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Secondary mathematics teacher preparation programs typically require pre-service teachers to complete an undergraduate degree in mathematics, or the equivalent [1, 3], along with education coursework and some type of field experience. There has been substantial discussion in the mathematics education community about the mathematics coursework required of pre-service secondary mathematics teachers. Many have questioned the value of traditional undergraduate mathematics programs for future high school mathematics teachers. Hodgson [5] noted that, within such programs, pre-service secondary mathematics teachers “have no explicit occasion for making connections with the mathematical topics for which they will be responsible in school, nor of looking at those topics from an advanced point of view” (p. 509). He endorsed the inclusion of undergraduate coursework that would help pre-service teachers develop “deep conceptual understanding of the school mathematics content” (p. 512).

In 2001 the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences (CBMS) [3] recommended that pre-service secondary mathematics teachers complete “a 6-hour capstone course connecting their college mathematics courses with high school mathematics” (p. 8). Recently, the CBMS has released an updated version of these recommendations [4] and, rather than suggesting a specific capstone course, now proposes that pre-service secondary mathematics teachers complete the equivalent of a mathematics major “that includes three courses with a primary focus on high school mathematics from an advanced viewpoint” (p. 18). The call for pre-service secondary mathematics
There are very few actual implementations of the CBMS recommendation for capstone courses, (35 percent). The instructors' backgrounds were in either mathematics (50 percent), mathematics education (15 percent), or both (35 percent).

In terms of instructor control, course descriptions indicated that the capstones ran the gamut from independent study opportunities to lecture-driven mathematics courses. In terms of content, there was also great variability in terms of how content was selected. In some courses, it was specified entirely by the instructor and in others, negotiated between instructor and student. The instructors' backgrounds were in either mathematics (50 percent), mathematics education (15 percent), or both (35 percent).

Our survey shows that a decade after the CBMS recommendation for capstone courses, there are very few actual implementations of the recommendations. Moreover, the variety of capstone courses we found through the study is perhaps a reflection of the capstone being tailored to fit the needs of individual departments. In particular, if a capstone course is offered by the mathematics department, it is most likely designed to fit the general needs of a mathematics major and addresses advanced mathematical topics in lieu of making connections to high school mathematics. Despite recommendations, pre-service secondary mathematics teachers still have few occasions for thinking deeply about high school-level content. The current required coursework appears to be the same formal mathematics that Monk said did nothing to improve student performance [6] and does not help pre-service teachers to benefit from a deep examination of the content they will someday teach.

It is an important time to be considering recommendations put forth by national organizations. In addition to the CBMS [3] renewing and increasing the suggested focus on high school mathematics content for pre-service secondary mathematics teachers to focus on, that very content is changing on a national basis. Any programmatic changes that are responsive to K-12 content need to be made in light of the adoption of the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (CCSSM). Meeting these recommendations could place additional pressure on departments to develop separate sections of established courses for pre-service teachers or to offer an alternative program of study for this population in order to better prepare teachers for teaching the new content included in CCSSM.

Our study indicates that it is unlikely that the new CBMS recommendations will inspire widespread departmental change on their own. However, against the backdrop of major changes in K-12 mathematics education, it is an opportune time for departments to uncover and question the assumptions which underlie their teacher preparation programs and to identify potential opportunities for improvement. As a community, we must put to work the practices that we know are needed to help the future students of these pre-service teachers. In particular, we and others (for example, [3] and [4]) believe that pre-service secondary mathematics teachers benefit from a deep examination of high school content. By no means do we wish to insinuate that there is no value for future teachers to take traditional upper division mathematics courses, but future teachers also stand to benefit from an equally rigorous examination of high school mathematics and statistics. Shulman [7] reminds us of our role in teaching mathematics to pre-service teachers:

Whether we call ourselves professors of education or professors of mathematics, to the extent that in our classrooms day after day sit men and women...
who subsequently go out and teach youngsters, we are teacher educators. To the extent that they are likely to teach both what and as they have been taught, unlike any other students in your classes, the future teachers are, if you will, carriers. Whatever understandings or misunderstandings you infect them with, both about the content and regarding the pedagogy, they will carry to generations of young people whom they will subsequently teach, and who themselves will eventually appear at your doorstep. (p. 406; emphasis in original)

Mathematics and statistics departments have the responsibility to ensure that future mathematics teachers have deep and connected understandings of the mathematics they will teach.
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