

NOTE ON A THEOREM OF GELFAND AND ŠILOV

FULTON KOEHLER

The purpose of this note is to give a simplified proof of a theorem of Gelfand and Šilov in the theory of normed, commutative rings.

Let K be a complex Banach space which is also a commutative ring with unit element e , the norm being subject to the conditions $\|e\| = 1$ and $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for all x and y in K . Let \mathcal{M} be the space of maximal ideals of K . Then, for each element $x \in K$, and each maximal ideal $M \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a unique complex number $x(M)$ defined by

$$x \equiv x(M)e \pmod{M}$$

and having the following properties:

- (1) $e(M) = 1$,
- (2) $(x + y)(M) = x(M) + y(M)$,
- (3) $(xy)(M) = x(M)y(M)$,
- (4) $(\alpha x)(M) = \alpha x(M)$,
- (5) $|x(M)| \leq \|x\|$,

for all x and y in K and any complex number α [1].¹

Gelfand [1] introduces a topology in \mathcal{M} by defining a neighborhood U of M_0 as follows:

$$U = \{M; |x_i(M) - x_i(M_0)| < \alpha_i; x_i \in K; \alpha_i > 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, k\};$$

and he proves that in this topology, \mathcal{M} is a compact Hausdorff space, and that this is the unique topology in which all functions $x(M)$, $x \in K$, are continuous and \mathcal{M} is compact.

If the ring K also has the property that, for every $x \in K$, there exists an $x^* \in K$ such that $x(M)$ and $x^*(M)$ are complex conjugates for all M , then the functions $x(M)$ are dense in the set of all continuous functions on \mathcal{M} . This result is proved by Gelfand and Šilov [2] by a method depending on two other topologies in the space \mathcal{M} . We give here a simpler and more direct proof of this theorem, making use of only the one topology defined above.

LEMMA. *If F_1 and F_2 are any two disjoint closed sets in \mathcal{M} , and $0 < \epsilon_1 < 1$, $0 < \epsilon_2 < 1$, there exists an $x \in K$ such that*

Received by the editors July 24, 1950.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x(M) \leq 1, & & M \in \mathcal{M}, \\ 0 \leq x(M) \leq \epsilon_1, & & M \in F_1, \\ 1 - \epsilon_2 \leq x(M) \leq 1, & & M \in F_2. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let $M_0 \in F_1$. Let $U = \{M; |x_i(M) - x_i(M_0)| < \alpha; i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be a neighborhood of M_0 which does not intersect F_2 . Let $x'_i = x_i - x_i(M_0)e$, $y' = \sum_{i=1}^k x'_i (x'_i)^*$. Then $y'(M)$ is non-negative for all M , is zero for $M = M_0$, and has a positive lower bound α^2 for $M \in F_2$. Let $y = y' / \|y'\|$, $2\delta = \alpha^2 / \|y'\| \leq 1$; then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq y(M) \leq 1, & & M \in \mathcal{M}, \\ y(M_0) = 0, & & \\ 0 < 2\delta \leq y(M) \leq 1, & & M \in F_2. \end{aligned}$$

With each $M_0 \in F_1$ we associate a y with the properties just given and a neighborhood of $M_0: U = \{M; y(M) < \delta\}$. From these neighborhoods we select a finite covering of F_1 , denoted by U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N , and we let the y and δ associated with U_k be denoted by y_k and δ_k . Now let $z_k = e - (e - y_k^n)^m$, where n and m are positive integers. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq z_k(M) \leq 1, & & M \in \mathcal{M}, \\ 0 \leq z_k(M) < 1 - (1 - \delta_k^n)^m, & & M \in U_k, \\ 1 - (1 - 2^n \delta_k^n)^m \leq z_k(M) \leq 1, & & M \in F_2. \end{aligned}$$

If, for each value of n , we now choose m as the integer nearest $(2/3\delta_k)^n$, then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $m \log(1 - \delta_k^n) \rightarrow 0$ and $m |\log(1 - 2^n \delta_k^n)| \rightarrow \infty$; hence $(1 - \delta_k^n)^m \rightarrow 1$ and $(1 - 2^n \delta_k^n)^m \rightarrow 0$. This follows from the inequality $h < |\log(1 - h)| < 2h$ for $0 < h \leq 1/2$. We can therefore choose n so large that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq z_k(M) < \epsilon_1, & & M \in U_k, \\ (1 - \epsilon_2)^{1/N} \leq z_k(M) \leq 1, & & M \in F_2. \end{aligned}$$

The function $x = z_1 z_2 \dots z_N$ will then satisfy the conditions of the lemma.

THEOREM. *For any complex-valued function $f(M)$, continuous on \mathcal{M} , and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $x \in K$ such that $|f(M) - x(M)| < \epsilon$ for all M .*

PROOF. We first prove the theorem for a real-valued continuous function $f(M)$. Let K_R be the set $\{x\}$ where $x \in K$ and $x(M)$ is real-valued, and let

$$a = \inf_{x \in K_R} \sup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} |f(M) - x(M)|.$$

Let us assume $a > 0$. Then there exists an $x_0 \in K_R$ such that

$$\sup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} |f(M) - x_0(M)| < 1.1a.$$

Let $g(M) = f(M) - x_0(M)$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \{M; g(M) \geq .5a\}, \\ G &= \{M; |g(M)| < .5a\}, \\ F_2 &= \{M; g(M) \leq -.5a\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding lemma we can find $x_1 \in K_R$, $x_2 \in K_R$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x_1(M) \leq .4a, & & 0 \leq x_2(M) \leq .4a, & & M \in \mathcal{M}, \\ 0 \leq x_1(M) \leq .1a, & & .3a \leq x_2(M) \leq .4a, & & M \in F_2, \\ .3a \leq x_1(M) \leq .4a, & & 0 \leq x_2(M) \leq .1a, & & M \in F_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $x = x_0 + x_1 - x_2$, then $x \in K_R$ and

$$|f(M) - x(M)| < .9a, \quad \text{for all } M \in \mathcal{M}.$$

This is a contradiction; hence $a = 0$ for any real-valued continuous $f(M)$.

If $f(M)$ is complex-valued, we can apply the result just proved to the real and imaginary parts of $f(M)$ separately.

REFERENCES

1. I. Gelfand, *Normierte Ringe*, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. vol. 9 (1941) pp. 3-24.
2. I. Gelfand and G. Šilov, *Ueber verschiedene Methoden der Einfuehrung der Topologie in die Menge der maximalen Ideale eines normierten Ringes*, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. vol. 9 (1941) pp. 25-39.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA