\chi_c$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of étale cohomology with proper support, $M, N \in \mathcal{C}(Q)$ and $Y$ runs through the isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{C}(Q)$. This is called the cluster multiplication theorem for finite type.

The above cluster multiplication theorem was generalized to affine type in [14] by using Green’s theorem and the existence of Hall polynomials for affine quivers. A cluster multiplication theorem for indecomposable regular modules over the path algebra of an affine quiver was proved in [7]. In [21], the author gave the cluster multiplication theorem in the case when $\dim_k \text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 1$ and introduced the cluster character for an arbitrary 2-Calabi-Yau category with cluster-tilting objects.

The aim of this paper is to generalize the cluster multiplication theorems for finite and affine types to arbitrary type. Note that there is an alternative proof of the cluster multiplication theorem for arbitrary type in [31] by applying the projective version of Green’s theorem under the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$. Compared with [31], the present proof has the following differences. First, it is more direct and simpler. The present proof is independent of the projective version of Green’s formula and only involves the 2-Calabi-Yau property (in the guise of the Auslander-Reiten formula) and a property we call ‘higher order associativity’ and which is analogous to the associativity of the multiplication of a derived Hall algebra defined in [29]; see Section 3.2 for details. Second, it is more accessible. The present proof uses Euler characteristics of algebraic varieties instead of quasi-Euler characteristics of orbit spaces of algebraic varieties under the actions of algebraic groups in [31]. Third, it holds greater promise in view of a future generalization to hereditary categories more general than module categories of hereditary algebras.

The interaction between cluster algebras and the representation theory of a quiver naturally leads to the question whether there are cluster algebras associated to the cluster categories of the categories of coherent sheaves over weighted projective lines or elliptic curves. Also, it is meaningful to ask what is the corresponding cluster multiplication theorem. The intuitive idea is to extend the method in [31]. However, the proof in [31] heavily depends on Green’s theorem. Also, the proof of the projective version of Green’s formula in [31] is complicated. We need to look for a new approach not involving Green’s theorem.

From the combinatorial point of view, the higher order associativity in the present proof is analogous to the associativity of the multiplication in derived Hall algebras under combinatorial context. Hence, we can hope that the property of higher order associativity holds for categories of coherent sheaves over weighted projective lines or elliptic curves if we put the property into a suitable geometric context (see Remark 4.2). The situation is similar for the 2-Calabi-Yau property.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall the general theory involving the computation of Euler characteristics of algebraic varieties and the cluster category needed in this paper. In order to use the proposition in Section 1 to compute Euler characteristics, we need to construct some morphisms of varieties. Section 2 is devoted to this aim. In Section 3, we prove an equation called the higher order associativity. The cluster multiplication theorem for arbitrary type is stated and proved in the last section. As an application of the proof of the main theorem,
we induce the formula (0.1). Finally, we illustrate our theorem through an example which has been studied in detail in [5] and [33].

1. Preliminaries

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a finite acyclic quiver where $Q_0$ and $Q_1$ are the finite sets of vertices and arrows, respectively, and $s, t : Q_1 \to Q_0$ are maps such that any arrow $a$ starts at $s(a)$ and terminates at $t(a)$. A dimension vector $d$ for $Q$ is a function from $Q_0$ to $\mathbb{N}$. We write $d_i$ instead of $d(i)$ for any $i \in Q_0$. Let $\mathbb{C}Q$ be the path algebra of $Q$ over $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by mod$\mathbb{C}Q$ the category of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}Q$-modules.

1.1. Euler characteristics and the pushforward functor. For any dimension vector $d = (d_i)_{i \in Q_0}$, we consider the affine space over $\mathbb{C}$

$$E_d = E_d(Q) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^{d(s(\alpha))}, \mathbb{C}^{d(t(\alpha))}).$$

Any element $x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in Q_1}$ in $E_d$ defines a representation $M(x) = (\mathbb{C}^d, x)$ where $\mathbb{C}^d = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$. Naturally we can define the action of the algebraic group $G_d(Q) = \prod_{i \in Q_0} GL(\mathbb{C}^{d_i})$ on $E_d$ by $g.x = (g_t(\alpha) x_\alpha g_s(\alpha))_{\alpha \in Q_1}$.

Let $X$ be an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$. A constructible function $f : X \to \mathbb{Q}$ satisfies that $f(X)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $f^{-1}(c)$ is a constructible subset of $X$ for any $c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Write $M(X)$ for the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of constructible functions on $X$. Now, suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is a constructible subset of $E_d$. The function $1_\mathcal{O}$ is called a characteristic function if $1_{\mathcal{O}}(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \mathcal{O}$, and 0 otherwise. It is clear that $1_\mathcal{O}$ is the simplest constructible function and any constructible function is a linear combination of characteristic functions. We say $\mathcal{O}$ is $G_d$-invariant if $G_d \cdot \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$. In this case, $1_\mathcal{O}$ is called a $G_d$-invariant.

In the following, the constructible sets and functions will always be assumed $G_d$-invariant unless specifically mentioned.

Let $\chi$ denote the Euler characteristic in compactly-supported cohomology. Let $X$ be an algebraic variety and $\mathcal{O}$ a constructible subset of $X$ which is the disjoint union of finitely many locally closed subsets $X_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Define $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(X_i)$. We note that it is well-defined. We have the following properties of $\chi$.

**Proposition 1.1** ([23] and [16]). Let $X, Y$ be algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. Then

1. If the algebraic variety $X$ is the disjoint union of finitely many constructible sets $X_1, \ldots, X_r$, then

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi(X_i).$$

2. If $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism such that all fibers have the same Euler characteristic $\chi$, then $\chi(X) = \chi \cdot \chi(Y)$.

3. $\chi(\mathbb{C}^n) = 1$ and $\chi(\mathbb{P}^n) = n + 1$ for all $n \geq 0$.

We recall the pushforward functor from the category of algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ to the category of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces (see [19] and [16]). Let $\phi : X \to Y$ be a morphism of varieties. For $f \in M(X)$ and $y \in Y$, define

$$\phi_*(f)(y) = \sum_{c \neq 0} c \chi(f^{-1}(c) \cap \phi^{-1}(y)).$$
Theorem 1.2 ([6], [16]). Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$, $\phi : X \to Y$ and $\psi : Y \to Z$ be morphisms of varieties, and let $f \in M(X)$. Then $\phi_*(f)$ is constructible, $\phi_* : M(X) \to M(Y)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map and $(\psi \phi)_* = \psi_* \phi_*$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map from $M(X)$ to $M(Z)$.

Given a $\mathbb{C}_Q$-module $M$ and any dimension vector $e \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$, we denote by $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ the set of submodules $M_1 \subset M$ such that $\text{dim} M_1 = e$. It is a closed subvariety of the product of Grassmannians of subspaces $\prod_{i \in Q_0} \text{Gr}_{e_i}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i})$. Here, $\text{dim} M = d$.

Set $
\text{Gr}_e(\mathbb{E}_d) = \{(M, M_1) \mid M \in \mathbb{E}_d, M_1 \in \text{Gr}_e(M)\}.
$

Proposition 1.3. Let $d$ and $e$ be two dimension vectors. Then the function $f : \mathbb{E}_d \to \mathbb{Q}$ sending $M$ to $\chi(\text{Gr}_e(M))$ is a $G_d$-invariant constructible function.

Proof. Consider the natural projection $\phi : \text{Gr}_e(\mathbb{E}_d) \to \mathbb{E}_d$. The map $\phi$ is algebraic. By Theorem 1.2 we know that $\phi_* f_{\text{Gr}_e(\mathbb{E}_d)} = f$ is constructible. \hfill \qed

For fixed $d$, there are only finitely many choices of $e$ such that $\text{Gr}_e(\mathbb{E}_d)$ is non-empty. For $M \in \mathbb{E}_d$, we define [12, Section 1.2]

$\langle M \rangle := \{M' \in \mathbb{E}_d \mid \chi(\text{Gr}_e(M')) = \chi(\text{Gr}_e(M)) \text{ for any } e\}.$

Proposition [13] has the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. There exists a finite subset $S(d)$ of $\mathbb{E}_d$ such that

$\mathbb{E}_d = \bigsqcup_{M \in S(d)} \langle M \rangle.$

1.2. The cluster category. Given an acyclic quiver $Q$ and $i \in Q_0$, we denote by $S_i$ the simple $\mathbb{C}_Q$-module associated to $i$, by $P_i$ its projective cover and by $I_i$ its injective hull. Given a $\mathbb{C}_Q$-module $M$, we denote by $\text{dim} M$ its dimension vector. For any $i \in Q_0$, we will always denote by $s_i$ the $i$-th vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$. In particular, for any $i \in Q_0$ we have $\text{dim} S_i = s_i$. We denote by $\langle -, - \rangle$ the Euler form on the $\text{mod}\mathbb{C}_Q$-mod given by

