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#### Abstract

The well-known Pontryagin Duality (classically) reduces the study of compact abelian groups to the algebraic theory of discrete abelian groups. At first glance, Pontryagin Duality seems to be "algorithmic" in nature. Quite unexpectedly, the situation is more intricate. Nonetheless, using methods of computable analysis from the work of Weihrauch and modern techniques of computable algebra (e.g., the recent metatheorem), we establish a partial algorithmic analogy of Pontryagin Duality and use it to derive a handful of corollaries. We believe that most of these consequences are fundamental to the emerging systematic theory of computable Polish groups. We also apply our techniques to measure the complexity of the classification problem for profinite and connected compact Polish groups.


## Contents

1. Introduction ..... 8709
2. Elements of computable analysis ..... 8714
3. The effective content of Pontryagin Duality ..... 8717
4. Proof of Theorem 1.7 ..... 8727
5. Profinite abelian groups ..... 8731
6. Further questions ..... 8734
Acknowledgment ..... 8734
References ..... 8735

## 1. Introduction

Which topological groups admit algorithmic presentations? Can we classify common subclasses of compact topological groups - such as profinite abelian groups - using reasonable invariants? Can we characterize profinite groups that admit a unique algorithmic presentation? In this paper we combine methods of topological group theory, computable analysis, and computable structure theory to answer questions of this sort.

Metakides and Nerode MN79] initiated the study of algorithms in topological groups (GR93,LR81,Smi81b,MM]), and Mal'cev [Mal62, Mal61] laid the foundations of constructive (discrete) group theory ( EG00, AK00, Khi98). In this paper we establish a close technical connection between these two subjects. Thus, the paper contributes to the general program proposed in Mel13 which is focused on

[^0]applications of effective algebra to computable analysis (see also MN16, MN13, MM, GMKT, McN15, MS ).
1.1. Algorithms in group theory. Algorithmic problems have been central to group theory for many decades; see, e.g., Novikov Nov55, Boone [Boo59], Higman Hig61, and the book LS01. In the 1960s Mal'cev Mal62, Mal61 initiated the systematic study of infinitely generated groups with a solvable Word Problem.

Definition 1.1 ( $\left.\mathrm{Mal}^{\prime} \mathrm{cev}\right)$. A countably infinite group $(A,+)$ is constructive if there exists an injective numbering of its universe $A=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ by natural numbers, and a Turing machine $T$ such that $a_{i}+a_{j}=a_{T(i, j)}$ for every $i, j$.

A countably generated group is constructive if and only if it has a grouppresentation

$$
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \mid r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots\right\}
$$

in which the set of generators and relations are computably enumerable and the Word Problem is algorithmically decidable.

The theory of infinitely generated constructive groups, and, in particular, of constructive abelian groups, has been very popular within the former USSR EG00, Ers, Gon81, Khi98, Dob81, Nur74, but some of the key results were independently discovered by experts in the USA and Australia Lin81, Smi81a, Bar95, Dow97, DK86. Over the past 60 years the subject has accumulated many results; see the books AK00, EG00] and the surveys Khi98, Mel14. Of course, such studies have not been restricted to groups. There has been a lot of work on constructive fields, Boolean algebras, linear orders, and other structures [Gon97, Dow98, AK00, EG00 (we omit the general definition).

The development of constructive field theory led to investigations into algorithmic aspects of profinite groups. Based on Metakides and Nerode [MN79, La Roche LR81] and Smith Smi81b defined and studied recursive profinite groups.

Definition 1.2. We say that a profinite group $P$ is recursive if there exists a uniformly computable (strong) array of finite groups $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and surjective homomorphisms $\left(\phi_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the inverse system $0 \leftarrow_{\phi_{0}} F_{0} \leftarrow_{\phi_{1}} F_{1} \leftarrow_{\phi_{2}} \ldots$ has limit $P$.

We note that recursive profinite groups are exactly the Galois groups of constructive Galois field extensions [R81].

What if a topological group is not profinite? The general theory of computable topological groups is still to be developed, but a lot of work has been done on computable Banach spaces and computable Polish spaces PER89, Wei00, BHW08. It is natural to extend the classical notions of effectiveness from Polish spaces to Polish groups. Using approximations by points in a computable dense set, we can define the notion of a computable function between Polish spaces (to be clarified in the preliminaries). In particular, it gives the notion of a computable operation upon a computable Polish space, and thus of a computable Polish group.

Definition 1.3 ( MM ). A computable Polish group is a computable Polish space equipped with computable group operations ( ${ }^{-1}$ and $\cdot$ ).

We briefly discuss the relationship between Definitions 1.3 and 1.2. Every recursive profinite group is computable Polish (to be explained in Section 5). As a
consequence of our main results, every computable Polish profinite group has $0^{\prime}$ recursive presentation (Corollary 1.8), and there exists a computable Polish profinite group with no recursive presentation (Corollary 1.6). (We believe that these facts are new.)
1.2. A computable version of Pontryagin Duality. Pontryagin Duality is one of the main tools of abstract harmonic analysis (see the textbook [Fol16]), and it will also be central to this paper.

Let $\mathbb{T}=(\mathbb{R},+) / \mathbb{Z}$. For any topological group $G$, form its dual group

$$
\widehat{G}=\{\chi \mid \chi \text { is a continuous group homomorphism from } G \text { to } \mathbb{T}\}
$$

It is easily seen that $\widehat{G}$ is itself a topological group under the operation $(\chi+\xi)(a)=$ $\chi(a)+\xi(a)$ and the topology of uniform convergence. A locally compact abelian $G$ is discrete iff $\widehat{G}$ is compact abelian, and $G$ compact Polish abelian iff $\widehat{G}$ is countable discrete Pon66, Mor77. Pontryagin Duality states that if $G$ is compact abelian, then $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$ Pon66, Mor77. This means that the discrete dual $\widehat{G}$ of a compact abelian $G$ contains all the information about $G$. Thus, the Duality essentially reduces the study of compact abelian groups to the algebraic theory of abelian groups; see, e.g., the book Lot98. We note that van Kampen extended the Duality to arbitrary locally compact abelian groups Pon66, Mor77, but we will focus on the compact Polish/discrete countable case.

Our initial (naive) hope was that Pontryagin Duality should behave well with respect to computable Polish and constructive presentations. If true, it would reduce the study of computable Polish compact abelian groups to the theory of constructive (discrete) abelian groups [Khi98, Mel14]. Although the classical proofs in literature tend to be non-constructive, at first glance the duality may seem fully uniformly computable. Quite unexpectedly, the situation is a lot more complicated.

## Theorem 1.4.

(1) Let $G$ be a constructive abelian group. Then $\widehat{G}$ is a computable Polish group.
(2) There exists a computable Polish compact abelian group $W$ whose (discrete) dual $\widehat{W}$ has no constructivization.

Our proof of part (1) is not uniform and uses a variety of techniques. We will clarify the difficulty in Proposition [3.3] To circumvent this difficulty, we introduce a new notion of a tractable constructivization (Definition 3.4). Remarkably, in Lemma 3.5 we prove that this new purely technical property is equivalent to the existence of a computable Prüfer basis. Dobrica Dob83] showed that every constructive abelian group has a constructivization with a computable Prüfer basis. Dobrica's original proof relies on clever combinatorics such as the factorial trick; see [Mel14] for a sketch of the special torsion-free case. Unfortunately, the proof in Dob83 is quite compressed and incomplete (we will discuss this further in Remark (3.9). To clarify the situation, in Proposition 3.10 we give a new and detailed proof of Dobrica's theorem that replaces the combinatorics with abelian group theory and elements of model theory.

In contrast with part (1) of Theorem [1.4, the proof of the "non-effective" part (2) of Theorem 1.4 is more straightforward, but it does require a new idea. The proof of (2) also has two important consequences. Recall that a compact group possesses a unique left-invariant probability measure, the Haar measure. Willem

Fouche has asked whether every computable compact Polish group has computable Haar measure. We will show that the group $W$ from the proof of Theorem 1.4(2) witnesses.

Corollary 1.5. There is a computable compact Polish abelian group in which the Haar measure is not computable.

Furthermore, we will see that $W$ is the first known example separating Definitions 1.3 and 1.2 for profinite groups.

Corollary 1.6. There exists a computable Polish profinite group that has no recursive presentation.

Our proofs of the two corollaries above use the third main result of the paper (Theorem [1.9 to be stated). See Subsection 5.2 for the proofs. Also, see the Logic Blog (edited by Nies) for a direct proof of Corollary 1.5 that does not use Theorems 1.4 and 1.9 .

There are several further questions related to the effective content of Pontryagin Duality that we leave open (to be discussed in Section 6). In this paper we concentrate on further applications of Theorem 1.4.
1.3. Applications to classification problems. The computable enumeration of all Turing machines leads to an effective listing of all (partially) computable Polish groups:

$$
G_{0}, G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots
$$

Let $K$ be a class of Polish groups. We follow the general framework [GK02] and define the index set of $K$ :

$$
I(K)=\left\{i: G_{i} \in K\right\}
$$

and the topological isomorphism problem for $K$ :

$$
E(K)=\left\{(i, j): G_{i}, G_{j} \in K \text { and } G_{i} \cong_{\text {hom }} G_{j}\right\},
$$

where $\cong_{h o m}$ stands for topological group-isomorphism (i.e., homeomorphic isomorphism). The more complicated $I(K)$ and $E(K)$ are, the harder it is to classify members of the class $K$. We will use arithmetical and analytical hierarchies Rog87 to measure the complexity of $I(K)$ and $E(K)$. Our results will be stated in terms of computable groups, but they can be relativised to any given oracle. Therefore, the results measure the complexity of the classification problem in general, not the complexity of only computable objects in the class. See GK02, DM08 for further background on applications of recursion theory to classification problems.

It takes a bit of work to show that the index set

$$
C P G r=\left\{i: G_{i} \text { is a compact Polish group }\right\}
$$

is arithmetical, i.e., it is definable by a first-order formula in $(\mathbb{N}, 0,+, \times)$ (it is $\Pi_{3}^{0}-$ complete; see Proposition 4.1). Since being a compact Polish group is itself an arithmetical property, it is natural to investigate the complexity of index sets for various natural subclasses of compact Polish groups ${ }^{11}$

Recall that the connected component of the identity element $C$ forms a normal subgroup, and thus every compact group is an extension of a profinite group by a connected group. We prove the following.

[^1]
## Theorem 1.7.

(1) The index sets of profinite and of connected compact Polish groups are both arithmetical ( $\Pi_{2}^{0}$ - and $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-complete within $C P G r$, respectively).
(2) The topological isomorphism problems for profinite abelian groups and for connected compact abelian groups are both $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$-complete.

The first part of Theorem 1.7 says that both profinite and connected compact groups admit very low complexity listings among all computable Polish groups, but these lists contain repetitions of isomorphism types. The proof of part (1) relies on a careful definability analysis. Since the index sets are complete in their classes, it follows that the produced formal definitions are optimal. We will use our definability techniques to establish the above-mentioned.

Corollary 1.8. Every computable Polish profinite group has a $0^{\prime}$-recursive presentation.

In Section 4 we prove a slightly stronger uniform version of this corollary (see Corollary 4.8). By Corollary 1.6 " 0 '-recursive" cannot be improved to "recursive".

The second part of Theorem 1.7 illustrates that removing repetitions from the listings produced in Theorem 1.7(1) is as hard as it could be. It follows that deciding whether two profinite (or connected compact) abelian groups are topologically isomorphic is as hard as just saying that there exists a topological group isomorphism between them, which itself is a $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$-statement (Fact 4.9). Note the $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$ completeness is witnessed by abelian groups, but as far as we know Theorem 1.7(2) is new (but far more expected) for compact groups that are not necessarily abelian. We also note that we could use Theorem [1.4(1) and known results $\mathrm{FFH}^{+} 12$ to show $\leq_{e f f}$-completeness for both classes, but we omit the definitions and (trivial) details.
1.4. Profinite abelian groups. Recall that a constructive $A$ is computably categorical (autostable) iff it has a unique constructivization, up to computable isomorphism. Note that a finitely generated constructive algebraic structure is necessarily computably categorical. Thus, computable categoricity can be viewed as a computability-theoretic generalization of being finitely generated, and there is enough evidence supporting this intuition; see the books AK00, EG00. The study of computably categorical algebras is central to effective algebra (see the books AK00, EG00]), and there has been an increasing interest in computably categorical Polish and Banach spaces [GMKT, McN15, MS, Mel13.

