Concerning the Numbers $2^{2p} + 1$, p Prime

By John Brillhart

1. Introduction. In a recent investigation [7] the problem of factoring numbers of the form $2^{2p} + 1$, p a prime, was encountered. Since $2^{2p} + 1 = (2^p - 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1)$ $(2^p + 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1)$ for odd p, the problem consists of factoring the two trinomials on the right. In this paper the results of a search for factors of these trinomials are given, as well as a determination of the nature of certain of these numbers for which no factor was found.

2. Elementary factors. Let $N_p = (2^p - 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1) (2^p + 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1) = A_p \cdot B_p$, p an odd prime.

A. From the fact that $5 | N_p$, it easily follows that $5 | A_p$ iff $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ and $5 | B_p$ iff $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. On the other hand, $5^2 \nmid N_p$ unless p = 5; for, since 2 is a primitive root of 25, 2 belongs to the exponent $\phi(25) = 20$. But $2^{2p} \equiv -1 \pmod{25}$, or $2^{4p} \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$. Therefore, 20 | 4p, or p = 5. Thus, if p = 5, $5^2 | 2^{10} + 1 = 1025$, while if $p \neq 5$, $5^2 \nmid N_p$. B. If q is a prime $\neq 5$ and $q | N_p$, then $2^{4p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. But then 2 belongs

B. If q is a prime $\neq 5$ and $q \mid N_p$, then $2^{*p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. But then 2 belongs to the exponent $4p \pmod{q}$. Thus by Fermat's Theorem, $4p \mid q - 1$; that is, every prime divisor $\neq 5$ of A_p or B_p is $\equiv 1 \pmod{4p}$.

C. Suppose p is odd and q = 4p + 1 is a prime. Then $2^{q-1} = 2^{4p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. It follows from Euler's Criterion that $2^{2p} \equiv \binom{2}{q} \pmod{q}$. But since p is odd, $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Therefore, $2^{2p} \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, or $q \mid 2^{2p} + 1$. Unfortunately, however, it has not been possible to discover the conditions that determine which of A_p and $B_p q$ will divide.

3. The Search.

A. *Extent*. The search for prime factors $q \neq 5$ of A_p and B_p , which was conducted on the IBM 701 at the University of California, Berkeley, was made over the following intervals:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < q < \sqrt{B_{59}} & \text{for} \quad B_{59} \\ 1 < q < 3 \cdot 2^{30} & \text{for} \quad A_{71} \\ 1 < q < 2^{30} & \text{for} \quad 71 < p \leq 179 \text{ and } p = 241 \\ 1 < q < 2^{28} & \text{for} \quad 179 < p < 1200, p \neq 241. \end{aligned}$$

No N_p for p < 71, $p \neq 59$, were considered, since these numbers have been completely factored. N_{241} was examined along with N_{73} to the bound 2^{30} , these numbers being of particular interest (See [7]).

B. Results. (i) The program produced a vast number of new factors, as well as several corrections to the literature (See [4]). The new factors of N_p , p < 250, are indicated in the accompanying table by * to distinguish them from factors pre-

Received January 10, 1962.

viously known [2]. For 250 all factors > 300,000 are new, and are therefore not indicated by *. A dot following the final factor means that the nature of the complementary factor is unknown.

(ii) A complete factorization was accomplished for B_{59} , A_{83} , and A_{103} , the primality of the complementary factor in each case being assured by the non-existence of a factor below its square root. The factorization of B_{59} is of particular interest, since this number appears in [2] and [3] as a prime.

The author would like to thank Mr. K. R. Isemonger for providing the complete factorization of B_{97} , as well as the much sought after factorization for A_{71} , which, previous to his attack on the number, had only been known to factor into the product of two primes.

(iii) A program was written to test the divisibility and multiplicity of all known factors, with the result that all factors were found to be correct, but none was found to be multiple.

C. The Program. The structure of the search program was similar to that described in [1]. In particular, for each p a table of differences was computed from the first $1155 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ terms of the sequence 4pk + 1, $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, that remained after the multiples of 3, 5, 7, and 11 had been sieved out. This table was used repeatedly by the program to produce a sequence of trial divisors, among which the factors, if any, were to be found. The remainders of A_p and B_p for each trial divisor were calculated by residue methods, both remainders being calculated at the same time because of the similarity in form of A_p and B_p . The occurrence of a 0 remainder in this calculation signalled the discovery of a factor of one of the two numbers, but not both, since obviously they are relatively prime. To examine each N_p required from 5 to 15 minutes, the N_p for the larger p's requiring a shorter time.

