LAYER POTENTIAL TECHNIQUES IN SPECTRAL ANALYSIS.
PART I: COMPLETE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS
FOR EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN
IN DOMAINS WITH SMALL INCLUSIONS
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Abstract. We provide a rigorous derivation of new complete asymptotic expansions for eigenvalues of the Laplacian in domains with small inclusions. The inclusions, somewhat apart from or nearly touching the boundary, are of arbitrary shape and arbitrary conductivity contrast vis-à-vis the background domain, with the limiting perfectly conducting inclusion. By integral equations, we reduce this problem to the study of the characteristic values of integral operators in the complex plane. Powerful techniques from the theory of meromorphic operator-valued functions and careful asymptotic analysis of integral kernels are combined for deriving complete asymptotic expansions for eigenvalues. Our asymptotic formulae in this paper may be expected to lead to efficient algorithms not only for solving shape optimization problems for Laplacian eigenvalues but also for determining specific internal features of an object based on scattering data measurements.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, with a connected Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $\nu$ denote the unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$. Suppose that $\Omega$ contains a small inclusion $D$, of the form $D = z + \epsilon B$, where $B$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ containing the origin. We also assume that the “background” is homogeneous with conductivity 1. The inclusions, somewhat apart from or nearly touching the boundary, are of arbitrary conductivity contrast vis-à-vis the background domain, with the limiting perfectly conducting case.

Our goal is to find complete asymptotic expansions for the eigenvalues of such a domain which had not been established before this work, with the intention of using the expansions as an aid in identifying the inclusions. That is, we would like to find a method for determining the locations and/or shape of small inclusions by taking eigenvalue measurements. In its most general form the inverse scattering problem is severely ill-posed and nonlinear. This is the main obstacle to finding noniterative reconstruction algorithms with limited scattering data. If, however, in advance we have additional structural information about the conductivity profile, then we may be able to determine from scattering data specific features about the conductivity distribution with a satisfactory resolution. One such type of knowledge
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could be that the body consists of a smooth background containing a number of
unknown small inclusions with a significantly different conductivity. Our method of
asymptotic expansions of small volume inclusions may provide a useful framework
to accurately and efficiently reconstruct the location and geometric features of the
inclusions in a stable way, even for moderately noisy scattering data. Our formulae
in this paper may also be expected to lead to efficient algorithms for solving shape
optimization problems for Laplacian eigenvalues. See [24].

Rauch and Taylor [31] have shown that the spectrum of a bounded domain does
not change after imposing Dirichlet conditions on a compact subset of capacity zero.
After that, many people have studied the asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalues
for the case of small holes with the Dirichlet or the Neumann boundary condition.
In particular, Ozawa provided in a series of papers [25]-[30] leading-order terms in
eigenvalue expansions; see also [37] and [21]. Besson [15] has proved the existence
of a complete expansion of the eigenvalue perturbation in the two-dimensional case.
Courtois [16] has established a perturbation theory for the Dirichlet spectrum in a
compactly perturbed domain in terms of the capacity of the compact perturbation.
We shall also mention, in connection with eigenvalue changes under variation of
domains, the works by Kato [20], Sanchez Hubert and Sanchez Palencia [33], Ward
and Keller [37], Gadyl’shin and Il’in [18], Daners [17], McGillivray [22], and Noll
[23].

In this paper we provide a rigorous derivation of new complete asymptotic ex-
pansions for eigenvalues of the Laplacian in domains with small inclusions. The
inclusions are of arbitrary shape and of arbitrary conductivity contrast. A key
difference in our work is the approach we develop: a general and unified boundary
integral approach with rigorous justification based on the generalized Rouche the-
orem. By using layer potential techniques we show that the square roots of the
eigenvalues are the characteristic values of meromorphic operator-valued functions
that are of Fredholm type with index 0. We then proceed from the generalized
Rouche theorem to construct their complete asymptotic expressions. Our idea is
to reduce the eigenvalue problem to the study of characteristic values of systems
of certain integral operators. Here, we confine our attention to the eigenvalues of
the Neumann boundary value problem in the bounded domain Ω. The eigenvalue
problem with the Dirichlet boundary condition is of equal interest. The asymptotic
results for the eigenvalues in such cases can be obtained with only minor modifi-
cations of the techniques presented here, while the rigorous derivations of similar
asymptotic formulae for the full Maxwell equations or for the equations of linear
elasticity require further work.

2. Notation and preliminaries

2.1. The generalized Rouche theorem. In this work the approach we develop
is a boundary integral technique with rigorous justification based on the general-
ized Rouche theorem. For the reader’s convenience we recall this theorem due to
Gohberg and Sigal in [19]. We begin by collecting some notation.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ be two Banach spaces, and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ be the algebra of all
bounded vector-valued functions acting from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}'$.

Let $\omega_0$ be a fixed complex value in $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $A(\omega)$ an operator-valued
function acting from $V_\delta(\omega_0)$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$, where $V_\delta(\omega_0)$ is a disc of center $\omega_0$ and
radius $\delta > 0$. 

\( \omega_0 \) is called a characteristic value of \( A(\omega) \) if

(i) \( A(\omega) \) is holomorphic in some neighborhood of \( \omega_0 \), except possibly at this point itself;

(ii) there exists a vector-valued function \( \phi(\omega) : V_\delta(\omega_0) \to \mathcal{H} \) holomorphic at \( \omega_0 \) and that verifies \( \phi(\omega_0) \neq 0 \), such that \( A(\omega)\phi(\omega) \) is holomorphic at \( \omega_0 \) and vanishes at this point. \( \phi(\omega) \) is called a root function of \( A(\omega) \) associated with \( \omega_0 \), and the vector \( \phi_0 = \phi(\omega_0) \) is called an eigenvector. The closure of the linear set of eigenvectors corresponding to \( \omega_0 \) is denoted by \( \text{Ker}A(\omega_0) \).

Suppose that \( \omega_0 \) is a characteristic value of the function \( A(\omega) \) and \( \phi(\omega) \) is a root function satisfying (ii). Then there exists a number \( m(\phi) \geq 1 \) and a vector-valued function \( \psi(\omega) : V_\delta(\omega_0) \to \mathcal{H} \) holomorphic such that

\[
A(\omega)\phi(\omega) = (\omega - \omega_0)^m(\phi)\psi(\omega), \quad \psi(\omega_0) \neq 0.
\]

The number \( m(\phi) \) is called the multiplicity of the root function \( \phi(\omega) \). Let \( \phi_0 \) be an eigenvector corresponding to \( \omega_0 \) and let

\[
\mathcal{R}(\phi_0) = \{ m(\phi) : \phi(\omega) \text{ is a root function such that } \phi(\omega_0) = \phi_0 \}.
\]

Then by rank of \( \phi_0 \) we mean \( \text{rank}(\phi_0) = \max \mathcal{R}(\phi_0) \).

Suppose that \( n = \dim \text{Ker}A(\omega_0) < +\infty \) and that the ranks of all vectors in \( \text{Ker}A(\omega_0) \) are finite. A system of eigenvectors \( \phi_j^0, j = 1, \ldots, n, \) is called a canonical system of eigenvectors of \( A(\omega) \) associated to \( \omega_0 \) if the ranks possess the following property: \( \text{rank}(\phi_j^0) \) is the maximum of the ranks of all eigenvectors in some direct complement in \( \dim \text{Ker}A(\omega_0) \) of the linear span of the vectors \( \phi_0^1, \ldots, \phi_0^{j-1} \). Let \( r_j = \text{rank}(\phi_j^0) \). We call

\[
N(A(\omega_0)) = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j
\]

the null multiplicity of the characteristic value \( \omega_0 \) of \( A(\omega) \). If \( \omega_0 \) is not a characteristic value of \( A(\omega) \), we put \( N(A(\omega_0)) = 0 \).