$\langle M, N \rangle := (\text{dim} M, \text{dim} N) = \text{dim}_C \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_Q}(M, N) - \text{dim}_C \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}_Q}(M, N)$

for any $\mathbb{C}_Q$-modules $M$ and $N$. In the following, for any additive category $\mathcal{F}$, we denote by $\text{ind}(\mathcal{F})$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{F}$ formed by a system of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Q})$ be the bounded derived category of $\text{mod}\mathbb{C}_Q$ with the shift functor $[1]$ and the AR-translation $\tau$. The cluster category associated to $Q$ is the orbit category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(Q) := \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Q})/F$ with $F = [1] \tau^{-1}$. It is proved in [17] that $\mathcal{C}$ is a triangulated category with the canonical triangle functor $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathcal{C}$. As in [1] and [3], the category $\mathcal{C}$ is 2-Calabi-Yau, i.e., there is an almost canonical non-degenerate bifunctorial pairing

$\phi : \text{Ext}^1_C(M, N) \times \text{Ext}^1_C(N, M) \to \mathbb{C}.$

Here, the 2-Calabi-Yau property can be deduced from the Auslander-Reiten formula

$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}_Q}(X, Y) \cong D\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_Q}(Y, \tau X)$

for $X, Y \in \text{mod}\mathbb{C}_Q$. We can identify $\mathbb{C}_Q$-modules with their images in $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ by considering the embedding of $\text{mod}\mathbb{C}_Q$ into $\mathcal{C}(Q)$. Each object $M$ in $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ can be
uniquely decomposed into the form \( M = M_0 \oplus P_M[1] = M_0 \oplus \tau P_M \), where \( M_0 \in \text{mod} \mathbb{C}Q \) and \( P_M \) is projective in \( \text{mod} \mathbb{C}Q \).

The Caldero-Chapoton map of an acyclic quiver \( Q \) is the map

\[
X^Q_i : \text{obj} \mathcal{C}(Q) \to Q(x_1, \cdots, x_n)
\]
declared in [2] by the following rules:

1. If \( M \) is an indecomposable \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module, then
   \[
   X^Q_M = \sum_e \chi(\text{Gr}_e(M)) \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{-(e, s_i) - (s, \dim M - e)};
   \]
2. If \( M = P_i[1] \) is the shift of the projective module associated to \( i \in Q_0 \), then
   \[
   X^Q_M = x_i;
   \]
3. For any two objects \( M, N \) of \( \mathbb{C}Q \), we have
   \[
   X^Q_{M \oplus N} = X^Q_M X^Q_N.
   \]

Without risk of confusion, we can write \( X \) instead of \( X^Q \). Let \( R = (r_{ij}) \) be a matrix of size \( |Q_0| \times |Q_0| \) satisfying

\[
r_{ij} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ext}^1(S_i, S_j)
\]
for any \( i, j \in Q_0 \). We need the following lemma [14, Lemma 1] to rewrite the Caldero-Chapoton map.

**Lemma 1.5.** For any \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( M \) without projective summands, we have

\[
(\dim M)R + (\dim \tau M)R^{tr} = \dim M + \dim \tau M.
\]

For a projective \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( P \) and an injective module \( I \), we have

\[
(\dim P)R = \dim \text{rad} P, \quad (\dim I)R^{tr} = \dim \text{soc} I.
\]

Following this lemma, we rewrite the above map using the following rules:

1. \( X^P_P = x_1^{\dim P / \text{rad} P}, \quad X^P_{[-1]} = x_1^{\dim I} \)
   for any projective \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( P \) and any injective \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( I \);
2. \( X_M = \sum_e \chi(\text{Gr}_e(M)) x_e^{R + (\dim M - e)R^{tr} - \dim M} \)
   where \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module and \( R^{tr} \) is the transpose of the matrix \( R \);
3. \( X_{M \oplus P[1]} = X_M X_{P[1]} \)
   for any \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( M \) and projective \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( P \).

Here, for \( v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \), we set

\[
x^v = x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_n^{v_n}.
\]

The following proposition shows that the new rules allow one to deduce the third rule in the definition of the Caldero-Chapoton map. It is actually a degeneration form of the Green formula (see [8, Theorem 5.7]).

**Proposition 1.6 ([2 Proposition 3.6]).** For any \( M, N \in \text{mod} \mathbb{C}Q \), we have \( X_{M \oplus N} = X_M X_N \).
For any $M \in \text{mod } CQ$, we say that $P_0$ is the maximal projective direct summand of $M$ if $M \simeq M' \oplus P_0$ as the $CQ$-modules and $M'$ does not contain projective direct summands.

Let $\tilde{A}(Q)$ be the subalgebra of $Q(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ generated by
\[
\{X_M, X_P| M, P \in \text{ind (mod } CQ) \text{ and } P \text{ is projective}\}
\]
and $A(Q)$ the subalgebra of $\tilde{A}(Q)$ generated by
\[
\{X_M, X_P| M, P \in \text{ind (mod } CQ), \text{ P is projective and } \text{Ext}^1(M, M) = 0\}.
\]
The algebra $A(Q)$ is called the cluster algebra associated to $Q$. If $Q$ is of finite type, then $\tilde{A}(Q)$ is just the cluster algebra $A(Q)$ as shown in [4]. We note that the relation between $\tilde{A}(Q)$ and $A(Q)$ is generally different from the relation between the Ringel-Hall algebra and the composition algebra for $Q$. For example, $\tilde{A}(Q)$ is equal to $A(Q)$ when $Q$ is a Kronecker quiver (see Section [1.2]).

2. Morphisms of varieties induced by kernels, cokernels and the Auslander-Reiten translation

The cluster multiplication theorem for arbitrary type in Section 4 will be expressed in the context of $CQ$-modules. In the sequel, we will only consider the restriction of the Auslander-Reiten translation $\tau$ to mod$CQ$, rather than the cluster category. We use the same notation without risk of confusion. Hence, $\tau P = \tau^{-1}I = 0$ for any projective module $P$ and injective module $I$. In this section, we define constructible morphisms lifting the constructions of kernels, cokernels and the Auslander-Reiten translation. These morphisms guarantee that we can use Proposition [1.1].

2.1. Morphisms lifting kernels and cokernels. Let $(C^d, x)$ and $(C^{d'}, x')$ be two $CQ$-modules. In this subsection, for any $f \in \text{Hom}_{CQ}((C^d, x), (C^{d'}, x'))$, we will describe $\ker f$, $\text{Im} f$ and $\text{coker}f$ under geometric context. The main obstacle is that the underlying spaces for $\ker f$, $\text{Im} f$ and $\text{coker}f$ are not of the form $C^e$ for some dimension vector $e$. First, we deal with the case of vector spaces.

Let $C^d$ and $C^{d'}$ be two vector spaces of dimension $d$ and $d'$, respectively. Let $M_{d' \times d}$ be the set of all matrices of size $d' \times d$. Then $M_{d' \times d} = \text{Hom}(C^d, C^{d'})$ and $M_{d' \times d} = \bigcup_r M_{d' \times d}(r)$, where $M_{d' \times d}(r)$ consists of all matrices of rank $r$. For any $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{d' \times d}(r)$, let us denote the $r \times r$ submatrix of $A$ formed by the rows $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq d'$ and the columns $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq d$ by
\[
\Delta(i_1, \cdots, i_r; j_1, \cdots, j_r)(A).
\]
For every pair of multi-indices $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \cdots, d'\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \cdots, j_r\} \subseteq \{1, \cdots, d\}$, we define $M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J)$ to be the subset of $M_{d' \times d}(r)$ consisting of the matrices $A$ which satisfy $A \notin M_{d' \times d}(I', J')$ for any $I' < I$ or $I' = I, J' < J$ and $\det \Delta(i_1, \cdots, i_r; j_1, \cdots, j_r)(A) \neq 0$. Here $I' < I$ is the common lexicographic order. We have a finite stratification of $M_{d' \times d}(r)$, i.e.,
\[
M_{d' \times d}(r) = \bigsqcup_{(I,J)} M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J).
\]
In particular, if $d < d'$ and $r = d$, this gives a finite stratification of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_d(C^{d'})$ consisting of all $d$-dimensional subspaces of $C^{d'}$. Indeed, for any $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_d\} \subset \{1, \cdots, d'\}$ and $J = \{1, \cdots, d\}$, let $M_{d' \times d}^\bullet(I)$ be the subset of
$M_{d \times d}(d, I, J)$ consisting of the matrices $A$ satisfying the fact that $\Delta_{(I, J)}(A)$ are identity matrices. Then there is a finite stratification

$$\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^d) = \bigsqcup_I M_{d \times d}(I).$$

For any $A \in M_{d' \times d}(d, I, J)$, we substitute the identity matrix for the submatrix $\Delta_{(I, J)}(A)$ and then $A$ corresponds to a unique matrix $A' \in M_{d' \times d}(I)$. For every pair of multi-indices $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$, we will define the following morphism of varieties:

$$\Upsilon^1_{(r, I, J)} : M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J) \to M_{d \times (d-r)}(d-r),$$

$$\Upsilon^2_{(r, I, J)} : M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J) \to \text{Gr}_{d-r}(\mathbb{C}^d),$$

$$\Omega^1_{(r, I, J)} : M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J) \to M_{(d-r) \times d'}(d'-r),$$

and

$$\Omega^2_{(r, I, J)} : M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J) \to \text{Gr}_r(\mathbb{C}^{d'}).$$