4. Primality Testing.

A. At the conclusion of the search for factors, the primality of several numbers of immediate interest, namely, A_{73} and A_{241} , was still in doubt, because no factor had been found. It was then noted by Professor D. H. Lehmer that the primality of numbers of the form under consideration could be decided by Proth's Theorem

[5]: "If $M = k \cdot 2^n + 1$, where $0 < k < 2^n$, and $\left(\frac{a}{M}\right) = -1$, then M is prime iff $a^{\frac{1}{4}(M-1)} \equiv -1 \pmod{M}$." In the present case A_p , $B_p = M = (2^{\frac{1}{4}(p-1)} \pm 1) \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{4}(p+1)} + 1$, with $0 < k = 2^{\frac{1}{4}(p-1)} \pm 1 < 2^{\frac{1}{4}(p+1)}$ for p an odd prime, the value of a being easily obtained from the reciprocity law for the Jacobi symbol.

A program was accordingly written by Professor Lehmer for the IBM 701 to calculate the required residues. The modulus used for each test was N_p rather than the A_p or B_p in question, so that the reduction of the successive powers could be accomplished by multi-precision subtraction instead of division by a multi-precision divisor. The remainder thus produced was further reduced mod A_p or B_p by a subtractive routine written by the author. The final residues in binary from both routines have been preserved on IBM cards for later checking purposes.

B. It is believed that the two testing programs were accurate, since the anticipated results were obtained in every trial case save one. In this case, B_{59} , a discrepancy existed between the literature, which stated the number was prime, and the

JOHN BRILLHART

TABLE OF FACTORS

p	$2^p - 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1$	$2^p + 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1$
3	5	13
$\tilde{5}$	5^{2}	41
7	113	5.29
11	5.397	2113
13	$5 \cdot 1613$	$53 \cdot 157$
17	$137 \cdot 953$	$5 \cdot 26317$
19	$5 \cdot 229 \cdot 457$	525313
23	$277 \cdot 30269$	$5 \cdot 1013 \cdot 1657$
29	$5 \cdot 107367629$	536903681
31	$5581 \cdot 384773$	5.8681.49477
37	$5 \cdot 149 \cdot 184481113$	593.231769777
41	181549 • 12112549	$5 \cdot 10109 \cdot 43249589$ 172 101652 500177
43	0·1/0921//00081 1/0797/71579119	
41 52	140/0/4/10/0110	15259120, 596477640
50	5.1181.3541.157640.	5521603*.104309276341*
09	174877	0021000 101000210011
61	$5 \cdot 733 \cdot 1709 \cdot 368140581013$	$3456749 \cdot 667055378149$
67	$5 \cdot 269 \cdot 42875177 \cdot$	$15152453 \cdot 9739278030221$
	2559066073	
71	$4999465853 \cdot 472287102421$	$5 \cdot 569 \cdot 148587949 \cdot 5585522857$
73	prime	$5 \cdot 293 \cdot 9929 \cdot 649301712182209$
79	prime	$5\cdot317\cdot$
83	5 · 13063537 * · 148067197374074653*	997.
89	1069.	5.
97	$389 \cdot 4657 \cdot$	$5 \cdot 3881 \cdot 5821 \cdot 3555339061 \cdot 394563864677$
101	$5 \cdot$	809.
103	$41201 \cdot 520379897^* \cdot \\ 473000157711296729^*$	$5 \cdot 17325013*$
107	$5 \cdot 857 \cdot$	843589
109	$5 \cdot$	$5669 \cdot 666184021^* \cdot$
113	prime	5.58309.2362153*
127	$509 \cdot 26417 \cdot 140385293^* \cdot$	5.18797.72118729*.
131	5.642811237*.	269065073**
137	189001 ·	557.
139	5.	1789.
151	nrime	5.
157	5.	prime
163	5.653.9781.7807049*	prime
167	prime	5.75005713*
173	5.	c
179	$5 \cdot 31815461* \cdot$	С
181	5.9413.	С
191	25212001*·	5.3821.
193	773.	$5 \cdot 3089 \cdot 148997 \cdot 50000$
197	5.4729.	52009
199	797.	9.
211	05768680*.	5.11597.6530333*.
440 997	5.	54449.83132849*
246	U	01110 00102010