Suppose that \( A^{-1}(\omega) \) exists and is holomorphic in some neighborhood of \( \omega_0 \), except possibly at this point itself. Then the number

\[
M(A(\omega_0)) = N(A(\omega_0)) - N(A^{-1}(\omega_0))
\]

is called the multiplicity of the characteristic value \( \omega_0 \) of \( A(\omega) \). Suppose that \( \omega_1 \) is a pole of the operator-valued function. The Laurent expansion of \( A(\omega) \) in \( \omega_1 \) is given by

\[
A(\omega) = \sum_{j \geq -s} (\omega - \omega_1)^j A_j.
\]

If in the last expression the operators \( A_{-j}, j = 1, \ldots, s, \) are finite-dimensional, then \( A(\omega) \) is called finitely meromorphic at \( \omega_1 \).

The operator-valued function \( A(\omega) \) is said to be of Fredholm type at the point \( \omega_1 \) if the operator \( A_0 \) in the last expansion is a Fredholm operator. If \( A(\omega) \) is holomorphic at the point \( \omega_0 \) and the operator \( A(\omega_0) \) is invertible, then \( \omega_0 \) is called a regular point of \( A(\omega) \).

The point \( \omega_0 \) is called a normal point of \( A(\omega) \) if there exists a constant \( 0 < \delta_0 \) such that \( A(\omega) \) is finitely meromorphic and of Fredholm type at \( \omega_0 \) and all the points of a disc of center \( \omega_0 \) and radius \( \delta_0 > 0 \) except \( \omega_0 \) are regular for \( A(\omega) \).
Lemma 2.1. Every normal point $\omega_0$ of $A(\omega)$ is a normal point of $A^{-1}(\omega)$. If, in addition, $\omega_0$ is a pole of either $A(\omega)$ or $A^{-1}(\omega)$, then it is a characteristic value of finite multiplicity of the other.

Let $\partial V$ be the contour bounding the domain $V$. An operator-valued function $A(\omega)$ which is finitely meromorphic and of Fredholm type in $V$ and continuous at $\partial V$ is called normal with respect to $\partial V$ if the operator $A(\omega)$ is invertible in $\nabla$, except for a finite number of points of $V$ which are normal points of $A(\omega)$. Now, if $A(\omega)$ is normal with respect to the contour $\partial V$ and $\omega_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, \sigma$, are all its characteristic values and poles lying in $V$, we put

$$M(A(\omega); \partial V) = \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} M(A(\omega_i)).$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that the operator-valued $A(\omega)$ is normal with respect to $\partial V$; then we have

$$M(A(\omega); \partial V) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V} A^{-1}(\omega) \frac{d}{d\omega} A(\omega) d\omega.$$

By ‘tr’ we mean the trace of the operator which is the sum of all its nonzero characteristic values; see [19, p. 609] for an exact statement. We mention the following property of the trace:

(2.1) $\text{tr} \int_{\partial V} A(\omega) B(\omega) d\omega = \text{tr} \int_{\partial V} B(\omega) A(\omega) d\omega$,

where $A(\omega)$ and $B(\omega)$ are operator-valued functions which are finitely meromorphic in the neighborhood $V$ of $\omega_0$, which contains no poles of $A(\omega)$ and $B(\omega)$ other than $\omega_0$.

The operator generalization of the Rouché theorem is stated below.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A(\omega)$ be an operator-valued function which is normal with respect to $\partial V$. If an operator-valued function $S(\omega)$ which is finitely meromorphic in $V$ and continuous at $\partial V$ satisfies the condition

$$|A^{-1}(\omega)S(\omega)|_{L(H, H)} < 1, \quad \omega \in \partial V,$$

then $A(\omega) + S(\omega)$ is also normal with respect to $\partial V$, and

$$M(A(\omega); \partial V) = M(A(\omega) + S(\omega); \partial V).$$

The generalization of the Steinberg theorem is given by the following.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $A(\omega)$ is an operator-valued function which is finitely meromorphic and of Fredholm type in the domain $V$. If the operator $A(\omega)$ is invertible at one point of $V$, then $A(\omega)$ has a bounded inverse for all $\omega \in V$, except possibly for certain isolated points.

Finally, the following result due to Golberg and Sigal in [19] is central.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that $A(\omega)$ is an operator-valued function which is normal with respect to $\partial V$. Let $f(\omega)$ be a scalar function which is analytic in $V$ and continuous in $\nabla$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V} f(\omega) A^{-1}(\omega) \frac{d}{d\omega} A(\omega) d\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} M(A(\omega_j)) f(\omega_j),$$
where \( \omega_j, j = 1, \ldots, \sigma, \) are all the points in \( V \) which are either poles or characteristic values of \( A(\omega) \).

2.2. **Layer potentials for the Helmholtz equation.** We will develop a boundary integral formulation for solving the eigenvalue problem. The integral equations applying to this problem will be obtained from a study of the layer potentials for the Helmholtz equation.

For \( \omega > 0 \), a fundamental solution \( \Gamma_\omega(x) \) to the Helmholtz operator \( \Delta + \omega^2 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d, d = 2, 3 \), is given by

\[
\Gamma_\omega(x) := \begin{cases} 
-\frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(\omega|x|), & d = 2, \\
-\frac{e^{i\omega|x|}}{4\pi|x|}, & d = 3,
\end{cases}
\]

for \( x \neq 0 \), where \( H_0^{(1)} \) is the Hankel function of the first kind of order 0.

For a bounded Lipschitz domain \( D \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \omega > 0 \), let \( S_\omega^D \) and \( D_\omega^D \) be the single and double layer potentials defined by \( \Gamma_\omega \), that is,

\[
S_\omega^D \varphi(x) := \int_{\partial D} \Gamma_\omega(x-y)\varphi(y)\,d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\
D_\omega^D \varphi(x) := \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \Gamma_\omega(x-y)}{\partial \nu_y}\varphi(y)\,d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial D,
\]

for \( \varphi \in L^2(\partial D) \).

The following formulae give the jump relations obeyed by the double layer potential and by the normal derivative of the single layer potential on general Lipschitz domains:

\[
\frac{\partial (S_\omega^D \varphi)}{\partial \nu}(x) = \left( \pm \frac{1}{2} I + (K_\omega^D)^* \right) \varphi(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \partial D, \\
(D_\omega^D \varphi)_{\pm}(x) = \left( \mp \frac{1}{2} I + K_\omega^D \right) \varphi(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \partial D,
\]

for \( \varphi \in L^2(\partial D) \), where \( K_\omega^D \) is the operator defined by

\[
K_\omega^D \varphi(x) := \text{p.v.} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \Gamma_\omega(x-y)}{\partial \nu_y}\varphi(y)d\sigma(y),
\]

and \((K_\omega^D)^*\) is the \( L^2 \)-adjoint of \( K_\omega^D \), that is,

\[
(K_\omega^D)^* \varphi(x) := \text{p.v.} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \Gamma_\omega(x-y)}{\partial \nu_y}\varphi(y)d\sigma(y).
\]

Here p.v. stands for the Cauchy principal value. The singular integral operators \( K_\omega^D \) and \((K_\omega^D)^*\) are known to be bounded on \( L^2(\partial D) \).

