# A STATISTICAL RELATION OF ROOTS OF A POLYNOMIAL IN DIFFERENT LOCAL FIELDS 

YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA


#### Abstract

Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. We observe a statistical relation of roots of $f(x)$ in different local fields $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, where $f(x)$ decomposes completely. Based on this, we propose several conjectures.


## 1. Introduction and conjectures

Let $n$ be an odd natural number, and consider prime numbers $p$ such that $p-1$ is divisible by $n$. Then the sum of $n$-th roots of unity in $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$is divisible by $p$, and the quotient $\mathfrak{s}(p)$ lies in the interval $[1, n-2]$. In the previous paper ([1]), we proposed a few conjectures on the distribution of $\mathfrak{s}(p)$.

In this paper, we give a comprehensive viewpoint. For a polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we put

$$
\operatorname{Spl}(f)=\{p \mid f(x) \bmod p \text { is completely decomposable }\}
$$

where $p$ denotes prime numbers. Let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\left(r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq r_{i} \leq p-1\right)$ be solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$; then $a_{n-1}+\sum r_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p$ is clear. Thus there exists an integer $C_{p}(f)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=C_{p}(f) p \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We stress that the local solutions are supposed to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq r_{i} \leq p-1 \quad\left(r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To survey the situation, the proofs of the following will be gathered in the next section.

Proposition 1.1. Let $f(x)=x+a(a \in \mathbb{Z})$; then we have, for primes $p$ with finitely many possible exceptions,

$$
C_{p}(f)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a>0  \tag{1.4}\\ 0 & \text { if } a \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

The range of $C_{p}(f)$ for a general case is given by

[^0]Proposition 1.2. Suppose that $f(x)=x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ does not have a linear factor in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then we have, for $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq C_{p}(f) \leq n-1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

except finitely many possible primes.
Remark 1.3. We have chosen the local solutions under the condition (1.3). When we adopt the condition $-1 / 2 \leq r_{i} / p<1 / 2$, we have

$$
C_{p}(x+a)=0
$$

for any prime $p(>|a|)$. Although it may seem desirable, in return, we lose our good expectation in Section 4.

The following is the second exceptional case where we can evaluate $C_{p}(f)$ explicitly.

Theorem 1.4. Let $n$ be a natural number and let

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 n} a_{i} x^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]
$$

be a monic polynomial such that (i) $f(x)$ does not have a linear factor in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and (ii) there are polynomials $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)$ such that $f(x)=f_{1}\left(f_{2}(x)\right)$ with $\operatorname{deg} f_{2}(x)=2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}(f)=n\left(=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f(x)\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for primes $p \in S p l(f)$ with finitely many possible exceptions.
Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. To study the distribution of the values $C_{p}(f)$, we put, for $1 \leq c \leq \operatorname{deg} f(x)-1$ and a positive number $X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(c, f, X) & =\frac{\#\left\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f) \mid p \leq X, C_{p}(f)=c\right\}}{\#\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f) \mid p \leq X\}} \\
\mu(f, X) & =\frac{\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f), p \leq X} C_{p}(f)}{\#\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f) \mid p \leq X\}} \\
\sigma^{2}(f, X) & =\frac{\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f), p \leq X} C_{p}(f)^{2}}{\#\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f) \mid p \leq X\}}-\mu(f, X)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us give one more definition.
Definition 1.5. Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial of $\operatorname{deg} f(x) \geq 2$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$; then there are monic polynomials $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ which satisfy $f(x)=f_{1}\left(f_{2}(x)\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg} f_{2}(x) \geq 2$. We call the minimum among $\operatorname{deg} f_{2}(x)$ the reduced degree of $f(x)$, and denote it by $r d(f)$.

The reduced degree of the polynomial in Theorem 1.4 is 2 , and the reduced degree of $x^{n}-a$ is the least prime divisor of $n$. By definition, the reduced degree is greater than 1 , and the reduced degree of a polynomial of prime degree $p$ is $p$. Using this notation, the theorem above is rephrased as follows.

