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Abstract. Weighted composition operators have been related to products of composition operators and their adjoints and to isometries of Hardy spaces. In this paper, we identify the Hermitian weighted composition operators on $H^2$ and compute their spectral measures. Some relevant semigroups are studied. The resulting ideas can be used to find the polar decomposition, the absolute value, and the Aluthge transform of some composition operators on $H^2$.

1. Introduction

If $f$ is in $H^\infty$ and $\varphi$ is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself, the weighted composition operator on $H^2$ with symbols $f$ and $\varphi$ is the operator $W_{f,\varphi} = T_f C_\varphi$, where $T_f$ is the analytic Toeplitz operator given by $T_f(h) = fh$ for $h$ in $H^2$ and where $C_\varphi$ is the composition operator on $H^2$ given by $C_\varphi(h) = h \circ \varphi$. Clearly, if $f$ is bounded on the disk, then $W_{f,\varphi}$ is bounded on $H^2$ and

$$\|W_{f,\varphi}\| = \|T_f C_\varphi\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|C_\varphi\|.$$  

Although it will have little impact on our work, it is not necessary for $f$ to be bounded in order for $W_{f,\varphi}$ to be bounded (see [11]).

Weighted composition operators have been studied occasionally over the past few decades, but have usually arisen in answering other questions related to operators on spaces of analytic functions, such as questions about multiplication operators or composition operators. For example, Forelli [10] showed that the only isometries of $H^p$ for $p \neq 2$ are weighted composition operators and that the isometries for $H^p$ with $p \neq 2$ have analogues that are isometries of $H^2$ (but there are also many other isometries of $H^2$). Weighted composition operators also arise in the description of commutants of analytic Toeplitz operators (see for example [2, 3]) and in the adjoints of composition operators (see for example [3, 4, 4]). Only recently have investigators begun to study the properties of weighted composition operators in general (see [11]).

In this paper, we examine the question “Which weighted composition operators on $H^2$ are self-adjoint?” For composition operators on $H^2$, that is, the case where the weight function, $f$, is identically one, the situation is trivial: the only self-adjoint composition operators have symbol $\varphi(z) = rz$ with $-1 \leq r \leq 1$. For weighted composition operators, the situation is more interesting, but we will see
that self-adjoint weighted composition operators are rare and that there is a strong
connection between the symbols for composition and multiplication. We first show
that for all Hermitian weighted composition operators on $H^2$, both symbols that
define the operators are linear fractional maps. Then, we show that the possible
symbols are divided into three cases that yield compact operators, real multiples of
self-adjoint unitary operators, and operators with continuous spectrum. In each of
these cases, we compute the spectral measures for the operators. In addition, we
include some results about semigroups of weighted composition operators. Finally,
we use the results of these analyses to find the polar decomposition, the absolute
value, and the Aluthge transform of some composition operators on $H^2$.

The authors would like to thank the referee for several helpful suggestions that
made significant improvements in this paper.

We begin by proving a result that allows us to choose standard forms for the
operators under study.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let $f$ be in $H^\infty$ and let $\varphi$ be an analytic map of the unit disk
into itself. For $\theta$ a real number, let $U_\theta$ be the unitary composition operator given
by $(U_\theta h)(z) = h(e^{i\theta}z)$ for $h$ in $H^2$. Then

$$U_\theta^* T f C_\varphi U_\theta = T f C_\varphi,$$

where $\hat{f}(z) = f(e^{-i\theta}z)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = e^{i\theta}\varphi(e^{-i\theta}z)$.

**Proof.** Let $\hat{f}(z) = f(e^{-i\theta}z)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = e^{i\theta}\varphi(e^{-i\theta}z)$, as in the conclusion of the
statement above. For $h$ in $H^2$,

$$(U_\theta^* T f C_\varphi U_\theta h)(z) = (U_\theta^* T f C_\varphi)(h(e^{i\theta}z)) = (U_\theta^*)(f(z)h(e^{i\theta}\varphi(z)))$$

$$= f(e^{-i\theta}z)h(e^{i\theta}\varphi(e^{-i\theta}z)) = \hat{f}(z)h(\tilde{\varphi}(z))$$

$$= (T f C_\varphi h)(z).$$

Since this is true for every $h$ in $H^2$, the conclusion follows. $\square$

This proposition will permit us to choose convenient symbols for the weighted
composition operators we study without losing any generality of the properties of
the operators we are trying to understand.

**Corollary 1.2.** For $f$ in $H^\infty$ and $\varphi$ an analytic map of the unit disk into itself,
there are $g$ in $H^\infty$ and $\psi$ an analytic map of the unit disk into itself with $\psi(0) \geq 0$, so
that the weighted composition operator $W_{g,\psi}$ is unitarily equivalent to $W_{f,\varphi}$.

**Proof.** Choose $\theta$ in Proposition [14] so that $\tilde{\varphi}(0) = e^{i\theta}\varphi(e^{-i\theta}0) = e^{i\theta}\varphi(0)$ is non-negative. Letting $g = \hat{f}$ and $\psi = \tilde{\varphi}$ satisfies the conclusion of the corollary. $\square$

The following observation can be helpful in considering the action of weighted
composition operators on products of functions, a situation that arises frequently.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let $f$ be in $H^\infty$ and let $\varphi$ be an analytic map of the unit disk into
itself. If $g$ and $h$ are functions in $H^2$ such that $gh$ is also in $H^2$, then $(W_{f,\varphi})(gh) =
(C_{\varphi}h)(W_{f,\varphi}g) = (C_{\varphi}g)(W_{f,\varphi}h)$.

**Proof.**

$$(W_{f,\varphi}gh)(z) = (T f C_\varphi gh)(z) = f(z)g(\varphi(z))h(\varphi(z))$$

$$= (T f C_\varphi g)(z)(C_{\varphi}h)(z) = (C_{\varphi}h)(z)(W_{f,\varphi}g)(z).$$
Similarly,
\[
(W_f, \varphi gh)(z) = (T_f C_\varphi gh)(z) = f(z)g(\varphi(z))h(\varphi(z)) = g(\varphi(z))f(z)h(\varphi(z))
\]
\[
= (C_\varphi g)(z)(T_f C_\varphi h)(z) = (C_\varphi g)(z)(W_f \varphi h)(z).
\]
It is not difficult to see that all the relevant products make sense in $H^2$, so the conclusion follows.  

The following lemma isolates a calculation that will be useful in the coming sections.

**Lemma 1.4.** For $a_1$ real and $a_0$ a complex number, let $\varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z \big/ (1 - \overline{a_0} z)$. Let $b$ be a fixed point of $\varphi$ and let $\psi(z) = (z - b) / (\overline{b} z - 1)$.

Then 1) $b = 0$ when $a_0 = 0$ and
\[
b = \frac{1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1 \pm \sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)^2 - 4|a_0|^2}}{2a_0} \quad \text{for } a_0 \neq 0
\]
and 2) $\psi(\varphi(z)) = \alpha \psi(z)$, where
\[
\alpha = \frac{a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0}}{1 - \overline{b}a_0},
\]
and, when $a_1 \leq (1 - |a_0|^2)^2$, then $\overline{b}a_0 = \overline{a}0$, which implies $\alpha$ is real and $\alpha = \varphi'(b)$.

**Proof.** Rewriting $\varphi$, we see that
\[
\varphi(z) = a_0 + \frac{a_1 z}{1 - \overline{a_0} z} = \frac{(a_1 - |a_0|^2)z + a_0}{1 - \overline{a_0} z}.
\]
Then,
\[
\psi(\varphi(z)) = \frac{(a_1 - |a_0|^2)z + a_0}{1 - \overline{a_0} z} - b
\]
\[
= \frac{(a_1 - |a_0|^2)z + a_0 - \overline{b}(a_1 - |a_0|^2)z + \overline{b}a_0}{1 - \overline{a_0} z - 1}
\]
\[
= \frac{a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0}z + a_0 - b}{1 - \overline{b}a_0}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 - \overline{b}a_0} \left( \frac{(a_1 - |a_0|^2)\overline{b}z + a_0}{1 - \overline{b}a_0} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 - \overline{b}a_0} \left( (a_1 - |a_0|^2)\overline{b}z - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 - \overline{b}a_0} \left( (a_1 - |a_0|^2)\overline{b}z + a_0 - b \right)
\]
Since $\psi(b) = 0$ and $\varphi(b) = b$, we see that $\psi(\varphi(b)) = 0$ as well, so in particular, $z - b$ divides the numerator of the expression above for $\psi(\varphi(z))$. More explicitly, the statement that $\varphi(b) = b$ means that
\[
\frac{(a_1 - |a_0|^2)b + a_0}{1 - \overline{a_0} b} = b
\]
or that
\[(1)\quad -(a_1 - |a_0|^2)b - \overline{a_0}b^2 = a_0 - b.
\]
Now,
\[(a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0})(z - b) = (a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0})z - (a_1 - |a_0|^2)b - \overline{a_0}b^2
= (a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0})z + a_0 - b.
\]
Using this equality in the calculation above, we see that
\[
\psi(\varphi(z)) = \frac{a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0}}{1 - b\overline{a_0}} \frac{z - b}{b\overline{z} - 1} = \alpha\psi(z).
\]
Rewriting Equation (1), we have that \(b\) is a fixed point of \(\varphi\) if and only if
\[(2)\quad \overline{a_0}b^2 - (1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)b + a_0 = 0.
\]
If \(a_0 = 0\), we see that \(b = 0\) is a fixed point of \(\varphi\). If \(a_0 \neq 0\), the quadratic equation gives the fixed points as
\[b = 1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1 \pm \sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)^2 - 4|a_0|^2}.
\]
Rationalizing the numerator, we get
\[b = \frac{2a_0}{1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1 \pm \sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)^2 - 4|a_0|^2}}.
\]
Notice that if \(a_1 \leq (1 - |a_0|)^2\), then \(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1 \geq 2|a_0|\), which means that \(\sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)^2 - 4|a_0|^2}\) is a real number. It follows that \(\overline{a_0}b = b\overline{a_0}\) are both real as well. Thus, in this case,
\[\alpha = \frac{a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0}}{1 - \overline{a_0}b}.
\]
Now, by Equation (2),
\[(a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0})(1 - \overline{a_0}b) = a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0} - a_1\overline{a_0}b + |a_0|^2\overline{a_0}b - b^2\overline{a_0}^2
= a_1 - \overline{a_0}(\overline{a_0}b^2 - (1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)b + a_0)
= a_1 - a_0(0) = a_1.
\]
This means that
\[\alpha = \frac{a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0}}{1 - \overline{a_0}b} = \frac{(a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0})(1 - \overline{a_0}b)}{(1 - \overline{a_0}b)^2} = \frac{a_1}{(1 - \overline{a_0}b)^2} = \varphi'(b). \quad \square
\]

2. **Hermitian weighted composition operators**

We first investigate which combinations of weights \(f\) and maps of the disk \(\varphi\) give rise to Hermitian weighted composition operators. Not surprisingly, self-adjointness significantly restricts the possible symbols for the weighted composition operators.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \(f\) be in \(H^\infty\) and let \(\varphi\) be an analytic map of the unit disk into itself. If the weighted composition operator \(W_{f,\varphi} = T_fC_{\varphi}\) is Hermitian on \(H^2\), then \(f(0)\) and \(\varphi'(0)\) are real and \(\varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z/(1 - \overline{a_0}z)\) and \(f(z) = c/(1 - \overline{a_0}z)\), where \(a_0 = \varphi(0), a_1 = \varphi'(0),\) and \(c = f(0)\).

Conversely, let \(a_0\) be in \(\mathbb{D}\), and let \(c\) and \(a_1\) be real numbers. If \(\varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z/(1 - \overline{a_0}z)\) maps the unit disk into itself and \(f(z) = c/(1 - \overline{a_0}z)\), then the weighted composition operator \(W_{f,\varphi} = T_fC_{\varphi}\) is Hermitian.
Proof. Suppose $T_f C_\varphi$ is a weighted composition operator on $H^2$. For $\alpha$ in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, let $K_\alpha$ be the evaluation kernel for $H^2$; that is,

$$K_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha}z}.$$ 

Then

$$(T_f C_\varphi K_\alpha)(z) = (T_f C_\varphi) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha}z} \right) = T_f \left( \frac{1}{1 - \alpha \varphi(z)} \right) = \frac{f(z)}{1 - \overline{\alpha} \varphi(z)}.$$ 

On the other hand,

$$(T_f C_\varphi)^* (K_\alpha)(z) = \left( C_\varphi^* T_f^* \right) (K_\alpha)(z) = \frac{f(\alpha)}{1 - \varphi(\alpha)z}.$$ 

Thus, $T_f C_\varphi$ is Hermitian if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{1 - \overline{\alpha} \varphi(z)} = \frac{f(\alpha)}{1 - \varphi(\alpha)z}$$ 

for all $\alpha$ and $z$ in the unit disk.