Let \( 0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \ldots \) be the eigenvalues of \(-\Delta\) in \( \Omega \) with the Neumann condition on \( \partial \Omega \). Let \( u_j \) denote the normalized eigenfunction associated with \( \mu_j \); that is, it satisfies \( ||u_j||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1 \). It is well known that \( \{\sqrt{\mu_j}\}_{j \geq 1} \) are exactly the real characteristic values of the operator-valued function \( \omega \mapsto (1/2)I - K_\omega^0 \). See \[31\].
Let \( \omega \notin \{ \sqrt{\mu_j} \}_{j \geq 1} \). Introduce \( N_{\Omega}^\omega(x, z) \) to be the Neumann function for \( \Delta + \omega^2 \) in \( \Omega \) corresponding to a Dirac mass at \( z \). That is, \( N_{\Omega}^\omega \) is the unique solution to

\[
\begin{align*}
(\Delta_x + \omega^2)N_{\Omega}^\omega(x, z) &= -\delta_z \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial N_{\Omega}^\omega}{\partial \nu} \bigg|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

The following identity from [4, 6] relates the fundamental solution \( \Gamma^\omega \) to the Neumann function \( N_{\Omega}^\omega \):

\[
(2.4) \quad -\left( \frac{1}{2} I - K_{\Omega}^\omega \right)^{-1} (\Gamma^\omega(x - z))(x) = N_{\Omega}^\omega(x, z), \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \ z \in \Omega.
\]

The spectral decomposition,

\[
(2.5) \quad N_{\Omega}^\omega(x, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{u_j(x)u_j(z)}{\mu_j - \omega^2},
\]

will be of use to us. We refer the reader to [32, p. 246] for its proof.

Finally, we shall recall the concept of capacity. Suppose \( d = 2 \) and let \( (\varphi_e, a) \in L^2(\partial D) \times \mathbb{R} \) denote the unique solution of the system

\[
(2.6) \quad \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} \ln |x - y| \varphi_e(y) d\sigma(y) + a = 0, & \text{on } \partial D, \\
\int_{\partial D} \varphi_e(y) d\sigma(y) = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

The logarithmic capacity of \( \partial D \) is defined by

\[
\text{cap}(\partial D) := e^{2\pi a},
\]

where \( a \) is given by (2.6).

If \( d = 3 \), there exists a unique \( \varphi_e \in L^2(\partial D) \) such that

\[
(2.7) \quad \begin{cases}
\int_{\partial D} \frac{\varphi_e(y)}{|x - y|} d\sigma(y) = \text{constant}, & \text{on } \partial D, \\
\int_{\partial D} \varphi_e(y) d\sigma(y) = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

The capacity of \( \partial D \) in three dimensions is defined to be

\[
(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{\text{cap}(\partial D)} := -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \frac{1}{|x - y|} \varphi_e(y) d\sigma(y).
\]

3. Eigenvalue perturbations caused by small perfectly conducting inclusions

Suppose that the inclusion \( D \) is perfectly conducting. Let \( 0 < \mu_1^j \leq \mu_2^j \leq \ldots \) be the eigenvalues of \(-\Delta\) in \( \Omega_e := \Omega \setminus \overline{D} \) with the Neumann condition on \( \partial \Omega \) and the Dirichlet condition on \( \partial D \). We arrange them repeatedly according to their multiplicity.

Fix \( j \) and suppose that the eigenvalue \( \mu_j \) is simple. Note that this assumption is not essential in what follows, though its genericity is confirmed in [1], [35]. It is made for ease of exposition. Then there exists a simple eigenvalue \( \mu_j^\epsilon \) near \( \mu_j \)
associated to the normalized eigenfunction \( u_j^\epsilon \); that is, \( u_j^\epsilon \) satisfies the following problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta u_j^\epsilon + \mu_j^\epsilon u_j^\epsilon &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u_j^\epsilon}{\partial \nu} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
u_j^\epsilon &= 0 & \text{on } \partial D.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

From [5], we know that the solution \( u_j^\epsilon \) of (3.1) can be represented as

\[ u_j^\epsilon(x) = D_\Omega^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}}(u_j^\epsilon|_{\partial \Omega})(x) + S_D^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}}(\phi)(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \]

where \( \psi := u_j^\epsilon|_{\partial \Omega} \in L^2(\partial \Omega) \) and \( \phi \in L^2(\partial D) \) satisfy the following system of integral equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} I - \kappa_\Omega^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}}(\psi)(x) - S_D^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}}(\phi)(x) &= 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \\
D_\Omega^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}}(\psi)(x) + S_D^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}}(\phi)(x) &= 0, & x \in \partial D.
\end{array} \right.
\]

(3.2)

Our strategy for deriving complete asymptotic expansions of the perturbations in the eigenvalues relies on expanding the operator-valued function

\[ \omega \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} I - \kappa_\Omega^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}} & -S_D^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}} \\ D_\Omega^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}} & S_D^{\sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix} \]

in terms of \( \epsilon \) and then, on calculating the asymptotic expressions of its characteristic values with the help of the generalized Rouché theorem.

3.1. Inclusions far away from the boundary. We assume that the inclusion \( D \) is separated from the boundary. More precisely, we assume that there exists a constant \( c_0 > 0 \) such that \( \text{dist}(z, \partial \Omega) \geq 2c_0 > 0 \), that \( \epsilon \), the order of magnitude of the diameter of the inclusion, is sufficiently small, and that the distance of the inclusion to \( \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega \) is larger than \( c_0 \).

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let \( \psi \in L^2(\partial \Omega) \) and let \( \varphi \in L^2(\partial D) \). Define \( \tilde{\varphi}(x) = \epsilon \varphi(x + z), \quad x \in \partial B \). Then, for \( x \in \partial B \), we have

\[ S_D^{\epsilon}(\varphi)(x + z) \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (\epsilon \omega)^{2n} \int_{\partial B} (|x - y|^{2n} \left( \ln (\epsilon \omega |x - y|) + \ln \gamma - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tilde{\varphi}(y) d\sigma(y),
\]

for \( d = 2 \), where \( 2\gamma = e^{\tilde{\gamma}-\pi/2} \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \) is Euler’s constant, while for \( d = 3 \),

\[ S_D^{\epsilon}(\varphi)(x + z) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=6}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\epsilon \omega)^{n} \int_{\partial B} |x - y|^{n-1} \tilde{\varphi}(y) d\sigma(y). \]

On the other hand, we have

\[ D_\Omega^{\epsilon}(\psi)(x + z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^n \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha D_\Omega(\psi)(z) x^\alpha, \quad x \in \partial B, \quad d = 2, 3, \]
and for $d = 2, 3$,
\[
S_D^\omega(\varphi)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \epsilon^{n+d-2} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_\omega(x - z) \left( \int_{\partial B} y^n \tilde{\varphi}(y) d\sigma(y) \right), \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\]

**Proof.** For any $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \partial D$, we have
\[
S_D^\omega(\varphi)(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\partial D} \Gamma_\omega(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) \varphi(\tilde{y}) d\sigma(\tilde{y}).
\]

By the change of variables $\tilde{x} = \epsilon x + z$ and $\tilde{y} = \epsilon y + z$, we obtain that
\[
S_D^\omega(\varphi)(\tilde{x}) = \epsilon^{d-1} \int_{\partial B} \Gamma_\omega(\epsilon(x - y)) \varphi(\epsilon y + z) d\sigma(y)
\]
\[
= \epsilon^{d-2} \int_{\partial B} \Gamma_\omega(\epsilon(x - y)) \tilde{\varphi}(y) d\sigma(y).
\]

