## THE EXTENDED CENTRALIZER OF A RING OVER A MODULE

## R. E. JOHNSON

In a recent paper, K. Asano gave a new proof of the theorem that a domain of integrity has a right quotient ring if and only if every pair of nonzero elements has a common nonzero right multiple. His method of proof is used in the present work to extend the centralizer of a ring over a module to a system of semi-endomorphisms of the module. From this extension, necessary and sufficient conditions that a ring have a right quotient regular ring are derived.

Consider a given ring R, and a given nonzero right R-module M. Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}$  the set of all submodules of M, and by  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  the set of all submodules N of M having the property that  $N \cap N' \neq 0$  for all nonzero  $N' \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $M \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  is not void. It is easily seen that if N and N' are in  $\mathfrak{M}^*$ , then N+N' and  $N \cap N'$  are also in  $\mathfrak{M}^*$ . Thus  $\{\mathfrak{M}^*; \subseteq, \cap, +\}$  is a sublattice of the lattice  $\{\mathfrak{M}; \subseteq, \cap, +\}$ .

An R-homomorphism of N into M, N any element of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , is called a *semi-endomorphism* of M. Thus, thinking of the semi-endomorphism  $\alpha$  as a left operator on N, we have  $\alpha(x+y) = \alpha x + \alpha y$  and  $\alpha(xa) = (\alpha x)a$  for all  $x, y \in N$ ,  $a \in R$ . For convenience, the module N on which  $\alpha$  is defined is denoted by  $M_{\alpha}$ .

The set of all semi-endomorphisms of M is labeled with  $\mathfrak A$ . Contained in  $\mathfrak A$  is the usual centralizer of R over M consisting of all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak A$  for which  $M_{\alpha} = M$ . A partial ordering  $\leq$  is defined in  $\mathfrak A$  as follows:  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if and only if  $M_{\alpha} \subseteq M_{\beta}$  and  $\alpha x = \beta x$  for all  $x \in M_{\alpha}$ . The notation  $\alpha < \beta$  is used in case  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $M_{\alpha} \neq M_{\beta}$ .

In case  $\mathfrak{L}$  is a linearly ordered subset of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $M' = \bigcup M_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}$ , the mapping  $\gamma$  of M' into M defined by

$$\gamma x = \alpha x$$
 whenever  $x \in M_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

is easily verified to be an element of  $\mathfrak A$  such that  $\gamma \ge \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak R$ . Thus, by Zorn's Lemma, every  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak A$  is contained in a maximal element of  $\mathfrak A$ . Let  $\mathfrak B$  denote the set of all maximal elements of  $\mathfrak A$ . Obviously the centralizer of R over M is contained in  $\mathfrak B$ . For any  $\beta \in \mathfrak B$ ,  $M_{\beta} \in \mathfrak M^*$ . Otherwise there would exist a nonzero  $N \in \mathfrak M$  such that  $N \cap M_{\beta} = 0$ , and the semi-endomorphism  $\alpha$  defined by

$$\alpha x = \beta x, \quad x \in M_{\beta}; \quad \alpha x = 0, \quad x \in N,$$

would exceed  $\beta$ .

Presented to the Society, April 28, 1951; received by the editors January 11, 1951.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan vol. 1 (1949) pp. 73-78.

For any  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ , define  $M_{\beta}^{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{M}$  by

$$M^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \{ x \mid x \in M_{\beta}, \beta x \in M_{\alpha} \}.$$

Observe that if  $M_{\alpha}$ ,  $M_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ , then also  $M_{\beta}^{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ . For if  $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $N \neq 0$ , then  $N \cap M_{\beta} \neq 0$ ; if  $\beta(N \cap M_{\beta}) \neq 0$ , then  $\beta(N \cap M_{\beta}) \cap M_{\alpha} \neq 0$ ,  $(N \cap M_{\beta}) \cap M_{\beta}^{\alpha} \neq 0$ , and therefore  $N \cap M_{\beta}^{\alpha} \neq 0$ ; if, on the other hand,  $\beta(N \cap M_{\beta}) = 0$ , then  $N \cap M_{\beta} \subseteq M_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  and again  $N \cap M_{\beta}^{\alpha} \neq 0$ .

Operations of addition and multiplication are defined in  $\mathfrak A$  in the obvious way. Thus for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak A$ ,  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are defined as follows:

$$(\alpha + \beta)x = \alpha x + \beta x, \ x \in M_{\alpha} \cap M_{\beta}; \ (\alpha \beta)x = \alpha(\beta x), \ x \in M_{\beta}.$$

By definition,  $M_{\alpha+\beta} = M_{\alpha} \cap M_{\beta}$  and  $M_{\alpha\beta} = M_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ .

Associated with any  $N \in \mathfrak{M}$  are the trivial semi-endomorphisms  $0_N$  and  $1_N$  defined by:  $0_N x = 0$ ,  $1_N x = x$ ;  $x \in N$ . Labelling  $0_M = 0$  and  $1_M = 1$ , evidently  $0_N \leq 0$  and  $1_N \leq 1$  for all  $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $-\alpha$  is defined in the usual way; and  $\alpha + (-\alpha) = (-\alpha) + \alpha = 0_N$  where  $N = M_{\alpha} = M_{-\alpha}$ .

Every  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  that is an isomorphism of  $M_{\alpha}$  into M has an inverse  $\alpha^{-1}$  defined by

$$\alpha^{-1}(\alpha x) = x, \quad x \in M_{\alpha}; \qquad M_{\alpha^{-1}} = \alpha M_{\alpha}.$$

The set of all such isomorphisms contained in  $\mathfrak A$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak U$ . It is evident that all  $1_N \in \mathfrak U$ ,  $N \in \mathfrak M$ , and whenever  $\alpha \in \mathfrak U$ , also  $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak U$ .

The properties enjoyed by the operations in  $\mathfrak A$  are summarized in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. The algebraic system  $\{\mathfrak{A}; +, \cdot, \leq\}$  has the following properties:

(1)  $\{\mathfrak{A}; +\}$  is an abelian semigroup with identity element 0. Associated with each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  are unique elements  $-\alpha$  and  $0_{\alpha}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that

(i) 
$$\alpha + (-\alpha) = 0_{\alpha}$$
, (ii)  $\alpha + 0_{\alpha} = \alpha$ , (iii)  $-(-\alpha) = \alpha$ .

- (2)  $\{\mathfrak{A}; \cdot\}$  is a semigroup with identity element 1.
- (3)  $\{\mathfrak{U}; \cdot\}$  is a semigroup with identity element 1. Associated with each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{U}$  are unique elements  $\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $1_{\alpha}$ , and  $1'_{\alpha}$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that

(i) 
$$\alpha^{-1}\alpha = 1_{\alpha}$$
, (ii)  $\alpha\alpha^{-1} = 1'_{\alpha}$ , (iii)  $\alpha 1_{\alpha} = \alpha$ ,

(iv) 
$$1'_{\alpha} \alpha = \alpha$$
, (v)  $(\alpha^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha$ .

(4) For any  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,

(i) 
$$(\beta + \gamma)\alpha = \beta\alpha + \gamma\alpha$$
, (ii)  $\alpha(\beta + \gamma) \ge \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma$ .

(5) For any  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta \in \mathbb{X}$  such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $\gamma \leq \delta$ ,

(i) 
$$\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \delta$$
, (ii)  $\alpha \gamma \leq \beta \delta$ , (iii)  $-\alpha \leq -\beta$ ,

(iv) 
$$\alpha^{-1} \leq \beta^{-1}$$
 in case  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$ .

The proofs of (1)–(3) are straightforward, and hence will be omitted. Part (4) is a consequence of the following relations:

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta+\gamma} = M_{\alpha}^{\beta} \cap M_{\alpha}^{\gamma}, \qquad M_{\beta+\gamma}^{\alpha} \supseteq M_{\beta}^{\alpha} \cap M_{\gamma}^{\alpha}.$$

To prove (5) part (iv), assume that  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in U$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then  $M_{\alpha} \subset M_{\beta}$ ,  $M_{\alpha} \neq M_{\beta}$ , so that  $\alpha M_{\alpha} \subset \beta M_{\beta}$ ,  $\alpha M_{\alpha} \neq \beta M_{\beta}$ , and hence  $\alpha^{-1} < \beta^{-1}$ . The proof of the rest of (5) will be omitted.

Let  $\Re$  be the subset of  $\Re$  containing all  $\alpha$  such that  $M_{\alpha} \in \Re^*$ . The set  $\Re$  is closed under the operations of addition and multiplication in view of previous remarks. If in Theorem 1 we replace  $\Re$  by  $\Re = \{\alpha \mid \alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in \Re^*\}$ , Theorem 1 then applies to the system  $\{\Re^*; +, \cdot, \leq \}$ .

