

A REMARK ON KRONECKER'S THEOREM ON FORMS

HARLEY FLANDERS

The Kronecker theorem on forms over an integral domain is a consequence of integral closure. We refer the reader to [1]¹ for a proof and other references. We have failed to find in the literature a statement of the converse of this result and consequently shall here prove that integral closure is a consequence of a relatively weak form [2] of Kronecker's theorem.

Let \mathcal{O} be an integral domain which has the following property: Whenever each coefficient of the product of a linear polynomial $f(X) = a_0X + a_1$ of $\mathcal{O}[X]$ by an arbitrary polynomial $g(X) = b_0X^n + \cdots + b_n$ of $\mathcal{O}[X]$ is divisible by an element c of \mathcal{O} , then a_1b_0 is divisible by c . Then \mathcal{O} is integrally closed in its quotient field k .

To prove this, we let $\alpha = u/v \in k$, $u, v \in \mathcal{O}$, and assume α is integral over \mathcal{O} . Thus $h(\alpha) = 0$ where

$$h(X) = X^m + c_1X^{m-1} + \cdots + c_m$$

with all $c_j \in \mathcal{O}$. Clearly

$$h(X) = (X - \alpha)(X^{m-1} + \beta_1X^{m-2} + \cdots + \beta_{m-1})$$

with $\beta_j \in k$. We select $w \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $w\beta_j \in \mathcal{O}$ for all j and have

$$vwh(X) = (vX - u)(wX^{m-1} + w\beta_1X^{m-2} + \cdots + w\beta_{m-1}).$$

Since vw divides each coefficient of the left-hand side of this equation, it follows from the hypothesis that vw divides uw , and hence v divides u . This implies that α is in \mathcal{O} , which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. W. Krull, *Idealtheorie*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik vol. 4, no. 3, 1935, especially §45.
2. H. Weyl, *Algebraic theory of numbers*, Princeton, 1940, especially Lemma II 7, A, p. 55.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Received by the editors August 6, 1951.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.