Corollary 1.6. Let $f(x)(\in \mathbb{Z}[x])$ be a monic polynomial of $r d(f)=2$ and suppose that it does not have a linear factor in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}(c, f, X)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } c=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f(x), \\
0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
& \lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f, X)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f(x), \\
& \lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{2}(f, X)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This case seems exceptional.
Now we propose a conjecture based on data in Section 5:
Conjecture 1.7. Let $f(x)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $n(\geq 3)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. We assume that the reduced degree of $f(x)$ is not 2 . Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu(f) & :=\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f, X)=n / 2  \tag{1.7}\\
\sigma^{2}(f) & :=\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{2}(f, X)=n / 12
\end{align*}
$$

and putting

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(c, f):=\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}(c, f, X)
$$

the array of densities $[\operatorname{Pr}(1, f), \ldots, \operatorname{Pr}(n-1, f)]$ depends only on the reduced degree $r d(f)$ of $f(x)$. Moreover, the following is likely:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(c, f)=0 \text { unless }(\operatorname{deg} f(x)) / r d(f) \leq c \leq \operatorname{deg} f(x)-(\operatorname{deg} f(x)) / r d(f)
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Pr}(k, f)=\operatorname{Pr}(n-k, f) \quad \text { for all } k \\
\operatorname{Pr}(1, f) \leq \operatorname{Pr}(2, f) \leq \cdots \geq \operatorname{Pr}(n-2, f) \geq \operatorname{Pr}(n-1, f)
\end{gathered}
$$

that is, a symmetric unimodal sequence.
Remark 1.8. Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. We denote by $K$ and $K_{f}$ its minimal splitting field of $f(x)$ and the Galois closure of $K$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, respectively. For a prime number $p$, we know that with finitely many possible exceptions, $f(x) \bmod p$ decomposes completely if and only if $p$ decomposes fully in $K$, and hence in $K_{f}$. Thus Chebotarev's Density Theorem tells us that

$$
\frac{\#\{p \in S p l(f) \mid p \leq X\}}{X / \log X} \sim \frac{1}{\left[K_{f}: \mathbb{Q}\right]}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(c, f, X) & =\frac{\#\left\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f) \mid p \leq X, C_{p}(f)=c\right\}}{\#\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f) \mid p \leq X\}} \\
& \sim\left[K_{f}: \mathbb{Q}\right] \frac{\#\left\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f) \mid p \leq X, C_{p}(f)=c\right\}}{X / \log X}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f(x)=g(x) h(x)$ for monic polynomials $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then

$$
C_{p}(f)=C_{p}(g)+C_{p}(h)
$$

is easy to see. Numerical data suggests that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(c, g)=\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(g) \cap \operatorname{Spl}(h) \mid p \leq X, C_{p}(g)=c\right\}}{\#\{p \in \operatorname{Spl}(g) \cap \operatorname{Spl}(h) \mid p \leq X\}}
$$

## 2. Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Suppose that a prime number $p$ is greater than $|a|$. If $a>0$, then the local solution $\bmod p$ is $p-a$, and so $C_{p}(f)=1$. If $a \leq 0$, then the local solution is $-a$, and $C_{p}(f)=0$.
Proof of Proposition 1.2. Let $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$ and let $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integral solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ with $0 \leq r_{i} \leq p-1$. By the definition (1.2), we have

$$
C_{p}(f) p \geq a_{n-1}
$$

which yields $C_{p}(f) \geq 0$ with finite exceptions. If $C_{p}(f)=0$, then we have by (1.2),

$$
0 \leq r_{1}=-a_{n-1}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} r_{i} \leq-a_{n-1}
$$

Therefore, if there exist infinitely many primes $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$ such that $C_{p}(f)=0$, then there is an integer $r$ by the pigeon hole principle such that $0 \leq r \leq-a_{n-1}$ and $r=r_{1}$ for infinitely many primes, which means $f(r)=0$ by $f(r)=f\left(r_{1}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. This contradicts the assumption, and hence $C_{p}(f) \geq 1$ with finitely many possible exceptions.

Next, (1.2) implies

$$
C_{p}(f) p \leq a_{n-1}+n(p-1)
$$

and so $C_{p}(f) \leq n$ with finitely many possible exceptions. If $C_{p}(f)=n$, then we have by (1.2),

$$
n p \leq a_{n-1}+r_{1}+(n-1)(p-1)
$$

and hence

$$
1 \leq p-r_{1} \leq a_{n-1}-(n-1) \leq a_{n-1}
$$

Hence, if there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $C_{p}(f)=n$, then there is an integer $R$ such that $1 \leq R \leq a_{n-1}$ and $R=p-r_{1}$ for infinitely many primes $p$. For such primes, we have $f(-R) \equiv f\left(r_{1}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$, and so $f(-R)=0$, which contradicts the assumption on $f(x)$. Thus we have $C_{p}(f) \leq n-1$ with finitely many possible exceptions.
Proof of Theorem 1.4. We may suppose that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are monic and $f_{2}(x)=(x+a)^{2}$ for some rational number $a$. Then we have

$$
f(x)=\left((x+a)^{2}\right)^{n}+c_{n-1}\left((x+a)^{2}\right)^{n-1}+\cdots\left(c_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}\right),
$$