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	p	$2^p - 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1$	$2^p + 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	229	$5 \cdot 2749 \cdot 5523481*$	c
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	233	$30757 \cdot$	5.3108221*.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	239	prime	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	241	prime	$5 \cdot 2640397^* \cdot 15594629^* \cdot$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	251	5.1912621	5021 ·
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	257	C	5.28564009.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	263	C	$5 \cdot 119929 \cdot 731141$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	260	5.2153.3220.5381.	8609.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	203	4273873	0000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	271	10474693	5.97561.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	277	5.1109.	232681.98002601.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	281	91568909	$5 \cdot 3373 \cdot 3827221$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	283	5.	nrime
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	200	5.22306021.	5861.12893.60488093.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	290	5.03320.1021607.	1220,7360,254107,201846361
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	311	6921.21140.	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	212	42560.681080.6386453.	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	217	5.	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	321	5.580181.	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	337	683437.30400840.	5.5303.32353.2540060.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	347	5.5575507.60088721.	9777.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	340	5.8377.763613.	2111 C
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	252	nrime	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	350	585880.5100757.	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	367	nrime	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	373	5.1403.	8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	370	5.4549.10210357.	nrime
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	383	13789.111650629.	5.4597.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	380	5.17117.51349.2852149.	C 1001
301 0 1111 11203 11203 10103 401 c $5\cdot3209\cdot$ $5\cdot3209\cdot$ 409 $1637\cdot9817\cdot$ $5\cdot4909\cdot1531297\cdot1856861\cdot$ 419 $5\cdot63689\cdot356989\cdot$ $53633\cdot186037\cdot$ 421 $5\cdot31142213\cdot$ c 431 $91373\cdot3754873\cdot$ $5\cdot$ 433 $1733\cdot5197\cdot$ $5\cdot31177\cdot239017\cdot$ 439 $695377\cdot$ $5\cdot$ 443 c $5\cdot$ 443 c $5\cdot3593\cdot165233\cdot$ 457 prime $5\cdot71293\cdot$ 461 $5\cdot14753\cdot7278269\cdot$ $226813\cdot21102737\cdot$ 463 c $5\cdot46475941\cdot$ 467 $5\cdot13453337\cdot$ $252181\cdot1372981\cdot$ 479 $6380281\cdot39557737\cdot$ $5\cdot70309537\cdot$ $79190197\cdot$ $5\cdot7793\cdot890237\cdot$ 491 $5\cdot$ $3929\cdot34631213\cdot$ 499 $5\cdot43913\cdot1179637\cdot$ $1997\cdot$ 503 $6037\cdot10061\cdot$ $5\cdot$ 509 $5\cdot103837\cdot$ $4073\cdot13350053\cdot$ 521 c $5\cdot16673\cdot$ 523 $5\cdot8369\cdot351457\cdot$ c	397	5.11117.	14293 • 25409 • 6312301 •
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	401	C	5.3209.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	409	1637.9817.	$5 \cdot 4909 \cdot 1531297 \cdot 1856861 \cdot$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	419	5.63689.356989.	53633 • 186037 •
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	421	5.31142213.	c
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	431	91373.3754873.	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	433	1733.5197.	5.31177.239017.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	439	695377	5.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	443	c	5.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	449	3615349 • 111190361 •	5.3593.165233.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	457	prime	5.71293.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	461	5.14753.7278269.	226813 • 21102737 •
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	463	c	$5 \cdot 46475941 \cdot$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	467	5.13453337.	252181 · 1372981 ·
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	479	6380281 • 39557737 •	5.70309537.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		79190197	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	487	1949.	5.7793.890237.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	491	5.	$3929 \cdot 34631213 \cdot$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	499	$5 \cdot 43913 \cdot 1179637 \cdot$	1997 ·
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	503	$6037 \cdot 10061 \cdot$	5.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	509	$5 \cdot 103837 \cdot$	4073 · 13350053 ·
523	521	c	$5 \cdot 16673 \cdot$
	523	$5 \cdot 8369 \cdot 351457 \cdot$	с

TABLE OF FACTORS—Continued

JOHN BRILLHART

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$677 \qquad 5.5417.$	
692 5	
710 5. 8690.	
719 0 0.00	
721 2909 5 697440.	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
739 $0.020210.110100297$ 2907.0109010	
751 C 5·9013·	
707 0.000000000000000000000000000000000	
701 82189.529057.1507001. 5.9155.	
709 C 0.1619 0.070160 0.070160 0.070160 0.070160 0.07000000000000000000000000000000000	
28764877	
$787 \qquad 5 \cdot \qquad 47221 \cdot 406093 \cdot 14121929 \cdot$	
797 5.	
$5 \cdot 6473 \cdot 25889 \cdot 1948073 \cdot$	
811 5. 5336381.	
821 5.	
823 19753 · 17678041 · 5 ·	
$827 5 \cdot 36389 \cdot 148861 \cdot 2312293 \cdot$	
829 5.	
$839 5564249 \cdot 5 \cdot$	
$853 5\cdot 3413 \cdot$	
857 5.	