Let $P_{ij}(k)$ be the elementary matrix of size $k \times k$ transposing the $i$-th row and the $j$-th row. Set $P_1(d') = P_{r,i_1}(d') \cdots P_{r,i_r}(d')$ and $P_2(d) = P_{r,j_1}(d) \cdots P_{r,j_r}(d)$. Then we have

$$P_1(d')AP_2(d) \in M_{d' \times d}(r, (1, \ldots, r)(1, \ldots, r))$$

for any matrix $A \in M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J)$. Consequently, the matrix $P_1(d')AP_2(d)$ has the form $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}$ with an invertible $r \times r$ matrix $A_1$ and $A_4 = A_3A_1^{-1}A_2 = A_2A_1^{-1}A_3$, the matrix $P_2(d) \begin{pmatrix} -A_1^{-1}A_2 \\ I_{d-r} \end{pmatrix}$ determines the solution space $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid Ax = 0\}$, and the matrix $(-A_3A_1^{-1}, I_{d'-r})P_1(d')$ determines the solution space $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid xA = 0\}$. We define

$$\Upsilon^1_{(r, I, J)}(A) = P_2(d) \begin{pmatrix} -A_1^{-1}A_2 \\ I_{d-r} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Omega^1_{(r, I, J)}(A) = (-A_3A_1^{-1}, I_{d'-r})P_1(d').$$

Assume that $P_2(d) \begin{pmatrix} -A_1^{-1}A_2 \\ I_{d-r} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{d \times (d-r)}(d-r, I', J')$ for some $I' \subset \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $J' = (1, \ldots, d-r)$. Then we define $\Upsilon^2_{(r, I, J)}(A)$ to be the unique matrix in $M_{d \times (d-r)}(I')$ with which the matrix $P_2(d) \begin{pmatrix} -A_1^{-1}A_2 \\ I_{d-r} \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds. Similarly, we define $\Omega^2_{(r, I, J)}(A)$ to be the unique matrix in $M_{d' \times r}(I)$ with which the submatrix $\Delta_{(1, \ldots, d', j_1, \ldots, j_r)}(A)$ of $A$ corresponds. Hence, for any $A \in M_{d' \times d}(r, I, J)$, we have a long exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}$-spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & \mathbb{C}^{d-r} \\
\Upsilon^1_{(r, I, J)}(A) & \to & \mathbb{C}^{d} \\
\Omega^1_{(r, I, J)}(A) & \to & \mathbb{C}^{d'} \\
\Omega^2_{(r, I, J)}(A) & \to & \mathbb{C}^{d'-r} \\
0 & \to & 0
\end{array}.$$
As discussed in the case of a matrix, for any \( i \in Q_0 \) and \( \alpha \in Q_1 \). There is a finite stratification of \( M_{d'_i \times d_i} \) for any \( i \in Q_0 \) as follows:

\[
M_{d'_i \times d_i} = \bigsqcup_{r_i, I_i, J_i} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(r_i, I_i, J_i).
\]

Then \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}((\mathbb{C}^d, x), (\mathbb{C}^{d'}, x')) \) is a closed subset of

\[
\prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i} = \bigsqcup_{(r_i, I_i, J_i)) \in Q_0} \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(r_i, I_i, J_i).
\]

This induces a finite stratification of \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}((\mathbb{C}^d, x), (\mathbb{C}^{d'}, x')) \).

For any \( i \in Q_0 \), we fix a pair of multi-indices \( I_i = (k_{i_1}, \cdots, k_{i_r}) \) and \( J_i = (l_{i_1}, \cdots, l_{i_r}) \). We have the following morphism of varieties:

\[
\gamma^1_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))} : \gamma^1_{(r_i, I_i, J_i)} : \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(r_i, I_i, J_i) \to \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(d_i - r_i) \]

\[
\gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))} : \gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i)} : \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(r_i, I_i, J_i) \to \prod_{i \in Q_0} \text{Gr}_{d_i - r_i}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}),
\]

\[
\Omega^1_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))} : \Omega^1_{(r_i, I_i, J_i)} : \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(r_i, I_i, J_i) \to \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(d_i' - r_i)
\]

and

\[
\Omega^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))} : \Omega^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i)} : \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(r_i, I_i, J_i) \to \prod_{i \in Q_0} \text{Gr}_{r_i}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}).
\]

Without loss of generality, we can assume the above \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module homomorphism \( f \in \prod_{i \in Q_0} M_{d'_i \times d_i}(r_i, I_i, J_i) \). Then we have \( \gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))}(f) \in \text{Gr}_{d_i - r_i}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i}) \) with \( r = (r_i)_{i \in Q_0} \). Indeed, since

\[
f(t_{(a)})x_{(a)}(\gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))}(f_{(a)})) = x'_{(a)}f_{s(\alpha)}(\gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))}(f_{(a)})) = 0,
\]

then \( x_{(a)}(\gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))}(f_{(a)})) \in \gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))}(f_{(a)}) \). In fact,

\[
\gamma^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))}(f) = \ker f.
\]

As discussed in the case of a matrix, for any \( i \in Q_0 \), we assume \( P_{J_i}(d'_i)f_iP_{J_i}(d_i) \) has the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1(f_i) & A_2(f_i) \\
A_3(f_i) & A_4(f_i)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with an invertible \( r_i \times r_i \) matrix \( A_1(f_i) \) and \( A_4(f_i) = A_3(f_i)(A_1(f_i))^{-1}A_2(f_i) = A_2(f_i)(A_1(f_i))^{-1}A_3(f_i) \). Then we have a \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \((\mathbb{C}^{d-r}, y)\) isomorphic to \( \ker f \) such that

\[
y_{(a)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{d_{s(\alpha)} - r_{s(\alpha)}} \end{pmatrix} P_{J_i}(d'_i)x_{(a)}P_{J_i}(d_i) \begin{pmatrix} -A_1(f_{s(\alpha)})^{-1}A_2(f_{s(\alpha)}) \\ I_{d_{s(\alpha)} - r_{s(\alpha)}} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now we can write the following left exact sequence of \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules:

\[
0 \to (\mathbb{C}^{d-r}, y) \xrightarrow{\gamma^1_{(r_i, I_i, J_i))}(f)} (\mathbb{C}^d, x) \xrightarrow{f} (\mathbb{C}^{d'}, x').
\]

By similar discussion, we obtain

\[
\Omega^2_{(r_i, I_i, J_i)}(f) = \text{Im} f.
\]
Theorem, we will describe the Auslander-Reiten translation
Morphisms lifting the Auslander-Reiten translation.

2.2. Marev. We denote by $C_a$ and $a$ mod $Q$,
where $\mathbf{Hom}_Q((C^d, x), (C^d', x')) \to \prod_e \text{Gr}_{e'}((C^d, x))$,
$\mathbf{Surj}((C^{d'}, x'), E_f(Q))$,
$\mathbf{Hom}_Q((C^d, x), (C^d', x')) \to \prod_{e'f} \text{Gr}_{e'}((C^d, x'), x')$,

where $\text{Inj}(E_e(Q), (C^d, x))$ is the set
$\{(y, y') | g: (C^d, y) \to (C^d, x) \text{ is an injective } CQ\text{-homomorphism}\}$
and $\text{Surj}((C^d', x'), E_f(Q))$ is the set
$\{((y, y'), y') | g': (C^d', x') \to (C^f, y') \text{ is a surjective } CQ\text{-homomorphism}\}$
satisfying the fact that for any $f \in \text{Hom}_Q((C^d, x), (C^d', x'))$, there exists a long
exact sequence of $CQ$-modules

$$0 \to (C^e, y) \xrightarrow{\tau^1(\rho)} (C^d, x) \xrightarrow{f} (C^d', x') \xrightarrow{\rho^1(\delta)} (C^f, y') \to 0.$$  

By the proof of Proposition 2.1 we also have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. For any $CQ$-modules $(C^d, x)$ and dimension vector $e$, there are the following maps whose restrictions to the strata are morphisms of varieties:

$$\mathbf{Y}_0 : \text{Gr}_{e}((C^d, x)) \to E_{e}(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{\Omega}_0 : \text{Gr}_{e}((C^d, x)) \to E_{d-e}(Q)$$
such that for any $M \in \text{Gr}_{e}((C^d, x))$, as the $CQ$-modules, $\mathbf{Y}_0(M) \cong M$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}_0(M) \cong (C^d, x)/M$.

2.2. Morphisms lifting the Auslander-Reiten translation. In this subsection, we will describe the Auslander-Reiten translation $\tau$ under geometric context. Let $\Phi^+, \Phi^-$ be the Coxeter functors introduced by Bernstein, Gelfand and Ponomarev. We denote by $T$ the endofunctor of $\text{mod} CQ$ sending $(C^d, x)$ to $(C^d, -x)$. Then the functor $T\Phi^+$ on $\text{mod} CQ$ is just the Auslander-Reiten translation $\tau$ on $\text{mod} CQ$ (see [10, Proposition 5.3]).

