

# ON FINITENESS CONDITIONS FOR A CONVEX BODY

HARVEY COHN

**1. Introduction.** In certain problems of geometric number theory, such as the study of critical lattices, it is important to know when a finite convex body surrounding the origin will contain no lattice point other than the origin in its interior. In this brief note we shall discuss a situation in which it suffices to know that only a *finite* set of lattice points are not interior to the body. In the companion article [1]<sup>1</sup> we shall show that the results of the present article enable us to reduce the determination of critical lattices in  $d$  dimensions to a finite number of steps.

**2. Main theorem.** Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $d$ -dimensional euclidean space containing in its interior the hypersphere  $\Sigma_d(r)$  of radius  $r$  and center at the origin ( $r < 1$ ). Let us always consider the lattice of points with integral coordinates. Then if  $K$  fails to contain in its interior those lattice points (other than the origin) in a second sphere  $\Sigma_d(C_d/r^{d-1})$ , then it will contain in its interior no lattice points at all except the origin. In fact  $K$  will then lie entirely within the second sphere.

PROOF. We shall establish the value  $C_d = 2^{d-1}d\Gamma((d+1)/2)\pi^{-(d-1)/2}$ .

We let  $(x_i)$  be an arbitrary point outside of  $\Sigma_d(r)$ , that is, we let  $\sum x_i^2 = R^2 > r^2$ , and we join this point to its negative by a line, namely  $\Delta(x_i)$ , through the origin. We let  $\Pi(x_i)$  be the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane through the origin and perpendicular to this line, and we let  $\Sigma_{d-1}(r; x_i)$  be the intersection of this plane with the solid sphere  $\Sigma_d(r)$ . For our immediate purposes we define  $\kappa(r; x_i)$  as the (solid) cone formed by the segments joining each point of  $\Sigma_{d-1}(r; x_i)$  with  $(x_i)$ ; and for later purposes we define  $K(r; x_i)$  as the (solid) cone with spherical base formed by joining with  $(x_i)$  each point of  $\Sigma_d(r)$ . Now  $K(r; x_i)$  will prove useful when we seek the best  $C_d$ , since this solid is a *minimal* body which any convex body  $K$  must contain in order to contain both  $\Sigma_d(r)$  and  $(x_i)$ . For our immediate purposes, we need only note that the convex body  $K$  will (easily) contain  $\kappa(r; x_i)$  and that the latter body has the very handy value  $\omega_{d-1}r^{d-1}R/d$  for its volume, where  $\omega_k = \pi^{k/2}/\Gamma(1+k/2)$ , the  $k$ -dimensional volume of the unit sphere in  $k$  dimensions.

---

Presented to the Society, December 29, 1949; received by the editors March 13, 1950 and, in revised form, August 30, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets are items or items and page numbers cited in the bibliography at the end.

We now note that  $\kappa(r; x_i)$  will contain a lattice point (not the origin) if  $R \geq C_d/r^{d-1}$ . This is a simple consequence of Minkowski's theorem on convex bodies [3, p. 60]. For the body formed by the union of  $\kappa(r; x_i)$  and  $\kappa(r; -x_i)$  is symmetric and has volume not less than  $2^d$ . Hence there would have to be a lattice point (not the origin) in each of the two symmetric halves. From this the theorem follows easily.

**3. Best constant.** The preceding estimates can be improved slightly. For instance we may take into account the fact that the convex bodies,  $\kappa(r; x_i)$  and its integral translates, can not pack space more densely than do the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional spheres (which are precisely their intersections with planes parallel to  $\Pi(x_i)$ ). Then we immediately obtain an improvement of  $C_d$  to  $C'_d = C_d \omega_{d-1} \gamma_{d-1}^{(d-1)/2} 2^{-(d-1)}$  (where  $\gamma_d^{1/2}$  is the maximum Euclidean distance separating the closest points of any  $d$ -dimensional lattice of determinant unity). But using even the *lower* estimates [2, pp. 23–24] on  $\gamma_{d-1}$ , we find the improvement is not substantial, that is, as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\log C_d \sim \log C'_d \sim (d/2) \log d$ .

