

are put close together. This is a result of a suggestion by Dr. J. C. P. Miller and seconded by others. In the section devoted to analysis, the classification of the Fletcher, Miller, and Rosenhead *Index* has been retained without radical change. In the section devoted to statistics, an outline prepared by Professor H. O. Hartley has been used with considerable augmentation. Also, it should be noted that, while analysis tables are assigned fairly consistently to the class to which the tabulated function belongs, the statistics index frequently assigns a table to the section devoted to the use of the function. Some ambiguity in classification is unavoidable, and multiple entries are common.

In the tables from number theory, the classification of the Lehmer *Index* is followed without essential change (except for the addition of a section devoted to tables pertaining to analytic number theory not suitably assigned elsewhere).

Detail in the classification of the works on numerical analysis seemed justified by the amount of correspondence and conversation which exists concerning adequate presentations of various types of material; this has led to voluminous multiple listings.

The indexing was done largely by the Chairman of the Editorial Committee, but he gratefully acknowledges the help of W. J. Dixon, Rudolph Hüsser, and J. D. Swift—the first two in connection with the classification of tables from statistics, and the last in connection with number theory.

C. B. T.

#### CORRIGENDA

D. R. MORRISON, "A method for computing certain inverse functions," *MTAC*, v. 10, 1956.

<i>for</i>	<i>read</i>
p. 205, line -4, $1/2(2^e - 1)$	$\log_2(1 + 2\epsilon)$
p. 206, line 14, $f^{-1} \quad f^{-1}$	$f \quad f$
p. 206, line -11, $f^{-1} \quad f^{-1}$	$f \quad f$
p. 207, line -4 $\frac{\pi}{2} \arccos(1/y)$	$\frac{\pi}{2} - \arccos(1/y)$
p. 205, lines -3, -2, <i>omit</i>	It is less than $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon \log_e^2}{1 - \epsilon \log_e^2}$ .

H. J. HAUER  
E. A. FAY

U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station  
China Lake, California

Review 24, *MTAC*, v. 11, 1957, p. 31, line 3 from bottom,  
Exponent *should read r not t*.