Given any $CQ$-module $(C^d, x) \in E_d$, the representation

$$\Phi^+(C^d, x) := (C^e(y, y')$$
can be constructed inductively as described in [20]. Let us recall it. Since $Q$ is acyclic, one can define a partial order on $Q_0$ such that for any arrow $\beta, s(\beta) > t(\beta)$. Assume that the dimension $e_j$ with $j < i$, the linear maps $h_\beta : C^{e_i(\beta)} \to C^{d(i)}$ for
all \( \beta \in Q_1 \) with \( s(\beta) \leq i \) and the maps \( y_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^{e_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{e_\alpha} \) for all \( \alpha \in Q_1 \) with \( s(\alpha) < i \) are defined. Then we have the sequence
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \mathbb{C}_e i & \mathcal{Y}^i((x_\alpha, h_\beta)_{\alpha, \beta}) & \bigoplus_{i(\alpha)=i} \mathbb{C}^{d_{i(\alpha)}} \oplus \bigoplus_{s(\beta)=i} \mathbb{C}^{e_\beta(i)} & \bigoplus_{s(\beta)=i} \mathbb{C}^{e_{k(i)}} & \mathbb{C}^{d_i},
\end{array}
\]
where \( e_i \) is the dimension of the kernel of the map \((x_\alpha, h_\beta)_{\alpha, \beta}\) and \( \mathcal{Y}^i \) is defined as in Section 2.1. Now we define the map \( \Phi \) to be the composition
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbb{C}_e i & \mathcal{Y}^i((x_\alpha, h_\beta)_{\alpha, \beta}) & \bigoplus_{i(\alpha)=i} \mathbb{C}^{d_{i(\alpha)}} \oplus \bigoplus_{s(\beta)=i} \mathbb{C}^{e_\beta(i)} & \bigoplus_{s(\beta)=i} \mathbb{C}^{e_{k(i)}},
\end{array}
\]
Dually, we denote by \( \Phi^\dagger \) the isomorphism of \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules. Let \( (-) \) and \( P_0(d, x) \) be its maximal non-projective summand and the maximal projective summand, respectively, i.e., \( \mathbb{C}^{d(d), x^+} = \mathbb{C}^{d(d), x^+} \oplus P_0(d, x) \) satisfying the fact that \( \mathbb{C}^{d(d), x^+} \) contains no projective summands and \( P_0 \) is projective. In fact, we have the isomorphism of \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules
\[
\tau^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x}) \cong (\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x^+}, x^+).
\]
We can explicitly write the submodule \( (V, x) := \tau^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x}) \) of \( (\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x}) \). The space \( V \) is just the image of \( (x_\alpha, h_\beta)_{\alpha, \beta} \) for any \( i \in Q_0 \) in the sequence (2.1).

Dually, we denote by \( (\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x^-}) \) and \( I_0(d, x) \) the maximal non-injective summand and the maximal injective summand of \( (\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x}) \), respectively. Then
\[
\tau^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x}) \cong (\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x^-}, x^-).
\]
The above construction and its duality yield the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.3.** For any dimension vector \( d \), there exists a map
\[
\phi^+: E_d \rightarrow \prod_{\tau(d^+)} E_{\tau(d^+)}
\]
such that restrictions of \( \phi^+ \) to the strata are morphisms of varieties and \( \phi^+((\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x})) = \tau((\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x})).
\]
Dually, we have

**Proposition 2.4.** For any dimension vector \( d \), there exists a map
\[
\phi^-: E_d \rightarrow \prod_{\tau^{-1}(d^-)} E_{\tau^{-1}(d^-)}
\]
such that restrictions of \( \phi^- \) to the strata are morphisms of varieties and \( \phi^-((\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x})) = \tau^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x}).
\]
Let \( f = (f_i)_{i \in Q_0} : (\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{d'(d'), x'}) \) be any morphism of \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules. Let \( \Phi^+((\mathbb{C}^{d(d), x})) = (\mathbb{C}^{e(d), y}) \) and \( \Phi^+((\mathbb{C}^{d'(d'), x'})) = (\mathbb{C}^{e(d'), y'}). \) Then we can inductively construct the maps \( g = (g_i)_{i \in Q_0} : (\mathbb{C}^{e(d), y}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{e(d'), y'}) \) by the following commutative
The commutativity of the diagram guarantees that \( g = (g_i) \) is the morphism of \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules. Hence, by using Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.2, and Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.5.** For any dimension vectors \( d \), there exists a map
\[
\text{Gr}_{\pi}((\mathbb{C}^d, x)) \xrightarrow{g_{\tau}} \text{Gr}_{\pi}((\mathbb{C}^{\tau(d)}, \tau x))
\]
whose restrictions of the strata are morphisms of varieties.

### 3. The Higher Order Associativity

In [29], Toën associated an associative algebra (called the derived Hall algebra) to a \( d \)-category over a finite field \( k \). In particular, we have the derived Hall algebra \( DH(Q) \) for the derived category \( D^b(\text{mod}kQ) \). Let \( u_X \) denote the isomorphism class of \( X \in D^b(\text{mod}kQ) \). The algebra \( DH(Q) \) is an associative algebra whose underlying \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space has a basis given by the \( u_X \), where \( X \) runs through the isomorphism classes of objects of the bounded derived category of finite-dimensional \( kQ \)-modules. The associative multiplication contains a non-trivial case as follows. For any \( L_1, L_2 \in \text{mod} kQ \), we have
\[
u_{L_2} \ast u_{L_1[1]} = \sum_{[\theta], \theta: L_1 \to L_2} g_{L_1[1]L_2}^{K[1] \oplus C} u_{K[1] \oplus C},
\]
where \( g_{L_1[1]L_2}^{K[1] \oplus C} \in \mathbb{Q} \) is called the derived Hall number and \( K = \ker \theta, C = \text{coker} \theta \) and \( [\theta] \) is the equivalence class of \( \theta \). Here \( \theta_1 \) is equivalent to \( \theta_2 \) if there exist automorphisms \( a_{L_1} \) and \( a_{L_2} \) such that \( \theta_1 a_{L_1} = a_{L_2} \theta_2 \). The above equation involves the following exact sequence:
\[
0 \to K \to L_1 \xrightarrow{\theta} L_2 \to C \to 0.
\]

By the associativity of the multiplication of \( DH(Q) \), we have
\[
(u_{K_1[1]} \ast u_{L_2}) \ast u_{L_1[1]} = u_{K_1[1]} \ast (u_{L_2} \ast u_{L_1[1]})
\]
for any \( kQ \)-modules \( K_1, L_1, L_2 \) and
\[
(u_{L_2} \ast u_{L_1[1]}) \ast u_{C_2} = u_{L_2} \ast (u_{L_1[1]} \ast u_{C_2})
\]
for any $kQ$-modules $C_2, L_1, L_2$. These two equations can be illustrated by the following commutative diagrams:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_1 & \to & K_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & K & \to & L & \to & L_2 & \to & C & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & K_2 & \to & L_1 & \to & L_2 & \to & C & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & K & \to & L_1 & \to & L_2 & \to & C_1 & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & K & \to & L_1 & \to & L & \to & C & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C_2 & \to & C_2 \\
\end{array}
$$

We note that the long exact sequences in the above diagrams can be decomposed into short exact sequences so that the above two equations can be induced by using the associativity of the multiplication of the Ringel-Hall algebra twice. In this section, we will prove an identity analogous to the above associativity of the multiplication of the derived Hall algebra in the context of Euler characteristics. The identity is called the higher order associativity.

3.1. The description of $\text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M, N)$. Let $M \in \mathbb{E}_{d_1}, N \in \mathbb{E}_{d_2}$ and $m(M, N)$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ of all tuples $m = (m(\alpha))_{\alpha \in Q_1}$, such that linear maps $m(\alpha)$ belong to $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^{(\alpha)}, N^{(\alpha)})$ for all $\alpha \in Q_1$. We define a linear map $\pi : m(M, N) \to \text{Ext}^1(M, N)$ by mapping $m \in m(M, N)$ to a short exact sequence

$$
\varepsilon : \quad 0 \to N \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} L(m) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} M \to 0,
$$

where, as a vector space, $L(m)$ is the direct sum of $N$ and $M$. For any $\alpha \in Q_1$, we put

$$
L(m)_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{\alpha} & m(\alpha) \\ 0 & M_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We fix a vector space decomposition $m(M, N) = \ker \pi \oplus E(M, N)$. Thus we can identify $\text{Ext}^1(M, N)$ with $E(M, N)$. There is a natural $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $E(M, N)$ by defining $t.m = (tm(\alpha))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. This action induces the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\text{Ext}^1(M, N)$. Considering the isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}Q$-modules between $L(m)$ and $L(t.m)$, we know that $t.\varepsilon$ is the following short exact sequence:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & N & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & L(m) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & M & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$
for any \( t \in \mathbb{C}^* \). Let \( \text{Ext}^1(M,N)_L \) be the subset of \( \text{Ext}^1(M,N) \) with the middle term isomorphic to \( L \). Then \( \text{Ext}^1(M,N)_L \) can be viewed as a constructible subset of \( \text{Ext}^1(M,N) \) by identifying \( \text{Ext}^1(M,N) \) and \( E(M,N) \). Define

\[
\text{Ext}^1(M,N)_\mathcal{O} = \{ [0 \to N \to L \to M \to 0] \in \text{Ext}^1(M,N) \setminus \{0\} \mid L \in \mathcal{O} \},
\]

where the set \( \mathcal{O} \) is a \( G_{d_1+d_2} \)-invariant constructible subset of \( \mathbb{E}_{d_1+d_2} \) (see [32] or [12]). It can be identified with

\[
E(M,N)_\mathcal{O} = \{ m \in E(M,N) \mid L(m) \in \mathcal{O} \},
\]

which is constructible and \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-invariant; see [12]. Hence, \( \text{Ext}^1(M,N)_\mathcal{O} \) can be viewed as a \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-invariant constructible subset of \( \text{Ext}^1(M,N) \setminus \{0\} \).

