

A COMPLETE SOLUTION OF THE CONVERGENCE PROBLEM FOR CONTINUED FRACTIONS

RALPH E. LANE

1. **Introduction.** In this paper we consider continued fractions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f_1 + \frac{a_1}{b_1 - \frac{a_2}{b_2 - \frac{a_3}{b_3 - \cdots}}},$$

where f_1 is a number and (for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) a_p is a nonzero number¹ and b_p is a number.

If $f = \{f_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ is the sequence of approximants of (1.1), then for f to converge, it is necessary that there exist a positive integer n , a nonzero number a'_n , and a number b'_n such that the sequence of approximants of the continued fraction

$$f_n + \frac{a'_n}{b'_n - \frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1} - \frac{a_{n+2}}{b_{n+2} - \cdots}}}$$

is $\{f_{n+p-1}\}_{p=1}^\infty$, where $f_{n+p-1} \neq \infty$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Consequently the following theorem is a complete solution of the convergence problem for continued fractions.

THEOREM 1. *For a continued fraction F to have only finite approximants and to converge, it is necessary and sufficient that there exist a continued fraction (1.1) equivalent to F and a sequence s of numbers such that*

- (i) $0 < s_p < 1$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and
- (ii) $s_1 |b_1| > |b_1 - 1|$, and
- (iii) if, for each positive integer p ,

$$e_p = \left[\frac{s_{p+1}}{1 - s_{p+1}^2} - \left| a_{p+1} - b_{p+1} + \frac{1}{1 - s_{p+1}^2} \right| \right] \left[\frac{1}{s_p |a_{p+1}|} \right],$$

Received by the editors February 11, 1952.

¹ For a solution of the convergence problem in the case in which one (or more) of the partial numerators a_p is zero, see H. S. Wall, *Analytic theory of continued fractions*, New York, 1948, p. 26.

then $e_p \geq 1$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (e_p - 1)$ diverges.

It is interesting that the concepts which we use in the proof of this theorem are essentially the ones which were used in carrying out Wall's program (loc. cit., p. 5) for positive definite continued fractions; namely, a sequence of linear fractional transformations which generates the continued fraction, and a "nest of circles" which provides a sequence of bounds for the approximants of the continued fraction.

2. Lemmas. We use the following notation:

(i) The generator of (1.1) is the sequence, t , of linear fractional transformations such that $t_1(u) = f_1 + a_1/(b_1 - u)$ and $t_p^{-1}t_{p+1}(u) = a_{p+1}/(b_{p+1} - u)$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

(ii) The sequence of approximants of (1.1) is the sequence $f = t(\infty)$; i.e., $f_p = t_p(\infty)$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. If $f_p \neq \infty$, then we write $t_p(u) = f_p + g_p/(h_p - u)$.

(iii) If f is bounded, then B_f is the set such that $R \in B_f$ if and only if R is a sequence $\{R_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ such that if p is a positive integer, then R_p is a circle plus its interior, and $R_p \supset R_{p+1}$, and f_p is an interior point of R_p .

LEMMA 1. *If $R \in B_f$, then there exist a sequence s of positive numbers less than 1 and a sequence q of nonzero numbers such that if p is a positive integer, then $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$ is the region (a circle plus its exterior) defined by the inequality*

$$s_p |u| \leq |u - q_p|,$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$\left| u - \frac{q_p}{1 - s_p^2} \right| \geq \frac{s_p |q_p|}{1 - s_p^2}.$$

PROOF. Suppose that $R \in B_f$. By hypothesis, $f_p = t_p(\infty)$ is an interior point of R_p , so that ∞ is an interior point of $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$; hence $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$ is a circle plus its exterior. Moreover, $f_{p+1} = t_p(0)$ is an interior point of R_{p+1} and a fortiori of R_p ; hence 0 is an interior point of $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$. Let q_p denote the inversion of the origin in the boundary of $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$. Then there exists a number s_p such that $0 < s_p < 1$ and such that $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$ is the region defined by $s_p |u| \leq |u - q_p|$. If both sides of this inequality are squared, the result can be written as $(1 - s_p^2)u\bar{u} - \bar{q}_p u - q_p \bar{u} + q_p \bar{q}_p \geq 0$, which is equivalent to the inequality $|u - q_p/(1 - s_p^2)| \geq s_p |q_p|/(1 - s_p^2)$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. For the sequence of approximants of (1.1) to be bounded, it is necessary and sufficient that there exist a sequence s of numbers and a sequence q of numbers such that

