FIBERED STABLE VARIETIES

ZSOLT PATAKFALVI

Abstract. We show that if a stable variety (in the sense of Kollár and Shepherd-Barron) admits a fibration with stable fibers and base, then this fibration structure deforms (uniquely) for all small deformations. During our proof we obtain a Bogomolov-Sommese type vanishing for vector bundles and reflexive differential $n-1$-forms as well.
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1. Introduction

The moduli space $\overline{M}_h$ of stable varieties (or equivalently of semi-log canonical models) with Hilbert polynomial $h$ is the natural generalization of the widely investigated space $\overline{M}_g$ of stable curves of genus $g$ [Kol10], [KS88], [Kol90]. It parametrizes (possibly reducible) varieties with semi-log canonical singularities and ample canonical bundle. In [BHPS13] connected components containing products of stable varieties were described very precisely. It turned out that if a stable variety admits a product structure, then so do all its deformations. Instead of having a product structure, one can look at the weaker condition: having a fibration structure with stable fibers and base. Then the fibration structure does not extend to all deformations as a product structure because of certain monodromy issues in the limit at infinity [AV02]. However, according to the main result of the paper, the fibration structure does extend to small deformations.

Theorem 1.1. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. If a stable variety $X$ admits a fibration structure $f: X \to Y$ with stable fibers and base, then:

1. For every deformation $X'$ of $X$ over an Artinian local $k$-algebra $A$ there is a unique deformation of $f: X \to Y$ over $A$ of the form $f': X' \to Y'$ such that $Y'_A \cong Y$ and $f'_A = f$ via this isomorphism.
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(2) If further the above deformation $X' \to \text{Spec } A$ is a stable deformation, then both $f'$ and $Y' \to \text{Spec } A$ are stable families. Here stable family means that it also satisfies Kollár's condition, that is, the reflexive powers of the relative canonical sheaves commute with base change.

Point (2) of Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following moduli theoretic statement. To state it, fix three $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ functions $h_1$, $h_2$ and $h$, and consider the following pseudo-functor $\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h}$: for a test $k$-scheme $B$, $\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h}(B)$ consists of fibrations $X \to Y \to B$, where both $Y \to B$ and $X \to Y$ are stable families and the Hilbert functions of $Y \to B$, $X \to Y$ and of $X \to B$ are $h_1$, $h_2$ and $h$, respectively. We will prove that $\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h}$ is a DM-stack locally of finite type over $k$. Furthermore, there is a forgetful map $F: \mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h} \to \mathfrak{M}_h$ obtained by forgetting the fibration structure of $X$. Then the equivalent rewording of point (2) of Theorem 1.1 is:

**Theorem 1.2.** The forgetful morphism $F: \mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h} \to \mathfrak{M}_h$ is étale.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 is as follows.

**Corollary 1.3.** The image of $F: \mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h} \to \mathfrak{M}_h$ is dense in every component it intersects.

In the special cases when $\deg h_1 = \deg h_2 = 1$, i.e., when the fibers and the base of $f$ are curves, a compactification of each connected component of $\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h}$ can be obtained as $\mathcal{X}^{\text{bal}}_{g_1, 0}(\mathfrak{M}_{g_2}, d)$ for adequate values of $g_1$, $g_2$ and $d$. Here $\mathfrak{M}_{g_2}$ is the usual space of stable curves with genus $g_2$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\text{bal}}_{g_1, 0}(-, d)$ is the Abramovich-Vistoli space of stable maps [AV02]. Note also that $g_1$ and $g_2$ are just the genera given by $h_1$ and $h_2$. On the other hand, $d$ depends on the actual connected component of $\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h}$ considered. One can show now that $F$ extends naturally to a forgetful morphism $\overline{F}: \mathcal{X}^{\text{bal}}_{g_1, 0}(\mathfrak{M}_{g_2}, d) \to \mathfrak{M}_h$ [Pat10, Notation 7.2]. Since every component of both $\mathcal{X}^{\text{bal}}_{g_1, 0}(\mathfrak{M}_{g_2}, d)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_h$ is proper and the image of $\overline{F}$ is dense in the relevant components according to Corollary 1.3, $\overline{F}$ is surjective onto every irreducible component that it intersects. Therefore, the one parameter degenerations of stable surfaces admitting a stable fibration structure are coarse moduli spaces of stacks admitting a twisted stable fibration structure in the sense of Abramovich-Vistoli. Note that these observations are crucial for the results of [Pat10]. To generalize these considerations to higher dimensions it would be necessary to generalize the Abramovich-Vistoli construction itself to higher dimensions [AV02]. Note that Alexeev defined non-twisted stable maps from surfaces in [Ale96]. It would be interesting to extend that to the stack target and possibly to the arbitrary dimensional source case.

Note that questions similar to Theorem 1.1 have been considered by Catanese, e.g., [Cat91, Cat00]. In [Cat91] it is shown that fibration structures $f: X \to Y$ extend to small deformations if $X$ is smooth, projective and $Y$ is a smooth curve of genus at least two (or generally a variety of maximal Albanese dimension). This is in fact a stronger statement than ours in the dim $Y = 1$ case, since $f$ is allowed to have arbitrarily bad special fibers. One of the main reasons for this difference is that the methods of [Cat91] are topological: it is shown that a fibration structure as above is a topological property. On the other hand, our methods are purely deformation theoretic. In particular, our methods not only yield that every nearby...
variety has a similar fibration structure, but also that for families the fibration structure extends for the whole family after an étale base change.

Further note that similar deformation theoretic arguments as ours were used in [Kol15, Theorem 33], which yields a considerably more general statement when $X$ has rational and $Y$ has canonical singularities.

During the proof of Theorem 1.2 the following two vanishing results are obtained, the first of which is implied by the second one. Note that Theorem 1.5 is a vector bundle version of a special case of the Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing for reflexive differentials [GKKP11, Theorem 7.2].

**Theorem 1.4.** If $X$ is a stable variety and $\mathcal{E}$ an anti-nef vector bundle on $X$, then $\text{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathcal{E}) = \text{Hom}_X(L_X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ (here $L_X$ is the cotangent complex of $X$).

**Theorem 1.5.** If $X$ is a projective variety of dimension $n$, $D \geq 0$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $(X, D)$ is log canonical, $\mathcal{L}$ an anti-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle, $\mathcal{E}$ an anti-nef vector bundle, then

$$(1.5.a) \quad H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log \lfloor D \rfloor)[\otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}]) = 0.$$ 

Further, in the above statements anti-nef could be easily replaced by the more technical term of weakly negative. We keep the anti-nef version to avoid unnecessary technicalities. It is also expected that most results of the paper hold in the log case as well, i.e., when stable varieties are replaced by stable pairs. However, we made the decision to keep the log-free versions since the deformation theory part, i.e., Section 3 would have been considerably longer in the log case. This is partially due to the fact that even the starting point of our deformation theory considerations (i.e., [AH11]) uses the non-log setting. On the other hand, Theorem 1.5 is already phrased in the log setting.

1.1. **Idea of the proof and organization.** Consider a fibration $f : X \to Y$ as in Theorem 1.1. According to [BHPS13, Propositions 3.9 and 3.10], to equate the (unconstrained) deformation theory of the fibration and of $X$, the most important step is to prove that $\text{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ (see also [Hor76, Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 8.2]). One can obtain this from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.4. However, there is a subtlety in the deformation theory of stable varieties which makes things considerably harder. The deformation theory of an object in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h$ is not given by the unconstrained deformation theory of the corresponding stable variety, but by the deformation theory of its index-one covering stack [AH11]. This index-one covering stack is a finite, birational cover whose canonical bundle is a line bundle. Therefore, one has to pass to index-one covers and then apply [BHPS13, Propositions 3.9 and 3.10]. There is a further subtlety at this point. The natural cover to consider for the deformation theory of $f : X \to Y$ as a stable family is the relative index-one cover of $X$ over the index-one cover of $Y$. First, this is somewhat hard to deal with because the base of this relative index-one cover is a stack. So, equating the deformation theory of it to the stable deformation theory of $f : X \to Y$ is considerably longer than the absolute case in [AH11]. Second, this relative index-one cover does not agree with the absolute index-one cover of $X$. On the other hand, the former does map to the latter, and one can prove that their deformation theories are the same via this map. However, this yields another layer of extra technicalities to the discussion.
1.2. Notation. We work over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero. All schemes and stacks are noetherian and separated over $k$. A noetherian scheme $X$ is relatively $S_d$ over $B$ if $X_b$ is $S_d$ for every $b \in B$. In the same situation if $X_b$ is Gorenstein in codimension one for all $b \in B$, then $X$ is relatively $G_1$ over $B$. The absolute version of these and of all the other following notions is obtained by simply taking $B = \text{Spec} \ k$. Since depth of a point and being Gorenstein are formal local properties, being $S_d$ or Gorenstein can be defined for DM-stacks by requiring them on étale covers by schemes. Then the above notions do make sense for DM-stacks.

For an arbitrary coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a scheme $X$, the reflexive hull of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathcal{F}^{\times \circ \times}$. Reflexive power, pullback, tensor product, etc., is defined by taking power, pullback, tensor product, etc., and then reflexive hull; e.g., the second reflexive power $\mathcal{F}^{[2]}$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{\times \circ \times})^{\times \circ \times}$. Reflexive operations are denoted by putting square brackets around the usual operation signs; e.g., reflexive pullback is denoted by $f^![\mathcal{I}]$ and reflexive tensor product by $[\otimes]$. Reflexive (log-)differentials are denoted by $\Omega^{[i]}_X(\log D)$ and coherent with the above discussion are $[\Omega^1_X(\log D)]^{\times \circ \times}$. Let $X$ be flat and relatively $S_2, G_1$ over $B$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle—if it is reflexive, a line bundle in relative codimension one—and $\mathcal{F}^{[m]}$ is a line bundle for some $m \neq 0$. In particular, by [HK01, Proposition 3.6] we have $\mathcal{F}^{[m]} \cong (\mathcal{F}^{[m]})^!$. A $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle is nef, relatively ample, etc., if $\mathcal{F}^{[m]}$ is nef for any $m$ such that $\mathcal{F}^{[m]}$ is a line bundle. By the discussion above, this definition does make sense.

Vector bundle means a locally free sheaf of finite rank. Line bundle means a locally free sheaf of rank one. When it does not cause any misunderstanding, pullback is denoted by lower index. For example, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on $X$ and $X \to Y$ and $Z \to Y$ are morphisms, then $\mathcal{F}_Z$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{F}$ to $X \times_Y Z$. This unfortunately is also a source of some confusion: $\mathcal{F}_y$ can mean both the stalk and the fiber of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ at the point $y$. Since both are frequently used notation in the literature, we opt to use both and hope that it will always be clear from the context which one we mean.

A representable morphism of stacks means representable by schemes. A proper DM-stack with a coarse moduli space is projective if and only if so is its coarse moduli space. A $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle or a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor $L$ on a DM-stack $\mathcal{X}$ is (relatively) ample if the descent of a high enough multiple of $L$ to the coarse moduli space (given that that exists) is (relatively) ample. This is equivalent to saying that for any finite cover $Y$ of $\mathcal{X}$ by a scheme, the pullback of $L$ to $Y$ is (relatively) ample. Note that this definition really works in the relative case only if the base is a scheme. If it is a stack, then we pull back our family via an étale cover of the base, and we apply the above definition there. Since taking coarse moduli space commutes with base change [AV02, Lemma 2.3.3], if $\mathcal{X}$ is projective over the base, then $L$ is relatively ample if and only if it is ample over every fiber over every $k$-point of the base (this works even if the base is a DM-stack as well) [Laz04a, Theorem 1.7.8]. The category $\mathcal{S}ch_k$ is the category of schemes over $k$. Square brackets around quotients, e.g., $[P/G]$, means stack quotient.

All derived category computations of the article take place in $D_{qc}(X)$, the derived category of unbounded complexes with quasi-coherent cohomology sheaves. In our
situation this is equivalent to the derived category of complexes of quasi-coherent modules via the natural embedding of the latter into $D_{qc}(X)$. Furthermore the derived functors behave compatibly with this equivalence [Nee96, page 207]. Also, the usual bounded derived categories are full subcategories of $D_{qc}(X)$, again with agreeing derived functors. We need to use the unbounded derived category, because the cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_X$ of a scheme (or DM-stack) is unbounded (from below).