### 3.2. The higher order associativity.

Let \( M,N \in \text{mod}\mathbb{C} Q \) and \( \tau \) be the Auslander-Reiten translation on \( \text{mod}\mathbb{C} Q \). We assume that \( M \) contains no projective summands. Note that for any \( X \in \text{mod}\mathbb{C} Q \), there is a decomposition of \( \mathbb{C} Q \)-modules

\[
X \cong \tau(\tau^{-1}X) \oplus X/\tau^{-1}X
\]

with \( X/\tau(\tau^{-1}X) \) isomorphic to an injective \( \mathbb{C} Q \)-module. For dimension vectors \( d_1,d_2 \) and \( e_1,e_2 \), we consider the sets

\[
\text{DEF}^{d_1,d_2}(N,\tau M) = \{ (g,V_1,U_1) \mid g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C} Q}(N,\tau M), \text{dim} \ker g = d_1, \text{dim} \tau^{-1}(\text{coker} g) = d_2, V_1 \in \text{Gr}_{e_1}(Y_0 Y^2(g)), U_1 \in \text{Gr}_{e_2}(\phi^{-}\Omega_0 \Omega^2(g)) \}
\]

and

\[
\text{DFF}^{e_1,e_2}(N,\tau M) = \{ (N_1,M_1,g') \mid N_1 \in \text{Gr}_{e_1}(N), M_1 \in \text{Gr}_{e_2}(M), g' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C} Q}(N/N_1,\tau M_1) \}.
\]

Here, \( Y_0 Y^2(g) \in \mathbb{E}_{d_1}, \phi^{-}\Omega_0 \Omega^2(g) \in \mathbb{E}_{d_2} \) and \( \phi^{-}\Omega_0 \Omega^2(g) \equiv \ker g, \phi^{-}\Omega_0 \Omega^2(g) \equiv \tau^{-1}(\text{coker} g) \). We set \( U = \phi^{-}\Omega_0 \Omega^2(g) \) and \( V = Y_0 Y^2(g) \). By the discussion in Section 2.1, there are finite stratifications of \( \text{DEF}^{d_1,d_2}(N,\tau M) \) and \( \text{DFF}^{e_1,e_2}(N,\tau M) \).

**Remark 3.1.** Let’s explain the notation for these sets. The letter “D” means “derived”. The letters “E” and “F” stand for extension and flag, respectively. Let \( M \) and \( N \) be two indecomposable \( \mathbb{C} Q \)-modules. Then (for example, see [1] or [13])

\[
\text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C} Q}(N,M) \cong \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C} Q}(N,M) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C} Q}(N,\tau M),
\]

and if \( \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C} Q}(N,M) = 0 \), then any \( g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C} Q}(N,\tau M) \) induces an extension of \( M \) by \( N \) in the cluster category \( \mathbb{C} Q \) as follows:

\[
M \to \ker g \oplus \text{coker} g[-1] \to N \xrightarrow{\Delta} \tau M.
\]

Recall that each principal \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-bundle is locally trivial in the Zariski topology. Let \( \pi : P \to Q \) be such a bundle. Then \( (\pi,Q) \) is a geometric quotient for the free action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) on \( P \) (see [28] and [12]). In the following, we will write \( \mathbb{P} x \) for the \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-orbit of \( x \) if \( x \) belongs to a principal \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-bundle.

Let \( \text{Hom}(N,\tau M)(d_1,d_2) \) be the subset of \( \text{Hom}(N,\tau M) \) consisting of the morphism \( g \) with \( \text{dim} \ker g = d_1, \text{dim} \tau^{-1}(\text{coker} g) = d_2 \). By Corollary [1.4], we have finite subsets \( S(d_1) \) and \( S(d_2) \) of \( \mathbb{E}_{d_1} \) and \( \mathbb{E}_{d_2} \), respectively, such that

\[
\mathbb{E}_{d_1} = \bigcup_{V \in S(d_1)} \langle V \rangle, \quad \mathbb{E}_{d_2} = \bigcup_{U \in S(d_2)} \langle U \rangle.
\]
This yields a finite partition
\[
\text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2) = \bigsqcup_{V \in S(d_1), U \in S(d_2), I} \text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(V) \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1],
\]
where \( \text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(V) \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1] \) is
\[
\{ g \in \text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2) \mid \Upsilon_0 \Omega^2(g) \in \langle V \rangle, \Omega_0 \Omega^2(g) = \tau U' \oplus I, \\
\quad \text{for some } U' \in \langle U \rangle, I \text{ is an injective } CQ\text{-module} \}.
\]
Note that \( \Omega_0 \Omega^2(g) \cong \text{coker} g \).

There is a natural \( C^* \)-action on
\[
\text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2)^* = \text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2) \setminus \{0\}
\]
with a principal \( C^* \)-bundle
\[
\text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2)^* \rightarrow \text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2).
\]
Thus by considering the trivial action of \( C^* \) on \( \text{Gr}_{e_1, e_2}(d_1, d_2) := \text{Gr}_{e_1}(E_{d_1}) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2}(E_{d_2}) \), we obtain a new principal \( C^* \)-bundle (similar to [12, Section 5.4]):
\[
\pi : \text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2)^* \times \text{Gr}_{e_1, e_2}(d_1, d_2) \\
\rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2)^* \times C^* \text{Gr}_{e_1, e_2}(d_1, d_2).
\]
We note that the action of \( C^* \) on \( \text{Hom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2)^* \times \text{Gr}_{e_1, e_2}(d_1, d_2) \) is free. The set \( \text{DEF}_{e_1, e_2}^d(N, \tau M) \) is its \( C^* \)-stable constructible subset. This implies that
\[
\text{PDEF}_{e_1, e_2}^d(N, \tau M) := \pi(\text{DEF}_{e_1, e_2}^d(N, \tau M))
\]
is again a principal \( C^* \)-bundle and \( (\pi, \text{PDEF}_{e_1, e_2}^d(N, \tau M)) \) is a geometric quotient for the action of \( C^* \) on \( \text{DEF}_{e_1, e_2}^d(N, \tau M) \) (similar to [12, Section 5.4]). We have a natural projection:
\[
p : \text{PDEF}_{e_1, e_2}^d(N, \tau M) \rightarrow \text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2).
\]
There is a finite partition
\[
\text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2) = \bigsqcup_{V \in S(d_1), U \in S(d_2), I} \text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(V) \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1],
\]
where \( \text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(V) \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1] \) is the set
\[
\{ P g \in \text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2) \mid \Upsilon_0 \Omega^2(g) \in \langle V \rangle, \Omega_0 \Omega^2(g) = \tau U' \oplus I, \\
\quad \text{for some } U' \in \langle U \rangle, I \text{ is an injective } CQ\text{-module} \}.
\]
For any \( P g \in \text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2)(V) \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1] \), the Euler characteristic of the fibre \( p^{-1}(P g) \in \text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(d_1, d_2) \) is \( \chi(\text{Gr}_{e_1}(V)) \cdot \chi(\text{Gr}_{e_2}(U)) \). By Proposition [1.1] we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** For fixed dimension vector \( d \), we have
\[
\sum_{e_1 + e_2 + \dim M - d_2 = d} \chi(\text{PDEF}_{e_1, e_2}^d(N, \tau M))
= \sum_{d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2, U, V, I; \\
e_1 + e_2 + \dim M - d_2 = d, \\
U \in S(d_2), V \in S(d_1)} \chi(\text{PHom}(N, \tau M)(V) \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1]) \chi(\text{Gr}_{e_1}(V)) \chi(\text{Gr}_{e_2}(U)).
\]
There is also a free action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\text{DFE}_{e_1, e_2}(N, \tau M)$ defined by
\[ t.(N_1, M_1, g) = (N_1, M_1, t.g) \]
for any $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $(N_1, M_1, g) \in \text{DFE}_{e_1, e_2}(N, \tau M)$. The orbit space is denoted by $\text{PDE}_{e_1, e_2}(N, \tau M)$.