- (i) $0 < s_p < 1$ and $q_p \neq 0$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and
- (ii) $s_1 |b_1| > |b_1 - q_1|$, and

$$(iii) \frac{s_p |a_{p+1}|}{|q_p q_{p+1}|} + \left| \frac{a_{p+1}}{q_p q_{p+1}} - \frac{b_{p+1}}{q_{p+1}} + \frac{1}{1 - s_{p+1}^2} \right| \leq \frac{s_{p+1}}{1 - s_{p+1}^2}$$

for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

PROOF. Suppose that f , the sequence of approximants of (1.1), is bounded. Let R denote a sequence in B_f . By Lemma 1, there exist sequences s and q such that (i) holds and such that $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$ is the region defined by $s_p |u| \leq |u - q_p|$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Since $t_1(b_1) = \infty$, condition (ii) is merely the condition for R_1 to be a circle plus its interior. Now $t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_p)$ is defined by the inequality $s_p |a_{p+1}/(b_{p+1} - u)| \leq |a_{p+1}/(b_{p+1} - u) - q_p|$, which is equivalent to the inequality $|u - (b_{p+1} - a_{p+1}/q_p)| \geq s_p |a_{p+1}/q_p|$. Hence (iii) is the condition that

$$t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_p) \supset t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_{p+1}), \text{ or } R_p \supset R_{p+1},$$

for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Suppose, on the other hand, that there exist such sequences s and q . For each positive integer p , let $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$ denote the region defined by the inequality $s_p |u| \leq |u - q_p|$. Then R_1 is a circle plus its interior; and if p is a positive integer, then $R_p \supset R_{p+1}$ and $f_p = t_p(\infty)$ is in R_p , so that each member of f is in R_1 , and consequently f is bounded. This completes the proof.

We now introduce the following notation in addition to that established at the beginning of this section. If $R \in B_f$, and if p is a positive integer, then

(iv) c_p is the center and r_p the radius of R_p ; i.e., R_p is defined by the inequality $|u - c_p| \leq r_p$; and

(v) if $q = p$ or if $q = p + 1$, then $c_{q,p+1}$ is the center and $r_{q,p+1}$ the radius of $t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_q)$; i.e., $t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_q)$ is defined by the inequality $|u - c_{q,p+1}| \geq r_{q,p+1}$. We observe that $|c_{p,p+1} - c_{p+1,p+1}| \leq r_{p+1,p+1} - r_{p,p+1}$.

LEMMA 3. In order that $r_p \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_{p+1}/r_p)$ diverge, and it is necessary and sufficient that $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (r_p/r_{p+1} - 1)$ diverge.

PROOF. By hypothesis, $R_p \supset R_{p+1}$, so that $\{r_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers. Let r denote the number such that $r_p \rightarrow r$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_1} &= \frac{r_2}{r_1} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_p} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{r_1 - r_2}{r_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{r_2 - r_3}{r_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{r_p - r_{p+1}}{r_p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $r=0$ if and only if $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_{p+1}/r_p)$ diverges. From a similar argument about the ratios r_1/r_{p+1} it follows that $r=0$ if and only if $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (r_p/r_{p+1} - 1)$ diverges. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 4. *If p is a positive integer, and if $q=p$ or $q=p+1$, then $c_{q,p+1} = h_{p+1} - g_{p+1}(\bar{f}_{p+1} - \bar{c}_q)/(r_q^2 - |f_{p+1} - c_q|^2)$ and*

$$r_{q,p+1} = |g_{p+1}| r_q / (r_q^2 - |f_{p+1} - c_q|^2).$$

PROOF. By hypothesis, R_q is defined by the inequality $|u - c_q| \leq r_q$, whence $t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_q)$ is defined by the inequality $|t_{p+1}(u) - c_q| \leq r_q$, which is equivalent to the inequality $|(f_{p+1} - c_q)(u - h_{p+1}) - g_{p+1}| \leq r_q |u - h_{p+1}|$. If $f_{p+1} = c_q$, then $c_{q,p+1} = h_{p+1}$ and $r_{q,p+1} = |g_{p+1}|/r_q$, and the lemma holds. Suppose, however, that $f_{p+1} \neq c_q$. Then $t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_q)$ is defined by the inequality $|u - h_{p+1} - g_{p+1}/(f_{p+1} - c_q)| \leq |u - h_{p+1}| r_q / |f_{p+1} - c_q|$, which is equivalent, since $|f_{p+1} - c_q| < r_q$, to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |u - [h_{p+1} - g_{p+1}(\bar{f}_{p+1} - \bar{c}_q)/(r_q^2 - |f_{p+1} - c_q|^2)]| \\ \geq |g_{p+1}| r_q / (r_q^2 - |f_{p+1} - c_q|^2), \end{aligned}$$