If $\mathcal{C} \in D_{qc}(X)$, then $h^i(\mathcal{C})$ is the $i$-th cohomology sheaf and $H^i(X, \mathcal{C})$ is the $i$-th hypercohomology of $\mathcal{C}$. If $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism, $R^{<i}f_*\mathcal{C}$ and $R^{\leq i}f_*\mathcal{C}$ mean the adequate truncations of $Rf_*\mathcal{C}$.

The abbreviations lc and slc mean log canonical and semi-log canonical, respectively. If $S$ is a reduced divisor on a (demi-)normal scheme, $0 \leq \Delta$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, and $S$ a reduced divisor with normalization $S^n$, then $\text{Diff}_S \Delta$ and $\text{Diff}_{S^n} \Delta$ denote the different [Kol13, Different 4.2].

2. Definition of the moduli spaces and forgetful maps

In this section we define precisely the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1,h_2),h}$ and then after some technical preparation we define the functor $F: \mathfrak{M}_{(h_1,h_2),h} \to \mathfrak{M}_h$ of Theorem 1.2.

2.1. The moduli spaces. First, we recall the definition of stable varieties and define the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1,h_2),h}$.

Definition 2.1. A noetherian scheme is demi-normal if it is $S_2$ and nodal in codimension one [Kol13, Definition 5.1]. Here nodal is meant in the sense of [Kol13, 1.41].

Definition 2.2. Let $X$ be a demi-normal scheme and $\pi: \overline{X} \to X$ its normalization. Then the (reduced) double locus of $\pi$ on $\overline{X}$ is of pure codimension 1 and is called the conductor of $X$. Denote it by $\overline{D}$. The scheme $X$ is semi-log canonical (or for short slc) if $K_X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and $(\overline{X}, \overline{D})$ is log canonical [Kol13, Definition-Lemma 5.10].

If there is also a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor $\Delta$ given on $X$ which avoids the codimension one singular point of $X$, then we can also define when the pair $(X, \Delta)$ is slc. In this situation $\Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier in codimension one, and then $\overline{\Delta} := \pi^*\Delta$ is defined as the unique extension of the pullback over the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier locus of $\Delta$. Then, $(X, \Delta)$ is slc if $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and $(\overline{X}, \overline{D} + \overline{\Delta})$ is log canonical (see [Kol13, Definition-Lemma 5.10], and note that it works for non-effective $\Delta$ as well).

Notation 2.3. If $X$ is a demi-normal scheme, then saying that $\pi: (\overline{X}, \overline{D}) \to X$ is the normalization means that $\overline{D}$ is the conductor divisor on the normalization $\overline{X}$ of $X$. The (reduced) divisor of the double locus on $X$, i.e., $(\pi_*\overline{D})_{\text{red}}$, is also called the conductor, since it is defined by the same ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ as $\overline{D}$ (this ideal lies a priori in $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}$, but it happens to be contained in $\mathcal{O}_X$).

Definition 2.4. A stable variety is an equidimensional, connected, proper slc scheme over a field, such that $\omega_X$ is ample. The function $h(m) := \chi(\omega_X^{[m]})$ is called the Hilbert function of $X$. 
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Definition 2.5. A family of stable varieties is a flat morphism $f: X \to B$ satisfying Kollár’s condition. That is, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in B$, $X_b$ is a stable variety and $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ is flat, and for every base change $\tau: B' \to B$ and the induced morphism $\rho: X_{b'} \to X$, the natural homomorphism
\[(2.5.a) \quad \rho^* \left( \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \right) \to \omega_{X_{b'}/B'}^{[m]}\]
is an isomorphism.

Notation 2.6. One may consider then the category of all stable families with fixed Hilbert function $h$. One can show that this forms a proper DM-stack of finite type over $k$ [BHPST13 Theorem 2.8], and it is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_h$ in this article. The category of all stable families of relative dimension $m$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_m$. That is, $\mathfrak{M}_m = \bigcup_{\deg h = m} \mathfrak{M}_h$.

Definition 2.7. A fibration of stable varieties with Hilbert function vector $h = (h_1, h_2)$ over a base scheme $B$ is a commutative diagram
\[(2.7.a) \quad \xymatrix{ X_2 = X \ar[r]_{f_2=g} & X_1 = Y \ar[r]_{f_1} & X_0 = B }\]
such that $f_i$ is a family of stable varieties (satisfying Kollár’s condition) and $\chi \left( \omega_{(X_i)}^{[m]} \right) = h_i(m)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and $y \in X_{i-1}$. Define the category fibered in groupoids $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}_h$ over $\mathfrak{S}ch_k$ to have such fibrations as objects over $B$ and natural Cartesian pullbacks as morphisms. That is, a morphism of $(X \to Y \to B)$ to $(X' \to Y' \to B')$ is a diagram as follows with all the squares being Cartesian:
\[\xymatrix{ X \ar[r] \ar[d] & X' \ar[d] \ar[d] \\
Y \ar[r] & Y' \ar[d] \ar[d] \\
B \ar[r] & B' \ar[d] \ar[d] }\]

Sometimes we further require the Hilbert function of $f$ to be a fixed polynomial $h$. We denote the category obtained that way by $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}_{(h_1, h_2), h}$. For a vector of integers $m = (m_1, m_2)$ define also the category of all fibrations with dimension vector $m$ as follows (with the morphisms being only the ones induced from $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}_h$):
\[\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}_m := \bigcup_{h = (h_1, h_2), \deg h_1 = m_1} \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}_h.\]

Notation 2.8. Given a fibration as in (2.7.a), we use the short notation $(X \to Y \to B)$, $\underline{X}$ or $(X_i, f_i)$ for it.
Proposition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{M}_n$ denote the moduli stack of all stable varieties of dimension $n$, and $\mathcal{U}_n$ the universal family over it. Then,

$$(2.9.a) \quad \mathfrak{S}\mathcal{M}_{(m_1,m_2)} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_{m_1}}(\mathcal{U}_{m_1}, \mathcal{M}_{m_2} \times \mathcal{M}_{m_1}),$$

and hence it is a DM-stack locally of finite type.

Proof. There is a forgetful map $\pi : \mathfrak{S}\mathcal{M}_{(m_1,m_2)} \to \mathcal{M}_{m_1}$ remembering only $Y$ of a fibration in $(2.7.a)$. We prove $(2.9.a)$ by showing an isomorphism over $\mathcal{M}_{m_1}$, using $\pi$ as the structure map on the left and the natural projection on the right. So, fix $[Y \to B] \in \mathcal{M}_{m_1}$. Given an element of $(X \to Y \to B) \in \mathfrak{S}\mathcal{M}_{(m_1,m_2)}$ over $[Y \to B]$ yields a family of stable varieties over $Y$ of dimension $m_2$. Hence, $\mathcal{X} = (X \to Y \to B)$ defines a morphism $\nu_X : Y \to \mathfrak{S}\mathcal{M}_{(m_1,m_2)}$. Furthermore, since $\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{M}_{(m_1,m_2)}$ represents the moduli problem of fibrations with dimension vector $(m_1,m_2)$, automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}$ over $[Y \to B]$ and automorphisms of $\nu_X$ also match up. Hence we obtain the following string of isomorphisms of groupoids:

$$(2.9.b) \quad \mathfrak{S}\mathcal{M}_{(m_1,m_2)}([Y \to B]) \cong \text{Hom}(Y, \mathcal{M}_{m_2}) \cong \text{Hom}_B(Y, \mathcal{M}_{m_2} \times B)$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_B((\mathcal{U}_{m_1})_B, \mathcal{M}_{m_2} \times B) = \text{def} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_{m_1}}(\mathcal{U}_{m_1}, \mathcal{M}_{m_2} \times \mathcal{M}_{m_1})([Y \to B]),$$

where

- $\text{Hom}$ means the groupoid of functors over the base space
- $\text{Hom}$ is the Hom-stack [Ols06] and
- putting $([Y \to B])$ after a category means the fiber over $[Y \to B]$.

The isomorphisms of $(2.9.b)$ are all natural with respect to Cartesian maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y' & \to & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B' & \to & B
\end{array}$$

Hence, $(2.9.b)$ really yields an isomorphism as in $(2.9.a)$ over $\mathcal{M}_{m_1}$.

To prove the DM-stack statement it is enough to show that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a DM-stack locally of finite type over $k$ whenever $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are DM-stacks locally of finite type over $k$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is proper, flat and representable over $\mathcal{M}$. This is shown in [Ols06, Theorem 1.1] when $\mathcal{M}$ is an algebraic space. To deduce it for a DM-stack $\mathcal{M}$, one replaces $\mathcal{M}$ with one of its étale atlases. This finishes our proof.

2.2. Adjunction. Having defined the moduli spaces of Theorem 1.2, the last goal of Section 2 is to define the forgetful morphism $F : \mathfrak{S}\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ of Theorem 1.2. We need to show that the composite morphism $f$ of $(2.7.a)$ is a family of stable varieties. In particular this involves showing that the total space of a family of slc varieties over an slc base is slc. The technical tool for this is inversion of adjunction, which relates the singularities of a divisor to the singularities of the total space close to the divisor. Since we are not aware of a good reference of inversion of adjunction for reducible total spaces, we include it here.

For inductive reasons we need to use at certain places slc pairs, not only varieties.
Lemma 2.10. Let X be a demi-normal scheme, $\bar{D}$ its conductor divisor, $S$ a reduced divisor with normalization $S^n \rightarrow S$ and $\Delta \geq 0$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that no two of $\bar{D}$, $S$ and $\Delta$ have common components and $K_X + S + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. In this case, $(X, S + \Delta)$ is slc near $S$ if and only if $(S^n, \text{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta))$ is lc.

Proof. Let $\pi: (X, \bar{D} + \bar{S} + \bar{\Delta}) \rightarrow (X, S + \Delta)$ be the normalization (i.e., $\bar{D}$ is the conductor) of $X$, $n: \bar{D}^n \rightarrow \bar{D}$ the normalization of the conductor and $\tau: \bar{D}^n \rightarrow \bar{D}^n$ the order two automorphism exchanging the preimages of the nodes [Kol13, 5.2]. Note then that by the arguments of [Kol13, 5.7],

\begin{equation}
\text{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta) = \text{Diff}_{S^n}(\bar{D} + \bar{\Delta}).
\end{equation} (2.10.a)

First, assume that $(X, S + \Delta)$ is slc near $S$. Then by [Kol13, Definition-Lemma 5.10], $(X, \bar{D} + \bar{S} + \bar{\Delta})$ is lc around $\bar{S}$. Therefore by adjunction $(S^n, \text{Diff}_{S^n}(\bar{D} + \bar{\Delta}))$ is lc. Finally using (2.10.a) yields the forward direction of the lemma.

To prove the backwards direction, assume that $(S^n, \text{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta))$ is lc. Then by (2.10.a), so is $(S^n, \text{Diff}_{S^n}(\bar{D} + \bar{\Delta}))$. Hence by inversion of adjunction on normal varieties [Kaw07, Theorem], $(X, \bar{D} + \bar{S} + \bar{\Delta})$ is lc around $\bar{S}$. We claim that in fact it is lc around $\pi^{-1}\pi(\bar{S})$. This will yield the backwards direction by again using [Kol13, Definition-Lemma 5.10].

To prove the claim, fix a point $P \in \pi^{-1}\pi(\bar{S})$. If $P \notin D$, then the claim is immediate. Hence we assume $P \in D$. By inversion of adjunction on normal varieties [Kaw07, Theorem], $(X, \bar{D} + \bar{S} + \bar{\Delta})$ is lc around $P$ if and only if so is $(\bar{D}^n, \text{Diff}_{\bar{D}^n}(\bar{S} + \bar{\Delta}))$ at points over $P$. However, by [Kol13, (5.3), proof of (5.33)] and the fact that $P \in \pi^{-1}\pi(\bar{S})$, for every point $Q$ over $P$, there is a finite sequence $Q = Q_1, \ldots, Q_r$ such that $\tau(Q_i) = Q_{i+1}$ and $n(Q_r) \in \bar{S}$. Further, $(\bar{D}^n, \text{Diff}_{\bar{D}^n}(\bar{S} + \bar{\Delta}))$ is $\tau$-invariant by [Kol13, Proposition 5.12]. Hence by downward induction on $i$, using that $\tau$ is an isomorphism, $(\bar{D}^n, \text{Diff}_{\bar{D}^n}(\bar{S} + \bar{\Delta}))$ is lc at every $Q_i$. □

Corollary 2.11. Let both $X$ and the effective divisor $S \subseteq X$ be demi-normal schemes. Furthermore, let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ which avoids the codimension 0 points of $S$ and the singular codimension 1 points of $X$ and $S$. Assume also that $K_X + S + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Then

$$(S, \text{Diff}_{S}(\Delta)) \text{ is slc} \iff (X, S + \Delta) \text{ is slc near } S.$$ 

Proof. Let $\rho: (S^n, E) \rightarrow S$ be the normalization of $S$. Similarly to (2.10.a), using [Kol13, (5.7.2)], one can show that

\begin{equation}
\text{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta) = \rho^* \text{Diff}_{S}(\Delta) + E.
\end{equation} (2.11.a)

That is, the following diagram of implications conclude our proof:

$$(S, \text{Diff}_{S}(\Delta)) \text{ is slc} \iff \quad (S^n, E + \rho^* \text{Diff}_{S}(\Delta)) \text{ is lc} \iff \quad (X, S + \Delta) \text{ is lc near } S \iff (S^n, \text{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta))$$ (2.11.a)

Finally, the next lemma shows that the total space of a family of slc schemes over slc schemes is slc. Note that if one has no boundary divisors, then assumption (2)
is vacuous. Further, assumption (3) is automatically satisfied if \( f \) fulfills Kollár’s condition.

**Lemma 2.12.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a flat family and \( \Delta_X \) and \( \Delta_Y \) effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors on \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively. Assume that

1. \( (Y, \Delta_Y) \) is slc,
2. \( \Delta_X \) avoids singular codimension one points of the fibers,
3. there is an integer \( N > 0 \) such that \( N\Delta_X \) is an integral divisor and \( \omega_{X/Y}^N(N\Delta_X) \) is a line bundle (where \( \omega_{X/Y}^N(N\Delta_X) = \iota_*\omega_{U/Y}^N(N\Delta_X|_U) \) for the locus \( U \) where \( f \) is relative Gorenstein and \( N\Delta_X \) is Cartier) and
4. \( (X_y, \Delta_X|_{X_y}) \) is slc for every \( y \in Y \).

Then \( (X, \Delta) \) is also slc, where \( \Delta := \Delta_X + f^*\Delta_Y \).

**Proof.**

**Step 1** (\( X \) is demi-normal). \( X \) is \( S_2 \) by [Ps13] Lemma 4.2. Furthermore, every codimension one point \( x \in X \) is either

- a smooth point of a fiber over a smooth point
- a nodal point of a fiber over a smooth point or
- a smooth point of a fiber over a nodal point.

In either case \( x \) is a nodal point.

**Step 2** (\( K_X + \Delta \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier). By possibly increasing \( N \) we may assume that \( N(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \) is Cartier. Consider then the line bundle

\[
(2.12.a) \quad f^*(\omega_Y^N(N\Delta_Y)) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^N(N\Delta_X).
\]

By throwing out codimension at most two closed subsets we may find an open set \( V \subseteq X \) such that \( f|_V \) and \( Y|_{f(V)} \) are Gorenstein and \( N\Delta_X|_V \) and \( N\Delta_Y|_{f(V)} \) are Cartier. Then we see that the line bundle \( (2.12.a) \) is isomorphic over \( V \) to \( \mathcal{O}_X(N(K_X + \Delta)) \). However, since both \( \mathcal{O}_X(N(K_X + \Delta)) \) and the line bundle \( (2.12.a) \) are \( S_2 \) sheaves, they are isomorphic by [Har94] Theorem 1.12. This shows that \( K_X + \Delta \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier indeed.

**Step 3** (the discrepancies are at least \(-1\)). We prove this by induction on \( d := \dim Y \). For \( d = 0 \), \( X \) coincides with its only fiber, hence all the statements are immediate. So, it is enough to show the inducational step.

**Step 3.a** (the inducational step, when \( (Y, \text{Supp} \Delta_Y) \) is log-smooth). First, we show the inducational step when \( Y \) is smooth and \( \text{supp} \Delta_Y \) has simple normal crossings. It is enough to prove that \( (X, f^*\Delta_Y + \Delta_X) \) is slc near every point \( x \in X \). So fix \( x \in X \) and let \( y := f(x) \). Let \( \Delta_Y = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Delta_i \), where \( \Delta_i \) are distinct prime divisors and \( a_i \neq 0 \). Since increasing \( a_i \) does not decrease the discrepancies and \( \Delta_i \) are Cartier divisors, we may assume that \( a_i = 1 \) for every \( i \). Furthermore, since we work locally around \( x \) we may also assume that \( y \in \Delta_i \) for all \( i \). Then since adding more divisors does not decrease the discrepancies, by possibly further restricting around \( x \), we may also assume that \( r = d \). That is, there are \( d \) components of \( \Delta_Y \) meeting in normal crossings at \( y \). Define then \( \Gamma := f^*(\Delta_Y - \Delta_1) \) and \( S := f^*\Delta_1 \). By the inducational hypothesis, \( (S, \Gamma + \Delta_X|_S) \) is slc. Then we may apply Corollary 2.11 to \( (X, S + \Gamma + \Delta_X) \) to obtain that so is \( (X, \Delta) \). This finishes the proof of Step 3.a.
Step 3.b (when \((Y, \Delta_Y)\) is log canonical). Take a crepant log-resolution \(\nu: (\tilde{Y}, \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}) \to (Y, \Delta_Y)\) (i.e., which satisfies \(\nu^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) = K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}\) [Kol13 Notation 2.6]). Note that then \((\tilde{Y}, \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})\) is log canonical and \((\tilde{Y}, \text{supp} \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})\) is log-smooth. Let \(\tilde{X} := X \times_Y \tilde{Y}, \tilde{f} := f \times_Y \tilde{Y}\) and \(\tilde{\nu} := \nu \times_Y X\). First we claim that the assumptions of the lemma hold also for \((\tilde{Y}, \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})\) and \((\tilde{X}, \Delta_{\tilde{X}})\), where \(\Delta_{\tilde{X}} := \nu^*\Delta_X\). Indeed, the only thing that has to be checked is that \(\omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{Y}}^{[N]}(N\Delta_{\tilde{X}})\) is a line bundle. However, this sheaf agrees in relative codimension one with \(\tilde{\nu}^* \omega_{X/Y}^{[N]}(N\Delta_X)\), which is a line bundle. Further, it is reflexive by [HK04, Corollary 3.7] and then isomorphic to \(\tilde{\nu}^* \omega_{X/Y}^{[N]}(N\Delta_X)\) by [HK04, Proposition 3.6.2]. This proves our claim, and then by the previous point \((\tilde{X}, \Delta) := (\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}^*\Delta_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{X}})\) is slc. Consider then the following stream of equalities, where we assume a compatible choice of canonical and relative canonical divisors:

\[
K_{\tilde{X}} + \Delta = K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{f}^*(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}})
= \tilde{\nu}^*(K_{X/Y} + \Delta_X) + \tilde{f}^*\nu^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y)
= \tilde{\nu}^*(K_{X/Y} + \Delta_X + f^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y))
= \tilde{\nu}^*(K_X + \Delta).
\]

This shows that \((X, \Delta)\) is slc as well, using [KM98, Lemma 2.30] and [Kol13 Definition-Lemma 5.10].

Step 3.c (when \((Y, \Delta_Y)\) is slc). Let \(\pi: (\overline{Y}, D) \to Y\) be the normalization of \(Y\). Define \(\overline{X} := X \times_Y \overline{Y}, E := X \times_Y D, \overline{\Delta}_Y := \pi^*\Delta_Y, \overline{f} := f \times_Y \overline{Y}, \overline{\pi} := \pi \times_Y X\) and \(\overline{\Delta}_X := \overline{\pi}^*\Delta_X\). Similarly as in the previous point, the assumptions of the lemma hold for \((\overline{X}, \overline{\Delta}_X)\) and \((\overline{Y}, D + \overline{\Delta}_Y)\). Further by the statement of the previous point, \((\overline{X}, \overline{f}^*(D + \overline{\Delta}_Y) + \overline{\Delta}_X) = (X, E + \overline{\pi}^*\Delta)\) is slc. Let \(\rho: (\tilde{X}, F) \to \overline{X}\) then be the normalization of \(\overline{X}\). Note that \(\overline{\pi} \circ \rho\) is also a normalization of \(X\) with conductor divisor \(F + \rho^*E\). Then the following holds using [Kol13 Definition-Lemma 5.10] twice:

\[
(X, \Delta) \text{ is slc} \iff (\tilde{X}, F + \rho^*E + (\overline{\pi} \circ \rho)^*\Delta) \text{ is lc}
\iff (X, E + \overline{\pi}^*\Delta) \text{ is slc}.
\]

However, we know that \((X, E + \overline{\pi}^*\Delta)\) is slc by Step 3.b, as we have mentioned already. This finishes our proof. \(\square\)

2.3. Definition of \(F\). This section contains the definition of the forgetful morphism \(F\) of Theorem 1.2 using Lemma 2.12 from Section 2.2. The statements of Section 2.2 tell us that the composition \(f\) of a fibration of stable varieties as in 2.7.a have stable fibers. Here we check that Kollár’s condition (cf. Definition 2.5) also holds for \(f\). We start with auxiliary statements.

Lemma 2.13. Let \(f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}\) and \(g: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}\) be flat morphisms of noetherian \(\mathcal{DM}\)-stacks, and \(\mathcal{F}\) and \(\mathcal{G}\) coherent sheaves on \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\), respectively. Further assume that \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) are flat and relatively \(S_D\) over \(\mathcal{Y}\) and \(\mathcal{Z}\), respectively. Then \(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{G}\) is flat over \(\mathcal{Z}\).

Proof. First note that by passing to \(\mathcal{et}\)ale atlases we may assume that all stacks are schemes. Second, we show that \(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{G}\) is flat over \(\mathcal{Z}\). Consider an embedding
\[ \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X. \] Then by flatness of \( \mathcal{I} \) over \( \mathcal{Z} \), \( \mathcal{I} \otimes g^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \otimes g^* \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{I} \) is an injection. However, by flatness of \( \mathcal{I} \) over \( \mathcal{Z} \) the following map is injective as well, which concludes flatness by [Har77, Proposition 9.1A.1]:

\[
(\mathcal{I} \otimes f^* \mathcal{G}) \otimes f^* g^* \mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{I} \otimes f^* (\mathcal{I} \otimes g^* \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \otimes f^* \mathcal{G} \cong (\mathcal{I} \otimes f^* \mathcal{G}) \otimes f^* g^* \mathcal{O}_Z.
\]

Finally apply [Ps13, Lemma 4.2] to obtain the statement about the relative \( S_d \) property.

**Lemma 2.14.** Given a fibration of stable varieties as in (2.7.a), \( \mathcal{O}_X \), and \( \omega_{X,i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]} \) are flat and relatively \( S_2 \) over \( X_j \) for every \( 0 \leq j < i \leq 2 \), \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** The statement is immediate for \( \mathcal{O}_X \), using Lemma 2.13. For \( \omega_{X,i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]} \) first we show the statement for \( j = i - 1 \). Since \( f_i \) is a family of stable varieties, flatness follows from Definition 2.5. It also follows from Definition 2.5 that \( \omega_{X,i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]} |_F \cong \omega_F^{[m]} \) for every fiber \( F \) of \( f_i \). However, since \( F \) is \( S_2 \) and \( G_1 \), the reflexive hull \( \omega_F^{[m]} \) is \( S_2 \) as well [Har94, Theorem 1.9]. This concludes the statement for \( j = i - 1 \). For \( j < i - 1 \), use Lemma 2.13.

**Lemma 2.15.** Given a fibration of stable varieties as in (2.7.a), \( \omega_{X,Y}^{[m]} \otimes g^* \omega_{Y/Z}^{[m]} \cong \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \).

Furthermore, it is flat and relatively \( S_2 \) over \( B \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.13, \( \omega_{X,Y}^{[m]} \otimes g^* \omega_{Y/Z}^{[m]} \) is flat and relatively \( S_2 \) over \( B \). Furthermore it is isomorphic to \( \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \) in relative codimension one. Hence, [HK04, Proposition 3.6] concludes our proof.

**Lemma 2.16.** Given a family \( X \rightarrow B \) of stable varieties, \( \omega_{X/B} \) is nef (as a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle).

**Proof.** By [Pu12, Theorem 1.8], \( f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \) is a nef vector bundle for divisible enough \( m \). Since \( \omega_{X/B} \) is relatively ample, \( \omega_{X/B} \) is a relatively globally generated line bundle for divisible enough \( m \). Choose then an \( m \) for which both hold. Then there is a surjection \( f^* f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \rightarrow \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \) from a nef vector bundle. Therefore, \( \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \) and hence \( \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \) is nef.

**Lemma 2.17.** Given a fibration of stable varieties as in (2.7.a), \( f \) is a family of stable varieties.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.12 the fibers of \( f \) are slc schemes. Clearly they are proper, connected and equidimensional as well. Next we prove that \( \omega_{X/B} \) is a relatively ample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle. Indeed, by Lemma 2.15, \( \omega_{X/B} \cong g^* \omega_{Y/B} \otimes \omega_{X/Y} \). Further \( \omega_{Y/B} \) is relatively ample by the definition of a family of stable varieties, and \( \omega_{X/Y} \) is nef and relatively ample over \( Y \). Then it follows that \( \omega_{X/B} \) is relatively ample as well, which implies that the fibers of \( f \) are stable varieties.

Finally we have to prove that \( \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \) is flat and compatible with arbitrary base change. By [HK04, Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.8] this follows if \( \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \) is flat and relatively \( S_2 \), which we know from Lemma 2.15.
Definition 2.18. Let $m = (m_1, m_2)$ be a dimension vector and set $m := m_1 + m_2$. Define then $F : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_m \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_m$ to be the functor that takes a fibration of stable varieties as in (2.7.a) to the family of stable varieties $f : X \to B$. This latter family is indeed a family of stable varieties by Lemma 2.17. The action of $F$ on the arrows is the natural one.

3. Deformation theory of stable fibrations

3.1. Basic definitions. The main technical difficulty about the deformation theory of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h$ is that by Definition 2.5 not all families with stable fibers are allowed in the pseudo-functor of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h$. The allowed deformations are sometimes called Q-Gorenstein deformations in the literature. Another, equivalent approach is to define the index-one covering stack $X$ of a stable variety $X$ and identify the deformation theory of $X$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h$ by the (unconstrained) deformation theory of $X$ [AH11]. We implement an analogue of the latter approach for fibrations of stable varieties. Doing that we are forced to use the theory and language of stacks [LMB00, Sta].

First let us recall the necessary definitions and facts from [AH11]. We state the definitions of [AH11] only in the special case when polarization is given by the canonical sheaf, and we also adapt them slightly to this situation.

Definition 3.1. A DM-stack $\mathcal{X}$ is cyclotomic if all its stabilizers are isomorphic to cyclotomic groups. A line bundle $L$ on a DM-stack $\mathcal{X}$ is called uniformizing if $\text{Spec}_X (\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L^m)$ is representable (by an algebraic space). If $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of DM-stacks, then $L$ is called uniformizing over $\mathcal{B}$ or relatively uniformizing if the morphism $\text{Spec}_X (\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L^m) \to \mathcal{B}$ is representable (by algebraic spaces). A stable stack is a cyclotomic DM-stack $\mathcal{X}$ such that

- $\mathcal{X}$ is connected and has slc singularities (in particular it is of finite type over $k$, $S_2$, reduced, nodal in codimension one and equidimensional),
- $\mathcal{X}$ is separated,
- $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a uniformizing ample line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$, and
- the coarse moduli map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to X$ is an isomorphism in codimension one.

A family of stable stacks is a flat morphism $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ of DM-stacks such that all $\mathcal{X}_b$ are stable stacks (where $b$ is a $k$-point of $\mathcal{B}$), and $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_b}$ is a uniformizing line bundle for $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 3.2. If $X \to B$ is a family of stable varieties, then the index-one covering stack is defined as

$$\mathcal{X} := \left[ \text{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X/B}^m \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right].$$

Theorem 3.3 ([AH11 Theorem 5.3.6]). The category $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ of Notation 2.6 is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{Stab}_n$ of families of stable stacks over $k$ of dimension $n$. The isomorphism is given by the above functors

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(B) \to \mathcal{Stab}_n(B), \quad X \mapsto \left[ \text{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X/B}^m \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right].$$
and
\[ \text{Stab}_n(B) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(B) \]
where \( \mathcal{X} \to \text{the coarse moduli space} \ X \) of \( \mathcal{X} \).

**Definition 3.4.** If \( X \) is a stable variety, then the deformation functor of \( X \) in \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_h \) is denoted by \( \text{Def}_{\mathcal{Q}}(X) \). That is, \( \text{Def}_{\mathcal{Q}}(X) \) assigns to a local Artinian ring \( A \) the set of families of stable varieties over \( \text{Spec} \ A \) that restrict to \( X \) over the closed point of \( \text{Spec} \ A \). This agrees with the set of flat deformations of the scheme \( X \) obeying Kollár’s condition form Definition 2.5. By Theorem 3.3 it also agrees with the set of flat deformations of the index-one cover \( \mathcal{X} \) of \( X \), or shortly \( \text{Def}_{\mathcal{Q}}(X) = \text{Def}(\mathcal{X}) \). Notice that here we used the fact that a flat deformation of a stable stack over a local Artinian ring is automatically a family of stable stacks. Indeed, the representability condition in Definition 3.1 is decided at geometric points by [AV02, Lemma 4.4.3].

The goal of Section 3 is to prove an analogue of Theorem 3.3 for fibrations of stable varieties. The main issue will be to find a stacky object that encodes all \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Gorenstein deformations of a fibration of stable varieties. Unfortunately, it will be somewhat lengthy to prove that this is indeed the case. Further technical difficulties will arise from the fact that the obtained stack cover of \( X \) will be slightly different from the index-one cover. The above-mentioned stacky object is as follows.

**Definition 3.5.** A fibration of stable stacks \( \mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i) \) is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_2 \xymatrix{ \mathcal{X}_2 \ar[r]^-{\tilde{g} = f_2} & \mathcal{X}_1 } \ar[r]^-{\tilde{f}_1} & \mathcal{X}_0 = B
\end{array}
\]

where all \( \tilde{f}_i \) are families of stable stacks. The coarse fibration of a fibration of stable stacks as in (3.5.a) is the fibration formed by the coarse moduli spaces \( X_i \) of \( \mathcal{X}_i \), shown in the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_2 \xymatrix{ \mathcal{X}_2 \ar[r]^-{\tilde{g} = f_2} & \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}_1 } \ar[r]^-{\tilde{f}_1} & \mathcal{X}_0 = B
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X = X_2 \xymatrix{ X_2 \ar[r]^-{g = f_2} & Y = X_1 } \ar[r]^-{f_1} & X_0 = B
\end{array}
\]

A fibration of stable stacks as in (3.5.a), is admissible if for all sufficiently divisible \( m \), the sheaves \( \pi_* \tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \) are locally free, where \( \pi \) is the morphism of (3.5.b).

So, the main goal of the section is to prove an analogue of Theorem 3.3 for fibrations. Similar to Theorem 3.3, we obtain a fibration of schemes from a fibration of stable stacks by taking coarse moduli spaces as in (3.5.b). To guarantee that this fibration of schemes is a fibration of stable varieties, we need the admissibility condition of Definition 3.5. Loosely speaking it guarantees that \( \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{Y}} \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \right) \).
which is in a certain sense a relative coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{Y}$, is the pull-back of $X$ via $\mathcal{Y} \to Y$. See the proof of Lemma 3.14 and Remark 3.15 for details.

Similarly when passing from a fibration of stable varieties $(X \to Y \to B)$ to a fibration of stable stacks $(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)$, we cannot simply take $\mathcal{X}$ to be the index-one covering stack of $X$, since then $\tilde{g}$ would not be a family of stable stacks. What we can do is the following.

**Definition 3.6.** Given a fibration of stable varieties as in (2.7.a), define its index-one cover as the fibration of stable stacks $(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the index-one cover of $Y$ over $B$ and

$$\mathcal{X} := \text{Spec}_X \left[ \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X/Y}^m \right] / \mathbb{G}_m \times_Y \mathcal{Y}. $$

This definition does make sense according to Lemma 3.13. We usually denote the natural morphisms $\mathcal{X} \to X$ and $\mathcal{Y} \to Y$ by $\gamma$ and $\pi$, respectively.

### 3.2. Auxiliary statements

To prove the fibration version of Theorem 3.3 we need a few shorter technical statements.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a separated Deligne-Mumford stack over the scheme $U$ and $\mathcal{F}$ a flat coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. Denote by $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to X$ the coarse moduli map. Then

1. $\pi_* \mathcal{F}$ is flat and
2. if $\mathcal{F}$ is also relatively $S_r$ with relatively pure dimensional support, then so is $\pi_* \mathcal{F}$.

**Proof.** We prove the two statements at once. By [AV02, Lemma 2.2.3], we may assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a quotient stack $[V/G]$ for some finite group $G$, and $X$ is the scheme theoretic quotient $V/G$. Let $\rho: V \to [V/G]$ be the natural map. Then, by the characteristic zero assumption, the normalization of the trace map $\rho_* \mathcal{O}_V \to \mathcal{O}_X$ splits the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X \to \rho_* \mathcal{O}_V$ (recall that $\rho$ is flat because it is étale, so $\rho_* \mathcal{O}_V$ is locally free and then the trace map does make sense). Since $\rho: V \to [V/G]$ is étale, $\rho^* \mathcal{F}$ is flat (resp. flat and relatively $S_r$) over $U$. Further, since $\pi \circ \rho$ is finite, it is also affine, and therefore $\pi_* \rho_* \rho^* \mathcal{F}$ is flat over $U$ (resp. by the base-change property of pushforward via a finite morphism and by [KM98, Proposition 5.4], $\pi_* \rho_* \rho^* \mathcal{F}$ is flat and relatively $S_r$ over $U$) as well. Furthermore by the above-mentioned trace splitting, $\rho_* \rho^* \mathcal{F}$ contains $\mathcal{F}$ as a direct summand. Hence, $\pi_* \rho_* \rho^* \mathcal{F}$ contains $\pi_* \mathcal{F}$ as a direct summand, and then consequently the latter is flat (resp. flat and relatively $S_r$) as well. □

**Lemma 3.8.** Given a fibration of stable stacks as in (3.5.a), $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ and $\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}_i/\mathcal{X}_{i-1}}$ are flat and relatively $S_2$ over $\mathcal{X}_j$ for every $0 \leq j < i \leq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** The statement is immediate for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_j}$ using Lemma 2.13 and then also for the other sheaves, since $\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}_i/\mathcal{X}_{i-1}}$ are locally free. □

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a flat morphism of DM-stacks of finite type over $k$, and $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ two coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ both flat and relatively $S_2$ over $\mathcal{Y}$. Further assume that there is an open substack $\iota: U \to \mathcal{X}$, such that $\mathcal{F}|_U \cong \mathcal{G}|_U$ and the relative codimension of $\mathcal{X}_j \setminus U$ is at least two. Then $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{G}$. □
Proof. It is enough to show that the natural homomorphisms $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{F}|_U)$ and $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{G}|_U)$ are isomorphisms. Further, since the role of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are symmetric, it is enough to show only the first one. For this, by the long exact sequence of local cohomology it is enough to show that for every étale chart $V$, $H^i_Z(V, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, where $Z := (V \setminus U \times \mathcal{X})_{\text{red}}$. In fact, by the sheaf property it is enough to exhibit one étale cover of every étale chart for which the above vanishing holds. In particular then we may assume that there is a commutative diagram as above, where $W$ is an étale chart of $\mathcal{Y}$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xleftarrow{\rho} & V \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\
\mathcal{Y} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & W
\end{array}
$$

However, then $g$ is flat and $\rho^* \mathcal{F}$ is flat and relatively $S_2$ over $W$. Hence, using that codim$_V Z \geq 2$, by [HK04] Proposition 3.3, we obtain that the above vanishing holds indeed.

Lemma 3.10. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ be a fibration of stable stacks as in (3.5.a) over the spectrum of a local Artinian $k$-algebra $A$. Let $P$ be the closed point of $B = \text{Spec} \, A$. If the restriction $(\mathcal{X}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_P \rightarrow P)$ over $P$ is admissible, then $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B)$ is admissible as well.

Proof. We use the notation of (3.5.b) during the proof. First, we claim that for $m \gg 0$, the formulation of $\pi_* \tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is compatible with base change; that is, for every $B' \rightarrow B$,

$$
(\pi_* \tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}})_{B'} \cong (\pi_{B'})_*(\tilde{g}_{B'})_*(\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{B'}/\mathcal{Y}_{B'}})^m.
$$

Since $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is a $\tilde{g}$-ample line bundle, for all $m \gg 0$, its higher cohomologies on the fibers of $\tilde{g}$ vanish. In particular then by cohomology and base change [Hall12 Theorem A],

$$
(\tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}})_{B'} \cong (\tilde{g}_{B'})_*(\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{B'}/\mathcal{Y}_{B'}})^m,
$$

and $\tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is locally free. Furthermore by [AV02] Lemma 2.2.3, $\pi_*$ commutes with base change for any sheaf. This concludes the proof of (3.10.a). Fix for the remainder of the proof an $m$ for which (3.10.a) holds and is divisible enough.

Notice now that by [AH11] Lemma 2.3.6, $\pi_P : \mathcal{Y}_P \rightarrow Y_P$ is the coarse moduli map of $\mathcal{Y}_P$. Therefore, by (3.10.a) and by the assumption that $(\mathcal{X}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_P \rightarrow P)$ is admissible, $\pi_* \tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}|_{Y_P}$ is a locally free sheaf. Furthermore, since $\tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is locally free, it is flat over $B$. Hence by Lemma 3.7.1 $\pi_* \tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is flat over $B$. Therefore, $\pi_* \tilde{g}_* \omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is a flat deformation of a locally free sheaf, which is locally free by [Har10 Exercise 7.1]. This finishes our proof.

Lemma 3.11. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a proper morphism of separated DM-stacks and $\mathcal{L}$ an $f$-ample line bundle. Define $\mathcal{Z} := \text{Proj}_\mathcal{Y} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f_*(\mathcal{L}^n) \right)$ and let $\rho : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be the natural morphism. Then $\rho_* \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z}$. Furthermore, if $f$ is flat, so is $\mathcal{Z}$ over $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. Since the question is étale local on $\mathcal{Y}$, we may assume that $Y := \mathcal{Y}$ is a scheme. Let then $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Z$ be the coarse moduli map of $\mathcal{X}$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ the
natural induced morphism. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{Z} \cong Z$, compatibly with $\rho$ and $\pi$.

Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is a DM-stack, there is an integer $m > 0$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{K}$ on $Z$ such that $\pi^* \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{L}^m$. Then, $\pi^* \mathcal{K}^n \cong \mathcal{L}^{m^n}$ for every $m$ and $\mathcal{K}$ is also relatively ample over $Y$. Therefore, the following computation concludes our proof:

$$Z \cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} g_*(\mathcal{K}^n) \right) \cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \pi_* \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{m^n}) \right)$$

projection formula and the fact that since $\pi$ is a coarse moduli map, $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Z$.

$$\cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f_*(\mathcal{L}^{m^n}) \right) \cong \mathcal{Z}.$$  

□

3.3. Equivalences of deformation functors. Here we show the promised fibration version of Theorem 3.3.

**Lemma 3.12.** If $(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)$ is the index-one cover of a fibration $(X \to Y \to B)$ of stable varieties as in Definition 3.6, then the natural morphisms $\pi: \mathcal{Y} \to Y$ and $\gamma: \mathcal{X} \to X$ are coarse moduli morphisms.

**Proof.** For $\pi$ this follows from Theorem 3.3. Hence we restrict to $\gamma$ from now on. Since $\gamma$ is proper, we have to show that it is quasi-finite and $\gamma_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. First, let us introduce some notation in the following commutative diagram (here $\mathcal{X} = X \times_Y \mathcal{Y}$ as defined in Definition 3.12):

First, since $\pi$ is a coarse moduli map, it is quasi-finite. Second, by Theorem 3.3, $\eta$ is a coarse moduli map of a DM-stack, hence it is also quasi-finite. So, it follows that $\gamma$ is quasi-finite. For the other condition, notice that $\eta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^\gamma} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, since $\eta$ is a coarse moduli morphism. Furthermore, by flat base-change [Bro12, Corollary 4.2.2] (which is flat, since it is the index-one cover of $\mathcal{g}$ which is flat by Theorem 3.3),

$$\zeta_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \zeta_* \mathcal{g}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong g^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{g}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^\gamma}.$$  

Hence $\gamma_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\gamma$ is a coarse moduli morphism indeed. □

**Lemma 3.13.** The index-one cover $(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)$ of a fibration $(X \to Y \to B)$ of stable varieties defined in Definition 3.6 is indeed a fibration of stable stacks. Furthermore, it is an admissible fibration of stable stacks.
Proof. By [AH11, Theorem 5.3.6] and the fact that the notion of a family of stable stacks is invariant under base change, \((\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)\) is a fibration of stable stacks. To prove admissibility, first note that by Lemma 3.12, \((X \to Y \to B)\) is the coarse moduli fibration of \((\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)\). Second, note also that by Lemma 3.8, \(\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}\) is flat and relatively \(S_2\) over \(B\). Hence, by Lemma 3.7.2, \(\gamma_*(\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}})\) is flat and relatively \(S_2\) over \(B\) as well. Furthermore, it is isomorphic in relative codimension 1 to \(\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}\), which is also flat and relatively \(S_2\) over \(B\) according to Lemma 3.14. So, these two sheaves are isomorphic globally by [HK03, Corollary 3.8]. That is, 

\[
\pi_*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \cong g_*\gamma_*\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \cong g_*\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}},
\]

which is locally free for all divisible enough \(m \gg 0\). This concludes our proof. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.14.** The coarse fibration \((X \to Y \to B)\) as in \((3.5.b)\) of an admissible fibration of stable stacks \((\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)\) is a fibration of stable varieties.

**Proof.** First, note that by Theorem 3.3 \(Y \to B\) is a family of stable varieties. We need to show that so is \(g: X \to Y\). First, we claim that for big and divisible enough \(m\),

\[
(3.14.a) \quad \pi^*\pi_*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \cong \tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}.
\]

Indeed, the sheaves \(\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}\) are locally free for all \(m \gg 0\). Choose an \(m\) for which this holds and also \(\pi_*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}\) is locally free. Then, \(\pi^*\pi_*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}\) is locally free as well, and in particular it is flat and relatively \(S_2\) over \(B\). Furthermore, since \(\pi\) is an isomorphism in relative codimension one over \(B\), this sheaf is isomorphic to \(\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}\) in relative codimension one. Therefore by Lemma 3.9 we obtain \((3.14.a)\).

This finishes the proof of our claim.

Define then

\[
X := \text{Proj}_Y \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \pi_*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}' := \text{Proj}_Y \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \right).
\]

Notice that by \((3.14.a)\), \(\mathcal{X}' \cong X \times_Y \mathcal{Y}\). Further note that by Lemma 3.11 applied to \(\pi \circ \tilde{g}: \mathcal{X} \to Y\), \(X\) is the coarse moduli space of \(\mathcal{X}'\). We just have to prove that \(f: X \to Y\) is a stable family. Choose now a scheme \(Z\) that maps finitely and surjectively to \(\mathcal{Y}\) via \(\xi: Z \to \mathcal{Y}\). Pulling back \(\mathcal{X}'\) (or equivalently \(X\), \(\mathcal{X}'\) and the natural morphism over \(Z\) we obtain a diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X 	imes_Y Z & \cong & \mathcal{X}' \times_Z \mathcal{Y} \cong \text{Proj}_Z \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{Z}} \right) \\
& \nearrow \text{Proj}_Z \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \xi^*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{Z}} \right) \quad & \searrow \text{Proj}_Z \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \xi^*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{Z}} \right) \\
& \downarrow \tilde{g}z & \\
& \qquad Z \\
\end{array}
\]

Note that \(\mathcal{X}' := \text{Proj}_Y \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \right)\) pulls back to \(\text{Proj}_Z \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \xi^*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{Z}} \right)\) a priori. However, the isomorphism class of Proj is not affected by passing to a Veronese subalgebra, and for divisible enough \(m\) we have \(\xi^*\tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \cong \tilde{g}\omega^m_{\mathcal{X} / \mathcal{Z}}\) by the relative ampleness of \(\omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}\) and cohomology and base change [Hall12, Theorem A].

By Lemma 3.11 and Theorem 3.3 \(X \times_Y Z \to Z\) is a stable family. So, in particular, its fibers are stable varieties. Since the fibers of \(g: X \to Y\) are also...
fibers of $X \times_Y Z \to Z$ we see that the fibers of the former are stable varieties. We are left to show that $g$ is flat and that condition (2.5.a) holds for it. For the first one, note that by flattening decomposition \cite[Lecture 8]{Mum66} (also \cite[Theorem 1]{Kol08}) there is a locally closed union $j \in J$ such that for $T \to Y$, $X \times_Y T$ is flat over $T$ if and only if $T \to Y$ factorizes through $Y^j$ for some $j$. Applying this to $T = Z$, the image of $Z \to Y$ has to factor through $Y_j$ for some $j$. Therefore, by the surjectivity of $Z \to Y$, $Y_j = Y$ for some $j$ and therefore $g$ is flat. Condition (2.5.a) is shown similarly but using the locally closed decomposition given by \cite[Corollary 25]{Kol08}.

**Remark 3.15.** Note that the proof of Lemma\ref{lem:flatness} yields also that if $(X \to Y \to B)$ is an admissible fibration of stable stacks, then the coarse fibration $(X \to Y \to B)$ can be described using the notation of \ref{subsect:coarse} as

$$X \cong \text{Proj}_Y \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \pi_* \tilde{g}_* \omega_{X/Y}^m \right).$$

Similarly $X' := X \times_Y Y$ can be described as

$$X' := \text{Proj}_Y \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \tilde{g}_* \omega_{X/Y}^m \right),$$

and if $\rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'$ is the natural morphism, then $\rho_* \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$. In particular, $\rho$ becomes a coarse moduli map after pulling back via any finite or étale cover of $Y$ by a scheme.

The following theorem is the promised fibration version of Theorem\ref{thm:main}. The previous two lemmas guarantee that the two functors in the statement do make sense.

**Theorem 3.16.** There is an equivalence of the category $\mathfrak{SM}_m$ of fibrations of stable varieties of dimension vector $\underline{m}$ introduced in Definition\ref{def:sm} and of the category of admissible fibrations of stable stacks $\mathfrak{Sibr}_m$ with the same dimension vector given by the above functors

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{SM}_m(B) & \to \mathfrak{Sibr}_m(B) \\
(X \to Y \to B) & \mapsto (\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B) = \text{the index-one cover of } (X \to Y \to B)
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{Sibr}_m(B) & \to \mathfrak{SM}_m(B) \\
(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B) & \mapsto (X \to Y \to B) = \text{the coarse fibration of } (\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B).
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 3.17.** Recall that a morphism of stacks is a functor and two morphisms are said to be equivalent if the corresponding functors are naturally isomorphic. When building a moduli space of stacks, it can be useful to remember these natural isomorphisms as well, thus obtaining a 2-category where arrows can also have isomorphisms. However in the case of $\mathfrak{Sibr}_m$ the 2-category approach turns out to be unnecessary, because no arrow

\[
(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B) \to (\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{Y}' \to B')
\]
between fibrations of stable stacks as in (3.16.a) has non-trivial automorphisms (and hence isomorphisms between arrows are unique if they exist). Indeed, by [AV02 Lemma 4.2.3], \( \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}' \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}' \) do not have non-trivial automorphisms, and then it follows that also (3.17.a) does not have any. Using the categorical language, the 2-category \( \text{Stab}_m \) is equivalent to a 1-category.

**Proof of Theorem 3.16**

**Step 1** (3.16.a) applied first and then (3.16.b) is naturally isomorphic to identity. We have to show that the coarse moduli space of \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \), defined in (3.16.a), is \( X \) and \( Y \). However, this has already been shown in Lemma 3.12.

**Step 2** (3.16.b) applied first and then (3.16.a) is naturally isomorphic to identity. Given an admissible fibration of stable stacks (\( \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B \)), if \( X \) and \( Y \) are the coarse moduli spaces of \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) as in (3.16.b), and \( \mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{Y}_0 \to B \) is the index-one cover of the tower of families of stable varieties \( (X \to Y \to B) \), we are supposed to prove that \( (\mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{Y}_0 \to B) \) is isomorphic to \( (\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B) \). The isomorphism of \( (\mathcal{Y}_0 \to B) \) to \( (\mathcal{Y} \to B) \) immediately follows from Theorem 3.3.