Consider a natural projection $q : \text{PDE}_{e_1, e_2}(N, \tau M) \to \text{Gr}_{e_1}(N) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2}(M)$. Define $q$ to be
\[ q((N_1, M_1)) = \{(N_1', M_1') \in \text{Gr}_{e_1}(N) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2}(M) \mid \chi(\text{PHom}(N/N_1', \tau M_1')) = \chi(\text{PHom}(N/N_1, \tau M_1)) \}. \]

We note that the notation is different from the Euler form $\langle N_1, M_1 \rangle$ of $N_1$ and $M_1$. Since $q_* (\text{PDE}_{e_1, e_2}(N, \tau M))$ is a constructible function on $\text{Gr}_{e_1}(N) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2}(M)$ by Theorem 1.2, $\langle (N_1, M_1) \rangle$ is a constructible subset and there exists a finite subset $R(e_1, e_2)$ of $\text{Gr}_{e_1}(N) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2}(M)$ such that
\[ \text{Gr}_{e_1}(N) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2}(M) = \bigcup_{(N_1, M_1) \in R(e_1, e_2)} \langle (N_1, M_1) \rangle. \]

Hence, by Proposition 1.1, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** For fixed dimension vector $d$, we have
\[
\sum_{e_1, e_2 : e_1 + e_2 = d} \chi(\text{PDE}_{e_1, e_2}(N, \tau M)) = \sum_{e_1, e_2 : e_1 + e_2 = d} \sum_{(N_1, M_1) \in R(e_1, e_2)} \chi(\langle (N_1, M_1) \rangle) \chi(\text{PHom}(N/N_1, \tau M_1)).
\]

Now, we can compare $\text{DEP}^{d_1, d_2}(N, \tau M)$ with $\text{DFE}_{e_1, e_2}(N, \tau M)$. Let $(g, V_1, U_1) \in \text{DEP}^{d_1, d_2}(N, \tau M)$. Then we have a long exact sequence
\[ 0 \to V \to N \xrightarrow{g} \tau M \to \tau U \oplus I \to 0, \]
where $V = \Upsilon_0 \Omega^2(g)$ and $U = \phi^{-1} \Omega_0 \Omega^2(g)$. By definition, $\Upsilon_0^{-1}(V_1) \in \text{Gr}_{e_1}(N)$. By Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 we have a morphism of varieties $\text{Gr}_{e_1}(N) \to E_{\dim N - e_1}$ sending any submodule $N_1$ to a $CQ$-module isomorphic to the quotient module $N/N_1$. Let $U^*$ be the pullback of the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & \Omega^2(g) & \to & U^* & \to & U_1 & \to & 0 \\
0 & \to & \Omega^2(g) & \to & M & \to & U & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Note that $\Omega^2(g) = \text{Img}$. Then $U^* \in \text{Gr}_{\dim M - \dim U + \dim U_1}(M)$, and we have the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & V & \to & N & \xrightarrow{g} & \tau M & \to & \tau U \oplus I & \to & 0 \\
0 & \to & V/V_1 & \to & N/\Upsilon_0^{-1}(V_1) & \xrightarrow{g'} & \tau U^* & \to & \tau U_1 \oplus I & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
Hence, for fixed dimension vector \( \mathbf{d} \), by Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.5 we have a map whose restrictions to the strata are morphisms of varieties:

\[
\Gamma : \bigcup_{d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2; e_1 + e_2 + \dim M - d_2 = d} \text{DEF}_{e_1, e_2}^{d_1, d_2}(N, \tau M) \to \bigcup_{e_1', e_2'; e_1' + e_2' = d} \text{DEF}_{e_1', e_2'}^{d_1', d_2'}(N, \tau M)
\]

mapping \((g, V_1, U_1)\) to \((V_1, U^*, g')\). Conversely, we have an inverse map whose restrictions to the strata are morphisms of varieties:

\[
\Gamma' : \bigcup_{e_1', e_2'} \text{DEF}_{e_1', e_2'}^{d_1', d_2'}(N, \tau M) \to \bigcup_{d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2; e_1 + e_2 + \dim M - d_2 = d} \text{DEF}_{e_1, e_2}^{d_1, d_2}(N, \tau M).
\]

The action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) induces a bijection whose restrictions to the strata are morphisms of varieties:

\[
\mathbb{P}\Gamma : \bigcup_{e_1', e_2'; e_1' + e_2' = d} \text{PDDEF}_{e_1', e_2'}^{d_1', d_2'}(N, \tau M) \to \bigcup_{d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2; e_1 + e_2 + \dim M - d_2 = d} \text{PDDEF}_{e_1, e_2}^{d_1, d_2}(N, \tau M).
\]

By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 the above bijection yields the following proposition referred to as the higher order associativity.

**Proposition 3.4.** For fixed dimension vector \( \mathbf{d} \), we have

\[
\sum_{d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2; e_1 + e_2 + \dim M - d_2 = d, U \in S(d_2), V \in S(d_1)} \chi(\text{PHom}(N, \tau M)_{(V) \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1]}) \chi(\text{Gr}_e(V)) \chi(\text{Gr}_e(U))
\]

\[
= \sum_{e_1', e_2'; e_1' + e_2' = d} \chi((N_1, M_1)) \chi(\text{PHom}(N/N_1, \tau M_1)).
\]

4. **Main theorem and proof**

4.1. **The main theorem.** We introduce some notation. For any \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( M \) and projective \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module \( P \), let \( I = \text{DHom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(P, CQ) \). By Corollary 1.4, we have the following finite partitions:

\[
\text{Hom}(M, I) = \bigcup_{I', V \in S(d_1(I'))} \text{Hom}(M, I)_{(V) \oplus I[-1]},
\]

\[
\text{Hom}(P, M) = \bigcup_{P', U \in S(d_2(P'))} \text{Hom}(P, M)_{P'[-1] \oplus (U)},
\]

where \( d_1(I') = \text{dim} I + \text{dim} I' - \text{dim} M \), \( d_2(P') = \text{dim} P + \text{dim} P' - \text{dim} M \). Let

\[
\mathbb{E}_{d_1} = \bigcup_{V \in S(d_1)} \{ V \}, \quad \mathbb{E}_{d_2} = \bigcup_{U \in S(d_2)} \{ U \}, \quad \text{Hom}(M, I)_{(V) \oplus I[-1]} = \{ f \in \text{Hom}(P, M) \mid \mathbb{Y}_0 \mathbb{T}^2(f) = V, \mathbb{Y}_0 \mathbb{O}^2(f) = I' \text{ for some } V' \in \langle V \rangle \}, \quad \text{Hom}(P, M)_{P'[-1] \oplus (U)} = \{ g \in \text{Hom}(P, M) \mid \mathbb{Y}_0 \mathbb{T}^2(g) = P', \mathbb{Y}_0 \mathbb{O}^2(g) = U' \text{ for some } U' \in \langle U \rangle \}.
\]

Note that \( \mathbb{Y}_0 \mathbb{T}^2(f) \cong \ker f \) and \( \mathbb{Y}_0 \mathbb{O}^2(f) \cong \text{coker} f \).

The following theorem generalizes the cluster multiplication theorem for finite type in [3] and affine type in [14] and is referred to as the cluster multiplication theorem for arbitrary type. It was proved in [31] using different techniques.
Theorem 4.1. Let $Q$ be an acyclic quiver. Then

(1) for any $\mathbb{C}Q$-modules $M, N$ such that $M$ contains no projective summand, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M, N)X_MX_N = \sum_{I \in S(e)} \chi(\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M, N)(L))X_L$$

$$+ \sum_{I, d_1, d_2} \sum_{V \in S(d_1), U \in S(d_2)} \chi(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(N, \tau M)(V \oplus (U \oplus I[-1])))X_{V \oplus U \oplus I[-1]}$$

where $e = \dim M + \dim N$ and

(2) for any $\mathbb{C}Q$-module $M$ and projective $\mathbb{C}Q$-module $P$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(P, M)X_MX_{P[1]} = \sum_{I', V \in S(d_1(I'))} \chi(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M, I)(V \oplus I[-1]))X_{V \oplus I[-1]}$$

$$+ \sum_{I', U \in S(d_2(I'))} \chi(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(P, M)(P'[1] \oplus (U)))X_{P'[1] \oplus U}$$

where $I = \text{DHom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(P, Q)$, $I'$ is injective, and $P'$ is projective.

Proof. We set

$$\Sigma_2 := \sum_{I, d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2, V \in S(d_1), U \in S(d_2)} \chi(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(N, \tau M)(V \oplus (U \oplus I[-1])))X_U X_V x^{\dim soc I}$$

By the definition of $X_M$, the sum $\Sigma_2$ is equal to

$$\sum_{I, d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2, V \in S(d_1), U \in S(d_2)} \chi(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(N, \tau M)(V \oplus (U \oplus I[-1])))$$

$$\cdot \chi(G_{e_1}(V))\chi(G_{e_2}(U))x^{(e_1+e_2)R+(\dim V - e_1 + \dim U - e_2)R' + (\dim V + \dim U) + \dim soc I}$$

By Lemma [1.3] we have

$$(\dim V + \dim U)R' - (\dim V + \dim U) + \dim soc I$$

$$= (\dim V + \dim U)R' - (\dim V + \dim U) + \dim I(1 - R')$$

$$= (\dim M + \dim I - \dim V) - (\dim M - \dim V)R' + \dim U(R' - R)$$

$$= (\dim M - \dim N - (\dim M - \dim N)R' + \dim U(R' - R)$$

$$= \dim M(1 - R') - \dim N + \dim M R' + \dim U(R' - R)$$

$$= (\dim M - \dim U)R + (\dim N + \dim U)R' - (\dim M + \dim N)R.$$
where $e = \dim M + \dim N$. Using Proposition [3.4] we obtain the fact that $\Sigma_2$ is equal to

\[
\sum_{d} e_1, e_2; e_1 + e_2 = d \sum_{(N_1, M_1) \in R(e_1, e_2)} \chi(\langle(N_1, M_1)\rangle) \\
\cdot \chi(\mathcal{P}Hom_{\mathbb{C}Q}(N/N_1, \tau M_1))x^{dR'(e-d)R''-e}.
\]