If a group is profinite, it is more natural to use recursive presentations (Definition (1.2) rather than computable Polish presentations (Definition 1.3). We say that a profinite group is computably categorical if it has a unique recursive presentation, up to computable topological group-isomorphism. Can we describe those Polish abelian groups that are both profinite and computably categorical? The reader might expect that such groups should be rather simple, but surprisingly the situation is more complicated. First, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.9. Let $P$ be a profinite abelian group.
(1) $P$ is recursively presentable iff $\widehat{P}$ is constructivizable.
(2) $P$ is computably categorical iff $\widehat{P}$ is computably categorical.

The proof of Theorem [1.9(1) is quite straightforward, but part (2) requires some care. The main strength of Theorem 1.9 is in its applications. Some of these corollaries have already been stated above (e.g., Corollaries 1.6 and 1.5).

It is not hard to see that the duals of profinite abelian groups are torsion Pon66. The recent work of Melnikov and Ng [ MN ] on constructive torsion abelian groups and Theorem 1.9(2) imply Corollary 1.10

Corollary 1.10. The index set of computably categorical profinite abelian groups is $\Pi_{4}^{0}$-complete.

It follows that categoricity in this class is not relativizable; see MN for a discussion. Thus, Corollary 1.10 suggests that one should not expect that there is a purely algebraic description of computably categorical profinite abelian groups. On the other hand, these groups admit a rather syntactically and algorithmically simple listing (without repetition). The main result in (MN has an involved proof. Proving Corollary 1.10 directly with profinite groups, i.e., without Theorem 1.9 , would be an even more challenging task.

In contrast, computably categorical pro-p abelian groups do admit an algebraic description. Theorem 1.9 and the well-known result of Gončarov Gon80 (and, independently, Smith Smi81a]) imply Corollary 1.11.

Corollary 1.11. Let $p$ be a prime. A pro-p abelian group $P$ is computably categorical iff it is homeomorphic to a (topological) direct product of cyclic p-groups and the group of p-adic integers in which a.e. the factor is equal to some fixed cyclic or $p$-adic group.

One can show the effective functor from Theorem 1.9 also preserves computable dimension, relative computable categoricity, and degree spectra. See AK00 for the definitions. These notions can be naturally extended to the category of inverse-limit presentations of profinite groups up to topological group isomorphism (we omit the details). As a consequence of [MN], there exists a recursive profinite group which is computably categorical but not relatively computably categorical. It also follows from $\overline{M N}$ that the computable dimension of a recursive profinite abelian group is either 1 or $\omega$. As a consequence of the main result in KKM13, there exists a pro-p abelian group whose degree spectrum contains all non-computable $\Delta_{2}^{0}$-degrees, but does not contain $\mathbf{0}$. We note that proving all these results directly with profinite groups seems totally infeasible.

## 2. Elements of computable analysis

The standard references for computable analysis are PER89, Wei00, BHW08. We will also use elements of abelian group theory Fuc70, Fuc73] and topological group theory Pon66, Mor77. This section contains definitions and basic facts that are necessary for the rest of the paper. Further definitions will be given when necessary.
2.1. Computable maps between computable Polish spaces. Recall that a real $\alpha$ is computable (Turing Tur36 Tur37) if there exists a Turing machine that, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, outputs a rational $r$ within $2^{-n}$ of $\alpha$. A Polish space $(M, d)$ is computable if there exists a sequence $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $M$-points which is dense in $M$ and such that, for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, the distance $d\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)$ is a computable real, uniformly in $i$ and $j$ Wei00.

Definition 2.1. Let $f$ be a continuous function between Polish metric spaces $M$ and $N$. A name of $f$ is any collection of pairs of basic open balls $(B, C)$ such that $f(B) \subseteq C$, and for every $x \in M$ and every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $(B, C) \in \Psi$ such that $B \ni x$ and $r(C)<\epsilon$.

It is clear that every continuous function has a name.
Definition 2.2. A function $f: M \rightarrow N$ between computable Polish spaces $M, N$ is computable if it possesses a c.e. name.

A function is continuous iff it has an $X$-c.e. name, where $X$ is some oracle.
In a metric space, we say that a Cauchy sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)$ is fast if $d\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)<$ $2^{-i-1}$. The above definition of a computable map is equivalent to saying that $f$ is represented by a Turing functional that maps fast Cauchy sequences to fast Cauchy sequences (folklore).

Definition 2.3. A computable Polish group is a triple $(G, \Phi, \Psi)$, where $G$ is a computable Polish space and $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are (indices for) c.e. names of group-operations - and ${ }^{-1}$ upon $G$.

The definition below is a variation of similar notions that can be found in Wei01, MM.

Definition 2.4. Let $B, B^{\prime}$ be (closed or open) balls in a Polish space $M$. We say that $B$ is formally included in $B^{\prime}$ and write $B \subset_{\text {form }} B^{\prime}$ if there exists a rational $\epsilon>0$ such that $d\left(\operatorname{cntr}(B), \operatorname{cntr}\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right)+r(B)+\epsilon<r\left(B^{\prime}\right)$.

We write $B \subseteq_{\text {form }} B^{\prime}$ if $B \subset_{\text {form }} B^{\prime}$ or $B=B^{\prime}$, where the latter means that $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ have the same description as basic open balls (not that they are equal as sets). Note that $B \subset_{\text {form }} B^{\prime}$ implies $\operatorname{cl}(B) \subseteq B^{\prime}$. It is evident that formal inclusion of basic balls is $\Sigma_{1}^{0}$.
2.2. The universal compact Polish abelian group. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the circle of perimeter 1 equipped with the shortest arc metric. Equivalently, $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit interval in $\mathbb{R}$ in which the end-points are identified. We say that a point $x \in \mathbb{T}$ is rational if the respective point of the unit interval is a rational number. Then $\mathbb{T}$ equipped with rational points is a computable Polish group. The direct product

$$
\mathbb{A}=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}
$$

of infinitely many identical copies $\mathbb{T}_{i}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ carries the natural product-metric

$$
D(\chi, \rho)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{-i}} d_{i}\left(\chi_{i}, \rho_{i}\right)
$$

where each of the $d_{i}$ stands for the shortest arc metric on $\mathbb{T}_{i}$. Under this metric and the component-wise operation $\mathbb{A}$ is a computable compact Polish abelian group. The basic open sets in $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$ are direct products of intervals with rational endpoints such that the a.e. interval in the product is equal to the respective $\mathbb{T}_{i}$. Clearly, we can effectively list all such open sets. (The exact choice of this basic system of balls is not crucial, but it will be convenient to assume that the end-points are rational.) Every compact abelian group can be realized as a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{A}$. This is explained in the next subsection.
2.3. The group $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{T})$. Suppose $G=\left\{g_{0}=0, g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is a countably infinite discrete group. Let $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T})$ be the subset of $\mathbb{A}=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$, (each $\mathbb{T}_{i}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{T}$ ) consisting of tuples $\chi=\left(\chi_{i}, \chi_{2}, \ldots\right)$, where each such tuple represents a group-homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $\chi\left(g_{i}\right)=\chi_{i} \in \mathbb{T}_{i} \equiv \mathbb{T}$. Since $G$ is discrete, every group homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is necessarily continuous. Thus, $\widehat{G} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T})$. Since being a group-homomorphism is a universal property, $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathbb{A}$. Pontryagin Duality implies that every compact abelian group is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of $\mathbb{A}$. In our later proofs we will need a more detailed understanding of the dual of a discrete $G$ within $\mathbb{A}=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$. See Pon66] and Chapter VIII of [Fuc70] for more information on the duals of elementary groups.

We represent $G$ as the union of its finitely generated subgroups:

$$
\{0\} \subseteq\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle \subseteq \ldots \subseteq\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{i}\right\rangle \subseteq \ldots
$$

It is well known that the character group $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T}) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{G}}$ is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of the system

$$
\{0\} \leftarrow \widehat{\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle} \leftarrow \widehat{\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle} \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \ldots
$$

where embeddings are defined in a certain canonical way. More specifically, if $f: A \rightarrow B$, then define $\hat{f}: \widehat{B} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ by the rule $f(\gamma)(a)=\gamma f(a)$ for every $a \in A$ and each $\gamma \in \widehat{B}$. Recall also that for a cyclic group $H, \widehat{H}$ is either $\mathbb{T}$ (if $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ) or a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ (if $H$ is finite). We thus can "build" a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{A}$ homeomorphic to $\widehat{G}$. A reader not familiar with such constructions should look at the example below.

Example 2.5. Recall $\mathbb{A}=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$, where each $\mathbb{T}_{i}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{T}$. Let $\pi_{i}$ be the projection of $\operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{i}$. Clearly, $\chi(0)=0$ for any character $\chi$ of $G$, thus $\pi_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T}))=0$. Suppose $\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and therefore its dual $\widehat{\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}$. We then declare $\pi_{1}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T}))=\mathbb{T}_{1}$.

Now consider $\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle$ and suppose $2 g_{2}=0$. Then the possibilities for $\chi\left(g_{2}\right)$ are exhausted by: $\chi\left(g_{2}\right)=1 / 2$ or $\chi\left(g_{2}\right)=0$. We have $\widehat{\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. If we were to stop here, then our dual would consist of arbitrary pairs $(x, a)$, where $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $a \in\{0,1 / 2\}$, under the product topology. The respective (finite) inverse sequence would be $0 \leftarrow \mathbb{T} \leftarrow \mathbb{T} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where the second map is the natural projection onto the first coordinate. We thus declare $\pi_{2}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T}))=\{0,1 / 2\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{2}$ without any further restriction whatsoever.

If $g_{3}$ does not generate a new fresh direct summand, then the situation becomes slightly more complicated. Assume that (say) $2 g_{3}=g_{1}$. Then the order of $g_{3}$ is infinite, and thus $\widehat{\left\langle g_{3}\right\rangle} \cong \mathbb{T}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{T}, \chi\left(g_{3}\right)=x$ implies $\chi\left(g_{1}\right)=2 x$. We then declare $\pi_{3}(\operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}))=\mathbb{T}_{3}$, but we also require $2 \chi_{3}=\chi_{2}$ for each character $\chi=\left(\chi_{0}, \chi_{1}, \ldots\right)$ of $G$. We can proceed in this manner to define the closed set representing $\widehat{G}$ within $\mathbb{A}$.

We note that the decision procedure for the projections $\pi_{i}$ described in the example above is not necessarily computable (to be illustrated in Proposition 3.3).

## 3. The effective content of Pontryagin Duality

3.1. Computably enumerable closed sets. We say that a closed subset $C$ of a computable Polish metric space $M$ is computably enumerable (c.e.) if

$$
\left\{i: B_{i} \text { basic open in } M \text { and } B_{i} \cap C \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

is a computably enumerable set. The fact below will be useful in constructing a computable copy of $\widehat{G}$ in Theorem 1.4 (1).

Fact 3.1. A closed subset $C$ of a computable Polish space $M$ is computably enumerable if and only if $C$ possesses a uniformly computable (in $M$ ) dense sequence of points.

Note that the dense sequence makes $C$ a computable Polish space under the induced metric.

Proof. Suppose $C$ possesses such a computable sequence $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then the density of the sequence in $C$ implies that $B_{i} \cap C \neq \emptyset$ iff $\exists j \alpha_{j} \in B_{i}$, which is a uniformly $\Sigma_{1}^{0}$ statement.