and hence $a_{2 n-1}=2 n a$. The above means that $g(x):=f(x-a)$ is an even polynomial and then $g(x)=g(-x)$, i.e., $f(x-a)=f(-x-a)$. Substituting $x=a$, we have $f(0)=f(-2 a)$, which means that $-2 a$ is a root of a monic polynomial $f(x)-f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Thus $2 a$ is an integer:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{2 n-1} / 2 n \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$ and $f(-a) \notin p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. First we assume $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\pm r_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be solutions of $f(x-a) \equiv 0 \bmod p$; then $-a \pm r_{i}$ are solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Take an integer $R_{i}$ such that

$$
-a+r_{i} \equiv R_{i} \bmod p \text { and } 0 \leq R_{i} \leq p-1
$$

Then we have $-a-r_{i} \equiv-2 a-R_{i} \bmod p$, and $R_{i},-2 a-R_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Let us show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-p+1 \leq-2 a-R_{i} \leq-1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with finitely many possible exceptions. If $-2 a-R_{i} \geq 0$ for infinitely many primes $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$, then we have $0 \leq R_{i} \leq-2 a$ for the same primes, and hence there is an integer $R$ such that $0 \leq R \leq-2 a$ and $R=R_{i}$ for infinitely many primes $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$. This $R$ satisfies $f(R)=f\left(R_{i}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for infinitely many primes $p$, which yields $f(R)=0$. Thus we have the contradiction, and hence $-2 a-R_{i} \leq-1$. If $-2 a-R_{i} \leq-p$ for infinitely many primes $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$, then we have $-2 a \leq$ $R_{i}-p \leq-1$ for the same primes, and hence there is an integer $R^{\prime}$ such that $-2 a \leq R^{\prime} \leq-1$ and $R^{\prime}=R_{i}-p$ for infinitely many primes $p \in S p l(f)$. This $R^{\prime}$ satisfies $f\left(R^{\prime}\right) \equiv f\left(R_{i}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for infinitely many primes $p$, which yields the contradiction $f\left(R^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus we have shown (2.2) with finitely many possible exceptions, and then $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}$ and $p-2 a-R_{1}, \ldots, p-2 a-R_{n}$ are all roots in $[0, p-1]$ of $f(x) \bmod p$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p}(f) & =\left(a_{n-1}+\sum R_{i}+\sum\left(p-2 a-R_{i}\right)\right) / p \\
& =\left(a_{n-1}+n p-2 a n\right) / p \\
& =n
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.1).
Next, we assume $a \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \backslash \mathbb{Z}$ and put $a=b+1 / 2(b \in \mathbb{Z})$. We consider the above argument over $\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ instead of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$; then $a \equiv b-(p-1) / 2 \bmod p$ is clear. Let $\pm r_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be solutions of $f(x-a) \equiv 0 \bmod p$; then $-b+(p-1) / 2 \pm r_{i}(\equiv$ $\left.-a \pm r_{i} \bmod p\right)$ are all integral solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Take an integer $R_{i}$ such that

$$
-b+(p-1) / 2+r_{i} \equiv R_{i} \bmod p \text { and } 0 \leq R_{i} \leq p-1
$$

Then we have $-b+(p-1) / 2-r_{i} \equiv-2 b-1-R_{i} \bmod p$ and $R_{i},-2 b-1-R_{i}$ $(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are all solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Let us show

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq p-2 b-1-R_{i} \leq p-1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with finitely many exceptions. Suppose $p-2 b-1-R_{i} \geq p$; then we have $0 \leq$ $R_{i} \leq-2 b-1$. If this is true for infinitely many primes $p$, then there is an integer $R$ such that $0 \leq R \leq-2 b-1$ and $R=R_{i}$ for infinitely many primes. Therefore, $f(R)=f\left(R_{i}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for infinitely many primes, which implies the contradiction $f(R)=0$.

Suppose $p-2 b-1-R_{i} \leq-1$; then $-2 b \leq R_{i}-p \leq-1$. If there exist infinitely many such primes, then there exists an integer $R^{\prime}$ such that $-2 b \leq R^{\prime} \leq-1$ and $R^{\prime}=R_{i}-p$ for infinitely many primes. Hence $f\left(R^{\prime}\right) \equiv f\left(R_{i}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for infinitely many primes. This is the contradiction and we have shown (2.3). Now we have, with the condition (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p}(f) & =\left(a_{n-1}+\sum R_{i}+\sum\left(p-2 b-1-R_{i}\right)\right) / p \\
& =\left(a_{n-1}+n p-2 a n\right) / p \\
& =n
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

