

## A NOTE ON PEANO SPACES

JEAN M. BOYER AND D. W. HALL

In three-dimensional space set up a cylindrical coordinate system  $(r, \theta, z)$ . The Hahn-Mazurkiewicz theorem characterizes Peano spaces (locally connected metric (compact) continua) as the continuous images of the closed unit interval  $I$  on the  $z$ -axis. In this note we obtain an extension theorem for Peano spaces (henceforth called  $P$ -spaces) based upon this characterization.

We first define a dendrite  $L$ . To this end order the rationals in the interior of  $I$  into a sequence  $\{0, 0, r_i\}$ . For each pair of positive integers  $i, j$ , let  $L_{i,j}$  denote the closed line segment joining  $(0, 0, r_i)$  and  $(1/i+j, 1/i+j, r_i)$ . Let  $L_{-1,j}$ ,  $L_{0,j}$  denote line segments from  $(0, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, 1)$  parallel to and the same length as  $L_{1,j}$  for every  $j$ . Then the dendrite  $L$  is defined to be the union of  $I$  and all the segments  $L_{i,j}$ . Let  $a_{i,j}$  be the end point of  $L_{i,j}$  which is not on  $I$ . We shall refer to  $a_{i,j}$  as the free end of  $L_{i,j}$ .

Denote by  $D$  the sequence  $\{(0, 0, d_i)\}$  consisting of the dyadic rational points interior to  $I$  enumerated in the usual way:  $d_1=1/2$ ,  $d_2=1/2^2$ ,  $d_3=3/2^2$ ,  $\dots$ . The following lemma is then easily established.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $Q^*$  be any finite or countable subset of  $I$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism  $h(I)=I$  such that  $h(0)=0$ ,  $h(1)=1$ ,  $h(Q^*-0-1) \subset D$ .*

The result of this note may now be stated as follows.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $N$  be a  $P$ -space properly contained in the  $P$ -space  $P$ , and let  $g(I)=N$  be any continuous transformation. Then there exists a homeomorphism  $h(I)=I$  and a continuous transformation  $f(L)=P$  with the following properties:*

- (i) on  $I$ ,  $f=gh$
- (ii)  $f^{-1}(P-N) \subset L-I$ .

*In addition there exists a subset  $L^*$  of  $L$ , consisting of the union of  $I$  and a certain subcollection  $L_{i,j}^*$  of the line segments  $L_{i,j}$  such that*

- (iii)  $f(\overline{L^*-I}) = \overline{P-N}$ ,

*and*

- (iv) *if  $a_{i,j}^*$  is the free end of  $L_{i,j}^*$  for each  $i, j$  while  $A^*$  is the union of the points  $a_{i,j}^*$ , then  $f^{-1}(P-N) = L^*-I-A^*$ .*

---

Presented to the Society, April 17, 1948; received by the editors November 26, 1948 and, in revised form, January 25, 1949.

PROOF. The proof will be given only for the nontrivial case in which  $N$  is nondegenerate. Let  $T(I) = P$  be any continuous transformation. Then the open set  $G = T^{-1}(P - N)$  is the union of a collection of open intervals  $\{\omega_i\}$  having the respective left and right end points  $\{q_i\}$  and  $\{t_i\}$ . It is convenient to assume that this sequence of intervals has been enumerated in order of decreasing diameters.

For each integer  $i$  for which a point  $q_i$  has been defined we choose a point  $q_i^*$  in  $g^{-1}T(q_i)$ . It does not follow that  $q_i \neq q_j$  implies  $q_i^* \neq q_j^*$ . However, there are at most a countable number of  $q_i$  which have the same  $q_i^*$ . We denote these by  $q_{i,1}, q_{i,2}, \dots$  in order of decreasing diameters of the corresponding  $\omega_i$ . Thus  $q_i^* = q_{i,j}^*$  for all  $i$ . Denote by  $Q^*$  the set of all points  $q_i^*$  thus defined, and let  $k(I) = I$  be the homeomorphism given by the lemma, and  $h(I) = I$  the homeomorphism given by  $h = k^{-1}$ . For each pair of integers  $i, j$  for which a point  $q_{i,j}$  has been defined, let  $\omega_{i,j}$  be the component of  $G$  which has  $q_{i,j}$  as its left end point. Set  $d_i^* = k(q_i^*)$  for each  $i$ . Then, for each pair of integers  $i, j$  for which a point  $q_{i,j}$  has been defined, denote by  $L_{i,j}^*$  the line segment of  $L$  which has the point  $d_i^*$  as its foot, and the point  $a_{i,j}^*$  as its free end.

The desired transformation  $f(L) = P$  is now defined as follows:

- (a)  $f(I) = gh(I) = N$ . Thus (i) is satisfied.
- (b)  $f(L_{i,j}) = f(d_i)$  for every  $L_{i,j}$  which is not an  $L_{i,j}^*$ .
- (c)  $f(L_{i,j}^*) = T(\tilde{\omega}_{i,j})$  in such a way that  $f(d_i^*)$  agrees with the definition in (a),  $f(a_{i,j}^*) = T(r_{i,j})$ , and  $f(L_{i,j}^* - d_i^* - a_{i,j}^*) = T(\omega_{i,j})$ . Here, of course,  $t_{i,j}$  denotes the right end point of  $\omega_{i,j}$ .

It is easily seen that the transformation  $f(L) = P$  satisfies all of the required conditions.

In the important special case where  $N$  is a simple arc we may choose  $g(I) = N$  as a homeomorphism and obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY. *If  $N$  is a simple arc in  $P$ , then  $f(L) = P$  may be so defined that  $f(I) = N$  is topological.*

This theorem may be restated as a decomposition theorem for the  $P$ -space  $P$ , where each of the sets  $f(L_{i,j}^*)$  is regarded as a  $P$ -space. Recent results of O. G. Harrold<sup>1</sup> should not be overlooked in the light of this theorem.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

---

<sup>1</sup> See O. G. Harrold, Duke Math. J. vol. 6 (1940) pp. 750-752. Also Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 48 (1942) pp. 561-566.