Now suppose $C$ is a computably enumerable closed subset of $M$. Our goal is to construct a uniformly computable sequence of points $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ that is dense in $C$. Without loss of generality, we assume $C$ is infinite. (If $C$ is finite, then it clearly contains only computable points.) We uniformly approximate the sequence by stages. Before we describe stage $s$, we give a technical definition.

Definition 3.2. Two basic open balls $U$ and $W$ are formally s-disjoint if $r(U)+$ $r(W)<d(\operatorname{cntr}(U), \operatorname{cntr}(W))$ and this can be seen after calculating the radii and the distance with precision $2^{-s}$. We say that $U$ and $W$ are formally disjoint if they are formally $s$-disjoint for some $s$.

At stage 0 fix one basic ball $B_{0,0}$ of radius $<1$ such that $B_{0,0} \cap C \neq \emptyset$. At stage $s>1$ first check whether there exists a basic open ball with index $<s$ which is formally $s$-disjoint from $B_{0, s-1}, \ldots, B_{s-1, s-1}$. If such a basic open $B$ exists, then choose the first found $B_{s, s} \subseteq_{\text {form }} B$ and $B_{i, s} \subseteq_{\text {form }} B_{i, s-1}, i<s$ such that $B_{j, s} \cap C \neq \emptyset$, the $B_{j, s}$ are pairwise formally disjoint, and $r\left(B_{j, s}\right)<2^{-s}, j=$ $0, \ldots, s$. Otherwise, if no such $B$ exists, fix the first found pairwise formally disjoint $B_{0, s}, \ldots, B_{s, s}$ that intersect $C$, have radii $<2^{-s}$, and such that $B_{i, s} \subseteq_{\text {form }} B_{i, s-1}$ for $i<s$ (note there is no further restriction on $B_{s, s}$ ). This ends the construction.

Note that all the conditions (that we check at every stage) are computable. Since $C$ is infinite, at no stage are we stuck. We then let $\alpha_{i}$ be the unique point of the Polish space such that $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}=\bigcap_{j \geq i} B_{i, j}$. Since the construction is uniform and the radii of balls are rapidly shrinking, the points $\alpha_{i}$ form a uniformly computable sequence. Since each of the $B_{i, j}(j=i, i+1, \ldots)$ intersects $C$ and $C$ is closed, each $\alpha_{i} \in C$. It remains to check that $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $C$. Let $\overline{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}$ be the completion of $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Suppose $c \in C$. We claim that $c \in{\overline{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Assume $c \notin{\overline{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and there is a ball $U$ centred in $c$ which is outside ${\overline{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. There will be a basic open ball $B^{\prime} \ni c$ of radius at most $2^{-n}$ and which is formally contained in $U$ with precision $2^{-n}$ :

$$
d\left(\operatorname{cntr}(U), \operatorname{cntr}\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right)+r\left(B^{\prime}\right)<r(U)+2^{-n} .
$$

Then at every stage $s>n+4$ the balls $B_{i, s-1}, i=0, \ldots, s-1$ will be formally $s$-disjoint from $B$, as will be readily witnessed by the metric. At some late enough
stage $s^{\prime}$ we will get a confirmation that $B \cap C \neq \emptyset$. There exist only finitely many basic balls that have their index smaller than the index of $B$. Therefore, eventually $B$ will be used to define $B_{t, t} \subseteq_{\text {form }} B$, contradicting the assumption that $U \cap{\overline{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}=\emptyset$.

Recall that $\mathbb{A}=\prod_{i \in \omega} \mathbb{T}_{i}$ carries a natural computability structure that makes it a computable compact Polish group. Suppose $G$ is a constructive discrete group. It is reasonable to ask whether the set $\operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$ - considered as a closed subset of $\mathbb{A}$ - is necessarily a computably enumerable closed subset of $\mathbb{A}$. If the answer was positive, then Fact 3.1 would allow us to build a computable copy of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T}) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{G}}$. The negative result below justifies the use of Dobrica's result in the proof of Theorem 1.4, but it also has some independent interest.

Proposition 3.3. There exists a constructivization of the free abelian group $F$ of rank $\omega$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{F}, \mathbb{T})$ is not a c.e. subset of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$.

Proof. The constructivization of $F$ will be constructed by stages. In the construction, for every index $e$ we will reserve two witnesses $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ in $F$, and we will initially keep them in the generating basis of $F$. Let $F=\left\{f_{0}=0, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$, and assume $a_{e}=f_{u(e)}$ and $b_{e}=f_{v(e)}$. For each $e$ we will also fix two basic open sets $A_{e}$ and $B_{e}$ uniquely determined by intervals $(7 / 16,9 / 16)$ and $(3 / 16,5 / 16)$ in (respectively) $\mathbb{T}_{u(e)}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{v(e)}$ :

$$
A_{e}=\mathbb{T}_{0} \times \mathbb{T}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{T}_{u(e)-1} \times(7 / 16,9 / 16) \times \mathbb{T}_{u(e)+1} \times \ldots
$$

and

$$
B_{e}=\mathbb{T}_{0} \times \mathbb{T}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{T}_{v(e)-1} \times(3 / 16,5 / 16) \times \mathbb{T}_{v(e)+1} \times \ldots,
$$

and let

$$
C_{e}=A_{e} \cap B_{e} .
$$

Recall that $\mathbb{T}_{u(e)}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{v(e)}$ are both copies of $\mathbb{T}$. It will be convenient to identify these two copies with $\mathbb{T}$. In this case we write $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ to denote the respective natural images of $X \subset \mathbb{T}_{u(e)}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{T}_{v(e)}$ in $\mathbb{T}$.

If $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ were indeed elements of the generating base of $F$, then they would have continuum many elements in $C_{e} \cap \operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathbb{T})$. We could, however, declare $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ linearly dependent arbitrarily late in the construction, and furthermore we could do it so that the new relation that we impose contradicts

$$
\frac{m}{p} A_{e}^{\prime} \cap B_{e}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset
$$

This idea leads to a straightforward diagonalization, as described below.
To diagonalize against the $e^{\prime}$ th potential decision procedure for the closed set $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{F}, \mathbb{T})$, wait until the procedure declares $C_{e} \cap \operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathbb{T}) \neq \emptyset$. If this never happens, then we keep $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ in the generating basis of $F$ and do nothing. In this case we will have diagonalized since there must be uncountably many elements in $C_{e} \cap \operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathbb{T})$. If at some stage $s$ we see $C_{e} \cap \operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathbb{T}) \neq \emptyset$, then find a prime $p$ and integer $m>0$ that satisfy $\frac{p}{4}>m>s$ and declare $m a_{e}=p b_{e}$. In this case $\frac{1}{4}>\frac{m}{p}>0$, and therefore $\frac{m}{p} A_{e}^{\prime} \cap B_{e}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. It will lead to a contradiction since the relation on the group must imply $\frac{m}{p} \chi_{u(e)}=\chi_{v(e)}$ for any character $\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{F}, \mathbb{T})$. However, every character in $C_{e}=A_{e} \cap B_{e}$ must fail this property, as witnessed by $\frac{m}{p} A_{e}^{\prime} \cap B_{e}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

It remains to note that $m$ and $p$ such that $\frac{p}{4}>m>s$ are necessarily co-prime. Therefore, the new relation $m a_{e}=p b_{e}$ that we introduce to $F$ at stage $s$ will not imply any relation involving $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ with smaller coefficients. The only relations upon $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ implied by $m a_{e}=p b_{e}$ are $n m a_{e}=n p b_{e}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, there will be no contradiction with the piece of $F$ we will have constructed before stage $s$.
3.2. Proof of Theorem (1.4)(1). Let $G$ be a constructive (discrete) abelian group. Our goal is to show that $\widehat{G}$ is homeomorphic to a computable Polish group.
3.2.1. Tractable and effectively predictable presentations. Note that any constructive abelian group $G$ can be represented as the union of a computable ascending chain of finitely generated groups $G_{s}$. Each of the finitely generated groups in the sequence possesses a direct decomposition into cyclic summands, but these decompositions - as well as the isomorphism types of their summands - do not have to be uniformly computable. We exploited this feature in Proposition 3.3 to construct a counterexample. We specifically designed the definition below to avoid this bad scenario.

Definition 3.4. We say that $G$ has a tractable constructivization if there exists a uniformly computable ascending sequence of f.g. abelian groups $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the following properties:
(1) $G=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_{i}$.
(2) $0 \subseteq F \subseteq F_{2} \subseteq F_{3} \subseteq \ldots$ is a uniformly computable sequence in which the natural embeddings are also computable.
(3) For every $F_{i}$ we can uniformly compute a finite set of elements $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{k(i)}$ such that the orders of $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{k(i)}$ are (uniformly) computable and $F_{i}=$ $\left\langle h_{0}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle h_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots\left\langle h_{k(i)}\right\rangle$.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a tractable constructivization of a (discrete and countable) abelian group $G$, and let $H=\bigcup_{i} F_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{H}, \mathbb{T})$ is a c.e. closed subset of $\mathbb{A}=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We need to argue that if $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tractable, then the respective inverse limit (see Example [2.5) can be realized as a computable closed subgroup of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$. By Proposition 3.3, the proof must make an essential use of tractability of the constructivization of $G$. The plan is as follows. We first introduce a certain special kind of closed subgroups of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$; then we prove that each such closed subgroup forms a c.e. closed subset of $\mathbb{A}$, and then we argue that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_{i}, \mathbb{T}\right) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{G}}$ is a closed subgroup of this form.

Suppose $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{i} \subseteq \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$ is a closed subgroup (and thus so is each of the $\left.C_{i} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{i}\right)$. Assume further that either $C_{i}=\mathbb{T}_{i}$ or $C_{i}$ is finite, and that $C_{0}=\{0\}$. We say that $C_{i}$ is defined by a primitive relation if one of the following possibilities is realized:
(1) there is a $j<i$ and a positive integer $k$ such that every $\chi \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{i}$ satisfies $\chi_{i}=k \chi_{j}$,
(2) there is a $j<i$ and a non-negative integer $k$ such that every $\chi \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{i}$ satisfies $k \chi_{i}=\chi_{j}$,
(3) there exist $u, v<i$ such that every $\chi \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{i}$ satisfies $\chi_{i}=\chi_{u}-\chi_{v}$,
(4) there exist $u, v<i$ such that every $\chi \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{i}$ satisfies $\chi_{i}=\chi_{u}+\chi_{v}$.

In each of the four cases we assume that every finite sequence $\left(\chi_{0}, \ldots, \chi_{i}\right)$ such that $\chi_{j} \in C_{j}(j \leq i)$ satisfy the respective linear conditions that can be extended to an infinite sequence $\chi \in C$. Note if $k=0$ in (2), then essentially there is no restriction on $\chi_{i}$ since $\chi_{0}=0$. Also notice that some of the components $C_{i}$ can have size continuum. This is not a problem though, since all we need is to know whether $C_{i}$ is finite or equal to $\mathbb{T}_{i}$, and the primitive relations (1)-(4) will allow us to control compatibility of these components in an algorithmically effective manner, as follows.

We also say that $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{i} \subseteq \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$ is effectively predictable if there exists a uniform algorithm that decides whether $C_{i} \cong \mathbb{T}$ or not, and if not, then it also outputs the finite tuple of rationals $r_{0}, \ldots, r_{k}$ such that $C_{i}=\left\{r_{i}: i \leq k\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{i}$. We say that $C$ is fully effectively predictable if furthermore every $C_{i}$ is defined by a primitive relation that can be computed uniformly in $i$.

Claim 3.6. Every fully effectively predictable (thus, closed) subgroup of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$ is a c.e. closed subset of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$.