so that the lemma follows from the definitions of $c_{q,p+1}$ and $r_{q,p+1}$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 5. *If p is a positive integer, then*

$$(i) \quad \frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} \geq \frac{r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|}{r_{p+1}} \geq 1,$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \frac{r_p}{r_{p+1}} \geq \frac{r_{p+1,p+1} - |c_{p,p+1} - c_{p+1,p+1}|}{r_{p,p+1}} \geq 1.$$

PROOF. We shall prove statement (i); statement (ii) can be proved by the same kind of argument. By hypothesis, $R_p \supset R_{p+1}$, whence $r_p - r_{p+1} \geq |c_p - c_{p+1}|$, and $(r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|)/r_{p+1} \geq 1$; moreover, $t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_p) \supset t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_{p+1})$, and consequently $r_{p+1,p+1} \geq r_{p,p+1}$. If $r_{p+1} = r_p$, then $R_p = R_{p+1}$ and $t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_p) = t_{p+1}^{-1}(R_{p+1})$, so that (i) holds with actual equality throughout. Suppose, however, that $r_{p+1} < r_p$. By hypothesis,

$|f_{p+1} - c_p| < r_p$ and $|f_{p+1} - c_{p+1}| < r_{p+1}$; and from Lemma 4 it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} = \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_p} \cdot \frac{r_p^2 - |f_{p+1} - c_p|^2}{r_{p+1}^2 - |f_{p+1} - c_{p+1}|^2}.$$

Let k denote the number such that $kr_{p+1}/r_p = (r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|)/r_{p+1}$; then $kr_{p+1}/r_p \geq 1$, and $k \geq r_p/r_{p+1} > 1$. Let K denote the common part of the region defined by the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{r_p^2 - |u - c_p|^2}{r_{p+1}^2 - |u - c_{p+1}|^2} \geq k$$

and the interior of R_{p+1} ; then $c_{p+1} \in K$, and it can readily be seen that K is actually a region. For $|u - c_{p+1}| < r_{p+1}$, the inequality (2.2) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\left| u - \frac{c_p - kc_{p+1}}{1 - k} \right|^2 \geq \frac{(k - 1)(kr_{p+1}^2 - r_p^2) + k|c_p - c_{p+1}|^2}{(k - 1)^2}.$$

But $kr_{p+1}^2 - r_p^2 = r_p(r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|) - r_p^2 = -r_p|c_p - c_{p+1}|$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & (k - 1)(kr_{p+1}^2 - r_p^2) + k|c_p - c_{p+1}|^2 \\ &= k|c_p - c_{p+1}|^2 - r_p|c_p - c_{p+1}|(k - 1) \\ &= r_p|c_p - c_{p+1}| - k(r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|)|c_p - c_{p+1}| \\ &= |c_p - c_{p+1}| [r_p - r_p(r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|)^2/r_{p+1}^2] \\ &= r_p|c_p - c_{p+1}| [r_{p+1}^2 - (r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|)^2]/r_{p+1}^2 \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $r_{p+1} \leq r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|$. Hence K is merely the interior of R_{p+1} . Since f_{p+1} is an interior point of R_{p+1} , it follows from (2.1) that

$$\frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} \geq \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_p} k = \frac{r_p - |c_p - c_{p+1}|}{r_{p+1}}.$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 6. *If $c_p = c_{p+1}$, and if $r_{p+1} \geq 3|f_{p+1} - c_p|$, then*

$$\frac{r_{p+1,p+1} - |c_{p,p+1} - c_{p+1,p+1}|}{r_{p,p+1}} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{r_p}{r_{p+1}} - 1 \right).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 4 and the hypothesis that $c_p = c_{p+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|c_{p,p+1} - c_{p+1,p+1}|}{r_{p,p+1}} &= \frac{|f_{p+1} - c_p|}{r_{p,p+1}} \left| \frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p+1}} - \frac{r_{p,p+1}}{r_p} \right| \\ &= \frac{|f_{p+1} - c_p|}{r_{p+1}} \left| \frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} - \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_p} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