In particular, letting $\alpha = 0$ in Equation (3), we get

$$f(z) = \frac{f(0)}{1 - \varphi(0)z}$$

for all $z$ in the disk. Setting $z = 0$, we get $f(0) = f(0)$, so that $f(0)$ is real. Defining $c$ and $a_0$ by $c = f(0)$ and $a_0 = \varphi(0)$, we can write $f$ as

$$f(z) = \frac{c}{1 - a_0 z}.$$ 

Combining Equations (3) and (4) we get

$$\frac{1 - \overline{\alpha} \varphi(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{(1 - \overline{\alpha} \varphi(z))(1 - a_0 z)}{c}$$

and

$$\frac{1 - \varphi(\alpha)z}{f(\alpha)} = \frac{(1 - \varphi(\alpha)z)(1 - a_0)}{c},$$

which means $T_f C_\varphi$ is Hermitian if and only if

$$(1 - \overline{\alpha} \varphi(z))(1 - a_0 z) = (1 - \varphi(\alpha)z)(1 - a_0)$$

for all $\alpha$ and $z$ in the unit disk.

Notice that the expression on the right is a polynomial of degree one in the variable $z$. This means that the expression on the left,

$$(1 - \overline{\alpha} \varphi(z))(1 - a_0 z) = 1 - \overline{\alpha} \varphi(z) - a_0 z + \overline{a_0} \alpha \varphi(z),$$

must also be a polynomial of degree one in $z$.

Suppose

$$\varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + \cdots$$

is the Taylor expansion for $\varphi$. Then replacing $\varphi(z)$ with this series in Equation (5), we see that

$$-\overline{a_0} a_j z^j + \overline{a_0} a_{j-1} z^{j-1} = 0$$
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for each integer \( j \) for which \( j \geq 2 \). In other words, \( a_j = \overline{a}_{j-1}a_j \) for \( j \geq 2 \). In particular, \( a_2 = \overline{a}_1a_1 \), which means \( a_3 = \overline{a}_2a_2 = \overline{a}_1^2a_1 \), and continuing, we get \( a_j = \overline{a}_j^ja_j \) for \( j \geq 2 \).

Substituting into the Taylor series, we see that

\[
\varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \overline{a}_0a_1z^2 + \overline{a}_0^2a_1z^3 + \overline{a}_0^3a_1z^4 + \cdots = a_0 + \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}.
\]

Using the expressions for \( f \) and \( \varphi \) from Equations (4) and (5) in Equation (3), we get

\[
\frac{1}{1 - \alpha \overline{a}_0 + \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha \overline{a}_0 + \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}}
\]
or

\[
\frac{1 - \alpha \overline{a}_0 - \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}}{1 - \alpha \overline{a}_0 - \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}} = \frac{1 - \alpha \overline{a}_0 - \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}}{1 - \alpha \overline{a}_0 - \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}}.
\]

Clearing the fractions on both sides of this expression, we see that this implies

\[
(1 - \overline{a}_0z)(1 - a_0\alpha) - a_1\alpha z = (1 - \overline{a}_0z)(1 - a_0\alpha) - a_1\alpha z
\]

for all \( \alpha \) and \( z \) in the disk. In particular, this means \( a_1 = \overline{a}_1 \), so that \( a_1 = \varphi'(0) \) is real.

Conversely, if \( a_1 \), a real number, and \( a_0 \), in the unit disk, are such that

\[
\varphi(z) = a_0 + \frac{a_1z}{1 - \overline{a}_0z}
\]

maps the disk into itself and \( f(z) = c/(1 - \overline{a}_0z) \) for \( c \) a real number, then a straightforward computation shows Equation (3) holds for all \( \alpha \) and \( z \) in the disk, which means that \( T_fC_\varphi \) is Hermitian. \( \square \)

Theorem 2.2 assumes that the function \( \varphi \) maps the disk into itself. Of course, not all combinations of the parameters \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) yield a mapping of the disk into itself. We next consider which combinations of these parameters give a mapping of the disk into the disk. Corollary 1.2 shows that, without loss of generality, we may assume \( a_0 = \varphi(0) \) is real and non-negative. The following easy calculation gives the conditions on real numbers \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) that produce maps of the disk into itself.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( a_0 \) be real and non-negative, and let \( a_1 \) be real. Then \( \varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1z/(1 - a_0z) \) maps the open unit disk into itself if and only if

\[
0 \leq a_0 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -1 + a_0^2 \leq a_1 \leq (1 - a_0)^2.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) are real with \( a_0 \geq 0 \) and \( \varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1z/(1 - a_0z) \). Since \( \varphi \) is a linear fractional map with real coefficients, Theorem 10 of [9] says that \( \varphi \) maps the open unit disk into itself if and only if \( \varphi \) maps the open interval \((-1, 1)\) into itself. In particular, if \( \varphi \) maps the open disk into itself, then \( a_0 = \varphi(0) \) must lie in the open unit disk; that is, \( 0 \leq a_0 < 1 \). For such \( a_0 \), the map \( \varphi \) is continuous on the closed interval \([-1, 1]\) and

\[
\varphi'(z) = \frac{a_1}{(1 - a_0z)^2} \neq 0,
\]

so \( \varphi \) is either increasing or decreasing on \((-1, 1)\), depending on the sign of \( a_1 \). Thus, for \( 0 \leq a_0 < 1 \), \( \varphi \) maps \((-1, 1)\) into itself, hence the unit disk into itself, if and only if both \( \varphi(-1) \) and \( \varphi(1) \) are in the interval \([-1, 1]\).
Since
\[ \varphi(-1) = a_0 - \frac{a_1}{1 + a_0} = \frac{a_0 + a_0^2 - a_1}{1 + a_0} \]
we see \( \varphi(-1) \) is in \([-1, 1]\) exactly when
\[ -1 - a_0 \leq a_0 + a_0^2 - a_1 \leq 1 + a_0, \]
which is the same as
\[ -1 + a_0^2 \leq a_1 \leq (1 + a_0)^2. \]

Since
\[ \varphi(1) = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{1 - a_0} = \frac{a_0 - a_0^2 + a_1}{1 - a_0}, \]
it follows that \( \varphi(1) \) is in \([-1, 1]\) exactly when
\[ -1 + a_0 \leq a_0 - a_0^2 + a_1 \leq 1 - a_0, \]
which is the same as
\[ -1 + a_0^2 \leq a_1 \leq (1 - a_0)^2. \]

Since \( 0 \leq a_0 < 1 \), we have \((1 - a_0)^2 \leq (1 + a_0)^2\), and we conclude that \( \varphi \) maps the unit disk into itself if and only if \(-1 + a_0^2 \leq a_1 \leq (1 - a_0)^2\).

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( a_1 \) be real. Then \( \varphi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z/(1 - \omega_0 z) \) maps the open unit disk into itself if and only if
\[ (8) \quad |a_0| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -1 + |a_0|^2 \leq a_1 \leq (1 - |a_0|)^2. \]

**Proof.** The function \( \varphi \) maps the open disk into itself if and only if the function \( \tilde{\varphi} \) given by \( \tilde{\varphi}(z) = e^{i\theta}\varphi(e^{-i\theta}z) \) maps the open unit disk into itself. Choosing \( \theta \) so that \( \tilde{\varphi} \) satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2 gives \( \tilde{\varphi}(z) = |a_0| + a_1 z/(1 - |a_0| z) \). The corollary now follows immediately from Lemma 2.2. \( \Box \)

In the next three sections, we explore the three cases \( a_1 = -1 + |a_0|^2 \), \(-1 + |a_0|^2 < a_1 < (1 - |a_0|)^2 \), and \( a_1 = (1 - |a_0|)^2 \), which are quite different from each other.

When \( 0 \leq a_0 < 1 \), the first case, \( a_1 = -1 + a_0^2 \), gives
\[ \varphi(-1) = a_0 - \frac{a_1}{1 + a_0} = a_0 + \frac{1 - a_0^2}{1 + a_0} = a_0 + 1 - a_0 = 1 \]
and
\[ \varphi(1) = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{1 - a_0} = a_0 + \frac{-1 + a_0^2}{1 - a_0} = a_0 - 1 - a_0 = -1. \]

In this case, \( \varphi \) is an automorphism of the disk. We will see (Theorem 3.1 and the comment following) that in this case, \( W_{f, \varphi} \) is a multiple of a Hermitian isometric weighted composition operator.

When \( 0 \leq a_0 < 1 \), the second case, \(-1 + a_0^2 < a_1 < (1 - a_0)^2 \), gives
\[ a_0 - \frac{(1 - a_0)^2}{1 + a_0} < a_0 - \frac{a_1}{1 + a_0} < a_0 + \frac{1 - a_0^2}{1 + a_0}, \]
so
\[ \frac{a_0 + a_0^2 - 1 + 2a_0 - a_0^2}{1 + a_0} < \varphi(-1) < a_0 + 1 - a_0 \]
or
\[ -1 \leq -1 + \frac{4a_0}{1 + a_0} < \varphi(-1) < 1. \]
That is, \(-1 < \varphi(-1) < 1\). Moreover,
\[
a_0 + \frac{-1 + a_0^2}{1 - a_0} < a_0 + \frac{a_1}{1 - a_0} < a_0 + \frac{(1 - a_0)^2}{1 - a_0},
\]
so
\[-1 \leq a_0 - 1 + a_0 < \varphi(1) < a_0 + 1 - a_0 = 1.
\]
That is, \(-1 < \varphi(1) < 1\). Since \(\varphi\) is a linear fractional map with real coefficients and maps the unit disk into itself, conformality at \(\pm 1\) means that \(\varphi\) maps the closed unit disk onto a closed disk whose diameter is the closed interval with end points \(\varphi(-1)\) and \(\varphi(1)\). Thus, in this case, \(\varphi\) maps the closed unit disk into the open unit disk, and \(C_\varphi\) and (therefore) \(W_{f,\varphi}\) are compact. The analysis is based on identifying the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the operator as in the first case (Theorem 4.1).

When \(0 < a_0 < 1\), the third case, \(a_1 = (1 - a_0)^2\), gives
\[
\varphi(-1) = a_0 - \frac{a_1}{1 + a_0} = a_0 - \frac{(1 - a_0)^2}{1 + a_0} = \frac{a_0 + a_0^2 - 1 + 2a_0 - a_0^2}{1 + a_0} = -1 + \frac{4a_0}{1 + a_0},
\]
so \(-1 < \varphi(-1) < 1\). Moreover,
\[
\varphi(1) = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{1 - a_0} = a_0 + \frac{(1 - a_0)^2}{1 - a_0} = a_0 + 1 - a_0 = 1.
\]
In this case, \(\varphi\) is not an automorphism of the disk, but \(\varphi(1) = 1\). (The case \(a_0 = 0\) and \(a_1 = (1 - a_0)^2 = 1\) is \(\varphi(z) = z\), and the resulting weighted composition operators \(T_f C_\varphi\) are constant multiples of the identity, an uninteresting case.) We will see (Section 5) that in this case, each \(W_{f,\varphi}\) is a member of a continuous semigroup of Hermitian operators, so the theory of semigroups can help us study the structure of these operators.

Because Corollary 1.2 shows that every Hermitian weighted composition operator is unitarily equivalent to one with \(0 \leq a_0 < 1\) and Proposition 1.7 gives the explicit unitary equivalence, we see that the three cases above persist. The first case, \(a_1 = -1 + |a_0|^2\), corresponds to \(\varphi\) being an automorphism of the unit disk, and \(W_{f,\varphi}\) is a multiple of a Hermitian isometric weighted composition operator. In the second case, \(-1 + |a_0|^2 < a_1 < (1 - |a_0|)^2\), the map \(\varphi\) takes the closed unit disk into the open disk and \(W_{f,\varphi}\) is compact. In the third case, \(a_1 = (1 - |a_0|^2)\), where \(0 < |a_0| < 1\), the map \(\varphi\), not an automorphism of the disk, has fixed point \(b = |a_0|/a_0 = a_0/|a_0|\) on the unit circle and \(\varphi'(b) = 1\).

3. HERMITIAN ISOMETRIC WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS

We first consider the case \(|a_0| < 1\) and \(a_1 = -1 + |a_0|^2\), for which
\[
\varphi(z) = a_0 + \frac{(-1 + |a_0|^2)z}{1 - a_0 z} = z \left(\frac{a_0}{a_0 z - 1}\right) \quad \text{is an automorphism of the disk onto itself.}
\]
By Theorem 2.1 \(T_f C_\varphi\) will be a Hermitian weighted composition operator if and only if \(f(z) = c/(1 - \overline{a_0} z)\) for some real number \(c\).