## 3. Miscellaneous remarks

Let us give some remarks. The following conjecture was stated in Remark 2 in [1].
Conjecture 3.1. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)(\neq \mathbb{Q})$ be an algebraic number field with an algebraic integer $\alpha$, and let $k$ be a non-negative integer. For a prime number $p$ which decomposes fully in $F$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ lying above $p$, we write in $F_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$

$$
\alpha=c_{\mathfrak{p}}(0)+c_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) p+\cdots\left(c_{\mathfrak{p}}(i) \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq c_{\mathfrak{p}}(i)<p\right)
$$

Then the points $\left(c_{\mathfrak{p}}(0) / p, c_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) / p, \ldots, c_{\mathfrak{p}}(k) / p\right)\left(\in[0,1)^{k+1}\right)$ distribute uniformly when $p, \mathfrak{p}$ run over those above.

The conjectures of the average and the variance in Conjecture 1.7 are intuitively supported by Conjecture 3.1 and Theorem 2 in 1], which is quoted below for convenience as

Theorem 3.2. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be random variables on $\mathbb{R}$ obeying the uniform distribution $I(0,1)$, or what amounts to the same, their distribution functions are all equal to the set-theoretical characteristic function of $[0,1]$. Then, putting

$$
X_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}-n / 2\right)
$$

$X=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}$ determines a normal distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with mean 0 and with variance $\frac{1}{12}$.

Indeed, we can show that Conjecture 3.1 yields the assertion on the average as follows.

Proposition 3.3. Let $f(x)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and suppose $n=\operatorname{deg} f(x) \geq 2$. Assuming Conjecture 3.1, we have

$$
\mu(f)=n / 2
$$

The proof is quite similar to the proof of Proposition 1 in [1].
The following gives a connection between Conjecture 4 in [1] and the viewpoint in this paper.

Proposition 3.4. Let $m(\geq 2)$ be a natural number and put $n=3 m$ and $f(x)=$ $\left(x^{3}\right)^{m-1}+\cdots+x^{3}+1, g(x)=x^{n}-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(g)=(\operatorname{deg} g(x)-1) / 2, \sigma^{2}(g)=(\operatorname{deg} g(x)-3) / 12 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true if and only if

$$
\mu(f)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f(x), \sigma^{2}(f)=\frac{1}{12} \operatorname{deg} f(x)
$$

Proof. First, we note that

$$
g(x)=\left(x^{3}-1\right) f(x)
$$

$\operatorname{Spl}(g) \subset \operatorname{Spl}(f)$ is clear. To see the converse, let $p \in \operatorname{Spl}(f)$. Suppose that the order of any solution $r$ of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ is relatively prime to 3 ; then any solution $r$ of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ is a root of $x^{m}-1 \equiv 0 \bmod p$, since $r^{n} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. This is the contradiction, because $\operatorname{deg} f(x)=3 m-3>m$. Thus there is a root $r$ such that the order of $\langle r\rangle$ is divisible by 3 and hence $x^{3}-1 \bmod p$ is completely decomposable, and hence $\operatorname{Spl}(g)=\operatorname{Spl}(f)$. Let $r_{i}\left(0 \leq r_{i} \leq p-1\right)$ be roots of $f(x) \bmod p$ and let
$\left\{1, R_{1}, R_{2}\right\}\left(0 \leq R_{i} \leq p-1\right)$ be roots of $x^{3}-1=(x-1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right) \bmod p$; then we have, by the definition

$$
C_{p}(g)=\left(1+R_{1}+R_{2}+\sum r_{i}\right) / p=C_{p}\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)+C_{p}(f)
$$

Hence Theorem 1.4 implies $C_{p}(g)=1+C_{p}(f)$ with finitely many exceptional primes $p$, which yields

$$
\mu(g)=\mu(f)+1, \sigma^{2}(g)=\sigma^{2}(f)
$$

which completes the proof.

Remark 3.5. In the above proposition, (3.1) is the assertion in Conjecture 4 in [1, if $n$ is odd.

Remark 3.6. Although we considered carrying at the first digit only, it is possible to consider it at every digit. Let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ be solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{i}$; then $a_{n-1}+\sum r_{j} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{i}$ holds, and so we can consider $\left(a_{n-1}+\sum r_{j}\right) / p^{i}$ instead of $C_{p}(f)$. Let $\mu_{i}(f), \sigma_{i}^{2}(f), \operatorname{Pr}_{i}(c, f)$ be those defined at the $i$-th digit similarly to the case $i=1$. We expect that they are independent of $i$ and the product $\operatorname{Pr}_{1}\left(c_{1}, f\right) \ldots$ $\operatorname{Pr}_{m}\left(c_{m}, f\right)$ is equal to the density $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right], f\right)$, which is the density similarly defined for the array $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right]$ with the carried integer $c_{i}$ at the $i$-th digit.

