

SOME NON-ABELIAN EXTENSIONS OF COMPLETELY DIVISIBLE GROUPS¹

FRANKLIN HAIMO

1. **Introduction.** Baer [1; 2] has showed that those abelian groups G which are direct summands of every including abelian group are precisely those abelian groups G for which $nG = G$ for every positive integer n . The latter class of groups consists of the so-called complete or infinitely divisible abelian groups. Examination of the proof of the equivalence of these two classes discloses essential difficulties in the way of extension to the non-abelian case. Once "complete" is suitably defined for these latter groups, we can prove the following: Let H be a complete group interpolated into the ascending central series of a group G . Let K be a subgroup, maximal with respect to the property of meeting H on that portion of the ascending series of G below H . Then if $N(K)$ is the normalizer of K in G , $N(K) = H + K$. This result seems to be the natural extension of half of the Baer theorem to the non-abelian case.

Let us write all groups additively, whether they be abelian or not. For a subgroup H of a group G we let $N(H; G)$ be the normalizer of H in G . C_v is to be the cyclic subgroup of G generated by the element $v \in G$. $C(v; G)$ is to be the centralizer of v in G . For a subgroup H , $C(H; G)$ is to denote the centralizer of H in G . (See [4] for definitions.) Let 0 be the unity of G , and let (0) be the one element subgroup of G . In what follows, m , n , and r will always denote nonzero integers. $D(H; G)$, for a subset H of G , is to be the set of all $x \in G$ for which there exists $m = m(x)$ with $mx \in H$. $D(H; G)$, the *division-hull* of H in G , need not be a subgroup of G in the non-abelian case even if H is a subgroup.

We shall say that a group G is *complete* if, to each ordered pair (g, n) , where $g \in G$, there exists a finite set of elements $g_i (g, n) = g_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m(g, n) = m$) with $ng_1 + ng_2 + \dots + ng_m = g$. If G is both abelian and complete we can always choose $m = 1$.

For a group G , define in the customary fashion [4] the *ascending central series* $\{Z_i(G)\}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), where $Z_0(G) = (0)$, $Z_1(G)$ is the center of G , and $Z_{i+1}(G)/Z_i(G)$ is the center of $G/Z_i(G)$. A subgroup H of G is said to be *interpolated in the ascending central series at d* if $Z_d(G) \subset H \subset Z_{d+1}(G)$ (where \subset does not preclude equality), and d is the least integer for which this is true.

Received by the editors January 5, 1953 and, in revised form, May 8, 1953.

¹ Research sponsored in part by the OSR, USAF.

If H and K are subsets of a group G , let $H+K$ be the set of all $h+k$, where $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. In general, $H+K$ need not be a subgroup, though it surely is a subgroup if both H and K are and at least one of these is normal. We note here that \oplus denotes direct summation of subgroups.

2. The normalizer decomposition.

LEMMA 1. *Let H and K be a pair of subgroups of G where K is maximal with respect to the property of being disjoint from H . Then $N(K; G) \subset D(H+K; G)$.*

PROOF. We follow [1] and [3]. If $x \in N(K; G)$, $x \notin H+K$, then $x \notin K$. Form the subgroup $K' = \{K, x\}$ which has the generators x and all the elements of K . K' includes K properly. By the maximal character of K , one can find a nonzero element $h \in K' \cap H$. Since $x \in N(K; G)$, it is possible to find an integer t and an element $k \in K$ with $h = tx + k$. If $t = 0$, then $h = k$; and $H \cap K = (0)$ then implies $h = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $tx \in H+K$ with nonzero t , and $x \in D(H+K; G)$. Since also $(H+K) \cap N(K; G) \subset D(H+K; G)$, it follows that $N(K; G) \subset D(H+K; G)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let H be a complete group interpolated at d into the ascending central series of a group G . Let K be a subgroup of G , maximal with respect to the property of having precisely $Z_d(G)$ as its intersection with H . Then $N(K; G) = H+K$ and $N(K; G)/Z_d(G) \cong H/Z_d(G) \oplus K/Z_d(G)$.*

PROOF. We follow [3]. Suppose that $d = 0$. Then H is included in the center of G , and $H \cap K = (0)$. For a given $x \in N(K; G)$, $x \notin H+K$, let r be the least positive integer (provided by Lemma 1) for which $rx \in H+K$. Let p be a prime divisor of r , and let $y = (r/p)x$. $py = rx = h+k$ for suitable $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. Since H is complete and abelian, there exists $h_1 \in H$ with $ph_1 = h$; and $-ph_1 + py = k$. Since also $h_1 \in Z_1(G)$, $-ph_1 + py = p(-h_1 + y) = k$. Let $z = -h_1 + y$. Since $H \subset Z_1(G)$ and since $y = (r/p)x \in N(K; G)$, it follows that $z \in N(K; G)$. If $z \in H+K$, then $y = (r/p)x \in H+K$, contradicting the minimum character of r . Form the subgroup $K'' = \{K, z\}$. K'' includes K properly, and by the maximal character of the latter subgroup there exists a nonzero $h' \in K'' \cap H$. Since $z \in N(K; G)$ we can find an integer t and an element $k' \in K$ with $h' = tz + k'$. If $p \mid t$, $pz \in K$ implies $h' \in K$, contradicting $H \cap K = (0)$. Then there exist integers a and b for which $at + bp = 1$, so that $z = atz + bpbz$. But $tz \in H+K$ implies $atz \in H+K$ since $H \subset Z_1(G)$; and $pzbz \in K$. Thus $z \in H+K$, a contradiction. We