We begin doing some computations with \(c = 1\). Thus, we consider \(T_f C_\varphi\) with \(f(z) = (1 - \overline{a_0} z)^{-1}\) and \(\varphi(z) = (z - a_0)/(\overline{a_0} z - 1)\).

Motivated by the observation that the map \(\varphi\) satisfies \(\varphi(\varphi(z)) = z\),
\[
\varphi(\varphi(z)) = \frac{z - a_0}{z - \frac{z - a_0}{\overline{a_0} z - 1}} = \frac{z - a_0 - |a_0|^2 z + a_0}{z - |a_0|^2 z - a_0 + 1} = \frac{(1 - |a_0|^2)z}{1 - |a_0|^2} = z.
\]
We compute \((T_f C_\varphi)^2\). For \(h\) in \(H^2\), we have
\[
(T_f C_\varphi T_f C_\varphi h) = (T_f C_\varphi)(f(h \circ \varphi)) = f(f \circ \varphi)(h \circ \varphi \circ \varphi) = f(f \circ \varphi)h.
\]
The multiplier here is
\[
f(z)f(\varphi(z)) = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{a_0} z} \frac{1}{1 - \overline{a_0} z - \overline{a_0} \frac{z}{|z|}} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{a_0} z + \overline{a_0} z - |a_0|^2} = \frac{1}{1 - |a_0|^2}.
\]
In other words, \((T_f C_\varphi)^2 = (1 - |a_0|^2)^{-1} I\).

From this calculation, we see that it would be better to take \(|c| = \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}\) so that \(f(z) = \pm \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}/(1 - \overline{a_0} z)\). We also see that the Hermitian weighted composition operator \(T_f C_\varphi\) satisfies \((T_f C_\varphi)^2 = I\); that is, it is also an isometry. Forelli’s paper [10] on the isometries of \(H^p\), of course, includes this example.

When \(a_0 = 0\), we get the trivial cases \(T_f C_\varphi = I\) and \(T_f C_\varphi = -I\), but for \(|a_0| > 0\), the operators are not so trivial. Self-adjoint isometries have the eigenvalues 1 and \(-1\); we seek the eigenspaces corresponding to these eigenvalues.

For \(b\) in the open unit disk and for \(j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\), let
\[
e_j(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - \overline{b} z} \left( \frac{z - b}{\overline{b} - 1} \right)^j.
\]
Because the second factor in the expression for \(e_j\) is a finite Blaschke product and the first factor is a multiple of the kernel function for evaluation of \(H^2\) functions at \(b\), it is not hard to show that the set \(\{e_j : j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}\) is an orthonormal set. Further, it is not difficult to see that a function \(h\) that is orthogonal to every \(e_j\) must vanish with all its derivatives at \(b\). Therefore, the only function in \(H^2\) orthogonal to all the \(e_j\) is the zero function and the set \(\{e_j\}\) is an orthonormal basis for \(H^2\).

It will be convenient to take \(b\) to be a fixed point of \(\varphi\) that is in the open unit disk. Lemma [14] says that if \(a_0 \neq 0\), then the fixed points are
\[
b = \frac{2a_0}{1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1 \pm \sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2)^2 - 4|a_0|^2}} = \frac{2a_0}{2 \pm \sqrt{4 - 4|a_0|^2}} = \frac{a_0}{1 \mp \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}},
\]
where the second equality comes from our assumption that \(a_1 = -1 + |a_0|^2\). It is not difficult to see that the fixed point in the open unit disk is
\[
b = \frac{a_0}{1 + \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}}{a_0}.
\]
Notice that, for this \(b\), in the notation of Lemma [14]
\[
e_j = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - \overline{b} z} \psi(z)^j.
\]
Lemma [14] says that \(\psi(\varphi(z)) = \alpha \psi(z)\), where
\[
\alpha = \frac{a_1 - |a_0|^2 + b\overline{a_0}}{1 - b\overline{a_0}}.
\]
Since we have assumed $0 < |a_0| < 1$ and $a_1 = -1 + |a_0|^2$ so that $a_1 < (1 - |a_0|)^2$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that

$$
\alpha = \frac{-1 + |a_0|^2 - |a_0|^2 + 2ba_0}{1 - ba_0} = \frac{-1 + ba_0}{1 - ba_0}
$$

$$
= \frac{-1 + ba_0}{1 - ba_0} = -1.
$$

Calculating, we find

$$(T_f C_\varphi e_j)(z) = \frac{c}{1 - a_0z} \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - ba_0} (e_j(z))^j = \frac{c \sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - a_0^2 z + \overline{b} z - \overline{b} a_0} (-1)^j \psi(z)^j
$$

$$
= (-1)^j \frac{c}{1 - a_0b_0 + (\overline{b} - a_0)} \psi(z)^j = (-1)^j \frac{c}{1 - a_0b} \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - b z} \psi(z)^j
$$

$$
= (-1)^j f(b) \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - \varphi(z)b} \psi(z)^j = (-1)^j f(b) \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - b z} \psi(z)^j
$$

$$
= (-1)^j f(b) e_j(z).
$$

In other words, $e_j$ is an eigenvector for $T_f C_\varphi$ with eigenvalue $(-1)^j f(b)$. Since the set $\{e_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $H^2$, we have determined the spectral measure for the operator $T_f C_\varphi$ in this case.

For $f(z) = \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}/(1 - a_0z)$, we find

$$
f(b) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}}{1 - a_0^2} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}}{1 - 1 + \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}} = 1.
$$

Similarly, if we take $f(z) = -\sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}/(1 - \overline{a_0}z)$, then $f(b) = -1$. For these choices of the weight function $f$, the eigenvalues of $T_f C_\varphi$ are $\pm 1$.

The following result formalizes the work of this section.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $a_0$ be a point of the open unit disk, $a_0 \neq 0$. For $f(z) = \sqrt{1 - |a_0|^2}/(1 - \overline{a_0}z)$ and $\varphi(z) = (z - a_0)/(\overline{a_0}z - 1)$, the weighted composition operator $T_f C_\varphi$ is a Hermitian isometry on $H^2$ with spectrum $\{-1, 1\}$.

Moreover, if $b$ is the fixed point of $\varphi$ in the open unit disk and if

$$
e_j(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - b z} \left( \frac{z - b}{b z - 1} \right)^j
$$

then the set $\{e_j : j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $H^2$ consisting of eigenvectors for $T_f C_\varphi$. The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $1$ for $T_f C_\varphi$ is $M_1$, the closed span of $\{e_j : j = 0, 2, 4, \cdots\}$, and the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $-1$ for $T_f C_\varphi$ is $M_2$, the closed span of $\{e_j : j = 1, 3, 5, \cdots\}$.

For other choices of weight function for the case $a_1 = -1 + |a_0|^2$, that is, real multiples of the function $f$ of Theorem 3.1, the corresponding weighted composition operator is a multiple of the above operator. Therefore, the eigenspaces are the same and the spectrum is $\{-r, r\}$ for the appropriate real number $r$. 
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4. Compact Hermitian weighted composition operators

Next, we consider the case $|a_0| < 1$ and $-1 + |a_0|^2 < a_1 < (1 - |a_0|)^2$ for which

$\varphi(z) = a_0 + \frac{a_1 z}{1 - a_0 z}$.

By Theorem 2.1, $W_{f, \varphi} = T_f C_{\varphi}$ will be a Hermitian weighted composition operator if and only if $f(z) = c/(1 - a_0 z)$ for some real number $c$. The comments at the end of Section 2 explain why, in this case, the operators $C_{\varphi}$ and $W_{f, \varphi}$ are compact on $H^2$. The compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ implies that $\varphi$ has a fixed point in the open unit disk (see, for example, [8, p. 265]).

If $b$ is the fixed point of $\varphi$ in the open unit disk, for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, let

$e_j(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}}{1 - b \bar{z}} \left( \frac{z - b}{\bar{b} - 1} \right)^j$

be the orthonormal basis in Theorem 5.1. Then

$T_f C_{\varphi} e_0 = C_{\varphi}^* T_f^* e_0 = \frac{f(b)}{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}} C_{\varphi}^* K_b$

$= \frac{f(b)}{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}} K_{\varphi(b)} = \frac{f(b)}{\sqrt{1 - |b|^2}} K_b$

$= f(b) e_0$.

Now, let’s compute the general case using Proposition 1.3, Lemma 1.4, the assumption that $a_1 < (1 - |a_0|)^2$, and the fact that, in the notation of Lemma 1.4, $e_j = e_0 \psi^j$. For $j = 1, 2, \ldots$

$T_f C_{\varphi} e_j = T_f C_{\varphi} e_0 \psi^j = T_f C_{\varphi} (e_0) C_{\varphi} \psi^j$

$= \frac{f(b) e_0 (\psi \circ \varphi)^j}{f(b) \alpha^j e_0 e_j} = \frac{f(b) \alpha^j (e_0 \psi^j)}{f(b) \varphi'(b)^j e_j}$.

That is, for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, the vectors $e_j$ are eigenvectors for $W_{f, \varphi}$ with eigenvalues $\frac{f(b) \varphi'(b)^j}{f(b) \alpha^j}$. Since $W_{f, \varphi} = T_f C_{\varphi}$ is compact and the vectors $e_j$ form an orthonormal basis for $H^2$, the spectrum of $W_{f, \varphi}$ is

$\sigma(W_{f, \varphi}) = \{0\} \cup \{f(b), f(b) \varphi'(b), \ldots, f(b) (\varphi'(b))^j, \ldots\}$.

To understand these eigenvalues better, we will calculate $f(b)$. If $b$ is the fixed point of $\varphi$ that is in the open unit disk, then Lemma 1.4 and the assumptions on $a_1$ imply

$b = 1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1 - \sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)^2 - 4 |a_0|^2} / 2$.

Thus,

$\frac{f(b)}{1 - a_0 b} = \frac{c}{1 - \left(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1 - \sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)^2 - 4 |a_0|^2} \right)/2}$

$= \frac{2c}{1 + a_1 - |a_0|^2 + \sqrt{(1 + |a_0|^2 - a_1)^2 - 4 |a_0|^2}}$.

In particular, $f(b)$ is a real number which is consistent with the fact that $W_{f, \varphi}$ is Hermitian.

The following result formalizes the work of this section.
Theorem 4.1. Let $a_0$ be a point of the open unit disk, $a_0 \neq 0$. If $-1 + |a_0|^2 < a_1 < (1 - |a_0|)^2$, then the weighted composition operator $T_f C_\psi$ is compact on $H^2$. Moreover, if $b$ is the fixed point of $\psi$ in the open unit disk, then the set $\{e_j : j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \}$ is an orthonormal basis for $H^2$ consisting of eigenvectors for $W_{f,\psi} = T_f C_\psi$, where
\[ e_j(z) = \frac{1 - |b|^2}{1 - b \bar{z}} \left( \frac{z - b}{b - \bar{z}} \right)^j. \]

The spectrum of $W_{f,\psi}$ is
\[ \sigma(W_{f,\psi}) = \{0\} \cup \{f(b), f(b)\psi(b), \cdots, f(b)(\psi(b))^j, \cdots \}. \]

5. Absolutely Continuous Hermitian Weighted Composition Operators

To study the remaining cases of Hermitian weighted composition operators, when $a_1 = (1 - |a_0|)^2$ for $0 < |a_0| < 1$, we first show that the operators $W_{f,\psi}$ belong to a continuous semigroup of Hermitian operators. Recall that an indexed collection \{A_t : t \geq 0\} of bounded operators is called a continuous semigroup of operators if $A_{s+t} = A_s A_t$ for all non-negative real numbers $s$ and $t$, $A_0 = I$, and the map $t \mapsto A_t$ is strongly continuous. Similarly, for $0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, an indexed collection \{A_t : |\arg t| < \theta\} of bounded operators is called an analytic semigroup of operators if $A_{s+t} = A_s A_t$ for $|\arg s| < \theta$ and $|\arg t| < \theta$, the map $t \mapsto A_t$ is holomorphic in the angular domain $\{t : t \neq 0$ and $|\arg t| < \theta\}$, and $\lim_{t \to 0, |\arg t| < \theta} A_t h = h$, in norm, for all $h$.