## 4. Rational approximation of expected density

In this section, we discuss approximating the expected densities by rationals.
Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial of $r d(f)=2$ such that $f(x)$ does not have a linear factor in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$; then we already know by Theorem 1.4 that

$$
C_{p}(f)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f(x)
$$

Let $f$ be an irreducible monic polynomial of degree $3 m$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. If the reduced degree is $3, \operatorname{Pr}(c, f)$ is likely to be as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(c, f)= \begin{cases}2^{-m}\binom{m}{c-m} & \text { if } m \leq c \leq 2 m \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The data for $n=3,6,9,12,15$ in the next section support this.
Similarly, in Tables 5 and 6 in [1], when $n=3 m$, densities seem to be approximated by

$$
\begin{cases}2^{-(m-1)}\binom{m-1}{s-m} & \text { if } m \leq s \leq 2 m-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Professor Yukari Kosugi perceived that the densities in Tables 10 and 6 of 1$]$ are approximated by Eulerian numbers if $n$ is a prime number. Let us introduce this. Let $A(1,1)=1$ and let $A(n, k)(1 \leq k \leq n)$ be defined by

$$
A(n, k)=(n-k+1) A(n-1, k-1)+k A(n-1, k) .
$$

Their values are:

| $n \backslash k$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 26 | 66 | 26 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1 | 57 | 302 | 302 | 57 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 12 | 1191 | 2416 | 1191 | 12 | 1 |  |  |
| 8 | 1 | 247 | 4293 | 15619 | 15619 | 4293 | 247 | 1 |  |
| 9 | 1 | 502 | 14608 | 88234 | 15619 | 88234 | 14608 | 502 | 1 |

In the tables referred above, the densities are well approximated by

$$
A(n-2, s) /(n-2)!
$$

when $n$ is prime. Following her great insight, we easily see that the density $\operatorname{Pr}(c, f)$ is well approximated by

$$
A(\operatorname{deg} f(x)-1, c) /(\operatorname{deg} f(x)-1)!
$$

if $r d(f)=\operatorname{deg} f(x)$ (cf. Section 5 below).
What is expected if $4 \leq r d(f)<\operatorname{deg} f$ ? (Cf. $f_{3}, f_{4}$ in 5.6.)

## 5. Numerical data

5.1. $n=3$. In the following table, $\mu, \sigma^{2}, \operatorname{Pr}(c)$ are the abbreviation of $\mu\left(f, 10^{9}\right)$, $\sigma^{2}\left(f, 10^{9}\right), \operatorname{Pr}\left(c, f, 10^{9}\right)$ and $\# S p l=\# \operatorname{Spl}\left(f, 10^{9}\right)$. The expected values of $\mu(f)$, $\sigma^{2}(f), \operatorname{Pr}(c, f)$ are in the last line. We use these abbreviations hereafter if we do not refer, and the values are rounded off to four decimal places.

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\# S p l$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $x^{3}-x-1$ | 1.500 | 0.2500 | 0.4998 | 0.5002 | 8474030 |
| $x^{3}+x^{2}+x-1$ | 1.500 | 0.2500 | 0.5002 | 0.4998 | 8472910 |
| $x^{3}-3 x+1$ | 1.500 | 0.2500 | 0.4999 | 0.5001 | 16949354 |
| $x^{3}+x^{2}-4 x+1$ | 1.500 | 0.2500 | 0.4999 | 0.5001 | 16948980 |
|  | $n / 2=1.5$ | $n / 12=0.25$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |  |

5.2. $n=4$. The reduced degrees of the following polynomials are 4 . We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1} & =x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}-x-1 \\
f_{2} & =x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}+x+1 \\
f_{3} & =x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\# S p l$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 2.000 | 0.3333 | 0.1664 | 0.6667 | 0.1669 | 2118177 |
| $f_{2}$ | 2.000 | 0.3333 | 0.1667 | 0.6667 | 0.1666 | 6354490 |
| $f_{3}$ | 2.000 | 0.3333 | 0.1666 | 0.6667 | 0.1667 | 12711386 |
|  | $n / 2=2$ | $n / 12=0.3333$ | $1 / 6$ | $4 / 6$ | $1 / 6$ |  |