Proof of Claim 3.6. Suppose we are given a basic open set $W=A_{0} \times A_{1} \times A_{2} \times \ldots$ in which $A_{i}=\mathbb{T}_{i}$ for every $i>n$. Now compute the isomorphism types of $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{n}$ and the respective primitive relations that define $C_{i}$. Recall the end-points used in the definitions of the basic intervals $A_{i}$ were rational, and thus we can effectively decide which (rational) points are in $A_{i} \cap C_{i}$ for each $i \leq n$. Note that we can also check whether at least one rational tuple $\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)$ in $W$ satisfies the first $n$ primitive relations. From this we can decide whether the basic open set $W$ intersects the subgroup.

Claim 3.7. Suppose $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a tractable constructivization of a (discrete and countable) abelian group $H=\bigcup_{i} F_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{H}, \mathbb{T})$ is fully effectively predictable.
Proof of Claim 3.7. Suppose $H=\left\{h_{0}=0, h_{1}, \ldots\right\}$. Our goal is to define $\prod_{i} C_{i} \subseteq$ $\prod_{i} \mathbb{T}_{i}$ such that we can effectively decide the isomorphism type of $C_{i}$ and also list primitive relations defining each of the $C_{i} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{i}$ in terms of some $C_{k}, k<i$.

We can effectively refine the sequence $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ witnessing Definition 3.4 and assume that for every $i$, there exists an $a \in F_{i+1}$ such that either $F_{i+1}=\langle a\rangle \oplus F_{i}$ or $F_{i+1}=\left\langle F_{i}, a\right\rangle$ and for some $m$ we have $m a \in F_{i+1}$. In the former case the order of $a$ is infinite, otherwise we can assume we are in the second case ( $m a=0 \in F_{i+1}$ ). We can effectively find full decompositions of $F_{i}$ and $F_{i+1}$, and thus we can effectively figure out which of the two possibilities is realized, and, in particular, what the order of $a$ is. Since we need to define characters, we need to specify the index of $a$ in the enumeration of $H=\left\{h_{0}=0, h_{1}, \ldots\right\}$. So suppose $a=h_{j} \in H$.

In the former case, we set $C_{j}=\mathbb{T}_{j}$ and do not put any restriction (formally, we declare that $\left.0 \cdot \chi_{i}=\chi_{0}=0\right)$. For every $n$ there exists an element $h_{k}=n h_{j}(=n a)$; we will set $C_{k}=\mathbb{T}_{k}$ and declare $\chi_{k}=n \chi_{j}$ as the respective primitive relation. Otherwise, if we have $m a \in F_{i}$ and $a=h_{j} \in H$, then we first see what $C_{k}$ is for $h_{k}=m h_{j} \in F_{i}, k<j$. If $C_{k}=\mathbb{T}_{k}$, then we declare $C_{j}=\mathbb{T}_{j}$ and declare that $\chi_{k}=m \chi_{j}$. Otherwise, if $C_{k}$ is a finite closed subset (in which case it must form a discrete cyclic group), we set $C_{j} \subset \mathbb{T}_{j}$ to be the pre-image of $C_{k}$ along $\phi: \mathbb{T}_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{k}$ that takes $x \in \mathbb{T}_{j} \cong \mathbb{T}$ and outputs $m x \in \mathbb{T}_{k} \cong \mathbb{T}$. We also impose the primitive relation $\chi_{k}=m \chi_{j}$ on $C_{j}$. If $h_{s}=n a=n h_{j}$ for some $n<m$, then we use a similar procedure to decide what $C_{i}$ is, and we declare $\chi_{s}=n \chi_{j}$ for $C_{s}$.

The procedure described above witnesses that $\prod_{i} C_{i}=\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{H}, \mathbb{T}) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{G}}$ is fully effectively predictable.

Lemma 3.5 follows from the two claims above.
3.2.2. Tractable groups are exactly the groups with dependence algorithm. Our goal is to show that all constructive abelian groups admit tractable constructivization. Recall that elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ of an abelian group are Prüfer independent (or linearly independent) if, for any integer coefficients $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$,

$$
\sum_{i \leq k} m_{i} g_{i}=0 \text { implies } m_{1}=\ldots=m_{k}=0
$$

According to this definition, every set that contains only torsion elements is dependent. We will write $\operatorname{Span}(B)$ for the collection of all elements of the group dependent on $B$. It should not be confused with the group-theoretic span $\langle B\rangle$ of $B$. Thus, in particular, $\operatorname{Span}(\emptyset)=T(G)$ which is the torsion subgroup of $G$. Therefore, we are really looking at a basis in the torsion-free $G / T(G)$. (See Fuchs [Fuc70] for an alternate approach related to $p$-basic subgroups.) Recall that a subgroup $H$ of an abelian $A$ is pure (in $A$ ) if for any $h \in H$ and each positive integer $k$ $\exists a \in A k a=h$ implies $\exists u \in H \quad k u=h$. It is well known that a pure f.g. subgroup of an abelian group $A$ detaches in $A$ (i.e., forms a direct summand of $A$ ).

Lemma 3.8. Let $G$ be a constructive (discrete) abelian group. The following are equivalent:
(1) $G$ is tractable.
(2) G has a computable basis.

We will be using only $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ and therefore our proof of $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ will be somewhat compressed. Nonetheless, the reader should agree that $(1) \Longleftrightarrow(2)$ is worth noting.
Proof of Lemma 3.8, Suppose $G$ has a computable basis $B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2} \ldots\right\}$ (we include the possibility of $B$ being finite or empty). We can effectively list the torsion subgroup $T(G)$ of $G$. At every stage we will be enumerating more of $B$ and more of $T(G)$. Suppose at a stage we have effectively defined an f.g. partial subgroup

$$
G_{s}=\left\langle h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle \upharpoonright_{t},
$$

where $k, t$ and $m$ will depend on the stage, the $h_{i}$ are linearly independent in $G / T(G)$, and the $t_{j}$ are torsion elements. We also assume that we can effectively see this information about the isomorphism types of the summands (this is a recursive argument).

We may assume that $\operatorname{Span}\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ in $G / T(G)$. Furthermore, w.l.o.g. each $t_{j}$ generates a (finite and primary) cyclic summand of $G_{s}$, and each $h_{i}$ generates a finite initial segment of the infinite cyclic group:

$$
G_{s}=\left\langle h_{1}\right\rangle \upharpoonright_{t} \oplus \ldots \oplus\left\langle h_{k}\right\rangle \upharpoonright_{t} \oplus\left\langle t_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus\left\langle t_{m}\right\rangle .
$$

Suppose a new element $h$ enters the enumeration of the group. We will then keep adjoining elements $b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \ldots$ from the basis $B$ to $h_{1}, \ldots h_{k}$ (note that $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}, b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \ldots\right\}$ forms a basis of $G$ ), and we will keep adjoining new elements from $T(G)$ to $G_{s}$. At a later stage we will have an f.g. partial group

$$
G_{u}^{\prime}=\left\langle h_{1}\right\rangle \upharpoonright_{u} \oplus \ldots \oplus\left\langle h_{k}\right\rangle \upharpoonright_{u} \oplus\left\langle b_{k+1}\right\rangle \upharpoonright_{u} \oplus \ldots \oplus\left\langle b_{k^{\prime}}\right\rangle \upharpoonright_{u} \oplus T\left(G_{u}^{\prime}\right)
$$

containing $G_{s}$. We keep doing so until we find a linear combination

$$
m h=\sum_{i \leq k} n_{i} h_{i}+\sum_{k \leq j \leq k^{\prime}} n_{j}^{\prime} b_{j}+t
$$

where $t \in T\left(G_{u}^{\prime}\right)$. We now are ready to define $G_{s+1}$. It will be a large enough finite partial subgroup approximating the $\left\langle h, G_{u}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Note that $\langle h\rangle$ is pure in $\left\langle h, G_{u}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, and therefore it detaches as a direct summand,

$$
\left\langle h, G_{u}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\langle u\rangle \oplus H
$$

We choose any direct decomposition of $H$ into cyclic summands, and we wait until a late enough stage $v$ such that the generators of all these summands appear in the enumeration of $G$ at stage $v$. Then we set $G_{s+1}=\left\langle h, G_{u}\right\rangle$ restricted to this stage. We also put the respective generators of $G_{s+1}$, the information about their orders, and the natural embedding of $G_{s}$ into $G_{s+1}$, as the additional information about $G_{s+1}$. (Note that the orders of these generators of $G_{s+1}$ can be found uniformly effectively using $G_{s}$ and its decomposition.) Clearly, the Prüfer span of the generators of the infinite cyclic summands will be equal to $\operatorname{Span}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k^{\prime}}\right)$, which is the part of the basis we've used so far.

Strictly speaking, $\left(G_{s}\right)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of finite partial subgroups, not a sequence of subgroups of $G$ (as required). Otherwise, all the other properties that we need are satisfied by the sequence $\left(G_{s}\right)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$. But note that $G=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle G_{s}\right\rangle$. Based on this observation, we claim that $\left\langle G_{s}\right\rangle$ is a uniformly computable subgroup of $G$. Indeed, for any $g \in G$ wait for $g \in G_{v}(s \leq v)$, and then use the information about $G_{v}$, its generators, and how $G_{s}$ is embedded into $G_{v}$ to see if $g \in\left\langle G_{v}\right\rangle$. Finally, observe that all the embedding of $\left\langle G_{s}\right\rangle$ into $\left\langle G_{s}\right\rangle$ is completely described by the embedding of $G_{s}$ into $G_{s+1}$. Thus, $G=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle G_{s}\right\rangle$ witnesses that $G$ is tractable.

Conversely, suppose $G$ is a tractable constructive group, and let $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an ascending sequence of its subgroups witnessing its tractability. Suppose we have $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} \in G$. Then for some large enough $m$ we must have $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} \in F_{m}$. Since we can compute a full decomposition of $F_{k}$, we can decide whether $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ are independent just by analyzing the matrix of the projections of $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ onto the infinite cyclic summands in the decomposition. (Attempt to transform the matrix into a diagonal one using integer elementary operations; then see whether the result has zero entrees along the main diagonal.) We can use the dependence algorithm to produce a computable basis of the group in the usual way (see, e.g., Mel14).
3.2.3. A new proof of Dobrica's theorem. Recall that tractability is equivalent to having a linear dependence algorithm. Thus, to show that every constructive abelian group has a tractable copy, it is sufficient to use the result of Dobrica Dob83 saying that every constructive abelian group has a copy with computable basis. As we promised in the introduction, in this subsection we give a new and very detailed proof of the result of Dobrica.

Remark 3.9. We also discuss the problem with the proof in Dob83. The proof does not explain why partial embeddings at intermediate stages preserve the partial diagram of the group built so far. This is the key subtlety in the proof, but it is not even briefly mentioned. Dobrica uses a rather elaborate choice of coefficients at intermediate stages (e.g., $k_{i} \frac{m t!}{k}$ ), but this particular choice is never clarified. We believe that Dobrica's sketch can be adjusted and extended to a complete and
correct proof, but we chose to give a new proof that avoids dealing with complicated coefficients.

Recall that the cardinality of any basis is called the rank of an abelian $G$. The rank of a subset $X$ of $G$ is the rank of the subgroup generated by this set. If the rank of $G$ is finite, then it has a computable basis.

Proposition 3.10 (Dobrica). There exists a uniform procedure which transforms any constructive abelian $G$ of infinite rank into a constructive $H \cong G$ that has a computable Prüfer basis.

Proof of Proposition 3.10. Clearly, the linear span (defined above) is a closure operator and therefore it induces an r.i.c.e. pre-geometry; see HTMM15 or the book Mar02 for definitions. For the rest of the proof it is not really necessary to know what an r.i.c.e. pre-geometry is. We will only use that $x, y$ are independent over a subset $X$ if $x \notin \operatorname{Span}(X \cup\{y\})$ and $y \notin \operatorname{Span}(X \cup\{x\})$. We also say that such $x, y$ are interchangeable over $X$ if $\operatorname{Span}(X \cup\{y\})=\operatorname{Span}(X \cup\{x\})$.

The independence diagram $\mathcal{I}(\bar{c})$ of $\bar{c}$ in $G$ is the collection of all existential formulas true of tuples independent over $\bar{c}$. We say that independent tuples in $G$ are locally indistinguishable if for every tuple $\bar{c}$ in $G$, any $\bar{u}, \bar{v}$ independent tuples over $\bar{c}$, and each existential formula $\phi$ such that $G \models \phi(\bar{c}, \bar{u})$ there exists a tuple $\bar{w}$ that is independent over $\bar{c}$, has $G \models \phi(\bar{c}, \bar{w})$, and (with $\bar{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ ) we have $w_{i} \in \operatorname{Span}\left(\bar{c}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}\right)$. According to the metatheorem from HTMM15, it is sufficient to check that tuples in $G$ are locally indistinguishable and that for each tuple $\bar{c}$ its independence diagram is c.e. uniformly in $\bar{c}$.

The intuition is that $\bar{c}$ is the part of the basis we've built at a stage, and $\phi$ is the part of the open diagram we've listed. Later we may discover that $\bar{u}$ is dependent over $\bar{c}$, and we will need to correct our embedding. To make sure that the embedding can always be corrected, we always check whether facts we wish to enumerate in the open diagram are consistent with $\mathcal{I}(\bar{c})$. The proof can be viewed as a finite injury construction, and the metatheorem allows us to separate algebra from recursion theory. See HTMM15 for more detail. In HTMM15 we also required $\operatorname{rank}(\bar{c}) \geq 2$ in the definition of locally indistinguishable tuples. This was necessary to cover ordered abelian groups; this assumption can be ignored in our case. Without this extra assumption, the metatheorem from HTMM15 becomes uniform.

Lemma 3.11. Prüfer independent tuples are locally indistinguishable in $G$.

Proof. Suppose $\bar{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ is independent over $\bar{c}$, and assume $G \models \exists \bar{x} \phi(\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{x})$. Fix $\bar{b}$ in $G$ witnessing the existential quantifier. Let $\bar{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ be any other tuple independent over $\bar{c}$. We must find a tuple $\bar{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ independent over $\bar{c}$ such that $G \models \exists \bar{x} \phi(\bar{c}, \bar{w}, \bar{x})$ and $w_{i} \in \operatorname{Span}\left(\bar{c}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$. We give a very detailed proof.

Let $X=\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{b}\rangle \leqq G$. Suppose $\bar{c}=\overline{c^{\prime}} c^{\prime \prime}$, where $\overline{c^{\prime}}$ consists of independent elements and $\bar{c}^{\prime \prime}$ belongs to the (linear) span of $\overline{c^{\prime}}$. Note that $\left\langle\overline{c^{\prime}}, \bar{u}\right\rangle$ forms a free abelian subgroup of $\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{b}\rangle$ of rank $\left|\overline{c^{\prime} u}\right|$. It is well known that for any f.g. abelian groups $U \leqq V$ there exist full decompositions $\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle u_{2}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots$ and $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots$
of $U$ and $V$ into cyclic summands such that for some choice of integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots$ we have $u_{i}=m_{i} v_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ Fuc70. We apply this fact to $\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}\rangle \leqq\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{b}\rangle$ to obtain a tuple $\bar{h}=\overline{h^{\prime}} \overline{h^{\prime \prime}}$ in $\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{b}\rangle$ such that:
(1) $\overline{h^{\prime}}$ has the same length (and rank) as the tuple $\overline{c^{\prime} u}$,
(2) $\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}\rangle \leqq\langle\bar{h}\rangle$ (but not equal, in general),
(3) $\langle\bar{h}\rangle$ is a direct summand of $\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{b}\rangle$, say $\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{b}\rangle=\langle\bar{h}\rangle \oplus W$,
(4) $\langle h\rangle=\left\langle h^{\prime}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle h^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$.

In particular, (1) implies that $\left\langle\overline{h^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ is free abelian. Within $\left\langle\overline{h^{\prime}}\right\rangle$, it makes sense to define the least pure subgroup $\left\langle\bar{c}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{*}$ of $\left\langle\overline{h^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ containing $\overline{c^{\prime}}$. It detaches in $\left\langle\overline{h^{\prime}}\right\rangle$, say $\langle\bar{h}\rangle=\left\langle\bar{c}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{*} \oplus U$. Every $u_{i}$ in $\bar{u}$ is (linearly) interchangeable with its projection onto $U$ (over $\bar{c}^{\prime}$ and equivalently over $\bar{c}$ ). Let $u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \in U$ be these projections. We would like to come up with a linearly independent set of generators $\bar{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of $U$ such that $z_{i}$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}$ are linearly interchangeable in $U$ over $\operatorname{Span}\left(\bar{c}, u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)$. This is done as follows. First, consider the least pure subgroup $H_{1}$ of $U$ that contains $u_{1}^{\prime}$. It must be cyclic and infinite and suppose it is generated by $z_{1}$. Clearly, $z_{1}$ and $u_{1}^{\prime}$ are interchangeable, and $U=H_{1} \oplus K_{1}$. Take the $K_{1}$-projections $u_{2}^{\prime \prime}, u_{3}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ of the remaining elements of $\bar{u}^{\prime}$. Observe that $u_{2}^{\prime \prime}, u_{3}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ are interchangeable with $u_{2}^{\prime}, u_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ over $\operatorname{Span}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(z_{1}\right)$. We repeat the process with $u_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $K_{1}$ playing the roles of $u_{1}^{\prime}$ and $U$, respectively, to define $H_{2}, K_{2}$ and $u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}, u_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots$, etc. At every step we will have a further direct decomposition and a new tuple interchangeable with the original one over the respective initial segment of $\bar{u}^{\prime}$. We will arrive at a generating set $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots$ of $U$ such that the $z_{i}$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}$ are interchangeable over $\operatorname{Span}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $u_{i}$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}$ were interchangeable over $\overline{c^{\prime}}$ (equivalently, over $\bar{c}$ ), we have that $u_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ are interchangeable over $\operatorname{Span}\left(\bar{c}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{i-1}\right)$.

Recall that $\langle h\rangle=\left\langle h^{\prime}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle h^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$, and since $\left|h^{\prime}\right|=\operatorname{rank}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(c^{\prime} u\right)=\left|c^{\prime} u\right|$, it follows that $\left\langle h^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ must be torsion. Furthermore, the projection of $c^{\prime \prime}$ onto $U$ must be trivial, for otherwise the rank of $\overline{c^{\prime \prime}}$ over $\overline{c^{\prime}}$ would not be zero. Therefore, $\bar{c}$ is contained in $\left\langle\overline{c^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{*} \oplus\left\langle h^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$.

Thus, for some f.g. $W \leqq G$,

$$
\langle\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{b}\rangle=\left\langle\bar{c}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{*} \oplus\left\langle\overline{h^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle \oplus\langle\bar{z}\rangle \oplus W
$$

where $\bar{z}$ is interchangeable with $\bar{u}$ over $\bar{c}$ in a strong sense (see above), and $\bar{c}$ is contained in $\left\langle\bar{c}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{*} \oplus\left\langle h^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$.

Recall $\bar{v}$ was the other tuple independent over $\bar{c}$ and of the same length as $\bar{w}$. As above, (but ignoring $\bar{b}$ ) we can split $\langle\bar{c} \bar{v}\rangle$ into a direct sum

$$
\left\langle\overline{c^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{* *} \oplus\left\langle\overline{f^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle \oplus\langle\bar{y}\rangle,
$$

where $\bar{c}$ is in $\left\langle\overline{c^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{* *} \oplus\left\langle\overline{f^{\prime}}\right\rangle,\left\langle\overline{f^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle$ is torsion, and $\bar{y}$ is interchangeable with $\bar{v}$ over $\bar{c}$ in the strong sense as we had above (for $\bar{u}$ ). Note that $W$ perhaps contains infinite cyclic summands, some of which may interact with $\langle\bar{y}\rangle$. But the rank of $G$ is infinite, and thus we can replace $W$ by an isomorphic subgroup $W^{\prime}$ such that there is no such interaction. The new f.g. subgroup $\left\langle\overline{c^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{*} \oplus\left\langle\overline{h^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle \oplus\langle\bar{z}\rangle \oplus W^{\prime}$ will be isomorphic to the original one under an isomorphism that fixes $\bar{c}$ and $\bar{u}$. Thus, we assume $\langle\bar{y}\rangle \cap W=0$. We have that $W=T(W)+X$, where $T(W)$ is torsion, and $X$ is free abelian whose generating basis is independent over $\bar{c} \bar{y} \bar{z}$. Consider the torsion group $T=\left\langle\overline{f^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle+\left\langle\overline{h^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle+T(W)$. Also, consider $C=\left\langle\overline{c^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{*}+\left\langle\overline{c^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{* *}$ whose rank is equal to the rank of $\bar{c}$. It follows that $T+C$ contains $\bar{c}$. By the choice of $X$, its generating basis is independent over $\bar{c} \bar{y} \bar{z}$. We arrive at two isomorphic direct
decompositions,

$$
(C+T) \oplus X \oplus\langle\bar{z}\rangle \text { and }(C+T) \oplus X \oplus\langle\bar{y}\rangle,
$$

both are embeddable into $G$. Consider the natural isomorphism $\tau$ that fixes $(C+$ $T) \oplus X$ and maps $\bar{z}$ to $\bar{y}$. Then $\tau$ fixes $\bar{c}$. Furthermore, the tuple $\bar{u}$ is mapped to some tuple $\bar{w}$ which is interchangeable, in the strong sense as above, with the tuple $\bar{y}$ over $\bar{c}$. Thus, it is also interchangeable (in this strong sense) with the tuple $\bar{v}$ over $\bar{c}$. In particular, $\bar{w}$ is independent over $\bar{c}$ and satisfies the desired property $w_{i} \in \operatorname{Span}\left(\bar{c}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}\right)$. Since $\tau$ is an isomorphism, it preserves the validity of $\phi$.

Lemma 3.12. For any $\bar{c}$, the independence diagram $\mathcal{I}(\bar{c})$ of $\bar{c}$ in $G$ is c.e. uniformly in $\bar{c}$.

Proof. We must effectively list all existential formulae $\exists \bar{x} \phi(\bar{c}, \bar{y}, \bar{x})$ such that $G \models$ $\exists \bar{x} \phi(\bar{c}, \bar{u}, \bar{x})$ for some $\bar{u}$ which is independent over $\bar{c}$. We have already proven that any existential formula over $\bar{c} \bar{u}$, where $\bar{u}$ is independent over $\bar{c}$, is witnessed within a finite initial segment of an f.g. subgroup of $G$ of the form

$$
(C+T) \oplus X \oplus F,
$$

where $C+T$ is an f.g. subgroup of $G$ that contains $\bar{c}$, and $X$ and $F$ are free abelian of finite rank such that $\bar{u}$ is interchangeable, over $\bar{c}$, with the basis of $F$. Furthermore, since the rank of $G$ is infinite, for any f.g. $(C+T)$ extending $\bar{c}$ and any given free $X$ and $F$, we can isomorphically embed $(C+T) \oplus X \oplus F$ into $G$. We can certainly list all f.g. subgroups of $G$ extending $\bar{c}$, all free abelian groups of finite rank, and all potential $\bar{u}$ independent over $\bar{c}$. This gives a computable enumeration of $\mathcal{I}(\bar{c})$.

Proposition 3.10 follows from the two lemmas above and the metatheorem from HTMM15.
3.2.4. Finalizing the proof of Theorem 1.4(1). Using Proposition 3.10 we transform $G$ into $H$ having a computable basis. By Lemma 3.8, $H$ is tractable. Lemma 3.5 guarantees that $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{H}, \mathbb{T})$ is a c.e. closed subset of computable Polish group $\mathbb{A}$. We use Fact [3.1 to produce a uniformly computable (in $\mathbb{A}$ ) sequence of points that is dense in $\operatorname{Hom}(H, \mathbb{T})$. Since the natural group operations are computable on $\mathbb{A}$, their restrictions to $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{H}, \mathbb{T})$ are computable as well, with respect to the computable dense subset of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{H}, \mathbb{T})$. This gives a computable Polish presentation of $\widehat{G}$.
3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4(2). Let $S$ be an infinite set of prime numbers. Observe that the (discrete, countable) group

$$
G_{S}=\bigoplus_{p \in S} \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

has a constructivization if and only if $S$ is computably enumerable. Therefore, it is sufficient to build a set $S$ which is not c.e. but such that $\widehat{G}_{S}$ is a computable Polish group.

We will simultaneously construct $S$ and an approximation to $\widehat{G}_{S}$ which will be viewed as a closed subset of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$. Note that $\widehat{G}_{S}$ is profinite and is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of $\left(\bigoplus_{p \in S \upharpoonright n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. To make sure $S$ is not c.e., it is sufficient to satisfy $W_{e} \neq S$ for every $e$, where $W_{e}$ is the e'th c.e. set (as usual). To ensure $\widehat{G}_{S}$ is computable, at every stage we will be deciding its "current position" in $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{i}$, but only with precision $2^{-s}$ (to be clarified). We will see that we can meet all
the diagonalization requirements without upsetting this global strategy. We now describe the diagonalization module for $W_{e}$.

The group $U$ will be the inverse limit of the form

$$
U=\lim _{\check{ }} U_{n}
$$

where $U_{n}=\bigoplus_{i<n} C_{i}$ under the natural surjective projections $\psi_{n+1, n}: \bigoplus_{i<n+1} C_{i}$ $\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i<n} C_{i}$ with kernel $C_{n+1}$. The primary cyclic $C_{i}$ will be represented as closed subgroups $\mathbb{T}_{i}$, and each such $C_{i}$ will be defined by one of the basic modules (to be discussed below).

The basic module for $e$.
(1) Reserve a large fresh prime $q_{e}$ and keep $q_{e}$ in $S$ and wait for $q_{e}$ to enter $W_{e}$.
(2) While waiting, attempt to witness $q_{e} \in S$ by making a better approximation to $\mathbb{Z}_{q_{e}}$ within $\mathbb{T}_{e}$. This is done as follows. At stage $s$ we commit ourselves to the interval $\left(1 / q_{e}-2^{-s}, 1 / q_{e}+2^{-s}\right)$ and pretend that this interval contains the generator of the $\mathbb{T}_{e}$-projection of our group. Note that if $q_{e} \notin W_{e}$ then these intervals will shrink to $1 / q_{e}$ making the projection isomorphic to the closed subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{q_{e}}$ of $\mathbb{T}_{e}$.
(3) If the prime $q_{e}$ enters $W_{e}$ at stage $s$, then:
(a) Choose a large fresh prime $u_{e}$ so that for some integer $k$ we have

$$
k u_{e} \in\left(1 / q_{e}-2^{-s}, 1 / q_{e}+2^{-s}\right) .
$$

(b) Switch from approximating $\mathbb{Z}_{q_{e}}$ within $\mathbb{T}_{e}$ to approximating $\mathbb{Z}_{u_{e}}$, as follows. Choose $n_{e}$ large enough so that
$\left(k u_{e}-2^{-n_{e}-s}, k u_{e}+2^{-n_{e}-s}\right) \subset\left(1 / q_{e}-2^{-s}, 1 / q_{e}+2^{-s}\right)$.
From now on, at stage $s^{\prime}>s$ we will declare that the generator of the $\mathbb{T}_{e}$-projection of the group is within $2^{-n_{0}-s^{\prime}}$ of $1 / u_{e}$. This way we build a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{u_{e}}$ within $\mathbb{T}_{e}$. Now whenever we have to improve our approximation of the generator $1 / u_{e}$ within $\mathbb{T}_{e}$, we commit ourselves to

$$
\left(1 / u_{e}-2^{-n_{0}-s^{\prime}}, 1 / u_{e}+2^{-n_{0}-s^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

(c) Permanently keep $u_{e}$ in $S$ and $q_{e}$ out of $S$. (In particular, do not allow any other basic module to use these primes.)

Construction. At stage $s$ of the construction we let the first $s$ basic modules act according to their instructions. Note that there is no interaction between the basic modules. At any stage $s$, once we've defined our current approximation to $g_{i}$, we also define an approximation to $n g_{i}$ naturally (with $|n| \leq s$ ), according to the definition of the computable operations in $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{T}_{i}$.

Verification. The result of the construction is a uniform approximation (by shrinking intervals) of a sequence of points in $\mathbb{T}_{e}$. Our group $U$ will be represented as the subgroup of $\prod_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{e}$ consisting of infinite sequences of the form

$$
\left(n_{0} g_{0}, n_{1} g_{1}, n_{2} g_{2}, \ldots, n_{e} g_{e}, \ldots\right)
$$

where $n_{e} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the $g_{e}$ are the generators of $C_{e} \leqq \mathbb{T}_{i}$.
Note that we can change our mind about the generator of $C_{e}$ and switch from approximating $C_{e} \cong\left\langle 1 / q_{e}\right\rangle$ to $C_{e} \cong\left\langle 1 / u_{e}\right\rangle$ within $\mathbb{T}_{e}$, but this happens at most once for a fixed $e$. This switch will be consistent with what we've declared so far because we choose the approximation of $1 / u_{e}$ to be a sufficiently small interval (see
substage (b) in (3) above). We simply add a new very small interval around $1 / u_{e}$ and proceed consistently with what we've declared so far.

Note that, by construction, the closed subgroup that we've built forms a c.e. closed subspace of $\mathbb{T}$. It follows from Fact 3.1 that the group is a computable Polish group. (We note that in this copy the standard group operations are in fact effectively open.) However, we have explicitly made sure that the discrete countable dual $\widehat{U}=G_{S}=\bigoplus_{p \in S} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ of $U$ has no constructivization.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.7

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.7(1). Recall the definitions of a computable function between computable Polish spaces and the formal definition of a computable Polish group (Definitions 2.1] and [2.3). Fix an effective listing $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots$ of (partially) computable Polish spaces in which every $G_{i}$ is additionally equipped with a pair of c.e. sets that are interpreted as names of partial operations on $G_{i}$. We need to measure the complexity of the index sets of profinite and compact connected groups. The first step is to measure the complexity of being a compact group.
Proposition 4.1. The index set $C P G r=\left\{i: G_{i}\right.$ is a compact Polish group $\}$ is $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-complete.
Proof of Proposition 4.1. Recall that compactness is equivalent to total boundedness. Thus, we need to state that for every rational $q$ there exists a cover of $M$ by (closed) basic balls of size at most $q$, which is a $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-property. See Nies and Melnikov MN13] for further details. Given a triple $(G, W, U)$, where $G$ is a (partial) computable structure on a Polish space and $W, U$ are c.e. sets, we need to guess whether $W$ and $U$ are names of computable group operations on $G$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $G$ and $M$ be compact computable Polish spaces. Then
$\left\{e: W_{e}\right.$ is a name of a computable $\left.f: G \rightarrow M\right\}$
is $\Pi_{3}^{0}$, uniformly in $G$ and $M$.
Proof. For technical convenience, we will use the following uniform variation of Definition 2.1 .

Definition 4.3. Let $f$ be a continuous function between Polish metric spaces $M$ and $N$. A $*$-name of $f$ is any collection of pairs of basic open balls $(B, C)$ such that $f(B) \subseteq c l(C)$, and for every $x \in M$ and every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $(B, C) \in \Psi$ such that $B \ni x$ and $r(C)<\epsilon$.

We can uniformly pass from a $*$-name of $f$ (Definition 4.3) to a name of $f$ (Definition 2.1) and back $\square^{2}$ The uniform procedure of passing from a name to a *-name can be applied to any c.e. set $W$. We denote the resulting c.e. set by $W^{*}$. Then $W$ is a name iff $W^{*}$ is a $*$-name (of the same function).

Fix a c.e. set $\Psi$ and interpret it as a set of pairs of basic open balls with rational radii:

$$
\Psi=\{(C, B): C, B \text { basic open in } G, M, \text { resp. }\} .
$$

[^2]Uniformly transform it into a c.e. set $\Psi^{*}$. To make sure that $\Psi^{*}$ is a name of a computable operation, we require that $\Psi^{*}$ additionally satisfies:
(1) For every $\left(B_{0}, C_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(B_{n}, C_{n}\right) \in \Psi^{*}, \bigcap_{i} B_{i} \neq \emptyset$ implies $\bigcap_{i} C_{i} \neq \emptyset$.
(2) For each rational $\epsilon>0$ there exists a finite cover $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k}$ of $G$ and $\left(B_{0}, C_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(B_{k}, C_{k}\right) \in \Psi^{*}$ such that $r\left(C_{i}\right)<\epsilon, i=1, \ldots, k$.
We first check that (1) and (2) are (at most) $\Pi_{3}^{0}$, and then we prove that they capture the property of being a name.

Claim 4.4. The properties (1) and (2) are (at most) $\Pi_{3}^{0}$.
Proof of Claim 4.4. Since intersection of open sets must be witnessed by special points from the respective computable structures, it is clear that (1) is $\Pi_{2}^{0}$. It is less obvious why (2) has to be $\Pi_{3}^{0}$. The problem is that the union of $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k}$ may contain all special points but still do not cover the whole $G$. To show that (2) is $\Pi_{2}^{0}$ we need to slightly modify it. Consider the condition:
(2)* For each rational $\epsilon>0$ there exists a finite cover $\operatorname{cl}\left(B_{0}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{cl}\left(B_{k}\right)$ of $G$ and $\left(B_{0}^{\prime}, C_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(B_{k}^{\prime}, C_{k}\right) \in \Psi^{*}$ such that $B_{i} \subseteq_{\text {form }} B_{i}^{\prime}$ and $r\left(C_{i}\right)<\epsilon$, $i=1, \ldots, k$.
Here $B_{i} \subseteq_{\text {form }} B_{i}^{\prime}$ means that either $B_{i}$ is identical to $B_{i}^{\prime}$ or $B_{i} \subset_{\text {form }} B_{i}^{\prime}$; see Definition 2.4. Recall that formal inclusion $\subset_{\text {form }}$ is $\Sigma_{1}^{0}$. Also, being a closed cover is a closed property and thus can be checked only for special points. It follows that (2)* is of the form $\forall \exists(\forall \& \exists)$, i.e., is $\Pi_{3}^{0}$. It remains to check that $(2) \Longleftrightarrow(2)^{*}$. Since the $B_{i}^{\prime}$ from (2)* cover $G$, it follows that (2)* implies (2). For any open cover $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k}$ from (2), $G \subset \operatorname{cl}\left(B_{0}\right) \cup \cdots \cup \operatorname{cl}\left(B_{k}\right)$. Since $B_{i} \subseteq_{\text {form }} B_{i}$ for each $i$, we can use the $B_{i}$ themselves (instead of $B_{i}^{\prime}$ ) to witness (2)*.

Clearly, if $\Psi^{*}$ is a $*$-name of a computable operation $f: G \rightarrow M$, then $\Psi^{*}$ satisfies (1) and (2) (recall $G$ is compact).

Claim 4.5. If $\Psi^{*}$ satisfies (1) and (2), then it is a $*$-name of a computable operation.
Proof. We define a map $\psi$ as follows. For every $x \in G$, choose $(B, C) \in \Psi^{*}$ such that $x \in B$ and declare $C a \Psi^{*}$-neighbourhood of $\psi(x)$. (Note that if $x$ is a computable point, then this process is effective.) Then set $\psi(x)$ to be equal to any point in the intersection

$$
\bigcap\left\{c l(B): B \text { is a } \Psi^{*} \text {-neighbourhood of } \psi(x)\right\} .
$$

Property (1) implies that any two $\Psi^{*}$-neighbourhoods of $\psi(x)$ have a non-empty intersection. Let $C_{n}$ be the closure of the intersection of the first $n \Psi^{*}$-neighbourhoods of $\psi(x)$ in any (not necessarily effective) list of such neighbourhoods. Then $\left(C_{n}\right)$ is a nested sequence of non-empty compact sets, thus it has a non-empty intersection. Property (2) guarantees that for every $\epsilon$ there exists a $\Psi^{*}$-neighbourhood of $\psi(x)$ of size $\epsilon$. Therefore, the intersection is a singleton. We conclude that $\psi$ is a (total) function.