Notice that if $W_{f,\psi}$ and $W_{g,\psi}$ are weighted composition operators, then
\[
W_{f,\psi} W_{g,\psi} (h) = T_f C_\phi T_g C_\psi (h) = T_f C_\phi (g \circ (h \circ \psi)) = T_f ((g \circ \phi) \circ ((h \circ \psi) \circ \phi)) = W_{f,\phi} W_{g,\psi} (h)
\]
so that
\[
W_{f,\psi} W_{g,\psi} = W_{f,\phi} W_{g,\psi}.
\]

Now suppose that \{$T_{f_t} C_\phi$\} is a semigroup of weighted composition operators. Then the semigroup law is
\[
(T_{f_s} C_\phi)(T_{f_t} C_\phi) = T_{f_{s+t}} C_{\phi_{s+t}}
\]
or from the above calculation,
\[
T_{f_s} (f_{t} \circ \phi_s) C_{\phi_{t} \circ \phi_s} = T_{f_{s+t}} C_{\phi_{s+t}}.
\]

Evaluating this equality at the function 1 in $H^2$, we get
\[
T_{f_s} (f_{t} \circ \phi_s) C_{\phi_{t} \circ \phi_s}(1) = f_s \cdot (f_t \circ \phi_s) \cdot (1 \circ (\phi_t \circ \phi_s)) = f_s \cdot (f_t \circ \phi_s).
\]

and
\[
T_{f_{s+t}} C_{\phi_{s+t}}(1) = f_{s+t} \cdot (1 \circ \phi_{s+t}) = f_{s+t},
\]
which means
\[
f_s \cdot (f_t \circ \phi_s) = f_{s+t}
\]
for all $s$ and $t$. Similarly, evaluating at the identity function $\chi(z) = z$ in $H^2$, we get
\[
T_{f_s} (f_t \circ \phi_s) C_{\phi_{t} \circ \phi_s} (1) = f_s \cdot (f_t \circ \phi_s) \cdot (1 \circ (\phi_t \circ \phi_s)) = f_s \cdot (f_t \circ \phi_s) \cdot (\phi_t \circ \phi_s)
\]
and
\[
T_{f_{s+t}}C_{\varphi_{s+t}}(z) = f_{s+t} \cdot (\chi \circ \varphi_{s+t}) = f_{s+t}\varphi_{s+t},
\]
which means
\[
f_s \cdot (f_t \circ \varphi_s) \cdot (\varphi_t \circ \varphi_s) = f_{s+t}\varphi_{s+t},
\]
from which it follows that
\[
\varphi_t \circ \varphi_s = \varphi_{s+t}.
\]
In other words, the composition operator factors in a semigroup of weighted composition operators form a semigroup of composition operators, and the Toeplitz operator factors form a ‘cocycle’ of Toeplitz operators.

Let \( \mathcal{P} = \{ t : \Re t > 0 \} \) denote the right half plane. For \( t \) in \( \mathcal{P} \), let \( A_t = T_{f_t}C_{\varphi_t} \), where
\[
f_t(z) = \frac{1}{1 + t - tz}
\]
and
\[
\varphi_t(z) = \frac{t + (1 - t)z}{1 + t - tz}.
\]
Note that the relationship between \( a_0 \) and \( t \) can be expressed as \( a_0 = \varphi(0) = t/(1+t) \) for \( |a_0 - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{1}{2} \). However, \( a_t = \varphi'(0) = (1 + t)^{-2} \) is not real unless \( t \) is real, so \( A_t \) is not Hermitian for \( t \) not real.

**Theorem 5.1.** The \( A_t \), for \( t \) in the right half plane \( \mathcal{P} \), form an analytic semigroup of weighted composition operators.

**Proof.** To show \( A_tA_s = A_{t+s} \), it suffices to show that the cocycle relationship (Equation (9)) and the semigroup relationship (Equation (10)) hold. For the \( f_t \) and \( \varphi_t \) given above, the required equalities are
\[
f_s(z) \cdot f_t(\varphi_s(z)) = \frac{1}{1 + s - sz} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + t - t(1-s)z} = \frac{1}{1 + (s+t) - (s+t)z} = f_{s+t}(z)
\]
and
\[
\varphi_s(\varphi_t(z)) = \frac{s + (1-s)\frac{t(1-t)z}{1+t-tz}}{1 + s - \frac{s(t+1)(1-t)z}{1+t-tz}} = \frac{(s+t) + (1-(s+t))z}{1 + (s+t) - (s+t)z} = \varphi_{s+t}(z).
\]
Thus, the set \( \{ A_t : \Re t > 0 \} \) is a semigroup of weighted composition operators.

Since operator valued functions are analytic in the norm topology if and only if they are analytic in the weak-operator topology (Theorem 3.10.1 of [12, p. 93]), it is sufficient to check that the map \( t \mapsto \langle A_t h, K_z \rangle \) is holomorphic for each \( t \) in the right half plane. This is easy to see because \( h \) is holomorphic and
\[
\langle A_t h, K_z \rangle = f_t(z)h(\varphi_t(z)) = \frac{1}{1 + t - tz} h \left( \frac{t + (1 - t)z}{1 + t - tz} \right),
\]
which is clearly holomorphic in \( t \) for fixed \( z \).

Finally, we must show the strong continuity at \( t = 0 \). First note that for \( |t| \leq 1/3 \), when \( |z| < 1 \),
\[
|f_t(z)| = \frac{1}{|1 + t - tz|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |t||1 - z|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2/3} = 3,
\]
so for $|t| \leq 1/3$, we have $\|f_t\|_\infty \leq 3$. Similarly, recalling (see [8] p. 123), for example) that

$$\|C_{\varphi_t}\|^2 \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi_t(0)|}{1 - |\varphi_t(0)|}$$

if $|t| \leq 1/3$ and $t$ is in $\mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\|C_{\varphi_t}\|^2 \leq \frac{1 + \left|\frac{t}{1+t}\right|}{1 - \left|\frac{t}{1+t}\right|} = \frac{|1 + t| + |t|}{|1 + t| - |t|} \leq \frac{4/3 + 1/3}{2/3 - 1/3} = 5.$$  

Thus, for $t$ in $\mathcal{P}$ with $|t| \leq 1/3$, we have $\|A_t\| \leq 3\sqrt{3}$.

Observe that for $\alpha$ in the disk,

$$(A_tK_\alpha(z) = f_t(z)K_\alpha(\varphi_t(z)) = \frac{1}{1 + t - tz - \alpha(t + (1-t)z)}.$$ 

As $t$ approaches 0, the functions $A_tK_\alpha$ converge uniformly, and therefore in $H^2$, to $K_\alpha$. Since the kernel functions have dense span in $H^2$, we have $\lim_{t \to 0, t \in \mathcal{P}} A_t f = f$. Thus, $A_t$ is strongly continuous at $t = 0$, and the proof is complete.

The estimate used above for $\|A_t\|$, although useful, is a very poor estimate! In his thesis [16, p. 28], H. Sadraoui showed that $\|A_t\| = 1$ for each $t$ with $0 \leq t < \infty$. Also, the extension of this result, $\|A_t\| = 1$ for all $t$ in $\mathcal{P}$, follows from Theorem 5.3 or Corollary 5.3 whose proofs do not depend on Sadraoui’s result.

To consider the Hermitian case, by normalizing using Corollary 1.2, we may assume $0 < a_0 < 1$. That is, Corollary 1.2 says that for each instance of this case, $a_1 = (1 - |a_0|^2)$ for $0 < |a_0| < 1$, the operator $W_{g,\varphi}$ is unitarily equivalent to exactly one $W_{g,\psi}$ for which $\psi(0) > 0$, namely the one for which $\psi(0) = |a_0| > 0$ and $\psi'(0) = a_1 = (1 - |a_0|^2) = (1 - \psi(0))^2$. Writing $t = a_0/(1 - a_0)$, each such $W_{f,\varphi} = T_{\varphi}C_{\varphi_t}$ Hermitean weighted composition operator in the normalized third case, that is, $0 < a_0 < 1$ and $a_1 = (1 - a_0)^2$, is a multiple of $A_t = T_{\varphi}C_{\varphi_t}$, where $f_t$ and $\varphi_t$ are as above. For $0 < a_0 < 1$, it is easy to see that $0 < t < \infty$. It follows immediately from Theorem 5.1 that the $A_t$ for $0 \leq t < \infty$ form a semigroup of Hermitian weighted composition operators.

**Corollary 5.2.** The $A_t$, for $0 \leq t < \infty$, are a strongly continuous semigroup of Hermitian weighted composition operators.

The following result provides a foundation for one version of the Spectral Theorem for the Hermitian weighted composition operators $A_t$.

**Theorem 5.3.** For $0 < t < \infty$, each $A_t$ is a (star) cyclic Hermitian operator. In particular, the vector 1 in $H^2$ is a (star-)cyclic vector for $A_t$.

**Proof.** We note that because $A_t$ is Hermitian, a vector is a star-cyclic vector exactly when it is a cyclic vector.

For $0 < t < \infty$ and $\alpha$ in the unit disk,

$$A_tK_\alpha = C_{\varphi_t}T_{\varphi_t}^*K_\alpha = \frac{f_t(\alpha)}{f_t(0)}K_{\varphi_t}(\alpha) = \frac{f_t(\alpha)}{f_t(0)}K_{\varphi_t}(0).$$

Since the vector 1 in $H^2$ is $K_0$, we have $A_t(1) = f_t(0)K_{\varphi_t}(0)$. Now,

$$A_t(f_t(0)K_{\varphi_t}(0)) = A_t(A_t(1)) = A_{2t}(1) = f_{2t}(0)K_{\varphi_{2t}}(0),$$

and in general, clearly, $A^n_t(1) = f_{nt}(0)K_{\varphi_{nt}}(0)$.
To check cyclicity, we need to investigate the span of these vectors. Since the factor \( f_{nt}(0) \) is just a non-zero number, 1 is a cyclic vector for \( A_t \) if and only if span\{\( K_{\varphi_{nt}(0)} \)\} is dense in \( H^2 \). This span is dense if and only if the only vector orthogonal to all the vectors \( K_{\varphi_{nt}(0)} \) is 0. Since \( \langle h, K_{\varphi_{nt}(0)} \rangle = h(\varphi_{nt}(0)) \), this means that the span is dense if and only if the only function \( h \) in \( H^2 \) such that \( h(\varphi_{nt}(0)) = 0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) is the zero function.

That is, the span fails to be dense if the sequence \( \{\varphi_{nt}(0)\} \) is a Blaschke sequence and is dense if the sequence \( \{\varphi_{nt}(0)\} \) is not a Blaschke sequence. Now, consider the sum

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\varphi_{nt}(0)|) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{nt}{1 + nt} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + nt} = \infty.
\]

Since this sum is infinite, the sequence is not a Blaschke sequence, and therefore the vectors \( \{K_{\varphi_{nt}(0)}\} \) have dense span and 1 is a cyclic vector for \( A_t \).

This means that each of these operators is unitarily equivalent to an ordinary multiplication operator (see, for example, [1, p. 269]). The following result gives this explicitly.

In the theorem below, the equation \( (M_h f)(x) = h(x)f(x) \) for \( f \) in \( L^2([0, 1], dx) \) defines \( M_h \) on \( L^2 \).

**Theorem 5.4.** For \( 0 < t < \infty \), the Hermitian weighted composition operator \( A_t \) is unitarily equivalent to \( M_{x^t} \) on \( L^2([0, 1], dx) \). In fact, the operator \( U : H^2 \to L^2 \) given by

\[
U(f_s(0)K_{\varphi_s(0)}) = x^s
\]

is unitary, and \( UA_t = M_{x^t}U \).