Since $x^{5}-1=(x-1)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)$, we have $C_{p}\left(x^{5}-1\right)=C_{p}\left(x^{4}+\right.$ $\left.x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)$ and so the average, the variance, and the density are the same for $x^{5}-1$ and $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$. Indeed, the data for $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$ here and the data for $n=5, x=10^{9}$ in [1] are compatible.
5.3. $n=5$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{5}-x^{3}-x^{2}-x+1 \\
& f_{2}=x^{5}-x^{4}-x^{2}-x+1 \\
& f_{3}=x^{5}+x^{4}-x^{2}-x+1 \\
& f_{4}=x^{5}+x^{4}-4 x^{3}-3 x^{2}+3 x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 2.501 | 0.4169 |
| $f_{2}$ | 2.497 | 0.4177 |
| $f_{3}$ | 2.501 | 0.4161 |
| $f_{4}$ | 2.500 | 0.4169 |
|  | $n / 2=2.5$ | $n / 12=0.4167$ |


| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | $\#$ Spl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0.04160 | 0.4578 | 0.4587 | 0.04187 | 423981 |
| 0.04228 | 0.4600 | 0.4561 | 0.04157 | 423719 |
| 0.04110 | 0.4590 | 0.4579 | 0.04193 | 422711 |
| 0.04180 | 0.4582 | 0.4584 | 0.04167 | 10169695 |
| $1 / 24=0.04167$ | $11 / 24=0.4583$ | $11 / 24$ | $1 / 24$ |  |

5.4. $n=6$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{6}+x+1 \\
& f_{2}=x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
& f_{3}=x^{6}+2 x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+1=\left(x^{3}+x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}+x^{2}\right)+1, \\
& f_{4}=x^{6}+2 x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+2=\left(x^{3}+x\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}+x\right)+2
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced degree of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ is 6 .

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 3.005 | 0.5012 |
| $f_{2}$ | 3.000 | 0.5000 |
|  | $6 / 2$ | $6 / 12$ |


| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | $\# \operatorname{Spl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0.0086 | 0.2135 | 0.5513 | 0.2177 | 0.0089 | 70292 |
| 0.0084 | 0.2164 | 0.5501 | 0.2167 | 0.0083 | 8474221 |
| $1 / 120$ | $26 / 120$ | $66 / 120$ | $26 / 120$ | $1 / 120$ |  |
| $=0.0083$ | $=0.2167$ | $=0.5500$ |  |  |  |

Since $x^{7}-1=(x-1)\left(x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)=(x-1) f_{2}$, we have $C_{p}\left(x^{7}-1\right)=C_{p}\left(f_{2}\right)$ and so the average, the variance, and the density are the same for $x^{7}-1$ and $f_{2}$. Indeed, the data for $f_{2}$ here and the data for $n=7, x=10^{9}$ in [1] are compatible.

The reduced degree of $f_{3}, f_{4}$ is 3 .

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{3}$ | 3.001 | 0.5003 |
| $f_{4}$ | 2.999 | 0.5004 |
|  | $6 / 2$ | $6 / 12$ |


| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | $\# S p l$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.2497 | 0.4997 | 0.2506 | 0 | 705553 |
| 0 | 0.2506 | 0.4996 | 0.2498 | 0 | 706369 |
| 0 | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 4$ | 0 |  |

5.5. $n=7$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{7}-x^{5}-x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}-x+1, \\
& f_{2}=x^{7}+x^{6}-x^{5}-x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}-x+1, \\
& f_{3}=x^{7}+x^{6}-12 x^{5}-7 x^{4}+28 x^{3}+14 x^{2}-9 x+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 3.495 | 0.5969 |
| $f_{2}$ | 3.501 | 0.5792 |
| $f_{3}$ | 3.500 | 0.5832 |
|  | $7 / 2$ | $7 / 12=0.5833$ |


| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | $\# \operatorname{Spl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0.0016 | 0.0823 | 0.4206 | 0.4113 | 0.0832 | 0.0011 | 10076 |
| 0.0017 | 0.0779 | 0.4189 | 0.4228 | 0.0775 | 0.0014 | 9994 |
| 0.0014 | 0.0790 | 0.4192 | 0.4198 | 0.0792 | 0.0014 | 7264359 |
| $1 / 6!$ | $57 / 6!$ | $302 / 6!$ |  |  |  |  |
| $=0.0014$ | $=0.0792$ | $=0.4194$ |  |  |  |  |

5.6. $n=8$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{8}+x+2, \\
& f_{2}=x^{8}+x^{7}-7 x^{6}-6 x^{5}+15 x^{4}+10 x^{3}-10 x^{2}-4 x+1, \\
& f_{3}=\left(x^{4}+x\right)^{2}+1, \\
& f_{4}=\left(x^{4}+x^{2}+x\right)^{2}+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced degree of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ (resp. $f_{3}, f_{4}$ ) is 8 (resp. 4).