have established that $r=1$, $x \in H+K$, and that $N(K; G) = H+K$ if $d=0$.

If $d \neq 0$, reduce the group modulo $Z_d(G)$. Let the images of G , H , and K be, respectively, G' , H' , and K' . It can be readily checked that K' is maximal in G' with respect to the property of being disjoint from H' , that H' is in the center of G' , and that H' is a complete abelian group. Using the case $d=0$ above, we have $N(K'; G') = H' + K'$, and a trivial argument now shows that $N(K; G) = H+K$.

Subgroups which are interpolated into the ascending central series are normal subgroups, so that H is normal in G , and $H/Z_d(G)$ is normal in $G/Z_d(G)$. Hence $H/Z_d(G)$ is normal in $N(K; G)/Z_d(G)$. Moreover K is normal in $N(K; G)$ so $K/Z_d(G)$ is normal in $N(K; G)/Z_d(G)$. Since $H/Z_d(G) \cap K/Z_d(G) = (0)$, and since $N(K; G)/Z_d(G) = H/Z_d(G) + K/Z_d(G)$, we have proved that the sign $+$ in the last statement can be replaced by \oplus .

An immediate result is

COROLLARY 1. *Let a complete group H have an extension to a nilpotent group G of class $d+1$ in such a way that $Z_d(G) \subset H$. If K is any subgroup of G which is maximal with respect to the property of having precisely $Z_d(G)$ as its intersection with H , then K is normal in G , and $G = H+K$.*

COROLLARY 2. *If H and K are as in the theorem and if $d=0$, then $N(K; G) \cong H \oplus K$.*

COROLLARY 3. *If H and K are as in the theorem and if $d=0$, then $N(K; G)/C(K; G) \cong J(K)$, the group of inner automorphisms of K .*

PROOF. Since $C(K; G) \subset N(K; G)$ and since $N(K; G) = H+K$, every element of $C(K; G)$ has the form $h+k$, where $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. $h+k+k' = k'+h+k$ for every $k' \in K$. Since $H \subset Z_1(G)$, $k+k' = k'+k$ for every $k' \in K$, and $k \in Z_1(K)$. We can thus establish that $C(K; G) = H+Z_1(K)$. For $k \in K$, let γ_k be the inner automorphism $\gamma_k(x) = k+x-k$ for every $x \in K$. Define a map θ on $H+K$ into $J(K)$ as follows: $\theta(h+k) = \gamma_k$. Then it is easy to verify that θ is a homomorphism on $H+K$ onto $J(K)$ with kernel $H+Z_1(K)$.

One could ask whether there is anything to be said if H is a subgroup not necessarily complete or interpolated into the ascending central series. Let (A) be the property of a proper subgroup H of a group G that $mu+v$ (or, alternately, $v+mu$) $\in H$ where $u, v \in G$ and $C_v \cap H = (0)$ implies the existence of $g \in H \cap C(u; G)$ with $mg = mu+v$ (alternately, $v+mu$). Suppose that G is aperiodic and that H is a proper subgroup of G with property (A). Then it is easy to prove that

H is a normal subgroup of G and that $D(H; G) = H \subset \cap C(u; G)$, where the cross-cut is taken over all elements $u \in G$ such that $u \notin H$. H is likewise *strongly complete* in the sense that the equation $nx = h \in H$ always has a solution in H . Even if G has nontrivial periodic elements, a strongly complete subgroup H which is included in the center of G has property (A). The proofs of Lemma 1 and of Theorem 1 can be rewritten to give the somewhat weaker result:

THEOREM 2. *Let H be a subgroup with property (A) in a group G . Suppose that there exists a normal subgroup K of G which, as a subgroup, is maximal among the set of all subgroups (normal or not) which are disjoint from H . Then $G = H + K$; and if G is aperiodic, $G = H \oplus K$.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Baer, *The subgroup of the elements of finite order of an abelian group*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 37 (1936) pp. 766-781.
2. ———, *Abelian groups that are direct summands of every containing abelian group*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 46 (1940) pp. 800-806.
3. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian groups*, The University of Chicago, mimeographed, 81 pp., n.d.
4. H. Zassenhaus, *Lehrbuch der Gruppentheorie I*, Leipzig and Berlin, 1937.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY