

# ON THE INTEGRATION SCHEME OF MARÉCHAL

EMIL GROSSWALD

J. E. Wilkins, Jr.<sup>1</sup> proves the following assumption of A. Maréchal:<sup>2</sup> Let  $f(x, y)$  be a function of 2 variables, continuous in the interior of the circle  $C$ , of radius  $R$ ; then

$$(1) \quad \iint_C f(x, y) d\sigma = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 2\pi a \int_{S_a} f(x, y) \cdot ds \right\},$$

where the double integral extends over the area of  $C$  and the line integral is taken along the arc of the archimedean spiral ( $S_a$ )

$$(2) \quad (S_a) \quad r = a\phi$$

interior to  $C$ .

In what follows, we give a short, elementary proof of (1), and two extensions.

**I. Proof of (1).** Let  $x = r \cos \phi$ ,  $y = r \sin \phi$  and use the notations:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} A(m, n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^m \phi \sin^n \phi d\phi, \\ B(m, n) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos^m \phi \sin^n \phi d\phi, \\ C(m) &= \int_0^R r^m dr. \end{aligned}$$

Then, in (1),  $d\sigma = r dr d\phi$  and  $ds = (r^2 + a^2)^{1/2} \cdot d\phi$ .

As any continuous function can be approximated by a uniformly convergent sequence of polynomials, it is sufficient to prove (1) for  $f(x, y) = x^m y^n = r^{m+n} \cos^m \phi \sin^n \phi$ . If  $m = n = 0$ , (1) is verified by direct integration. If  $m + n > 0$ , the first member of (1) becomes

$$(4) \quad \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} r^{m+n+1} \cos^m \phi \sin^n \phi dr d\phi = 2\pi A(m, n) \cdot C(m + n + 1);$$

and the second member may be written as

---

Received by the editors September 27, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 55 (1949) pp. 191-192.

<sup>2</sup> A. Maréchal, *Mechanical integrator*, Journal of the Optical Society of America vol. 37 (1947) pp. 403-404.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 2\pi a \int_{S_a} r^{m+n} (r^2 + a^2)^{1/2} \cos^m \phi \sin^n \phi d\phi \right\} \\
 & = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} 2\pi \int_0^R \{ r^{m+n+1} \cos^m (r/a) \cdot \sin^n (r/a) + O(a) \} dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the (finite) Fourier expansion  $\cos^m (r/a) \cdot \sin^n (r/a) = a_0/2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{m+n} (a_\nu \cos (\nu r/a) + b_\nu \sin (\nu r/a))$  the first term is  $a_0/2 = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^m \phi \sin^n \phi d\phi = A(m, n)$ . When  $a \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\nu/a \rightarrow \infty$ , so that, by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma on Fourier series,

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^R r^{m+n+1} \cos (\nu r/a) dr = 0, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^R r^{m+n+1} \sin (\nu r/a) dr = 0,$$

$$\nu = 1, 2, \dots, m+n,$$

and (5) reduces to  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \{ 2\pi \int_0^R r^{m+n+1} A(m, n) dr + 2\pi R \cdot O(a) \} = 2\pi A(m, n) \cdot C(m+n+1)$ , the same as (4), proving (1).

II. Consider the integral of the continuous function  $f(x, y, z)$  taken on the surface of the sphere  $S$  of radius  $R$ . We may approximate it by the integral taken on a narrow strip, winding around the sphere, along the path

$$(2') \quad (S'_a) \quad R\phi = a\theta,$$

from one pole ( $\phi = \theta = 0$ ) to the other ( $\phi = \pi, \theta = R\pi/a$ ). We take the width of the strip to be  $2\pi a$  and then make  $a$  tend to zero. The relation similar to (1) which we want to prove is, therefore,

$$(6) \quad \iint_S f(x, y, z) d\sigma = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 2\pi a \int_{S'_a} f(x, y, z) ds \right\}.$$

As before, it is sufficient to prove (6) for  $f(x, y, z) = x^m y^n z^k$ ,  $m+n+k > 0$ , because, for  $m=n=k=0$ ,  $f(x, y, z) = 1$  and (6) is verified by direct integration. The first member of (6) becomes successively, using (3),  $\iint_S R^{m+n+k} \sin^{m+n} \phi \cos^k \phi \cos^m \theta \sin^n \theta \cdot R^2 \sin \phi d\phi d\theta = R^{m+n+k+2} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^m \theta \sin^n \theta d\theta \int_0^\pi \sin^{m+n+1} \phi \cos^k \phi d\phi = R^{m+n+k+2} \cdot 2\pi A(m, n) \cdot \pi B(k, m+n+1) = 2\pi^2 R^{m+n+k+2} \cdot A(m, n) \cdot B(k, m+n+1)$ . The second member of (6) becomes, as under I,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 2\pi a \int_{S'_a} R^{m+n+k} \sin^{m+n} \phi \cos^k \phi \cos^m \theta \sin^n \theta \cdot (R \sin \phi + O(a)) d\theta \right\} \\
 & = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 2\pi a \cdot R^{m+n+k+1} \int_{S'_a} \sin^{m+n+1} \phi \cos^k \phi \cos^m \theta \sin^n \theta d\theta + O(a) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2'),  $\theta = \phi R/a$ , so that the last expression becomes

$$(8) \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 2\pi R^{m+n+k+2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{m+n+1} \phi \cos^k \phi \cos^m (R\phi/a) \cdot \sin^n (R\phi/a) d\phi \right\}.$$