Hence we have to show that \( (\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}) \) is isomorphic to \( (\mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{Y}_0) \). Since we have already identified \( \mathcal{Y} \) with \( Y \), really we have to show that \( \mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{Y} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \), where

\[
\mathcal{X}_0 := \left[ \text{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X/Y}^{[m]} \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right] \times_Y \mathcal{Y}.
\]

By Theorem 3.3, the stack quotient in the above formula is a family of stable stacks. Hence, since the notion of a family of stable stacks is pullback invariant, both \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{X} \) are families of stable stacks over \( \mathcal{Y} \). Further, over the relative Gorenstein locus \( \mathcal{Y}_{\text{Gor}} \), where \( \mathcal{Y} \to Y \) is an isomorphism, \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) are isomorphic by Theorem 3.3. The idea is to apply now that the moduli space \( \text{Stab}_n \) of stable stacks is a separated DM-stack (cf. Theorem 3.3, [AH11 Proposition 6.1.4], [BHPS13 Theorem 2.8]) and deduce then that \( \mathcal{X} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) over the entire \( \mathcal{Y} \). The only issue is that we know the universal property of \( \text{Stab}_n \) only for a map from a scheme to \( \text{Stab}_n \). So, we have to pass to étale charts of \( \mathcal{Y} \) to apply the above idea.

Choose an étale cover \( s: U \to \mathcal{Y} \) by a scheme. Let \( V := U \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U \) and \( p: V \to U \) and \( q: V \to U \) be the two projections. We claim that there is an isomorphism \( \xi: \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U \to \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U \) such that \( p^* \xi = q^* \xi \). This then implies the required isomorphism of \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) over \( \mathcal{Y} \) since by the stack axioms, \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) glue in the étale topology. The existence of \( \xi \) follows similarly to the argument of the previous paragraph: fix an isomorphism \( \zeta: \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\mathcal{Y}_{\text{Gor}}} \mathcal{X}_0 \) over \( \mathcal{Y}_{\text{Gor}} \). Then \( (s|_{U_{\text{Gor}}})^* \zeta \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U_{\text{Gor}} \) and \( \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U_{\text{Gor}} \), where \( U_{\text{Gor}} \) is the Gorenstein locus of \( U \). Then using that \( \text{Stab}_n \) is separated and hence \( \text{Isom}_V(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U, \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U) \) is finite over \( U \) yields that there is a unique extension of this isomorphism over the entire \( U \). Notice now that

\[
p^* \xi|_{U_{\text{Gor}}} = (s \circ p|_{U_{\text{Gor}}})^* \zeta = (s \circ q|_{U_{\text{Gor}}})^* \zeta = q^* \xi|_{U_{\text{Gor}}}.
\]

Now using the properness of \( \text{Isom}_V(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V, \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V) \) over \( V \) implies that \( p^* \xi \) and \( q^* \xi \) agree over the entire \( V \). This concludes our claim and hence our proof as well. \( \square \)
3.4. **Conclusion.** Using Theorem 3.16, we express explicitly what vanishing is needed to show Theorem 1.2. The initial idea is that starting with a fibration of stable varieties \( X = (X \to Y \to \text{Spec} k) \) with index-one covering fibration \( \mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to \text{Spec} k) \) use the following commutative diagram of deformation functors:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Def}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\text{taking coarse moduli space}} & \text{Def}(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Def}(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\text{taking coarse moduli space}} & \text{Def}(\text{Spec} k)
\end{array}
\]

By Theorem 3.16 the top horizontal arrow is an equivalence. In this section (in Proposition 3.21) we will also prove that the left vertical arrow is an equivalence. Then we would like to use Theorem 3.3 to say that the bottom horizontal arrow is an equivalence as well, and then so is the right vertical one, which would conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2. However, unfortunately Theorem 3.3 does not apply to the lower horizontal arrow, since \( \mathcal{X} \) is not the index-one cover of \( X \). Hence we factor the bottom arrow as

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Def}(\mathcal{X}) & \xleftarrow{\text{taking coarse moduli space}} & \text{Def}(\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Def}(\mathcal{Y}) & \xleftarrow{\text{taking coarse moduli space}} & \text{Def}(\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Def}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{taking coarse moduli space}} & \text{Def}(\text{Spec} k)
\end{array}
\]

where \( \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \) is the index-one cover of \( X \), and in the following proposition we show that the introduced new arrows are equivalences.

**Proposition 3.18.** Given a fibration of stable varieties \((X \to Y \to \text{Spec} k)\), let \((\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to \text{Spec} k)\) be the index-one cover of it as in Theorem 3.16 and \( \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \) the index-one cover of \( X \) as in Theorem 3.3. Then there is a morphism \( \phi: \mathcal{X} \to \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \) factoring \( \gamma: \mathcal{X} \to X \) such that the following two natural functors of deformation spaces are equivalences:

\[
\text{Def}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \leftarrow \text{Def}(\mathcal{X} \to \tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \to \text{Def}(\mathcal{X}).
\]

**Proof.**

*Step 1 (defining \( \phi \)).* First, we prove that

\[(3.18.a) \quad \mathcal{X} \cong \left[ \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{A} / \mathbb{G}_m^2 \right], \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left( g^* \omega_X^{[m_1]} \otimes \omega_Y^{[m_2]} \right).
\]

Let

\[
\mathcal{B} := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_X^{[m]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_Y^{[m]}.
\]

Then, the following computation shows (3.18.a):

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{X} = \left[ \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{B} / \mathbb{G}_m \right] \times_Y \mathcal{Y} \\
\mathcal{X} \cong \left[ \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{B} / \mathbb{G}_m \right] \times_X \left( \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{C} / \mathbb{G}_m \right) \\
\mathcal{X} \cong \left[ \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{B} / \mathbb{G}_m \right] \times_X \left[ \left( \text{Spec}_X g^* \mathcal{C} / \mathbb{G}_m \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right] \\
\mathcal{X} \cong \left[ \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{B} \otimes_X \text{Spec}_X g^* \mathcal{C} / \mathbb{G}_m^2 \right] \\
\mathcal{X} \cong \left[ \left( \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{A} / \mathbb{G}_m^2 \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right].
\end{array}
\]
Furthermore by Lemma 2.15 there is a (graded) embedding
(3.18.b) \[ \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X}^{[m]} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}, \]
which induces a morphism
(3.18.c) \[ \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{A} \to \text{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X}^{[m]} \right). \]
Furthermore by the grading of (3.18.b), (3.18.c) is equivariant with respect to the 2-times multiplication map \( \xi : \mathbb{G}^2_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \). Quotienting out then with \( \mathbb{G}^2_m \) and \( \mathbb{G}_m \) on the two sides of (3.18.c) yields the morphism \( \phi : \mathcal{X} \to \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \).

Step 2 (Def(\( \mathcal{X} \to \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \)) \to \text{Def}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \) is an equivalence). We use [BHPS13 Proposition 3.9]. That is, we have to exhibit an open set \( U \subseteq \mathcal{X} \) such that \( \phi|_U \) is an isomorphism, \( \text{codim}_X \mathcal{X} \setminus U \geq 3 \) and depth \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \overline{y}}^{\text{sh}} \geq 3 \) for every geometric point \( \overline{y} \in \mathcal{X} \setminus U \) (where the upper index \( \text{sh} \) denotes the étale local ring, opposite to the usual Zariski one, the notation coming from “strict Henselization”, the algebraic operation with which one can obtain the étale local ring from the Zariski local ring in case of a scheme).

Consider now any (not necessarily closed) point \( x \in X \). Set \( y := g(x), c_1 := \text{codim}_Y y, c_2 := \text{codim}_X x \) and \( c := \text{codim}_X x \). Note that \( c_1 + c_2 = c \). Further, note that if \( c \leq 3 \), then at most one of \( c_j \) can be bigger than 1, and hence \( x \) (resp. \( y \)) is a relatively Gorenstein point over \( Y \) (resp. Spec \( k \)). Therefore \( \omega_{X/Y} \) or \( g^*\omega_Y \) is a line bundle at \( x \). Let \( W \) be the locus of points \( x \in X \) where \( \omega_{X/Y} \) or \( g^*\omega_Y \) is locally free. By the above discussion \( \text{codim}_X X \setminus W \geq 4 \). Define then \( U \) and \( V \) to be the inverse image of \( W \) in \( \mathcal{X} \) and in \( \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \), respectively. In particular in \( \phi^{-1}(V) = U \).

First, \( \phi|_U \) is an isomorphism, because after choosing an \( R \in W \) one of the following two cases holds:

1. If \( \omega_{X/Y} \) is locally free at \( R \), then there is an open neighborhood \( T \) of \( R \) such that \( \omega_{X/Y}|_T \cong \mathcal{O}_T \). Hence, \( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X}^{[m]}|_T \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g^*\omega_Y^{[m]}|_T \) and \( \mathcal{A}|_T \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g^*\omega_Y^{[m]}|_T \). Hence over \( V \), Spec \( \mathcal{A} \to \text{Spec} \left( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X}^{[m]} \right) \) is a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-bundle. Further the restriction of the natural \( \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \) action on Spec \( \mathcal{A} \) to the kernel of the multiplication map \( \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \) acts freely and transitively on the fibers over \( V \). Therefore, the map \( \phi : \mathcal{X} \to \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \) obtained by quotienting out Spec \( \mathcal{A} \to \text{Spec} \left( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X}^{[m]} \right) \) (by \( \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \) on the source and by \( \mathbb{G}_m \) on the target side) is an isomorphism over \( V \).

2. If \( g^*\omega_Y \) is locally free at \( R \), the argument is completely the same; only the roles of \( \omega_{X/Y} \) and \( g^*\omega_Y \) are exchanged.

Second, we have to prove that \( \text{depth} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \overline{x}}^{\text{sh}} \geq 3 \) for every geometric point \( \overline{x} \in \mathcal{X} \setminus U \). So, fix any such \( \overline{x} \). Since \( \overline{x} \notin U, c_1, c_2 \geq 2 \). Consequently depth \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \overline{x}}^{\text{sh}} \geq 2 \) and \( \text{depth} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \overline{x}} \geq 2 \). However, then by [Gro65 Proposition 6.3.1], \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \overline{x}}^{\text{sh}} \geq 4 \).

Step 3 (Def(\( \mathcal{X} \to \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \)) \to \text{Def}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \) is an equivalence). We use [BHPS13 Proposition 3.10]. That is, we have to show that \( \mathcal{X} \) has no infinitesimal automorphisms, \( (\phi)_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \) and that \( R^1(\phi)_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0 \). The first condition is shown in Lemma
For the other two, consider the following diagram (recall $\xi$ is the multiplication map $G^m_m \to G_m$):

$$
\begin{align*}
Q := \text{Spec}_X \mathcal{A} & \quad \xrightarrow{q} \quad R := \frac{Q}{\text{Ker } \xi} \quad \xrightarrow{\zeta} \quad P := \text{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega^m_X \right) \\
\phi & \\
\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{Q}{G^m_m} = \frac{R}{G_m} & \quad \xrightarrow{\zeta} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{P}{G_m}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $P$ it follows that $P$ is isomorphic to the scheme theoretic quotient $Q/\text{Ker } \xi$. Therefore, $\zeta$ is a coarse moduli map. However, then $O_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \cong (p_\ast O_P)_{G^m_m} \cong (p_\ast \xi_\ast O_R)_{G^m_m} \cong (\phi_\ast h_\ast O_R)_{G^m_m}$, and hence $O_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \cong O_P$.

This concludes our proof. □

**Lemma 3.19.** Given a fibration of stable varieties $(X \to Y \to \text{Spec } k)$, let $(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to \text{Spec } k)$ be the index-one cover as in Theorem 3.16 and $\mathcal{X}$ the index-one cover of $X$ as in Theorem 3.3. Then neither $\mathcal{X}$ nor $\mathcal{Y}$ has infinitesimal automorphisms.

**Proof.** First, note that if $\phi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is the morphism constructed in Proposition 3.18, then $\phi$ factors the coarse moduli map $\gamma: \mathcal{X} \to X$ and by the proof of Proposition 3.18 $\phi_\ast O_\mathcal{X} \cong O_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Therefore, it follows that the induced morphism $\mathcal{Y} \to X$ is also a coarse moduli map. Furthermore, since $\phi$ is isomorphism over the locus $U \subseteq X$ where either $\omega_X/Y$ or $g^\ast \omega_Y$ is a line bundle, so is the morphism $\mathcal{Y} \to X$. Hence, it is enough to prove that a DM-stack $\mathcal{X}$ with a proper coarse moduli map $\alpha: \mathcal{X} \to X$ which is an isomorphism over $U$ has no infinitesimal automorphisms. This will imply the statement for both $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$.