The first two formulae immediately follow from the following Taylor expansion of $\Gamma_\omega(\epsilon x)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$:
\[
\Gamma_\omega(\epsilon x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\omega x)^{2n}}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} |x|^{2n} \left( \ln(\omega x) + \ln \gamma - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right), & d = 2, \\
-\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (i \omega x)^n |x|^{-1}, & d = 3.
\end{cases}
\]

Since $(\Delta + \omega^2)D^\omega_\Omega(\psi) = 0$ in $\Omega$, $D^\omega_\Omega(\psi)$ is a smooth function in $\Omega$ and its Taylor expansion at $z$ yields
\[
D^\omega_\Omega(\psi)(\epsilon x + z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^n \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha D^\omega_\Omega(\psi)(z) x^n.
\]

Finally, for any $x \in \partial \Omega$, it is easy to see that
\[
S_D^\omega(\varphi)(x) = \int_{\partial D} \Gamma_\omega(x - z) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y)
\]
\[
= \epsilon^{d-2} \int_{\partial B} \Gamma_\omega(x - y) \tilde{\varphi}(y) d\sigma(y)
\]
\[
= \epsilon^{d-2} \int_{\partial B} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \epsilon^n \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_\omega(x - z) y^n \tilde{\varphi}(y) d\sigma(y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \epsilon^{n+d-2} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_\omega(x - z) \int_{\partial B} y^n \tilde{\varphi}(y) d\sigma(y),
\]
which completes the proof of the lemma. \qed
Suppose $d = 2$. By Lemma 3.1 we have from (3.2) that

\begin{align}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} I - K^\omega_{\Omega}(\psi)(x) \\
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n e^{\gamma} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_\omega(x-z) \left( \int_{\partial B} y^n \tilde{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y) \right) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \\
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e^{\gamma} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha D^\omega_{\Omega}(\psi)(z) x^\alpha + \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\omega \epsilon)^{2n}}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \\
\times \int_{\partial B} |x-y|^{2n} \left( \ln(\omega \epsilon |x-y|) + \ln \gamma - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tilde{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y) = 0, \quad x \in \partial B, 
\end{array} \right.
\end{align}

where $\omega = \sqrt{\mu_j}, \psi := u_j|_{\partial \Omega}$, and $\tilde{\phi}(x) := \epsilon^{\gamma}(\epsilon x + z), x \in \partial B$.

If $d = 3$, then

\begin{align}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} I - K^\omega_{\Omega}(\psi)(x) \\
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n e^{\gamma} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_\omega(x-z) \left( \int_{\partial B} y^n \tilde{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y) \right) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \\
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e^{\gamma} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha D^\omega_{\Omega}(\psi)(z) x^\alpha \\
- \frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (i \omega)^n \int_{\partial B} |x-y|^{n-1} \tilde{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y) = 0, \quad x \in \partial B.
\end{array} \right.
\end{align}

The systems of integral equations (3.3) and (3.4) may alternatively be written in the form

\begin{align}
\mathcal{A}_d^\omega(\omega) \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \tilde{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = 0,
\end{align}

where

\begin{align}
\mathcal{A}_d^\omega(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (\omega \epsilon)^n \mathcal{A}_n(\omega),
\end{align}

with

\begin{align}
\mathcal{A}_0^\omega(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} I - K^\omega_{\Omega} & 0 \\ D^\omega_{\Omega}(\cdot)(z) & - \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\partial B} |x-y|^{-1} \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix},
\end{align}

and for $n \geq 1,$

\begin{align}
\mathcal{A}_n^\omega(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\omega} A_{n-1} \\ B_n - \frac{1}{4 \pi} i^n \int_{\partial B} |x-y|^{n-1} \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix},
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\mathcal{A}_n(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\omega} A_{n-1} \\ B_n - \frac{1}{4 \pi} i^n \int_{\partial B} |x-y|^{n-1} \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix},
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\mathcal{A}_n(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\omega} A_{n-1} \\ B_n - \frac{1}{4 \pi} i^n \int_{\partial B} |x-y|^{n-1} \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix},
\end{align}
where

\[ A_n := \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\omega^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_\omega(x - z) \left( \int_{\partial B} y^\alpha \cdot d\sigma(y) \right), \]

\[ B_n := \frac{1}{\omega^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha D_\omega^\alpha(z)x^\alpha, \]

and \( A_0 := -\Gamma_\omega(x - z) \left( \int_{\partial B} \cdot d\sigma(y) \right). \)

In the two-dimensional case,

\[ A^2_\omega(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (\omega \epsilon)^n \left( A^n_0(\omega) + \ln(\omega \epsilon) B^n_0(\omega) \right), \]

with

\[ A^2_0(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} I - K_{\Omega}^\omega & -\Gamma_\omega(x - z) \left( \int_{\partial B} \cdot d\sigma(y) \right) \\ D_\omega^\omega(z) & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} \left( \ln |x - y| + \ln \gamma \right) \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ B^2_0(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix}, \]

and, for \( n \geq 1, \)

\[ A^2_{2n}(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{2n} \\ B_{2n} & C_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^2_{2n-1}(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{2n-1} \\ B_{2n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

and

\[ B^2_{2n}(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \int_{\partial B} |x - y|^{2n} \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^2_{2n-1}(\omega) := 0, \]

where \( A_n \) and \( B_n \) are as above and

\[ C_{2n} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \int_{\partial B} |x - y|^{2n} \left( \ln |x - y| + \ln \gamma - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right) \cdot d\sigma(y). \]

It is therefore obvious that the pair of functions \((\psi, \tilde{\phi})\) is then a characteristic function of the integral operator-valued function \( A^d_\omega, d = 2, 3, \) associated with the characteristic value \( \sqrt{\mu_j}. \) We give a rigorous study of the integral operator-valued function \( \omega \mapsto A^d_\omega(\omega), \) when \( \omega \) is in a small complex neighborhood of \( \sqrt{\mu_j}. \) We proceed from the generalized Rouché theorem to construct the complete asymptotic expansions for \( \mu_j^n. \)

At this stage, we emphasize the fact that the asymptotic parameter is in fact \( \omega \epsilon \) and not \( \epsilon, \) which shows that the asymptotic expansions of \( \mu_j^n - \mu_j \) we are going to derive are not only valid for fixed \( j \) when \( \epsilon \) goes to zero but also uniformly for the set of first \( j \) eigenvalues such that the \( \sqrt{\mu_j} \epsilon \) remain small.
In order to simplify notation we introduce the single layer potential associated with the Laplacian:

\[ S_B^0 \phi(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} \ln |x - y| \phi(y) d\sigma(y) & \text{if } d = 2, \\
-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial B} \frac{1}{|x - y|} \phi(y) d\sigma(y) & \text{if } d = 3.
\end{cases} \]

It is easy to see that the \( \{ \sqrt{\mu_j^d} \}_{j \geq 1} \) are exactly the real characteristic values of the operator-valued function \( \mathcal{A}^d_x \), for \( 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \epsilon_0 > 0 \). Consequently, if \( \omega \) is a real characteristic value of the operator-valued function \( \mathcal{A}^d_x \), then \( \omega^2 \) is an eigenvalue of (3.1).

The next three lemmas can be proved by slightly modifying the arguments given in [14].

**Lemma 3.2.** The operator-valued function \( \mathcal{A}^d_x(\omega) \) is Fredholm analytic with index 0 in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}^- \) and \( (\mathcal{A}^d_x)^{-1}(\omega) \) is a meromorphic function. If \( \omega \) is a real characteristic value of the operator-valued function \( \mathcal{A}^d_x \) (or equivalently, a real pole of \( (\mathcal{A}^d_x)^{-1}(\omega) \)), then there exists \( j \) such that \( \omega = \sqrt{\mu_j^d} \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Any \( \sqrt{\mu_j^d} \) is a simple pole of the operator-valued function \( (\mathcal{A}^d_x)^{-1}(\omega) \).

