

## ON THE BEHAVIOR OF FOURIER SINE TRANSFORMS NEAR THE ORIGIN

PHILIP HARTMAN AND AUREL WINTNER

1. On the open half-line  $x > 0$ , let  $f(x)$  be a non-negative, monotone function which tends to 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and behaves, as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , in such a way that

$$(1) \quad \int_{+0}^1 xf(x)dx < \infty$$

(so that  $f(x)$  need not be bounded). It is well known that the improper integral

$$(2) \quad F(t) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \sin tx \, dx$$

must then converge, and that

$$(3) \quad F(t) > 0, \text{ where } 0 < t < \infty,$$

except when  $f(x) \equiv 0$ . In fact, if  $t > 0$  is fixed, the integral (2) can be written in the form  $a_0 - a_1 + a_2 - \dots$ , where either  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = 0$  or

$$(4) \quad a_n \geq a_{n+1} \rightarrow 0, \text{ hence } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n > 0.$$

It seems to be worth observing that, for small  $t$ , the assertion of (3) can be refined substantially, since the above assumptions on  $f(x)$  imply that

$$(5) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} F(t)/t > 0.$$

What is more,  $F(t)/t$  must tend to a positive limit ( $\leq \infty$ ) and the latter can be represented as

$$(6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F(t)/t = \int_0^{\infty} xf(x)dx,$$

where it is understood that the integral (6) can have the value  $\infty$ .

2. This result has an interesting implication for the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of certain distribution functions. If, in addition

---

Received by the editors May 4, 1950.

to the above properties,  $f(x)$  satisfies

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1,$$

let  $\delta(x) = 0$  or  $f(x)$  according as  $x \leq 0$  or  $x > 0$ ; so that

$$\sigma(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \delta(s) ds, \quad \text{where } -\infty < x < \infty,$$

is a distribution function. It follows that the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\sigma(x)$ ,

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{itx} d\sigma(x),$$

has a derivative at  $t=0$  if and only if  $\sigma(x)$  has a finite first moment,

$$\int_0^{\infty} x d\sigma(x) < \infty.$$

On the other hand, it is known that the existence of this first moment is sufficient, but not necessary, in order that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \cos tx d\sigma(x),$$

the real part of  $\Gamma(t)$ , be differentiable at  $t=0$  (A. Wintner, *The Fourier transforms of probability distributions*, Edwards Brothers, 1947, p. 19; in the example given there,  $\delta(x)$  is not monotone for small positive  $x$ ; however, the example is easily altered so as to comply with this condition).

**3. Proof of (6).** The "alternating" character of the improper integral (2) (cf. (4)) implies that

$$F(t) \leq \int_0^{\pi/t} f(x) \sin tx dx.$$

Hence

$$F(t)/t \leq \int_0^{\pi/t} xf(x)(\sin tx/tx) dx \leq \int_0^{\pi/t} xf(x) dx,$$

since  $\sin tx \leq tx$ . Consequently, as  $t \rightarrow +0$ ,

$$(7) \quad \limsup F(t)/t \leq \int_0^{\infty} xf(x) dx.$$

It remains to show that, as  $t \rightarrow +0$ ,

$$(8) \quad \liminf F(t)/t \geq \int_0^{\infty} xf(x)dx.$$

To this end, it will first be shown that if  $X > 0$  is arbitrary, then, as  $t \rightarrow +0$ ,

$$(9) \quad \liminf F(t)/t \geq \int_0^X xf(x)dx - \frac{1}{2} X^2 f(X).$$

On  $0 < x < \infty$ , define three functions  $f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x)$  by placing them respectively equal to  $f(x), f(X), f(X)$  or to  $0, 0, f(x)$  according as  $0 < x \leq X$  or  $X < x < \infty$ . Then  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  satisfy the same conditions as does  $f$ , so that their respective sine transforms  $F_1, F_2, F_3$  are non-negative (for  $t > 0$ ). Since  $f = f_1 - f_2 + f_3$ , it follows that  $F = F_1 - F_2 + F_3$ . Hence, as  $t \rightarrow +0$ ,

$$(10) \quad \liminf F(t)/t \geq \lim F_1(t)/t - \lim F_2(t)/t.$$

The existence of the limits on the right-hand side is clear; in fact, since the sine transforms

$$F_i(t) = \int_0^X f_i(x) \sin xt \, dt, \quad \text{where } i = 1, 2,$$

are integrals over a finite interval, it follows that

$$(11) \quad F_i(t)/t \rightarrow \int_0^X xf_i(x)dx, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0.$$

The inequality (9) follows from (10), (11) and the definitions of  $f_1, f_2$ .

If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the monotony of  $f(x)$  shows that

$$\int_{\alpha X}^X xf(x)dx \geq f(X) \int_{\alpha X}^X xdx = \frac{1}{2} X^2(1 - \alpha^2)f(X).$$

Hence the inequality (9) implies that

$$(12) \quad \liminf F(t)/t \geq \int_0^{\alpha X} xf(x)dx - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 X^2 f(X).$$

Choose  $\alpha = \alpha(X)$  as a function of  $X$ , defined in such a way that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and that  $\alpha X \rightarrow \infty$  but  $\alpha^2 X^2 f(X) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ . The existence of such functions  $\alpha = \alpha(X)$  is clear, since  $f(X) \rightarrow 0$  as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ . Obviously, (8) follows by letting  $X \rightarrow \infty$  in (12). This completes the proof of (6).