Now we set

\[
\Sigma_1 := \sum_{L \in S(e)} \chi(\mathcal{P}Ext_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M, N)_{(L)})x_L
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{E}F_d(M, N) := \{ (\varepsilon, L_1) \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M, N)_{L} \setminus \{0\}, L_1 \in \mathcal{G}r(L) \}.
\]

As a vector space, $L = M \oplus N$. Define

\[
t(m, n) = (m, t.n)
\]

for any $(m, n) \in M \oplus N$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. This induces the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $L_1$. So we have an $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{E}F_d(M, N)$ ([12]). By the discussion in Section 3.2, the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action induces the geometric quotient $\mathcal{P}EF_d(M, N)$. The projection

\[
\mathcal{P}EF_d(M, N) \to \mathcal{P}Ext_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M, N)
\]

has the fibre $\{ (\mathcal{P}\varepsilon, L_1) \mid L_1 \in \mathcal{G}r(L) \}$ for any $\mathcal{P}\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}Ext_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M, N)_{L}$. By Theorem [1.1] and Corollary [1.4] this implies

\[
\chi(\mathcal{P}EF_d(M, N)) = \sum_{L \in S(e)} \chi(\mathcal{P}Ext_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M, N)_{(L)})\chi(\mathcal{G}r(L))
\]

and

\[
\Sigma_1 = \sum_{d} \chi(\mathcal{P}EF_d(M, N))x^{dR'(e-d)R''-e}.
\]

On the other hand, we have a natural morphism

\[
\Psi : \mathcal{E}F_d(M, N) \to \bigsqcup_{e_1, e_2; e_1 + e_2 = d} \mathcal{G}r_{e_1}(M) \times \mathcal{G}r_{e_2}(N)
\]

mapping $(\varepsilon = [(f, g)], L_1)$ to $(g(L_1), f^{-1}(L_1))$. Here $\varepsilon$ is the equivalence class of the exact sequence

\[
0 \to N \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} M \to 0.
\]

The morphism $\Psi$ induces a morphism

\[
\mathcal{P}\Psi : \mathcal{P}EF(M, N) := \bigsqcup_{d} \mathcal{P}EF_d(M, N) \to \bigsqcup_{e_1, e_2} \mathcal{G}r_{e_1}(M) \times \mathcal{G}r_{e_2}(N).
\]

Let’s compute the fibre for any $(M_1, N_1) \in \mathcal{G}r_{e_1}(M) \times \mathcal{G}r_{e_2}(N)$. Consider the map

\[
\beta' : \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M_1, N_1) \oplus \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M_1, N_1) \to \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{C}Q}^1(M_1, N_1)
\]

sending $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ to $\varepsilon_{M_1} - \varepsilon'_{N_1}$, where $\varepsilon_{M_1}$ and $\varepsilon'_{N_1}$ are induced by including $M_1 \subseteq M$ and $N_1 \subseteq N$, respectively, as follows:

\[
\varepsilon_{M_1} : 0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f_1} L_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} M_1 \rightarrow 0
\]

\[
\varepsilon : 0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0
\]
where $L_1$ is the pullback, and

$$
\varepsilon': \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
N_1 \\
\downarrow \\
L' \\
M_1 \\
0
\end{array}
\rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
N \\
\downarrow \\
L'_1 \\
M_1 \\
0
\end{array}
$$

where $L'_1$ is the pushout. It is clear that $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ and $M_1, N_1$ induce the inclusions $L_1 \subseteq L$ and $L'_1 \subseteq L'_1$. Considering the map

$$
p_0 : \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M, N) \oplus \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M_1, N_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M, N),
$$

we have ([12, Lemma 2.4.2])

$$
(\mathbb{P}\Psi)^{-1}(M_1, N_1) = \{(\mathbb{P}\varepsilon, L') \mid \mathbb{P}\varepsilon \in \mathbb{P}(p_0(\ker \beta')), L' \in F(\varepsilon, M_1, N_1)\},
$$

where $F(\varepsilon, M_1, N_1) = \{L' \subseteq L \mid \pi(L') = M_1, L' \cap N = N_1\}$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\text{Hom}(M_1, N/N_1)$ or an empty set ([13, Lemma 7]; see also [12, Lemma 3.3.1] for a similar discussion). By the 2-Calabi-Yau property (Auslander-Reiten formula) $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) \simeq D\text{Hom}(N, \tau M)$, we can consider the dual of $\beta'$ which is

$$
\beta : \text{Hom}(N, \tau M_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, \tau M) \oplus \text{Hom}(N_1, \tau M_1).
$$

By using the knowledge of bilinear form and orthogonality, we know that as a vector space,

$$
(p_0(\ker \beta'))^\perp = \text{Im} \beta \cap \text{Hom}(N, \tau M) \simeq \text{Hom}(N/N_1, \tau M_1).
$$

Note that if $F(\varepsilon, M_1, N_1)$ is an empty set, then $\mathbb{P}(p_0(\ker \beta'))$ is an empty set. In this case, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = \chi(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(N/N_1, \tau M_1))$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\chi((\mathbb{P}\Psi)^{-1}(M_1, N_1)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\text{Ext}^1(M, N) - \chi(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(N/N_1, \tau M_1)).
$$

Now, using the partitions as in Proposition 3.3 we know

$$
\text{Gr}^{e_1}_r(M) \times \text{Gr}^{e_2}_r(N) = \bigsqcup_{(N_1, M_1) \in R(e_1, e_2)} \langle (N_1, M_1) \rangle.
$$

Hence, according the Euler characteristic of the fibres in (4.1) and Proposition 1.1 we obtain the fact that $\Sigma_1$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{d, e_1, e_2} \sum_{(N_1, M_1) \in R(e_1, e_2)} \chi((N_1, M_1)) \cdot \chi((\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(N/N_1, \tau M_1))) x^{dR+(e-d)R' - e}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\text{Ext}^1(M, N) \cdot X_M X_N.
$$

We complete the proof of the first assertion of the theorem. As for the second part, we set

$$
T_1 = \sum_{I' \subseteq I, e_1, e_2 \in S(d_1(I'))} \chi(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M, I')) \cdot \chi(\text{Gr}^{e_1}_r(V))
$$

$$
\text{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(r + (d_1(I') - e_1)R' - d_1(I') + \dim_{\text{soc}}(I'))
$$
Then we multiply two sides of the first equation in Theorem 4.1 by \( x \), we have
\[
\chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(P, M)_{P(U)}(U)) \chi(\text{Gr}_{e_2}(V))
\]
By a similar argument as in Corollary 4.4, there is a finite subset \( R(e_1) \) of \( \text{Gr}_{e_1}(M) \) such that the partition
\[
\text{Gr}_{e_1}(M) = \bigcup_{M_1 \in R(e_1)} \{M_1\},
\]
where \( \{M_1\} = \{W \in \text{Gr}_{e_1}(M) \mid \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(M/W, I)) = \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(M/M_1, I))\} \) is a constructible subset of \( \text{Gr}_{e_1}(M) \). Note that
\[
\{M_1\} = \{W \in \text{Gr}_{e_1}(M) \mid \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(P, W)) = \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(P, M_1))\}.
\]
By using Proposition 1.1, we obtain that
\[
T_1 = \sum_{e_1} \sum_{M_1 \in R(e_1)} \chi(\{M_1\}) \cdot \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(M/M_1, I)) x^{e_1 R + (\dim M - e_1) R^* - d_M + \dim \text{soc}(I)}
\]
and
\[
T_2 = \sum_{e_1} \sum_{M_1 \in R(e_1)} \chi(\{M_1\}) \cdot \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(P, M)) x^{e_1 R + (\dim M - e_1) R^* - d_M + \dim \text{top}(P)}.
\]
Since \( \dim \text{soc}(I) = \dim \text{top}(P) \) and
\[
\chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(P, M)) + \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(M/M_1, I)) = \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Hom}(P, M))
\]
we have
\[
T_1 + T_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(P, M) \sum_{e} \chi(\text{Gr}_{e}(M)) x^{e R + (\dim M - e) R^* - d_M + \dim \text{top}(P)}
\]
\[
= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(P, M) X_M x^{\dim \text{top}(P)}.
\]
\( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** The proof of Theorem 4.1 only involves the Auslander-Reiten formula and the higher order associativity. It inspires us to look for an analog of the cluster multiplication theorem for hereditary categories with Serre duality. The simplest case is as follows: if \( Q \) is a Kronecker quiver, then we know \( \mathcal{D}^b(Q) \) is derived equivalent to \( \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh} \mathbb{P}^1) \). We expect that the present approach can help us find a cluster multiplication formula for \( \text{coh} \mathbb{P}^1 \). One of the difficulties is to replace module varieties with stacks to rewrite the results in this paper as done in [16] and [27]. It will be interesting to compare these cluster multiplication theorems.