But $r_{p+1,p+1}/r_{p,p+1} \geq 1 \geq r_{p+1}/r_p$. Hence

$$\frac{|c_{p,p+1} - c_{p+1,p+1}|}{r_{p,p+1}} = \frac{|f_{p+1} - c_p|}{r_{p+1}} \left(\frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} - \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_p} \right);$$

consequently, if

$$e_p = \frac{r_{p+1,p+1} - |c_{p,p+1} - c_{p+1,p+1}|}{r_{p,p+1}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} e_p - 1 &= \left(\frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} - 1 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{|f_{p+1} - c_p|}{r_{p+1}} \left[\left(\frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} - 1 \right) + \left(1 - \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_p} \right) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{r_{p+1,p+1}}{r_{p,p+1}} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{r_{p+1}}{r_p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now $r_p/r_{p+1} - 1 \geq 1 - r_{p+1}/r_p \geq 0$; moreover, from (i) of Lemma 5 and from the hypothesis that $c_p = c_{p+1}$, it follows that $r_{p+1,p+1}/r_{p,p+1} \geq r_p/r_{p+1}$. Hence $e_p - 1 \geq (r_p/r_{p+1} - 1)/3$. This completes the proof.

3. Proof of the theorem. Let F denote a continued fraction

$$f_1 + \frac{a'_1}{\frac{b'_1 - a'_2}{b'_2 - \dots}},$$

where $a'_p \neq 0$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let f denote the sequence of approximants of F .

Suppose first that there exist a continued fraction (1.1), which is equivalent to F , and a sequence s such that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of the theorem hold. It follows from Lemma 2 (with $q_p = 1$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) that the sequence of approximants of F is bounded. For each positive integer p , let $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$ be the region defined by the inequality $s_p |u| \leq |u - 1|$. By Lemma 1, $c_{p+1,p+1} = 1/(1 - s_{p+1}^2)$ and $r_{p+1,p+1} = s_{p+1}/(1 - s_{p+1}^2)$; and from the proof of Lemma 2, $c_{p,p+1}$

$= b_{p+1} - a_{p+1}$ and $r_{p,p+1} = s_p |a_{p+1}|$. Hence

$$(3.1) \quad e_p = \frac{r_{p+1,p+1} - |c_{p,p+1} - c_{p+1,p+1}|}{r_{p,p+1}}.$$

By hypothesis, $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (e_p - 1)$ diverges; by Lemma 5, $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (r_p/r_{p+1} - 1)$ diverges; and by Lemma 3, $r_p \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the common part of the regions R_p is a point, c , and $f_p \rightarrow c$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$; that is to say, F converges.

Suppose that f is bounded and that F converges. Let c denote the number such that $f_p \rightarrow c$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. For each positive integer p , let $c_p = c$, let $r_p = 3 \text{ l.u.b.}_{q \geq p} |f_q - c|$, and let R_p denote the region defined by $|u - c| \leq r_p$. Then $R \in B_f$. Let $\tau_1(u) = f_1 + a'_1 / (b'_1 - u)$ and $\tau_p^{-1} \tau_{p+1}(u) = a'_{p+1} / (b'_{p+1} - u)$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and let s and q denote sequences such that (cf. Lemma 1) $\tau_p^{-1}(R_p)$ is defined by $s_p |u| \leq |u - q_p|$. Let $a_1 = a'_1 / q_1$, and for each positive integer p , let $b_p = b'_p / q_p$ and $a_{p+1} = a'_{p+1} / q_p q_{p+1}$. We have now found a continued fraction (1.1) which is equivalent to F and a sequence s such that (cf. Lemma 2) the conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem hold and $e_p \geq 1$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Moreover, $t_p^{-1}(R_p)$ is defined by the inequality $s_p |u| \leq |u - 1|$, so that (3.1) holds. By hypothesis and by construction, $r_p \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, so that (Lemma 3) $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (r_p/r_{p+1} - 1)$ diverges. From (3.1) and Lemma 6, it follows that $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (e_{p-1})$ diverges. This completes the proof.