By Theorem 1.4, $X$ has no infinitesimal automorphism. To deduce the same for $\mathcal{X}$, note that the map $\alpha: \mathcal{X} \to X$ is an isomorphism in codimension one. Hence, $L_{\mathcal{X}/X}$ is supported in a closed set of codimension at least two. Consider now the exact triangle

$$
\tau_{\leq -1} L_{\mathcal{X}/X} \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{X}/X} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/X} \longrightarrow +1,
$$

where $\tau_{\leq -1} L_{\mathcal{X}/X}$ is supported only in cohomological degrees smaller than zero. In particular then $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{X} \left( \tau_{\leq -1} L_{\mathcal{X}/X}, O_\mathcal{X} \right) = 0$. Furthermore, $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{X} \left( \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/X}, O_\mathcal{X} \right) = 0$ because $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/X}$ is a sheaf supported on a closed set of codimension at least two. Hence by $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{X}(\cdot, O_\mathcal{X})$ applied to the above exact triangle we obtain that
\[ \text{Hom}_X(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}/X}^* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0. \] Applying now \( \text{Hom}_X(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_X) \) to the usual exact sequence of cotangent complexes associated to \( \alpha \) yields the exact sequence

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Hom}_X(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}/X}^* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\
\text{Hom}_X(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{X}/X}, \mathcal{O}_X) \\
\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_X), \mathcal{O}_X).
\end{array} \]

We have just shown that the left term is zero. Furthermore, the right term is zero as well, because

\[ \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_X), \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_X), \mathcal{O}_X) \approx \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_X), \mathcal{O}_X) \approx 0. \]

This finishes our proof.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.20.** Let \( (\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec} \, A) \) be a fibration of stable stacks over an Artinian local algebra \( A \) over \( k \) such that

\[ \text{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, R^1(\tilde{g})_* \mathcal{O}_X) = 0. \]

Let \( A' \) be a small extension of \( A \) and \( \iota : \mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}' \) a flat extension over \( A' \). Then there is a unique (up to isomorphism) extension \( j : \mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}' \) and an \( A' \) morphism \( \tilde{g}' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}' \), for which \( \tilde{g}' \circ \iota = j \circ g \).

**Proof.** First, note that \( \mathcal{X} \) has no infinitesimal automorphisms by Lemma 3.19. Hence, [BHPST13, Proposition 3.10] implies the unique existence of \( \tilde{g}' \) and \( \mathcal{Y}' \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.21.** Let \( (\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec} \, k) \) be a fibration of stable stacks. Then, the natural forgetful map \( \phi : \text{Def}(\tilde{g} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Def}(\mathcal{X}) \) is an equivalence if \( \text{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, R^1(\tilde{g})_* \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \).

**Proof.** Denote by \( \text{Art}_{k, \leq l} \) and \( \text{Art}_{k, l} \) the category of Artinian local \( k \)-algebras \( A \), such that \( \dim_k A \leq l \) or \( \dim_k A = l \), respectively. We prove by induction on \( l \) that \( \phi_{|\text{Art}_{k, \leq l}} \) is an equivalence. The claim is vacuous for \( l = 1 \). Hence we may assume that it is known for \( l \) replaced by \( l - 1 \). Choose any \( A' \in \text{Art}_{k, l} \). We may find an \( A \in \text{Art}_{k, l-1} \) such that \( A' \) is a small extension of \( A \). Choose now any \( \mathcal{X}' \in \text{Def}(\mathcal{X})(A') \). We have to prove that there is a unique isomorphism class of \( \text{Def}(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}) \) mapping to \( \mathcal{X}' \). However, by our inductive hypothesis, this is known already for \( \mathcal{X}' \). Then, Lemma 3.20 concludes our proof. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.22.** The statement of Theorem 1.2 holds, i.e., the forgetful morphism \( F : \mathfrak{M}_{b_1,b_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \) is étale, if \( \text{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, R^1(\tilde{g})_* \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \) for every admissible fibration \( (\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec} \, k) \) of stable stacks.

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{X} = (X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Spec} \, k) \) be a fibration of stable varieties as in (2.7.a), and let \( \mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec} \, k) \) be its index-one cover as in Definition 3.6. Further let \( \mathcal{X}' \) be the index-one cover of \( X \) as in Definition 3.2. We are supposed to prove that the right vertical arrow of the following commutative diagram is an equivalence. However under the assumptions of the proposition all other arrows are
forgetting

Hence for a fixed equivalences, hence so is the right vertical arrow:

Proof. By [KK10, Theorem 7.8], $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is locally free and compatible with base change. Hence, we may assume that $Y$ is a smooth projective curve over $k$. We prove the statement by induction on dim $X$. If dim $X = 2$, then by [Kle80] Theorem 21, using that $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay by the dimension assumption, $(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^* \cong f_* \omega_{X/Y}$. However, the latter is nef by [Ko90] Theorem 4.12.

If dim $X > 2$, then choose an ample enough hyperplane section $H$ of $X$. Let $g : H \to Y$ be the induced morphism. Since every fiber of $f$ is $S_2$, $\omega_{X_y}^*$ is supported in cohomological degrees smaller than $-1$ for every $y \in Y$ [Pat13] Proposition 3.3.6. Hence for a fixed $y \in Y$, $H^{-1}(X_y, (\omega_{X_y}^* (H_y))) = 0$ by Serre-vanishing. However then by Grothendieck duality,

$$H^1(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-H_y)) = H^{-1}(X_y, (\omega_{X_y}^* (H_y))^* = 0.$$ 

Now, using flatness of $f$ and the semicontinuity of $\dim_k(y) H^1(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-H_y))$, we obtain that $H^1(X_y, \mathcal{O}_{X_y}(-H_y)) = 0$ for any $y \in U$ where $U$ is a non-empty open set of $Y$. However, then replacing $H$ by an adequate power of itself, we obtain this vanishing also for the finitely many points of $Y \setminus U$. In particular then by cohomology and base change $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-H) = 0$.

Consider then the exact sequence

$$0 = R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-H) \longrightarrow R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_H.$$ 

Since $H$ was general, $g$ is also a flat, projective family of connected slc schemes with $\omega_{H/Y}$ relatively ample. Hence by induction $R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_H$ is an anti-nef vector bundle. Then by the above exact sequence it follows that so is $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X$. □
Second, we prove Theorem 1.4. The proof consists of two main parts. First, in Theorem 1.5, we show a generalization of a special case of Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing for log-canonical spaces [GKKP11, Theorem 7.2]. In particular, Theorem 1.5 implies Theorem 1.4 when $X$ is irreducible. The second ingredient is Lemma 4.2, which allows us to conclude the reducible case using Theorem 1.5. Theorem 1.5 uses the notation of reflexive tensor products (i.e., $\otimes$), reflexive differentials and (reflexive) $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundles. We refer to Section 1.2 for the precise definitions.

Recall that the statement of Theorem 1.5 is:

**Theorem 1.5** If $X$ is a projective variety of dimension $n$, $D \geq 0$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $(X, D)$ is log canonical, $\mathcal{L}$ an anti-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle, $\mathcal{E}$ an anti-nef vector bundle, then

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log [D])[\otimes] \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0.$$  

**Proof of Theorem 1.5** First, we show that we may assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle. Choose an integer $N > 0$ so that $\mathcal{L}^{-N}$ is a very ample line bundle, and a general section $s \in \mathcal{L}^{-N}$. Let $\tau: X' \to X$ be the $N$-degree cyclic cover of $X$ given by $\mathcal{L}^*$ and $s$. In other words

$$X' := \text{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{L}^*[i] \right),$$

where the algebraic structure is given by the natural tensor operations and the section $s$. Define $D' := \tau^*(D)$. Note that $\tau$ is ramified over an irreducible divisor $B$ determined by $s$, which avoids the general point of any component of $D$. Hence, by [KM98, Lemma 5.17.2 and Proposition 5.20], $(X', D')$ is log canonical. Furthermore $\mathcal{L}' = \tau^* \mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle. If we knew the statement of the theorem for $\mathcal{L}$ being a line bundle, then we would have

$$H^0(X', \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log [D']) \otimes \mathcal{L}' \otimes \tau^* \mathcal{E}) = 0. \quad (4.1.a)$$

Let $U \subseteq X$ be the open locus of $X$ where both $X$ and $D + B$ are smooth and define $U' := \tau^{-1}(U)$. Note first that $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle over $U$, second that $U'$ and $D'|_U$ are also smooth and third that codim$_X X \setminus U \geq 2$. That is, (4.1.a) would imply

$$0 = \underbrace{H^0(U', \Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log [D'])) \otimes (\tau|_U)^*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E})}_{\text{Har92 Proposition 1.11 and 4.1.a}}$$

$$= \underbrace{H^0(U, (\tau|_U)^* \Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log [D'])) \otimes \mathcal{L}|_U \otimes \mathcal{E}|_U}_{\text{projection formula}}. \quad (4.1.b)$$

Note at this point that since both $D|_U$ and $B|_U$ are smooth, by [EV92, Lemma 3.16.a],

$$(\tau|_U)^* \Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log [D'] + B) \cong \Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log [D'] + \tau^* B). \quad (4.1.c)$$

Hence,

$$(\tau|_U)^* \Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log [D'] + \tau^* B) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log [D] + B) \otimes \mathcal{L}|_U. \quad (4.1.d)$$
The natural embedding $\Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log|D'|) \hookrightarrow \Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log|D'| + \tau^*B)$ and (4.1.d) yield an embedding
\[
\iota: (\tau|_{U'})_*\Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log|D'|) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \Omega_{U}^{n-1}(\log|D| + B) \otimes L^i|_U.
\]
We claim that
\[
(4.1.e) \quad \text{im} \iota = \Omega_{U}^{n-1}(\log|D|) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N-1} \Omega_{U}^{n-1}(\log|D| + B) \otimes L^i|_U \right).
\]
Indeed, (4.1.e) is a local question, so since $U \cap \text{Supp} B \cap \text{Supp}|D| = \emptyset$ it is enough to prove it over $U \setminus \text{Supp} B$ and $U \setminus \text{Supp}|D|$ separately. That is, we may assume that either $B = 0$ or $D = 0$. In the former case (4.1.d) and in the latter (EV92 Lemma 3.16.d) prove (4.1.e). Therefore, $(\tau|_{U'})_*\Omega_{U'}^{n-1}(\log|D'|)$ has a direct factor isomorphic to $\Omega_{U}^{n-1}(\log|D|)$. Hence, (4.1.b) implies that
\[
0 = H^0(U, \Omega_{U}^{n-1}(\log|D|) \otimes L|_U \otimes \mathcal{E}|_U) = H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log|D|)[\otimes] \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}).
\]
Therefore, we may assume indeed that $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle.

Choose now a log-resolution $\pi: Y \to X$ of $(X, D)$. Let $\tilde{D}$ be the biggest reduced divisor in $\pi^{-1}(\text{non-klt locus of } (X, D))$. Then
\[
H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log|D|)[\otimes] \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}) \cong H^0(X, \pi_*\Omega_Y^{n-1}(\log|\tilde{D}|) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{E})
\]
\[
\cong H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1}(\log|\tilde{D}|) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{E})
\]
\[
\cong \text{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y^1(\log|\tilde{D}|), \omega_Y(\tilde{D}) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{E}).
\]
Assume now that this group is not zero. Then there is a non-zero homomorphism $\phi: \Omega_Y^1(\log|\tilde{D}|) \to \omega_Y(\tilde{D}) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{E}$.

Define $r := \text{rk}(\text{im} \phi)$. Note that $1 \leq r \leq n$. Then
\[
(4.1.f) \quad 0 \neq \text{Hom}(\Omega_Y^1(\log|\tilde{D}|), (\wedge^r(\text{im} \phi))^*).
\]
Define $\mathcal{K} := (\wedge^r(\text{im} \phi))^* \otimes \omega_Y(\tilde{D})^* \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^*$, and note that since $Y$ is smooth and $\mathcal{K}$ is reflexive of rank one, then $\mathcal{K}$ is a line bundle (Har80 Proposition 1.9). Also note that there is an induced homomorphism $\mathcal{K} \to \pi^*\wedge^r \mathcal{E}$ which is an embedding generically, and hence globally as well since $Y$ is integral. In particular, then $\mathcal{K}$ is the inverse of a pseudo-effective line bundle (Vie83 Lemma 1.4.1), using that a weakly positive line bundle is pseudo-effective). Therefore,
\[
0 \neq \text{Hom}(\Omega_Y^1(\log|\tilde{D}|), \omega_Y(\tilde{D}) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K})
\]
\[
(4.1.g) \quad \cong H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1}(\log|\tilde{D}|) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K}).
\]
However, $\pi^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong (\pi^*\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{K}^*)^*$, and then it is the dual of a big line bundle tensored with a pseudo-effective line bundle. Hence, in fact, it is the dual of a big
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line bundle. But then the last group in (4.1.g) is zero by the Bogomolov vanishing theorem [EV92, Corollary 6.9]. This is a contradiction. So, our assumption was false, which concludes our proof.