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( \omega_0 = \sqrt{\mu_j^d} \) and suppose that \( \mu_j \) is simple. Then there exists a positive constant \( \delta_0 \) such that for \( |\delta| < \delta_0 \), the operator-valued function \( \omega \mapsto \mathcal{A}^d_x(\omega) \) has exactly one characteristic value in \( \mathcal{V}_{\delta_0}(\omega_0) \), where \( \mathcal{V}_{\delta_0}(\omega_0) \) is a disc of center \( \omega_0 \) and radius \( \delta_0 > 0 \). This characteristic value is analytic with respect to \( \epsilon \) in \( ] - \epsilon_0, \epsilon_0 [ \). Moreover, the following assertions hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}^d_x(\omega); \partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta_0}) = 1, \\
& (\mathcal{A}^d_x)^{-1}(\omega) = (\omega - \omega_0)^{-1} L^d_\epsilon + R^d_\epsilon(\omega), \\
& L^d_\epsilon : \text{Ker}((\mathcal{A}^d_x(\omega_0))^*) \to \text{Ker}((\mathcal{A}^d_x(\omega))^*),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( R^d(\omega) \) is a holomorphic function with respect to \( (\epsilon, \omega) \in ] - \epsilon_0, \epsilon_0 [ \times \mathcal{V}_{\delta_0}(\omega_0) \) and \( L^d_\epsilon \) is a finite-dimensional operator.

Based on the generalized Rouché theorem, we are now ready to derive complete asymptotic formulæ for the characteristic values of \( \omega \mapsto \mathcal{A}^d_x(\omega) \). Applying Theorem 2.5 we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( \omega_0 = \sqrt{\mu_j^d} \) and suppose that \( \mu_j \) is simple. Then \( \omega_\epsilon = \sqrt{\mu_j^d} \) is given by

\[
\omega_\epsilon - \omega_0 = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta_0}} (\omega - \omega_0) (\mathcal{A}^d_x)^{-1}(\omega) \frac{d}{d\omega} \mathcal{A}^d_x(\omega) d\omega.
\]

Following once again [14], we obtain the following complete asymptotic expansion for the eigenvalue perturbations in the three-dimensional case.

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose \( d = 3 \). Then the following asymptotic expansion holds:

\[
(3.6) \quad \omega_\epsilon - \omega_0 = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} e^n \text{ tr } \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta_0}} B^3_{n,p}(\omega) d\omega,
\]

where

\[
B^3_{n,p}(\omega) = (-1)^p \sum_{n_1 + \ldots + n_p = n}^{n_1 \geq 2} (\mathcal{A}^3_{n_1})^{-1}(\omega) \mathcal{A}^3_{n_2}(\omega) \ldots (\mathcal{A}^3_{n_p})^{-1}(\omega) \mathcal{A}^3_{n_p}(\omega) \omega^n.
\]
Proof: If \( \epsilon \) is small enough, then the following Neumann series converges uniformly with respect to \( \omega \) in \( \partial V_{0\epsilon} \):

\[
(A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left[ (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right]^{p} (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega),
\]

and hence we may deduce that

\[
\omega_{e} - \omega_{0} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) \left[ (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right]^{p} d\omega.
\]

By using the property (2.1) of the trace together with the identity

\[
d\omega (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega) = -(A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega) \frac{dA_{0}^{3}}{d\omega}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega),
\]

we have

\[
\text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[ (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right]^{p} d\omega
\]

\[
= \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) \left[ (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right]^{p-1}(A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega) \frac{d}{d\omega} (A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) d\omega
\]

\[
- \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) \left[ (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right]^{p} \frac{d}{d\omega} (A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) d\omega,
\]

and therefore,

\[
\omega_{e} - \omega_{0} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[ (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right]^{p} d\omega
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2i\pi} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega) \frac{d}{d\omega} A_{0}^{3}(\omega) d\omega.
\]

Because of Lemma 3.3, \( \omega_{0} \) is a simple pole of \( (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega) \) and \( A_{0}^{3}(\omega) \) is analytic, and hence we get

\[
\int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega) \frac{d}{d\omega} A_{0}^{3}(\omega) d\omega = 0.
\]

Thus, it follows that

\[
\omega_{e} - \omega_{0} = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} (\omega - \omega_{0}) \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[ (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right]^{p} d\omega.
\]

Now, a simple integration by parts yields

\[
\omega_{e} - \omega_{0} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{0\epsilon}} \left( (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right)^{p} d\omega.
\]

Notice from (3.3) that

\[
\left( (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)(A_{0}^{3}(\omega) - A_{e}^{3}(\omega)) \right)^{p}
\]

\[= (-1)^{p} \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \epsilon^{n} \sum_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{p}=n} (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)A_{n_{1}}^{3}(\omega) \cdots (A_{0}^{3})^{-1}(\omega)A_{n_{p}}^{3}(\omega)\omega^{n}.
\]

Therefore, upon inserting this into the latter formula we arrive at the desired asymptotic expansion. \( \square \)
The following theorem can be proved in the same way as Theorem 3.6.

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose \( d = 2 \). Then the following asymptotic expansion holds:

\[
\omega_\epsilon - \omega_0 = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} \epsilon^n \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{\delta_0}} B^2_{n,p}(\omega) d\omega,
\]

where

\[
B^2_{n,p}(\omega) = (-1)^p \sum_{n \geq n_1 \geq \ldots \geq n_p \geq 0} \left( A^2_{0}(\omega) + \ln(\epsilon B^2_{0}(\omega))^{-1} (A^2_{n_1}(\omega) + \ln(\epsilon B^2_{n_1}(\omega))) \right)
\]

\[
\ldots \left( A^2_{0}(\omega) + \ln(\epsilon B^2_{0}(\omega))^{-1} (A^2_{n_p}(\omega) + \ln(\epsilon B^2_{n_p}(\omega)))\right) \omega^n.
\]

As a simplest case, let us now find the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansion of \( \mu_j - \mu_i \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \).

Suppose \( d = 3 \). Recall from (3.6) that

\[
(S^0_B)^{-1}(1) = \text{cap}(\partial B) \varphi_\epsilon,
\]

where \( \text{cap}(\partial B) \) and \( \varphi_\epsilon \) are defined in (2.7) and (2.8). It is now easy to see that

\[
(A^0_B)^{-1}(\omega) A^1_B(\omega) = C \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\omega} N^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \int_{\partial B} \cdot d\sigma(y) & 0 \\
(S^0_B)^{-1}(\nabla D^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \cdot x) - CD^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(N^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(z) \varphi_\epsilon \int_{\partial B} \cdot d\sigma(y) - \frac{i\omega}{4\pi} C\varphi_\epsilon & (S^0_B)^{-1}
\end{array} \right),
\]

where \( C := \text{cap}(\partial B) \). It then follows from (2.4) that

\[
(A^0_B)^{-1}(\omega) A^1_B(\omega) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
0 \\
(S^0_B)^{-1}(\nabla D^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \cdot x) - CD^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(N^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(z) \varphi_\epsilon \int_{\partial B} \cdot d\sigma(y) - \frac{i\omega}{4\pi} C\varphi_\epsilon & (S^0_B)^{-1}
\end{array} \right).
\]