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 3.989 | 0.6587 |
| $f_{2}$ | 3.999 | 0.6671 |
|  | $8 / 2=4$ | $8 / 12=0.6667$ |


| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(7)$ | $\# \operatorname{Spl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0204 | 0.2514 | 0.4686 | 0.2376 | 0.0220 | 0 | 1225 |
| 0.0002 | 0.0240 | 0.2364 | 0.4793 | 0.2361 | 0.0238 | 0.0002 | 6354766 |
| 0.0002 | 0.0238 | 0.2363 | 0.4794 | 0.2363 | 0.0238 | 0.0002 |  |

Here the last row is $A(7, c) / 7$ !.

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{3}$ | 4.004 | 0.6599 |
| $f_{4}$ | 3.994 | 0.6655 |
|  | $8 / 2=4$ | $8 / 12=0.6667$ |


| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(7)$ | $\# \operatorname{Spl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0267 | 0.2203 | 0.5028 | 0.2227 | 0.0276 | 0 | 44089 |
| 0 | 0.0288 | 0.2221 | 0.5020 | 0.2200 | 0.0270 | 0 | 44112 |
| 0 | $1 / 36$ | $8 / 36$ | $18 / 36$ | $8 / 36$ | $1 / 36$ | 0 |  |

For a reducible polynomial $f=x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=$ $\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{6}+x^{3}+1\right)$, the data for $n=9$ in 1 means the following:

| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(7)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.24993 | 0.50014 | 0.24993 | 0 | 0 |

Put $g=x^{2}+x+1, h=x^{6}+x^{3}+1$; since $\operatorname{Spl}(h) \subset S p l(g)$ and $C_{p}(c, f)=1+C_{p}(h)$, the table above is compatible with the expectation in Section 4 noting that the reduced degree of $h(x)$ is three.
5.7. $n=9$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=x^{9}+x+1 \\
& f_{2}=x^{9}+x^{8}-8 x^{7}-7 x^{6}+21 x^{5}+15 x^{4}-20 x^{3}-10 x^{2}+5 x+1 \\
& f_{3}=\left(x^{3}+x\right)^{3}+2 \\
& f_{4}=\left(x^{3}+x\right)^{3}+\left(x^{3}+x\right)^{2}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced degree of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ (resp. $f_{3}, f_{4}$ ) is 9 (resp. 3 ).

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 4.506 | 0.6859 |
| $f_{2}$ | 4.500 | 0.7500 |
| $f_{3}$ | 4.491 | 0.7448 |
| $f_{4}$ | 4.502 | 0.7499 |
|  | $9 / 2=4.5$ | $9 / 12=0.75$ |


|  | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | 0.0064 | 0.0061 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | 0.1026 | 0.1064 | 0.1267 | 0.1240 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | 0.3654 | 0.3871 | 0.3768 | 0.3763 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | 0.4295 | 0.3877 | 0.3758 | 0.3737 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | 0.0962 | 0.1065 | 0.1208 | 0.1259 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(7)$ | 0 | 0.0061 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(8)$ | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 |
| $\# S p l$ | 156 | 5649358 | 38912 | 38802 |

The following is the table of $A(8, c) / 8$ !:

| $c$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0000 | 0.0061 | 0.1065 | 0.3874 | 0.3874 | 0.1065 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 |

5.8. $n=10$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1} & =x^{10}+x+1 \\
f_{2} & =x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
f_{3} & =x^{10}+x^{5}+2 \\
f_{4} & =x^{10}+3 x^{5}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced degree of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ is 10 , and the one of $f_{3}, f_{4}$ is 5 .

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 5.364 | 0.7769 |
| $f_{2}$ | 5.000 | 0.8339 |
| $f_{3}$ | 4.998 | 0.8362 |
| $f_{4}$ | 5.000 | 0.8339 |
|  | $10 / 2=5$ | $10 / 12=0.8333$ |


|  | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | 0 | 0.0014 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | 0 | 0.0403 | 0.0387 | 0.0383 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | 0.1818 | 0.2432 | 0.2483 | 0.2477 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | 0.3636 | 0.4302 | 0.4235 | 0.4239 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | 0.3636 | 0.2432 | 0.2475 | 0.2483 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(7)$ | 0.0909 | 0.0404 | 0.0385 | 0.0382 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(8)$ | 0 | 0.0014 | 0.0017 | 0.0017 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(9)$ | 0 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 |
| $\# S p l$ | 11 | 5084435 | 254385 | 1271165 |