We claim that $\Psi^{*}$ is a $*$-name for $\psi$. Property (2) implies that for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $(B, C) \in \Psi^{*}$ such that $B \ni x$ and $r(C)<\epsilon$. It remains to show that for each $(B, C) \in \Psi^{*}$ we have $\psi(B) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(C)$. Fix $x \in B$. Then

$$
\{\psi(x)\}=\bigcap\left\{c l(B): B \text { is a } \Psi^{*} \text {-neighbourhood of } \psi(x)\right\} .
$$

Since $C$ is a $\Psi^{*}$-neighbourhood of $\psi(x)$, in particular, $\psi(x) \in \operatorname{cl}(C)$.

To finish the proof of the lemma, observe that our analysis was fully uniform in the computable structures on $G$ and $M$.

We return to the proof of Proposition 4.1. The product space $G \times G$ is compact. There is a uniform procedure that, given a computable Polish space $G$, outputs a computable presentation of $G \times G$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that this index set of Polish spaces equipped with two well-defined computable operations is $\Pi_{3}^{0}$. To finish the proof of Proposition 4.1, recall that the group axioms are closed properties and thus can be checked only for special points. (Set $e=x \cdot x^{-1}$ for the first found $x$.)

Since completeness will not be used in the proof of Theorem 1.7(1), we give only a sketch. Represent a $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-predicate in the form $\forall x \exists<\infty y P(x, y, z)$, and code $z$ into the surjective inverse limit of discrete groups $\left(G_{x}\right)$. For each fixed $x, G_{x}$ will be the direct sum of several copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. There will be finitely many $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-summands in all such $G_{x}$ iff $\exists^{<\infty} y$ for all $x$. Then the group is computable Polish and totally disconnected, but it will be compact (thus, profinite) iff the $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-predicate holds. The construction can be viewed as a finite injury one. We leave the details to the reader.

## Proposition 4.6.

1. The index set of connected compact Polish groups is $\Pi_{2}^{0}$-complete within compact groups.
2. The index set of profinite Polish groups is $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-complete within compact groups.

Proof. We prove 1. Let $G$ be a compact Polish group (see Proposition 4.1). Since $G$ is compact, $G$ is disconnected iff there exists a finite collection of basic open $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{i \leq k} B_{i}\right) \cup c l\left(\bigcup_{j \leq n} D_{j}\right)=G \text { and } c l\left(\bigcup_{i \leq k} B_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{j \leq n} D_{j}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

If $c l\left(\bigcup_{i \leq k} B_{i}\right) \cup c l\left(\bigcup_{j \leq n} D_{j}\right) \neq G$, then there is a special point outside this set, so the property is $\Pi_{1}^{0}$. We claim that, for any basic open balls $B(x, r)$ and $B(y, q)$, the property $\operatorname{cl}(B(x, r)) \cap \operatorname{cl}(B(y, q)) \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to

$$
\forall \epsilon>0 B(x, r+\epsilon) \cap B(y, q+\epsilon) \neq \emptyset,
$$

which is clearly $\Pi_{2}^{0}$. If $c l(B(x, r)) \cap c l(B(y, q)) \neq \emptyset$, then the property above holds for every $\epsilon>0$. On the other hand, suppose $z_{\epsilon}$ witnesses $B(x, r+\epsilon) \cap B(y, q+$ $\epsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\left(z_{2-n}\right)_{n \in \omega}$ has a converging subsequence. Let $z$ be the limit of this subsequence (we use compactness of $G$ ). It follows that $d(x, z) \leq r$ and $d(y, z) \leq q$, as required. The property $\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{i \leq k} B_{i}\right) \cap c l\left(\bigcup_{j \leq n} D_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ can be expressed as a finite Boolean combination of such $\Sigma_{2}^{0}$-statements. It follows that connectedness is a $\Pi_{2}^{0}$-property of a compact $G$.

Remark 4.7. In the notation as above, $\operatorname{cl}(B(x, r)) \cap \operatorname{cl}(B(y, q))=\emptyset$ iff $\exists \epsilon>$ $0 B(x, r+\epsilon) \cap B(y, q+\epsilon)=\emptyset$. Since there are only finitely many balls involved overall, we can pick the least rational $\epsilon$ witnessing the required empty intersections. Thus, using $0^{\prime}$ we can uniformly produce two finite disjoint collections of open balls (if they exist). In the proof of the second part and in Corollary 4.8 we will alternate between using closed and open names for such clopen sets.

To see that it is $\Pi_{2}^{0}$-complete within compact groups, start enumerating rational points in $\mathbb{T}$. Add more points only if the predicate fires. We will end up with a computable copy of $\mathbb{T}$ iff the predicate fires infinitely often, and we will have a finite (thus, totally disconnected) subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ otherwise.

We prove 2. Recall that a compact Polish group is profinite iff its neutral element $1_{G}$ has a basis of normal clopen subgroups. Recall also that a closed subgroup of a profinte group is itself profinite. Consider the following procedure. Let $D_{0}=G$. At stage $s>0$ let $D_{s}$ be the first found clopen subgroup such that the diameter of $D_{s}$ is at most $2^{-s}$ and $D_{s} \subseteq D_{s-1}$ (if such $D_{s}$ exists at all). To find a clopen subgroup, we use $0^{\prime}$ to verify the $\Sigma_{2}^{0}$-definition of a clopen set (see above). For that, search for finitely many closed balls witnessing that the group is disconnected, where the union of the first $k$ of them together form a normal subgroup.

Since all involved sets are clopen, it is sufficient to check the inclusion, the diameter, normality, and the group operations only for special points. Note that the set is represented as a finite union of open basic balls (Remark 4.7). Note that we can (uniformly in the description) list all special points that are contained in these open balls, and they will give a computable structure on the subgroup. The next iteration can use this finite description as its input instead of $G$. It follows that $0^{\prime}$ is capable of uniformly finding such a $D_{s}$ (if it exists), and thus $\forall s\left(D_{s}\right.$ is defined) is a $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-statement equivalent to profiniteness for a compact group $G$.

The $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-completeness part is similar to $\Pi_{2}^{0}$-completeness above, for connectedness groups. Given a $\Pi_{3}^{0}$-predicate and an element on which the predicate needs to be tested, produce a (topological) direct product $\prod_{x \in \omega} G_{x}$. Make sure that $G_{x}$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ iff $\Sigma_{2}^{0}$ holds on $x$, and build a copy of $\mathbb{T}$ otherwise. Then $\prod_{x} G_{x}$ is profinite iff $\forall x$ ( $\Sigma_{2}^{0}$ holds on $x$ ). Note that in any case the group is compact. This finishes the proof of the proposition, and of the first part of Theorem 1.7.

Note that the procedure described in the proof of Proposition 4.6 leads to:
Corollary 4.8. There exists a uniformly $0^{\prime}$-computable procedure which, on input a computable Polish presentation of a profinite group $G$, produces its $0^{\prime}$-recursive presentation.

Proof of Corollary 4.8. Using $0^{\prime}$ we can list a basis of $e$ consisting of clopen normal subgroups. For any such fixed clopen $N, G / N$ is finite. Note that every coset of the form $\tilde{x} N$ is open and thus contains a special point $x$, in particular, every coset is of the form $x N$ for some special $x$. We claim that, given such an $N, 0^{\prime}$ can find finitely many special points $x_{0}=e, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that $\left\{x_{i} N\right\}$ is a disjoint cover of $G$. To see why, note that $x_{i} N \cap x_{j} N \neq \emptyset$ iff for some special $y, y x_{i}^{-1} \in N$ and $y x_{j}^{-1} \in N$, both events are c.e. in the finite description of the clopen set $N$ by finitely many basic open balls (see Remark 4.7). Also, since left-translation is a self-homeomorphism of $G$ onto itself and $N$ is clopen, each $x_{i} N$ is clopen as well. Thus, $\left\{x_{i} N\right\}$ is a (closed) cover iff for every special $y$ there is an $i$ such that $y x_{i}^{-1} \in N$; if we view the latter as a finite union of closed balls (Remark 4.7), then the statement becomes $\Pi_{1}^{0}$ and thus can be decided using $0^{\prime}$. Similarly, the group structure upon $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \bmod N$ can be reconstructed effectively and uniformly, in $N$. Simply search for an $x_{k}$ such that $x_{i} x_{j} x_{k}^{-1} \in N$ (this is an effective search in the open name of $N$ ) and then declare $x_{i} x_{j}={ }_{N} x_{k}$ in $G / N$. Note that the procedure above is uniform in the description of $N$. Therefore, the $0^{\prime}$-computable list of such
normal clopen subgroups leads to a $0^{\prime}$-computable inverse system of finite groups whose inverse limit represents $G$.
4.2. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 7}(\mathbf{2})$. It is not hard to see that the upper bound is $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$; we give details below.

Fact 4.9. The topological group isomorphism problem for compact topological groups is $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$.

Proof. Let $X$ and $Y$ be special dense subsets in $G_{i}$ and $G_{j}$, respectively. We first explain why the existence of a surjective topological homomorphism is $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$. We are asking for a function $f: X \times \omega \rightarrow Y$ such that:
(1) for each $x \in X$ and $n \in \omega, d(f(x, n), f(x, n+1))<2^{-n}$;
(2) $\lim _{n} f(X, n)$ is dense in $G_{j}$;
(3) $\lim _{n} f(\cdot, n) \upharpoonright X$ is uniformly continuous;
(4) $\lim _{n} f(\cdot, n) \upharpoonright X$ is a group-homomorphism.

By uniform continuity, it is sufficient to define it on a dense set. Thus, if we have (1) and (3), then we have a unique continuous extension $\bar{f}$ of $\lim _{n} f(X, n)$. Since continuous images of compact sets are compact, and compact subsets of Polish spaces are closed, surjectivity follows from the continuity of $\bar{f}$ and (2). Finally, (4) guarantees that $\bar{f}$ is a group-homomorphism. To make sure that $f$ is $1-1$, we also ask for a $g: Y \times \omega \rightarrow X$ which determines a continuous surjective homomorphism $\bar{g}$ from $G_{j}$ onto $G_{i}$, and such that $\bar{f} \circ \bar{g}=I d_{G_{i}}$. The latter property is closed, and, therefore, if it fails, then it must be witnessed by special points in $X$. In particular, it is sufficient to check it only for special points. It remains to note that all mentioned conditions are arithmetical in $f \oplus g$.

It follows from Fact 4.9 and (1) of Theorem 1.7 that the isomorphism problems for connected and totally disconnected compact Polish groups are both $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$.

We now prove $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$-completeness. Our proof of Theorem 1.4(1) is not uniform. Nonetheless, it is fully uniform when restricted to torsion-free constructive groups of rank $\omega$. As we noted in the proof of Theorem 1.4(1), the only obstacle is in the absence of computable basis. If the rank is infinite, then the proof of Dobrica's theorem becomes uniform, as explained in the first part of the proof of Proposition 3.10 Every torsion abelian group also has a computable basis - it is empty. Thus, Theorem 1.4(1) is uniform for torsion constructive groups as well. In each of these two special cases, we have a uniformly effective reduction $G \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ such that $G \cong H$ iff $\widehat{G} \cong \widehat{H}$. The isomorphism problems for constructive torsion and torsion-free abelian groups of rank $\omega$ are both $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$-complete (DM08, GK02). (In fact, the groups witnessing $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$-completeness in DM08 have computable bases, so we don't even need Dobrica's result in this case.) It remains to note that the duals of discrete torsion abelian groups are profinite, and the duals of discrete torsion-free abelian groups are connected Pon66.