Since \( \int_{\partial B} \varphi_\epsilon d\sigma = 1 \), we now have

\[
\frac{1}{2i\pi} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{\delta_0}} (A^0_B)^{-1}(\omega) A^1_B(\omega) d\omega = -C \frac{1}{2i\pi} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_{\delta_0}} D^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(N^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(z) \varphi_\epsilon \int_{\partial B} \cdot d\sigma(y) d\omega
\]

\[
= -C \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\partial V_{\delta_0}} D^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(N^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(z) d\omega.
\]

Since \( -\Delta u_j = \mu_j u_j \) in \( \Omega \) and \( \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \nu} = 0 \) on \( \partial\Omega \), we have

\[
D^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(u_j)(z) = u_j(z) + (\mu_j - \omega^2) \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{\omega}(z - y) u_j(y) dy.
\]

Since \( \Gamma_{\omega} \) is analytic in \( \omega \in V_{\delta_0}(\sqrt{\mu_j}) \) and \( -\sqrt{\mu_j} \notin V_{\delta_0}(\sqrt{\mu_j}), \forall l \neq j \), if \( \delta_0 \) is sufficiently small, the spectral decomposition and the residue theorem yield that

\[
\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\partial V_{\delta_0}} D^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(N^{\epsilon}_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(z) d\omega = \frac{1}{2i\pi} |u_j(z)|^2 \frac{1}{\mu_j - \omega^2} d\omega = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu_j}} |u_j(z)|^2.
\]
We thus get
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{tr} \int_{\partial V_\delta} (A_\delta^0)^{-1}(\omega)A_\delta^3(\omega) \omega d\omega = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu_j}} |u_j(z)|^2 \text{cap}(\partial B),
\]
which yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.8.** Suppose \( d = 3 \). Then the following asymptotic expansion holds:
\[
\mu_j^\epsilon - \mu_j = \epsilon \text{cap}(\partial B)|u_j(z)|^2 + O(\epsilon^2).
\]

In particular, if \( B \) is the unit ball, then \( \text{cap}(\partial B) = -4\pi \) and (3.7) yields the formula obtained by Ozawa in [26]. It should be mentioned that Ozawa also obtained the \( \epsilon^2 \) term of the asymptotic expansions of \( \mu_j^\epsilon - \mu_j \) when the inclusion is a sphere in [28]. The second order term when the inclusion is of general shape can be explicitly computed using (3.6).

Let us now consider the two-dimensional case. Recall that
\[
(A_\delta^0(\omega) + \ln(\omega e)B_\delta^0(\omega)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I - K_\Omega^\omega & -\Gamma_\omega(x-z) \left( \int_{\partial B} \gamma d\sigma(y) \right) \\ D_\Omega^\omega(z) & S_\Omega^0 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} \left( \ln(\gamma \omega) \right) \cdot d\sigma(y) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \( a \) and \( \varphi_e \) be as defined by (2.8), and let \( W_\theta \) be the collection of all functions \( \psi \in W_\Omega^2(\partial B) \) such that \( \int_{\partial B} \varphi_e \psi d\sigma = 0 \). Then it is proved in [36] that \( S_\Omega^0 : L_0^2(\partial B) \to W_\theta \) is invertible. Let \( (S_\Omega^0)^{-1} \) denote its inverse. Then one can easily see that
\[
(A_\delta^0(\omega) + \ln(\omega e)B_\delta^0(\omega))^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I - K_\Omega^\omega)^{-1}(f) - C(f,g)\gamma^\omega(x,z) \\ C(f,g)\varphi_e + (S_\Omega^0)^{-1}(g - \bar{g}) \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( \bar{g} = \int_{\partial B} g \varphi_e d\sigma \) and the constant \( C(f,g) \) is defined to be
\[
C(f,g) = \frac{\bar{g} - D_\Omega^\omega(\frac{1}{2}I - K_\Omega^\omega)^{-1}(f)(z)}{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} \frac{D_\Omega^\omega(N_{\Omega}^\omega(z))(z) - a}{\ln(\gamma \omega(z))} d\sigma}.
\]

Now, in exactly the same manner as in Corollary 3.8, we obtain from Theorem 3.7 that the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansion of \( \mu_j^\epsilon - \mu_j \) in two dimensions is as follows.

**Corollary 3.9.** Suppose \( d = 2 \). Then the following asymptotic expansion holds:
\[
\mu_j^\epsilon - \mu_j = -\frac{2\pi}{\ln(\text{cap}(\partial B)\mu_j)} |u_j(z)|^2 + o\left(\frac{1}{\ln(\epsilon)}\right).
\]

In particular, since the logarithmic capacity of the unit disk \( \text{cap}(\partial B) = 1 \), (3.8) yields the formula derived by Ozawa in [27]. See also Besson [15].

### 3.2. Inclusions nearly touching the boundary

In this section we study the eigenvalue problem in the presence of a diametrically small perfectly conducting inclusion that is nearly touching the boundary. Consider a small perfectly conducting inclusion \( D \) inside \( \Omega \) that is nearly touching the boundary \( \partial \Omega \). We assume that \( \partial \Omega \) is of class \( C^2 \) and \( D = \epsilon B + z \), where \( z \in \Omega \) is such that \( \text{dist}(z, \partial \Omega) = \delta \epsilon \). Here \( B \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) containing the origin with a connected \( C^2 \)-boundary and the constant \( \delta > \max_{x \in \partial B} |x| \). We show that the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalue perturbations is the same as in Corollary 3.9.
Lemma 3.10. Suppose that \( \partial \Omega \) is of class \( C^2 \) and let \( \psi \in C^0(\partial \Omega) \). Let \( z_0 \) be the normal projection of \( z \) onto \( \partial \Omega \). Then, for any \( x \in \partial B \),
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\epsilon}{|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2} \psi(y) d\sigma(y) \to \frac{\pi}{(\delta \nu_{z_0} - x) \cdot \nu_{z_0}} \psi(z_0).
\]

Using Lemma 3.10 we prove the following.

Lemma 3.11. Suppose that \( \partial \Omega \) is of class \( C^2 \) and let \( \psi \in C^0(\partial \Omega) \). Let \( z_0 \) be the normal projection of \( z \) onto \( \partial \Omega \). For any \( x \in \partial B \), we have
\[
D^\psi_{\Omega}(\epsilon x + z) = \left( \frac{1}{2} I + K^\psi_{\Omega}\right)(z_0) + o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0,
\]
where the remainder \( o(1) \) is uniform in \( x \in \partial B \).

Proof. Let \( \nu_{z_0} \) denote the outward unit normal to \( \partial \Omega \) at \( z_0 \). Since \( z = z_0 + z - z_0 = z_0 - \delta \nu_{z_0} \), for any \( x \in \partial B \), we have
\[
\epsilon x + z = \epsilon x + z_0 - \delta \nu_{z_0} = z_0 + \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}).
\]

Hence, we obtain
\[
D^\psi_{\Omega}(\epsilon x + z)(z_0 + \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}))
= -\frac{i \omega}{4} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( H^{(1)}_0(\omega|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}))|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})| \right)
\times \frac{(y - z_0, \nu_y) - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}, \nu_y)}{|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2} \psi(y) d\sigma(y).
\]

Since
\[
-\frac{i \omega}{4} H^{(1)}_0(\omega|t - z_0)|t - z_0| = \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{\omega^2}{4\pi} |t - z_0|^2 \ln |t - z_0| - \frac{\omega^2}{4\pi} |t - z_0|^2 (\ln(\omega \gamma) - \frac{1}{2}) + \ldots,
\]
as \( t \to z_0 \), we see that
\[
H^{(1)}_0(\omega|z_0 - y + \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}))|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})| = H^{(1)}_0(\omega|y - z_0)|y - z_0| + O(\epsilon),
\]
as \( \epsilon \) tends to 0.