Going in the other direction we can show that there is a best constant for  $C_d$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . To recapitulate, our main theorem was deduced from *Minkowski's theorem*. It could also have been deduced from the *simultaneous approximation theorem*, as the remaining proof will suggest, but the constant  $C_d$  would not have been as good. The converse of Minkowski's theorem, or the *Minkowski-Hwlaka theorem* [4], is inapplicable since the problem is not affine invariant. The converse of the simultaneous approximation theorem, or (essentially) *Perron's transferal principle* [2, p. 67], is, however, applicable and we shall now look to it for a proof that a best  $C_d$ , which we shall call  $C_d^*$ , exists.

For the calculation of the best constant, we take a minimal convex body, which is “evasive” of lattice points, namely  $K^* = K(r; \rho \theta_i / (\Sigma \theta_i^2)^{1/2})$ , reaching to distance  $\rho$  from the origin in the direction  $(\theta_1 (=1), \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d)$ . The  $\theta_k$  are chosen, from the Perron transferal principle, to span (say) a real algebraic field of degree  $d$  (for convenience,  $0 < \theta_k \leq 1$ ). Then a constant  $g_d$  (called  $C_d$  in [2]) will exist with the property that “the forms  $|p_i \theta_k - p_k|$ , for  $2 \leq k \leq d$ , admit a simultaneous approximation no better than  $(g_d p_1^{1/(d-1)})^{-1}$ ,” that is, for  $p_1 > P_1(\epsilon)$ , the inequality  $|p_i \theta_k - p_k| \geq ((g_d + \epsilon) p_1^{1/(d-1)})^{-1}$  will hold for some  $k$  depending on  $p_1$  and  $\epsilon$ , and satisfying  $2 \leq k \leq d$ .

We now let  $\rho$  increase from  $r$  until it reaches the first value  $\rho_0$  for which  $K^*$  has a lattice point ( $p_i$ ) on its surface. If we took  $r$  small enough ( $< r_0(\epsilon)$ ) then this lattice point would satisfy the inequality

$p_1 > P_1(\epsilon)$  by the irrationality of the  $\theta_k$  when  $k > 1$ . If we let  $\delta = [\sum_{i>j} (p_i\theta_j - p_j\theta_i)^2]^{1/2}/(\sum \theta_i^2)^{1/2}$  denote the distance from the lattice point  $(p_i)$  to the line  $\Lambda(\theta_i)$ , then easily  $r > \delta$  (since  $r$  is the maximum distance from a point of  $K^*$  to  $\Lambda(\theta_i)$ ), and  $\delta > [p_1^{1/(d-1)}(g_d + \epsilon)(\sum \theta_i^2)^{1/2}]^{-1}$ . Therefore using the trivial relationships  $\rho_0 \geq (\sum p_i^2)^{1/2} \geq p_1$  and  $\sum \theta_i^2 < d$ , we find  $\rho_0 > [(g_d + \epsilon)^{d-1} d^{(d-1)/2} r^{d-1}]^{-1}$ . This gives us a distance that the convex body  $K^*$  can attain without containing an interior lattice point other than the origin. Thus it easily follows that  $C_d' \geq C_d^* \geq c_d = (g_d d^{1/2})^{-(d-1)}$ .

Even using very simple methods, we can improve  $c_d$  somewhat; but still, on the basis of present information on  $g_d$  [2, p. 72], we can show only that as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\log C_d^*/(d \log d)$  lies between  $1/2$  and  $-1$ . At any rate, the function  $C_d/r^{d-1}$ , appearing in the main theorem, is seen to contain the best possible power of  $r$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Harvey Cohn, *On the finite determination of critical lattices*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society vol. 2 (1951) pp. 547–549.
2. J. F. Koksma, *Diophantische Approximationen*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 4, Berlin, 1936.
3. H. Minkowski, *Diophantische Approximationen*, Leipzig, 1907.
4. C. A. Rogers, *Existence theorems in the geometry of numbers*, Ann. of Math. vol. 48 (1947) pp. 994–1002.

WAYNE UNIVERSITY