Here  $g(\phi) = \sin^{m+n+1} \phi \cos^k \phi$  is a continuous, bounded function and we use, as under I, the relation

$$\cos^m (R\phi/a) \cdot \sin^n (R\phi/a) = a_0/2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{m+n} (a_\nu \cos (R\nu\phi/a) + b_\nu \sin (R\nu\phi/a))$$

with  $a_0/2 = A(m, n)$ . When  $a \rightarrow 0$ ,  $R\phi/a \rightarrow \infty$  and it follows from the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma that all the expressions of the form

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\pi g(\phi) \cos (R\nu\phi/a) d\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\pi g(\phi) \sin (R\nu\phi/a) d\phi,$$

$$\nu = 1, 2, \dots, m+n,$$

vanish and (8) reduces to

$$2\pi R^{m+n+k+2} A(m, n) \int_0^\pi \sin^{m+n+1} \phi \cos^k \phi d\phi$$

$$= 2\pi^2 R^{m+n+k+2} A(m, n) \cdot B(k, m+n+1),$$

same as (7), proving (6).

III. Let the sphere  $S^r$ , of radius  $r$ , be covered by a wire of square section  $2\pi a \times 2\pi a$ , winding on the sphere along a spiral like  $(S'_a)$ . The outer surface of the wire is a new sphere of radius  $r_{\nu+1} = r_\nu + 2\pi a$  and let this be covered in the same way, by the same wire, and so forth. In particular, making  $a \rightarrow 0$ , we can fill the interior of the sphere  $S$ , of radius  $R$ , with such successive layers of wire, winding along spirals of equations<sup>3</sup>

$$(2'') \quad (S'_a) \quad r_\nu \phi = a\theta, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, [R/2\pi a],$$

where, in the  $\nu$ th layer from the center,  $r_\nu = \nu(2\pi a)$ . We may attempt to approximate an integral, extended over the volume of the sphere, by the sum of integrals taken along the  $(S'_a)$ , which wind around the successive spherical shells, and are led to consider the equality

$$\iiint_V f(x, y, z) d\tau = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 4\pi^2 a^2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{[R/2\pi a]} \int_{S'_a} f(x, y, z) \cdot ds \right\},$$

where the integral in the first member is extended over the volume of

<sup>3</sup>  $[R/2\pi a]$  stands for the largest integer not exceeding  $R/2\pi a$ .

$S$  and the integrals of the second member are taken along the arcs  $s^{(n)}$  of the spirals  $(S_n^*)$  from  $(2'')$ . The proof, proceeding along the same lines as that of (6), is suppressed here.

REMARK. The explicit values of the elementary integrals (3) are, of course, well known; but we refrain purposely from using them, as they are not needed. It is, indeed, sufficient for our proofs to know that those integrals depend only on the exponents  $m, n$  and are independent of  $\phi, \theta$ , or  $r$ .

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN

## ON THE DENSITY THEOREM

A. PAPOULIS

1. **Introduction.** Let  $F$  be a set on the plane and  $x$  a point of  $F$ . With  $\{I_n\}$  an arbitrary sequence of intervals<sup>1</sup> containing the point  $x$  and with diameter tending to zero, we form the sequence  $|F \cdot I_n|/|I_n|$ .<sup>2</sup> It has been shown (see [1] and [2])<sup>3</sup> that for almost<sup>4</sup> all points  $x$  of  $F$ ,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{I_n} \frac{|F \cdot I_n|}{|I_n|} = 1.$$

If the sequence  $\{I_n\}$  of intervals is replaced by a sequence of arbitrary rectangles with sides not necessarily parallel to the axes of coordinates, then the above ceases to be true. H. Busemann and W. Feller (see [1]) have shown that if the direction of some one of the sides of the rectangles  $\{I_n\}$  varies within any nonzero angle, then (1) is no longer true for all sets  $F$ .

The purpose of the following is to show that even if the direction of the rectangles  $\{I_n\}$  converging to the point  $x$  is fixed, then (1) is still not true for some sets, provided of course that the fixed direction may vary from point to point.

Received by the editors October 17, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Rectangles with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

<sup>2</sup> The number  $|E|$  will mean the two-dimensional Lebesgue-measure of the set  $E$ .

<sup>3</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

<sup>4</sup> By "almost all points  $x$  of a set  $E$ " we shall mean all points of  $E$  except for a set of measure zero; this will also be indicated by p.p.