**Proof.** Let \( t \) be a positive real number. In the proof of Theorem 5.3 we saw that \( 1 = f_0(0)K_{\varphi_0(0)} \) is a cyclic vector for \( A_t \), that

\[
A^n_t(f_0(0)K_{\varphi_0(0)}) = f_{tn}(0)K_{\varphi_{tn}(0)}
\]

and that the latter set, for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), has dense span in \( H^2 \). Since the operator \( M_{x^t} \) is a bounded Hermitian operator on \( L^2([0, 1], dx) \), we easily see that \( M_{x^t}^*1 = x^{tn} \), and the Stone-Weierstrass Approximation Theorem shows that \( \{x^{tn}\}_{n=0, 1, 2, \ldots} \) has dense span in \( L^2([0, 1], dx) \). Thus, if we define \( U \) as above, we see that for each non-negative integer \( n \),

\[
UA_t(f_n(0)K_{\varphi_n(0)}) = M_{x^t}U(f_n(0)K_{\varphi_n(0)}).
\]

We will show that \( U \) is isometric on the span of \( \{f_s(0)K_{\varphi_s(0)}\}_{0 \leq s < \infty} \). For \( 0 \leq r, s < \infty \), on \( H^2 \),

\[
\langle f_r(0)K_{\varphi_r(0)}, f_s(0)K_{\varphi_s(0)} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + r}1 - \frac{z}{1 + r}1, \frac{1}{1 + s}1 - \frac{s}{1 + s}z \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 + s} \left( \frac{1}{1 + r - rz}, \frac{1}{1 - s^{-1}z} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 + s} \left( \frac{1}{1 + r - rz}, \frac{1}{1 - s^{-1}z} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 + r + s}.
\]
Similarly, for $0 \leq r, s < \infty$, on $L^2([0, 1], dx)$,
\[
\langle x^r, x^s \rangle_{L^2} = \int_0^1 x^r x^s \, dx = \int_0^1 x^{r+s} \, dx = \frac{1}{1 + r + s} \left| x^{1+r+s} \right|_0^1 = \frac{1}{1 + r + s}.
\]

Now, the vectors $\{f_s(0)K_{\varphi, s}(0)\}_{0 < s < 1}$ are linearly independent in $H^2$ and have dense span. Similarly, the vectors $\{x^s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ are linearly independent and have dense span in $L^2([0, 1], dx)$. It follows that $U$ is well defined as a linear transformation from span$\{f_s(0)K_{\varphi, s}(0)\}_{0 < s < 1}$ in $H^2$ to span$\{x^s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ in $L^2([0, 1], dx)$. The inner product calculations above show that $U$ is isometric on these spans and therefore has a unique extension to an isometric operator from the closure of span$\{f_s(0)K_{\varphi, s}(0)\}_{0 < s < 1}$ onto the closure of span$\{x^s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ in $L^2([0, 1], dx)$. That is, $U$ is an isometric operator of $H^2$ onto $L^2([0, 1], dx)$; that is, it is a unitary operator between these spaces. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 5.5.** For $0 < t < \infty$, each $A_t$ is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by $y$ in $L^2([0, 1], \mu)$ for the Borel measure
\[
\mu(dy) = \frac{1}{t} y^{t-1} \, dy.
\]

**Proof.** Theorem 5.4 shows that $A_t$ is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by $x^t$ on $L^2([0, 1], dx)$, so it is enough to prove the unitary equivalence of multiplication by $x^t$ on $L^2([0, 1], dx)$ with multiplication by $y$ on $L^2([0, 1], \mu)$.

The unitary operator is just the change of variables map defined by
\[
V : L^2([0, 1], \mu) \to L^2([0, 1], dx), \text{ defined by } (Vf)(x) = f(x^t)
\]
for $f$ in $L^2([0, 1], \mu)$, where $\mu$ is the measure in the statement of the corollary. \hfill \Box

In the remainder of this section, we study the properties of the semigroup so that we can identify the spectral measures of $A_t$ in a more concrete way than the unitary operators of the above results. In fact, the unitarily equivalent semigroup of multiplication operators $\{M_{x^t} : t \in \mathcal{P}\}$, on $L^2([0, 1])$, is easier to study, so we begin by working out the details of that case.

Recall that the infinitesimal generator $\Delta$ of a strongly continuous semigroup $\{B_t : t \geq 0\}$ is the operator defined by
\[
\Delta f = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t} (B_t - I)f
\]
and that $\Delta$ is a closed operator whose domain is the set of $f$ in $H^2$ for which the limit above, as a limit in the norm, exists. The definition of the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup is analogous.

**Theorem 5.6.** The set $\{M_{x^t} : t \in \mathcal{P}\}$ forms an analytic semigroup of contractions on $L^2([0, 1])$. Let $\delta$ be the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup $\{M_{x^t}\}$ on $L^2([0, 1])$. The domain of $\delta$ is $\mathcal{D}_M = \{f \in L^2 : (\ln x)f \in L^2\}$, and for such $f$,
\[
\delta(f) = (\ln x)f.
\]

**Proof.** For $t = u + iv$ in $\mathcal{P}$, since $0 \leq x \leq 1$, we have $|x^t| = |x^u x^{iv}| = x^u \leq 1$. It follows from this observation and the calculation $1^t = 1$ that $\|M_{x^t}\| = 1$ for all $t$ in $\mathcal{P}$. The semigroup property is just
\[
M_{x^t} M_{x^s} = M_{x^{t+s}} = M_{x^{s+t}},
\]
and the analyticity follows from the fact that \( t \mapsto x^t \) is a holomorphic function for each \( x \). The strong continuity at \( t = 0 \), that \( \lim_{t \to 0, t \in \mathcal{P}} M_{x^t} f = f \) for each \( f \) in \( L^2 \), follows directly from the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and the observation that \( |x^t - 1| \leq 2 \) for each \( t \) in \( \mathcal{P} \). Thus, \( \{ M_{x^t} : t \in \mathcal{P} \} \) forms an analytic semigroup of contractions on \( L^2([0,1]) \).

Let \( f \) be a function in \( L^2([0,1]) \) for which \( (\ln x) f \) is also in \( L^2 \). To show that \( f \) is in the domain of \( \delta \), we need to estimate the norm of

\[
\frac{1}{t} (M_{x^t} - I) f - (\ln x) f = \frac{1}{t} (x^t f - f) - (\ln x) f = \left( \frac{x^t - 1}{t \ln x} - 1 \right) (\ln x) f.
\]

Lemma 5.7, proved below, shows that

\[
\left| \frac{x^t - 1}{t \ln x} \right| \leq 1,
\]

and this implies

\[
\left| \frac{x^t - 1}{t \ln x} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \frac{x^t - 1}{t \ln x} \right| + 1 \leq 2
\]

for each \( t \) in \( \mathcal{P} \). Moreover, for each \( x \) with \( 0 < x \leq 1 \),

\[
\lim_{t \to 0, t \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \frac{x^t - 1}{t \ln x} - 1 \right) = 0.
\]

It now follows from the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem that for each \( f \) in \( L^2([0,1]) \) for which \( (\ln x) f \) is also in \( L^2 \), that

\[
\lim_{t \to 0, t \in \mathcal{P}} \| \frac{1}{t} (M_{x^t} - I) f - (\ln x) f \| = \lim_{t \to 0, t \in \mathcal{P}} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{x^t - 1}{t \ln x} - 1 \right|^2 |(\ln x)|^2 dx = 0.
\]

Thus, each \( f \) in \( L^2 \) such that \( (\ln x) f \) is in \( L^2 \) is in the domain of the infinitesimal generator, \( \delta \). On the other hand, the calculations above make it clear that for any \( f \), the pointwise limit of \( \frac{1}{t} (M_{x^t} - I) f \) is \( (\ln x) f \), so if \( f \) is in the domain of \( \delta \), then \( \delta(f) = (\ln x) f \) and the proof is complete.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 5.7.** For \( t \) in the right half plane \( \mathcal{P} \) and \( 0 < x \leq 1 \),

\[
\left| \frac{x^t - 1}{t \ln x} \right| \leq 1.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( t \) in \( \mathcal{P} \) be written as \( t = \alpha + i\beta = \alpha(1 + is) \) for \( \beta = s\alpha \) and let \( s \) be real. For most of the discussion below, we will think of \( s \) as fixed, but arbitrary.
Using this notation,
\[ \frac{|x^t - 1|}{t \ln x}^2 = \frac{|e^{t \ln x} - 1|^2}{t \ln x} = \frac{|e^{\alpha \ln x}e^{i\alpha \ln x} - 1|^2}{(\alpha + i\alpha \ln x)^2} \]
\[ = \frac{|e^{\alpha \ln x}e^{i\alpha \ln x} - 1||e^{\alpha \ln x}e^{-i\alpha \ln x} - 1|}{(1 + s^2)(\alpha \ln x)^2} \]
\[ = \frac{e^{2\alpha \ln x} - (e^{i\alpha \ln x} + e^{-i\alpha \ln x})e^{\alpha \ln x} + 1}{(1 + s^2)(\alpha \ln x)^2} \]
\[ = \frac{e^{2\alpha \ln x} - 2\cos(\alpha \ln x)e^{\alpha \ln x} + 1}{(1 + s^2)(\alpha \ln x)^2} \]
\[ = \frac{(e^{\alpha \ln x} - 1)^2}{(1 + s^2)(\alpha \ln x)^2} + \frac{2e^{\alpha \ln x}(1 - \cos(\alpha \ln x))}{(1 + s^2)(\alpha \ln x)^2}. \]

We now consider the two summands separately and substitute $r = \alpha \ln x$, which means $-\infty < r \leq 0$ because $0 < x \leq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$.

To understand the first summand, we note that
\[ \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{e^r - 1}{r} = 1 \]
and that the function $(e^r - 1)/r$ is positive and increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$. We conclude that $(e^r - 1)/r \leq 1$ on the negative axis and
\[ \frac{(e^{\alpha \ln x} - 1)^2}{(1 + s^2)(\alpha \ln x)^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 + s^2}. \]

To understand the second summand, we note that $e^r < 1$, that $2e^r(1 - \cos(sr))/r^2$ is non-negative for $-\infty < r < 0$, and that
\[ \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{2e^r(1 - \cos(sr))}{r^2} = s^2. \]

The function $(1 - \cos(sr))/r^2$ has many local maxima and minima for $-\infty < r < 0$, but elementary calculus shows that when $(1 - \cos(sr))/r^2$ has a local maximum, $1 - \cos(sr) = rs \sin(sr)/2$. This means that at a local maximum of $(1 - \cos(sr))/r^2$,
\[ \left| \frac{1 - \cos(sr)}{r^2} \right| = \left| \frac{rs \sin(sr)/2}{r^2} \right| = s^2 \left| \frac{\sin(sr)}{sr} \right| \leq \frac{s^2}{2}, \]

because $|\sin \theta/\theta| \leq 1$ for all $\theta$. Since $(1 - \cos(sr))/r^2 \leq s^2/2$ at all its local maxima, we must have $(1 - \cos(sr))/r^2 \leq s^2/2$ for all $r$ on the negative axis. Thus, the second summand satisfies
\[ \frac{2e^{\alpha \ln x}(1 - \cos(\alpha \ln x))}{(1 + s^2)(\alpha \ln x)^2} \leq \frac{2}{(1 + s^2)} \frac{s^2}{2} = \frac{s^2}{1 + s^2}, \]
and we have shown
\[ \frac{|x^t - 1|^2}{t \ln x} \leq \frac{1}{1 + s^2} + \frac{s^2}{1 + s^2} = 1 \]
for each $t$ in the right half plane $\mathcal{P}$ and $0 < x < 1$, as we wanted. \qed
We can use our understanding of the semigroup \( \{M_x\} \) to better understand the semigroup of weighted composition operators that is our principal subject, because Theorem 5.4 shows that \( UA_t = M_xU \) for a specific unitary operator. For example, we can conclude that the domain of the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup \( \{A_t\} \) is the set of \( f \) in \( H^2 \) such that \( \ln(x)UF \) is in \( L^2 \). But this is not a very concrete description, since the unitary \( U \) is difficult to handle. A natural direct approach to describing the infinitesimal generator is to use polynomials and power series. This is more difficult than might be expected, because not all polynomials are in the range of the infinitesimal generator. The proof below gets around these difficulties by using ideas from Cesàro summability.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let \( \Delta \) be the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup \( \{A_t\} \) on \( H^2 \). The domain of \( \Delta \) is \( D_\Delta = \{ f \in H^2 : (z-1)^2 f' \in H^2 \} \). For such \( f \),

\[
\Delta(f)(z) = (z-1)^2 f'(z) + (z-1) f(z) = (z-1)((z-1)f(z))'.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f \) be a function in \( H^2 \) and suppose \( z \) is a point of the disk. Then we have

\[
(\Delta f)(z) = \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{1}{t} ((A_t - I)f)(z) = \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{f_t(z)f(\varphi_t(z)) - f(z)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{f_t(z)f(\varphi_t(z)) - f(z)}{t} \varphi_t(z) - \frac{f(z)}{t} = 1 \cdot f'(z) \cdot (1-z)^2 - (1-z)^2 f(z) = (z-1)((z-1)f'(z) + f(z)) = (z-1)((z-1)f(z))'.
\]

Thus, if \( f \) is in the domain \( D_\Delta \) of \( \Delta \), then \( (z-1)^2 f'(z) + (z-1) f(z) \) must be in \( H^2 \). Since the Toeplitz operator with symbol \( z-1 \) is bounded on \( H^2 \) when \( f \) is in \( H^2 \), \( (z-1)f(z) \) is also in \( H^2 \), so we conclude that if \( f \) is in the domain of \( \Delta \), then \( (z-1)^2 f' = \Delta(f) - (z-1)f \) must be in \( H^2 \).

To complete the proof, we must show that if \( f \) is a function in \( H^2 \) such that \( (z-1)^2 f' \) is also in \( H^2 \), then \( f \) is in the domain of \( \Delta \). Clearly, every polynomial is in \( D_\Delta \) because for a polynomial, the convergence of the limit in the calculation above is uniform on the closed disk, and therefore is a limit in the \( H^2 \) norm.

Suppose \( p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k z^k \) is a polynomial. Then

\[
(z-1)^2 p'(z) = b_1 + (-2b_1 + 2b_2)z + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ((k-1)b_{k-1} - 2kb_k + (k+1)b_{k+1}) z^k + ((n-1)b_{n-1} - nb_n) z^n + nb_n z^{n+1}.
\]

Suppose \( f \) is a function in \( H^2 \) and suppose \( (z-1)^2 f' \) is also in \( H^2 \). Letting \( f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \), a similar calculation to that above gives

\[
(z-1)^2 f'(z) = a_1 + (-2a_1 + 2a_2)z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ((k-1)a_{k-1} - 2ka_k + (k+1)a_{k+1}) z^k.
\]

Since \( (z-1)^2 f' \) is also in \( H^2 \), this series converges in \( H^2 \) norm to \( (z-1)^2 f' \).
For each positive integer \( n \), let \( p_n \) be the polynomial

\[ p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n-k}{n} a_k z^k. \]

Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n = f \), and the convergence is in the \( H^2 \) norm. The calculation above shows that \( r_n(z) = (z - 1)^2 p_n'(z) \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{n-1}{n} a_1 + \left( -\frac{2}{n} a_1 + \frac{2}{n} a_2 \right) z \\
+ \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{n-k+1}{n} a_{k-1} - 2 \frac{n-k}{n} a_k + \frac{k+1}{n} a_{k+1} \right) z^k \\
+ \left( (n-1) \frac{1}{n} a_{n-1} - 2n \cdot 0 \cdot a_n \right) z^n + n \cdot 0 \cdot a_n z^{n+1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Now, let \( q_n \) be the polynomial

\[
q_n(z) = a_1 + \frac{n-1}{n} (-2 a_1 + 2 a_2) z \\
+ \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{n-k}{n} ((k-1) a_{k-1} - 2k a_k + (k+1) a_{k+1}) z^k.
\]

Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = (z - 1)^2 f' \), and the convergence is in the \( H^2 \) norm. Using the two calculations above, we find that

\[
q_n(z) - r_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} a_1 - 2 \frac{1}{n} a_2 z + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left( -\frac{k-1}{n} a_{k-1} + \frac{k+1}{n} a_{k+1} \right) z^k \\
- \left( (n-1) \frac{1}{n} a_{n-1} \right) z^n.
\]

From this we can estimate the norms

\[
\|q_n - r_n\|^2 = \left\| \frac{1}{n} a_1 \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{2}{n} a_2 \right\|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left| -\frac{k-1}{n} a_{k-1} + \frac{k+1}{n} a_{k+1} \right|^2 \\
+ \left| \frac{n-1}{n} a_{n-1} \right|^2 \\
\leq \left\| \frac{1}{n} a_1 \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{2}{n} a_2 \right\|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left| \frac{k-1}{n} a_{k-1} \right|^2 \\
+ 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left| \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} a_{k-1} a_{k+1} \right| \\
+ \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left| \frac{k+1}{n} a_{k+1} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{n-1}{n} a_{n-1} \right|^2.
\]
Now \( f \) is in \( H^2 \), so \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \) converges, which means that for \( \epsilon > 0 \), there is \( N \) so that \( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \epsilon \). For such an \( N \), our previous estimate becomes

\[
\|q_n - r_n\|^2 \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} a_1 \right|^2 + \left| \frac{2}{n} a_2 \right|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{N} \left| \frac{k-1}{n} a_{k-1} \right|^2 \\
+ 2 \sum_{k=2}^{N} \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} |a_{k-1}a_{k+1}| + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \left| \frac{k+1}{n} a_{k+1} \right|^2 \\
+ \sum_{k=N+1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{k-1}{n} a_{k-1} \right|^2 + 2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{n-1} \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} |a_{k-1}a_{k+1}| \\
+ \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} \left| \frac{k+1}{n} a_{k+1} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{n-1}{n} a_{n-1} \right|^2.
\]

Using Cauchy-Schwartz, this becomes

\[
\|q_n - r_n\|^2 \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} a_1 \right|^2 + \left| \frac{2}{n} a_2 \right|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{N} \left| \frac{k-1}{n} a_{k-1} \right|^2 \\
+ 2 \sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{N} \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} |a_{k-1}|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{N} \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} |a_{k+1}|^2} \\
+ \sum_{k=N+1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{k-1}{n} a_{k-1} \right|^2 + 2 \sqrt{\sum_{k=N+1}^{n-1} \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} |a_{k-1}|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=N+1}^{n-1} \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} |a_{k+1}|^2} \\
+ \sum_{k=N}^{n-1} \left| \frac{k+1}{n} a_{k+1} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{n-1}{n} a_{n-1} \right|^2 \\
\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} a_1 \right|^2 + \left| \frac{2}{n} a_2 \right|^2 + \frac{N^2}{n^2} \|f\|^2 + 2 \frac{N^2}{n^2} \|f\|^2 + \frac{N^2}{n^2} \|f\|^2 \\
+ \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_{k-1}|^2 + 2 \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_{k+1}|^2 + \left| \frac{n-1}{n} a_{n-1} \right|^2.
\]

Having chosen \( N \) so that \( \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \epsilon \), we can choose \( n \) much bigger than \( N \) so that \( N^2/n^2 \|f\|^2 \) is also very small. Thus, we see that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|q_n - r_n\| = 0 \). Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = (z-1)^2 f' \), this also means that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = (z-1)^2 f' \).

Now, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n = f \), which means that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (z-1)p_n = (z-1) f \). We note that \( \Delta(p_n) = (z-1)^2 p_n' + (z-1)p_n = r_n + (z-1)p_n \), which means that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta(p_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} (z-1)p_n = (z-1)^2 f' + (z-1) f \).

Thus, we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n = f \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta(p_n) = (z-1)^2 f' + (z-1) f \). Since \( \Delta \) is a closed operator, this means that \( f \) is in \( D_A \), the domain of \( \Delta \), and that \( \Delta(f) = (z-1)^2 f' + (z-1) f \). \( \square \)
Lemma 5.9. If $f$ is analytic in the disk and $(z - 1)((z - 1)f(z))' = \lambda f(z)$, then
\[ f(z) = f_\lambda(z) = \frac{\kappa}{1 - z} e^{\lambda/z} \]
for some constant $\kappa$.

Proof. If $(z - 1)((z - 1)f(z))' = \lambda f(z)$, then
\[ (z - 1)(f(z) + (z - 1)f'(z)) = \lambda f(z) \]
and
\[ (z - 1)^2 f'(z) = -(z - 1 - \lambda) f(z). \]
Thus
\[ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = -\frac{1}{z - 1} + \frac{\lambda}{(z - 1)^2}. \]
Integrating both sides, we get
\[ \ln f(z) = -\ln(z - 1) - \frac{\lambda}{z - 1} + c \]
for some constant $c$. Hence, for $\kappa = e^c$, we get
\[ f(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} e^{\frac{\lambda}{1 - z}} e^{e^c} = \frac{\kappa}{1 - z} e^{\frac{\lambda}{1 - z}}. \]
\[ \square \]

Lemma 5.10. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there is no $\lambda$ for which $f_\lambda(z) = \frac{\kappa}{1 - z} e^{\frac{\lambda}{1 - z}}$ is in $H^p$.

Proof. Notice that
\[ e^{\frac{\lambda}{1 - z}} = e^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}} e^{\frac{\lambda}{1 - z}} e^{\frac{1 - z}{z}} \]
so the radial limits of $|e^{\frac{\lambda}{1 - z}}|$ are equal to $e^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}}$ for every radius except the radius along the positive real axis.

Since $f_\lambda$ in $H^p$ implies that its radial limit function is in $L^p(\partial D)$ and
\[ \lim_{r \to 1^+} |f_\lambda(re^{i\theta})| = \frac{\kappa e^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}}}{|1 - e^{i\theta}|} \]
is not in $L^p$ for $p \geq 1$, we see that $f_\lambda$ is not in $H^p$ for $p \geq 1$.
\[ \square \]

Corollary 5.11. For $t > 0$, the operator $A_t$ has no eigenvalues.

Proof. Because $\{A_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup,
\[ \sigma_p(A_t) \subset e^{t\sigma_p(\Delta)} \cup \{0\}. \]
(See, for example, [15, p. 46].) Because $A_t = T_{f_t}, C_{\varphi_t}$, and both the Toeplitz operator and the composition operator are one-to-one, 0 is not in the point spectrum of $A_t$.

Also, we know that $\lambda$ is in $\sigma_p(\Delta)$ if and only if
\[ f_\lambda(z) = \frac{\kappa}{1 - z} e^{\frac{\lambda}{1 - z}} \in H^2. \]
By Lemma 5.10, $\sigma_p(\Delta) = \emptyset$, which completes the proof.
\[ \square \]

Thus, we have the situation where the vectors we would expect to be the eigenvectors of the operators in the semigroup are not in $H^2$ and where the operators, in fact, have no eigenvectors. The following result is motivated by the calculations above and is a substitute for the failure of the operators to have eigenvectors.
Theorem 5.12. Let \( g_s(z) = (s - z)^{-1} e^{\frac{s}{s - z}} \) for \( \lambda < 0 \) and \( s > 1 \). Then
\[
\lim_{t \to 1} \left\| A_t \frac{g_s}{\| g_s \|} - e^{t\lambda} \frac{g_s}{\| g_s \|} \right\| = 0,
\]
and for \( \lambda < 0 \), this means \( e^{t\lambda} \) is in the approximate point spectrum, \( \sigma_{ap}(A_t) \).

Proof.
\[
A_t g_s(z) = T_f C_\varphi g_s(z)
= T_f g_s(\varphi_t(z))
= T_f \frac{1}{s - \varphi_t(z)} e^{\frac{s}{s - \varphi_t(z)}}
= f_t(z) \frac{1}{s - \varphi_t(z)} e^{\frac{\lambda [t + 1 - tz]}{1 - z}}
= \frac{1}{s(1 + t - tz) - (t + (1 - t)z)} e^{t\lambda} e^{\frac{s}{s - z}}.
\]
Fix \( s > 1 \). Then
\[
\left\| A_t g_s(z) - e^{t\lambda} g_s(z) \right\|
= \left\| \frac{1}{s(1 + t - tz) - (t + (1 - t)z)} - \frac{1}{s - z} \right\| e^{t\lambda} e^{\frac{s}{s - z}}
\leq (s - 1) \left\| \frac{t}{z(-ts + t - 1) - (ts + t - s)} e^{t\lambda} e^{\frac{s}{s - z}} \right\|
\leq (s - 1) \left\| \frac{t}{-z(ts - t + 1) + (ts - t + s)} \right\|
= \frac{s - 1}{|ts - t + s|} \left\| K_{t - 1 + \frac{1}{t - 1 + \frac{1}{s - t + 1}}} \right\|
= \frac{s - 1}{|ts - t + s|} \left( 1 - \frac{|ts - t + 1|}{|ts - t + 1|} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
= \frac{(s - 1)t}{\sqrt{(s - 1)(s + 1 + 2t(s - 1))}}.
\]

On the other hand, since \( e^{-\frac{s}{s - z}} \) is a singular inner function,
\[
\| g_s(z) \|^2
= \left\langle \frac{1}{s - z} e^{\frac{s}{s - z}}, \frac{1}{s - z} e^{\frac{s}{s - z}} \right\rangle
= \left\langle \frac{1}{s - z} e^{\frac{s}{s - z} (1 + \frac{1}{t - 1 + \frac{1}{s - t + 1}})} \frac{1}{s - z} e^{\frac{s}{s - z} (1 + \frac{1}{t - 1 + \frac{1}{s - t + 1}})} \right\rangle
= e^\lambda \left\langle \frac{1}{s - z} \left( e^{-\frac{s}{s - z}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{s - z} \left( e^{-\frac{s}{s - z}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle
= e^\lambda \left\langle \frac{1}{s - z}, \frac{1}{s - z} \right\rangle e^\lambda \left\langle K_\frac{1}{s}, K_\frac{1}{s} \right\rangle = \frac{e^\lambda}{s^2} K_\frac{1}{s} K_\frac{1}{s} = \frac{e^\lambda}{s^2} K_\frac{1}{s} = \frac{e^\lambda}{s^2 - 1}.
\]
Hence
\[
\| g_s \| = \frac{e^\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{s^2 - 1}}.
\]
Therefore,
\[
\lim_{s \to 1} \|A_t \frac{g_s}{\|g_s\|} - e^{t \lambda} \frac{g_s}{\|g_s\|}\|
\leq \lim_{s \to 1} (s - 1)te^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{s^2 - 1}}{(s - 1)(s + 1 + 2t(s - 1))} = 0.
\]
Thus for \( \lambda < 0 \), the number \( e^{t \lambda} \) is in \( \sigma_{ap}(A_t) \). □

**Corollary 5.13.** For each \( t \geq 0 \), the spectrum of \( A_t \) is given by \( \sigma(A_t) = [0, 1] \).

*Proof.* For \( 0 < t < \infty \), from the semigroup property we have \( A_t = A_{t/2}^2 \). It follows that each \( A_t \) is a positive operator and \( \sigma(A_t) \subset [0, \infty) \).

