## ON A THEOREM OF RÅDSTRÖM

## P. ERDÖS

The purpose of this note is to give a new and simplified proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be an entire function. Denote  $M(r) = \max_{|z| \le r} |f(z)|$ . Assume that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \log M(r)/r = \infty$ . Then there exists  $\omega_{\nu}$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, with |\omega_{\nu}| = 1$ , so that the origin is a limit point of the roots of the derivatives of  $k(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \omega_{\nu} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ .

In other words the theorem holds if the order  $\rho$  of f(z) is greater than 1 or if  $\rho = 1$  and f(z) is of maximal type.

This theorem is due to Rådström and was proved by him for the case  $\rho > 1$  in a recent note. The result as announced here is best possible with respect both to order and to type, as is shown by the example  $e^{cz}$ , where c is a constant (cf. footnote 1, p. 400).

We need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r z^r$  be a power series with radius of convergence  $R \leq \infty$  and such that  $|a_0/a_1| < R$ . Then it is possible to find  $\omega_0, \omega_1, \cdots$  with  $|\omega_r| = 1$  so that  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \omega_r a_r z^r$  has a zero  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| \leq |a_0/a_1|$ .

PROOF. We put  $\omega_0 = \omega_1 = 1$  and  $a_0 + a_1 z = P_1(z)$ . Obviously  $P_1(z)$  has a zero with the required property. We proceed by induction. Suppose that we have succeeded in determining  $\omega_0$ ,  $\omega_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\omega_{n-1}$  such that the polynomial  $P_{n-1}(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \omega_{\nu} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  has a zero  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| \le |a_0/a_1|$ . Consider  $P_{n-1}(z) + \omega a_n z^n$ ,  $|\omega| = 1$ . Three cases may occur:

- 1. The equation  $|P_{n-1}(z)| = |a_n z^n|$  has a solution on  $|z| = |a_0/a_1|$ .
- 2.  $|P_{n-1}(z)| > |a_n z^n|$  for all z with  $|z| = |a_0/a_1|$ .
- 3.  $|P_{n-1}(z)| < |a_n z^n|$  for all z with  $|z| = |a_0/a_1|$ .

In case 1, it will obviously be possible to choose  $\omega$  so that  $P_{n-1}(z) + \omega a_n z^n = 0$  on the circle  $|z| = |a_0/a_1|$ . In case 2,  $P_{n-1}(z) + \omega a_n z^n$  has by Rouché's theorem as many zeros inside the circle  $|z| = |a_0/a_1|$  as  $P_{n-1}(z)$ , that is, at least one, by the induction hypothesis. In case 3, again by Rouché's theorem,  $P_{n-1}(z) + \omega a_n z^n$  has as many zeros in  $|z| = |a_0/a_1|$  as  $a_n z^n$ , that is, n zeros. In all these cases we can therefore choose  $\omega = \omega_n$ ,  $|\omega_n| = 1$  so that  $P_{n-1}(z) + a_n \omega_n z^n$  has a zero in the circle  $|z_0| = |a_0/a_1|$ . Consider now the power series  $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \omega_\nu a_\nu z^\nu$ . We know that all its partial sums have zeros in or on the circle  $|z| = |a_0/a_1|$ . As this circle is strictly inside the circle of convergence

Received by the editors January 30, 1950.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. Rådström, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 35 (1949) pp. 399-404.

206 P. ERDÖS

the same must hold for the infinite series, which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r z^r$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1, and let  $\epsilon$  be a positive number. Then there exists an integer n and numbers  $\omega_0, \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$  with  $|\omega_r| = 1$  such that the series  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \omega_r a_r z^r$  has a zero in the circle  $|z| \le |a_0/a_1| + \epsilon$ , irrespective of the choice of the numbers  $\omega_r$  for  $v \ge n+1$ .

PROOF. Let r be a number with  $|a_0/a_1| < r < \min(R, |a_0/a_1| + \epsilon)$  and such that the series  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \omega_{\nu} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  constructed in Lemma 1 has a positive minimum m on the circle |z| = r. Put  $\delta_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=\mu+1}^{\infty} |a_{\nu}| r^{\nu}$ . We have  $\delta_{\mu} \rightarrow 0$  monotonically. Choose n so large that  $2 \cdot \delta_n < m$ , and let g(z) be any series which coincides with f(z) in the first n+1 terms whereas in the rest of the terms arbitrary changes of the arguments are allowed. Obviously  $|g(z)-f(z)| < 2 \cdot \delta_n$  for  $|z| \le r$ . Therefore, by Rouché's theorem, g(z) has as many roots in  $|z| \le r$  as f(z), that is, at least one (since  $r > |a_0/a_1|$ ). This proves the lemma.

In order to prove the theorem we first observe that if lim sup log  $M(r)/r = \infty$ , it follows that  $\lim \inf |a_n/(n+1)a_{n+1}| = 0$ , for otherwise there would exist a k>0 such that for all sufficiently large n,  $a_{n+1} < ka_n/(n+1)$ . Iterating this we would get, for sufficiently large n,  $a_n < ck^n/n!$ , which as is well known implies  $\lim \sup \log M(r)/r$  $\leq k$ , an evident contradiction. Therefore there exists a sequence n, of integers such that  $a_{n_p}/(n+1)(a_{n_p+1}) \rightarrow 0$ . We also observe that  $f^{(n)}(z)/n! = a_n + (n+1)a_{n+1}z + \cdots$ . Now choose a sequence  $\epsilon_r$  of positive numbers with  $\epsilon_r \rightarrow 0$ . According to Lemma 2 we can find numbers  $\omega_{n_1}$ ,  $\omega_{n_1+1}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\omega_{n_1+p_1}$  so that if in  $f^{(n_1)}(z)/n_1!$  we multiply each coefficient with the corresponding  $\omega$ , we shall get a function which has a zero in  $|z| < |a_{n_1}/(n_1+1)a_{n_1+1}| + \epsilon_1$ , and we shall still be able to choose  $\omega_{\mu}$  arbitrarily if  $\mu > n_1 + p_1$  without destroying this property. Therefore we can repeat this process, now starting with the smallest  $n_r > n_1 + p_1$ . Call that number  $m_2$  and put  $m_1 = n_1$ . Then we get a new set of  $\omega$ 's,  $\omega_{m_1}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\omega_{m_2+p_2}$ , and if  $\mu > m_2 + p_2$  we still have the free choice of the  $\omega_{\mu}$ . Iterating this process we shall obtain a sequence of nonoverlapping blocks of  $\omega$ 's and we complete it if necessary by choosing  $\omega_r$  arbitrarily for those  $\nu$  which do not correspond to an  $\omega$  in a block. In this way we get a sequence  $\omega_0$ ,  $\omega_1$ ,  $\cdots$  and we construct the corresponding power series  $k(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \omega_{\nu} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ . From the construction and Lemma 2 it is then obvious that k(z) will have the property:  $k^{(m_p)}(z)$  has a zero  $z_r$  satisfying  $z_r < |a_{m_p}/(m_r+1)a_{m_p+1}|$  $+\epsilon_r$ . As the sequence  $m_r$  is a subsequence of  $n_r$  and  $\epsilon_r \rightarrow 0$ , it is clear that  $z_r \rightarrow 0$ , which proves the theorem.

Princeton, N. J.