The following is the table of $A(9, c) / 9!$ :

| $c$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0000 | 0.0014 | 0.0403 | 0.2431 | 0.4304 | 0.2431 | 0.0403 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 |

5.9. $n=12$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=\left(x^{13}-1\right) /(x-1) \\
& f_{2}=\left(x^{6}+x\right)^{2}+\left(x^{6}+x\right)+1, \\
& f_{3}=\left(x^{4}+x\right)^{3}-3\left(x^{4}+x\right)+1, \\
& f_{4}=\left(x^{3}+x\right)^{4}+\left(x^{3}+x\right)^{3}+\left(x^{3}+x\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}+x\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced degree of $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}$ is $12,6,4,3$, respectively.

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 6.000 | 0.9993 |
| $f_{2}$ | 5.796 | 1.125 |
| $f_{3}$ | 6.073 | 1.004 |
| $f_{4}$ | 5.996 | 0.9891 |
|  | $12 / 2=6$ | $12 / 12=1$ |


|  | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | 0.0001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | 0.0038 | 0 | 0.0031 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | 0.0550 | 0.1296 | 0.0541 | 0.0605 | 0.0625 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | 0.2444 | 0.2593 | 0.2085 | 0.2556 | 0.25 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | 0.3938 | 0.3333 | 0.4093 | 0.3707 | 0.375 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(7)$ | 0.2438 | 0.2407 | 0.2556 | 0.2537 | 0.25 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(8)$ | 0.0553 | 0.0370 | 0.0649 | 0.0594 | 0.0625 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(9)$ | 0.0038 | 0 | 0.0046 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(10)$ | 0.0001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(11)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\# S p l$ | 4237228 | 54 | 1295 | 9862 |  |

On the right column, the values $2^{-4}\binom{4}{k-4}$ for $4 \leq k \leq 8$ are given, and the following is the table of $A(11, c) / 11$ ! for $1 \leq c \leq 6$ :

| $c$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0038 | 0.0552 | 0.2440 | 0.3939 |

5.10. $n=15$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}= & x^{15}+x^{14}-14 x^{13}-13 x^{12}+78 x^{11}+66 x^{10}-220 x^{9} \\
& -165 x^{8}+330 x^{7}+210 x^{6}-252 x^{5}-126 x^{4}+84 x^{3} \\
& +28 x^{2}-8 x-1, \\
f_{2}= & x^{15}-3 x^{5}+1, \\
f_{3}= & x^{15}+x^{10}-2 x^{5}-1, \\
f_{4}= & x^{15}+x^{12}-4 x^{9}-3 x^{6}+3 x^{3}+1, \\
f_{5}= & x^{15}+x^{12}-12 x^{9}-21 x^{6}+x^{3}+5,
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced degree of $f_{1}$ is 15 , and the reduced degrees of $f_{2}, f_{3}$ (resp. $f_{4}, f_{5}$ ) are 5 (resp. 3).

| $f$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}$ | 7.500 | 1.250 |
| $f_{2}$ | 7.502 | 1.245 |
| $f_{3}$ | 7.502 | 1.250 |
| $f_{4}$ | 7.498 | 1.246 |
| $f_{5}$ | 7.514 | 1.239 |
|  | $15 / 2=7.5$ | $15 / 12=1.25$ |


|  | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ | $f_{5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(3)$ | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(4)$ | 0.0023 | 0.0025 | 0.0024 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(5)$ | 0.0295 | 0.0278 | 0.0280 | 0.0314 | 0.0312 | $1 / 32=0.0313$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(6)$ | 0.1472 | 0.1491 | 0.1495 | 0.1553 | 0.1504 | $5 / 32=0.1563$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(7)$ | 0.3206 | 0.3195 | 0.3200 | 0.3139 | 0.3117 | $10 / 32=0.3125$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(8)$ | 0.3212 | 0.3205 | 0.3190 | 0.3139 | 0.3171 | $10 / 32=0.3125$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(9)$ | 0.1474 | 0.1498 | 0.1500 | 0.1542 | 0.1590 | $5 / 32=0.1563$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(10)$ | 0.0294 | 0.0283 | 0.0284 | 0.0314 | 0.0305 | $1 / 32=0.0313$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(11)$ | 0.0023 | 0.0024 | 0.0026 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(12))$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(13)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(14)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\# \operatorname{Spl}$ | 3389785 | 169310 | 169660 | 62503 | 20581 |  |

The following is the table of $A(14, c) / 14$ ! for $1 \leq c \leq 7$ :

| $c$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0023 | 0.0295 | 0.1473 | 0.3209 |
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