For any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , let  $N_{\alpha} = \{x \mid x \in M_{\alpha}, \alpha x = 0\}$ , the annihilator of  $\alpha$  in M. The subset  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{H} = \{ \alpha \mid \alpha \in \Re, N_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{M}^* \}$$

is called the radical of  $\Re$ . Since  $N_{\alpha-\beta}\supseteq N_{\alpha}\cap N_{\beta}$  and  $N_{\gamma\alpha}\supseteq N_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha-\beta$  and  $\gamma\alpha$  are in  $\Im$  whenever  $\alpha,\beta\in \Im$ ,  $\gamma\in \Re$ . That also  $\alpha\gamma\in \Im$  for any  $\alpha\in \Im$ ,  $\gamma\in \Re$  is seen as follows. If  $N\in \Re$ ,  $N\neq 0$ , then  $N\cap M_{\gamma}\neq 0$ . If  $\gamma(N\cap M_{\gamma})=0$ , then  $N\cap M_{\gamma}\subseteq N_{\alpha\gamma}$  and  $N\cap N_{\alpha\gamma}\neq 0$ ; while if  $\gamma(N\cap M_{\gamma})\neq 0$ , then  $\gamma(N\cap M_{\gamma})\cap N_{\alpha}\neq 0$ ,  $(N\cap M_{\gamma})\cap N_{\alpha\gamma}\neq 0$ , and again  $N\cap N_{\alpha\gamma}\neq 0$ . We conclude that the radical  $\Im$  is an ideal in  $\Re$ . Another property of  $\Im$  is that if  $\Im$ 0 and  $\Im$ 1 are in  $\Im$ 2. This is obvious, since  $N_{\alpha}=M_{\alpha}\cap N_{\beta}$  and  $N_{\gamma}\supseteq N_{\beta}$ .

The ideal  $\mathfrak{F}$  induces a partition of  $\mathfrak{R}$  into the set  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}$  of cosets  $\bar{\alpha} = \{\beta \mid \beta \in \mathfrak{R}, \alpha - \beta \in \mathfrak{F}\}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$ . The operations of addition and multiplication can be introduced into  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}$  in the usual way, that is, if  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$  and  $\alpha\beta = \delta$ , then  $\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} = \bar{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} = \bar{\delta}$ . One easily verifies that  $\{\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}; +\}$  is an abelian group. By Theorem 1, (4), we see that  $(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma})\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma}) = \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}$ . Thus  $\{\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}; +, \cdot\}$  is a ring with a unit element. This ring is called the *extended centralizer* of R over M.

THEOREM 2. The extended centralizer of R over M is a regular ring.

To prove this, it is necessary to show that for every  $\bar{\alpha} \in \Re/\Im$ , there exists  $\bar{\beta} \in \Re/\Im$  such that  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}$ . Let us assume that  $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0$ , from

which we can deduce that  $N_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ . Consequently there exists a maximal element  $N \in \mathfrak{M}$  such that  $N \neq 0$ ,  $N_{\alpha} \cap N = 0$ , and  $N \subseteq M_{\alpha}$ . The maximality of N implies that  $N_{\alpha} + N \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ . Now  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism of N into M, so there exists some  $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\beta(\alpha x) = x$ ,  $x \in N$ . Evidently  $(\alpha \beta \alpha)x = \alpha x$ ,  $x \in N$ ;  $(\alpha \beta \alpha)x = 0$ ,  $x \in N_{\alpha}$ , so that  $\alpha \beta \alpha - \alpha \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Thus  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}$  and the theorem follows.

The set of all submodules of M other than 0 is denoted by  $\mathfrak{M}-(0)$ .

COROLLARY. The extended centralizer of R over M is a division ring if and only if  $\mathfrak{M}^* = \mathfrak{M} - (0)$ .

If  $N \cap N' \neq 0$  for every pair of nonzero submodules of M, then the radical  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  consists of the non-isomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}=0$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \in \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}$ , then either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is a non-isomorphism (since the product of isomorphisms is an isomorphism), that is, either  $\bar{\alpha}=0$  or  $\bar{\beta}=0$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}$  is a domain of integrity, and hence a division ring.

On the other hand, if  $N \cap N' = 0$  for some pair of nonzero submodules of M, no loss of generality results from assuming that  $N+N' \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ . Then it is possible to find elements  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}$  with  $N_{\alpha} = N$ ,  $N_{\beta} = N'$ , and  $\alpha x = x$ ,  $x \in N'$ ,  $\beta x = x$ ,  $x \in N$ . Obviously  $\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{\beta} \neq 0$ , while  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} = 0$ . This proves the corollary to Theorem 2.

In the ring R, let  $I_a = \{x \mid x \in R, ax = 0\}$ , the right annihilator of the element a of R. The element a of R is called (right) singular in case  $I_a \cap I \neq 0$  for all nonzero right ideals I of R. The set S of all (right) singular elements of R is shown to be an ideal in much the same way that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is shown to be an ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We shall call S the (right) singular ideal of R.

If the ring R is a subring of the ring Q, Q is called a (right) quotient ring of R if Q has a unit element, and for every  $\alpha \in Q$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , there exist elements a, b in R with  $b \neq 0$  such that  $\alpha a = b$ . If, in addition, Q is a regular ring, then Q is called a regular quotient ring of R.

Let us now show that a ring having a regular quotient ring Q has its singular ideal equal to zero. For every  $\beta \in Q$ , we denote by  $I_{\beta}$  the right annihilator of  $\beta$  in R; thus  $I_{\beta}$  is a right ideal in R. If  $a \in R$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , there exists  $\alpha \in Q$  such that  $a\alpha a = a$ . Evidently  $\epsilon = \alpha a$  and  $1 - \epsilon$  are idempotents, and  $I_{\epsilon} = I_a$ . If  $I_a \neq 0$ ,  $\epsilon \neq 1$  and  $1 - \epsilon \neq 0$ . By assumption, there exist c,  $d \in R$  with  $d \neq 0$  such that  $\epsilon c = d$ . Since  $d \in I_{1-\epsilon}$ , both  $I_{\epsilon}$  and  $I_{1-\epsilon}$  are unequal to zero. Certainly  $I_{\epsilon} \cap I_{1-\epsilon} = 0$ , and therefore a is not singular in R. Note that if  $a \in R$  and  $I_a = 0$ , then  $\alpha a = 1$ ; that is, the elements of R having no nonzero right annihilator have left inverses in Q.

A possible choice of a right R-module for any ring R is the additive group  $R^+$  of R. Then the left multiplications of R, that is, the

mappings a' of  $R^+$  defined by a'x = ax,  $x \in R^+$ ,  $a \in R$ , are in the centralizer of R over  $R^+$ . If  $\alpha$  is a semi-endomorphism of  $R^+$ , and  $\alpha x = y$  for some  $x, y \in R^+$ , then it follows easily that  $\alpha x' = y'$ .

We now assume that the (right) singular ideal of R is zero, and that  $M=R^+$ . Then for any  $a\in R$ ,  $a\neq 0$ ,  $N_{\alpha'}\in \mathfrak{M}$ , and therefore  $a'\in \mathfrak{H}$ , the radical of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . As a matter of fact, if  $\alpha\in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\alpha M_{\alpha}\neq 0$ , then  $\alpha x=y\neq 0$  for some  $x,y\in M$ , and  $\alpha x'=y'\in \mathfrak{H}$  so that  $\alpha\in \mathfrak{H}$ . It follows that the radical of  $\mathfrak{R}$  consists of all  $0_N$ ,  $N\in \mathfrak{M}^*$ , and that the elements of the extended centralizer Q are essentially the maximal semi-endomorphisms (since  $\alpha\leq \beta$  implies  $\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\beta}$ ) of M. Thus R is (isomorphic to) a subring of Q, and in view of Theorem 2, Q is a regular quotient ring of R. We have proved the following theorem.<sup>2</sup>

THEOREM 3. A ring R has a (right) regular quotient ring if and only if the (right) singular ideal of R is zero.

The extended centralizer Q of R over  $R^+$  is the universal quotient ring of R in the sense that any quotient ring P of R is a subring of Q. For if  $\alpha \in P$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  can be thought of as a semi-endomorphism of  $R^+$  with  $M_{\alpha} = I^+$  where  $I = \{a \mid a \in R, \alpha a \in R\}$ . Since  $\alpha M_{\alpha} \neq 0$ , P is a subring of Q.

In case R is a domain of integrity, its singular ideal is zero, and therefore R has a regular quotient ring Q. By the corollary of Theorem 2, Q is a division ring if and only if  $\mathfrak{M}^* = \mathfrak{M} - (0)$ , that is, if and only if  $xR \cap yR \neq 0$  for every pair of nonzero elements  $x, y \in R$ . This yields the following corollary.

COROLLARY. Any domain of integrity R has a (right) regular quotient ring Q, and each nonzero element of R has a left inverse in Q. The ring Q is a division ring if and only if every pair of nonzero elements of R has a common nonzero right multiple.

## SMITH COLLEGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> O. Goldman, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 52 (1946) p. 130, gives necessary and sufficient conditions that a ring be a subring of a regular ring.