The following lemma helps to deduce the non-normal case of Theorem 1.4 from Theorem 1.5. For the definition of demi-normal consult Section 1.2.

Lemma 4.2. If $X$ is a quasi-projective, equidimensional, demi-normal scheme and $\pi: \overline{X} \rightarrow X$ is its normalization with conductor divisor $D \subseteq X$ and $\overline{D} := \pi^{-1}(D)_{\text{red}}$, then there is an inclusion

$$\mathcal{F}_X \hookrightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}(-\log \overline{D}).$$

(Here $\mathcal{F}_X := \mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}(-\log \overline{D}) := \mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}\left(\Omega_{\overline{X}}^{[1]}(\log \overline{D}), \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}\right)$, where $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^{[1]}(\log \overline{D})$ is the sheaf of reflexive log-differentials, i.e., the reflexive hull of the sheaf of log-differentials on the normal crossing locus of $(\overline{X}, \overline{D})$ [GGKP11, 2.17].)

Proof.

Step 1 (we may assume that $X$ contains only smooth and nodal points). Let $U$ be the open set of $\overline{X}$ containing the smooth and double normal crossing points. Define $\bar{U} := \pi^{-1}(U)$. Both $\mathcal{F}_X$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}(-\log \overline{D})$ are reflexive, or equivalently $S_2$, by [Har94, Corollary 1.8]. Then so is $\pi_* \mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}(-\log \overline{D})$ by [KM98, Proposition 5.4]. So, by [Har94, Proposition 1.11] it is enough to prove that there is a natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{F}_U \hookrightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{F}_{\bar{U}}(-\log \overline{D}).$$

In other words we may assume that $X$ contains only smooth and nodal points.

Step 2 (if $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the sheaf of total quotient rings, the kernel of $\Omega_X \rightarrow \Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ $\mathcal{K}(X)$ (given by $\eta \mapsto \eta \otimes 1$) is the submodule $C$ of sections the supports of which do not contain any component of $X$). Since $\Omega_X$ is locally free at the generic points of the components, the kernel has to be contained in $C$. For the other containment, let $\eta$ be a local section of $C$ and $s$ a local section of $\mathcal{K}(X)^{\times} \cap \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $s \cdot \eta = 0$. Then $\eta \otimes 1 = \eta \otimes (s \cdot s^{-1}) = s\eta \otimes s^{-1} = 0$.

Step 3 ($\mathcal{F}_X \cong \mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_X/C, \mathcal{O}_X)$). This follows immediately from dualizing the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow \Omega_X/C \longrightarrow 0$$

and noticing that $\mathcal{H}om_X(C, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, since $X$ is $S_2$, and hence all the sections of $\mathcal{O}_X$ are supported on the union of some components.

Step 4 (it is enough to show that there is a natural inclusion $\pi_* \Omega_X^{[1]}(\log \overline{D})(-\overline{D}) \hookrightarrow \Omega_X/C$, which is an isomorphism at the generic point of each component of $X$). Indeed, by dualizing such an inclusion, we obtain an inclusion

$$\mathcal{F}_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_X(\pi_* \Omega_X^{[1]}(\log \overline{D})(-\overline{D}), \mathcal{O}_X).$$
Further,

$$\mathcal{H}om_X(\pi_*\Omega^L_X(\log D)(-D), \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \pi_*\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega^L_X(\log D)(-D), \omega^L_X/X)$$

Grothendieck duality

$$\cong \pi_*\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega^L_X(\log D)(-D), \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \cong \pi_*\mathcal{F}^X(-\log D).$$

Step 5 (showing an inclusion $\pi_*\Omega^L_X(\log D)(-D) \hookrightarrow \Omega_X/\mathcal{E}$ as above). Note that if $\iota_i : \xi_i \to \mathcal{X}$ is the inclusion of the generic points of $X$, then

$$\Omega^L_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{i_1, \xi_i} \iota_{i_1, \xi_i} \Omega^L_{X/k, \xi_i}.$$

We verify that the first is a subsheaf of the second via these embeddings.

Further, $\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}(X)$ corresponds to the following $A(x) \oplus A(y)$-module viewed as an $A[x, y]_{(x, y)}$-module:

$$B := (A(x)dx \oplus A(y)dy) \oplus (A(x) \oplus A(y))dz_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (A(x) \oplus A(y))dz_n.$$

Further, $\Omega^L_X$ corresponds to the $A[x, y]_{(x, y)}$-module

$$A[x, y]_{(x, y)} dx \oplus A[x, y]_{(x, y)} dy \oplus A[x, y]_{(x, y)} dz_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A[x, y]_{(x, y)} dz_n,$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the submodule generated by $xdy$. Consequently $\Omega^L_X/\mathcal{E}$ corresponds to the following $A[x, y]_{(x, y)}$-submodule of $B$:

$$A[x]dx \oplus A[y]dy \oplus A[x, y]_{(x, y)} dz_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A[x, y]_{(x, y)} dz_n. \tag{4.2.a}$$

On the other hand, $\pi_*\Omega^L_X(\log D)(-D)$ corresponds to the submodule

$$A[x]dx \oplus A[y]dy \oplus (xA[y] + yA[x])dz_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (xA[x] + yA[y])dz_n. \tag{4.2.b}$$

Since $(xA[x] + yA[y])$ is a subring of $A[x, y]_{(x, y)}$ when the latter is viewed embedded into $A[x] \oplus A[y]$, submodule (4.2.b) is indeed contained in submodule (4.2.a). \hfill \Box

**Proof of Theorem 1.4** First, we claim that it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega^L_X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. Indeed, there is an exact triangle

$$L^\leq_{X,-1} \to L_X \to \Omega^L_X[-1].$$
Hence applying $\text{Hom}(-, \mathcal{E})$ gives the exact sequence
\[
\text{Hom}(\Omega_X, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_X, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_X^{\leq -1}, \mathcal{E}),
\]
where the last term is zero, since $\mathbb{L}_X^{\leq -1}$ is supported in negative cohomological degrees, while $\mathcal{E}$ is supported in zero cohomological degrees. (Recall that $\text{Hom}(-, \mathcal{E})$ is computed by $h^0(\text{Hom}^*(-, \mathcal{I}))$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is an injective resolution of $\mathcal{E}$, and then since $\mathbb{L}_X^{\leq -1}$ is supported in negative cohomological degrees, $\text{Hom}^*(\mathbb{L}_X^{\leq -1}, \mathcal{I}) = 0$ holds.) This concludes our claim.

Now we show that $\text{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \to X$ be the normalization of $X$ with conductor divisor $D \subseteq X$ and $\tilde{D} := \pi^{-1}(D)_{\text{red}}$. Then there is an inclusion
\[
\text{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathcal{E}) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \pi_* \mathcal{I}_X (-\log \tilde{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E})
\]
\[
\cong H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_X (-\log \tilde{D}) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{E}) = H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log \tilde{D})) \otimes \omega_X(\tilde{D})^* \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{E}.
\]

Hence it is enough to prove that the last group is zero. However, that follows from Theorem 1.5 by setting $\mathcal{L} := \omega_X(\tilde{D})^*$, which is anti-ample by [Kol13, (5.7.1)].

\section{5. Proof of the main theorem}

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2.

\textbf{Lemma 5.1.} Given a fibration $(X \to Y \to B)$ of stable varieties as in (2.7.a) and its corresponding index-one fibration $(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)$ of stable stacks as in Definition 3.6 $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}, R^1 g_\ast \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$.

\textbf{Proof.} By Lemma 3.12, the coarse moduli tower of $(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to B)$ is $(X \to Y \to B)$. So, we use the notation of (3.5.b), which we recall here:

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_2 \ar[dd, \gamma] \ar[rr, \tilde{g} = f_2] & & \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \ar[dd, \pi] \ar[rr, f_1] & & \mathcal{X}_0 = B \\
X = X_2 \ar[rr, g = f_2] & & Y = X_1 \ar[rr, f_1] & & X_0 = B \\
& & & & \\
\end{tikzcd}
\end{center}

By Proposition 4.1, $R^1 g_\ast \mathcal{O}_X$ is a weakly negative vector bundle. Then by Theorem 1.4, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}, R^1 g_\ast \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$. However,
\[
(5.1.a) \quad R^1 g_\ast \mathcal{O}_X \cong R^1 g_\ast \gamma_\ast \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \pi_\ast R^1 \tilde{g}_\ast \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},
\]
and hence
\[
0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}, \pi_\ast R^1 \tilde{g}_\ast \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(L \pi^\ast \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}, R^1 \tilde{g}_\ast \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})
\]
\[
(5.1.b) \quad \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\pi^\ast \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}, R^1 \tilde{g}_\ast \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})
\]
by cohomological degrees

\[\text{by adjunction}\]

\[\text{by Lemma 5.1}\]
Consider now the triangle
\[(5.1.c) \quad \pi^*\Omega_Y \to \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}} \to \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/Y}^{+1} \to \cdot\]

By \((5.1.b)\) and \((5.1.d)\), it is enough to prove that \(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/Y}, R^1\tilde{g}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0\). Since \(\mathcal{Y} \to Y\) is an isomorphism in codimension one, \(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/Y}\) is supported on a codimension two closed set. Hence it is enough to prove that \(R^1\tilde{g}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\) is locally free. At this point, we are going to use the notation of Remark 3.15. By Remark 3.15, \(R\rho_1\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}\), where \(\mathcal{X}' := X \times_Y \mathcal{Y}\) and \(\rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'\) is the induced morphism. Denote by \(g'\) the natural morphism \(\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{Y}\). Then
\[
R^1\tilde{g}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong R^1(g' \circ \rho)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong h^1(Rg'_*R\rho_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \cong h^1(Rg'_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}) \cong R^1g'_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}.\]

However \(g'\) is a family of stable schemes, so \(R^1g'_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}\) is locally free by [KK10, Theorem 7.8]. (The base of \(\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{Y}\) is a DM-stack, so one has to be slightly careful when applying [KK10, Theorem 7.8].) Note that it is enough to prove that the pullback of \(R^1g'_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}\) to an étale cover \(\zeta : Z \to \mathcal{Y}\) of \(\mathcal{Y}\) by a scheme is locally free (in étale topology which follows from showing it in Zariski topology). However, \(\zeta^*R^1g'_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} \cong R^1g_{Z_*}\mathcal{O}_{X_Z}\), so over \(Z\) [KK10, Theorem 7.8] applies directly. □

Proof of point (1) of Theorem 1.1 It follows from [BHPS13, Propositions 3.9 and 3.10], Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 4.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 and equivalently of point (2) of Theorem 1.1 It follows from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 3.22. □

Remark 5.2. Let us note that iterating the results of the paper one can obtain similar results to towers. We word this precisely here. Let a tower of stable varieties with Hilbert function vector \(h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)\) over a base scheme \(B\) be a commutative diagram
\[(5.2.a) \quad X = X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0 = B\]

such that \(f_i\) is a family of stable varieties (satisfying Kollár’s condition), and \(\chi\left(\omega_{X_i}^{[m]}\right) = h_i(m)\) for every \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\), \(1 \leq i \leq n\) and \(y \in X_{i-1}\). Define the category fibered in groupoids \(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{M}_h}\) over \(\mathcal{S}ch_k\) to have such towers as objects over \(B\) and natural Cartesian pullbacks as morphisms. For a vector of integers \(m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)\) define also the category of all towers with dimension vector \(m\) as follows:
\[\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{M}_m} := \bigcup_{h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n), \deg h_i = m_i} \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{M}_h}.
\]

By induction on \(n\), \(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{M}_m}\) is a DM-stack locally of finite type over \(k\) (cf. Proposition 2.9). Let \(F : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \to \mathcal{M}_m\) denote the forgetful functor obtained by disregarding the middle levels of a tower (here \(m = \sum m_i\)). Then iterated use of Theorem 1.1 yields that the forgetful functor \(F : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \to \mathcal{M}_m\) is étale.
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