Now, since \( \partial \Omega \) is of class \( C^2 \), we have (see [2])
\[
\frac{|(y - z, \nu_y)|}{|y - z|^2} = O(1),
\]
and hence
\[
\frac{|(y - z_0, \nu_y) - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}, \nu_y)|}{|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2} = O(1),
\]
which gives
\[
-\frac{i \omega}{4} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( H^{(1)}_0(\omega|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}))|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})| \right)
\times \frac{(y - z_0, \nu_y) - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}, \nu_y)}{|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2} \psi(y) d\sigma(y)
= -\frac{i \omega}{4} \int_{\partial \Omega} H^{(1)}_0(\omega|y - z_0)|y - z_0| \frac{(y - z_0, \nu_y) - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}, \nu_y)}{|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2} \psi(y) d\sigma(y) + O(\epsilon),
\]
\[
:= I(\epsilon, x) + O(\epsilon) \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0.
\]
Since
\[ |y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2 = |y - z_0|^2 + \epsilon^2 |x - \delta \nu_{z_0}|^2 - 2 \epsilon \langle y - z_0, x - \delta \nu_{z_0} \rangle, \]
it follows that
\[
I(\epsilon, x) - K_{\Omega}^\omega \psi(z_0) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\epsilon}{|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2} \Psi_1(y) d\sigma(y) + \epsilon |x - \delta \nu_{z_0}|^2 \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\epsilon}{|y - z_0 - \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})|^2} \Psi_2(y) d\sigma(y),
\]
where
\[
\Psi_1(y) = -\frac{i \omega}{4} H_0^{(1)^\prime}(\omega|y - z_0|)|y - z_0| \left[ 2 \langle y - z_0, x - \delta \nu_{z_0} \rangle \frac{\langle y - z_0, \nu_y \rangle}{|y - z_0|^2} - (x - \delta \nu_{z_0}, \nu_y) \right] \psi(y)
\]
and
\[
\Psi_2(y) = \frac{i \omega}{4} H_0^{(1)}(\omega|y - z_0|)|y - z_0| \frac{\langle y - z_0, \nu_y \rangle}{|y - z_0|^2} \psi(y).
\]
By using the fact that \(-\frac{i \omega}{4} H_0^{(1)^\prime}(\omega|y - z_0|)|y - z_0| \rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi} \) as \(|y - z_0| \rightarrow 0\), we deduce the following identities:
\[
\Psi_1(z_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} (x - \delta \nu_{z_0}, \nu_{z_0}) \psi(z_0), \quad \Psi_2(z_0) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \tau(z_0) \psi(z_0),
\]
where \(\tau(z_0)\) is the curvature at \(z_0 \in \partial \Omega\). Applying Lemma 3.10, we conclude that
\[
I(\epsilon, x) = \left( \frac{i}{4} I + K_{\Omega}^\omega \right) \psi(z_0) + o(1) \quad \text{as} \ \epsilon \rightarrow 0,
\]
which completes the proof of the lemma. \(\square\)

Let \(x, y \in \partial B\). Writing \(z_0 + \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0}) - (z_0 + \epsilon(y - \delta \nu_{z_0})) = \epsilon(x - y)\), the following asymptotic formula holds:
\[
S_D^\omega(\varphi)(z_0 + \epsilon(x - \delta \nu_{z_0})) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(\gamma \epsilon \omega) \int_{\partial B} \varphi(y) d\sigma(y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} \ln |x - y| \varphi(y) d\sigma(y)
\]
\[
+ O((\epsilon \omega)^2 \ln(\epsilon \omega)),
\]
where \(\varphi(x) = \epsilon \varphi(\epsilon x + z), x \in \partial B\).

Let \(x \in \partial \Omega\). We have
\[
S_D^\omega(\varphi)(x) = -\frac{i}{4} \int_{\partial D} H_0^{(1)}(\omega|x - y|) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y)
\]
\[
= -\frac{i}{4} \int_{\partial B} H_0^{(1)}(\omega|x - z_0 - \epsilon(y - \delta \nu_{z_0})|) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y).
\]
We conclude, after a lengthy but simple calculation, that in the case of a perfectly conducting inclusion nearly touching the boundary, the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansions of characteristic values of the operator-valued function
\[
\omega \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} I - K_{\Omega}^\omega \ \mathcal{S}_D^\omega \\ \mathcal{D}_\Omega^\omega \end{pmatrix}
\]
is exactly the one given in the previous section. Thus, the following asymptotic expansion holds.
Suppose that $A \in C^2$ and $D = \epsilon B + z$, where $z \in \Omega$ is such that $\text{dist}(z, \partial \Omega) = \delta \epsilon$. Let $z_0$ be the normal projection of $z$ onto $\partial \Omega$. Then

$$
\mu_j^\epsilon - \mu_j = -\frac{2\pi}{\ln(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\text{cap}(\partial B)})} |u_j(z_0)|^2 + o\left(\frac{1}{\ln(\epsilon)}\right).
$$

4. ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA OF THE EIGENVALUES IN THE PRESENCE OF A CONDUCTIVITY INCLUSION

In this section we provide a rigorous derivation of a full asymptotic formula for perturbations in the eigenvalues caused by the presence of a conductivity inclusion of small diameter with conductivity different from the one of the background.

Suppose that $D \Subset \Omega$ is of conductivity equal to some positive constant $k \neq 1$. Let $0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \ldots$ be the eigenvalues of $-\nabla \cdot (1 + (k - 1)\chi(D))\nabla$ in $\Omega$, where $\chi(D)$ denotes the indicator function of $D$, with the Neumann condition on $\partial \Omega$. We arrange them repeatedly according to their multiplicity.

Fix $j$ and suppose that the unperturbed eigenvalue $\mu_j$ is simple. Then there exists a simple eigenvalue $\mu_j^\epsilon$, near $\mu_j$, associated to the normalized eigenfunction $u_j^\epsilon$, that is, $u_j^\epsilon$ satisfies the following problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u + \omega^2 u &= 0 & &\text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\
\Delta u + \frac{\omega^2}{k} u &= 0 & &\text{in } D, \\
|u|^2 + u|_\partial D &= 0 & &\text{on } \partial D, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \frac{k}{\partial \nu} |_\partial D &= 0 & &\text{on } \partial D, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 & &\text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.1)

with $\omega = \sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}$.

Once again from [5], we know that the solution of (4.1) can be represented as

$$
u(x) = \begin{cases}
D_\Omega^\nu(u|_{\partial \Omega})(x) + S_\Omega^\nu(\phi)(x) & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\
S_D^\nu(\theta)(x) & \text{in } D,
\end{cases}
$$

where the triplet of densities $\nu := (\psi := u|_{\partial \Omega}, \phi, \theta) \in L^2(\partial \Omega) \times L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$ satisfies the following system of integral equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{2} I - K_D^\nu\right)(\psi)(x) - S_D^\nu(\phi)(x) &= 0, & x &\in \partial \Omega, \\
D_\Omega^\nu(\psi)(x) + S_D^\nu(\phi)(x) - S_D^\nu(\theta)(x) &= 0, & x &\in \partial D, \\
\epsilon \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( D_\Omega^\nu(\psi)(x) + S_D^\nu(\phi)(x) \right) \right] + k \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( S_D^\nu(\theta)(x) \right) &= 0, & x &\in \partial D,
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.2)

for $\omega = \sqrt{\mu_j^\epsilon}$.