By Theorem 5.12, we get
\[
\{ e^{t \lambda} : \lambda < 0 \} \subset \sigma_{ap}(A_t) \subset \sigma(A_t).
\]
Since \( \{ e^{t \lambda} : \lambda < 0 \} = (0, 1) \) and \( \sigma(A_t) \) is compact,
\[
[0, 1] \subset \sigma(A_t).
\]
Finally, the fact that \( \|A_t\| = 1 \) shows that \( \sigma(A_t) = [0, 1] \). □

A different computation of the spectra can be given by using the fact that these operators are part of an analytic semigroup and by applying the Gelfand theory as in [4, p. 102] or [8, p. 302]. Also, the unitary equivalences of Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.5 and the spectra of the \( M_{A_t} \) give this result. The approach above motivates our calculation of the spectral measures.

Recall the following property of isometries on Hilbert spaces.

**Lemma 5.14.** If \( S \) is an isometry, that is, if \( S \) is a bounded operator such that \( S^*S = I \), then \( SS^* \) is the orthogonal projection onto a range of \( S \).

The idea underlying the computation of the spectral measure for our operators is that the eigenvectors of our operators should be
\[
\frac{1}{1 - z} e^{\frac{1}{2} \lambda} = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2} \lambda}}{1 - z} e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + i\pi}{r}}.
\]
If these were eigenvectors for \( A_1 \), say, then the spectral measure associated with \([0, r]\) for \( 0 \leq r \leq 1 \) would be the projection onto the subspace spanned by eigenvectors whose eigenvalues are in \([0, r]\). This will be the case for \( A_1 \) if and only if \( \lambda \) is a number so that \( 0 < e^{\lambda} \leq r \). So suppose \( r \) is given and \( \lambda_0 = \ln r \) so that \( e^{\lambda_0} = r \).

Looking at the “eigenvectors”, it looks like the subspace containing the eigenvectors for the eigenvalues with \( 0 < e^{\lambda} < r \) ought, if they were actually in \( H^2 \), to span the subspace \( e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + i\pi}{r}} H^2 \).

We want to prove that this is the correct set of projections. For \( \lambda \leq 0 \), the Toeplitz operator
\[
T_{e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + i\pi}{r}}}
\]
is an isometry because \( e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + i\pi}{r}} \) is an inner function. For \( 0 < r \leq 1 \), by Lemma 5.14
\[
P_r = T_{e^{\ln r \frac{1 + i\pi}{r}}} T_{e^{\ln r \frac{1 + i\pi}{r}}}
\]
is the orthogonal projection onto the range of the inner function Toeplitz operator which is just $e^{\frac{\ln r + \alpha}{1+\alpha}} H^2$. Thus, we want to prove that the family of projections in the spectral measure of our operators is

$$\{P_r : 0 < r \leq 1\}.$$  

We begin by showing that these projections have the properties appropriate for creating a spectral measure.

**Proposition 5.15.** For $0 < r < s \leq 1$, $P_rP_s = P_sP_r = P_r$. In particular, the projections $P_r$ and $P_s$ commute. Furthermore, $P_1 = I$ and $\bigcap_{0 < r \leq 1} \operatorname{ran} P_r = (0)$.

**Proof.** Since $\ln 1 = 0$, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\text{ln} \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}} = T_{\text{ln} 0} = T_1 = I$, so $P_1 = I$.

Suppose $f$ is in $\bigcap_{0 < r \leq 1} \operatorname{ran} P_r$ and $f = ug$ is its inner–outer factorization. For a point $\alpha$ in the open disk, we have $|f(\alpha)| = |u(\alpha)||g(\alpha)|$. Since $f$ is in $\operatorname{ran} P_{1/\epsilon}$, we know that the inner function $e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln r + \alpha}{1+\alpha}}$ divides $u$ and $|u(\alpha)| \leq |e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1+\alpha}{1+\alpha}}| < 1$. In the same way, we see, since $f$ is in the range of $P_{e^{-\alpha}}$, that

$$|u(\alpha)| \leq |e^{-\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}|$$

for every positive integer $n$. It follows that $u(\alpha) = 0$, but since this is true for each $\alpha$ in the disk, $u \equiv 0$, and therefore $f = ug \equiv 0$ also. This shows $\bigcap_{0 < r \leq 1} \operatorname{ran} P_r = (0)$.

We claim that for $r < s$, we have $\operatorname{ran} P_r \subset \operatorname{ran} P_s$. If $f$ is in $\operatorname{ran} P_r$, then there is a function $g$ in $H^2$ such that $f = e^{\frac{\ln r + \alpha}{1+\alpha}} g$. Now

$$f = e^{\frac{\ln r + \alpha}{1+\alpha}} g = e^{\frac{\ln r}{1+\alpha}} \left(e^{\frac{\ln r - \ln s}{1+\alpha}} g\right).$$

Since $\ln r < \ln s$, we have $\ln r - \ln s < 0$, which means $e^{\frac{\ln r - \ln s}{1+\alpha}}$ is an inner function and the latter factor above,

$$e^{\frac{\ln r - \ln s}{1+\alpha}} g,$$

is in $H^2$. Therefore, Equation (11) says that $f$ is a product of $e^{\frac{\ln r + \alpha}{1+\alpha}}$ and a function in $H^2$, which means it is in the range of $P_s$. Because $f$ was an arbitrary function in the range of $P_r$, we have $\operatorname{ran} P_r \subset \operatorname{ran} P_s$.

Since $P_r$ and $P_s$ are orthogonal projections and $\operatorname{ran} P_r \subset \operatorname{ran} P_s$, we have both $P_rP_s = P_r$ and $P_sP_r = P_r$, as we wished to prove.

The following lemma will facilitate our calculations involving the projections of interest and the semigroup operators.

**Lemma 5.16.** If $u$ is an inner function, $P = T_u T_u^*$ is the projection onto $uH^2$, and $\alpha$ is a point of the unit disk, then

$$PK_\alpha = \overline{u(\alpha)}uK_\alpha.$$ 

**Proof:**

$$(PK_\alpha)(z) = (T_u T_u^* K_\alpha)(z) = \left(T_u \overline{u(\alpha)} K_\alpha\right)(z) = \overline{u(\alpha)} (uK_\alpha)(z) = \overline{u(\alpha)} u(\alpha) K_\alpha(z).$$

In particular, this calculation allows us to show that the projections commute with the semigroup operators.

**Proposition 5.17.** For $0 < r \leq 1$, each of the projections $P_r$ commutes with $A_t$. 


Proof. Let \( u \) be the inner function \( u(z) = e^{\frac{\ln r + i\pi}{2}} \) so that \( P_r \) is the projection onto \( uH^2 \). We keep in mind that \( A_t \) is Hermitian, so that \( A_t = T_{f_t} C_{\varphi_t} = C_{\varphi_t}^* T_{f_t}^* \).

For any \( \alpha \) in \( \mathbb{D} \), using Lemma \[5.16\]
\[
\begin{align*}
(P_t A_t K_\alpha)(z) &= (P_t C_{\varphi_t}^* T_{f_t}^* K_\alpha)(z) = \overline{f_t(\alpha)} \left( P_t K_{\varphi_t(\alpha)} \right)(z) \\
&= \frac{f_t(\alpha) u(\varphi_t(\alpha)) u(z) K_{\varphi_t(\alpha)}(z)}{f_t(\alpha) u(\varphi_t(\alpha)) u(z) K_{\varphi_t(\alpha)}(z)}.
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, using Lemma \[5.16\] and Proposition \[1.3\]
\[
\begin{align*}
(A_t P_t K_\alpha)(z) &= u(\alpha)(A_t u K_\alpha)(z) = u(\alpha) u(\varphi_t(z)) (A_t K_\alpha)(z) \\
&= \frac{u(\alpha) u(\varphi_t(z)) \left(C_{\varphi_t}^* T_{f_t}^* K_\alpha\right)(z)}{u(\alpha) u(\varphi_t(z)) \left(C_{\varphi_t}^* T_{f_t}^* K_\alpha\right)(z)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Comparing the two expressions, we see that we must consider \( u(\alpha) u(\varphi_t(z)) \) and \( u(\varphi_t(\alpha)) u(z) \). Note that because the Taylor coefficients of the various functions involved are real, this is the same as comparing \( u(\varphi_t(z)) \) and \( u(\varphi_t(\alpha)) u(z) \). A tedious calculation shows that
\[
u(\varphi_t(z)) = u(\varphi_t(\alpha)) u(z) = e^{t \ln r} u(z) \overline{u(\alpha)},
\]
and we conclude that \( P_t A_t K_\alpha = A_t P_t K_\alpha \) for every \( \alpha \) in the disk. Since the span of the \( K_\alpha \) is dense in \( H^2 \) and the operators are bounded, we see that \( P_t A_t = A_t P_t \), as desired.

\[\textbf{Theorem 5.18.} \ \text{Let} \ t \ \text{be a positive real number. Letting} \ P_0 = 0, \ \text{the projections} \ \{P_r\}_{0 \leq r \leq 1} \ \text{form a resolution of the identity for the operator} \ A_t. \ \text{Related to} \ A_t, \ \text{the projection} \ P_r \ \text{corresponds to the interval} \ [0, r^t] \ \text{as a subset of the spectrum of} \ A_t. \ \text{This means we have}
\]
\[
A_t = \int_0^1 r^t \, dP_r.
\]

Proof. For \( 0 < r \leq 1 \), let \( u_r \) be the inner function \( u_r(z) = e^{\frac{\ln r + i\pi}{2}} \) so that \( P_r \) is the projection onto \( u_r H^2 \). Lemma \[5.16\] says that, for \( \alpha \) a point of the unit disk,
\[
(P_r K_\alpha)(z) = u_r(\alpha) u_r(z) K_\alpha(z).
\]

If \( 0 = r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_{n-1} < r_n = 1 \), then, for \( 1 < j \leq n \),
\[
\begin{align*}
\left((P_{r_j} - P_{r_{j-1}}) K_\alpha\right)(z) &= (P_{r_j} K_\alpha)(z) - (P_{r_{j-1}} K_\alpha)(z) \\
&= u_{r_j}(\alpha) u_{r_j}(z) K_\alpha(z) - u_{r_{j-1}}(\alpha) u_{r_{j-1}}(z) K_\alpha(z) \\
&= e^{\frac{\ln r_j}{2} \left(\frac{i \pi}{2} + \frac{i \pi}{4}\right)} - e^{\frac{\ln r_{j-1}}{2} \left(\frac{i \pi}{2} + \frac{i \pi}{4}\right)} K_\alpha(z).
\end{align*}
\]

(When \( j = 1 \), easy adjustments in the formulas must be made because \( P_0 = 0 \).)

Combining these into a single sum, we have
\[
\sum e^{t \ln r_j} \left((P_{r_j} - P_{r_{j-1}}) K_\alpha\right)(z)
= \left(\sum e^{t \ln r_j} \left(e^{\frac{\ln r_j}{2} \left(\frac{i \pi}{2} + \frac{i \pi}{4}\right)} - e^{\frac{\ln r_{j-1}}{2} \left(\frac{i \pi}{2} + \frac{i \pi}{4}\right)} \right) K_\alpha(z)
= \left(\sum e^{t \ln r_j} \frac{e^{\frac{\ln r_j}{2} \left(\frac{i \pi}{2} + \frac{i \pi}{4}\right)} - e^{\frac{\ln r_{j-1}}{2} \left(\frac{i \pi}{2} + \frac{i \pi}{4}\right)} \left(r_j - r_{j-1}\right)}{r_j - r_{j-1}} K_\alpha(z).
\]
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Hence \( \sum e^{t \ln r_j} ((P_{r_j} - P_{r_{j-1}})K_\alpha)(z) \) converges to the following integral:

\[
\int_0^1 r^t \frac{d}{dr} \left[ e^{\frac{r}{2t} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-\alpha z} + \frac{1-\alpha z}{1+z} \right)} \right] dr K_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 r^t \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{\frac{1}{2t} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-\alpha z} + \frac{1-\alpha z}{1+z} \right)} \right] dr K_\alpha(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1-\alpha z} \left( \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) \int_0^1 r^{\frac{1}{2t} (2t+\frac{1+z}{1-\alpha z} + \frac{1-\alpha z}{1+z})-1} dr
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1-t-\alpha z} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(1-t)+(1-t)(\alpha z)} = T_{f_t} C_{\psi_t} K_\alpha = A_t K_\alpha.
\]

Since the span of the kernel functions is dense in \( H^2 \) and the integral represents a bounded operator, the equality holds for all vectors in \( H^2 \) and the theorem is proved.

\[ \square \]

6. APPLICATIONS OF THE SEMIGROPS IN THE CONTINUOUS CASE

In this section, we will extend the results on semigroups discussed in the previous section and use these and some of the results of the previous section to find the polar decomposition, the absolute value, and the Aluthge transform of some composition operators on \( H^2 \). Recall that a bounded linear operator \( T \) on a Hilbert space has a unique polar decomposition \( T = U|T| \), where \( |T| = (T^*T)^{1/2} \) and \( U \) is the appropriate partial isometry. Associated with \( T \), there is a very useful related operator \( \overline{T} = |T|^{1/2} U|T|^{1/2} \), called the Aluthge transform of \( T \) (see [13] for more details).

First, we use ideas about coboundaries to give an extended version of Corollary 5.2 and this provides a different proof of the continuity of the semigroup which is part of that result. We follow the terminology and definitions from Siskakis [17, 18] and Konig [14]. A coboundary for \( \{ \varphi_t : t \geq 0 \} \) is a cocycle that can be written in the form

\[
\{ f_t(z) = \frac{w(\varphi_t(z))}{w(z)} : t \geq 0 \}
\]

for all \( z \) in \( \mathbb{D} \) and a suitable analytic function \( w \).

**Theorem 6.1.** For \( 0 \leq t < \infty \), the cocycle \( f_t \) in the definition of the operator \( A_t \) is a coboundary with \( w(z) = z - 1 \). Therefore, \( A_t \) is a strongly continuous semigroup of Hermitian weighted composition operators.

**Proof.** We have seen in the previous section that \( A_t \) is a semigroup of Hermitian weighted composition operators.

For \( w(z) = z - 1 \),

\[
\frac{w(\varphi_t(z))}{w(z)} = \frac{1 - t + \frac{(1-t)z}{1+t-tz}}{1-z} = \frac{1 + t - tz - t - (1-t)z}{(1+t-tz)(1-z)} = \frac{1-z}{(1+t-tz)(1-z)} = \frac{1}{1+t-tz} = f_t(z).
\]
We observe that for $0 \leq t < \infty$, 
\[
\left\| \frac{w \circ \varphi_t}{w} \right\|_\infty = \|f_t\|_\infty = 1.
\]
This means that 
\[
\limsup_{t \to 0} \left\| \frac{w \circ \varphi_t}{w} \right\|_\infty = 1,
\]
and this implies [18, p. 245] that $A_t$ is strongly continuous on $H^2$. □

These ideas about the semigroups above can be used to find the polar decomposition, the absolute value, and the Aluthge transform of some composition operators on $H^2$.

To compute an example, we let $\sigma_s(z) = e^{-s}z + 1 - e^{-s}$ for $s \geq 0$ and $z$ in the unit disk. This is a continuous semigroup of maps of the unit disk into itself, and it is known that for each positive number $s$, the operator $C_{\sigma_s}^*$ on $H^2$ is a subnormal operator whose spectrum is the disk $\{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq e^{s/2}\}$.

**Theorem 6.2.** For any positive numbers $p$ and $s$ 
\[ e^{ps}A_{pt} = (C_{\sigma_s}^*C_{\sigma_s})^p, \]
where $t = e^s - 1$.

**Proof.** First, we note by [8, p. 322] or [5, Thm. 2] that $C_{\sigma_s}^* = T_gC_\psi T_h^*$, where 
\[
g(z) = \frac{1}{-(1 - e^{-s})z + 1}, \quad h(z) = 0z + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) = \frac{e^{-s}z}{-(1 - e^{-s})z + 1}.
\]

Since $T_h^* = I$, it follows that 
\[ C_{\sigma_s}^*C_{\sigma_s} = T_gC_\psi C_{\sigma_s} = T_gC_{\sigma_s \circ \psi}. \]

Calculating $\sigma_s \circ \psi$ and rewriting with the goal of connecting this to the notation of the previous section, we have 
\[
\sigma_s \circ \psi = e^{-s}\frac{e^{-s}z}{-(1 - e^{-s})z + 1} + 1 - e^{-s} = \frac{e^{-2s}z - (1 - e^{-s})^2z + 1 - e^{-s}}{-(1 - e^{-s})z + 1} \\
= \frac{(-1 + 2e^{-s})z + 1 - e^{-s}}{-(1 - e^{-s})z + 1} = \frac{(-e^s + 2)e^s - 1}{-(e^s - 1)z + e^s} \\
= \frac{(1 - t)z + t}{-tz + t + 1} = \varphi_t(z)
\]
for $t = e^s - 1$. Similarly, we have 
\[
g(z) = \frac{1}{-(1 - e^{-s})z + 1} = \frac{e^s}{-(e^s - 1)z + e^s} = \frac{e^s}{-tz + t + 1} = e^sf_t.
\]
Thus, we have 
\[ C_{\sigma_s}^*C_{\sigma_s} = T_gC_{\sigma_s \circ \psi} = e^sT_{f_t}C_{\varphi_s} = e^sA_t. \]

The semigroup property for $A_t$ shows $(A_t)^p = A_{pt}$, so the result follows. □

**Corollary 6.3.** For any positive number $s$, the absolute value of $C_{\sigma_s}$ is given by 
\[ |C_{\sigma_s}| = e^{s/2}A_{t/2}, \]
where $t = e^s - 1$.

**Proof.** This is the case $p = 1/2$ in Theorem 6.2. □
We want to use the calculation of the absolute value to get the polar decomposition; that is, we want to find a unitary operator $U$ so that $C_{\sigma_s} = U|C_{\sigma_s}|$. If $|C_{\sigma_s}|$ were invertible, then $U = C_{\sigma_s}|C_{\sigma_s}|^{-1}$. In this case, of course, $|C_{\sigma_s}|$ is not invertible, but we will proceed, formally, anyway. Corollary 6.3 says $|C_{\sigma_s}| = TC$, where $T$ is some analytic Toeplitz operator and $C$ is some composition operator. If it made sense, this would mean that $U = C_{\sigma_s}(TC)^{-1} = C_{\sigma_s}C^{-1}T^{-1}$. Of course, formally at least, $C^{-1}$ is another composition operator and $T^{-1}$ is another analytic Toeplitz operator. These considerations motivate the statement of the following result, which is easy to prove once the correct statement has been discovered.

**Theorem 6.4.** For any positive number $s$, the polar decomposition of $C_{\sigma_s}$ is $C_{\sigma_s} = U_s|C_{\sigma_s}|$, where $|C_{\sigma_s}| = e^{s/2}A_{1/2}$ and the unitary operator is $U_s = C_{\zeta_s}T_{k_s}$, for

$$k_s(z) = \frac{(1 - e^s)z + e^s + 1}{2e^{s/2}}$$

and

$$\zeta_s(z) = \frac{(e^s + 1)z + e^s - 1}{(e^s - 1)z + e^s + 1} = z + \frac{e^s - 1}{e^s + 1}z + 1,$$

for $t = e^s - 1$.

**Proof.** It is clear that $T_{k_s}$ is a bounded analytic Toeplitz operator. The function $\zeta_s$ is an automorphism of the disk

$$\zeta_s(z) = \frac{(e^s + 1)z + e^s - 1}{(e^s - 1)z + e^s + 1} = z + \frac{e^s - 1}{e^s + 1}z + 1,$$

because $-1 < \frac{e^s - 1}{e^s + 1} < 1$ for $s$ positive. This means that $C_{\zeta_s}$ is bounded on $H^2$ and $U$ is a bounded operator.

From Corollary 6.3 and the equality $t = e^s - 1$, for any function $f$ in $H^2$ and any $z$ in the disk, we have

$$\langle |C_{\sigma_s}|f(z) \rangle = \left(e^{s/2}A_{1/2}f\right)(z) = e^{s/2}f_{1/2}(z)\left(C_{\varphi_{1/2}}f\right)(z) = \left(C_{\varphi_{1/2}}f\right)(z)$$

$$= \frac{e^{s/2}}{(1 - e^s)z + e^s + 1} \left(C_{\varphi_{1/2}}f\right)(z) = \frac{2e^{s/2}}{(1 - e^s)z + e^s + 1} \left(C_{\varphi_{1/2}}f\right)(z).$$

Because the multiplier in the above expression for $\langle |C_{\sigma_s}|f(z) \rangle$ is just the reciprocal of $k_s$, we easily see that

$$U_s|C_{\sigma_s}| = C_{\zeta_s}C_{\varphi_{1/2}} = C_{\varphi_{1/2}\sigma_s}. $$

The symbol for the latter composition operator is

$$\varphi_{1/2}\zeta_s(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_s(z)}{1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_s(z)} = \frac{t + (2 - t)\zeta_s(z)}{2 + t - t\zeta_s(z)}$$

$$= \frac{e^s - 1 + (3 - e^s)\zeta_s(z)}{1 + e^s - (e^s - 1)\zeta_s(z)} = \frac{e^s - 1 + (3 - e^s)(e^s + 1)z + e^{s/2}}{1 + e^s - (e^s - 1)(e^s + 1)z + e^{s/2}}$$

$$= \frac{(e^{2s} - 2e^s + 1)z + e^{2s} - 1 + (3 + 2e^s - e^{2s} + 4e^s - 3)z + 4e^s - 3 - e^{2s}}{4z + 4e^s - 4} = e^{-s}z + 1 - e^{-s} = \sigma_s(z).$$

That is, for this choice of $U_s$, we have $U_s|C_{\sigma_s}| = C_{\sigma_s}$.

Finally, we can rewrite $U_s = C_{\zeta_s}T_{k_s}$ to understand it better. Letting

$$a = \frac{e^s - 1}{e^s + 1}$$

and

$$\xi(z) = \frac{z - a}{az - 1},$$


we see from Equation (12) above that

\[
\zeta(z) = \frac{z + a}{az + 1} = \frac{-z - a}{a(-z) - 1} = \xi(-z)
\]

so that \(C_\zeta = C_{-z}C_\xi\). Furthermore, we see that, for \(h\) in \(H^2\),

\[
(C_\zeta T_k h)(z) = (C \xi T_k h)(z) = k_s(\xi(z))h(\xi(z)) = (T_f C \xi h)(x),
\]

where

\[
f(z) = k_s(\xi(z)) = \frac{(1 - e^s)(\frac{z}{\sqrt{e^s + 1}}) + e^s + 1}{2e^{s/2}} = \frac{(1 - e^s)(\frac{(e^s + 1)z - (e^s - 1)}{2e^{s/2}(e^s - 1)z - (e^s + 1)})}{2e^{s/2}(e^s - 1)z - (e^s + 1)}
\]

In other words, \(U_s = C_{-z}(T_f C_\xi)\) and, from the description in Section 3, both \(C_{-z}\) and \(T_f C_\xi\) are Hermitian isometric weighted composition operators. That is, \(U_s\) is the product of two unitary operators and is therefore also unitary.

We conclude that \(C_{\sigma_s} = U_s|C_{\sigma_s}|\) is the polar decomposition of \(C_{\sigma_s}\), as we were to prove. \(\square\)

**Corollary 6.5.** For any positive number \(s\), the Aluthge transform of \(C_{\sigma_s}\) is given by

\[
\overline{C_{\sigma_s}} = |C_{\sigma_s}|^{1/2}U_s|C_{\sigma_s}|^{1/2} = \left(e^{s/4}A_{t/4}\right)U_s\left(e^{s/4}A_{t/4}\right),
\]

where \(U_s = C_\zeta T_k\), and \(t = e^s - 1\).

**Proof.** Corollary 6.3 and the semigroup properties of \(A_t\) imply

\[
|C_{\sigma_s}|^{1/2} = e^{s/4}A_{t/4}.
\]

If it is desired, since each of the factors in the Aluthge transform of \(C_{\sigma_s}\) is the product of an analytic Toeplitz operator and a composition operator, we can write \(C_{\sigma_s}\) as a product of an analytic Toeplitz operator and a composition operator as well.
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