TABLE OF FACTORS—Continued

p	$2^p - 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1$	$2^p + 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + 1$
859	5.82488053.	41233 · 18970157 ·
863	62137·	5.
877	$5 \cdot 136813 \cdot$	178909 ·
881	292493.	5.
883	$5 \cdot 3533 \cdot 10597 \cdot$	
887		5.
907	5.	
911	109321 ·	$5 \cdot 29153 \cdot$
919	$15174529 \cdot$	$5 \cdot 3677 \cdot 169097 \cdot$
929	11149 • 319577 •	$5 \cdot 7433 \cdot 85469 \cdot 858397 \cdot$
937		5.802073.
941	5.3383837.	
947	5 · 189401 ·	$6522937 \cdot$
953		5.
967	$328781 \cdot 12056557 \cdot$	$5 \cdot 47054221 \cdot$
971	$5 \cdot$	19421 ·
977	5	5.
983		5.
991	47569	5.27749.
997	$5 \cdot 3989 \cdot 23929 \cdot 1316041 \cdot$	
1009	12109	5.242161.
1013	5.33449261	
1019	5.61141.207877.	
1021	5.	88557457
1031	181457	5.32993.
1033	101101	5.4133.78509.
1039	4157 • 47577889 •	5.
1049	4640777	$\tilde{5}$.
1051	5.92489.2030533	1513441 • 77933753 •
1061	5.49459577.	
1063	4253 • 119057 • 2351357 •	5.
1069	5.25657	
1087		5.4349.182617.
1001	5.13093.	
1091	5.13155349	4373
1097	0 10100010	5.114089.79321877.
1103	132361	5.525029
1100	5.13309	115337
1117	5.67021	40213 • 71514809 •
1123	5.40429.	4493.597437.
1120	0 1012.0	5.4517.
1151		5.36833
1153	152197.67796401.	5.
1163	5.37217.37453253	
1171	5.13152673.	
1181	5.	1369961 • 9178733 •
1187	5.9497.151937.	
1103	0 0 101 101001	5.
11.00		

TABLE OF FACTORS—Continued

test routine, which stated the opposite. The number was immediately run on the factoring program, and much to the satisfaction of all concerned, a factor was found, and the test routine was exonerated.

A further verification of a kind has come from Mr. Isemonger, who, acting on the test results that A_{71} and B_{97} were composite, succeeded in finding the factorizations mentioned above.

C. All A_p and B_p , $71 \leq p \leq 757$, for which no elementary or other factor was known, were tested for primality. In all, 50 numbers were tested, with the result that 14 of them were found to be prime. These are listed as prime in the accompanying table, while the remaining 36 composite numbers are indicated as such by a "c" in the proper positions of the table.

Each number with $71 \le p \le 457$ was tested twice with complete agreement in the results. No number for p > 457 was tested twice, for testing a single number in this range required approximately 30 minutes.

5. Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Lehmer for his very generous contributions of time and effort in constructing the primality test, which has brought this paper to such a satisfactory conclusion. In addition, he would like to thank Dr. John Selfridge for his careful reading of the preliminary manuscript, and Mr. Vance Vaughan and Robert Innes for their assistance in the production phase of the program.

University of San Francisco San Francisco, California

1. JOHN BRILLHART & G. D. JOHNSON, "On the factors of certain Mersenne numbers," Math. Comp., v. 14, 1960, p. 365–369. 2. A. J. C. CUNNINGHAM & H. J. WOODALL, Factorizations of $(y^n \mp 1)$, Hodgson, London,

1925, p. 6-9.
3. M. KRAITCHIK, Recherches sur la Théorie des Nombres, Tome II, Paris, 1929.
4. D. H. LEHMER, Guide to the Tables in the Theory of Numbers, National Research Council Bulletin, Washington, 1941, p. 29-30, 135-136. 5. F. Proтн, "Théorèmes sur les nombres premiers," C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, v. 87, 1878,

p. 926

6. R. M. ROBINSON, "Some factorizations of numbers of the form $2^n \pm 1$," MTAC, v. 11, 1957, p. 265-268. 7. ROBERT SPIRA, "The complex sum of divisors," Amer. Math. Monthly, v. 68, 1961, p.

120-124.