As before, by using the jump formula (2.2), we reduce the problem to the calculation of the asymptotic expressions of the characteristic values of the operator-valued function $A_\Omega^\nu(\omega)$ given by

$$
\omega \mapsto A_\Omega^\nu(\omega) := \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} I - K_D^\nu & -S_D^\nu & 0 \\
D_\Omega^\nu & S_D^\nu & -S_D^\nu \\
\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} D_\Omega^\nu & \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2} I + (K_D^\nu)^* \right) & -\epsilon k \left( \frac{1}{2} I + (K_D^\nu)^* \right)
\end{array}\right).
$$
We shall expand the operator-valued function $\mathcal{A}_d^\epsilon(\omega)$ in terms of $\epsilon$. With Lemma 3.1 on hand, we only need to write the expansion of $\partial \mathcal{D}_D^\epsilon / \partial \nu$ and $(K_D^\epsilon)^*$. On the one hand, we have, for $\psi \in L^2(\partial \Omega)$,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \mathcal{D}_D^\epsilon(\psi)(\epsilon x + z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \epsilon^n \sum_{|a|=n} \frac{1}{a!} \partial^a \mathcal{D}_D^\epsilon(\psi)(z) \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial \nu}, \quad x \in \partial B, \quad d = 2, 3.
$$

On the other hand, using the Taylor expansion, we get

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_x} \Gamma_\omega(\epsilon(x - y)) = \begin{cases}
\frac{(x - y, \nu_x)}{2 \pi \epsilon |x - y|^2} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\epsilon)^{2n}}{2^{2n}n!(n-1)!} |x - y|^{2n} \right] \\
\times \left( \ln(\epsilon |x - y|) + \ln \frac{1}{2} |x - y| - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right), & d = 2,
\end{cases}
$$

and we obtain the following expansion.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $\phi \in L^2(\partial D)$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \epsilon \phi(\epsilon x + z), x \in \partial B$. Then, for $x \in \partial B$, we have

$$
\epsilon(K_B^\epsilon)^*(\phi)(\epsilon x + z) = K_B^\epsilon(\tilde{\phi})(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\epsilon)^{2n}}{2^{2n+1}n!(n-1)!} \left( \ln(\epsilon |x - y|) + \ln \frac{1}{2} |x - y| - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tilde{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y),
$$

for $d = 2$, while for $d = 3$,

$$
\epsilon(K_B^\epsilon)^*(\phi)(\epsilon x + z) = K_B^\epsilon(\tilde{\phi})(x) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n!} - \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \right) (\epsilon \omega)^{n+1} \int_{\partial B} \frac{\langle x - y, \nu_x \rangle}{|x - y|^{d+1}} \tilde{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y),
$$

where

$$
K_B^\epsilon(\tilde{\phi})(x) := \frac{1}{2(d-1)\pi} p.v. \int_{\partial B} \frac{\langle x - y, \nu_x \rangle}{|x - y|^{d}} \tilde{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y).
$$

As before, define $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \epsilon \phi(\epsilon x + z)$ and $\tilde{\theta}(x) = \epsilon \theta(\epsilon x + z), x \in \partial B$. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 (4.2) now takes the form

$$
\mathcal{A}_d^\epsilon(\omega) \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \tilde{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = 0,
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}_d^\epsilon(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (\omega)^n \mathcal{A}_n^\epsilon(\omega),
$$
with
\[ A^3_n(\omega) := \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2}I - K^*_{B} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{D}_{11}^0(z) & \frac{1}{2}I + K^*_{B} & -S^0_{B} \\ 0 & -S^0_{B} & -k(-\frac{1}{2}I + K^*_{B}) \end{array} \right), \]
and, for \( n \geq 1 \), writing \( A^3_n(\omega) = ((A^3_n(\omega))_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3} \), we have
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{11} = (A^3_n(\omega))_{13} = 0, \]
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{12} = (-1)^n\omega^n \sum_{|\alpha| = n-1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^n x \omega (x - z) \left( \int_{\partial B} y^n \cdot d\sigma(y) \right), \]
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{22} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\partial B} |x - y|^{n-1} \cdot d\sigma(y), \]
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{23} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^n \int_{\partial B} |x - y|^{n-1} \cdot d\sigma(y), \]
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{31} = \frac{1}{\omega^n} \sum_{|\alpha| = n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^n x \omega (z) \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial y}, \]
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{32} = (A^3_n(\omega))_{33} = 0, \]
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{32} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{(n-1)!} - \frac{1}{n!} \right) (i)^n \int_{\partial B} \langle x - y, \nu_x \rangle |x - y|^{n-3} \cdot d\sigma(y), \]
\[ (A^3_n(\omega))_{33} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{(n-1)!} - \frac{1}{n!} \right) \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^n \int_{\partial B} \langle x - y, \nu_x \rangle |x - y|^{n-3} \cdot d\sigma(y), \]
for \( n \geq 2 \). Similarly, one can compute \( A^3_n(\omega) \).

It has been shown in [5] that
\[ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2}I + K^*_{B} & -S^0_{B} \\ -S^0_{B} & -k(-\frac{1}{2}I + K^*_{B}) \end{array} \right) \]
is invertible. In fact, the inverse is given by
\[ \frac{1}{k-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I - K^*_{B} & (S^0_{B})^{-1} \\ 0 & (\lambda I - K^*_{B})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I + K^*_{B} & -S^0_{B} \\ S^0_{B} & (\lambda I - K^*_{B})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \]
where \( \lambda := \frac{k+1}{2(k-1)}. \) Therefore the invertibility of \( A^3_n(\omega) \) holds for any \( \omega \notin \{ \sqrt{\mu_j} \}_{j \geq 1} \).

The asymptotic expansion can now be constructed in exactly the same manner as in Theorem 3.6 and the formula is exactly the same as (3.6). Analogously, the two-dimensional case can be treated without any new difficulty.

In the same way as in Section 3, we can recover the following result from [12, 11] giving the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalue perturbations.

**Corollary 4.2.** Suppose \( \mu_j \) is a simple eigenvalue associated with the orthonormal eigenfunction \( u_j \). The following asymptotic expansion holds:
\[ \mu_j^\epsilon - \mu_j = \epsilon^d \nabla u_j(z) \cdot M(k, B) \nabla u_j(z) + o(\epsilon^d), \]
where
\[ M(k, B) := \int_{\partial B} \left( \frac{k + 1}{2(k - 1)} I - K_B^* \right)^{-1}(\nu) y d\sigma(y) \]
is the so-called polarization tensor associated with the domain \( B \) and the conductivity \( k \). See [4, 5].

5. Concluding remarks

We conclude this paper by making a few remarks. If we consider the eigenvalue problem in the presence of a diametrically small conductivity inclusion that is nearly touching the boundary, then following the arguments given in Subsection 3.2, we can easily show that the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalue perturbations is the same as in Corollary 4.2. We leave the details to the reader.

The results and the methods of this paper have been extended to derive complete asymptotic expansions of eigenvalue perturbations for the Lamé system in [8]. They have also been used in [10, 9] to investigate the band-gap structures of the frequency spectrum for both electromagnetic and elastic waves in high-contrast, two-component periodic media and have given a new tool for the optimal design problem in photonic and phononic crystals.

Based on a small volume expansion of the variations of the modal parameters that are due to the presence of a small inclusion, we have designed in [7] an efficient anomaly detection algorithm from modal measurements which consists of minimizing a functional whose minimizer yields the location and the size of the anomaly. The numerical results performed in [7] clearly demonstrate that our detection algorithm works well even when the material parameter of the inclusion is not a priori known.
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