## 5. Profinite abelian groups

Recall Definition 1.2 of a recursive profinite group. A recursive profinite group can be viewed as the collection of [infinite] paths through a computably branching tree with no dead ends. In such a presentation, every [infinite] path represents an element of the group, and the operations are represented by computable operators acting on this topological space (see [LR81,Smi81b]). We can define an
(ultra)metric on this space, similarly to how it is done for Cantor space. With respect to this metric, the presentation becomes a computable Polish group. In this presentation, we can effectively list basic clopen sets. In particular, a map $\phi: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ between recursive profinite groups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is computable if the pre-image of any basic clopen set of $H_{2}$ can be effectively listed as a disjoint union of basic clopen sets of $H_{2}$. We will use this property below.
5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.9, Recall that we need to show that a profinite $P$ is recursively presentable iff its torsion dual $\widehat{P}$ is constructivisable. Consider a profinite abelian $P$. We can view $P$ as the inverse limit of a system of finite groups $0 \leftarrow A_{0} \leftarrow A_{1} \leftarrow \ldots$, where all maps are surjective. It is well known (see Fuc70] for more detail) that $\widehat{P}$ is the direct limit of the system $0 \rightarrow A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1} \rightarrow \ldots$, where the injective embeddings can be effectively reconstructed from the respective maps in the inverse system for $P$. (To acquire a better understanding of this, see Example 2.5 but restrict yourself to finite cyclic groups.) In contrast to the general case of arbitrary compact Polish abelian groups, the above correspondence between the direct and the inverse systems is uniformly effective. Note also that injective maps in the direct system canonically and effectively correspond to surjective maps in the dual inverse system (see, e.g., Proposition 30 on p. 37 of Mor77). This gives (1) of Theorem 1.9

We prove (2), i.e., that $P$ is c.c. iff $\widehat{P}$ is c.c. Recall that a homeomorphism witnessing $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$ can be chosen in the canonical way:

$$
g \rightarrow\langle\cdot, g\rangle
$$

where $\langle\chi, g\rangle=\chi(g)$ for any character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. It follows that the identification is fully effective if we restrict ourselves to computable profinite and constructive torsion discrete groups. In particular, we can effectively identify $G$ and its second dual.

Suppose we have a computably categorical (c.c.) torsion group $G$. We need to show that $\widehat{G}$ is c.c. as a profinite group. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two computable profinite groups homeomorphic to $\widehat{G}$. As noted above, we can uniformly effectively produce constructive torsion groups $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ whose duals can be effectively identified with $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. (We effectively identify $\widehat{\widehat{H_{i}}}$ with $H_{i}$; then we set $U_{i}=\widehat{H_{i}}$.)

Let $\phi: U_{2} \rightarrow U_{1}$ be a computable isomorphism of constructive torsion groups $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$. It is well known Mor77 that the map

$$
\widehat{\phi}(\chi)=\chi \circ \phi,
$$

where $\chi \in H_{1}=\widehat{U_{1}}$ and $\chi \circ \phi \in H_{2}=\widehat{U_{2}}$, is a topological group-isomorphism of $H_{1}$ onto $H_{2}$. We claim that this isomorphism and its inverse are both computable. Furthermore, we can compute $\chi(a)$ (in $\mathbb{T}$ ) effectively and uniformly in $\chi \in H_{1}=\widehat{U_{1}}$ [represented as an infinite path] and the index for $a \in U_{i}$. In fact, we can compute the rational equal to $\chi(a)$, and not merely a sequence converging to $\chi(a)$. It follows that $\chi(a)$ is determined by $a$ and a finite initial segment of $\chi$. Since all procedures described above are effective, we conclude that $\widehat{\phi}(\chi)=\chi \circ f$ is a computable grouphomeomorphism of $H_{1}$ onto $H_{2}$. Since $f^{-1}: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ is computable, a symmetric argument shows that the inverse of $\widehat{\phi}$ is computable as well.

Now suppose $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are constructivizations of the discrete $G$. We effectively and uniformly pass to recursive profinite $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ whose duals can be effectively identified with $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, respectively. Let $f: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a computable topological group-isomorphism. As before, we define $\hat{f}: U_{2} \rightarrow U_{1}$ by the rule $\widehat{f}(\chi)=\chi \circ f$. We claim that $\widehat{f}$ is a computable isomorphism. More specifically, we need to effectively and uniformly find $u \in U_{1}$ such that $\widehat{f}(\chi)=u$.

Every element of $U_{2}$ is a character of $H_{2}$, and each such character $\chi$ can be uniquely associated with its kernel $K_{\chi}$ which is a compact subgroup of $H_{2}$. We claim that $K_{\chi}$ has to be clopen. It is clearly closed. To see why it is open, fix a small enough neighbourhood $O$ of 0 in $\mathbb{T}$ that does not contain any non-trivial subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$. Then $\chi^{-1}(O)$ is an open neighbourhood of $e$ in $H_{2}$. Since $H_{2}$ is profinite, $\chi^{-1}(O)$ must contain a basic clopen subgroup $S$; it follows that $S \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \chi$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \chi$ is a topological subgroup of $H_{2}$ (and thus every two points of it are homeomorphic), every element of $\operatorname{Ker} \chi$ is contained in it together with some open neighbourhood.

Thus, the character $\chi$ is uniquely described by the $\mathbb{T}$-images of the finitely many cosets in $H_{2} / K_{\chi}$. Note that $K_{\chi}$ is itself a finite union of basic clopen sets, and also that we can cover $H_{2}$ by finitely many translations of $K_{\chi}$, without repetition and intersection. Thus, we can obtain a finite disjoint collection of basic clopen balls $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ such that any two elements of [a fixed] $B_{i}$ are equal modulo $K_{\chi}$, and furthermore the finite list $\chi\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, \chi\left(B_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{T}$ uniquely describes $\chi$ among other characters in $U_{2}$. Furthermore, if $H_{2}$ is a recursive profinite group, then we can compute such a description of any element in $U_{2}$ in finite time. As we build $U_{2}=\widehat{H_{2}}$, we can monitor the new elements that enter $U_{2}$ and list their finite descriptions. The same argument applies to $U_{1}$.

We can effectively express both $K_{\chi}$ and its pre-image $f^{-1}\left(K_{\chi}\right)$ as a disjoint union of finitely many basic clopen sets in $H_{2}$ and $H_{1}$, respectively. We can also find the disjoint finite covers of $H_{2}$ and $H_{1}$ by translations of $K_{\chi}$ and $f^{-1}\left(K_{\chi}\right)$, respectively. Using the disjoint covering of $f^{-1}\left(K_{\chi}\right)$ by basic clopen balls and the disjoint covering of $H_{1}$ by $f^{-1}\left(K_{\chi}\right)$, we can effectively find finitely many disjoint basic clopen balls $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ such that for any $\sigma, \tau \in B_{i}$ we have $\chi \circ f(\sigma)=\chi \circ f(\tau)$ and such that these images completely determine the character $\chi \circ f$. It remains to find the unique character $u \in U_{1}$ that has the same description by basic clopen balls, and set $\widehat{f}(\chi)=u$. (Note it follows that $f$ is necessarily effectively open.)
5.2. Two applications of Theorems $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ and 1.9, The abelian group $W$ witnessing Theorem 1.4(2) is profinite. Since its dual has no constructivization, Theorem 1.9(1) implies that $W$ has no recursive presentation, thus proving Corollary 1.6 ,

Recall that Corollary 1.5 states that there exists a computable Polish abelian group upon which the Haar measure is not computable. The Haar measure on a compact group $G$ is the unique left-invariant probability measure on Borel subsets of $G$; see, e.g., Pon66 for a formal definition. Fix some effective listing of basic open balls in a computable Polish $G$. We say that $X \subseteq \omega$ is a name of an open set $U \subseteq G$ if $U=\bigcup_{i \in X} B_{i}$.
Definition 5.1. We say that a measure $\mu$ on $G$ is computable if $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in X} B_{i}\right)$ is a real uniformly computable in $X$.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. We claim that the computable Polish presentation $U$ of the dual of $G_{S}=\bigoplus_{p \in S} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ from the proof of Theorem 1.4(1) has this property. We explicitly made sure that $S$ was not c.e. Recall that $U$ was built as a c.e closed
subgroup of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_{n}$ so that, for every $n$, the projection $U_{n}$ of $U$ to $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ was isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for some $p \in S$. (We identify the c.e. closed set $U$ with the computable Polish presentation of $U$ given by Fact 3.1.) We claim that if the Haar measure $\mu$ on $U$ was computable, then $S$ would be c.e. So assume $\mu$ is computable.

For a basic open interval $I \subset \mathbb{T}_{n}$, let

$$
\mathbb{I}=\mathbb{T}_{0} \times \mathbb{T}_{1} \times \ldots \mathbb{T}_{n-1} \times I \times \mathbb{T}_{n+1} \times \ldots
$$

Then $\mathbb{I}$ is a basic open set in $U$. Furthermore, if $I$ has rational end-points, then $\mathbb{I}$ has a computable name. In particular, its Haar measure must be a (uniformly) computable real. For every $n$ and for every element $u$ of $U_{n}$ there exists a basic open interval $I_{u} \subset \mathbb{T}_{n}$ containing $u$ such that

$$
\mu\left(\mathbb{I}_{u}\right)=1 / p
$$

for $p \in S$ such that $U_{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. At a stage $s$ we have disjoint current $2^{-s}$-approximations $I_{u, s}$ to such intervals, and we also have our current best guess $O_{s}$ on the order of $U_{n}$ so that $1 / O_{s}$ agrees with $\mu_{s}\left(I_{u, s}\right)$ up to $2^{-s}$. We simply wait until a late enough $s$ such that $I_{n, s}$ is so small that enumerating more elements into $U_{n}$ would have to reduce $\mu\left(I_{u, s}\right)$ at least twice. (We invite the reader to reconstruct the elementary routine details.) After this stage $O_{s}$ must be stable. This gives an algorithm for enumerating $S$, a contradiction.

## 6. Further questions

The area of computable topological groups is wide open; we suggest only a few further directions that seem most relevant to the main results of the paper. As we noted in the introduction, one can ask many questions related to Theorem 1.4 and, more generally, to the effective content of Pontryagin Duality. For instance, is there any reasonable (i.e., arithmetical) uniform upper bound on the complexity of the discrete dual of a computable Polish compact abelian $G$ ? (We note that if $G$ is profinite computable Polish, then its dual is $0^{\prime}$-constructivisable. It seems that understanding the connected case is the key.) Does part (2) of Theorem 1.4 remain true if $W$ has computable Haar measure? Is (1) of Theorem 1.4 provably non-uniform? Also, Khoussainov suggested looking at Pontryagin Duality from a different, "local" perspective. We could fix a computable compact abelian $G$ and ask questions about the complexity of characters in $\widehat{G}$ which are isolated points in $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{T})$ (under the supremum metric). For example, is there a constructive $G$ which has no computable non-trivial character?

We leave open whether there is a computable compact Polish group such that all its computable Polish presentations have no algorithm for Haar measure. We also suspect that there exists a computable Polish profinite group such that all its $X$-recursive presentations have the property $X \geq_{T} 0^{\prime}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We conjecture that in the absence of compactness the index set $\left\{i: G_{i}\right.$ is a Polish group $\}$ becomes $\Pi_{1}^{1}$-complete.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Suppose $\Psi$ is a name of $f$. Since $f(B) \subset C$ implies $f(B) \subset c l(C)$, every $*$-name is a name. Now suppose $\Psi$ is a $*$-name of $f$. Using $\epsilon / 2$ instead of $\epsilon$ in Definition4.3 fix $(B, C)$ with $r(C)<\epsilon / 2$ such that $x \in B$ and $f(B) \subset c l(C)$. Replace $C$ with an equicentric $C^{\prime} \supset C$ s.t. $r(C)<r\left(C^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon$. We have $f(B) \subset \operatorname{cl}(C) \subseteq C^{\prime}$ and $r\left(C^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon$.