**Remark 4.3.** In Theorem 4.1, the condition that \( M \) contains no projective summands is not essential. Let \( M' = M \oplus P \) with the maximal projective summand \( P \). Then we multiply two sides of the first equation in Theorem 4.1 by \( X_P \) to obtain the equation involving \( X_M X_N \).

Now we consider the particular case where \( M \) is a non-projective indecomposable \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module and \( N = \tau M \). By the Auslander-Reiten formula, there is an isomorphism of \( \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M)_{\tau} \)-modules: \( \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M, \tau M) \cong \text{D} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(\tau M) \). It induces the isomorphisms
\[
\text{soc} \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}Q}(M, \tau M) \cong \text{D}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(\tau M)/\text{rad} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}Q}(\tau M)),
\]
(4.2)
where \( \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \) is the socle of \( \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \) as an \( \text{End}_Q(M) \)\(^{\text{op}} \)-module, and

\[
(4.3) \quad \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M) / \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \cong D(\text{radEnd}_Q(\tau M)).
\]

The equations (1.2) and (1.3) can be viewed as variants of the 2-Calabi-Yau property (the Auslander-Reiten formula). An extension \( \varepsilon \in \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \) is an Auslander-Reiten sequence if and only if \( \varepsilon \in \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \). We denote by \( L_0 \) the middle term of \( \varepsilon \). In the proof of Theorem 4.1, we substitute \( \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \) or \( \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M) / \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \) for \( \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \) and the above variants (1.2) or (1.3) for the Auslander-Reiten formula. Then we obtain the following result (see [2] or Lemma 7 in [14] for different proofs).

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( Q \) be an acyclic quiver and \( M \) be a non-projective indecomposable \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-module. Then

\[
\dim \_\mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M) / \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) X_M X_{\tau M} = \sum_{L \neq L_0 \in S(e)} \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M)_{L_0}) X_L + \sum_{I, d, d_1, d_2 \in S(d_1), U \in S(d_2)} \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{End}_Q(\tau M)_{V} \oplus (U) \oplus I[-1]) X_U X_V x^{\dim \_\mathbb{C} \text{soc} I}
\]

and

\[
X_M X_{\tau M} = 1 + X_{L_0},
\]

where \( e = \dim M + \dim \tau M \) and \( \text{PradEnd}_Q(\tau M) \) is the quotient of \( \text{radEnd}_Q(\tau M) \) under the free action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) and \( L_0 \) is the middle term of the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in \( M \).

**Proof.** We only need to prove the second equation. It is equivalent to prove that

\[
\dim \_\mathbb{C} \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) X_M X_{\tau M}
\]

is equal to

\[
\chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M)_{L_0}) X_{L_0} + \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{End}_Q(\tau M) / \text{radEnd}_Q(\tau M)).
\]

We use the notation in the proof of Theorem 4.1 and set

\[
\Sigma_1 := \chi(\mathbb{P} \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, \tau M)_{L_0}) X_{L_0}
\]

and

\[
\text{EF}_d(M, \tau M) = \{ (\varepsilon, L_1) \mid \varepsilon \in \text{Ext}^1_Q(M, N)_{L_0}, L_1 \in \text{Gr}_d(L_0) \}.
\]

The \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-action induces the geometric quotient \( \text{PEF}_d(M, \tau M) \). We have

\[
\Sigma_1 = \sum_d \chi(\text{PEF}_d(M, \tau M)) x^{dR + (e - d)R^r - e}
\]

and a morphism

\[
\mathbb{P} \Psi : \text{PEF}(M, \tau M) := \bigsqcup_d \text{PEF}_d(M, \tau M) \to \bigsqcup_{e_1', e_2'} \text{Gr}_{e_1'}(M) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2'}(\tau M).
\]

For any \((M_1, N_1) \in \text{Gr}_{e_1'}(M) \times \text{Gr}_{e_2'}(\tau M)\), we consider the map

\[
\beta' : \text{socExt}^1(M, \tau M) \oplus \text{Ext}^1_Q(M_1, N_1) \to \text{Ext}^1_Q(M_1, \tau M)
\]

and the map

\[
p_0 : \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M) \oplus \text{Ext}^1_Q(M_1, N_1) \to \text{socExt}^1_Q(M, \tau M).
\]
Then as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we have
\[(\mathbb{F}^\Psi)^{-1}(M_1, N_1) = \{(\mathbb{F}^\varepsilon, L_1) \mid \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}(p_0(\ker \beta')), L_1 \in F(\varepsilon, M_1, N_1)\},
\]where \(F(\varepsilon, M_1, N_1) = \{L_1 \subseteq L \mid \pi(L_1) = M_1, L_1 \cap N = N_1\}\) is isomorphic to the affine space \(\text{Hom}(M_1, N/N_1)\) or an empty set. By using the variant \((4.2)\) of the Auslander-Reiten formula \(\text{socExt}^1(M, N) \simeq D(\text{End}(\tau M)/\text{radEnd}(M))\), we can consider the dual of \(\beta'\):
\[\beta : \text{Hom}(\tau M, \tau M_1) \to \text{End}(\tau M)/\text{radEnd}(M) \oplus \text{Hom}(N_1, \tau M_1).\]
Then
\[(p_0(\ker \beta'))^\perp = \text{Im} \beta \cap \text{End}(\tau M)/\text{radEnd}(M),\]
which vanishes unless \(N_1 = 0\) and \(M_1 = M\). Hence, we obtain
\[\chi((\mathbb{F}^\Psi)^{-1}(M_1, N_1)) = \begin{cases} \dim \mathbb{C} \text{socExt}^1(M, N), & \text{if } N_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } M_1 \neq M; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}\]
This implies equation \((4.3)\). □

4.2. An example. Let us illustrate Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.4 by the following example. Let \(Q\) be the Kronecker quiver \(1 \longrightarrow 2\). Let \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) be the simple modules associated to vertices 1 and 2, respectively. Hence,
\[R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R^{tr} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\]
and
\[x_0 := X_{S_2} = x^{\dim S_2 R^{tr}-\dim S_2} + x^{\dim S_2 R-\dim S_2} = x_2^{-1}(1 + x_1^2),\]
\[x_3 := X_{S_1} = x^{\dim S_1 R^{tr}-\dim S_1} + x^{\dim S_1 R-\dim S_1} = x_1^{-1}(1 + x_2^2).\]

For \(\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\), let \(u_\lambda\) be the regular representation \(\mathbb{C} \overset{\lambda}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}\). By definition,
\[X_{u_\lambda} = x^{(1,1)R'-(1,1)} + x^{(1,1)R-(1,1)} + x^{(0,1)R+(1,0)R'-(1,1)} = x_1 x_2^{-1} + x_3^{-1} x_2 + x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}.\]
Similarly, let \(u_{\lambda(n)}(n \geq 1)\) be the unique indecomposable regular \(\mathbb{C}Q\)-module with socle \(u_\lambda\) and length \(n\). In particular, \(u_{\lambda(1)} = u_\lambda\). Then \(\dim \mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^1(u_\lambda, u_{\lambda(n)}) = 1\), and for any \(f \neq 0 \in \text{Hom}(u_{\lambda(n)}, \tau u_\lambda)\) we have a short exact sequence
\[0 \to u_{\lambda(n-1)} \to u_{\lambda(n)} \overset{f}{\to} \tau u_\lambda \to 0.\]
Here we set \(u_{\lambda(0)} = 0\). Using Theorem 4.1 we have
\[\dim \mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^1(u_\lambda, u_{\lambda(n)}) X_{u_\lambda} X_{u_{\lambda(n)}} = X_{u_{\lambda(n+1)}} + X_{u_{\lambda(n-1)}}.\]
It is clear that \(X_{u_{\lambda(n)}}\) does not depend on the choice of \(\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\). We denote it by \(r_n\). Set \(r_0 = 1\). Hence, we have
\[(5.5) \quad r_1 = x_0 x_3 - x_1 x_2 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{n+1} = r_1 r_n - r_{n-1},\]
which are elements of the basis called ‘dual semicanonical canonical basis’ in \([6]\) and \([33]\). For \(n = 2\), it is known that \(\dim \mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{C}Q}(u_{\lambda(2)}, u_{\lambda(2)}) = 2\). The corresponding two linearly independent extensions are as follows:
\[0 \to u_{\lambda(2)} \to u_{\lambda(4)} \to u_{\lambda(2)} \to 0\]
and
\[0 \to u_{\lambda(2)} \to u_{\lambda(1)} \oplus u_{\lambda(3)} \to u_{\lambda(2)} \to 0.\]
The latter is the Auslander-Reiten sequence. By using Theorem 4.1, we have
\[ \dim \text{Ext}^1(u_{\lambda(2)}, u_{\lambda(2)}) X_{u_{\lambda(2)}} X_{u_{\lambda(2)}} = X_{u_{\lambda(4)}} X_{u_{\lambda(3)}} + X_{u_{\lambda(1)}}^2 + 1. \]

Hence, we have
\[ 2r_2^2 = r_4 + r_1r_3 + r_1^2 + 1. \]

However, equation (4.5) tells us that
\[ r_1r_3 = r_2 + 1 \text{ and } r_4 + r_2 = r_1r_3. \]
Therefore, we have
\[ r_2^2 = r_1r_3 + 1. \]
This agrees with Proposition 4.4.
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